1	KEVIN V. RYAN (CASBN 118321) United States Attorney		
2	CHARLES B. BURCH (CASBN 79002) Chief, Criminal Division		
4	MILES EHRLICH (PASB 73912) Assistant United States Attorney		
5	450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055		
6	San Francisco, California 94102 Telephone: (415) 436-6840		
7	Attorneys for Plaintiff		
8			
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
11	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
12			
13	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \		
14	Plaintiff, PLEA AGREEMENT		
15	v.		
16	MARCUS O. ARMSTRONG,		
17	Defendant.		
18			
19	I, Marcus O. Armstrong, and the United States Attorney's Office for the Northern		
20	District of California (hereafter "the government") enter into this written plea agreement		
21	(the "Agreement") pursuant to Rules 11(c)(1)(A) and 11(c)(1)(B)of the Federal Rules of		
22	Criminal Procedure:		
23	The Defendant's Promises		
24	1. I agree to plead guilty to Counts One, Two, and Three of the captioned		
25			
26			
27	violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1512(b)(1).		
28	I agree that the elements of the offenses of mail and wire fraud are as follows:		
	PLEA AGREEMENT [M. ARMSTRONG] -1-		

(1) that I made up a scheme or plan to defraud and deprive the citizens of San Francisco 1 of public funds and their right to my honest services as a City employee; (2) that I acted 2 with the specific intent to defraud and deprive them of their right to my honest services; 3 and (3) that, for purposes of the mail fraud charge, I used or caused someone to use the 4 5 mails in furtherance of the scheme or plan, or, alternatively, for purposes of the wire fraud charge, that I directly or indirectly caused the transmission of information or signals 6 by means of an interstate wire communication in furtherance of the scheme or plan. I am 7 aware that the maximum penalties for each conviction of mail or wire fraud are as 8 follows: 9

a.	Maximum prison sentence	5 years
b.	Maximum fine	\$250,000, or twice the amount of gain/loss, whichever is greater
c.	Maximum supervised release term	3 years
d.	Mandatory special assessment	\$100 per count
e.	Restitution	\$504,000

Furthermore, I agree that the elements of the offense of obstruction of justice under 18 U.S.C. § 1512(b)(1) are as follows: (1) that I attempted to persuade another person to change his testimony in some future official proceeding; (2) that I did so corruptly – that is, with an improper purpose; (3) that I did so with the specific intent to influence his testimony in the proceeding; and (4) that when I did so, I was aware that some federal proceeding, in this case a grand jury investigation, had been initiated or was likely to be initiated in the future. I am aware that the maximum penalties for a conviction of obstruction of justice are as follows:

a.	Maximum prison sentence	10 years
b.	Maximum fine	\$250,000
c.	Maximum supervised release term	3 years
d.	Mandatory special assessment	\$100
e.	Restitution	Not applicable

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

(B) As an officer of the City and County of San Francisco ("City"), I was aware that part of my duty to provide honest services to the citizens of the City was to refrain from using my official position to advance my personal financial interests in any way, and also to refrain from participating in, or attempting to influence, any governmental decision in which I had a financial interest.

Companies Owned and Controlled by the Defendant

- (C) In September 1999, I filed papers in the County of San Francisco to register Monarch Enterprises, Inc. ("Monarch") as a fictitious business name and I also opened a business account for the company at the Bank of America in the name of Monarch Enterprises. Monarch was under my sole ownership and control.
- (D) In July 2001, I filed papers in San Mateo County to register

 Mindstorm Technologies, Inc. ("Mindstorm") as a fictitious business name and I also
 opened a business account for the company at the Wells Fargo Bank in the name

 Mindstorm Technologies. Mindstorm was also under my sole ownership and control.

Payments from Cobra Solutions

(E) In or about September 1999, I selected Cobra Solutions, Inc. ("Cobra"), an approved City vendor, to be the prime contractor to provide computer

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

2627

28

network engineering and consulting services to DBI on projects under my supervision and control.

(F) Between September 1999 and June 2000, I stole approximately \$243,000 in City funds by having Cobra bill the City for work supposedly done by employees of Monarch, my own company. At my urging, Cobra agreed to serve as a "pass-through" entity for the payments to Monarch. I initiated the fraud by creating Monarch invoices for the phony services and work allegedly performed at DBI, and giving them to a Cobra employee. Cobra then billed the City for the amount of each Monarch invoice plus a percentage markup fee ranging from approximately 8% to 12%. When the billing paperwork arrived at DBI, I approved the Cobra invoices, falsely certifying that the work had been performed and authorizing the disbursement of City funds to Cobra. In each instance, Cobra issued a check to Monarch for the original invoice and kept the remaining 8-12% margin it received from the City on the billing. As the sole owner of Monarch, I deposited the checks from Cobra into the company's Bank of America account and used the money for my own personal expenditures. I created the Monarch invoices, approved the Cobra billings, and received and deposited the checks all within the Northern District of California.

