## FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT CASES

1	(b)(3), 26 U.S.C. §6103(b)(2)	Abbott Labs. v. IRS, 1 GDS ¶80,137 (D.D.C. 1980).
2	(b) (1), E.O. 12356, adequacy of agency affidavit, in camera inspec- tion, "mosaic," summary judgment, waiver of exemption (administra- tive release)	Abbotts v. NRC, 3 GDS ¶83,257 (D.D.C. 1983), in camera affidavits ordered, No. 77-0624 (D.D.C. Sept. 27, 1983), summary judgment granted (D. D.C. May 1, 1984), rev'd & remanded, 766 F.2d 604 (D.C. Cir. 1985).
3	(b)(7)(A)	ABC Home Health Servs. v. HHS, 548 F. Supp. 555 (N.D. Ga. 1982).
4	Attorney's fees	Abdoo v. FBI, No. 78-189 (E.D. Pa. Apr. 10, 1979).
5	(b)(7), (b)(7)(A), law enforcement amendments (1986), law enforce- ment purpose, summary judgment	Abdullah v. FBI, No. 92-0356 (D.D.C. Aug. 10, 1992).
6	Adequacy of request, exhaustion of administrative remedies	Abel v. IRS, 70-2 U.S. Tax Cas. (CCH) ¶9590 (C.D. Cal. 1970).
7	(a)(1)(D), publication	Abernathy v. Yeutter, 725 F. Supp. 459 (W.D. Mo. 1989).
8	(b)(3), 26 U.S.C. §6103(a), attor- ney's fees	Abernethy v. IRS, 909 F. Supp. 1562 (N.D. Ga. 1995), aff'd, No. 95-9489 (11 <sup>th</sup> Cir. Feb. 13, 1997).
9	(b)(2), (b)(6), (b)(7)(C), adequacy of request, proper party defendant	Abraham & Rose v. United States, No. 96-72337, 1996 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 18387 (E.D. Mich. Nov. 20, 1996), rev'd & remanded, 138 F.3d 1075 (6 <sup>th</sup> Cir. 1998), on remand, 36 F. Supp. 2d 955 (E.D. Mich. 1998).
10	(b)(7)(A), discovery/FOIA inter- face, injunction of agency proceed- ing pending resolution of FOIA claim	Abrahamson Chrysler-Plymouth, Inc. v. NLRB, No. 76-C-248 (N.D. Ill. 1976), aff'd, 561 F.2d 63 (7 <sup>th</sup> Cir. 1977).
11	(b)(7), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(D), as- surance of confidentiality, law en- forcement purpose	Abrams v. FBI, 511 F. Supp. 758 (N.D. Ill. 1981).
12	Privacy Act access	Abramsky v. Consumer Prod. Safety Comm'n, 478 F. Supp. 1040 (S.D.N.Y. 1979).
13	(b)(7), (b)(7)(C), law enforcement purpose	Abramson v. FBI, 1 GDS ¶79,230 (D.D.C. 1979), rev'd & remanded, 658 F.2d 806 (D.C. Cir. 1980), rev'd & remanded, 456 U.S. 615 (1982), remanded, No. 79-2500 (D.C. Cir. Nov. 26, 1982), summary judgment granted, 566 F. Supp. 1371 (D.D.C. 1983).

14	(b) (5), deliberative process, discov- ery in FOIA litigation, incorpora- tion by reference, summary judg- ment	Access Reports v. DOJ, No. 88-1433 (D.D.C. Mar. 8, 1989), reconsideration denied (D.D.C. Dec. 4, 1989), rev'd, 926 F.2d 1192 (D.C. Cir. 1991).
15	(b) (2), (b) (7) (A), (b) (7) (C), (b) (7) (D), duty to search, in cam- era inspection	Accuracy in Media v. FBI, No. 97-2107 (D.D.C. Mar. 31, 1999).
16	(b) (7) (A), (b) (7) (C), adequacy of agency affidavit, discovery in FOIA litigation, in camera inspection, summary judgment	Accuracy in Media, Inc. v. Nat'l Park Serv., No. 97-2109, 1998 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 18373 (D.D.C. Nov. 13, 1998), aff'd, 194 F.3d 120 (D.C. Cir. 1999), cert. denied, 529 U.S. 1111 (2000).
17	(b)(7)(A), in camera inspection	Accuracy in Media, Inc. v. United States Secret Serv., No. 97-2108, 1998 WL 185496 (D.D.C. Apr. 16, 1998).
18	(b) (5), (b) (7) (A), (b) (7) (D), deliberative process, in camera inspection	Ace Contracting Co. v. Dep't of Labor, 3 GDS ¶82, 317 (N.D. Ga. 1980), subsequent decision, 3 GDS ¶82,446 (N.D. Ga. 1980), attorney's fees denied, 3 GDS ¶82,447 (N.D. Ga. 1980).
19	(b) (5), (b) (6), mootness	Ackerly v. Ley, 420 F.2d 1336 (D.C. Cir. 1969).
20	(b)(6), summary judgment	Ackerson & Bishop Chartered v. USDA, No. 92- 1068 (D.D.C. July 15, 1992).
21	(b)(5), waiver of exemption	Action on Smoking & Health v. CAB, 2 GDS ¶82, 072 (D.D.C. 1981).
22	Reverse FOIA, (b)(3), 18 U.S.C. §1905, (b)(4), de novo review, summary judgment	Acumenics Research & Tech., Inc. v. DOJ, No. 87-0384 (E.D. Va. June 23, 1987), aff'd, 843 F.2d 800 (4 <sup>th</sup> Cir. 1988).
23	Privacy Act access, (b)(7), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(D), duty to search, law enforcement purpose	Adams v. FBI, No. 97-2861 (D.D.C. Mar. 3, 1999).
24	(b) (6)	Adams v. FBI, No. 78-0849 (D.D.C. Oct. 31, 1978).
25	(b) (5), (b) (7) (A), attorney's fees, deliberative process, reasonably segregable	Adams v. United States, 673 F. Supp. 1249 (S.D. N.Y. 1987), subsequent decision, 686 F. Supp. 417 (S.D.N.Y. 1988).
26	(b) (1), E.O. 12356, (b) (2), (b) (3), 26 U.S.C. §6103(b) (2), (b) (7) (C), (b) (7) (D), exhaustion of adminis- trative remedies, summary judg- ment	Adamson v. DOJ, No. 93-20577 (N.D. Cal. Jan. 3, 1995).
27	Duty to search, no record within scope of request	Adler v. OPM, 3 GDS ¶83,200 (D.D.C. 1983).
28	(b) (4)	Aero Design Enters. v. Dep't of Transp., No. 85- 2022 (D. Colo. Jan. 23, 1986).
29	Preliminary injunction	Aerojet Techsys. Co. v. Dep't of the Navy, No. 86- 1635 (D.D.C. Sept. 10, 1986).

30	Reverse FOIA, (b)(7)(C), sum- mary judgment	AFL-CIO v. FEC, 177 F. Supp. 2d 48 (D.D.C. 2001).
31	(b) (3), 13 U.S.C. §301(g), 50 U.S.C. app. §2411(c), (b) (4), (b) (5), (b) (7) (A), deliberative process, incorporation by reference, promise of confidentiality	Africa Fund v. Mosbacher, No. 92-289, 1993 WL 183736 (S.D.N.Y. May 26, 1993).
32	(b) (1), (b) (3), 50 U.S.C. §403(d) (3), §403g, (b) (5), (b) (7) (D), deliberative process	Afshar v. Dep't of State, 1 GDS ¶80,280 (D.D.C. 1980), aff'd in part, vacated in part, rev'd in part & remanded, 702 F.2d 1125 (D.C. Cir. 1983).
33	(b) (1), E.O. 12958, (b) (3), 50 U.S.C. §403-3(c) (6), summary judgment	Aftergood v. CIA, No. 98-2107, 1999 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 18135 (D.D.C. Nov. 15, 1999).
34	(b) (1), E.O. 12065, (b) (3), 50 U.S.C. §403, (b) (5), (b) (6), (b) (7) (A), adequacy of agency affidavit, in camera affidavit, in camera inspection, leaks, Vaughn Index, waiver of exemption	Agee v. CIA, 1 GDS ¶80,105 (D.D.C. 1980), on motion for summary judgment, 1 GDS ¶80,212 (D. D.C. 1980), summary judgment denied in part, 1 GDS ¶80,213 (D.D.C. 1980), partial summary judgment granted, 517 F. Supp. 1335 (D.D.C. 1981), summary judgment granted, 524 F. Supp. 1290 (D.D.C. 1981), attorney's fees granted, No. 79-2788 (D.D.C. Nov. 3, 1982).
35	(a) (2), (b) (5), (b) (7) (A)	Agric. Servs. Ass'n v. ICC, 1 GDS ¶79,231 (D.D.C. 1979).
36	(b) (4), (b) (5), customary treat- ment, deliberative process, incor- poration by reference, Vaughn Index, voluntary submissions	AGS Computers v. Dep't of the Treasury, No. 92- 2714 (D.N.J. Jan. 12, 1993), summary judgment granted in part (D.N.J. Sept. 16, 1993).
37	Attorney's fees, exceptional cir- cumstances/due diligence, expe- dited processing, preliminary in- junction	Aguilera v. FBI, 941 F. Supp. 144 (D.D.C. 1996), attorney's fees granted, No. 94-2723 (D.D.C. Dec. 5, 1997), appeal dismissed, No. 98-5035 (D.C. Cir. Mar. 18, 1998).
38	(b) (5), (b) (6), (b) (7) (C), burden of proof, incorporation by reference	Ahearn v. United States Army Materials & Mechs. Research Ctr., 580 F. Supp. 1405 (D. Mass. 1984), subsequent decision, 583 F. Supp. 1123 (D. Mass. 1984).
39	Dismissal for failure to prosecute	Ahmed v. Reno, No. 94-2438 (D.D.C. Nov. 15, 1995).
40	(b)(1), in camera inspection	Ahrens v. FBI, No. 90-30003 (D. Mass. Oct. 23, 1990).
41	(a)(1)(C), (a)(1)(D)	Aiken v. Obledo, 442 F. Supp. 628 (E.D. Cal. 1977), subsequent decision, 480 F. Supp. 1314 (E.D. Cal. 1979).
42	<ul> <li>(b) (5), (b) (7), (b) (7) (C),</li> <li>(b) (7) (D), deliberative process,</li> <li>inter- or intra-agency memoranda,</li> <li>law enforcement amendments</li> <li>(1986), law enforcement purpose</li> </ul>	Aircraft Gear Corp. v. NLRB, No. 92-C-6023 (N.D. Ill. Mar. 14, 1994).

43	Exceptional circumstances/due dil- igence	Aircraft Gear Corp. v. Vander Schaaf, No. 94-C- 870 (N.D. Ill. Mar. 16, 1994).
44	(b) (4), adequacy of request, prompt disclosure, reasonably se- gregable, Vaughn Index	Air Line Pilots Ass'n v. Dep't of Transp., 1 GDS ¶80,255 (D.D.C. 1980), subsequent decision sub nom. Air Line Pilots Ass'n v. FAA, 552 F. Supp. 811 (D.D.C. 1982).
45	(b) (1), E.O. 12356, (b) (7) (C), (b) (7) (D), assurance of confiden- tiality, attorney's fees, discovery in FOIA litigation, in camera inspec- tion, Vaughn Index	Ajluni v. FBI, No. 94-325, 1996 WL 776996 (N.D. N.Y. July 13, 1996), in camera inspection ordered (N.D.N.Y. Sept. 12, 1996), amended (N.D.N.Y. Sept. 21, 1996), summary judgment granted, 947 F. Supp. 599 (N.D.N.Y. 1996), decision on fees, 1997 WL 196047 (N.D.N.Y. Apr. 14, 1997).
46	(b) (5), (b) (7) (C), (b) (7) (D), as- surance of confidentiality, delibera- tive process, incorporation by ref- erence, waiver of exemption	Akron Standard Div. of Eagle-Picher Indus. v. Don- ovan, No. C83-320 (N.D. Ohio June 4, 1984), aff d in part & remanded, 780 F.2d 568 (6 <sup>th</sup> Cir. 1986), reh'g en banc denied, 788 F.2d 1223 (6 <sup>th</sup> Cir. 1986).
47	Jurisdiction	Akutowicz v. Dep't of State, No. 86-518 (D. Conn. Dec. 15, 1987), aff'd, 859 F.2d 1122 (2d Cir. 1988).
48	(b) (5), (b) (7) (A), adequacy of agency affidavit, attorney-client privilege, deliberative process, rea- sonably segregable	Alamo Aircraft Supply v. Weinberger, No. 85-1291, 1986 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 29010 (D.D.C. Feb. 21, 1986).
49	(b)(7)(A), (b)(7)(C), summary judgment	Alaska Pulp Corp. v. NLRB, No. 90-1510 (W.D. Wash. Nov. 4, 1991).
50	(b) (7), (b) (7) (A), (b) (7) (C), law enforcement purpose, reasonably segregable	Albin v. IRS, 44 A.F.T.R. 2d 79-5207 (D.D.C. 1979).
51	(b) (2), (b) (7) (C), (b) (7) (D), (b) (7) (E), (b) (7) (F), duty to search, law enforcement amend- ments (1986), reasonably segrega- ble, Vaughn Index	Albuquerque Publ'g Co. v. DOJ, 726 F. Supp. 851 (D.D.C. 1989).
52	(a)(1)(D), publication	Alcaraz v. Block, 746 F.2d 593 (9th Cir. 1984).
53	(b) (7) (C)	Aldridge v. Comm'r, No. 7:00-131, 2001 WL 196965 (N.D. Tex. Feb. 23, 2001), dismissed (N.D. Tex. Apr. 27, 2001).
54	(a)(1), (a)(1)(D), publication	Aleknagik Natives, Ltd. v. United States, 635 F. Supp. 1477 (D. Alaska 1985), aff'd, 806 F.2d 924 (9 <sup>th</sup> Cir. 1986).
55	(b) (3), Fed.R.Crim.P. 6(e), (b) (5), (b) (7) (C), (b) (7) (D), assurance of confidentiality, attorney work- product privilege, deliberative process, exceptional circumstances/ due diligence	Aleman v. Shapiro, No. 85-3313 (D.D.C. June 30, 1986), summary judgment granted (D.D.C. May 5, 1987).
56	(b) (6)	Alexander v. FDIC, No. C-96-2782 (N.D. Cal. Mar. 24, 1997).

57	Reverse FOIA, (b) (3), 18 U.S.C. §1905, (b) (4), (b) (5), (b) (7) (B), (b) (7) (C), deliberative process, law enforcement amendments (1986), voluntary submissions	Alexander & Alexander Servs. v. SEC, No. 92- 1112, 1993 WL 439799 (D.D.C. Oct. 18, 1993).
58	De novo review, discovery in FOIA litigation, exhaustion of adminis- trative remedies, exceptional cir- cumstances/due diligence, expe- dited processing, failure to meet time limits, preliminary injunction	Al-Fayed v. CIA, No. 00-2092 (D.D.C. Sept. 20, 2000), preliminary injunction denied (D.D.C. Dec. 11, 2000), stay granted (D.D.C. Jan. 16, 2001), aff'd, 254 F.3d 300 (D.C. Cir. 2001).
59	(b)(1), E.O. 11652, (b)(3), 50 U.S.C. §403, leaks	Alfred A. Knopf, Inc. v. Colby, 509 F.2d 1362 (4 <sup>th</sup> Cir. 1975), cert. denied, 421 U.S. 992 (1975).
60	(b) (5), attorney-client privilege, litigation authority, stay pending appeal, waiver of exemption	Algernon Blair Indus. Contractors v. TVA, 540 F. Supp. 551 (M.D. Ala. 1982), stay denied, No. 81- 733 (M.D. Ala. July 2, 1982), on in camera inspec- tion (M.D. Ala. Aug. 12, 1982), reconsideration de- nied (M.D. Ala. Dec. 14, 1982).
61	(b) (7) (A), (b) (7) (C), (b) (7) (D), assurance of confidentiality, "mo- saic"	Alirez v. NLRB, 2 GDS ¶81,121 (D. Colo. 1980), aff'd in part, rev'd in part, 676 F.2d 423 (10 <sup>th</sup> Cir. 1982).
62	(b) (2), (b) (7) (C), (b) (7) (D)	Allard v. Benner, 2 GDS ¶81,103 (D.D.C. 1981).
63	(b)(6), FOIA/PA interface	Allard v. HHS, No. 4:90-156 (W.D. Mich. Feb. 14, 1992), aff'd, No. 92-1303 (6 <sup>th</sup> Cir. Aug. 7, 1992) (unpublished order), 972 F.2d 346 (6 <sup>th</sup> Cir. 1992) (table cite).
64	(b) (2), (b) (7) (C), summary judg- ment	Allen v. BATF, No. 91-2640 (D.D.C. June 30, 1992), summary affirmance granted, No. 92-5312 (D.C. Cir. May 25, 1993).
65	(b) (1), E.O. 12065, (b) (2), (b) (3), 50 U.S.C. §403, adequacy of agen- cy affidavit, attorney's fees, discov- ery in FOIA litigation, in camera affidavit, in camera inspection	Allen v. CIA, 1 GDS ¶80,033 (D.D.C. 1980), aff'd in part, rev'd in part & remanded, 636 F.2d 1287 (D.C. Cir. 1980), on remand, 516 F. Supp. 653 (D. D.C. 1981), aff'd, No. 81-2020 (D.C. Cir. Mar. 16, 1982) (unpublished memorandum), 675 F.2d 1339 (D.C. Cir. 1982) (table cite), attorney's fees denied, 3 GDS ¶83,111 (D.D.C. 1983), aff'd (D.C. Cir. Mar. 1, 1984) (unpublished memorandum), 731 F.2d 995 (D.C. Cir. 1984) (table cite).
66	(b) (1), (b) (5), attorney-client priv- ilege, attorney work-product privi- lege, deliberative process, duty to search	Allen v. DOD, No. 80-0700 (D.D.C. Feb. 10, 1984).

67	(b) (1), E.O. 12356, (b) (3), 50 U.S.C. §403(d) (3), (b) (5), (b) (6), (b) (7) (C), (b) (7) (D), agency rec- ords, attorney's fees, Congressional records, disclosure to Congress, fee waiver, improper withholding, law enforcement amendments (1986), "mosaic," proper party defendant, Vaughn Index	Allen v. DOD, No. 81-2543 (D.D.C. Jan. 19, 1982), summary judgment denied, 580 F. Supp. 74 (D.D.C. 1983), partial summary judgment granted (D.D.C. Aug. 24, 1984), partial summary judgment granted, 658 F. Supp. 15 (D.D.C. 1986), interim attorney's fees granted, 713 F. Supp. 7 (D.D.C. 1989), subsequent decision (D.D.C. Oct. 10, 1989).
68	(b) (5), attorney's fees, Congres- sional records, exhaustion of ad- ministrative remedies, fee waiver	Allen v. FBI, 551 F. Supp. 694 (D.D.C. 1982), sub- sequent decision, 3 GDS ¶83,004 (D.D.C. 1982), interim attorney's fees denied, 716 F. Supp. 667 (D. D.C. 1988), second motion for interim attorney's fees granted, 749 F. Supp. 21 (D.D.C. 1990), on reconsideration, 751 F. Supp. 255 (D.D.C. 1990).
69	Duty to search, jurisdiction	Allen v. IRS, No. 85-1732 (D. Ariz. Nov. 4, 1986).
70	(a)(1)(D), publication	Alliance for Cannabis Therapeutics v. DEA, 15 F.3d 1131 (D.C. Cir. 1994).
71	Attorney's fees	Alliance for Responsible CFC Policy v. Costle, 631 F. Supp. 1469 (D.D.C. 1986).
72	(b)(6), summary judgment	Alliance for the Wild Rockies v. Dep't of the Inte- rior, 53 F. Supp. 2d 32 (D.D.C. 1999), remanded for further action in accordance with settlement, No. 99-5292 (D.C. Cir. Nov. 16, 1999).
73	Attorney's fees, mootness	Allied Sheet Metal Fabricators v. VA, No. C84- 1219 (W.D. Wash. Feb. 26, 1985).
74	(b) (5)	Allison v. Fed. Bureau of Prisons, No. 86-1861 (D. D.C. Oct. 17, 1986).
75	Mootness	Allison v. IRS, No. 96-142, 1997 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 6710 (D. Mont. Apr. 8, 1997), aff'd, No. 97-35434, 1997 WL 786247 (9 <sup>th</sup> Cir. Dec. 18, 1997) (unpub- lished memorandum), 132 F.3d 38 (9 <sup>th</sup> Cir. 1997) (table cite).
76	(a)(2), summary judgment	Allison v. IRS, No. 96-156, 1997 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 4727 (D. Mont. Mar. 27, 1997).
77	(b) (4), reasonably segregable, sum- mary judgment, Vaughn Index, voluntary submissions, waiver of exemption	Allnet Communication Servs. v. FCC, 800 F. Supp. 984 (D.D.C. 1992), aff'd, No. 92-5351 (D.C. Cir. May 27, 1994).
78	Attorney's fees, duty to search, res judicata	Allnut v. United States, No. 00-2571, 2001 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 7004 (D. Md. May 8, 2001).

79	(b) (3), 26 U.S.C. §6103(a), (b) (5), (b) (7), (b) (7) (C), (b) (7) (E), agen- cy records, attorney's fees, attorney work-product privilege, delibera- tive process, duty to search, ex- haustion of administrative reme- dies, fees (Reform Act), fee waiver (Reform Act), law enforcement purpose, res judicata	Allnutt v. DOJ, No. Y98-1722, 2000 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 4060 (D. Md. Mar. 6, 2000) (magistrate's recommendation), adopted with minor modifica- tions, 99 F. Supp. 2d 673 (D. Md. 2000) (Nos. Y98- 901, Y98-1722), renewed motion for summary judg- ment granted, 2000 WL 852455 (D. Md. Oct. 23, 2000), aff'd sub nom. Allnutt v. Handler, 8 Fed. Appx. 225 (4 <sup>th</sup> Cir. 2001).
80	(b) (3), Fed.R.Crim.P. 6(e), (b) (5), (b) (7) (C), reasonably segregable, summary judgment	Allnutt v. IRS, No. 97-1555, 2000 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 3973 (D.D.C. Feb. 29, 2000).
81	Summary judgment	Allnutt v. United States, No. 94-3491 (D. Md. Nov. 9, 1995).
82	(b) (6), agency records, duty to search	Allnutt v. United States Tr., No. 97-2414 (D.D.C. Aug. 2, 1999).
83	Exhaustion of administrative rem- edies, res judicata	Alman v. United States, No. 81-444 (M.D. Fla. Feb. 6, 1984), aff'd, 751 F.2d 1259 (11 <sup>th</sup> Cir. 1984).
84	(b) (2), (b) (5), (b) (7), (b) (7) (C), (b) (7) (D), (b) (7) (E), (b) (7) (F), attorney work-product privilege, deliberative process, duty to search, exhaustion of administrative rem- edies, law enforcement amend- ments (1986), law enforcement purpose	Almy v. DOJ, No. 90-362 (N.D. Ind. Apr. 13, 1995), subsequent order (N.D. Ind. June 2, 1995), aff'd, No. 96-1207, 1997 WL 267884 (7 <sup>th</sup> Cir. May 7, 1997) (unpublished order), 114 F.3d 1191 (7 <sup>th</sup> Cir. 1997) (table cite).
85	(b) (2), (b) (5), (b) (7) (C), (b) (7) (D), (b) (7) (E), (b) (7) (F), adequacy of agency affidavit, delib- erative process, improper withhold- ing, judicial records	Alvarez v. DOJ, 1 GDS ¶80,177 (D.D.C. 1980), subsequent decision, 1 GDS ¶80,198 (D.D.C. 1980).
86	(b) (2), (b) (7) (A), (b) (7) (C), (b) (7) (D), assurance of confidenti- ality	Alvarez v. Dep't of the Treasury, 1 GDS ¶80,176 (D.D.C. 1980).
87	Dismissal for failure to prosecute	Alvarez v. Executive Office of the United States At- torney, No. 80-1395 (D.D.C. Oct. 30, 1980).
88	(b) (7) (A), de novo review, law enforcement amendments (1986), summary judgment, Vaughn Index	Alyeska Pipeline Serv. Co. v. EPA, No. 86-2176, 1987 WL 17071 (D.D.C. Sept. 9, 1987), aff'd, 856 F.2d 309 (D.C. Cir. 1988).
89	(b)(1), E.O. 12356, summary judg- ment	Amadon v. FBI, No. C1-82-835 (S.D. Ohio July 21, 1983).
90	(b) (5), (b) (7) (A), (b) (7) (C), (b) (7) (D), injunction of agency proceeding pending resolution of FOIA claim, waiver of exemption	Amerace Corp. v. NLRB, 91 L.R.R.M. 2344 (W.D. Tenn. 1975), subsequent decision, 431 F. Supp. 453 (W.D. Tenn. 1976).
91	(b)(4), (b)(7), law enforcement purpose, promise of confidentiality	Am. Airlines v. Nat'l Mediation Bd., 453 F. Supp. 430 (S.D.N.Y. 1978), rev'd, 588 F.2d 863 (2d Cir. 1978).

