# Current 

## Who's Minding Our Preschoolers?

By Lynne M. Casper

CENSUS BUREAU
P70-53
March 1996

One of the greatest challenges for employed parents is finding good quality, low cost child care. Reliable, quality care is especially important for preschoolers because young children are dependent on caregivers to fulfill their basic needs and to keep them from harm. Preschoolers are also in the midst of forming personalities, developing cognitively, and learning social skills, and child care providers can and do have a major impact on these processes and their outcomes. For these reasons, finding the right provider is critical. In this report, we examine how working parents arrange care for their preschoolers.

Almost half of preschoolers are cared for by relatives while their mothers are at work

According to the Survey of Income and Program Participation, in the fall of 1993 there were 9.9 million children under age 5
who were in need of child care while their mothers were working. Almost half (48 percent) of these preschool-age children were primarily cared for by relatives (figure 1). Seventeen percent of preschool children were cared for by their grandparents during their mothers' working hours; about the same proportion were cared for by their fathers. The majority of preschoolers who were cared for by relatives were, in fact, cared for by either their grandparents or their fathers, each accounting for a third of the care provided by relatives. Other relatives such as aunts, uncles, and cousins played a smaller role in providing child care services overall, amounting to about 9 percent of all arrangements for preschoolers. Mothers provided the remainder of the care by relatives. About 6 percent of preschoolers were cared for by their mothers; most of these moms worked at home.

A little more than half (52 percent) of preschool-age children were cared for by someone other than relatives while their mothers were at work. In 1993, more preschoolers were cared for in organized child care facilities than in any other single arrangement; approximately 1 in 3 preschoolers were cared for in organized child care facilities. Nonrelatives, including in-home babysitters and family day care providers, were also important sources of child care; about 1 in 5 preschool-age children were cared for by nonrelatives.

Another important consideration in the choice of child care arrangements is the environment in which care is provided. In 1993, about a third of preschoolers were cared for in each of the three major child care environments: the child's home, the provider's home, and organized child care facilities (table 1).

Defining Child Care Arrangements

Relatives include mothers, fathers, siblings, grandparents, and other relatives.
Other relatives include aunts, uncles, and cousins. An organized child care facility is a day care center, a nursery school, or a preschool. A family day care provider is a nonrelative who cares for one or more unrelated children in her/his home. In-home babysitters are nonrelatives who provide care within the child's home. Nonrelatives include inhome babysitters and family day care providers.

Figure 1.
Primary Child Care Arrangements Used by Families With Employed Mothers for Preschoolers: 1993


[^0]Table 1.
Primary Child Care Arrangements of Preschoolers by Mother's Employment Status: Fall 1993