(G) I unlawfully received and deposited the following checks issued from Cobra to Monarch:

S Base on Clark 1	Chec Samount
September 29, 1999	\$59,748
November 5, 1999	\$54,396
December 17, 1999	\$28,700
May 23, 2000	\$47,898
June 5, 2000	\$51,792

Payments from GCSI

(H) In approximately September 2000, I selected Government Computer Sales, Incorporated ("GCSI"), an approved City vendor, to develop database management

(I) Between September 2000 and April 2001, I stole an additional \$240,000 in City funds by having GCSI bill the City for work allegedly done by Monarch, my own company. At my request, GCSI agreed to serve as a "pass-through" entity for the payments to Monarch.

(J) As in my dealings with Cobra, I invoiced GCSI in the guise of Monarch and then approved GCSI's subsequent invoices to the City. In doing so, I falsely certified that Monarch had done work for DBI, when in truth, Monarch had done no work on any projects for the City. In each instance, GCSI issued a check to Monarch for the amount of the original invoice and retained a percentage fee as compensation for processing the billings. After depositing the GCSI checks on behalf of Monarch, I used the money on personal expenditures. Again, I created the Monarch invoices, approved the GCSI billings, and received and deposited the checks all within the Northern District of California.

(K) I unlawfully received and deposited the following checks issued from GCSI to Monarch:

Fire Date on Spiece 1	* : Check Amount
September 19, 2000	\$74,988
November 29, 2000	\$43,160
January 12, 2001	\$77,750
April 3, 2001	\$43,740

(L) In carrying out this scheme with Cobra and GCSI, I was aware that what I was doing not only violated my duty to provide honest services to the citizens of San Francisco, but also violated the criminal laws. Moreover, based on my familiarity with the City's regular payment practices, it was reasonably foreseeable to me that the City would send checks to both Cobra and GCSI through the mail. Specifically, in an effort to execute this scheme to defraud, on or about September 23, 1999, I caused a check in the amount of \$67,648.13 from the City and County of San Francisco to be

-5-

placed in the U.S. Mail for delivery to Cobra Solutions, Inc., in San Francisco, California.

Payments from Semantics

(M) Between approximately February 2001 and January 2002, I also misused my official position to help a company called Semantics Consulting Services ("Semantics") and, in return, I accepted unlawful payments from Semantics. Specifically, I helped Semantics get hired to work as a subcontractor for GCSI on a software development project under my supervision at DBI. Later, when GCSI failed to pay Semantics for its work, I pressured GCSI to pay. When these efforts proved unsuccessful, I found another authorized City vendor to pay Semantics in a "pass-through" fashion for its work on the DBI projects. For my efforts on Semantics' behalf, I received four checks totaling \$21,870 from the President of Semantics and used the money for my personal benefit. I acknowledge that I never sold any items to Semantics nor performed any legitimate work for Semantics in a private capacity that would justify these payments.

(N) I unlawfully received and deposited the following checks from Semantics into the accounts described below:

Date of the state of	Account of Deposit	Cheek Amothus
July 30, 2001	Monarch	\$5,500
September 30, 2001	Mindstorm	\$7,000
October 13, 2001	Mindstorm	\$5,000
January 1, 2002	Mindstorm	\$4,370

(O) In carrying out this scheme with Semantics, I was aware that what I was doing not only violated my duty to provide honest services to the citizens of San Francisco, but also violated the criminal laws. Moreover, in my efforts to help Semantics get paid in furtherance of my scheme to defraud, I knowingly made interstate telephone calls – specifically, calls from San Francisco, California to GCSI's headquarters in Issaquah, Washington. In particular, at approximately 3:20 p.m. on July 10, 2001, I made a seven minute telephone call from San Francisco, California to a GCSI employee in

Issaquah, Washington. During this conversation, I pressed GCSI to pay Semantics the money it was owed for its work on the DBI projects.