92	(b) (5), (b) (7) (A), adequacy of agency affidavit, attorney's fees, attorney work-product privilege, burden of proof, injunction of agency proceeding pending res- olution of FOIA claim	Am. Ass'n of Founds. for Med. Care v. FTC, 1 GDS ¶80,227 (D.D.C. 1980), supplemental affidavits or- dered, 2 GDS ¶81,148 (D.D.C. 1981), remanded on attorney's fees, No. 81-2014 (D.C. Cir. Mar. 31, 1982) (unpublished memorandum), 675 F.2d 1339 (D.C. Cir. 1982) (table cite), motion for attorney's fees granted, No. 80-0896 (D.D.C. Oct. 26, 1983).
93	(b) (5), attorney work-product priv- ilege, deliberative process	Am. Aviation Research Ass'n v. Dep't of the Navy, No. 84-888 (W.D. Tex. June 14, 1985).
94	Attorney's fees	Am. Broad. Cos. v. Dep't of Labor, 1 GDS ¶79,232 (D.D.C. 1979).
95	(a)(1)(D), publication	Am. Broad. Cos. v. FCC, 682 F.2d 25 (2d Cir. 1982).
96	Discovery in FOIA litigation, Vaughn Index	Am. Broad. Cos. v. United States Info. Agency, 599 F. Supp. 765 (D.D.C. 1984), subsequent decision, No. 84-0536 (D.D.C. Mar. 11, 1985).
97	(b) (3), 42 U.S.C. §2000e-5(b), §2000e-8(e), summary judgment, Vaughn Index	Am. Centennial Ins. Co. v. EEOC, 722 F. Supp. 180 (D.N.J. 1989).
98	(b) (7) (D), (b) (7) (E), burden of proof, FOIA as a discovery tool	Am. Civil Liberties Union v. Brown, 609 F.2d 277 (7 <sup>th</sup> Cir. 1979), rev'd & remanded, 619 F.2d 1170 (7 <sup>th</sup> Cir. 1980) (en banc).
99	Reasonably segregable, Vaughn Index	Am. Civil Liberties Union Found. v. DOJ, 833 F. Supp. 399 (S.D.N.Y. 1993).
100	(b)(1), E.O. 12356, (b)(7), ade- quacy of agency affidavit, law en- forcement purpose	Am. Civil Liberties Union v. DOJ, No. C82-1946 (N.D. Ohio Dec. 6, 1983).
101	(b)(1), in camera inspection	Am. Civil Liberties Union v. DOJ, 548 F. Supp. 219 (D.D.C. 1982).
102	Attorney's fees, mootness	Am. Commercial Barge Line Co. v. NLRB, No. 83- 236-C (S.D. Ind. Apr. 30, 1986).
103	(b) (7) (A), attorney's fees, moot- ness, summary judgment	Am. Commercial Barge Lines Co. v. NLRB, No. C1-82-537 (S.D. Ohio July 6, 1983), attorney's fees awarded (S.D. Ohio Dec. 29, 1983), rev'd, 758 F.2d 1109 (6 <sup>th</sup> Cir. 1985).
104	Burden of proof, in camera inspec- tion	Am. Dental Ass'n v. FTC, 1980-81 Trade Cas. (CCH) ¶63,641 (N.D. Ill. 1980).
105	(b) (5), adequacy of request, delib- erative process, discovery/FOIA in- terface, exhaustion of administra- tive remedies, fees, fee waiver, per- sonal records	Am. Fed'n of Gov't Employees v. Dep't of Com- merce, 632 F. Supp. 1272 (D.D.C. 1986), aff'd, 907 F.2d 203 (D.C. Cir. 1990).
106	(b)(5), deliberative process, incorporation by reference	Am. Fed'n of Gov't Employees v. Dep't of Educ., 3 GDS ¶82,491 (D.D.C. 1982).
107	(a)(2)(A), (b)(5), incorporation by reference	Am. Fed'n of Gov't Employees v. Dep't of the Army, 441 F. Supp. 1308 (D.D.C. 1977).

108	(b)(6), FOIA/PA interface	Am. Fed'n of Gov't Employees v. FLRA, 786 F.2d 554 (2d Cir. 1986), reh'g en banc denied, No. 85- 4144 (2d Cir. June 4, 1986).
109	Agency	Am. Fed'n of Gov't Employees v. Gordon, No. 81- 1737 (D.D.C. Oct. 23, 1981).
110	(b)(5), deliberative process	Am. Fed'n of Gov't Employees v. HHS, 63 F. Supp. 2d 104 (D. Mass. 1999), aff'd, No. 99-2208, 2000 U.S. App. LEXIS 10993 (1 <sup>st</sup> Cir. May 18, 2000) (per curiam).
111	Attorney's fees	Am. Fed'n of Gov't Employees v. Rosen, 418 F. Supp. 205 (N.D. Ill. 1976).
112	(b)(6), agency records	Am. Fed'n of Gov't Employees v. United States, 712 F.2d 931 ( $4^{th}$ Cir. 1983).
113	(b)(6), FOIA/PA interface	Am. Fed'n of Gov't Employees v. VA, 1 GDS ¶80, 135 (D.D.C. 1980), on motion for summary judg- ment, 2 GDS ¶81,159 (D.D.C. 1981).
114	Attorney's fees	Am. Fed'n of Labor v. Ruckelshaus, No. 82-1195 (D.D.C. Jan. 16, 1984).
115	(b) (1), E.O. 12356, (b) (3), 10 U.S.C. §140c(a), "mosaic," reason- ably segregable, summary judgment	Am. Friends Serv. Comm. v. DOD, No. 83-4916, 1986 WL 10659 (E.D. Pa. Sept. 25, 1986), vacated & remanded, 831 F.2d 441 (3d Cir. 1987), on re- mand (E.D. Pa. Aug. 4, 1988), affd, 869 F.2d 587 (3d Cir. 1989).
116	(b)(5),(b)(7)(D), attorney work- product privilege, deliberative process	Am. Gen. Ins. Co. v. SEC, 1 GDS ¶80,080 (D.D.C. 1980).
117	(a)(1)(D), publication	Am. Inst. for Imported Steel v. United States, 600 F. Supp. 204 (Ct. Int'l Trade 1984).
118	(b) (1), E.O. 12065, (b) (3), 22 U.S.C. §286f, §3104	Am. Jewish Cong. v. Dep't of the Treasury, 549 F. Supp. 1270 (D.D.C. 1982), aff'd, 713 F.2d 864 (D.C. Cir. 1983), cert. denied, 464 U.S. 895 (1983).
119	(b)(3), 50 U.S.C. app. §2406(c), attorney's fees	Am. Jewish Cong. v. Morton, No. 75-1541 (D.D.C. Apr. 30, 1976), rev'd sub nom. Am. Jewish Cong. v. Kreps, 574 F.2d 624 (D.C. Cir. 1978), on motion for attorney's fees, 2 GDS ¶81,387 (D.D.C. 1981).
120	Discovery/FOIA interface, waiver of exemption	Am. Library Ass'n v. Faurer, No. 84-0481 (D.D.C. June 3, 1985), summary judgment granted on other grounds, 631 F. Supp. 416 (D.D.C. 1986).
121	Discovery/FOIA interface	Am. Lumber Corp. v. Nat'l R.R. Passenger Corp., 886 F.2d 50 (3d Cir. 1989).
122	(a) (2) (A), (b) (5), burden of proof, inter- or intra-agency memoranda, mootness	Am. Mail Line v. Gulick, 411 F.2d 696 (D.C. Cir. 1969).
123	(b)(5), deliberative process, reason- ably segregable	Am. Petroleum Inst. v. EPA, 846 F. Supp. 83 (D. D.C. 1994).

124	(b)(5), deliberative process, incorporation by reference	Am. Postal Workers Union v. Office of Special Counsel, No. 85-3691 (D.D.C. June 24, 1986).
125	Reverse FOIA, (b)(4)	Am. Scissors Corp. v. GSA, No. 83-1562, 1983 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 11712 (D.D.C. Nov. 15, 1983).
126	(b) (5), (b) (7) (E), deliberative process, incorporation by reference, law enforcement amendments (1986)	Am. Soc'y of Pension Actuaries v. IRS, 746 F. Supp. 188 (D.D.C. 1990).
127	(b)(4), (b)(5), deliberative process	Am. Soc'y of Pension Actuaries v. Pension Benefit Guar. Corp., No. 82-2806 (D.D.C. July 22, 1983).
128	(b) (5), deliberative process, inter- or intra-agency memoranda, Vaughn Index	Am. Soc'y of Pension Actuaries v. Pension Benefit Guar. Corp., 3 GDS ¶82,535 (D.D.C. 1982), sum- mary judgment granted, 3 GDS ¶83,182 (D.D.C. 1983).
129	(b)(5), deliberative process, incorporation by reference	Am. Whitewater Affiliation v. FERC, No. 86-1917, 1986 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 17067 (D.D.C. Dec. 2, 1986).
130	(b)(1), E.O. 12356, (b)(5), deliber- ative process	Americas Watch v. Dep't of State, No. 84-1601 (D. D.C. Jan. 9, 1985).
131	(b) (7) (A), FOIA as a discovery tool, injunction of agency proceed- ing pending resolution of FOIA claim	AMF Head Div. of AMF, Inc. v. NLRB, 91 L.R. R.M. 2420 (D. Colo. 1976), rev'd & remanded, 564 F.2d 374 (10 <sup>th</sup> Cir. 1977).
132	(b) (3), 15 U.S.C. §57b-2(f), (b) (5), attorney work-product privilege, deliberative process	A. Michael's Piano, Inc. v. FTC, No. 2:92-603 (D. Conn. Jan. 29, 1993), aff'd in part, vacated & remanded in part, 18 F.3d 138 (2d Cir. 1994), cert. denied, 513 U.S. 1015 (1994), on remand (D. Conn. Mar. 8, 1995).
133	Attorney's fees	Amis v. IRS, No. 81-5439 (11 <sup>th</sup> Cir. Mar. 30, 1982) (unpublished memorandum), 673 F.2d 1343 (11 <sup>th</sup> Cir. 1982) (table cite), cert. denied, 459 U.S. 905 (1982).
134	(b)(3), 26 U.S.C. §6103	Ammen v. IRS, 1 GDS ¶80,235 (W.D. La. 1980).
135	(b) (7), (b) (7) (A)	Amolsch & Madden, Inc. v. FTC, 591 F.2d 809 (D.C. Cir. 1978).
136	Privacy Act access, $(b)(2)$ , $(b)(3)$ , Fed.R.Crim.P. 6(e), $(b)(7)(C)$ , (b)(7)(F), exhaustion of adminis- trative remedies, in camera inspec- tion	Amro v. United States Customs Serv., 128 F. Supp. 2d 776 (E.D. Pa. 2001).
137	(b) (4), (b) (5), (b) (7) (A), (b) (7) (D), assurance of confidenti- ality, deliberative process, in cam- era inspection	Amway v. FTC, 76-1 Trade Cas. (CCH) ¶60,798 (D.D.C. 1976).
138	(b) (1), (b) (3), 50 U.S.C. §403(d) (3), (b) (6)	Anagnos v. CIA, No. 75-2451 (C.D. Cal. Aug. 9, 1977).

139	(b)(3), 26 U.S.C. §6103(e)(6)	Anastas v. United States, No. C78-2823 (N.D. Cal. May 17, 1979).
140	(b)(7), law enforcement purpose	Anchorage Bldg. Trades Council v. HUD, 384 F. Supp. 1236 (D. Alaska 1974).
141	Jurisdiction, proper party defendant	Anderson v. Bates, No. 92-2896 (D.D.C. June 8, 1993).
142	(a)(1)(D), (a)(2)(B), attorney's fees, publication	Anderson v. Butz, 428 F. Supp. 245 (E.D. Cal. 1975), aff'd, 550 F.2d 459 (9 <sup>th</sup> Cir. 1977), on mo- tion for attorney's fees, No. 5-75-401 (E.D. Cal. Jan. 15, 1980).
143	Attorney's fees, disciplinary pro- ceedings	Anderson v. Carlson, No. 84-2550 (D.D.C. Jan. 23, 1985).
144	(b) (1), (b) (3), 8 U.S.C. §1202(f), 49 U.S.C. §1357(d) (z), 50 U.S.C. §403-3(c) (6), (b) (5), (b) (7) (E), deliberative process, in camera inspection, reasonably segregable, Vaughn Index	Anderson v. CIA, No. 94-2032 (D.D.C. Mar. 31, 1999), subsequent opinion, 63 F. Supp. 2d 28 (D. D.C. 1999).
145	(b) (3), 18 U.S.C. $\$3153(c)(1)$ , \$4208, Fed.R.Crim.P. 6(e), (b) (5), (b) (7), (b) (7) (C), (b) (7) (D), assurance of confidentiality, attor- ney work-product privilege, delib- erative process, in camera inspec- tion	Anderson v. DOJ, No. 95-1880, 1999 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 5048 (D.D.C. Apr. 12, 1999).
146	Privacy Act access, (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(F), summary judgment	Anderson v. DOJ, No. 95-1888, 1999 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 4731 (D.D.C. Mar. 31, 1999).
147	Summary judgment	Anderson v. DOJ, No. 91-0352 (D.D.C. June 14, 1991).
148	(b) (7) (A), (b) (7) (C), (b) (7) (D), attorney's fees, waiver of exemption	Anderson v. Dep't of Labor, No. 87-1846 (D. Ariz. Mar. 7, 1989), attorney's fees awarded (D. Ariz. Apr. 5, 1990).
149	(b) (3), 26 U.S.C. §6103(c), (b) (7) (A), waiver of exemption	Anderson v. Dep't of Treasury, No. 98-1112 (W.D. Tenn. Mar. 24, 1999).
150	Privacy Act access, attorney's fees	Anderson v. Dep't of the Treasury, No. 76-1404 (D. D.C. July 19, 1977), attorney's fees awarded (D. D.C. Nov. 16, 1977), vacated & remanded, 648 F.2d 1 (D.C. Cir. 1979), supplemental opinion sub nom. Nat'l Treasury Employees Union v. Dep't of the Treasury, 656 F.2d 848 (D.C. Cir. 1981).
151	Adequacy of request, summary judgment	Anderson v. Doe, No. 92-20 (W.D. Pa. May 27, 1992) (magistrate's recommendation), adopted (W.D. Pa. June 16, 1992).
152	Fee waiver (Reform Act)	Anderson v. DEA, No. 93-253 (W.D. Pa. May 11, 1995) (magistrate's recommendation), adopted (W.D. Pa. June 21, 1995).

153	Privacy Act access, (b) (2), (b) (7) (C), (b) (7) (D), (b) (7) (E), (b) (7) (F), assurance of confidenti- ality, dismissal for failure to prose- cute, summary judgment	Anderson v. DEA, No. 92-225 (W.D. Pa. May 18, 1994) (magistrate's recommendation), adopted (W.D. Pa. June 27, 1994), appeal dismissed, No. 94-3387 (3d Cir. Sept. 12, 1994).
154	Attorney's fees, exceptional cir- cumstances/due diligence, pro se litigant	Anderson v. DEA, No. 82-2232 (D.D.C. Nov. 18, 1982).
155	(b) (3), 42 U.S.C. §2000e-5(b), §2000e-8(e), destruction of rec- ords, discovery in FOIA litigation, exhaustion of administrative rem- edies	Anderson v. EEOC, No. 83-2570 (D. Md. Nov. 14, 1983).
156	Dismissal for failure to prosecute	Anderson v. Fed. Bureau of Prisons, No. 86-0125 (D.D.C. July 29, 1986), summary judgment denied (D.D.C. Sept. 25, 1986), appeal dismissed, No. 86- 5651 (D.C. Cir. Apr. 14, 1987).
157	(b)(7)(D), FOIA/PA interface	Anderson v. Fed. Bureau of Prisons, No. 85-2028 (D.D.C. Feb. 10, 1986).
158	(b)(6), (b)(7)(C), duty to create a record, FOIA/PA interface, juris-diction	Anderson v. Fed. Bureau of Prisons, No. 85-2596 (D.D.C. Feb. 7, 1986).
159	Agency	Anderson v. Fed. Pub. Defender, No. 95-1485 (D. D.C. Mar. 28, 1996).
160	Reverse FOIA, (b) (3), 18 U.S.C. §1905, 21 U.S.C. §331(j), §360(c), (b) (4), attorney's fees, discovery in FOIA litigation, mootness	Anderson v. HHS, No. C84-861 (D. Utah Dec. 11, 1985), summary judgment granted (D. Utah Aug. 12, 1986), aff'd in part, rev'd in part & remanded, 907 F.2d 936 ( $10^{th}$ Cir. 1990), dismissed as moot (D. Utah June 29, 1992), aff'd, 3 F.3d 1383 ( $10^{th}$ Cir. 1993), attorney's fees granted in part (D. Utah Apr. 28, 1994), aff'd, 80 F.3d 1500 ( $10^{th}$ Cir. 1996).
161	Privacy Act access, (b)(3), 5 U.S.C. §552a(j)(2), FOIA/PA in- terface, Vaughn Index	Anderson v. Huff, 3 GDS ¶83,124 (D. Minn. 1982).
162	(b)(7)(C),(b)(7)(F),duty to search, law enforcement amend- ments (1986), summary judgment	Anderson v. United States Marshals Serv., 943 F. Supp. 37 (D.D.C. 1996).
163	(b)(5), attorney work-product priv- ilege	Anderson v. United States Parole Comm'n, 3 GDS ¶83,055 (D.D.C. 1983).
164	Privacy Act access, (b)(7)(A), (b)(7)(C), exhaustion of adminis- trative remedies	Anderson v. United States Postal Serv., 7 F. Supp. 2d 583 (E.D. Pa. 1998), aff'd, 187 F.3d 625 (3d Cir. 1999) (table cite).
165	(b)(7)(A)	Anderson Greenwood & Co. v. NLRB, 604 F.2d 322 ( $5^{th}$ Cir. 1979).
166	(b)(3), 50 U.S.C. §403-3(c)(5)	Andrade v. CIA, No. 95-1215, 1997 WL 527347 (D.D.C. Aug. 18, 1997).

167	Agency	Andrade v. United States Sentencing Comm'n, 989 F.2d 308 (9 <sup>th</sup> Cir. 1993).
168	Preliminary injunction	Andrade v. United States Sentencing Comm'n, No. 90-15970 (9 <sup>th</sup> Cir. Mar. 22, 1991) (unpublished memorandum), 928 F.2d 1136 (9 <sup>th</sup> Cir. 1991) (table cite).
169	(b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(D)	Andrejko v. Civiletti, No. 78-0207 (D.D.C. Jan. 12, 1979).
170	(b) (1), E.O. 12065, (b) (3), 50 U.S.C. §403(d) (3), §403g, ade- quacy of agency affidavit, in cam- era inspection, "mosaic"	Andres v. CIA, 2 GDS ¶82,089 (D.D.C. 1981), rev'd & remanded, No. 81-1780 (D.C. Cir. Feb. 17, 1982) (unpublished memorandum), 673 F.2d 550 (D.C. Cir. 1982) (table cite).
171	(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)	Andrews v. DOJ, 769 F. Supp. 314 (E.D. Mo. 1991).
172	(b) (6), discretionary release, FOIA/ PA interface	Andrews v. VA, 613 F. Supp. 1404 (D. Wyo. 1985), rev'd, 838 F.2d 418 (10 <sup>th</sup> Cir. 1988), cert. denied, 488 U.S. 817 (1988).
173	(b) (3), 26 U.S.C. §6103, displace- ment of FOIA, Vaughn Index	Anheuser-Busch, Inc. v. IRS, No. 78-1326 (D.D.C. Nov. 27, 1978), rev'd & remanded, No. 80-1883 (D.C. Cir. July 27, 1979), on remand, 493 F. Supp. 549 (D.D.C. 1980).
174	(b) (4), (b) (5), (b) (6), attorney- client privilege, attorney work- product privilege, deliberative process, reasonably segregable	Animal Legal Def. Fund v. Dep't of the Air Force, 44 F. Supp. 2d 295 (D.D.C. 1999).
175	Agency records	Animal Legal Def. Fund v. Sec'y of Agric., 813 F. Supp. 882 (D.D.C. 1993).
176	Summary judgment	Anselmo v. DOJ, No. 87-0886 (D.D.C. Feb. 29, 1988).
177	Privacy Act access, (b)(1), (b)(3), 50 U.S.C. §403, (b)(6), duty to search	Anthony v. CIA, 1 GDS ¶79,196 (E.D. Va. 1979).
178	Adequacy of request, exhaustion of administrative remedies	Antonelli v. Bureau of Prisons, No. 91-C-5776 (N.D. Ill. Oct. 31, 1991), subsequent decision (N.D. Ill. Mar. 30, 1993).
179	Adequacy of request	Antonelli v. Bureau of Prisons, No. 91-C-5776 (N.D. Ill. Oct. 31, 1991).
180	Dismissal for failure to prosecute	Antonelli v. Bureau of Prisons, No. 85-3786 (D. D.C. Apr. 18, 1986).
181	Pro se litigant	Antonelli v. DEA, No. 84-2483 (D.D.C. Sept. 16, 1985) (consolidated), reinstatement denied (D.D.C. Feb. 6, 1986) (consolidated), on appeal, No. 85-6118 (D.C. Cir. Feb. 20, 1986) (consolidated).
182	(b) (2), (b) (7) (A), (b) (7) (C), (b) (7) (E), (b) (7) (F), in camera in- spection, Vaughn Index	Antonelli v. DEA, 739 F.2d 302 (7 <sup>th</sup> Cir. 1984).

183	Mootness	Antonelli v. DEA, No. 83-C-5807 (N.D. Ill. Apr. 3, 1984), subsequent decision (N.D. Ill. June 8, 1984), appeal dismissed, No. 84-1955 (7 <sup>th</sup> Cir. Aug. 6, 1984).
184	Adequacy of request, exhaustion of administrative remedies	Antonelli v. Executive Office for United States At- torneys, No. 91-C-6092 (N.D. Ill. June 8, 1992), aff'd, No. 92-2416 (7 <sup>th</sup> Cir. June 6, 1994) (unpub- lished order), 25 F.3d 1053 (7 <sup>th</sup> Cir. 1994) (table cite).
185	Exceptional circumstances/due diligence, exhaustion of adminis- trative remedies, expedited proc- essing	Antonelli v. FBI, No. 84-1047 (D.D.C. June 27, 1984), subsequent decision (D.D.C. Sept. 12, 1984), reinstatement denied (D.D.C. Nov. 13, 1984).
186	(b) (6), (b) (7) (C), (b) (7) (D), duty to search, fee waiver, FOIA/PA in- terface, "Glomar" denial, stay pend- ing appeal, Vaughn Index	Antonelli v. FBI, 536 F. Supp. 568 (N.D. Ill. 1982), stay granted, 553 F. Supp. 19 (N.D. Ill. 1982), subsequent decision, No. 79-C-1432 (N.D. Ill. Sept. 14, 1982), rev'd, 721 F.2d 615 (7 <sup>th</sup> Cir. 1983), cert. denied, 467 U.S. 1210 (1984).
187	Dismissal for failure to prosecute	Antonelli v. HHS, No. 88-2075 (D.D.C. June 12, 1989).
188	Exhaustion of administrative rem- edies	Antonelli v. IRS, No. 85-0048 (W.D. Mo. Sept. 24, 1985).
189	Privacy Act access, (b) (3), 5 U.S.C. §552a(j) (2), (b) (7) (A), (b) (7) (D), FOIA/PA interface, "Glomar" denial, proper party de- fendant	Antonelli v. Mullen, No. 83-C-1001 (W.D. Wis. June 27, 1984).
190	(b)(5), (b)(7)(C), Vaughn Index	Antonelli v. Sullivan, 732 F.2d 560 (7 <sup>th</sup> Cir. 1984).
191	(b)(7)(A), duty to search, summary judgment	Antonelli v. United States Parole Comm'n, No. 93- 0109 (D.D.C. Feb. 23, 1996).
192	(b)(7)(A), attorney's fees	Antonsen v. DOJ, No. 82-008 (D. Alaska Mar. 20, 1984).
193	(a)(1), publication	Appalachian States Low-Level Radioactive Waste Comm'n v. O'Leary, 932 F. Supp. 646 (M.D. Pa. 1995), rev'd & remanded on other grounds, 93 F.3d 103 (3d Cir. 1996).
194	(b) (5), deliberative process, discovery in FOIA litigation, duty to search	Applegate v. NRC, 3 GDS ¶83,081 (D.D.C. 1983), reconsideration denied, No. 82-1829 (D.D.C. Feb. 14, 1983), summary judgment granted, 3 GDS ¶83, 201 (D.D.C. 1983).
195	No record within scope of request	Applewhite v. United States Postal Serv., No. 87-0012 (D.D.C. May 12, 1987).