| Type of arrangement | All preschoolers |  | Employment status ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Employment schedule |  |  |  | Shift work status |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Full time |  | Part time |  | Day shift |  | Non-day shift |  |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| All Preschoolers | 9,937 | 100.0 | 6,426 | 100.0 | 3,512 | 100.0 | 6,083 | 100.0 | 3,855 | 100.0 |
| Care in child's home | 3,054 | 30.7 | 1,656 | 25.8 | 1,398 | 39.8 | 1,465 | 24.1 | 1,589 | 41.2 |
| By father | 1,585 | 15.9 | 719 | 11.2 | 866 | 24.7 | 657 | 10.8 | 928 | 24.1 |
| By grandparent | 649 | 6.5 | 384 | 6.0 | 264 | 7.5 | 361 | 5.9 | 287 | 7.4 |
| By other relative | 328 | 3.3 | 227 | 3.5 | 101 | 2.9 | 166 | 2.7 | 162 | 4.2 |
| By nonrelative | 492 | 5.0 | 325 | 5.1 | 167 | 4.8 | 281 | 4.6 | 211 | 5.5 |
| Care in provider's home | 3,184 | 32.0 | 2,239 | 34.9 | 945 | 26.9 | 2,095 | 34.4 | 1,089 | 28.3 |
| By grandparent | 996 | 10.0 | 684 | 10.6 | 312 | 8.9 | 593 | 9.7 | 403 | 10.5 |
| By other relative | 543 | 5.5 | 384 | 6.0 | 159 | 4.5 | 360 | 5.9 | 183 | 4.8 |
| By nonrelative | 1,645 | 16.6 | 1,171 | 18.2 | 474 | 13.5 | 1,143 | 18.8 | 503 | 13.0 |
| Organized child care |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| facilities | 2,972 | 29.9 | 2,166 | 33.7 | 806 | 22.9 | 2,146 | 35.3 | 826 | 21.4 |
| Day/group care center | 1,823 | 18.3 | 1,398 | 21.8 | 425 | 12.1 | 1,369 | 22.5 | 453 | 11.8 |
| Nursery/preschool | 1,149 | 11.6 | 768 | 11.9 | 381 | 10.9 | 776 | 12.8 | 373 | 9.7 |
| Mother cares for child at work ${ }^{2}$ | 616 | 6.2 | 280 | 4.4 | 336 | 9.6 | 296 | 4.9 | 321 | 8.3 |
| Other ${ }^{3}$ | 111 | 1.2 | 84 | 1.3 | 26 | 0.8 | 81 | 1.3 | 30 | 0.8 |

${ }^{1}$ Calculations based on mother's principal job only.
2 Includes women working at home or away from home.
3 Includes preschoolers in kindergarten and school-based activities.

Preschoolers' child care arrangements have changed dramatically over the past few years

Noteworthy changes have recently occurred in the types of child care arrangements parents use for their preschoolers. Between 1988 and 1991, the proportion of preschoolers who were cared for in organized child care facilities declined from 26 percent to 23 percent (figure 2). However, between 1991 and 1993, this trend reversed itself and the proportion of preschoolers who were cared for in organized facilities jumped from 23 percent to 30 percent, representing a 30 percent increase over the 2-year period.

During the same time periods these shifts were occurring, there were offsetting changes in the proportions of preschoolers being cared for by fathers and family day care providers. Care by fathers, while remaining at about the 15 percent level between 1977 and 1988 , sharply increased to 20 percent by 1991. However, between 1991 and 1993, the
proportion of preschoolers being cared for by their fathers dropped back down to 16 percent.

Family day care had also been a consistent source of child care arrangements, providing 23 percent of all arrangements for preschoolers in 1977 and 1988. However, the proportion of children cared for by family day care providers sharply fell from 24 per-
cent in 1988 to 18 percent in 1991 and remained at this historically low level in 1993.

Between 1988 and 1991, the decreases in the use of organized child care facilities and family day care providers, and the increase in care by fathers, may have been rational responses to the economic recession which occurred during the same time period. Increases

Figure 2.
Changes in Selected Child Care Arrangements: 1988 to 1993
(Percent of preschoolers of working mothers in
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in the proportion of fathers who were unemployed and working at part-time jobs meant that more fathers were available to serve as potential child care providers. These shifts also may have reflected the desire of parents to cut down on child care costs by switching to more parental supervision of their children whenever possible. Between 1991 and 1993, the fact that the decline in care by fathers and the increase in the use of organized facilities occurred at the same time as the recession was ending also supports this notion. Note also that not only did father care decline during this period, but mother care declined as well from 9 percent in 1991 to 6 percent in 1993.

It could be then, that the increase in care by fathers between 1988 and 1991 which many thought was part of a growing social trend for fathers to become more involved in the rearing of their children, actually was driven more by the economy and the attendant economic circumstances of families with young children. The continued comparative unpopularity of family day care may in part reflect a growing uneasiness of parents to use a minimally regulated arrangement where there is a single provider, as opposed to a heavily regulated arrangement - an organized child care facility - where there are a number of providers. Recent media reports of child neglect and abuse at the hands of babysitters and family day care providers may also be a factor in the decline in the use of family day care providers.