Obstruction of Justice

- (P) Beginning on approximately February 10, 2003, I had multiple conversations on the telephone and in person with Raman Kumar, the owner and President of Semantics, during which we discussed the creation of bogus documents to obstruct any criminal and civil investigations of our financial transactions, and to allow us both to cloak the illegal payments I received from Kumar with a legitimate, non-criminal explanation.
- (Q) On a date between approximately February 12, 2003 and February 15, 2003, in a restaurant at San Mateo, California, Kumar gave me a document falsely reflecting that Semantics had purchased products and services from Monarch and Mindstorm. Although we did not use this document, we continued to discuss ways in which we could fabricate an innocent explanation for the illegal payments I received and for the nature of my relationship with Semantics. On February 22, 2003, I met Kumar at a restaurant in Newark, California, and we had further discussions on this topic. At this meeting, I instructed Kumar to create invoices on Semantics letterhead and other paperwork that would falsely corroborate our cover story namely, that the \$21,870 in checks between Semantics and Mindstorm constituted payment for the sale of artwork to Kumar and compensation for computer consulting work Mindstorm had done for Semantics. In truth, I never sold any artwork to Kumar or his company nor provided any services whatsoever.
- (R) I acknowledge that, at the time I was trying to persuade Kumar to fabricate these documents and support a false cover story, I had read in the public media that my activities with Semantics had been referred to the United States Attorney's Office for federal criminal investigation. Further, I knew that any false and fraudulent documents we created might protect us in both the pending civil lawsuit filed against us by the City and County of San Francisco and in any eventual state or federal criminal

- (S) I stipulate that there is a factual basis for my guilty plea to each of the three counts in the Information.
- 3. I agree to give up all rights that I would have if I chose to proceed to trial, including the rights to a jury trial with the assistance of an attorney; to confront and cross-examine government witnesses; to remain silent or testify; to move to suppress evidence or raise any other Fourth or Fifth Amendment claims; to any further discovery from the government; and to pursue any affirmative defenses and present evidence.
- 4. I agree to give up my right to appeal my conviction, the judgment, and orders of the Court. I also agree to waive any right I may have to appeal the sentence imposed upon me, except that I reserve my right to appeal the sentence if the Court imposes a sentence of incarceration that *exceeds* the guidelines range that is contemplated by this Agreement (i.e., a sentence that exceeds the range specified for offense level 23).

Further, I warrant that I have not entered into or participated in a joint defense Agreement, written or unwritten, with any person or entity. And I promise not to enter into or participate in any such agreement relating to the facts underlying my case or the offense to which I am pleading guilty. If, notwithstanding these assurances, it should ever be determined that I have entered into or participated in such a joint defense agreement, I specifically waive any right I may have to assert the attorney-client privilege with respect to any attorney or other defendant who is also a participant in such an agreement. Furthermore, should it ever be determined that I entered into or participated in a joint defense agreement concerning the facts and charges involved in this case, I agree to waive my right to conflict-free representation by any attorney or other defendant where a conflict arises from that attorney's or defendant's participation in the joint defense agreement.

5. I agree not to file any collateral attack on my conviction or sentence, including a petition under 28 U.S.C. §2255, at any time in the future after I am sentenced, except for a claim that my constitutional right to the effective assistance of counsel was

5 6

7 8

10 11

12

13

14 15

17 18

16

19

20

21 22

24

23

25 26

27

28

I agree not to ask the Court to withdraw my guilty plea at any time after it is 6. entered.

I agree that the Sentencing Guidelines should be calculated as follows, and 7. that I will not ask for any other adjustment to or reduction in the offense level or for a downward departure of any kind (except to argue in support of a motion by the government for downward departure based on my substantial assistance under U.S.S.G. §5K1.1):

> 10 Base Offense Level, §2C1.7(a) a. Gain of more than \$400,000, §2B1.1(b)(1)(H) +14b. +2 Obstruction of Justice, §3C1.1 c. Acceptance of responsibility: <u>- 3</u> d. (If I meet the requirements of U.S.S.G. § 3E1.1)

Adjusted offense level e.

23

I agree that, regardless of any other provision in this Agreement, the government may and will provide to the Court and the Probation Office all information relevant to the charged offenses or the sentencing decision. I also agree that the Court is not bound by the Sentencing Guidelines calculations above; the Court may conclude that a higher guideline range applies to me, and, if it does, I will not be entitled, nor will I ask, to withdraw my guilty plea.