196	Privacy Act access, (b) (2), (b) (3), 18 U.S.C. §2510, Fed.R.Crim.P. 6(e), (b) (7) (C), (b) (7) (D), (b) (7) (E), (b) (7) (F), dismissal for failure to prosecute, exhaustion of administrative remedies, fees (Re- form Act), reasonably segregable, summary judgment	Aquino v. DEA, No. 99-1031 (D.D.C. June 8, 2000).
197	(b) (1), E.O. 12958, (b) (3), 50 U.S.C. §403(d) (3), §403-3(c) (6), "Glomar" denial, summary judg- ment	Arabian Shield Dev. Co. v. CIA, No. 3-98-0624, 1999 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 2379 (N.D. Tex. Feb. 26, 1999), aff'd, No. 99-10327 (5 <sup>th</sup> Cir. Jan. 28, 2000) (per curiam) (unpublished memorandum), 208 F.3d 1007 (5 <sup>th</sup> Cir. 2000) (table cite), cert. denied, 531 U.S. 872 (2000).
198	(b) (1), E.O. 12958, (b) (3), 50 U.S.C. §403-3(c) (6), "Glomar" denial, summary judgment	Aranha v. CIA, No. 99CIV.8644, 2000 WL 1505988 (S.D.N.Y. Oct. 6, 2000).
199	(b) (1), (b) (2), (b) (7) (C), (b) (7) (D), adequacy of agency affi- davit, summary judgment	Aranha v. DOJ, No. 99CIV.2777, 2000 WL 1051908 (S.D.N.Y. July 31, 2000).
200	(b)(5), attorney's fees, deliberative process, disciplinary proceedings	Archer v. Cirrincione, 722 F. Supp. 1118 (S.D.N.Y. 1989).
201	(b)(2), (b)(5), attorney's fees, de- liberative process	Archer v. HHS, 710 F. Supp. 909 (S.D.N.Y. 1989).
202	(b) (2), (b) (7), (b) (7) (D), (b) (7) (F), assurance of confidenti- ality, law enforcement amendments (1986), law enforcement purpose, summary judgment	Arenberg v. DEA, No. 86-1326 (S.D. Fla. Sept. 30, 1987), aff'd, 849 F.2d 579 (11 <sup>th</sup> Cir. 1988).
203	Attorney's fees, status of plaintiff, venue	Arevalo-Franco v. INS, 889 F.2d 589 (5 <sup>th</sup> Cir. 1989), attorney's fees denied, 772 F. Supp. 959 (W.D. Tex. 1991).
204	(b) (2), (b) (5), (b) (7) (C), (b) (7) (D), (b) (7) (E), dismissal for failure to prosecute, proper party defendant	Arey v. Knight, No. 76-39 (D. Md. Oct. 5, 1977).
205	(b) (6), in camera affidavit, in cam- era inspection, "mosaic," reasonably segregable	Arieff v. Dep't of the Navy, 3 GDS ¶82,291 (D.D.C. 1982), rev'd & remanded, 712 F.2d 1462 (D.C. Cir. 1983).
206	Agency	Arkansas v. Schulze, Crim. No. 78-11 (E.D. Ark. Apr. 26, 1979).
207	(a)(1)(D)	Arlington Oil Mills, Inc. v. Knebel, 543 F.2d 1092 (5 <sup>th</sup> Cir. 1976), reh'g en banc denied, 545 F.2d 168 (5 <sup>th</sup> Cir. 1976).
208	(b) (2)	Armed Forces Relief & Benefit Ass'n v. DOD, No. 89-0689 (D.D.C. May 11, 1989).

209	(b) (1), E.O. 12356, (b) (3), 50 U.S.C. app. §2411(c), (b) (6), agency, agency records, discovery in FOIA litigation, duty to search, exceptional circumstances/due diligence, exhaustion of adminis- trative remedies, expedited proc- essing, in camera affidavit, in cam- era inspection, mootness, prelim- inary injunction, reasonably segre- gable, stay pending appeal, Vaughn Index	Armstrong v. Bush, 139 F.R.D. 547 (D.D.C. 1991), subsequent order sub nom. Armstrong v. Executive Office of the President, No. 89-0142 (D.D.C. May 22, 1992), injunction ordered sub nom. Armstrong v. Bush, 807 F. Supp. 816 (D.D.C. 1992), subse- quent opinion sub nom. Armstrong v. Executive Office of the President, 810 F. Supp. 335 (D.D.C. 1993), enforcement order, 821 F. Supp. 761 (D. D.C. 1993), subsequent order, 829 F. Supp. 1 (D. D.C. 1993), remanded, 1 F.3d 1274 (D.C. Cir. 1993), Vaughn Index ordered, 830 F. Supp. 19 (D.D.C. 1993), summary judgment granted, 877 F. Supp. 690 (D.D.C. 1995), stay denied, 877 F. Supp. 750 (D.D.C. 1995), in camera inspection ordered (D.D.C. July 28, 1995), subsequent order (D.D.C. Aug. 11, 1995), on in camera inspection, 897 F. Supp. 10 (D.D.C. 1995), rev'd, 90 F.3d 553 (D.C. Cir. 1996) (on "agency" issue), aff'd in part, rev'd in part, 97 F.3d 575 (D.C. Cir. 1996) (on "in camera inspection" issue), reh'g denied, No. 95-5057 (D.C. Cir. Nov. 6, 1996) (per curiam) (on "agency" issue), cert. denied, 520 U.S. 1239 (1997) (on "agency" issue).
210	(b)(5),(b)(7)(C),deliberative process	Armstrong v. Civil Div., DOJ, No. 78-1381 (D.D.C. Jan. 30, 1979).
211	Mootness	Armstrong v. Dep't of State, No. 78-1691 (D.D.C. Mar. 27, 1979).
212	Fees, fee waiver	Armstrong v. FBI, No. 78-1774 (D.D.C. Apr. 27, 1979).
213	(b) (2), summary judgment	Army Times Publ'g Co. v. Dep't of the Army, 684 F. Supp. 720 (D.D.C. 1988).
214	(b)(6), preliminary injunction, res judicata, summary judgment	Aronson v. HUD, No. 88-1188 (D. Mass. June 23, 1988), rev'd & remanded, 869 F.2d 646 (1 <sup>st</sup> Cir. 1989), summary judgment granted (D. Mass. Apr. 29, 1991).
215	(b)(6), attorney's fees	Aronson v. HUD, No. 86-0333 (D. Mass. Oct. 3, 1986), aff'd in part, rev'd in part, 822 F.2d 182 ( $1^{st}$ Cir. 1987), attorney's fees granted (D. Mass. Mar. 3, 1988), aff'd in part, rev'd in part & remanded, 866 F.2d 1 ( $1^{st}$ Cir. 1989), reh'g denied, No. 88-1524 ( $1^{st}$ Cir. Apr. 6, 1989).
216	(b) (3), 26 U.S.C. §6103(a), §6103(m) (1), (b) (6)	Aronson v. IRS, 767 F. Supp. 378 (D. Mass. 1991), aff'd in part, rev'd in part, 973 F.2d 962 (1 <sup>st</sup> Cir. 1992).
217	Jurisdiction	Arriaga v. West, No. 00-1171, 2000 WL 870867 (Vet. App. June 21, 2000).
218	Summary judgment	Arrow Line, Inc. v. Skinner, No. 90-0020 (D.D.C. July 11, 1990).

219	Reverse FOIA, (b)(4), agency rec- ords, attorney-client privilege, bur- den of proof, waiver of exemption	Artesian Indus. v. HHS, 646 F. Supp. 1004 (D.D.C. 1986).
220	(a) (2), (b) (3), 26 U.S.C. §6103, (b) (5), attorney's fees, deliberative process	Arthur Andersen & Co. v. IRS, 514 F. Supp. 1173 (D.D.C. 1981), reconsideration denied, 2 GDS ¶81, 237 (D.D.C. 1981), on motion for attorney's fees, 2 GDS ¶82,178 (D.D.C. 1982), rev'd & remanded, 679 F.2d 254 (D.C. Cir. 1982).
221	(b) (5), (b) (7), (b) (7) (A), (b) (7) (C), (b) (7) (D), assurance of confidentiality, Vaughn Index	Art Metal-USA, Inc. v. GSA, 1 GDS ¶80,068 (D. D.C. 1980).
222	(b) (7) (C)	Art Metal-USA, Inc. v. IRS, No. 80-1776 (D.D.C. Sept. 11, 1981).
223	(b) (5), attorney-client privilege, deliberative process, discretionary release, waiver of exemption	Art Metal-USA, Inc. v. OMB, 2 GDS ¶81,173 (D. D.C. 1981).
224	Publication	Aschenbach v. United States, 599 F. Supp. 588 (D. Conn. 1984).
225	(b) (5), (b) (7), in camera inspec- tion, Vaughn Index	Ash Grove Cement Co. v. FTC, 371 F. Supp. 370 (D.D.C. 1973), remanded, 511 F.2d 815 (D.C. Cir. 1975).
226	Congressional subpoena, disclosure to Congress, discretionary release	Ashland Oil, Inc. v. FTC, 409 F. Supp. 297 (D.D.C. 1976), aff'd, 548 F.2d 977 (D.C. Cir. 1976).
227	(b) (5), adequacy of agency affida- vit, deliberative process, reasonably segregable	Ashley v. Dep't of Labor, 589 F. Supp. 901 (D.D.C. 1983).
228	Adequacy of agency affidavit, Vaughn Index	Ashton v. Kurtz, 1 GDS ¶80,146 (D.D.C. 1980).
229	Privacy Act access, (b) (2), (b) (3), Fed.R.Crim.P. 6(e), (b) (5), (b) (6), (b) (7) (C), (b) (7) (F), in camera inspection, Vaughn Index	Ashton v. VA, No. 94-3793 (E.D.N.Y. Nov. 24, 1998), aff'd, No. 99-6018, 1999 WL 753331 (2d Cir. Sept. 3, 1999) (unpublished memorandum), 198 F.3d 233 (2d Cir. 1999) (table cite).
230	(b)(1), E.O. 11652	Aspin v. DOD, 453 F. Supp. 520 (E.D. Wis. 1978).
231	(b)(5), (b)(7), law enforcement purpose	Aspin v. DOD, 348 F. Supp. 1081 (D.D.C. 1972), aff'd, 491 F.2d 24 (D.C. Cir. 1973).
232	(b) (1), E.O. 12958, (b) (3), 50 U.S.C. §403-3(c) (6), reasonably segregable, waiver of exemption	Assassination Archives & Research Ctr. v. CIA, 177 F. Supp. 2d 1 (D.D.C. 2001).
233	(b) (1), E.O. 12356, (b) (7), (b) (7) (C), law enforcement pur- pose	Assassination Archives & Research Ctr. v. CIA, 903 F. Supp. 131 (D.D.C. 1995), reconsideration denied, No. 94-0655 (D.D.C. Nov. 27, 1995).

ch Ctr. v. DOJ, XIS 5569 (D.D.C. enied, 828 F. 3 F.3d 1542 (D.C.
nerce, No. S91- ay denied, No. 91- ay granted, 501 <sup>th</sup> Cir. Jan. 31, 1, 797 F. Supp. F.2d 916 (9 <sup>th</sup> Cir. D. Cal. May 27,
s, Inc. v. NLRB, 1, 2001).
C, 2 GDS ¶82,044
EOC, 419 F. Supp. sues decided, 454 d, 607 F.2d 1075 on other grounds,
LRB, 455 F. Supp.
PA, 488 F. Supp.
3A, 1 GDS ¶79,
803 (D.N.J. Dec.

- 18 -

244	(b)(4), (b)(6), discovery/FOIA in- terface	Ass'n for Women in Science v. Califano, 566 F.2d 339 (D.C. Cir. 1977).
245	(b)(3), 26 U.S.C. §6103, §7213	Ass'n of Am. R.Rs. v. United States, 371 F. Supp. 114 (D.D.C. 1974).
246	Agency, exhaustion of administra- tive remedies	Ass'n of Cmty. Orgs. for Reform Now v. Barclay, No. 3-89-0409 (N.D. Tex. June 9, 1989).
247	(b)(5), (b)(6), deliberative process, proper party defendant	Ass'n of Nat'l Advertisers v. FTC, 1976-1 Trade Cas. (CCH) ¶60,835 (D.D.C. 1976), on motion for stay of discovery, 1976-2 Trade Cas. (CCH) ¶61, 021 (D.D.C. 1976).
248	(a) (2), (b) (5), (b) (6), (b) (7) (A), deliberative process, in camera in- spection, Vaughn Index	Ass'n of Nat'l Advertisers v. FTC, 1976-2 Trade Cas. (CCH) ¶61,112 (D.D.C. 1976).
249	(b)(3), 45 U.S.C. §362(d), de novo review	Ass'n of Retired R.R. Workers v. United States R.R. Ret. Bd., 618 F. Supp. 1130 (D.D.C. 1985), aff'd, 830 F.2d 331 (D.C. Cir. 1987), reh'g en banc de- nied, No. 85-5995 (D.C. Cir. Dec. 10, 1987).
250	(b) (6)	Ass'n of Village Council Presidents v. Pierce, No. 82-111 (D. Alaska Oct. 12, 1982).
251	(b) (3), Fed.R.Crim.P. 6(e), (b) (5), (b) (7), (b) (7) (C), (b) (7) (D), (b) (7) (E), adequacy of request, as- surance of confidentiality, attorney work-product privilege, delibera- tive process, law enforcement amendments (1986), law enforce- ment purpose, proper party de- fendant, waiver of exemption	Astley v. Lawson, No. 89-2806, 1991 WL 7162 (D. D.C. Jan. 11, 1991), subsequent order (D.D.C. Mar. 14, 1991).
252	(a) (2), (b) (5)	Astro Communications Lab. v. Renegotiation Bd., No. 70-2403 (D.D.C. May 16, 1974).
253	Reverse FOIA, (b)(3), 18 U.S.C. §1905, (b)(4)	AT&T Info. Sys. v. GSA, 627 F. Supp. 1396 (D. D.C. 1986), rev'd & remanded on procedural grounds, 810 F.2d 1233 (D.C. Cir. 1987).
254	Agency, exhaustion of administra- tive remedies	Atamian v. Delmarva Found. for Med. Care, Inc., No. 93-1173 (D. Md. Sept. 21, 1993).
255	Exhaustion of administrative rem- edies, fees (Reform Act)	Atkin v. EEOC, No. 92-5522 (D.N.J. Jan. 24, 1994).
256	Dismissal for failure to prosecute, exhaustion of administrative rem- edies, fees (Reform Act), jurisdic- tion	Atkin v. EEOC, No. 92-3275 (D.N.J. June 24, 1993), appeal dismissed, No. 93-5457 (3d Cir. Dec. 6, 1993).
257	Transfer of FOIA case	Atkin v. EEOC, Nos. 92-1061, 92-1062 (D.D.C. July 7, 1992).

258	<ul> <li>(b) (5), (b) (6), (b) (7), (b) (7) (A),</li> <li>(b) (7) (C), deliberative process,</li> <li>dismissal for failure to prosecute,</li> <li>duty to search, exhaustion of administrative remedies, fees (Reform Act), fee waiver (Reform Act), law</li> <li>enforcement amendments (1986),</li> <li>law enforcement purpose, summary</li> <li>judgment, Vaughn Index</li> </ul>	Atkin v. EEOC, No. 91-2508 (D.N.J. Dec. 4, 1992), subsequent order (D.N.J. June 24, 1993), partial summary judgment granted (D.N.J. July 14, 1993), appeal dismissed sub nom. Atkin v. Kemp, No. 93- 5548 (3d Cir. Dec. 6, 1993).
259	(b) (2), (b) (7), (b) (7) (C), (b) (7) (D), (b) (7) (E), (b) (7) (F), in camera inspection, law enforce- ment amendments (1986), law en- forcement purpose, summary judg- ment, waiver of exemption	Atkins v. DOJ, No. 88-0842 (D.D.C. Feb. 26, 1990), aff'd as moot, No. 90-5095 (D.C. Cir. Sept. 18, 1991) (unpublished order), 946 F.2d 1563 (D.C. Cir. 1991) (table cite).
260	(b)(5), (b)(7)(C), attorney work- product privilege	Atkinson v. DOJ, No. 91-0856 (E.D. Va. Apr. 14, 1992).
261	Fee waiver	Atkinson v. DOJ, 2 GDS ¶81,274 (D.D.C. 1981).
262	(b)(6), (b)(7)(C), (b)(8)	Atkinson v. FDIC, 1 GDS ¶80,034 (D.D.C. 1980).
263	(b)(4), (b)(5), deliberative process, reasonably segregable	Atkinson v. SEC, No. 83-2030 (D.D.C. Oct. 20, 1983).
264	(b) (3), Fed.R.Crim.P. 6(e), (b) (7) (C), (b) (7) (D), waiver of ex- emption	Atlanta Nat'l Real Estate Trust v. DOJ, No. 79- 1461A (N.D. Ga. Dec. 8, 1980), subsequent deci- sion (N.D. Ga. Mar. 24, 1981).
265	Reverse FOIA, (b)(4), preliminary injunction	Atlantis Submarines Haw., Inc. v. United States Coast Guard, No. 93-00986 (D. Haw. Jan. 28, 1994).
266	(b) (5), (b) (7) (A), deliberative process, FOIA as a discovery tool, injunction of agency proceeding pending resolution of FOIA claim	Atlas Indus. v. NLRB, 91 L.R.R.M. 2676 (N.D. Ohio 1976).
267	(b) (7) (A), (b) (7) (C), (b) (7) (D), FOIA as a discovery tool, prelimin- ary injunction	Au & Son v. NLRB, 405 F. Supp. 1200 (W.D. Pa. 1975), aff'd, 538 F.2d 80 (3d Cir. 1976).
268	Agency records, attorney's fees, duty to search, failure to meet time limits, no record within scope of re- quest, summary judgment	Auchterlonie v. Hodel, No. 83-C-6724, 1984 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 16911 (N.D. Ill. May 7, 1984).
269	(b)(4), (b)(5), waiver of exemption	Audio Technical Servs. v. Dep't of the Army, 487 F. Supp. 779 (D.D.C. 1979), appeal dismissed by stip- ulation, No. 79-2505 (D.C. Cir. Apr. 10, 1980).
270	(a) (2) (B), (b) (2), (b) (3), 45 U.S.C. §601, (b) (4), (b) (5), (b) (6), (b) (7), agency, attorney's fees	Aug v. Nat'l R.R. Passenger Corp., 425 F. Supp. 946 (D.D.C. 1976), order for attorney's fees clarified, No. 74-1054 (D.D.C. Feb. 10, 1978).
271	Privacy Act access, (b)(3), Fed.R. Crim.P. 6(e), agency records	Augarten v. Dep't of the Treasury, No. 93-2293, 1995 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 7320 (D.D.C. May 22, 1995).

272	Privacy Act access, (b) (2), (b) (7) (C), (b) (7) (D), (b) (7) (F), assurance of confidentiality, sum- mary judgment	Augarten v. DEA, No. 93-2192, 1995 WL 350797 (D.D.C. May 22, 1995).
273	(b) (7), (b) (7) (A), (b) (7) (C), law enforcement purpose	August v. FBI, No. 97-0769 (D.D.C. June 22, 1998), remanded, No. 98-5340 (D.C. Cir. Mar. 2, 1999), on motion to govern future proceedings (D.C. Cir. Jan. 23, 2002).
274	Exhaustion of administrative rem- edies	Augustyniak v. Wintrode, No. 1:90-03346 (N.D. Ill. July 17, 1991).
275	(a)(1)	Aulenback, Inc. v. Fed. Highway Admin., 103 F.3d 156 (D.C. Cir. 1997).
276	<ul> <li>(b) (3), 26 U.S.C. §6103, (b) (5),</li> <li>(b) (7), (b) (7) (C), (b) (7) (D),</li> <li>(b) (7) (F), assurance of confidentiality, duty to search, law enforcement amendments (1986), law enforcement purpose, Vaughn Index</li> </ul>	Author Servs. v. IRS, No. 90-2187 (C.D. Cal. Nov. 14, 1991), summary judgment granted (C.D. Cal. July 29, 1992).
277	Injunction of agency proceeding pending resolution of FOIA claim	Auto. Club v. NLRB, 71 Lab. Cas. (CCH) ¶13,672 (D.D.C. 1973).
278	(b) (5), (b) (7), (b) (7) (A), attorney work-product privilege, waiver of exemption	Auto. Imps., Inc. v. FTC, 3 GDS ¶82,488 (D.D.C. 1982).
279	(a) (2), (a) (6) (A), (b) (1), (b) (3), 49 U.S.C. §1461, (b) (5), prompt disclosure	Aviation Consumer Action Project v. CAB, 412 F. Supp. 1028 (D.D.C. 1976), on motion to compel, 418 F. Supp. 634 (D.D.C. 1976).
280	(b)(5), inter- or intra-agency mem- oranda, waiver of exemption	Aviation Consumer Action Project v. Washburn, 535 F.2d 101 (D.C. Cir. 1976).
281	Mootness	Aviation Data Serv. v. FAA, No. 79-554 (W.D. Okla. July 9, 1979).
282	Privacy Act access, (b) (6), attor- ney's fees, disciplinary proceedings, duty to disclose, FOIA/PA inter- face, mootness, proper party defen- dant, summary judgment	Aviation Data Serv. v. FAA, No. 79-1079 (D. Kan. June 14, 1979), dismissed as moot, 2 GDS ¶81,113 (D. Kan. 1979), on motion for attorney's fees, 2 GDS ¶81,282 (D. Kan. 1981), rev'd & remanded, 687 F.2d 1319 (10 <sup>th</sup> Cir. 1982).
283	Exceptional circumstances/due dil- igence, exhaustion of administra- tive remedies, no record within scope of request, summary judg- ment	Avitia v. DEA, No. 90-0894 (D.D.C. Nov. 8, 1990).
284	Fees, Vaughn Index	Avondale Indus. v. NLRB, No. 96-1227 (E.D. La. Mar. 20, 1998).
285	(b)(6), (b)(7), law enforcement purpose	Avondale Indus. v. NLRB, No. 94-2173 (E.D. La. Dec. 15, 1994) (bench order), rev'd & remanded, 90 F.3d 955 (5 <sup>th</sup> Cir. 1996).

286	Privacy Act access, (b)(3), sum- mary judgment	Ayers v. IRS, No. 84-472 (D. Ariz. June 30, 1985).
287	Exhaustion of administrative rem- edies	Ayers v. NASA, No. 98-1815 (D.D.C. Feb. 22, 1999).
288	(b) (3), 8 U.S.C. §1202(f), (b) (5), (b) (6), (b) (7) (C), (b) (7) (D), (b) (7) (E), assurance of confidenti- ality, deliberative process	Ayoub v. Dep't of State, No. 76-2309 (D.D.C. June 30, 1978).
289	(b) (3), 26 U.S.C. §6103, §7213, discovery/FOIA interface	B&C Tire Co. v. IRS, 376 F. Supp. 708 (N.D. Ala. 1974).
290	Reverse FOIA, (b)(4), (b)(6)	Babcock v. Butz, No. 75-0205 (D. Vt. Feb. 22, 1977).
291	(b) (3), 42 U.S.C. §2000e-5(b), §2000e-8(e)	Babcock & Wilcox Co. v. EEOC, No. 82-C-316 (E.D. Wis. Mar. 11, 1983).
292	Reverse FOIA, (b)(3), 18 U.S.C. §1905, jurisdiction, summary judg- ment	Babcock & Wilcox Co. v. Rumsfeld, 70 F.R.D. 595 (N.D. Ohio 1976).
293	(b)(3), 50 U.S.C. §403(d)(3), "Glomar" denial, proper party de- fendant	Bachrack v. CIA, No. 75-3727 (C.D. Cal. May 13, 1976).
294	Agency, proper party defendant	Bacin v. Smith, No. 78-4828 (C.D. Cal. May 11, 1979).
295	(b) (1), E.O. 12356, (b) (2), (b) (3), 8 U.S.C. §1202(f), (b) (5), (b) (7) (C), (b) (7) (D), (b) (7) (F), at- torney work-product privilege, law enforcement amendments (1986)	Badalamenti v. Dep't of State, 899 F. Supp. 542 (D. Kan. 1995).
296	(b) (2), (b) (4), (b) (5), attorney's fees, deliberative process, destruc- tion of records, discovery/FOIA interface, fee waiver, mootness, reasonably segregable, waiver of exemption	Badhwar v. Dep't of the Air Force, 615 F. Supp. 698 (D.D.C. 1985), subsequent decision, 622 F. Supp. 1364 (D.D.C. 1985), stay granted, No. 84-0154 (D. D.C. Dec. 13, 1985), summary judgment granted in part, 629 F. Supp. 478 (D.D.C. 1986), interim at- torney's fees awarded (D.D.C. Dec. 11, 1986), aff'd in part, vacated & remanded in part, 829 F.2d 182 (D.C. Cir. 1987).
297	(b)(5), (b)(7)(A), attorney-client privilege, deliberative process	Badran v. DOJ, 652 F. Supp. 1437 (N.D. Ill. 1987).
298	(b) (1), (b) (3), 50 U.S.C. §403, (b) (6), (b) (7) (C), attorney's fees	Baez v. CIA, No. 76-1920 (D.D.C. Nov. 3, 1977), on motion for attorney's fees (D.D.C. July 31, 1979), aff'd, No. 79-2046 (D.C. Cir. Oct. 23, 1980).