Mothers working evening or night shifts have an easier time arranging for relative and in-home care

The type of shift that a mother works makes a big difference in the kind of primary care arrangements she uses. When compared to children whose mothers work day shifts, children whose mothers work non-day shifts are less likely to be cared for by someone other
than a relative. ${ }^{1}$ For example, among preschoolers whose mothers worked a day shift at their principal job, 60 percent were cared for by someone who was not related to them compared with only 41 percent of children whose mothers worked a non-day shift (figure 3). ${ }^{2}$

Use of organized child care facilities was also more prevalent for children of women working day shifts, accounting for 37 percent of all child care arrangements (figure 3). Because organized child care facilities often may not be available during evenings or on weekends, children of women working non-day shifts used these facilities less frequently, amounting to 22 percent of all child care arrangements.

[^1]Working non-day rather than day shifts may offer more opportunities for women with preschoolers to secure care for their children by relatives, especially by the children's fathers. Overall, 59 percent of the preschool-age children of women working non-day shifts were cared for by relatives compared with only 40 percent of the children of women working day shifts. In addition, preschoolers whose mothers worked non-day shifts were two and one-half times as likely as preschoolers whose mothers worked day-shifts to have their fathers as primary care providers (24 percent vs. 11 percent).

Children whose mothers worked day shifts were also more likely to be cared for in another home than children whose mothers worked non-day shifts (table 1). Among preschoolers whose mothers worked a day shift, 34 percent were cared for in another home compared with 28 percent of children whose mothers worked a non-day shift. In contrast, only 24 percent of the preschool-age children of women working day shifts were cared for in their own home compared with

Figure 3.
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41 percent of the children of women working non-day shifts.

Mothers working part time also have an easier time arranging for relative and in-home care

Child care patterns by the number of hours worked are similar - preschool children of mothers employed full time were less likely to be cared for by relatives (42 percent) than were children of mothers employed part time ( 58 percent). On the other hand, full-time working mothers relied more heavily on child care by nonrelatives ( 23 percent) and organized child care facilities ( 35 percent) than did part-time working mothers.

Preschool-age children of parttime working mothers were twice as likely to be cared for by their mothers while at work ( 10 percent), than were children of mothers who worked full time (4 percent, table 1). In addition, child care provided by the father was also more frequent when the mother worked part time ( 25 percent) than full time (11 percent). Families may have chosen a parttime work schedule for mothers in order to reduce work schedule conflicts between spouses, thus providing these families with a greater opportunity for one parent to care for their children while the other parent is at work.

In 1993, children whose mothers were employed full time were less likely to be cared for in the child's home ( 26 percent) than were children whose mothers were employed part time ( 40 percent). However, no differences at all were found in the proportion of grandparents and other relatives ( 10 percent) or nonrelatives (5 percent) caring for preschoolers in the child's home among children whose mothers were employed part time versus full time. In contrast, full-time working mothers relied more heavily on child care in someone else's home ( 35 percent) than did part-time working mothers (27 percent).

Black and Hispanic mothers rely more heavily on their relatives to provide child care assistance while they are working than do White mothers

In 1993, at least half of the care received by Black and Hispanic preschoolers was provided by their relatives compared to only about 45 percent of the care received by White children (table 2). About 4 in 10 Black and Hispanic children were cared for by grandparents or other relatives compared to only about 2 in 10 White children. Care by grandparents was especially important to Black and Hispanic families, accounting for one-fifth of all arrangements used for preschoolers. Care by fathers was less common among Black children than among either White or Hispanic children.

In contrast, White preschoolers were more likely to be cared for by nonrelatives or in organized child care facilities than either Black or Hispanic preschoolers ( 54 percent compared with 48 percent and 41 percent respectively). But, Black and White children were more likely to use organized child care facilities (about 32 percent each), than were Hispanic children (21 percent).