I agree to pay restitution in an amount to be set by the Court, but in no event less than \$505,000, which represents the total unlawful payments I received for the schemes charged in Counts One and Two of the Information. I agree that I will make a good faith effort to pay any fine, forfeiture or restitution I am ordered to pay. Before or after sentencing, I will, upon request of the Court, the government, or the U.S. Probation Office, provide accurate and complete financial information, submit sworn statements and give depositions under oath concerning my assets and my ability to pay, surrender assets I obtained as a result of my crimes, and release funds and property under my control in

promises I have made in this Agreement, the government will be released from all of its promises, but I will not be released from my guilty plea.

- 12. If I am prosecuted after failing to comply with any promises I made in this Agreement, then (a) I agree that any statements I made to any law enforcement or other government agency or in Court, whether or not made pursuant to the cooperation provisions of this Agreement, may be used in any way; (b) I waive any and all claims under the United States Constitution, Rule 11(f) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, Rule 410 of the Federal Rules of Evidence, or any other federal statute or rule, to suppress or restrict the use of my statements, or any leads derived from those statements; and (c) I waive any defense to any prosecution that it is barred by a statute of limitations, if the limitations period has run between the date of this Agreement and the date I am indicted.
- 13. I agree that this Agreement contains all of the promises and agreements between the government and me, and I will not claim otherwise in the future.
- 14. I agree that this Agreement binds the U.S. Attorney's Office for the Northern District of California only, and does not bind any other federal, state, or local agency.

The Government's Promises

- 15. The government agrees not to file or seek any additional charges against the defendant that could be filed as a result of the investigation that led to the pending information.
- 16. The government agrees to recommend the Guidelines calculations (before any departure for substantial assistance under U.S.S.G. §5K1.1) that are set forth in paragraph 7 above. The government agrees not to ask for any other upward adjustment or upward departure of any kind, except as specified in paragraph 7 of this Agreement.
- 17. The government agrees not to use any statements made by the defendant pursuant to this Agreement against him, unless the defendant fails to comply with any promises in this Agreement. The government may, however, tell the Court and the U.S.

 Probation Department about the full extent of the defendant's criminal activities and relevant conduct in connection with the calculation of the Sentencing Guidelines.

- 18. The government acknowledges that the defendant has, as of the date of this plea agreement, cooperated fully and truthfully in the continuing federal criminal investigation being conducted by the Federal Bureau of Investigation and the United States Attorney's Office for the Northern District of California. The United States acknowledges, further, that this cooperation constitutes substantial assistance to law enforcement authorities within the meaning of U.S.S.G. §5K1.1. Provided that the defendant continues to cooperate fully and truthfully with the government in its investigation, and otherwise complies fully with this Agreement, the United States will, before sentencing, file a motion for downward departure under §5K1.1, explaining the nature and extent of the defendant's cooperation. The extent of this recommended departure, however, rests in the sole and exclusive judgment of the United States, based on its independent assessment of the value of the assistance provided by the defendant. And, in this respect, the United States expressly reserves the right to recommend whatever level of departure it deems appropriate under §5K1.1 at the time of sentencing.
- 19. Based on the information now known to it, the government will not oppose a downward adjustment of three levels for acceptance of responsibility under U.S.S.G. §3E1.1.

The Defendant's Affirmations

- 20. I confirm that I have had adequate time to discuss this case, the evidence, and this Agreement with my attorney, and that he has provided me with all the legal advice that I requested.
- 21. I confirm that while I considered signing this Agreement and, at the time I signed it, I was not under the influence of any alcohol, drug, or medicine.
- 22. I confirm that my decision to enter a guilty plea is made knowing the charges that have been brought against me, any possible defenses, and the benefits and possible detriments of proceeding to trial. I also confirm that my decision to plead guilty

1	is made voluntarily, and that no one coerced or threatened me to enter into this		
2	Agreement.		
3			
4	Dated:	MARCUS O. ARMSTRONG	
5		Defendant Defendant	
6		VEVININ DWAN	
7		KEVIN V. RYAN United States Attorney	
8			
9	Dated: $\frac{7/2}{0.3}$		
10	Dated: // // //	MILES F. EHRLICH	
11		Assistant United States Attorney	
12	I have fully explained to my client all	the rights that a criminal defendant has and	
13	all the terms of this Agreement. In my opinion, my client understands all the terms of this		
14	Agreement and all the rights he is giving up by pleading guilty, and, based on the		
15	information now known to me, his decision to plead guilty is knowing and voluntary.		
16			
17	Dated:		
18		WILLIAM L. OSTERHOUDT Attorney for Defendant	
19			
20			
21		FRANK S. MOORE Attorney for Defendant	
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	PLEA AGREEMENT [M. ARMSTRONG]	13-	