299	(b) (1), E.O. 11652, E.O. 12065, (b) (3), 50 U.S.C. §403g, (b) (7) (C), (b) (7) (D), attorney's fees, belated classification, Fed.R. App.P. 39(a)	Baez v. DOJ, No. 76-1922 (D.D.C. July 5, 1979), aff'd, 647 F.2d 1328 (D.C. Cir. 1980), on motion for costs, No. 79-1881 (D.C. Cir. May 7, 1981), vaca- ted on panel reh'g, 2 GDS ¶81,223 (D.C. Cir. 1981), panel opinion vacated pending reh'g en banc (D.C. Cir. Aug. 18, 1981), costs granted, 684 F.2d 999 (D.C. Cir. 1982) (en banc).
300	(b)(1), E.O. 11652, (b)(3), 50 U.S.C. §403, "mosaic," Vaughn Index	Baez v. NSA, No. 76-1921 (D.D.C. Nov. 2, 1978), modified, 1 GDS ¶80,172 (D.D.C. 1980).
301	Attorney's fees	Bahta v. Nelson, No. 85-325 (S.D. Tex. Nov. 27, 1985).
302	(b) (5), (b) (7) (A), attorney work- product privilege, FOIA as a dis- covery tool	Bailey v. FTC, No. 93-127 (E.D. Ky. July 22, 1994).
303	Agency records	Baizer v. Dep't of the Air Force, 887 F. Supp. 225 (N.D. Cal. 1995).
304	(b) (3), 50 U.S.C. §403, in camera inspection, reasonably segregable	Baker v. CIA, 425 F. Supp. 633 (D.D.C. 1977), aff'd, 580 F.2d 664 (D.C. Cir. 1978).
305	Exceptional circumstances/due dil- igence, expedited processing, Vaughn Index	Baker v. DOJ, 2 GDS ¶81,019 (D.D.C. 1980), dis- missed, 3 GDS ¶83,276 (D.D.C. 1983).
306	<ul> <li>(b) (3), 26 U.S.C. §6103(b) (2),</li> <li>Fed.R.Crim.P. 6(e), (b) (5),</li> <li>(b) (7) (C), adequacy of agency affidavit, deliberative process, reasonably segregable</li> </ul>	Baker v. DOJ, No. 80-0654 (D.D.C. July 8, 1981).
307	Exhaustion of administrative rem- edies, jurisdiction	Baker v. IRS, No. S-00-1046, 2000 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 13916 (E.D. Cal. Sept. 1, 2000).
308	Attorney's fees, mootness	Baker v. IRS, No. 90-1531 (D. Md. Oct. 9, 1990).
309	(b) (3), 13 U.S.C. §9, FOIA as a discovery tool	Baldrige v. Shapiro, 455 U.S. 345 (1982).
310	(b) (2), (b) (7) (C), (b) (7) (D), (b) (7) (F)	Baldwin v. FBI, No. C77-343 (N.D. Cal. Apr. 26, 1979).
311	(b) (3), Fed.R.Crim.P. 6(e), (b) (7) (A), (b) (7) (C), (b) (7) (D), attorney's fees	Baldwin v. Finney, No. 75-1221 (D.D.C. Dec. 20, 1976), rev'd & remanded sub nom. Baldwin v. Baker, 672 F.2d 892 (D.C. Cir. 1977), on remand (D. D.C. Aug. 25, 1978), on motion for attorney's fees (D.D.C. Feb. 25, 1982).
312	(b) (4), (b) (5), (b) (6), (b) (7) (C), adequacy of agency affidavit, at- torney work-product privilege, de- liberative process, waiver of exemp- tion (failure to assert in litigation)	Ballantyne v. Dep't of Labor, No. 84-125 (D. Alaska Apr. 19, 1984).
313	(b) (2), (b) (6), (b) (7), (b) (7) (C), law enforcement purpose	Baltimore Sun v. United States Marshals Serv., 131 F. Supp. 2d 725 (D. Md. 2001).

314	(b)(7)(C), attorney's fees, summary judgment	Baltimore Sun Co. v. United States Customs Serv., No. 97-1991 (D. Md. Nov. 21, 1997).
315	(b)(4), (b)(5), attorney's fees, in- ter- or intra-agency memoranda, summary judgment	Bangor Hydro-Elec. Co. v. Dep't of the Interior, No. 94-0173 (D. Me. Apr. 17, 1995), attorney's fees denied, 903 F. Supp. 169 (D. Me. 1995).
316	(b) (5), discovery/FOIA interface	Bank of Am. v. United States, 42 A.F.T.R. 2d 78- 5223 (N.D. Cal. 1978).
317	FOIA as a discovery tool, injunc- tion of agency proceeding pending resolution of FOIA claim, jurisdic- tion	Bannercraft Clothing Co. v. Renegotiation Bd., 466 F.2d 345 (D.C. Cir. 1972), rev'd, 415 U.S. 1 (1974).
318	(b) (5), (b) (7) (A), (b) (7) (C), (b) (7) (D), assurance of confiden- tiality, injunction of agency pro- ceeding pending resolution of FOIA claim	Baptist Mem. Hosp. v. NLRB, 92 L.R.R.M. 2645 (W.D. Tenn. 1976), aff'd, 568 F.2d 1 (6 <sup>th</sup> Cir. 1977).
319	Privacy Act access, (b)(5), (b)(7)(A), attorney work-product privilege, FOIA/PA interface, law enforcement amendments (1986)	Barber v. INS, No. C90-0067 (W.D. Wash. May 15, 1990).
320	(b)(3), 26 U.S.C. §6103, Vaughn Index	Barber v. IRS, 47 A.F.T.R. 2d 81-1126 (N.D. Ala. 1981).
321	(b) (7) (D)	Barham v. DOJ, No. 84-1573 (W.D. La. Nov. 7, 1984), aff'd, No. 84-4822 (5 <sup>th</sup> Cir. June 14, 1985) (unpublished memorandum), 765 F.2d 1118 (5 <sup>th</sup> Cir. 1985) (table cite).
322	(b) (2), (b) (5), (b) (7) (C), (b) (7) (D), (b) (7) (E), (b) (7) (F)	Barham v. United States Secret Serv., No. 82-2130 (W.D. Tenn. Sept. 13, 1982).
323	(b) (2), (b) (3), Fed.R.Crim.P. 6(e), (b) (7), (b) (7) (A), (b) (7) (C), (b) (7) (D), (b) (7) (E), (b) (7) (F), law enforcement amendments (1986), law enforcement purpose, summary judgment	Barkett v. DOJ, No. 86-2029 (D.D.C. July 18, 1989).
324	(b)(5), inter- or intra-agency mem- oranda	Barkett v. DOJ, No. 86-1716 (D.D.C. Mar. 12, 1987).
325	(b) (3), 26 U.S.C. §6103(a), (b) (5), (b) (7) (D), assurance of confidenti- ality, attorney-client privilege, at- torney work-product privilege, de- liberative process, summary judg- ment	Barmes v. IRS, 60 F. Supp. 2d 896 (S.D. Ind. 1998).
326	(b) (3), 26 U.S.C. §6103, (b) (7), (b) (7) (D), in camera inspection	Barnard v. IRS, 2 GDS ¶81,214 (S.D. Fla. 1981).
327	(b) (7)	Barnes & Noble Bookstores v. NLRB, 92 L.R.R.M. 2169 (S.D.N.Y. 1976).

328	(b) (7) (A), adequacy of agency af- fidavit, FOIA as a discovery tool, in camera inspection	Barney v. IRS, No. 78-5100 (D.S.D. 1979), aff'd, 618 F.2d 1268 (8 <sup>th</sup> Cir. 1980).
329	(b) (3), 26 U.S.C. §6103, (b) (7)	Barney v. Kurtz, No. 77-5031 (D.S.D. Jan. 12, 1978).
330	Attorney's fees	Barrett v. DOJ, No. 3:95-264 (S.D. Miss. Mar. 17, 1997), aff'd sub nom. Barrett v. United States, No. 97-60223 (5 <sup>th</sup> Cir. Nov. 20, 1997) (per curiam).
331	(b) (7) (C), (b) (7) (D), in camera inspection, "mosaic"	Barrett v. FBI, 3 GDS ¶82,534 (W.D. Okla. 1982).
332	(b) (2), (b) (5), (b) (7) (C), (b) (7) (D), assurance of confidenti- ality, deliberative process, FOIA as a discovery tool, in camera inspec- tion	Barrett v. OSHA, No. C2-90-147 (S.D. Ohio Oct. 18, 1990).
333	Attorney's fees, disciplinary pro- ceedings, fees	Barrett v. United States Customs Serv., No. 77- 3033 (E.D. La. Feb. 22, 1979) (consolidated).
334	Transfer of FOIA case	Barrier v. Carlson, 2 GDS ¶81,058 (D.D.C. 1980).
335	Attorney's fees, mootness	Barrier v. DOJ, No. 99-0596 (S.D. Fla. Aug. 30, 1999).
336	Exhaustion of administrative rem- edies, Vaughn Index	Barrier v. DOJ, 2 GDS ¶82,062 (D.D.C. 1981), sub- sequent order, No. 81-1947 (D.D.C. Feb. 26, 1982), dismissed, 3 GDS ¶82,259 (D.D.C. 1982).
337	Reverse FOIA, (b) (3), 18 U.S.C. §1905, (b) (4), (b) (6)	Bartholdi Cable Co. v. FCC, 114 F.3d 274 (D.C. Cir. 1997).
338	Jurisdiction	Bartlett v. DOJ, 867 F. Supp. 314 (E.D. Pa. 1994).
339	(b) (2), (b) (3), Fed.R.Crim.P. 6(e), (b) (5), (b) (7) (C), (b) (7) (F), attor- ney work-product privilege, delib- erative process, exhaustion of ad- ministrative remedies, reasonably segregable, summary judgment	Bartolotta v. FBI, No. 99-1145 (D.D.C. July 13, 2000).
340	(b) (6), failure to meet time limits, FOIA as a discovery tool	Barvick v. Cisneros, 941 F. Supp. 1015 (D. Kan. 1996).
341	(b) (2), (b) (3), (b) (5), (b) (6), (b) (7) (C), (b) (7) (D), (b) (7) (F), summary judgment	Bascaro v. DOJ, No. 87-0264 (D.D.C. Oct. 26, 1987).
342	Privacy Act access, (b)(5), (b)(6), deliberative process, FOIA/PA in- terface	Basdekas v. NRC, No. 78-465 (D.D.C. Dec. 20, 1978).
343	Fees	Bast v. Def. Communications Agency, No. 76-1177 (D.D.C. Feb. 26, 1979).
344	Fees	Bast v. Def. Communications Agency, No. 76-1178 (D.D.C. Feb. 26, 1979).

345	Adequacy of agency affidavit, dis- covery/FOIA interface	Bast v. DOJ, 2 GDS ¶81,265 (D.D.C. 1981).
346	(b)(5), (b)(7)(C), attorney work- product privilege, deliberative process	Bast v. DOJ, 2 GDS ¶82,101 (D.D.C. 1981), sub- sequent decision, 3 GDS ¶82,250 (D.D.C. 1982).
347	(b)(5), (b)(6), (b)(7), (b)(7)(C), law enforcement purpose, reason- ably segregable, Vaughn Index, waiver of exemption	Bast v. DOJ, Nos. 78-1058, 78-1059 (D.D.C. Mar. 27, 1979), reconsideration denied, 1 GDS ¶79,233 (D.D.C. 1979), aff'd in part, rev'd in part, 665 F.2d 1251 (D.C. Cir. 1981), reh'g denied, Nos. 79-2039, 80-1050 (D.C. Cir. Dec. 11, 1981).
348	(b) (6), (b) (7) (C)	Bast v. DOJ, No. 77-1107 (D.D.C. June 27, 1978).
349	Fees, proper party defendant	Bast v. DOJ, No. 76-0797 (D.D.C. May 31, 1978).
350	(b)(6), (b)(7)(C), dismissal for fail- ure to prosecute	Bast v. Dep't of State, No. 76-1894 (D.D.C. July 29, 1978).
351	(b) (1), (b) (7), (b) (7) (C), (b) (7) (D), law enforcement pur- pose	Bast v. FBI, 2 GDS ¶81,270 (D.D.C. 1981).
352	Dismissal for failure to prosecute	Bast v. FBI, 2 GDS ¶82,180 (D.D.C. 1981).
353	(b) (5), (b) (7) (C), (b) (7) (D), assur- ance of confidentiality, attorney- client privilege, deliberative process	Bast v. IRS, 42 A.F.T.R. 2d 78-5078 (D.D.C. 1978).
354	(b)(5), judicial records	Bast v. Office of the United States Attorney, No. 75-902 (E.D. Va. Aug. 10, 1977).
355	(b)(7), (b)(7)(D), attorney's fees, law enforcement amendments (1986), law enforcement purpose	Bates v. DOJ, 767 F. Supp. 857 (M.D. Tenn. 1991).
356	Dismissal for failure to prosecute	Bauer v. DOJ, No. C90-3568 (N.D. Cal. Dec. 1, 1993).
357	Privacy Act access, (b)(7)(A), fee waiver (Reform Act)	Bauer v. DOJ, Nos. 91-0398, 90-2771 (D.D.C. Apr. 25, 1991), summary affirmance granted in part sub nom. Bauer v. United States, Nos. 91-5159, 91-5160 (D.C. Cir. May 8, 1992) (unpublished order), 966 F.2d 701 (D.C. Cir. 1992) (table cite).
358	Fees (Reform Act)	Bauer v. United States, No. 96-1165, 1998 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 3813 (D.D.C. Mar. 20, 1998).
359	(b) (2), (b) (4), (b) (5), (b) (7) (A), (b) (7) (C), (b) (7) (D), (b) (7) (E), assurance of confidentiality, delib- erative process, law enforcement amendments (1986), summary judgment	Bauer v. United States, No. 92-0376 (D.D.C. Sept. 30, 1993), remanded, No. 94-5205 (D.C. Cir. Apr. 14, 1995) (per curiam).
360	(b)(3), (b)(5), (b)(7)(C)	Bauer v. United States, No. 90-2771 (D.D.C. July 30, 1993), summary affirmance granted, No. 93-5327 (D.C. Cir. Apr. 15, 1994).

Proper service of process, transfer of FOIA case, venue	Bauer v. United States, No. 91-374 (W.D.N.Y. Feb. 3, 1992).
(b) (1), E.O. 12356, (b) (3), 50 U.S.C. §403(d) (3), §403g, (b) (5), deliberative process, duty to search, in camera inspection, reasonably segregable, Vaughn Index	Bay Area Lawyers Alliance for Nuclear Arms Con- trol v. Dep't of State, 818 F. Supp. 1291 (N.D. Cal. 1992), summary judgment granted in part, No. C89-1843 (N.D. Cal. June 4, 1993).
Attorney's fees	Bay Area Lawyers Alliance for Nuclear Arms Con- trol v. FEMA, No. C83-3164 (N.D. Cal. July 1, 1988).
Injunction of agency proceeding pending resolution of FOIA claim	Bayview Assocs. v. NLRB, 75 Lab. Cas. (CCH) ¶10, 524 (D.D.C. 1974).
Mootness, no record within scope of request	Bazelow v. Civil Serv. Dep't, 1 GDS ¶79,134 (S.D. N.Y. 1979).
(b)(5), deliberative process	BDM Corp. v. SBA, 2 GDS ¶81,217 (D.D.C. 1981).
(b) (4), (b) (5), (b) (6), deliberative process, in camera inspection, in- corporation by reference	BDM Corp. v. SBA, 2 GDS ¶81,044 (D.D.C. 1980), reconsideration denied, No. 80-1180 (D. D.C. Dec. 23, 1980), on motion for summary judg- ment, 2 GDS ¶81,189 (D.D.C. 1981), attorney's fees denied (D.D.C. June 22, 1981).
Adequacy of request, duty to search	Beacon Journal Publ'g Co. v. Attorney Gen. of the United States, No. C77-235 (N.D. Ohio Feb. 10, 1978).
Attorney's fees	Bean, Kinney, Korman & Moore v. Office of Thrift Supervision, No. 89-3110 (D.D.C. Feb. 6, 1991).
(b) (7) (D), agency, assurance of confidentiality, summary judgment	Beard v. DOJ, 917 F. Supp. 61 (D.D.C. 1996).
(b)(6), (b)(7), (b)(7)(C), law en- forcement purpose	Beard v. Espy, No. 93-0747 (D. Ariz. Sept. 7, 1994), aff'd, No. 94-16748, 1995 U.S. App. LEXIS 38269 (9 <sup>th</sup> Cir. Dec. 11, 1995) (unpublished memoran- dum), 76 F.3d 384 (9 <sup>th</sup> Cir. 1995) (table cite).
(c)(1), duty to search	Beauman v. FBI, No. 92-7603 (C.D. Cal. Apr. 28, 1993).
Exhaustion of administrative rem- edies, summary judgment	Becher v. Demers, No. 91-C-99, 1991 WL 333708 (W.D. Wis. May 30, 1991).
(b) (2), (b) (3), Fed.R.Crim.P. 6(e), (b) (5), (b) (7) (A), (b) (7) (B), (b) (7) (C), (b) (7) (D), (b) (7) (E), (b) (7) (F), attorney work-product privilege, duty to search, "Glomar" denial, law enforcement amend- ments (1986), summary judgment, venue, waiver of exemption	Beck v. DOJ, No. 88-3433, 1991 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 1179 (D.D.C. Jan. 31, 1991), reconsideration de- nied (D.D.C. July 24, 1991), summary affirmance granted in part, No. 91-5292 (D.C. Cir. Nov. 19, 1992), aff'd, 997 F.2d 1489 (D.C. Cir. 1993).
	of FOIA case, venue (b) (1), E.O. 12356, (b) (3), 50 U.S.C. §403(d) (3), §403g, (b) (5), deliberative process, duty to search, in camera inspection, reasonably segregable, Vaughn Index Attorney's fees Injunction of agency proceeding pending resolution of FOIA claim Mootness, no record within scope of request (b) (5), deliberative process (b) (4), (b) (5), (b) (6), deliberative process, in camera inspection, in- corporation by reference Adequacy of request, duty to search Attorney's fees (b) (7) (D), agency, assurance of confidentiality, summary judgment (b) (6), (b) (7), (b) (7) (C), law en- forcement purpose (c) (1), duty to search Exhaustion of administrative rem- edies, summary judgment (b) (2), (b) (3), Fed.R.Crim.P. 6(e), (b) (7) (C), (b) (7) (D), (b) (7) (E), (b) (7) (C), attorney work-product privilege, duty to search, "Glomar" denial, law enforcement amend- ments (1986), summary judgment,

375	(b) (2), (b) (7), (b) (7) (C), (b) (7) (D), (b) (7) (F), assurance of confidentiality, duty to search, in camera inspection, law enforce- ment amendments (1986), law en- forcement purpose, summary judg- ment, Vaughn Index, waiver of exemption	Beck v. DOJ, No. 87-3356 (D.D.C. Nov. 7, 1989).
376	(b) (2), (b) (7), (b) (7) (C), (b) (7) (D), (b) (7) (E), duty to dis- close, duty to search, in camera in- spection, law enforcement amend- ments (1986), law enforcement purpose, summary judgment, Vaughn Index, waiver of exemp- tion	Beck v. Dep't of the Treasury, No. 88-0493 (D.D.C. Nov. 8, 1989), aff'd, 946 F.2d 1563 (D.C. Cir. 1991).
377	(b) (2), (b) (3), 26 U.S.C. §6103(b) (2), (b) (5), (b) (7), (b) (7) (C), (b) (7) (E), deliberative process, discovery in FOIA litiga- tion, duty to search, law enforce- ment amendments (1986), law en- forcement purpose, reasonably se- gregable, summary judgment	Becker v. IRS, Nos. 91-C-1203, 91-C-1204, 91-C- 1205, 1992 WL 67849 (N.D. Ill. Mar. 31, 1992), motion to amend denied, 1993 WL 114612 (N.D. Ill. Apr. 12, 1993), aff'd in part, rev'd in part, 34 F.3d 398 (7 <sup>th</sup> Cir. 1994), dismissed, Nos. 91-C- 1203, 91-C-1205 (N.D. Ill. Mar. 22, 1995).
378	(b)(2), adequacy of request	Beckette v. United States Postal Serv., No. 90-1246 (E.D. Va. Oct. 10, 1990), subsequent order, 1993 WL 730711 (E.D. Va. Mar. 11, 1993), affd, No. 93- 1329 (4 <sup>th</sup> Cir. May 18, 1994) (unpublished order), 25 F.3d 1038 (4 <sup>th</sup> Cir. 1994) (table cite).
379	Privacy Act access, (a)(1)(D), pub- lication	Beckette v. United States Postal Serv., Nos. 88-802, 89-22 (E.D. Va. July 30, 1989).
380	Exhaustion of administrative rem- edies	Beckwith v. DOJ, No. 77-27 (N.D. Miss. June 15, 1978).
381	Duty to search, mootness	Beech v. Comm'r, 190 F. Supp. 2d 1183 (D. Ariz. 2001).
382	Reverse FOIA, preliminary injunc- tion	Beech Aircraft Corp. v. Harris, No. 86-0349 (W.D. Mo. Apr. 4, 1986), motion to amend denied (W.D. Mo. Apr. 8, 1986).
383	(b) (2), (b) (7) (C), (b) (7) (D), (b) (7) (F), assurance of confidenti- ality	Belenky v. DEA, No. 81-1390 (D.D.C. Nov. 19, 1981).
384	Duty to search	Belfiore v. United States, No. 77-1176 (D. Mass. Sept. 18, 1978).
385	(b)(3), 26 U.S.C. §6103	Belisle v. Comm'r, 462 F. Supp. 460 (W.D. Okla. 1978).
386	Summary judgment, Vaughn Index	Bell v. DOJ, No. 90-56006 (9 <sup>th</sup> Cir. Aug. 25, 1992) (unpublished memorandum), 977 F.2d 1337 (9 <sup>th</sup> Cir. 1992) (table cite).