Children who live with only one parent are much more likely to be cared for by their grandparents and other relatives than are children who live with married-couple parents

Because children who live with married couple parents are more likely to live with their fathers than are children who live with only one parent, preschoolers with married parents are more likely to be cared for by their fathers. In 1993, preschoolers in married-couple families were fourteen times more likely to be cared for by their fathers than preschoolers whose parents were divorced, widowed, or separated, and 4 times more likely to be cared for by their
fathers than children who lived with a never-married parent.

In contrast, children in one-parent families were much more likely to be cared for by grandparents and other relatives than those in married-couple families. Only 14 percent of children living with mar-ried-couple parents were cared for by their grandparents compared with 21 percent of preschoolers whose parents were divorced, widowed, or separated and 28 percent of preschoolers whose parents never married. Compared with preschoolers whose parents were married, preschoolers whose parents were not married were twice as likely to be cared for by other relatives ( 7 percent vs. 16 percent). ${ }^{3}$

Relatives provide a great deal of child care for preschoolers in poor families

For many families child care can be a costly expense. However, asking relatives to serve as child care providers may be one way to avoid having to pay for child care. Child care costs constitute an especially large portion of the poor family's budget, so it comes as no surprise that poor families rely more heavily on relatives to help them out with child care than non-poor families do. ${ }^{4}$ In 1993, 60 percent of all child care for preschoolers in poor families was provided by relatives, compared to only 46 percent for non-poor families (figure 4).

Grandparents and other relatives play an especially large part in the child care of poor preschoolers. Preschoolers in poor families were 50 percent more likely to be cared for by their grandparents and other relatives than were preschoolers in nonpoor families ( 36 percent vs.

[^2]Table 2.
Primary Child Care Arrangements Used for Preschoolers by Families With Employed Mothers: Fall 1993

| Characteristics | Number children | Type of primary care arrangement |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Care in child's home by |  |  |  | Care in another home by |  |  | Organized facilities |  | Mother cares for child | Other ${ }^{2}$ |
|  |  | Father | Grandparent | Other relative | Non- relative | Grandparent | Other relative | Nonrelative | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Day- } \\ & \text { care } \\ & \text { center } \end{aligned}$ | Nursery/ preschool |  |  |
| All Preschoolers. | 9,937 | 1,585 | 649 | 328 | 492 | 996 | 543 | 1,645 | 1,823 | 1,149 | 616 | 111 |
| Race and Hispanic Origin: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Black, not Hispanic | 1,161 | 101 | 123 | 82 | 17 | 106 | 135 | 164 | 188 | 191 | 33 | 22 |
| Hispanic origin . | 1,078 | 161 | 86 | 85 | 76 | 158 | 88 | 136 | 110 | 119 | 34 | 26 |
| Other | 403 | 71 | 50 | 21 | 30 | 33 | 21 | 51 | 64 | 31 | 21 | 9 |
| Age of Child: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 1 year | 1,631 | 285 | 123 | 45 | 98 | 183 | 108 | 364 | 284 | 29 | 113 |  |
| 1 year. | 2,122 | 392 | 186 | 88 | 84 | 229 | 136 | 449 | 408 | 56 | 92 | 3 |
| 2 years. | 1,969 | 304 | 117 | 55 | 139 | 247 | 113 | 327 | 392 | 140 | 132 | 3 |
| 3 years. | 2,161 | 300 | 128 | 76 | 87 | 172 | 111 | 322 | 424 | 386 | 152 | 3 |
| 4 years. | 2,055 | 304 | 95 | 65 | 85 | 166 | 74 | 184 | 314 | 539 | 127 | 102 |
| Marital Status: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Married, husband present | 7,841 | 1,514 | 378 | 183 | 394 | 750 | 360 | 1,282 | 1,429 | 924 | 543 | 84 |
| Widowed, separated, divorced. | 1,012 | 14 | 113 | 70 | 60 | 101 | 90 | 176 | 192 | 137 | 48 | 12 |
| Never married . . . . . . . . . . . . | 1,084 | 57 | 158 | 75 | 39 | 144 | 94 | 188 | 201 | 88 | 25 | 14 |
| Age of Mother: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 to 24 years | 1,566 | 225 | 184 | 69 | 61 | 226 | 118 | 279 | 256 | 77 | 60 | 10 |
| 25 to 34 years | 5,984 | 1,040 | 340 | 150 | 263 | 615 | 334 | 982 | 1,113 | 713 | 363 | 71 |
| 35 years and over | 2,387 | 320 | 124 | 108 | 168 | 155 | 91 | 385 | 454 | 359 | 192 | 30 |
| Educational Attainment: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than high school | 1,051 | 180 | 109 | 98 | 50 | 90 | 85 | 155 | 116 | 96 | 64 | 8 |
| High school, 4 years | 3,549 | 611 | 258 | 115 | 118 | 447 | 253 | 564 | 600 | 346 | 201 | 38 |
| College, 1 to 3 years | 2,772 | 447 | 155 | 69 | 123 | 267 | 139 | 437 | 542 | 347 | 210 | 35 |
| College, 4 or more years | 2,566 | 347 | 127 | 46 | 203 | 192 | 66 | 489 | 564 | 360 | 141 | 30 |
| Enrollment in School: Enrolled in school. | 742 | 89 | 69 | 27 | 24 | 58 | 30 | 124 | 166 | 101 | 48 | 8 |
| Not enrolled in school | 9,196 | 1,496 | 579 | 301 | 468 | 938 | 513 | 1,522 | 1,657 | 1,048 | 569 | 104 |
| Monthly Family Income ${ }^{3}$ : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than \$1,200.... | 1,070 | 170 | 70 | 52 | 61 | 143 | 100 | 161 | 143 | 73 | 83 | 13 |
| \$1,200 to \$2,999 | 3,268 | 648 | 177 | 116 | 96 | 370 | 235 | 490 | 516 | 324 | 262 | 35 |
| \$3,000 to \$4,499 | 2,578 | 454 | 189 | 70 | 114 | 266 | 86 | 488 | 476 | 275 | 136 | 26 |
| \$4,500 and over. | 2,981 | 313 | 204 | 90 | 219 | 210 | 123 | 498 | 685 | 475 | 127 | 38 |
| Poverty Level ${ }^{3}$ : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Below poverty | 1,068 | 173 | 88 | 65 | 70 | 126 | 104 | 131 | 128 | 83 | 87 | 13 |
| Above poverty | 8,829 | 1,412 | 552 | 263 | 419 | 862 | 439 | 1,506 | 1,692 | 1,064 | 521 | 98 |
| Program Participation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-recipient ${ }^{5}$. . . | 8,401 | 1,387 | 507 | 237 | 431 | 803 | 386 | 1,416 | 1,576 | 1,038 | 519 | 101 |
| AFDC recipient. | 443 | 20 | 44 | 32 | 24 | 43 | 49 | 68 | 81 | 48 | 32 | 2 |
| Non-recipient | 9,495 | 1,565 | 605 | 296 | 468 | 953 | 494 | 1,577 | 1,742 | 1,101 | 584 | 109 |
| WIC recipient. | 1,019 | 139 | 89 | 70 | 28 | 118 | 126 | 178 | 139 | 58 | 67 | 6 |
| Non-recipient | 8,919 | 1,446 | 559 | 258 | 465 | 878 | 417 | 1,467 | 1,683 | 1,091 | 549 | 105 |
| Food Stamps recipient . . . . . . | 873 | 93 | 81 | 48 | 38 | 113 | 107 | 93 | 155 | 82 | 52 | 11 |
| Non-recipient | 9,064 | 1,492 | 568 | 280 | 454 | 883 | 436 | 1,552 | 1,668 | 1,067 | 564 | 101 |
| Region: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northeast | 1,748 | 440 | 112 | 78 | 89 | 185 | 93 | 189 | 290 | 152 | 104 | 16 |
| Midwest | 2,773 | 453 | 200 | 78 | 92 | 272 | 120 | 609 | 479 | 237 | 211 | 21 |
| South . | 3,319 | 348 | 203 | 93 | 184 | 337 | 237 | 506 | 695 | 531 | 134 | 50 |
| West. | 2,097 | 344 | 133 | 80 | 126 | 202 | 93 | 341 | 359 | 229 | 166 | 23 |
| Metropolitan Residence: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metropolitan . . . . . . | 7,746 | 1,246 | 507 | 256 | 433 | 761 | 391 | 1,234 | 1,402 | 960 | 467 | 88 |
| In central cities . | 2,844 | 495 | 218 | 108 | 147 | 296 | 143 | 471 | 465 | 316 | 150 | 34 |
| Suburbs | 4,902 | 751 | 290 | 148 | 286 | 465 | 247 | 763 | 937 | 644 | 317 | 55 |
| Nonmetropolitan. . . . . . . . . . . | 2,191 | 339 | 141 | 72 | 59 | 235 | 152 | 412 | 420 | 189 | 149 | 23 |