387	(b) (7) (C), (b) (7) (D), assurance of confidentiality, law enforcement amendments (1986)	Bell v. FBI, No. 92-72982 (E.D. Mich. Feb. 19, 1993) (magistrate's recommendation), adopted (E.D. Mich. Mar. 23, 1993), aff'd, No. 93-1485 (6 <sup>th</sup> Cir. Oct. 18, 1993) (unpublished memorandum), 9 F.3d 106 (6 <sup>th</sup> Cir. 1993) (table cite).
388	(b) (1), discovery/FOIA interface, equitable discretion, in camera in- spection, inter- or intra-agency memoranda	Bell v. United States, 71 F.R.D. 349 (D.N.H. 1976), aff'd, 563 F.2d 84 (1 <sup>st</sup> Cir. 1977).
389	Exhaustion of administrative rem- edies	Bellinger v. FBI, No. 90-1903 (D.D.C. Oct. 17, 1990).
390	(b) (5), (b) (7) (A), (b) (7) (C), (b) (7) (D), injunction of agency proceeding pending resolution of FOIA claim	Bellingham Frozen Foods v. Henderson, 91 L.R. R.M. 2761 (W.D. Wash. 1976).
391	Fee waiver (Reform Act)	Bellitti v. Huff, No. 93-2395 (D.D.C. Mar. 9, 1995), appeal dismissed, No. 95-1349 (Fed. Cir. Aug. 18, 1995).
392	(b) (5), deliberative process, in camera inspection, reasonably se- gregable, summary judgment	Beltone Elecs. Corp. v. FTC, No. 81-1360 (D.D.C. June 14, 1983), summary judgment granted (D.D.C. Dec. 6, 1983).
393	(b) (3), 8 U.S.C. §1226, (b) (6), attorney's fees, duty to search, sum- mary judgment	Belvy v. DOJ, No. 94-923 (S.D. Fla. Dec. 15, 1994) (magistrate's recommendation), adopted (S.D. Fla. Jan. 27, 1995), attorney's fees awarded (S.D. Fla. Dec. 6, 1995) (magistrate's recommendation), adopted (S.D. Fla. June 18, 1996).
394	Attorney's fees	Benavides v. Bureau of Prisons, No. 88-2883 (D. D.C. Oct. 18, 1991), sanctions denied (D.D.C. Oct. 12, 1992), motion to reopen denied (D.D.C. May 18, 1993), affd, 993 F.2d 257 (D.C. Cir. 1993), cert. denied, 510 U.S. 996 (1993), remanded, No. 95-5147, 1996 U.S. App. LEXIS 6089 (D.C. Cir. Apr. 2, 1996).
395	(b) (7), (b) (7) (C), (b) (7) (D), (c) (2), assurance of confidentiality, discovery in FOIA litigation, "Glo- mar" denial, law enforcement amendments (1986), law enforce- ment purpose, summary judgment	Benavides v. DEA, 769 F. Supp. 380 (D.D.C. 1990), rev'd & remanded, 968 F.2d 1243 (D.C. Cir. 1992), modified & amended, 976 F.2d 751 (D.C. Cir. 1992).
396	(b) (2), (b) (5), (b) (7) (C), (b) (7) (D), attorney-client privi- lege, attorney's fees, law enforce- ment amendments (1986), sum- mary judgment	Benavides v. DEA, No. 88-0427 (D.D.C. Feb. 9, 1989), summary affirmance granted in part sub nom. Benavides v. United States Marshals Serv., No. 89-5060 (D.C. Cir. Sept. 21, 1989), attorney's fees denied (D.D.C. Jan. 12, 1990), reconsideration denied (D.D.C. Mar. 20, 1990), summary affirmance granted, No. 90-5112 (D.C. Cir. Oct. 19, 1990) (unpublished memorandum), 923 F.2d 200 (D.C. Cir. 1990) (table cite).
397	Mootness	Benavides v. Fed. Bureau of Prisons, No. 91-2538 (D.D.C. Apr. 22, 1992).

398	(b) (5), (b) (7) (A), (b) (7) (D), (b) (7) (E), deliberative process, proper party defendant, summary judgment	Bender v. Inspector Gen. NASA, No. 1:90-2059 (N.D. Ohio May 24, 1991).
399	Discovery in FOIA litigation, ex- ceptional circumstances/due dil- igence, expedited processing	Beneville v. DOJ, No. 98-6137 (D. Or. Dec. 14, 1998), protective order granted in large part (D. Or. Feb. 4, 2000).
400	(b) (7) (C), (b) (7) (D), assurance of confidentiality, law enforcement amendments (1986), summary judgment	Benjamin v. DOJ, No. 88-1361 (D.D.C. May 4, 1990).
401	Exhaustion of administrative rem- edies, proper party defendant, Vaughn Index	Benjamin v. DOJ, No. 85-6579 (S.D. Fla. Oct. 31, 1986).
402	(b)(1), (b)(3), 50 U.S.C. §403	Bennett v. DOD, 419 F. Supp. 663 (S.D.N.Y. 1976).
403	(b) (2), (b) (6)	Bennett v. DOJ, No. 86-0891 (D.D.C. Oct. 28, 1986).
404	Attorney's fees, improper withhold- ing, summary judgment	Bennett v. Dep't of the Army, No. 90-1881 ( $6^{th}$ Cir. May 22, 1991) (unpublished order), 933 F.2d 1007 ( $6^{th}$ Cir. 1991) (table cite).
405	(b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(F), duty to search	Bennett v. DEA, 55 F. Supp. 2d 36 (D.D.C. 1999).
406	Summary judgment	Bennett v. IRS, No. 95-73-B (D.N.H. Nov. 8, 1995).
407	Dismissal for failure to prosecute, exceptional circumstances/due dil- igence, FOIA as a discovery tool	Benny v. DOJ, No. 86-1172 (D.D.C. Oct. 21, 1986), dismissed (D.D.C. Mar. 21, 1989).
408	(b) (5), (b) (7) (D), summary judg- ment	Benny v. Fed. Bureau of Prisons, No. 86-0112 (D. D.C. Nov. 24, 1986).
409	Privacy Act access, attorney's fees, mootness	Benoist v. United States, No. 87-1028 (8 <sup>th</sup> Cir. Nov. 4, 1987) (unpublished memorandum), 837 F.2d 479 (8 <sup>th</sup> Cir. 1987) (table cite).
410	(b)(2),(b)(4),(b)(5),deliberative process, improper withholding	Benson v. GSA, 289 F. Supp. 590 (W.D. Wash. 1968), aff'd, 415 F.2d 878 (9 <sup>th</sup> Cir. 1969).
411	Privacy Act access, (b)(6), (b)(7), law enforcement purpose	Benson v. United States, No. 80-15 (D. Mass. June 12, 1980).
412	(b) (7), FOIA as a discovery tool	Benson v. United States, 309 F. Supp. 1144 (D. Neb. 1970).
413	Attorney's fees, duty to search, summary judgment	Bentson v. Comm'r, No. 83-048 (D. Ariz. Feb. 9, 1984), subsequent decision (D. Ariz. Apr. 3, 1984), attorney's fees denied (D. Ariz. Sept. 14, 1984).
414	(b) (5), (b) (7) (A), deliberative process, summary judgment	Bentson Contracting Co. v. NLRB, No. 90-0451 (D. Ariz. Dec. 28, 1990).

415	(b) (5), (b) (7) (C), (b) (7) (D), as- surance of confidentiality, deliber- ative process, waiver of exemption	Ben-Veniste v. DOJ, No. 84-3475 (D.D.C. May 19, 1987).
416	Duty to search	Benvenuti v. DOJ, 2 GDS ¶81,300 (D.D.C. 1981).
417	(b) (2), (b) (7) (E), summary judg- ment	Berg v. Commodity Futures Trading Comm'n, No. 93-C-6741 (N.D. Ill. June 21, 1994) (magistrate's recommendation), accepted & dismissed by stipu- lation (N.D. Ill. July 26, 1994).
418	Duty to search	Berg v. DOE, No. 94-0488 (D.D.C. Nov. 5, 1994).
419	No record within scope of request	Berkery v. DOJ, No. 92-3738 (E.D. Pa. Aug. 17, 1994).
420	(b) (2), (b) (5), (b) (6), (b) (7) (C), (b) (7) (D), deliberative process, summary judgment, Vaughn Index	Berkosky v. Dep't of Labor, No. 82-6464 (C.D. Cal. May 2, 1984).
421	(b)(4), attorney's fees	Berlin Steel Constr. Co. v. VA, No. 95-752 (D. Conn. Sept. 30, 1996).
422	(b) (8), summary judgment	Berliner, Zisser, Walter & Gallegos v. SEC, 962 F. Supp. 1348 (D. Colo. 1997).
423	(b) (3), 26 U.S.C. §6103(e) (6), (b) (5), (b) (7) (A), (b) (7) (C), dis- placement of FOIA	Bernal v. IRS, 1 GDS ¶80,140 (N.D. Cal. 1980).
424	(b) (2)	Bernknopf v. Califano, 466 F. Supp. 319 (W.D. Pa. 1979).
425	(b) (5), (b) (7) (A), adequacy of agency affidavit, attorney work- product privilege, deliberative process, res judicata	Bernson v. ICC, 625 F. Supp. 13 (D. Mass. 1985), reconsideration denied, 635 F. Supp. 369 (D. Mass. 1986).
426	(b) (3), 18 U.S.C. §4208(b) (2), Fed.R.Crim.P. 32, (b) (6), attor- ney's fees, judicial records, waiver of exemption (failure to assert in litigation)	Berry v. DOJ, No. 82-2041 (D. Ariz. Mar. 14, 1983), rev'd & remanded, 733 F.2d 1343 (9 <sup>th</sup> Cir. 1984), on remand, 612 F. Supp. 45 (D. Ariz. 1985), attorney's fees awarded (D. Ariz. Feb. 20, 1986).
427	Preliminary injunction	Beta Steel Corp. v. NLRB, No. 2:97-358, 1997 WL 836525 (N.D. Ind. Oct. 22, 1997).
428	Reverse FOIA, case or controversy, equitable discretion	Bethlehem Steel Corp. v. Kreps, No. 78-837 (D. Md. Feb. 4, 1980).
429	Case or controversy, fee waiver, mootness	Better Gov't Ass'n v. Dep't of State, No. 83-2998 (D.D.C. Aug. 13, 1984), remanded, 780 F.2d 86 (D.C. Cir. 1986) (consolidated), motion to consol- idate on remand denied (D.D.C. Apr. 8, 1986), on remand (D.D.C. July 31, 1986), motion to amend denied (D.D.C. Mar. 9, 1987).
430	Exhaustion of administrative rem- edies, fees	Beuth v. Comm'r, No. 85-C-247 (E.D. Wis. June 24, 1985).

431	<ul> <li>(b) (1), E.O. 12356, (b) (7),</li> <li>(b) (7) (A), adequacy of agency affidavit, law enforcement purpose</li> </ul>	Bevis v. Dep't of State, 575 F. Supp. 1253 (D.D.C. 1983), remanded on procedural grounds, No. 84-5069 (D.C. Cir. July 23, 1984), summary judgment granted, No. 83-0993 (D.D.C. June 27, 1985), remanded, 801 F.2d 1386 (D.C. Cir. 1986).
432	(b)(1), duty to search, in camera inspection, reasonably segregable	Bevis v. Dep't of the Army, No. 87-1893 (D.D.C. Aug. 30, 1988), summary judgment granted (D. D.C. Sept. 16, 1988).
433	Agency, agency records, stay pend- ing appeal	Bevis v. NSC, No. 85-2933 (D.D.C. May 30, 1986), remanded for clarification, No. 86-5359 (D.C. Cir. July 14, 1986).
434	(b) (3), 26 U.S.C. §6103, (b) (5), (b) (6), (b) (7) (C), (b) (7) (D), (b) (7) (E), (b) (8), attorney-client privilege, attorney work-product privilege, deliberative process, duty to search, summary judgment	Biase v. Office of Thrift Supervision, No. 93-2521 (D.N.J. Dec. 10, 1993).
435	(b) (1), (b) (7) (C), (b) (7) (D), attor- ney's fees, duty to search	Biberman v. FBI, 496 F. Supp. 263 (S.D.N.Y. 1980), subsequent decision, 528 F. Supp. 1140 (S.D.N.Y. 1982).
436	(b)(3), 26 U.S.C. §6103(a), dis- placement of FOIA	Big Elk Prods. v. IRS, No. 2:86-1083 (S.D. W. Va. Dec. 5, 1986).
437	(b)(5), deliberative process, reason- ably segregable	Bilbrey v. Dep't of the Air Force, No. 00-0539 (W.D. Mo. Jan. 30, 2001), aff'd, 20 Fed. Appx. 597 (8 <sup>th</sup> Cir. 2001) (per curiam).
438	Agency	Biles v. City of Grand Rapids, No. 1:90-269 (W.D. Mich. Oct. 9, 1990).
439	(b) (1), E.O. 12958, (b) (2), (b) (6), (b) (7) (C), (b) (7) (D), (b) (7) (E), adequacy of agency affidavit, assur- ance of confidentiality, discovery in FOIA litigation, exceptional cir- cumstances/due diligence, expe- dited processing, in camera inspec- tion, "mosaic," Vaughn Index, waiver of exemption, waiver of ex- emption (administrative release)	Billington v. DOJ, No. 92-0462 (D.D.C. July 21, 1992), summary judgment granted in part, 11 F. Supp. 2d 45 (D.D.C. 1998), summary judgment granted in part, 69 F. Supp. 2d 128 (D.D.C. 1999), aff'd in part, vacated in part & remanded, 233 F.3d 581 (D.C. Cir. 2000).
440	Privacy Act access, (b)(7), ade- quacy of agency affidavit, law en- forcement purpose	Binion v. DOJ, 2 GDS ¶82,148 (D. Nev. 1981), rev'd, 695 F.2d 1189 (9 <sup>th</sup> Cir. 1983).
441	(b)(7), (b)(7)(D), assurance of confidentiality, law enforcement purpose	Birch v. United States Postal Serv., No. 83-3071 (D.D.C. Oct. 17, 1984), aff'd, 803 F.2d 1206 (D.C. Cir. 1986).
442	Attorney's fees	Bird v. Dep't of the Treasury, 2 GDS ¶81,215 (D. D.C. 1981).
443	(a)(1), attorney's fees	Birkland v. Rotary Plaza, Inc., 643 F. Supp. 223 (N.D. Cal. 1986).

444	(b) (6), (b) (7) (D), duty to create a record	Bishop v. Civiletti, 2 GDS ¶81,343 (D.D.C. 1981), summary judgment granted sub nom. Bishop v. Bell, 2 GDS ¶81,344 (D.D.C. 1981).
445	(b)(3), 38 U.S.C. §3305	Bitzer v. Klag, 3 GDS ¶83,172 (C.D. Cal. 1981).
446	No record within scope of request	Black v. CIA, No. C83-3914 (N.D. Ohio Oct. 11, 1983), dismissed, 732 F.2d 153 (6 <sup>th</sup> Cir. 1984).
447	Fee waiver, no record within scope of request	Black v. CIA, No. 83-3246 (D.D.C. Dec. 30, 1983), reconsideration granted (D.D.C. Jan. 16, 1984), dismissed (D.D.C. Mar. 30, 1984).
448	(b)(7), (b)(7)(C), law enforcement purpose	Black v. FBI, No. C82-370 (N.D. Ohio May 30, 1986), aff'd, Nos. 86-3586, 86-3587 (6 <sup>th</sup> Cir. Feb. 4, 1987) (unpublished memorandum), 815 F.2d 75 (6 <sup>th</sup> Cir. 1987) (table cite).
449	Fee waiver, judicial records, no rec- ord within scope of request, proper party defendant, summary judg- ment	Black v. GSA, No. C82-1569 (N.D. Ohio Sept. 26, 1983), aff'd, 734 F.2d 13 (6 <sup>th</sup> Cir. 1984).
450	Agency, no record within scope of request, proper party defendant, summary judgment	Black v. IRS, No. C82-370 (N.D. Ohio Jan. 23, 1984), dismissed, 732 F.2d 153 (6 <sup>th</sup> Cir. 1984).
451	(b)(7), law enforcement purpose	Black v. Sheraton Corp., 371 F. Supp. 97 (D.D.C. 1974), rev'd, 564 F.2d 531 (D.C. Cir. 1977).
452	(b)(4), (b)(9)	Black Hills Alliance v. United States Forest Serv., 603 F. Supp. 117 (D.S.D. 1984).
453	Duty to search, mootness	Blackman v. DOJ, No. 00-3004 (D.D.C. July 5, 2001), summary judgment granted (D.D.C. Oct. 9, 2001), summary affirmance denied, No. 01-5431 (D.C. Cir. Mar. 29, 2002) (per curiam).
454	Exhaustion of administrative rem- edies	Blackwell v. EEOC, No. 2:98-38, 1999 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 3708 (E.D.N.C. Feb. 12, 1999).
455	Agency	Blackwell v. Klincar, No. 84-C-8705 (N.D. Ill. June 26, 1990).
456	(b)(1), E.O. 11652	Blaisdell v. DOD, No. 77-83 (C.D. Cal. May 5, 1977).
457	(b) (7) (C), duty to disclose, duty to search, fee waiver, "Glomar" denial	Blakey v. DOJ, 549 F. Supp. 362 (D.D.C. 1982), aff d in part & vacated in part, No. 82-2499 (D.C. Cir. Oct. 3, 1983) (unpublished memorandum), 720 F.2d 215 (D.C. Cir. 1983) (table cite).
458	(b) (2), (b) (5), (b) (7) (C), (b) (7) (D), (b) (7) (E), duty to create a record, duty to search	Blanchard v. United States Secret Serv., 2 GDS ¶82,093 (D.D.C. 1981).
459	Discovery in FOIA litigation	Blankstein v. Bennett, No. 87-2107 (D.D.C. Oct. 22, 1987).

460	(b) (2), (b) (7), (b) (7) (C), (b) (7) (D), (b) (7) (E), duty to search, law enforcement purpose, reasonably segregable, waiver of exemption	Blanton v. DOJ, 63 F. Supp. 2d 35 (D.D.C. 1999), subsequent opinion, 182 F. Supp. 2d 81 (D.D.C. 2002).
461	(b) (6), (b) (7), (b) (7) (C), "Glomar" denial, law enforcement amend- ments (1986), law enforcement purpose, summary judgment	Blanton v. DOJ, No. 93-2398, 1994 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 21444 (W.D. Tenn. July 14, 1994).
462	(b) (1), E.O. 12356, (b) (3), 50 U.S.C. §403g, §403-3(c) (5), (b) (5), deliberative process, incor- poration by reference, summary judgment, waiver of exemption	Blazar v. OMB, No. 92-2719 (D.D.C. Apr. 15, 1994).
463	(b) (1), (b) (3), 50 U.S.C. §403g, §403-3(c) (6), (b) (5), (b) (6), attor- ney's fees, deliberative process, rea- sonably segregable	Blazy v. Tenet, 979 F. Supp. 10 (D.D.C. 1997), at- torney's fees denied, No. 93-2424 (D.D.C. Apr. 6, 1998), summary affirmance granted, No. 97-5330, 1998 WL 315583 (D.C. Cir. May 12, 1998), reh'g denied (D.C. Cir. July 6, 1998), attorney's fees de- nied on other grounds, 194 F.3d 90 (D.C. Cir. 1999).
464	(b) (1), E.O. 12356, (b) (2), (b) (6), (b) (7) (C), (b) (7) (D), FOIA/PA in- terface	Block v. FBI, No. 83-0813 (D.D.C. Nov. 19, 1984).
465	(b)(5), agency, agency records, at- torney's fees	Blue v. Bureau of Prisons, No. C75-2092 (N.D. Ga. Aug. 12, 1976), rev'd, 570 F.2d 529 (5 <sup>th</sup> Cir. 1978).
466	Exhaustion of administrative rem- edies, pro se litigant	Blue v. Fed. Bureau of Prisons, No. 85-3667 (D. D.C. June 30, 1986).
467	Exhaustion of administrative rem- edies, pro se litigant	Blue v. United States Parole Comm'n, No. 85-3709 (D.D.C. Mar. 13, 1986), dismissed (D.D.C. June 30, 1986).
468	Agency, jurisdiction	Bluethunder v. Kunz, No. 77-165 (E.D. Pa. Oct. 2, 1990).
469	(b) (3), 39 U.S.C. §410(c) (6), (b) (7) (E), proper party defendant	Blum v. United States, No. 78-1226 (C.D. Cal. Sept. 12, 1978).
470	(a)(1)(D), publication	BMY, a Div. of HARSCO Corp. v. United States, 693 F. Supp. 1232 (D.D.C. 1988).
471	Attorney's fees	Boakye-Yiadom v. INS, No. C 98-3580, 1999 WL 782476 (N.D. Cal. Sept. 30, 1999).
472	(b)(3), 15 U.S.C. §57b-2(f), Vaughn Index	Bd. of Educ. v. FTC, 2 GDS ¶81,170 (D.D.C. 1980).
473	(b)(4), (b)(6), waiver of exemption	Bd. of Trade v. Commodity Futures Trading Comm'n, No. 77-0560 (D.D.C. Oct. 28, 1977), aff'd in part, rev'd in part, 627 F.2d 392 (D.C. Cir. 1980).
474	(b)(7)(C)	Bd. of Trs. v. Dep't of Educ., No. 82-63 (N.D. Miss. Dec. 3, 1983).

475	(b) (7) (A)	Bd. of Trs. v. Norton, No. 80-4041 (S.D. Ill. Mar. 16, 1981).
476	(b) (5)	Bodell v. DOJ, No. 85-3166 (D.D.C. Oct. 6, 1986).
477	(b) (5), discovery in FOIA litiga- tion, duty to search	Bodner v. FTC, 1974-2 Trade Cas. (CCH) ¶75,329 (D.D.C. 1974), Vaughn Index required, 1975-2 Trade Cas. (CCH) ¶60,118 (D.D.C. 1975), subse- quent decision, No. 74-1189 (D.D.C. Feb. 24, 1975).
478	Adequacy of agency affidavit, duty to search	Boggs v. United States, 987 F. Supp. 11 (D.D.C. 1997).
479	(b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(D), assurance of confidentiality	Bollen v. Civiletti, 2 GDS ¶82,121 (W.D. Pa. 1981).
480	Attorney's fees, mootness	Bollen v. Smith, No. 82-2424 (W.D. Pa. May 27, 1983).
481	(b) (3), 26 U.S.C. §6103(b) (2), (b) (7) (E), duty to search, proper party defendant, summary judg- ment	Bologna v. Dep't of the Treasury, No. 93-1495, 1994 WL 381975 (D.N.J. Mar. 29, 1994).
482	(b)(6), summary judgment	Bongiorno v. Reno, No. 95-72143, 1996 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 4796 (E.D. Mich. Mar. 19, 1996).
483	No record within scope of request	Boniface v. DOJ, No. 86-0141 (D.D.C. June 13, 1986).
484	Privacy Act access, fee waiver	Boniface v. Meese, No. 85-1971 (D.D.C. Dec. 13, 1985), summary affirmance granted, No. 86-5125 (D.C. Cir. June 12, 1986).
485	Exhaustion of administrative rem- edies	Boniface v. Meese, No. 85-2050 (D.D.C. Dec. 13, 1985), summary affirmance granted, No. 86-5126 (D.C. Cir. June 12, 1986).
486	Mootness	Boniface v. Meese, No. 85-2055 (D.D.C. Dec. 13, 1985), summary affirmance granted, No. 86-5127 (D.C. Cir. June 12, 1986).
487	Exceptional circumstances/due dil- igence	Boniface v. Meese, No. 85-2267 (D.D.C. Dec. 13, 1985).
488	(b) (7) (C)	Boniface v. Rose, No. 85-1801 (D.D.C. Dec. 18, 1985), summary affirmance granted, No. 86-5124 (D.C. Cir. June 12, 1986).
489	(b) (1), E.O. 12356, discovery in FOIA litigation, in camera inspec- tion, jurisdiction, summary judg- ment, Vaughn Index	Bonner v. Dep't of State, 724 F. Supp. 1028 (D. D.C. 1989), subsequent order, No. 86-0769 (D.C. Mar. 2, 1990), vacated & remanded, 928 F.2d 1148 (D.C. Cir. 1991).
490	(b) (1), E.O. 12356, reasonably segregable, summary judgment, Vaughn Index	Bonner v. FBI, No. 86-2249 (D.D.C. Feb. 9, 1987).

491	(b) (7) (C), (b) (7) (D), assurance of confidentiality, waiver of exemption	Bonnett v. DOJ, No. 81-267 (N.D. Ind. July 11, 1983).
492	Adequacy of request	Borden v. FBI, No. 93-11742 (D. Mass. Dec. 22, 1993), aff'd, No. 94-1029 (1 <sup>st</sup> Cir. June 28, 1994) (per curiam) (unpublished order), 27 F.3d 554 (1 <sup>st</sup> Cir. 1994) (table cite).
493	Summary judgment	Borelli v. FBI, No. 99-0464 (D.D.C. July 21, 2000).
494	Duty to search, summary judgment	Borelli v. United States Parole Comm'n, No. 91- 1185 (D.D.C. Feb. 26, 1992).
495	Duty to create a record	Borom v. Crawford, No. 77-C-4500 (N.D. Ill. 1978), aff'd, 651 F.2d 500 (7 <sup>th</sup> Cir. 1981).
496	Privacy Act access, (b) (3), 18 U.S.C. §4208(c), (b) (5), (b) (7) (D), adequacy of request, exhaustion of administrative rem- edies, reasonably segregable, Vaughn Index	Borom v. United States Parole Comm'n, No. 5-86- 183 (D. Minn. Dec. 2, 1986).
497	Attorney's fees, disciplinary pro- ceedings, substantial compliance	Borrell v. Int'l Communications Agency, 3 GDS ¶83,021 (D.D.C. 1981), aff'd, 682 F.2d 981 (D.C. Cir. 1982), cert. denied, 466 U.S. 974 (1984).
498	(a) (2)	Borsody & Green v. Rougeau, No. 79-3234 (D.D.C. 1981).
499	(b)(7)(D), assurance of confiden- tiality	Borton, Inc. v. OSHA, 566 F. Supp. 1420 (E.D. La. 1983) (magistrate's recommendation adopted).
500	(b) (7), (b) (7) (C), (b) (7) (D), as- surance of confidentiality, law en- forcement amendments (1986), law enforcement purpose, summary judgment	Bostic v. FBI, No. 1:94-71 (W.D. Mich. Dec. 16, 1994).
501	(b) (5), (b) (7) (A), adequacy of agency affidavit, attorney work- product privilege	Boston Carrier, Inc. v. ICC, No. 83-3741 (D. Mass. Mar. 27, 1984), summary judgment granted, 625 F. Supp. 8 (D. Mass. 1984), dismissed on other grounds sub nom. Bernson v. ICC, 625 F. Supp. 10 (D. Mass. 1984).
502	(b) (7)	Boston Univ. v. NLRB, 95 L.R.R.M. 2447 (D. Mass. 1977).
503	(b) (1), (b) (7) (C), (b) (7) (D), (b) (7) (F), exceptional circum- stances/due diligence, expedited processing, Vaughn Index	Boult v. DOJ, No. C76-1217 (N.D. Ga. Oct. 22, 1976), summary judgment granted (N.D. Ga. Oct. 31, 1978).

504	(b) (4), (b) (6), (b) (7) (A), (b) (7) (C), (b) (7) (D), (b) (7) (E), adequacy of agency affidavit, law enforcement amendments (1986), summary judgment, waiver of ex- emption, waiver of exemption (ad- ministrative release), waiver of ex- emption (failure to assert in litiga- tion)	Bowen v. FDA, No. C88-5111 (N.D. Cal. Nov. 30, 1989), aff d, 925 F.2d 1225 (9 <sup>th</sup> Cir. 1991).
505	Agency	Bowens v. Flowers Funeral Serv., No. 87-C-6481 (N.D. Ill. July 29, 1987).
506	(b) (1), E.O. 12356, (b) (2), (b) (3), 50 U.S.C. §403g, (b) (6), (b) (7) (C), (b) (7) (D), (b) (7) (E), in camera inspection, stay pending appeal	Bowers v. DOJ, 690 F. Supp. 1483 (W.D.N.C. 1988), writ of mandamus granted sub nom. In re United States DOJ, No. 87-1205 (4 <sup>th</sup> Cir. Apr. 7, 1988), on remand sub nom. Bowers v. DOJ, 690 F. Supp. 1483 (W.D.N.C. 1988), on in camera in- spection, No. C-C-86-336 (W.D.N.C. Mar. 9, 1990), temporary stay granted, No. 90-2063 (4 <sup>th</sup> Cir. Apr. 13, 1990), stay pending appeal granted (4 <sup>th</sup> Cir. May 7, 1990), rev'd, 930 F.2d 350 (4 <sup>th</sup> Cir. 1991), cert. denied, 502 U.S. 911 (1991).
507	(a) (2) (A), (a) (2) (C), (b) (7) (A), fees	Bowker v. IRS, No. 80-150 (D. Or. May 11, 1982).
508	(b)(3), Fed.R.Crim.P. 6(e)	Bowman v. DOJ, No. 85-2491 (D.D.C. June 11, 1986).
509	(b) (2)	Boyce v. Dep't of the Navy, No. 86-2211 (C.D. Cal. Feb. 17, 1987).
510	(b) (2), (b) (5), (b) (7) (C), (b) (7) (D), (b) (7) (E), deliberative process	Boyce v. Deputy Dir., No. 78-084 (D.D.C. Oct. 25, 1978).
511	Attorney's fees, duty to search, Vaughn Index	Boyd v. Bureau of Prisons, No. 84-2418 (W.D. Tenn. May 23, 1985) (magistrate's recommenda- tion), summary judgment granted (W.D. Tenn. May 29, 1986).
512	(b)(7)(A)	Boyd v. Executive Office of the United States At- torneys, No. 5:97-163 (M.D. Ga. Jan. 20, 1998).
513	Mootness	Boyd v. United States Dist. Attorney's Office, No. 84-5704 (D.C. Cir. May 24, 1985) (unpublished memorandum), 764 F.2d 926 (D.C. Cir. 1985) (table cite).
514	(b) (3), Fed.R.Crim.P. 6(e), (b) (5), (b) (7) (A), (b) (7) (C), attorney work-product privilege, duty to search, mootness, referral of re- quest to another agency, summary judgment, waiver of exemption	Boyd v. United States Marshals Serv., No. 99-2712, 2000 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 14025 (D.D.C. Sept. 25, 2000), summary judgment granted in part (D.D.C. Mar. 30, 2001).
515	Dismissal for failure to prosecute	Boyle v. Comm'r, No. 98-0716 (D. Ariz. Dec. 7, 1998).

516	(b) (5), (b) (6), (b) (7) (C), exhaus- tion of administrative remedies, no record within scope of request, summary judgment	Brace v. Dep't of the Treasury, No. 99-2713, 2000 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 14781 (D.D.C. Sept. 12, 2000).
517	(b) (7) (C), (b) (7) (F)	Brady-Lunny v. Massey, 185 F. Supp. 2d 928 (C.D. Ill. 2002).
518	Attorney's fees	Brainerd v. Dep't of the Navy, No. 87-C-4057 (N.D. Ill. Apr. 21, 1988).
519	(b) (4), attorney's fees, burden of proof	Braintree Elec. Light Dep't v. DOE, 494 F. Supp. 287 (D.D.C. 1980).
520	(b) (1), E.O. 12356, (b) (2), (b) (7), (b) (7) (C), (b) (7) (D), assurance of confidentiality, discovery in FOIA litigation, in camera inspection, law enforcement amendments (1986), law enforcement purpose, reason- ably segregable, summary judg- ment, Vaughn Index	Branch v. FBI, No. 86-1643 (D.D.C. Aug. 12, 1986), partial summary judgment granted, 658 F. Supp. 204 (D.D.C. 1987), motion for protective order granted (D.D.C. Aug. 10, 1987), partial summary judgment granted (D.D.C. Mar. 9, 1988), in camera inspection ordered (D.D.C. May 16, 1988), partial summary judgment granted, 700 F. Supp. 47 (D.D.C. 1988).
521	(b)(3), 44 U.S.C. §2101, §2107, §2108	Brandon v. Sampson, No. 73-2232 (D.D.C. Apr. 3, 1974), rev'd & remanded sub nom. Brandon v. Eckerd, 569 F.2d 683 (D.C. Cir. 1977).
522	(b) (7), (b) (7) (C), (b) (7) (D), as- surance of confidentiality, attor- ney's fees, de novo review, law en- forcement purpose, mootness, waiver of exemption	Brant Constr. Co. v. EPA, No. 82-596 (N.D. Ind. June 12, 1984), rev'd, 778 F.2d 1258 (7 <sup>th</sup> Cir. 1985).
523	(b)(1), E.O. 12356, (b)(7)(C), in camera inspection, summary judg- ment	Braslavsky v. FBI, No. 92-C-3027 (N.D. Ill. June 2, 1994), aff'd, No. 94-2609, 1995 U.S. App. LEXIS 14413 (7 <sup>th</sup> Cir. June 8, 1995) (unpublished order), 57 F.3d 1073 (7 <sup>th</sup> Cir. 1995) (table cite).
524	(b) (3), 15 U.S.C. §57b-2(f), (b) (7) (A)	Braswell, Inc. v. FTC, 2 GDS ¶81,092 (N.D. Ga. 1980).
525	Duty to search	Bratton v. FBI, No. 00-8591 (S.D. Fla. Mar. 30, 2001).
526	Exhaustion of administrative rem- edies, failure to meet time limits	Brawer v. FBI, No. 75-1700 (D.D.C. Feb. 28, 1977).
527	Publication	Brecker v. Queens B'nai B'rith Hous. Dev. Fund Co., 798 F.2d 52 (2d Cir. 1986).
528	(b)(1), E.O. 12065	Brein v. DOJ, 3 GDS ¶82,380 (D. Haw. 1982).
529	In camera inspection	Brennan v. Tenn. Technological Univ., 76 Lab. Cas. (CCH) ¶33,237 (M.D. Tenn. 1975).
530	(b) (3), 35 U.S.C. §122, waiver of exemption	Bretschneider v. Comm'r of Patents & Trademarks, No. 85-151 (E.D. Va. Apr. 26, 1985).
531	(b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(D), waiver of ex- emption (failure to assert in litiga- tion)	Bretti v. DOJ, No. 88-328 (N.D.N.Y. June 18, 1990).

532	(b) (7) (A), (b) (7) (C), in camera in- spection	Bretti v. DOJ, No. 84-3537 (D.D.C. Mar. 31, 1986).
533	(b) (3), 26 U.S.C. §6103, §6104	Breuhaus v. IRS, No. 78-240 (W.D.N.Y. Mar. 13, 1979), aff'd, 609 F.2d 80 (2d Cir. 1979).
534	(b)(7)(C), attorney's fees, duty to search	Bricker v. FBI, No. 97-2742 (D.D.C. Mar. 26, 1999), attorney's fees denied, 54 F. Supp. 2d 1 (D. D.C. 1999), reconsideration denied, 63 F. Supp. 2d 57 (D.D.C. 1999).
535	(a)(1), (a)(1)(C), (a)(1)(D)	Bright v. INS, 837 F.2d 1330 (5th Cir. 1988).
536	(b) (5), (b) (7) (A), attorney-client privilege, deliberative process, sum- mary judgment, waiver of exemp- tion	Brinderson Constructors v. Army Corps of Eng'rs, No. 85-0905, 1986 WL 293230 (D.D.C. June 11, 1986).
537	(b) (3), 26 U.S.C. §6103, displace- ment of FOIA, Vaughn Index	Brink v. IRS, 1 GDS ¶80,195 (E.D. Mo. 1980).
538	(b)(7),(b)(7)(A), law enforcement purpose	Brinkerhoff v. Montoya, 49 A.F.T.R. 2d 82-839, 3 GDS ¶82,421 (N.D. Tex. 1981).
539	Duty to search, proper party defen- dant, summary judgment	Brinton v. Dep't of Labor, No. 87-7010 (E.D. Pa. Mar. 7, 1988), summary judgment granted (E.D. Pa. July 21, 1988).
540	(a) (6) (A), (b) (1), (b) (5), attorney- client privilege, deliberative proc- ess, exhaustion of administrative remedies, reasonably segregable, waiver of exemption (administra- tive release)	Brinton v. Dep't of State, No. 78-0112 (D.D.C. Nov. 30, 1978), summary judgment granted, 476 F. Supp. 535 (D.D.C. 1979), aff'd, 636 F.2d 600 (D.C. Cir. 1980), cert. denied, 452 U.S. 905 (1981).
541	(a) (2) (A), (a) (2) (B), (a) (2) (C), (b) (5), (b) (7) (A), attorney work- product privilege	Bristol-Meyers Co. v. FTC, 598 F.2d 18 (D.C. Cir. 1978).
542	(b)(4), (b)(5), (b)(7)	Bristol-Meyers Co. v. FTC, 284 F. Supp. 745 (D. D.C. 1968), aff'd in part, rev'd in part & remanded, 424 F.2d 935 (D.C. Cir. 1970), cert. denied, 400 U.S. 824 (1970).
543	(b) (3), 49 U.S.C. §1504, (b) (5), deliberative process, personal rec- ords, referral of request to another agency, Vaughn Index	Bri. Airports Auth. v. CAB, No. 81-1072 (D. D.C. June 25, 1981), subsequent decision, 531 F. Supp. 408 (D.D.C. 1982).
544	(b) (1), E.O. 12065, (b) (3), 49 U.S.C. §1504, (b) (4), (b) (5), be- lated classification, deliberative process, Vaughn Index, waiver of exemption	Brit. Airports Auth. v. Dep't of State, 530 F. Supp. 46 (D.D.C. 1981), on motion to alter or amend, No. 81-1519 (D.D.C. Dec. 22, 1981).
545	Vaughn Index	Brit. Airports Auth. v. Dep't of Transp., 2 GDS ¶82, 099 (D.D.C. 1981).

546	(b) (3), 26 U.S.C. §6103(a), §6103(e) (1), (b) (7) (A), displace- ment of FOIA, fees, Vaughn Index	Britt v. IRS, 42 A.F.T.R. 2d 78-6198 (D.D.C. 1978), supplemental decision, No. 77-1325 (D.D.C. June 13, 1979), additional issue decided (D.D.C. Apr. 23, 1981), partial summary judgment granted, 547 F. Supp. 808 (D.D.C. 1982), partial summary judgment granted (D.D.C. June 9, 1983).
547	(b) (4), (b) (5), (b) (7) (C), attorney work-product privilege, reasonably segregable, summary judgment	Brittany Dyeing & Printing Corp. v. EPA, No. 91- 2711 (D.D.C. Mar. 12, 1993).
548	Attorney's fees	Britton v. AID, 3 GDS ¶82,548 (D.D.C. 1982).
549	Reverse FOIA, (b)(3), 12 U.S.C. §1437, 18 U.S.C. §1905, nexus test	Broadview Sav. & Loan Co. v. Fed. Home Loan Bank Bd., No. C77-1195 (N.D. Ohio June 13, 1979), remanded (N.D. Ohio July 16, 1979).
550	(b)(5), deliberative process	Brock v. Campbell, No. 79-237 (S.D. Tex. July 24, 1979).
551	(b)(4), (b)(5), deliberative process	Brockway v. Dep't of the Air Force, 370 F. Supp. 738 (N.D. Iowa 1974), rev'd, 518 F.2d 1184 (8 <sup>th</sup> Cir. 1975).
552	Exhaustion of administrative rem- edies	Brooks v. Dep't of the Treasury, No. 78-825 (E.D. Mo. Nov. 21, 1978).
553	(b) (3), 26 U.S.C. §6103(a), (b) (5), (b) (7) (A), (b) (7) (C), adequacy of agency affidavit, mootness, reason- ably segregable	Brooks v. IRS, No. F-96-6284, 1997 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 13678 (E.D. Cal. Aug. 25, 1997), summary judgment granted, 1997 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 21075 (E.D. Cal. Nov. 19, 1997).
554	Reverse FOIA, (b)(3), 18 U.S.C. §1905, nexus test	Brookwood Med. Ctr., Inc. v. Califano, 470 F. Supp. 1247 (N.D. Ga. 1979), aff'd, 614 F.2d 1295 (5 <sup>th</sup> Cir. 1980).
555	(b) (5), attorney's fees, attorney work-product privilege, delibera- tive process, incorporation by ref- erence	Bhd. of Locomotive Eng'rs v. Surface Transp. Bd., No. 96-1153, 1997 WL 446261 (D.D.C. July 31, 1997), attorney's fees awarded (D.D.C. Mar. 10, 1998).
556	(b)(1), E.O. 12065, in camera af- fidavit	Browde v. Dep't of the Navy, 3 GDS ¶82,463 (D. D.C. 1982), aff'd, No. 82-2278 (D.C. Cir. June 10, 1983) (unpublished memorandum), 713 F.2d 864 (D.C. Cir. 1983) (table cite).
557	(b)(4), (b)(5)	Brown v. DOE, 1 GDS ¶80,035 (E.D. Wash. 1980).
558	(b) (7), (b) (7) (C), exceptional cir- cumstances/due diligence, exhaus- tion of administrative remedies, in- teraction of (a) (2) & (a) (3), law enforcement amendments (1986), law enforcement purpose, Vaughn Index	Brown v. DOJ, No. 3-88-216 (D. Minn. Dec. 16, 1988).
559	(b)(4), (b)(5), deliberative process	Brown v. Dep't of Labor, No. 89-1220, 1991 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 1780 (D.D.C. Feb. 15, 1991).

560	(b) (6), (b) (7) (C), adequacy of agency affidavit, discovery/FOIA interface, in camera inspection, Vaughn Index, waiver of exemp- tion	Brown v. FBI, 658 F.2d 71 (2d Cir. 1981).
561	(b)(5), publication	Brown v. FTC, 2 GDS ¶82,026 (D.D.C. 1981).
562	Judicial records	Brown & Williamson Tobacco Corp. v. FTC, 710 F.2d 1165 (6 <sup>th</sup> Cir. 1983), cert. denied on other grounds, 465 U.S. 1100 (1984).
563	(b)(4), (b)(5), deliberative process, waiver of exemption	Brownstein Zeidman & Schomer v. Dep't of the Air Force, 781 F. Supp. 31 (D.D.C. 1991).
564	(b)(5), (b)(7)(C), deliberative process, summary judgment	Brumley v. Dep't of Labor, No. C-87-398 (E.D. Ark. Jan. 28, 1988).
565	(a) (6) (A), exhaustion of adminis- trative remedies	Brumley v. Dep't of Labor, No. C84-593 (E.D. Ark. Jan. 9, 1985), aff'd, 767 F.2d 444 (8 <sup>th</sup> Cir. 1985).
566	Privacy Act access, (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(D), assurance of confiden- tiality, duty to search, summary judgment	Brunskill v. DOJ, No. 99-3316 (D.D.C. Mar. 19, 2001).
567	Adequacy of request	Brunwasser v. Jacob, 453 F. Supp. 567 (W.D. Pa. 1978).
568	Privacy Act access, (b) (5), (b) (6), (b) (7) (A), (b) (7) (C), (b) (7) (D), (b) (7) (E), (b) (7) (F), assurance of confidentiality, deliberative proc- ess, law enforcement amendments (1986), reasonably segregable, sum- mary judgment, waiver of exemp- tion	Bruscino v. Fed. Bureau of Prisons, No. 94-1955, 1995 WL 444406 (D.D.C. May 12, 1995), summary affirmance granted in part, No. 95-5213, 1996 WL 393101 (D.C. Cir. June 24, 1996).
569	(b)(5), (b)(7)(D), (b)(7)(F), in camera inspection	Bruscino v. Fed. Bureau of Prisons, No. 91-2594 (D. D.C. Apr. 1, 1992).
570	(b) (5), deliberative process, in camera inspection, inter- or intra- agency memoranda, waiver of ex- emption (administrative release)	Brush Wellman, Inc. v. Dep't of Labor, 500 F. Supp. 519 (N.D. Ohio 1980).
571	(b) $(7)(C)$ , (b) $(7)(D)$ , (b) $(7)(E)$	Bryan v. DOJ, No. 77-1814 (D.D.C. Aug. 16, 1978).
572	Privacy Act access, (b)(3), 50 U.S.C. §403, summary judgment	Bryant v. CIA, No. 90-1163, 1991 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 8964 (D.D.C. June 28, 1991).
573	(b)(7)(A)	Bryant v. IRS, No. 76-31 (D. Me. July 21, 1976).
574	(b) (5), deliberative process, ex- haustion of administrative reme- dies, Vaughn Index	Bryce v. Overseas Private Inv. Corp., No. A-96-595 (W.D. Tex. Sept. 28, 1998).
575	Exceptional circumstances/due diligence, expedited processing, res judicata, Vaughn Index	Bubar v. DOJ, 2 GDS ¶81,104 (D.D.C. 1981), dis- missed, 3 GDS ¶83,227 (D.D.C. 1981).

576	Transfer of FOIA case	Bubar v. DOJ, No. 79-0141 (D.D.C. May 31, 1979).
577	(b) (1), E.O. 12065, (b) (2), (b) (3), 28 U.S.C. §534, Fed.R.Crim.P. 6(e), (b) (5), (b) (7) (C), (b) (7) (D), Vaughn Index	Bubar v. FBI, 2 GDS ¶82,069 (D.D.C. 1981), par- tial summary judgment granted, 3 GDS ¶82,450 (D. D.C. 1982), summary judgment granted, 3 GDS ¶82,477 (D.D.C. 1982), dismissed, 3 GDS ¶82,478 (D.D.C. 1982), attorney's fees denied, 3 GDS ¶83, 218 (D.D.C. 1983).
578	Proper service of process	Buckner v. CIA, No. 92-7177 (4 <sup>th</sup> Cir. Apr. 1, 1993) (unpublished memorandum), 991 F.2d 787 (4 <sup>th</sup> Cir. 1993) (table cite).
579	(b)(7)(C), exhaustion of adminis- trative remedies, proper party de- fendant, summary judgment	Buckner v. Huff, No. S93-1517 (D. Md. Nov. 15, 1993).
580	(b) (3), 26 U.S.C. §6103(b) (2), (b) (5), (b) (7) (E), adequacy of agency affidavit, attorney-client privilege, attorney work-product privilege, summary judgment	Buckner v. IRS, 25 F. Supp. 2d 893 (N.D. Ind. 1998).
581	(b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(D), assurance of confidentiality, law enforcement amendments (1986), summary judgment	Buehl v. FBI, No. 88-3724 (D.D.C. Apr. 30, 1990).
582	Agency	Buemi v. Lewis, No. 94-1296 (N.D. Ohio Oct. 12, 1994), aff d, No. 94-4156 (6 <sup>th</sup> Cir. Apr. 4, 1995) (unpublished order), 51 F.3d 271 (6 <sup>th</sup> Cir. 1995) (table cite).
583	(b)(4), (b)(6), attorney's fees	Buffalo Evening News, Inc. v. SBA, 666 F. Supp. 467 (W.D.N.Y. 1987).
584	(b) (2), (b) (7), (b) (7) (C), (b) (7) (D), (b) (7) (E), assurance of confidentiality, law enforcement amendments (1986), law enforce- ment purpose, summary judgment	Buffalo Evening News v. United States Border Pa- trol, 791 F. Supp. 386 (W.D.N.Y. 1992).
585	(b)(5), (b)(7)(A), attorney work- product privilege	Buffalo Newspaper Guild v. NLRB, 2 GDS ¶81,131 (W.D.N.Y. 1980).
586	(b)(1), (b)(3), 50 U.S.C. §403, Fed.R.Crim.P. 6(e), duty to search	Buffone v. CIA, No. 80-2382 (D.D.C. Sept. 30, 1981).
587	(b) (3), 28 U.S.C. §534, Fed.R. Crim.P. 6(e), (b) (6), (b) (7), (b) (7) (C), (b) (7) (D), assurance of confidentiality, law enforcement amendments (1986), law enforce- ment purpose, pro se litigant	Buhovecky v. DOJ, No. 85-3169 (D.D.C. Feb. 5, 1987), summary judgment granted in part, 700 F. Supp. 566 (D.D.C. 1988).
588	Attorney's fees	Bullard v. Webster, 623 F.2d 1042 (5 <sup>th</sup> Cir. 1980), cert. denied, 451 U.S. 907 (1981).

589	Fee waiver	Bumgarner v. Webster, No. 84-1460 (D.D.C. Aug. 31, 1984).
590	(a)(1)(D), publication	Bunge Corp. v. United States, 5 Cl. Ct. 511 (1984).
591	(b) (7) (A), (b) (7) (C), (b) (7) (D), proper party defendant	Burch v. FLRA, No. C80-1740 (N.D. Ohio Apr. 10, 1981), modified (N.D. Ohio May 6, 1981).
592	Jurisdiction	Burcher v. McCauley, 871 F. Supp. 864 (E.D. Va. 1994).
593	Personal records	Bureau of Nat'l Affairs, Inc. v. DOJ, 3 GDS ¶83,064 (D.D.C. 1982), aff'd in part & rev'd in part, 742 F.2d 1484 (D.C. Cir. 1984).
594	In camera inspection	Bureau of Nat'l Affairs, Inc. v. IRS, 24 F. Supp. 2d 90 (D.D.C. 1998).
595	(b) (7) (B), (b) (7) (C), law enforce- ment amendments (1986), sum- mary judgment, waiver of exemp- tion	Burge v. Eastburn, No. 88-41 (M.D. La. May 22, 1989) (magistrate's recommendation), adopted (M.D. La. June 30, 1989), aff'd, 934 F.2d 577 (5 <sup>th</sup> Cir. 1991).
596	Proper party defendant	Burgos v. DOJ, No. 87-1222 (D.D.C. Aug. 19, 1987).
597	(b) (4), (b) (5), agency records, at- torney's fees, deliberative process, summary judgment, waiver of ex- emption	Burka v. HHS, No. 92-2636 (D.D.C. Dec. 13, 1993), remanded sua sponte, No. 94-5003 (D.C. Cir. June 15, 1994), on remand (D.D.C. Feb. 8, 1995), rev'd & remanded, 87 F.3d 508 (D.C. Cir. 1996), attorney's fees denied (D.D.C. Mar. 20, 1997), aff'd, 142 F.3d 1286 (D.C. Cir. 1998).
598	(b) (7) (D), assurance of confiden- tiality, in camera inspection, pro se litigant, Vaughn Index, waiver of exemption	Burkall v. Bureau of Prisons, No. 86-2491 (D.D.C. Oct. 27, 1986), summary judgment granted (D.D.C. June 30, 1987).
599	Duty to search	Burkall v. United States Marshals Serv., No. 86- 1703 (D.D.C. Sept. 26, 1986).
600	Privacy Act access, (b) (3), Fed.R. Crim.P. 6(e), (b) (5), (b) (7), (b) (7) (C), (b) (7) (D), (b) (7) (E), (b) (7) (F), assurance of confiden- tiality, deliberative process, duty to search, FOIA/PA interface, "Glo- mar" denial, law enforcement pur- pose, mootness, summary judg- ment, Vaughn Index	Burke v. DEA, No. 96-1739 (D.D.C. Mar. 31, 1998), subsequent opinion sub nom. Burke v. DOJ, 1999 WL 1032814 (D.D.C. Sept. 30, 1999).
601	Attorney's fees, fees, fee waiver, proper party defendant	Burke v. Kelley, No. 75-336 (D. Kan. Feb. 13, 1976), attorney's fees awarded sub nom. Burke v. DOJ, 432 F. Supp. 251 (D. Kan. 1976), aff d, 559 F.2d 1182 (10 <sup>th</sup> Cir. 1977).
602	(b) (4), (b) (5), deliberative process, in camera inspection, reasonably segregable, summary judgment	Burke Energy Corp. v. DOE, 583 F. Supp. 507 (D. Kan. 1984).