- Rounds to or represents zero. ${ }^{1}$ Includes mothers working at home or away from home. ${ }^{2}$ Includes preschoolers in kindergarten and school-based activities. ${ }^{3}$ Omits preschoolers whose families did not report income. ${ }^{4}$ Family receiving either AFDC, Food Stamps or WIC, or any combination of the three programs. Also includes a small number of preschoolers $(18,000)$ whose families are on General Assistance. ${ }^{5}$ Family not receiving either General Assistance, AFDC, Food Stamps or WIC.
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24 percent). In contrast, fathers and mothers were no more likely to provide child care in poor than non-poor families.

Poor families are less likely to use organized child care facilities than non-poor families because child care in an organized facility is one of the most expensive of all types of child care arrangements. In 1993, children in poor families were two-thirds less likely than children in non-poor families to be cared for in organized child care facilities while their mothers were at work ( 21 percent vs. 32 percent).

Children in families receiving welfare benefits are more dependent on relatives to provide child care

In the fall of 1993, approximately 1.5 million preschoolers lived in families who received either General Assistance, AFDC (Aid to Families with Dependent Children), Food Stamps, or WIC (Special Supplemental Food Program for Women Infants and Children). A significant proportion of preschoolers lived in families who participated in more than one
program at the same time (43 percent).

Like children in poor families, those receiving either General Assistance, AFDC, Food Stamps, or WIC benefits were more likely to be cared for by relatives than were those not receiving these benefits ( 57 percent vs. 46 percent). Children whose families received at least one type of assistance were also less likely to be cared for in organized day care facilities than were those not receiving these benefits ( 23 percent vs. 31 percent).

When we examine the usage of child care arrangements by recipients in specific programs, we see this pattern does not necessarily hold. Children in families enrolled in the WIC program were 20 percent more likely to be cared for by relatives than were children not enrolled in the program. Similarly, children in families receiving Food Stamps were also 20 percent more likely to be cared for by relatives than were children not receiving Food Stamps. However, children in families receiving AFDC were no more likely to be cared for by relatives than were those not receiving AFDC. The
principal reason for this difference is because a smaller proportion of preschoolers are cared for by their fathers in AFDC families (5 percent) than in WIC (14 percent) and Food Stamp families (11 percent). ${ }^{5}$

Similar to children in poor families, children in families receiving WIC benefits are much less likely to be cared for in organized child care facilities when compared with those not receiving WIC benefits ( 19 percent vs. 31 percent). In contrast, AFDC and Food Stamp recipients are about equally as likely as non-recipients to use organized child care facilities. Why would WIC recipients be less likely to use organized child care facilities than non-recipients? One reason may be because mothers in families receiving WIC benefits are younger and have younger children than mothers in families receiving other types of benefits and some organized facilities have regulations restricting enrollment to older children. In 1993 for example, only 19 percent of infants under 1 year of age were cared for in organized facilities while their mothers were at work compared with 42 percent of 4 -year-olds. Note also that in families with mothers aged 15 to 24 , one-fifth of preschoolers were cared for in organized facilities compared with one-third of those in families with mothers who are 35 years of age or more.