603	Exhaustion of administrative rem- edies	Burkhart v. FBI, No. 77-1675 (D.D.C. Jan. 10, 1979).
604	Venue, 28 U.S.C. §1404(a)	Burkhart v. FBI, No. 77-3246 (D. Kan. July 10, 1978).
605	(b) (5), (b) (6), (b) (7) (C), delibera- tive process, incorporation by ref- erence, law enforcement amend- ments (1986)	Burkins v. United States, 865 F. Supp. 1480 (D. Colo. 1994).
606	Privacy Act access, (b) (3), Fed.R. Crim.P. $6(e)$ , (b) (7) (C), (b) (7) (D), (b) (7) (E), assurance of confiden- tiality, in camera inspection	Burks v. DOJ, No. 83-189 (N.D.N.Y. Aug. 9, 1985).
607	No record within scope of request	Burlington N. R.R. Co. v. EPA, No. 91-1636 (D. D.C. June 15, 1992).
608	Adequacy of agency affidavit	Burns v. Comm'r, Nos. 84-170, 84-171 (D. Ariz. Dec. 13, 1984).
609	Duty to search	Burns v. DOJ, No. 99-3173 (D.D.C. Feb. 1, 2001).
610	(b) (2), (b) (3), 26 U.S.C. §6103(b) (2), (b) (7) (E), attorney's fees, "mosaic"	Burns v. IRS, No. 85-1027 (D. Ariz. Oct. 16, 1985), appeal dismissed on procedural grounds, No. 85- 2833 (9 <sup>th</sup> Cir. Sept. 15, 1986).
611	Reverse FOIA, (b) (3), 18 U.S.C. §1905, (b) (4), de novo review, dis- cretionary release, promise of con- fidentiality	Burnside-Ott Aviation Training Ctr. v. United States, 617 F. Supp. 279 (S.D. Fla. 1985).
612	(b) (7) (C), "Glomar" denial, sum- mary judgment	Buros v. HHS, No. 93-571 (W.D. Wis. Oct. 26, 1994).
613	Jurisdiction	Burrell v. Rodgers, 438 F. Supp. 25 (W.D. Okla. 1977).
614	Fee waiver	Burriss v. CIA, 524 F. Supp. 448 (M.D. Tenn. 1981).
615	Privacy Act access, (b) (3), 5 U.S.C. §552a(j) (2), (b) (7) (A), failure to meet time limits, FOIA/ PA interface, summary judgment, waiver of exemption	Burroughs v. BATF, No. 83-C-1095 (N.D. Ill. Jan. 18, 1984) (consolidated).
616	Reverse FOIA, (b)(3), 18 U.S.C. §1905, (b)(4)	Burroughs Corp. v. Brown, 501 F. Supp. 375 (E.D. Va. 1980), rev'd & remanded sub nom. Gen. Motors Corp. v. Marshall, 654 F.2d 294 (4 <sup>th</sup> Cir. 1981).
617	Reverse FOIA, (b)(3), 18 U.S.C. §1905, (b)(4)	Burroughs Corp. v. Schlesinger, 403 F. Supp. 633 (E.D. Va. 1975).
618	Exhaustion of administrative rem- edies, pro se litigant	Bush v. FBI, No. 85-1055 (W.D. Mo. Oct. 24, 1985).

619	<ul> <li>(b) (2), (b) (7) (C), (b) (7) (D),</li> <li>(b) (7) (F), exhaustion of administrative remedies, failure to meet time limits, proper party defendant, pro se litigant</li> </ul>	Bush v. Webster, No. 83-0374 (S.D. Miss. Mar. 18, 1985), aff'd, No. 85-4262 (5 <sup>th</sup> Cir. Feb. 10, 1986) (unpublished memorandum), 783 F.2d 1061 (5 <sup>th</sup> Cir. 1986) (table cite).
620	Fees, fee waiver	Bussey v. Bresson, 2 GDS ¶81,228 (D.D.C. 1981).
621	Privacy Act access, $(b)(7)$ , (b)(7)(A), $(b)(7)(C)$ , $(b)(7)(D)$ , assurance of confidentiality, duty to search, exhaustion of administra- tive remedies, Vaughn Index	Butler v. Dep't of the Air Force, 888 F. Supp. 174 (D.D.C. 1995), summary judgment granted, No. 94- 2306, 1996 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 4062 (D.D.C. Mar. 31, 1996), affd sub nom. Butler v. United States Air Force, No. 96-5111 (D.C. Cir. May 6, 1997) (per curiam).
622	(b) (2), (b) (3), 18 U.S.C. §2510, Fed.R.Crim.P. 6(e), (b) (5), (b) (6), (b) (7) (A), (b) (7) (C), (b) (7) (D), (b) (7) (E), (b) (7) (F), attorney work-product privilege, duty to search, law enforcement amend- ments (1986)	Butler v. DOJ, No. 86-2255, 1994 WL 55621 (D. D.C. Feb. 3, 1994), appeal voluntarily dismissed, No. 94-5078 (D.C. Cir. Sept. 8, 1994).
623	(b) (7) (C), (b) (7) (D), (b) (7) (E), assurance of confidentiality, attor- ney's fees, law enforcement amend- ments (1986), summary judgment	Butler v. Dep't of the Treasury, No. 95-1931, 1997 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 802 (D.D.C. Jan. 14, 1997).
624	Exhaustion of administrative rem- edies, fee waiver	Butler v. IRS, No. C78-1582 (N.D. Ga. Jan. 25, 1979).
625	Jurisdiction	Butler v. Marshall, No. 92-16955 ( $9^{th}$ Cir. June 4, 1993) (unpublished memorandum), 995 F.2d 230 ( $9^{th}$ Cir. 1993) (table cite).
626	Adequacy of request, duty to search, mootness, summary judg-ment	Butler v. Nelson, No. 96-48-M, 1997 WL 580331 (D. Mont. May 15, 1997).
627	Agency	Butler v. United States Prob., No. 95-1705, 1996 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 5241 (D.D.C. Apr. 22, 1996).
628	Dismissal for failure to prosecute	Caginalp v. IRS, No. 80-1749 (D.D.C. June 15, 1982).
629	(b) (5), exhaustion of administra- tive remedies, mootness, stay pend- ing appeal	Caifano v. United States Parole Comm'n, No. 85- 3513 (D.D.C. Feb. 26, 1986), dismissed (D.D.C. Sept. 18, 1986).
630	(a) (6) (A), exceptional circum- stances/due diligence, failure to meet time limits, Vaughn Index	Caifano v. Wampler, 588 F. Supp. 1392 (N.D. Ill. 1984).
631	(b) (7) (A)	Cain v. FBI, 2 GDS ¶81,220 (D.D.C. 1981).
632	(b) (5)	Cairo Chamber of Commerce v. ICC, 2 GDS ¶81, 405 (S.D. Ill. 1981).

633	(b) (3), Pub.L.No. 100-460, §630, no record within scope of request, summary judgment	Cal-Almond, Inc. v. USDA, No. 89-574 (E.D. Cal. Dec. 8, 1989), rev'd & remanded, 960 F.2d 105 (9 <sup>th</sup> Cir. 1992), on remand (E.D. Cal. Mar. 17, 1993), modification denied (E.D. Cal. July 12, 1993), ap- peal dismissed by stipulation, No. 93-16727 (9 <sup>th</sup> Cir. Oct. 26, 1994).
634	(b) (3), 26 U.S.C. §6103, (b) (7) (A), displacement of FOIA	Cal-Am Corp. v. IRS, 1 GDS ¶80,189 (C.D. Cal. 1980).
635	(b) (4)	Calhoun v. Lyng, 864 F.2d 34 (5 <sup>th</sup> Cir. 1988).
636	(b) (2), (b) (5), deliberative process, incorporation by reference, reason- ably segregable, summary judgment	Cal. Save our Streams Council v. FERC, No. 87- 166 (E.D. Cal. Nov. 13, 1987).
637	(b) (2), (b) (7) (C), (b) (7) (F), in camera inspection, summary judg- ment	Callahan v. Executive Office for United States At- torneys, No. 98-1826 (D.D.C. Sept. 4, 2001).
638	Exhaustion of administrative rem- edies	Calon v. Apfel, No. 98-3190, 1999 WL 415340 (10 <sup>th</sup> Cir. Apr. 26, 1999) (unpublished order), 185 F.3d 873 (10 <sup>th</sup> Cir. 1999) (table cite).
639	(b)(1), (b)(2), (b)(3), (b)(6), at- torney's fees	Cameron v. CIA, No. C76-1741 (N.D. Ga. Sept. 30, 1977), attorney's fees denied (N.D. Ga. Nov. 17, 1977).
640	Summary judgment	Cameron v. DOJ, No. 94-0566 (D.D.C. June 26, 1995).
641	Mootness	Cameron v. Egger, No. 84-1122 (S.D.N.Y. May 7, 1984).
642	Dismissal for failure to prosecute	Camp v. Reno, No. 99-2213 (D.D.C. June 1, 2001), summary judgment granted sub nom. Camp v. DOJ (D.D.C. Mar. 5, 2001).
643	Reverse FOIA, (b)(6), preliminary injunction, reasonably segregable, waiver of exemption	Campaign for Family Farms v. Glickman, No. 99- 1165 (D. Minn. Sept. 20, 1999), aff'd & remanded, 200 F.3d 1180 (8 <sup>th</sup> Cir. 2000), on remand sub nom. Campaign for Family Farms v. Veneman, 2001 WL 1631459 (D. Minn. July 19, 2001).
644	Vaughn Index	Campaign for Responsible Transplantation v. FDA, 180 F. Supp. 2d 29 (D.D.C. 2001).
645	Fee waiver	Campanille v. DOJ, No. 79-142 (D.D.C. Mar. 15, 1979).
646	(b)(2), (b)(5), (b)(6), attorney's fees	Campbell v. Civil Serv. Comm'n, No. 75-494 (D. Colo. 1975), aff'd, 539 F.2d 58 (10 <sup>th</sup> Cir. 1976).

647	(b) (1), E.O. 12356, E.O. 12958, (b) (2), (b) (3), 50 U.S.C. §403(d) (3), §403g, (b) (7), (b) (7) (C), (b) (7) (D), (b) (7) (E), adequacy of agency affidavit, ade- quacy of request, assurance of con- fidentiality, duty to search, exhaus- tion of administrative remedies, fee waiver (Reform Act), law enforce- ment amendments (1986), law enforcement purpose	Campbell v. DOJ, No. 89-3016 (D.D.C. Jan. 21, 1992), summary judgment granted in part, 1996 WL 554511 (D.D.C. Sept. 19, 1996), on in camera inspection (D.D.C. Aug. 6, 1997), rev'd & remanded, 164 F.3d 20 (D.C. Cir. 1999), summary judgment granted in part (D.D.C. Sept. 28, 2001).
648	Summary judgment	Campbell v. Frank, No. 88-4820 (E.D. Pa. Mar. 30, 1989).
649	(b)(2), (b)(6), (b)(7)	Campbell v. Guy, No. 5-71646 (E.D. Mich. Oct. 2, 1975), aff'd sub nom. Campbell v. Attorney Gen., No. 75-2486 ( $6^{th}$ Cir. Aug. 4, 1976) (unpublished memorandum), 539 F.2d 711 ( $6^{th}$ Cir. 1976) (table cite).
650	(b)(7)(A), (b)(7)(B), in camera affidavit, in camera inspection	Campbell v. HHS, 518 F. Supp. 1114 (D.D.C. 1981), rev'd & remanded, 682 F.2d 256 (D.C. Cir. 1982).
651	Fees (Reform Act)	Campbell v. Johnson, No. 91-0690 (D.D.C. June 11, 1991), summary affirmance granted, No. 91- 5217 (D.C. Cir. May 8, 1992) (unpublished order), 966 F.2d 701 (D.C. Cir. 1992) (table cite), reh'g denied (D.C. Cir. July 3, 1992).
652	Fee waiver (Reform Act), summary judgment	Campbell v. Sec'y of the Army, No. 88-0860 (D. D.C. Sept. 28, 1988).
653	Attorney's fees, exhaustion of ad- ministrative remedies, pro se liti- gant	Campbell v. Unknown Power Superintendent of the Flathead Irrigation & Power Project, No. 91-35104 (9 <sup>th</sup> Cir. Apr. 22, 1992) (unpublished memoran- dum), 961 F.2d 216 (9 <sup>th</sup> Cir. 1992) (table cite).
654	Jurisdiction	Campos v. INS, 32 F. Supp. 2d 1337 (S.D. Fla. 1998).
655	(b) (5), (b) (7) (C), (b) (7) (D), attorney-client privilege, attorney work-product privilege, delibera- tive process, inter- or intra-agency memoranda, proper party defen- dant	Canadian Javelin v. SEC, 501 F. Supp. 898 (D.D.C. 1980).
656	Discovery/FOIA interface	Canal Auth. v. Froehlke, 81 F.R.D. 609 (M.D. Fla. 1979).
657	Reverse FOIA, (b)(3), 18 U.S.C. §1905, (b)(4), de novo review	Canal Ref. Co. v. Corrallo, 616 F. Supp. 1035 (D. D.C. 1985).
658	Mootness	Candito v. DEA, No. 3:95-1692 (D. Conn. Aug. 11, 1997).

659	<ul> <li>(b) (3), Fed.R.Crim.P. 6(e),</li> <li>(b) (7) (C), (b) (7) (D), assurance of confidentiality, duty to search, reasonably segregable, summary judgment</li> </ul>	Canning v. DOJ, 919 F. Supp. 451 (D.D.C. 1994), summary judgment granted, No. 92-0463 (D.D.C. June 23, 1995).
660	(b) (1), E.O. 12356, adequacy of agency affidavit, duty to search, in camera affidavit, Vaughn Index	Canning v. DOJ, No. 92-0503 (D.D.C. July 15, 1992), summary judgment granted, 848 F. Supp. 1037 (D.D.C. 1994).
661	(b) (2), (b) (3), Fed.R.Crim.P. 6(e), (b) (5), (b) (7) (C), (b) (7) (D), (b) (7) (E), attorney work-product privilege, deliberative process, duty to search, law enforcement amend- ments (1986)	Canning v. Dep't of the Treasury, No. 94-2704 (D. D.C. May 7, 1998), summary judgment granted (D. D.C. Mar. 21, 2001).
662	(b) (3), 26 U.S.C. §6103(a), (b) (7) (C), duty to search	Canning v. Dep't of the Treasury, No. 91-2324 (D. D.C. Apr. 28, 1993).
663	Attorney's fees	Cantino v. NLRB, No. 81-1554 (D. Mass. Mar. 18, 1982).
664	(b) (7) (A), FOIA as a discovery tool, injunction of agency proceed- ing pending resolution of FOIA claim	Capital Cities Communication, Inc. v. NLRB, 409 F. Supp. 971 (N.D. Cal. 1976).
665	(b) (7) (A), (b) (7) (C)	Capital Times Co. v. NLRB, 483 F. Supp. 247 (E.D. Wis. 1980).
666	(a) (2) (C), (b) (2), (b) (7), equitable discretion	Caplan v. BATF, 445 F. Supp. 699 (S.D.N.Y. 1978), aff'd on other grounds, 587 F.2d 544 (2d Cir. 1978).
667	(b) (2), (b) (5), (b) (6), (b) (7), (b) (7) (C), (b) (7) (D), in camera in- spection, law enforcement amend- ments (1986), law enforcement purpose	Cappabianca v. Comm'r, United States Customs Serv., 847 F. Supp. 1558 (M.D. Fla. 1994).
668	(a)(1), (a)(2)(C), publication	Capuano v. Nat'l Transp. Safety Bd., 843 F.2d 56 (1 <sup>st</sup> Cir. 1988).
669	Waiver of exemption (failure to as- sert in litigation)	Carabetta Enters., Inc. v. Harris, 86 Lab. Cas. (CCH) ¶33,786 (D.D.C. 1979).
670	Exceptional circumstances/due dil- igence, expedited processing	Cardillo v. Smith, No. 84-3906 (D.D.C. Apr. 16, 1985), subsequent decision (D.D.C. Aug. 2, 1985).
671	(b) (6), summary judgment	Cardona v. INS, No. 93-3912, 1995 WL 68747 (N.D. Ill. Feb. 15, 1995).
672	(b) (3), 26 U.S.C. §6103(b) (2), (b) (7) (E), attorney's fees	Career Guidance Found. v. IRS, No. 01CV0109, 2002 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 3840 (S.D. Cal. Feb. 13, 2002).

673	(b) (1), E.O. 12065, (b) (4), (b) (5), deliberative process, mootness, promise of confidentiality, waiver of exemption (unauthorized re- lease)	Carlisle Tire & Rubber Co. v. United States Customs Serv., 1 GDS ¶79,162 (D.D.C. 1979), affd in part, rev'd in part, 663 F.2d 210 (D.C. Cir. 1980).
674	(b)(5), attorney-client privilege, deliberative process, reasonably se- gregable, Vaughn Index	Carlton v. Dep't of Interior, No. 97-2105 (D.D.C. Sept. 3, 1998), appeal voluntarily dismissed, No. 98- 5518 (D.C. Cir. Nov. 13, 1998).
675	Adequacy of request, no record within scope of request	Carnessale v. Reno, No. 95-0279 (C.D. Cal. May 2, 1995).
676	(b) (1), E.O. 12356, (b) (3), 50 U.S.C. §403(d) (3), §403g, (b) (5), deliberative process, in camera in- spection, reasonably segregable, summary judgment, waiver of ex- emption	Carney v. CIA, No. 88-0602 (C.D. Cal. Feb. 28, 1991) (magistrate's recommendation), supplemental recommendation (C.D. Cal. Apr. 25, 1991), adopted (C.D. Cal. Apr. 26, 1991).
677	(b) (5), (b) (6), adequacy of agency affidavit, discovery in FOIA litiga- tion, fee waiver (Reform Act), summary judgment	Carney v. DOJ, No. 92-6204 (W.D.N.Y. Apr. 26, 1993), aff'd in part, rev'd in part, 19 F.3d 807 (2d Cir. 1994), cert. denied, 513 U.S. 823 (1994).
678	Reverse FOIA, (b)(4)	Carolina Biological Supply Co. v. USDA, No. 2:93-0113 (M.D.N.C. Aug. 2, 1993).
679	Privacy Act access, (b) (3), 26 U.S.C. §6103(e) (7), (b) (7), (b) (7) (C), (b) (7) (E), law enforce- ment purpose, summary judgment	Carp v. IRS, No. 00-5992, 2002 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 2921 (D.N.J. Jan. 28, 2002).
680	Attorney's fees	Carpa v. FBI, No. 00-2025 (D.D.C. Oct. 15, 2001).
681	Duty to search	Carreras v. United States Customs Serv., No. 96- 1435 (D.D.C. Feb. 25, 1997), reconsideration de- nied (D.D.C. Apr. 21, 1997), summary affirmance granted, No. 97-5174 (D.C. Cir. Dec. 23, 1997).
682	(b) (1), (b) (2), (b) (3), 18 U.S.C. §2518(8), Fed.R.Crim.P. 6(e), (b) (5), (b) (7) (A), (b) (7) (C), (b) (7) (D), (b) (7) (E), (b) (7) (F), attorney's fees, exhaustion of ad- ministrative remedies, failure to meet time limits, fees	Carroll v. DOJ, No. 76-2038 (D.D.C. July 29, 1977), summary judgment granted (D.D.C. May 26, 1978).
683	Mootness	Carroll v. IRS, No. C90-270 (N.D. Ohio July 2, 1990).
684	(b) (5), adequacy of agency affi- davit, deliberative process, reason- ably segregable, Vaughn Index, waiver of exemption	Carroll v. IRS, No. 82-3524 (D.D.C. Oct. 3, 1983), partial summary judgment granted (D.D.C. Jan. 31, 1986).
685	Attorney's fees	Carson v. Criminal Div. of DOJ, No. 79-93 (D. Conn. Nov. 2, 1979).

686	<ul> <li>(b) (2), (b) (5), (b) (7) (A),</li> <li>(b) (7) (C), judicial records, improper withholding, mootness, waiver of exemption (administrative release), waiver of exemption (failure to assert in litigation)</li> </ul>	Carson v. DOJ, No. 79-0140 (D.D.C. July 25, 1979), aff'd in part, rev'd in part & remanded, 631 F.2d 1008 (D.C. Cir. 1980), on remand, 2 GDS ¶82,011 (D.D.C. 1981).
687	Dismissal for failure to prosecute	Carson v. DOJ, No. 78-2431 (D.D.C. Feb. 16, 1979), dismissed, 1 GDS ¶80,276 (D.D.C. 1980).
688	Dismissal for failure to prosecute	Carson v. DOJ, No. 79-0233 (D.D.C. Feb. 16, 1979), summary judgment granted, 1 GDS ¶80,275 (D.D.C. 1980).
689	In camera inspection	Carson v. United States Marshals Serv., 1 GDS ¶80, 275 (D.D.C. 1980).
690	(a)(1)(D)	Carter v. Blum, 493 F. Supp. 368 (S.D.N.Y. 1980).
691	(b)(5), deliberative process, sum- mary judgment	Carter v. Dep't of Commerce, 186 F. Supp. 2d 1147 (D. Or. 2001).
692	(b) (5), (b) (6), adequacy of agency affidavit, attorney work-product privilege, in camera inspection, rea- sonably segregable	Carter v. Dep't of Commerce, No. 85-0975 (D.D.C. July 23, 1986), summary affirmance granted in part, No. 86-5556 (D.C. Cir. Dec. 17, 1986), aff'd in part & remanded in part, 830 F.2d 388 (D.C. Cir. 1987).
693	Exceptional circumstances/due dil- igence	Carter v. DEA, No. 82-2465 (D.D.C. Dec. 22, 1982).
694	Duty to search	Carter v. Nat'l Sec. Agency, No. 93-3847 (D. Md. Jan. 10, 1995), aff'd, 54 F.3d 772 (4 <sup>th</sup> Cir. 1995) (per curiam).
695	Privacy Act access	Carter v. Orr, No. 81-155 (W.D. Okla. Apr. 29, 1981).
696	Attorney's fees, mootness	Carter v. VA, 780 F.2d 1479 (9th Cir. 1986).
697	Attorney's fees	Carver v. IRS, No. 83-3716 (E.D. Mich. Apr. 10, 1984), attorney's fees denied (E.D. Mich. Mar. 25, 1985), reconsideration denied (E.D. Mich. Apr. 25, 1985).
698	(b) (3), 26 U.S.C. §6103(b) (2), §6103(e), §6103(e) (7), summary judgment	Casa Investors v. Gibbs, No. 88-2485, 1990 WL 180703 (D.D.C. Oct. 11, 1990).
699	(b)(5), inter- or intra-agency mem- oranda	Casanova-Cruz v. United States Parole Comm'n, No. 86-2936 (D.D.C. Nov. 13, 1986), motion to re- open denied (D.D.C. May 20, 1987).
700	(b)(5), deliberative process, discov- ery/FOIA interface	Caspe v. United States, 1 GDS ¶80,048 (S.D. Iowa 1980).
701	(b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(D), proper party defendant	Castaneda v. United States, No. 83-0969 (S.D. Cal. Nov. 16, 1983), rev'd, 757 F.2d 1010 (9 <sup>th</sup> Cir. 1985), amended, 773 F.2d 251 (9 <sup>th</sup> Cir. 1985).
702	Privacy Act access	Castle v. Civil Serv. Comm'n, No. 77-1544 (D.D.C. Jan. 23, 1979).

703	Privacy Act access, personal rec- ords	Castrey v. McMurray, 3 GDS ¶83,283 (D.D.C. 1983).
704	(b)(5), deliberative process, waiver of exemption	Catchpole v. Dep't of Transp., No. 2:96-118 (S.D. Ga. Nov. 11, 1996), rev'd & remanded, No. 97- 8058 (11 <sup>th</sup> Cir. Feb. 25, 1998).
705	Attorney's fees, exhaustion of ad- ministrative remedies	Cazalas v. DOJ, No. 79-2088 (E.D. La. Jan. 9, 1980), rev'd in part & remanded, 660 F.2d 612 (5 <sup>th</sup> Cir. 1981), on remand, 2 GDS ¶82,092 (E.D. La. 1981), rev'd & remanded, 709 F.2d 1051 (5 <sup>th</sup> Cir. 1983).
706	Reverse FOIA, (b)(4), summary judgment, voluntary submissions	CC Distribs., Inc. v. Kinzinger, No. 94-1330, 1995 WL 405445 (D.D.C. June 28, 1995).
707	(b) (2), (b) (7) (C), (b) (7) (D), (b) (7) (F), summary judgment	Ceballos-Peralta v. DEA, No. 92-239 (W.D. Pa. July 28, 1994) (magistrate's recommendation), adopted (W.D. Pa. Aug. 31, 1994).
708	(b) (7) (A), discovery in FOIA liti- gation, exceptional circumstances/ due diligence, jurisdiction, in cam- era inspection	Cecola v. FBI, No. 94-4866, 1995 WL 143548 (N.D. Ill. Mar. 30, 1995), summary judgment granted in part, 1995 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 13253 (N.D. Ill. Sept. 7, 1995), case transferred, 1995 WL 645620 (N.D. Ill. Nov. 1, 1995).
709	Reverse FOIA, (b)(3), 18 U.S.C. §1905	Cedars Nursing & Convalescent Ctr., Inc. v. Aetna Life Ins. & Cas. Co., 472 F. Supp. 296 (E.D. Pa. 1979).
710	Privacy Act access, reverse FOIA	Cell Assocs., Inc. v. Nat'l Insts. of Health, 579 F.2d 1155 (9 <sup>th</sup> Cir. 1978).
711	(b) (1), E.O. 11652, E.O. 12065, (b) (2), (b) (7) (C), (b) (7) (D), (b) (7) (F), assurance of confidenti- ality	Cellini v. FBI, No. 77-1933 (D.D.C. June 6, 1979).
712	(b) (6)	Celmins v. Dep't of the Treasury, 457 F. Supp. 13 (D.D.C. 1977).
713	(b)(5), deliberative process	Ctr. for Auto Safety v. Bowers, 1 GDS ¶80,217 (D. D.C. 1980).
714	(b) (5), (b) (7), (b) (7) (A), attorney work-product privilege, delibera- tive process, inter- or intra-agency memoranda, law enforcement pur- pose, settlement documents	Ctr. for Auto Safety v. DOJ, 576 F. Supp. 739 (D. D.C. 1983), amended, 3 GDS ¶83,240 (D.D.C. 1983), stay granted, 3 GDS ¶83,241 (D.D.C. 1983).
715	(b)(5), in camera inspection, moot- ness	Ctr. for Auto Safety v. EPA, 3 GDS ¶83,066 (D. D.C. 1983), aff d, 731 F.2d 16 (D.C. Cir. 1984).
716	(b) (4), adequacy of agency affida- vit, customary treatment, summary judgment, voluntary submissions	Ctr. for Auto Safety v. Nat'l Highway Traffic Safety Admin., 93 F. Supp. 2d 1 (D.D.C. 2000), remand- ed, 244 F.3d 144 (D.C. Cir. 2001).
717	(b)(6), summary judgment	Ctr. for Auto Safety v. Nat'l Highway Traffic Safety Admin., 809 F. Supp. 148 (D.D.C. 1993).

718	(b)(4), proper party defendant	Ctr. for Dev. Policy v. ExpImp. Bank of the United States, 2 GDS ¶82,201 (D.D.C. 1982).
719	(b)(7), case or controversy	Ctr. for Nat'l Policy Review on Race & Urban Issues v. Richardson, No. 71-2177 (D.D.C. Dec. 8, 1972), rev'd sub nom. Ctr. for Nat'l Policy Review on Race & Urban Issues v. Weinberger, 502 F.2d 370 (D.C. Cir. 1974), dismissed on remand (D.D.C. Sept. 9, 1974), aff'd, 534 F.2d 351 (D.C. Cir. 1976).
720	(b) (1), E.O. 12065, (b) (5), Con- gressional records, deliberative process, discovery in FOIA litiga- tion, exceptional circumstances/ due diligence, failure to meet time limits, fee waiver, jurisdiction, "mo- saic," prompt disclosure	Ctr. for Nat'l Sec. Studies v. CIA, No. 80-1235 (D. D.C. Jan. 8, 1981), on motion for summary judg- ment, 2 GDS ¶81,285 (D.D.C. 1981), subsequent decision, 3 GDS ¶82,256 (D.D.C. 1982), partial summary judgment granted (D.D.C. May 17, 1983), remanded, 711 F.2d 409 (D.C. Cir. 1983), partial summary judgment granted, 577 F. Supp. 584 (D. D.C. 1983).
721	Discovery in FOIA litigation	Ctr. for Nat'l Sec. Studies v. DOJ, No. 01-2500, 2002 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 2983 (D.D.C. Feb. 21, 2002).
722	(b) (1), E.O. 12356	Ctr. for Nat'l Sec. Studies v. Dep't of State, No. 86- 0295 (D.D.C. Jan. 29, 1987).
723	(b) (1), E.O. 12356, (b) (3), 50 U.S.C. §403(d) (3), §403g, (b) (5), (b) (7), (b) (7) (E), deliberative process, discovery in FOIA litiga- tion, exceptional circumstances/ due diligence, law enforcement amendments (1986), law enforce- ment purpose, summary judgment	Ctr. for Nat'l Sec. Studies v. INS, No. 87-2068 (D. D.C. July 27, 1988), Vaughn Index ordered (D.D.C. Apr. 12, 1989), summary judgment granted, 1990 WL 236133 (D.D.C. Dec. 19, 1990).
724	Discovery in FOIA litigation	Ctr. for Nat'l Sec. Studies v. Office of Indep. Coun- sel, No. 91-1691 (D.D.C. Mar. 2, 1993).
725	Exhaustion of administrative rem- edies	Ctr. for the Def. of Free Enter. v. President's Comm'n on Ams. Outdoors, No. C87-32 (W.D. Wash. Mar. 31, 1987).
726	(b) (4), (b) (7), (b) (7) (C), exhaus- tion of administrative remedies, fees (Reform Act)	Ctr. to Prevent Handgun Violence v. Dep't of the Treasury, 981 F. Supp. 20 (D.D.C. 1997), attorney's fees granted, 49 F. Supp. 2d 3 (D.D.C. 1999).
727	(b) (3), 41 U.S.C. §253b(m), (b) (4), summary judgment	Ctr. for Pub. Integrity v. DOE, 191 F. Supp. 2d 187 (D.D.C. 2002).
728	Privacy Act access, (b) (5), (b) (6), (b) (7), attorney work-product priv- ilege, duty to search, exhaustion of administrative remedies, reasonably segregable, summary judgment	Centracchio v. FBI, No. 92-0357 (D.D.C. Mar. 16, 1993).
729	(b)(5), (b)(6)	Cent. Pa. Legal Servs. v. HHS, No. 86-2621 (D. D.C. Feb. 27, 1987).

730	(b) (3), Fed.R.Crim.P. 6(e), (b) (5), (b) (7), (b) (7) (C), deliberative process, in camera inspection, judi- cial records	Cerella v. Bell, No. 79-6426 (S.D. Fla. Aug. 2, 1984) (magistrate's recommendation), adopted (S.D. Fla. Aug. 23, 1984).
731	(b)(1), belated classification, in camera inspection	Cervase v. Dep't of State, No. 76-2338 (D.N.J. Apr. 1, 1977), aff'd, No. 77-1627 (3d Cir. 1978) (unpublished memorandum), 573 F.2d 1301 (3d Cir. 1978) (table cite).
732	(b) (1), (b) (2), (b) (3), 50 U.S.C. §403, (b) (6), duty to search, in camera inspection	Cerveny v. CIA, 445 F. Supp. 772 (D. Colo. 1978).
733	Dismissal for failure to prosecute	Cessna Aircraft Co. v. Hall, No. 84-C-10850 (N.D. Ill. Mar. 19, 1985).
734	(b) (5), (b) (7) (A), (b) (7) (C), (b) (7) (D), burden of proof, injunc- tion of agency proceeding pending resolution of FOIA claim, jurisdic- tion	Cessna Aircraft Co. v. NLRB, 405 F. Supp. 1042 (D. Kan. 1975), rev'd, 542 F.2d 834 (10 <sup>th</sup> Cir. 1976).
735	Agency, mootness	Chaklos v. Reich, No. 95-1763 (W.D. Pa. Feb. 20, 1998).
736	(a) (2) (C), (b) (3), 26 U.S.C. §6103, §7213, (b) (5), (b) (6), (b) (7) (A), (b) (7) (C), attorney's fees, deliberative process	Chamberlain v. Alexander, 419 F. Supp. 235 (S.D. Ala. 1976), modified sub nom. Chamberlain v. Kurtz, 589 F.2d 827 (5 <sup>th</sup> Cir. 1979), cert. denied, 444 U.S. 842 (1979).
737	(b) (7) (C), duty to search, inter- action of (a) (2) & (a) (3)	Chamberlain v. DOJ, 957 F. Supp. 292 (D.D.C. 1997), summary affirmance granted, No. 97-5095, 1997 WL 583885 (D.C. Cir. Aug. 22, 1997) (un- published order), 124 F.3d 1309 (D.C. Cir. 1997) (table cite).
738	FOIA as a discovery tool	Chamber of Commerce of the United States v. Le- gal Aid Soc'y, 423 U.S. 1309 (1975).
739	Vaughn Index	Chamber of Commerce of the United States v. OMB, 1 GDS ¶80,240 (D.D.C. 1980), dismissed, No. 80-1384 (D.D.C. Mar. 31, 1981).
740	Case or controversy	Chambers v. Carlson, No. 87-0393 (D.D.C. June 16, 1987).
741	(b) (5)	Chambers v. United States Parole Comm'n, No. 86- 1704 (D.D.C. Sept. 24, 1986), stay pending appeal (D.D.C. Nov. 12, 1986).
742	Exhaustion of administrative rem- edies	Chandler v. IRS, No. 90-35501 ( $9^{th}$ Cir. Mar. 5, 1991) (unpublished memorandum), 927 F.2d 608 ( $9^{th}$ Cir. 1991) (table cite).
743	Mootness	Chandler v. IRS, No. 90-1062 (D. Or. Apr. 16, 1991).
744	Exhaustion of administrative rem- edies	Chang v. INS, No. 88-450 (S.D. Tex. May 13, 1988).

745	Exhaustion of administrative rem- edies	Chapman v. Co-Dir., DOJ, No. 94-103 (D. Minn. June 19, 1995) (magistrate's recommendation), adopted (D. Minn. June 29, 1995).
746	(b)(6), exhaustion of administra- tive remedies	Chappell v. Hodgson, No. 15-480 (D. Conn. July 19, 1973).
747	Reverse FOIA, (b)(3), 18 U.S.C. §1905, (b)(4), discretionary re- lease, promise of confidentiality	Charles River Park "A," Inc. v. HUD, 360 F. Supp. 212 (D.D.C. 1973), rev'd & remanded, 519 F.2d 935 (D.C. Cir. 1975).
748	(b) (3), 42 U.S.C. §2000e, (b) (7) (A), (b) (7) (D)	Charlotte-Mecklenburg Hosp. Auth. v. Perry, 571 F.2d 195 (4 <sup>th</sup> Cir. 1978).
749	(b) (7) (C)	Chasse v. DOJ, Nos. 1:98-207, 1:98-208 (D. Vt. Jan. 14, 1999) (magistrate's recommendation), adopted (D. Vt. Feb. 9, 1999), aff'd sub nom. De- vine v. United States, 202 F.3d 547 (2d Cir. 2000).
750	(b) (7) (A), injunction of agency proceeding pending resolution of FOIA claim	Chassen Bakers, Inc. v. NLRB, 91 L.R.R.M. 2345 (M.D. Pa. 1975).
751	Exhaustion of administrative rem- edies, jurisdiction	Chatigny v. United States, No. 90-0844 (C.D. Cal. Nov. 27, 1990).
752	Fee waiver, pro se litigant	Chauvin v. HHS, No. 83-9073 (S.D.N.Y. May 22, 1984).
753	(a)(1)(D), publication	Chaves County Home Health Servs. v. Sullivan, 732 F. Supp. 188 (D.D.C. 1990).
754	(b) (5)	Chavez v. DOJ, No. 85-2988 (D.D.C. Sept. 19, 1986).
755	(b) (7)	Chavkin v. Alexander, 401 F. Supp. 817 (S.D.N.Y. 1975).
756	(a) (2)	Checkosky v. SEC, 23 F.3d 452 (D.C. Cir. 1994).
757	(b) (3), 26 U.S.C. §6103(e) (7), displacement of FOIA, fee waiver	Cheek v. IRS, No. 83-C-6851 (N.D. Ill. June 11, 1984).
758	(b) (3), 26 U.S.C. §6103(a) (1), §6103(e) (7), displacement of FOIA, FOIA/PA interface	Cheek v. IRS, 703 F.2d 271 (7 <sup>th</sup> Cir. 1983).
759	(b) (5), attorney-client privilege, attorney work-product privilege, deliberative process, exhaustion of administrative remedies, summary judgment, waiver of exemption	Chemcentral/Grand Rapids Corp. v. EPA, No. 91- C-4380 (N.D. Ill. Aug. 20, 1992) (magistrate's rec- ommendation), adopted, 1992 WL 281322 (N.D. Ill. Oct. 5, 1992).
760	(b)(5), deliberative process	Chem. Mfrs. Ass'n v. Consumer Prod. Safety Comm'n, 600 F. Supp. 114 (D.D.C. 1984), motion to amend denied, No. 84-1852 (D.D.C. Feb. 14, 1985), renewed motion to amend denied (D.D.C. Mar. 12, 1985).

761	(b) (5), inter- or intra-agency mem- oranda, reasonably segregable, waiver of exemption (administra- tive release)	Chem. Mfrs. Ass'n v. OSHA, No. 80-0605 (D.D.C. Dec. 12, 1980).
762	Reverse FOIA, (b)(4), voluntary submissions	Chem. Waste Mgmt., Inc. v. O'Leary, No. 94-2230, 1995 WL 115894 (D.D.C. Feb. 28, 1995).
763	(a)(1)(D), publication	Chen v. Slattery, 862 F. Supp. 814 (E.D.N.Y. 1994).
764	(b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E)	Cheney v. FBI, No. 86-C-126 (W.D. Wis. Apr. 6, 1987).
765	Privacy Act access, exhaustion of administrative remedies, FOIA/PA interface	Cheney v. Graham, No. 86-C-197 (W.D. Wis. Apr. 21, 1986), dismissed sub nom. Cheney v. DOJ (W.D. Wis. Apr. 6, 1987).
766	(b) (3), 10 U.S.C. §130, (b) (5), de- liberative process, exhaustion of administrative remedies, in camera inspection, mootness	Chenkin v. Dep't of the Army, No. 1:93-494, 1994 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 20907 (M.D. Pa. Jan. 14, 1994), summary affirmance denied, No. 94-7109 (3d Cir. Aug. 26, 1994), aff'd as moot, 61 F.3d 894 (3d Cir. 1995).
767	(b) (3), 26 U.S.C. §6103, displace- ment of FOIA	Chermack v. IRS, 2 GDS ¶81,171 (N.D. Tex. 1981).
768	(b) (3), 7 U.S.C. §2276, agency rec- ords, attorney's fees	Chesapeake Bay Found. v. USDA, No. 89-1943 (D. D.C. Mar. 26, 1990), subsequent order (D.D.C. Nov. 26, 1990), attorney's fees awarded, 785 F. Supp. 1030 (D.D.C. 1992), rev'd & remanded, 11 F.3d 211 (D.C. Cir. 1993), cert. denied, 513 U.S. 927 (1994), renewed motion for attorney's fees granted, 917 F. Supp. 64 (D.D.C. 1996), rev'd, 108 F.3d 375 (D.C. Cir. 1997).
769	(a)(1)(D), (a)(2)(A)	Cheshire Hosp. v. N.HVt. Hospitalization Serv., 689 F.2d 1112 (1 <sup>st</sup> Cir. 1982).
770	Attorney's fees	Chestnut v. Farmers Home Admin., No. 83-6399 (D. Or. Sept. 14, 1984) (magistrate's recommenda- tion), adopted (D. Or. Oct. 9, 1984).
771	Vaughn Index	Chetwynd v. FBI, No. 88-2666 (D.D.C. Nov. 18, 1988), summary judgment granted (D.D.C. Apr. 24, 1989).
772	Reverse FOIA, (b)(3), 18 U.S.C. §1905	Chevron Chem. Co. v. Costle, 443 F. Supp. 1024 (N.D. Cal. 1978).
773	(b)(4), summary judgment	Chi. Tribune Co. v. FAA, No. 97 C 2363, 1998 WL 242611 (N.D. Ill. May 7, 1998).
774	(b) (5), (b) (6), attorney-client priv- ilege, agency records, attorney work-product privilege, delibera- tive process, improper withholding, waiver of exemption	Chi. Tribune Co. v. HHS, No. 95-C-3917, 1997 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 2308 (N.D. Ill. Feb. 26, 1997) (magistrate's recommendation), adopted (N.D. Ill. Mar. 28, 1997), reconsideration denied (N.D. Ill. Mar. 13, 1998), judgment enforced, 1999 WL 299875 (N.D. Ill. May 4, 1999), stay denied (N.D. Ill. May 21, 1999), emergency stay denied, No. 99- 2162 (7 <sup>th</sup> Cir. June 9, 1999).

775	(b) (5), (b) (7) (A), attorney work- product privilege, mootness, proper party defendant, waiver of exemp- tion	Chilivis v. SEC, 1 GDS ¶79,208 (N.D. Ga. 1979), aff'd, 673 F.2d 1205 (11 <sup>th</sup> Cir. 1982).
776	(b)(6), attorney's fees	Chin v. Dep't of the Air Force, No. 97-2176 (W.D. La. June 24, 1999), aff'd, No. 99-31237 (5 <sup>th</sup> Cir. June 15, 2000).
777	Jurisdiction	Chiron Corp. v. Nat'l Transp. Safety Bd., 27 F. Supp. 2d 257 (D.D.C. 1998), petition dismissed, 198 F.3d 935 (D.C. Cir. 1999).
778	(b)(5), deliberative process	Chocolate Mfrs. Ass'n v. FTC, Nos. 78-1240, 78- 1879 (D.D.C. Dec. 22, 1978).
779	No record within scope of request	Chodos v. FBI, No. 78-2020 (S.D.N.Y. Mar. 30, 1979).
780	(b) (7) (A), (b) (7) (D), (b) (7) (E), exceptional circumstances/due dil- igence, FOIA as a discovery tool, waiver of exemption	Choe v. Smith, No. C87-1764 (W.D. Wash. Apr. 20, 1989), reconsideration denied (W.D. Wash. June 6, 1989), aff'd on other grounds, No. 90-35339 (9 <sup>th</sup> Cir. June 17, 1991) (unpublished memorandum), 935 F.2d 274 (9 <sup>th</sup> Cir. 1991) (table cite).
781	(b) (3), 26 U.S.C. §6130(a), (b) (7) (C), attorney's fees, disciplin- ary proceedings, duty to search, summary judgment	Chourre v. IRS, No. C01-5171, 2002 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 2925 (W.D. Wash. Feb. 4, 2002).
782	(b)(7)(C), summary judgment, waiver of exemption	Christian v. Coleman, No. 90-1814 (D.D.C. Mar. 17, 1992).
783	(b)(5), attorney work-product priv- ilege, discovery/FOIA interface, duty to search	Christmann & Welborn v. DOE, 589 F. Supp. 584 (N.D. Tex. 1984).
784	(b) (7) (C), (b) (7) (D), (b) (7) (F), summary judgment	Christy v. DOJ, No. 94-2632 (D.D.C. July 31, 1995).
785	(b) (6)	Christy v. United States, 68 F.R.D. 375 (N.D. Tex. 1975).
786	Attorney's fees	Chrysler Corp. v. Dep't of the Treasury, 1 GDS ¶80, 110 (D.D.C. 1980).
787	Reverse FOIA	Chrysler Corp. v. Marshall, No. 74-850 (E.D. Mo. Nov. 29, 1978).
788	(b) (5), (b) (7) (A), (b) (7) (C), (b) (7) (D)	Chrysler Corp. v. NLRB, 92 L.R.R.M. 3191 (E.D. Mich. 1976).
789	Reverse FOIA, (b) (3), 18 U.S.C. §1905, 42 U.S.C. §2000e-8(e), 44 U.S.C. §3504, (b) (4), de novo re- view, discretionary release, nexus test	Chrysler Corp. v. Schlesinger, 412 F. Supp. 171 (D. Del. 1976), vacated, 565 F.2d 1172 (3d Cir. 1977), rev'd sub nom. Chrysler Corp. v. Brown, 441 U.S. 281 (1979), on remand, 611 F.2d 439 (3d Cir. 1979).

790	(b) (2), (b) (3), 26 U.S.C. §6103, (b) (5), (b) (6), (b) (7) (A), (b) (7) (C), Vaughn Index	Church of Scientology v. Bell, No. 76-1006 (D.D.C. June 8, 1977), summary judgment granted, 1 GDS ¶80,082 (D.D.C. 1980), remanded sub nom. Church of Scientology v. Smith, 644 F.2d 39 (D.C. Cir. 1981), on remand, 2 GDS ¶82,152 (D.D.C. 1982).
791	(b) (1), (b) (3), 50 U.S.C. §403(d) (3), (b) (7), (b) (7) (E), adequacy of agency affidavit, duty to search	Church of Scientology v. Bush, No. 75-1048 (D. D.C. June 8, 1977), remanded, No. 78-1832 (D.C. Cir. Nov. 15, 1978), on remand sub nom. Church of Scientology v. Turner, 1 GDS ¶79,170 (D.D.C. 1979), aff'd, 662 F.2d 784 (D.C. Cir. 1980).
792	(b) (5), (b) (6), (b) (7) (C), attorney- client privilege, attorney's fees, at- torney work-product privilege, duty to search, res judicata	Church of Scientology v. Califano, 1 GDS ¶79,172 (D.D.C. 1979).
793	(a) (6) (B), (b) (1), E.O. 11652, (b) (7), (b) (7) (C), agency records, law enforcement purpose, referral of request to another agency	Church of Scientology v. Dep't of the Air Force, No. 76-1008 (D.D.C. Apr. 12, 1978).
794	(b)(1), E.O. 11652, (b)(6), (b)(7)(C), adequacy of agency af- fidavit, burden of proof, in camera inspection, reasonably segregable	Church of Scientology v. Dep't of the Army, No. 75-3056-F (C.D. Cal. June 2, 1977), aff'd in part, rev'd in part, 607 F.2d 1282 (9 <sup>th</sup> Cir. 1979), reh'g denied, 611 F.2d 738 (9 <sup>th</sup> Cir. 1980) (consolidated).
795	(b) (1), E.O. 11652, (b) (6), (b) (7), (b) (7) (C), (b) (7) (D), in camera inspection, law enforcement pur- pose	Church of Scientology v. DOD, No. 75-4072 (C.D. Cal. June 2, 1977), aff'd in part, rev'd in part, 611 F.2d 738 (9 <sup>th</sup> Cir. 1980) (consolidated).
796	Summary judgment, Vaughn Index	Church of Scientology v. DOJ, No. 78-679 (M.D. Fla. Dec. 20, 1979).
797	(b)(1), (b)(2), (b)(5), (b)(7)(A), (b)(7)(D), (b)(7)(F)	Church of Scientology v. DOJ, 410 F. Supp. 1297 (C.D. Cal. 1976), aff'd, 612 F.2d 417 (9 <sup>th</sup> Cir. 1979).
798	(b) (1), (b) (3), 8 U.S.C. §1202(b), (b) (6), (b) (7) (C), (b) (7) (D), ade- quacy of agency affidavit, discovery in FOIA litigation, duty to search, reasonably segregable, Vaughn Index	Church of Scientology v. Dep't of State, 493 F. Supp. 418 (D.D.C. 1980), supplemental decision, 1 GDS ¶80,262 (D.D.C. 1980).
799	(b) (2), (b) (7), (b) (7) (A), (b) (7) (C), (b) (7) (D), (b) (7) (E), (b) (7) (F), law enforcement pur- pose	Church of Scientology v. DEA, 2 GDS ¶82,045 (W.D. Tex. 1981).