## Organized child care facilities

 are more popular in the South and in the suburbsIn 1993, families in the South were more likely to choose organized child care facilities and less likely to choose relatives as primary care providers for their preschoolers than families in any other region of the country. In contrast, families residing in the Northeast were the most likely to call on relatives to provide care for their preschoolers. The greater use of relatives in the Northeast

[^3]can be attributed to the greater use of fathers in the region, where 1 in 4 preschoolers were cared for by their fathers, compared to 1 in 6 in the Midwest and West, and only 1 in 10 in the South.

Families in the suburbs were more likely to use organized child care facilities to care for their preschoolers (32 percent) than were families in central cities or nonmetropolitan areas ( 28 percent each). On the other hand, preschoolers residing in central cities and nonmetropolitan areas ( 50 percent each) were more likely than preschoolers residing in the suburbs (45 percent) to be cared for by relatives.

## Upcoming reports

Sharp changes in the distribution of preschoolers' child care arrangements have been observed between 1988 and 1993. For example, between 1988 and 1991 care by fathers rose substantially for the first time since 1977. However, between 1991 and 1993 there was a decline in the use of fathers as principle care providers back down to the level it had been before 1991. In our next report, we explore the reasons for this shift and the other
shifts in child care arrangements that occurred over this period.

## More information

A detailed table package showing the costs of child care and the child care arrangements of preschool and gradeschool children is available on floppy disk for $\$ 20$ (PE-33) or on paper for $\$ 10$ (PPL-34) from the Population Division's Statistical Information Office (301-457-2422). The table package is also available on the INTERNET (http://www.census.gov); look for child care data from the Population Division. Information about child care costs is available in the report What Does It Cost to Mind Our Preschoolers? (P70-52). To order a copy of this report, contact the Statistical Information Office.

Contacts:
Child care issues-
Lynne Casper
301-457-2416
Icasper@census.gov

## Accuracy of the data

All statistics are subject to sampling error, as well as nonsampling error such as survey
design flaws, respondent classification and reporting errors, data processing mistakes, and undercoverage. The Census Bureau has taken steps to minimize errors in the form of quality control and edit procedures to reduce errors made by respondents, coders and interviewers. Ratio estimation to independent age-race-sex population controls partially corrects for bias due to survey undercoverage. However, biases exist in the estimates when missed persons have characteristics different from those of interviewed persons in the same age-race-sex group.

Analytical statements in this report have been tested and meet statistical standards. However, because of methodological differences, use caution when comparing these data with data from other sources. Contact Jennifer Guarino, Demographic Statistical Methods Division, at 301-457-4228 or on the INTERNET at jguarino@census.gov for information on (1) the source of data, (2) the accuracy of estimates, (3) the use of standard errors, and (4) the computation of standard errors for estimates in this publication.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Includes mothers working at home or away from home.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Day shift in this report is defined as a work schedule where at least one-half of the hours worked daily were between 8:00 a.m. and 4:00 p.m. All other schedules in which the majority of hours are worked outside of this period or which have irregular or rotating hours are classified as non-day work shifts.

    2 The 37 percent of preschoolers who are cared for in organized facilities includes about 1 percent of children who are in kindergarten or school based activities.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ The proportion of preschoolers of widowed, separated, or divorced mothers who were cared for by grandparents (21 percent) is not significantly different from the proportion who were cared for by other relatives ( 16 percent).
    ${ }^{4}$ For more information about child care costs see Casper, Lynne M. 1995. What Does It Cost to Mind Our Preschoolers? U.S. Government Printing Office: Washington DC.

[^3]:    5 Proportions of children cared for by their fathers in WIC (14 percent) and Food Stamps (11 percent) families were not significantly different from each other.

