TO: DIRECTOR, FBI
FROM: SAC, WFO (77-72488) (RUC)

THURGOOD MARSHALL
SPI

Re WFO airtel 7/16/65.

The CONGRESSIONAL RECORD - SENATE, dated 9/11/62, pages 19007 through 19055, contains information regarding the nomination of the appointee as U. S. Circuit Judge. This material was reviewed by SA [REDACTED]. It contains the comments of Senators who favored, and of those who opposed, the appointee's nomination and detailed material supporting points of view. The following material was selected as of interest.

At the outset Senator JOHNSTON, identified only as the chairman of the subcommittee of the Committee on the Judiciary, spoke against the nomination stressing that appointee's law practice was limited to practice for the National Association for the Advancement of Colored People (NAACP). JOHNSTON mentioned that the full committee took the matter up and reported to the Senate prior to receiving the testimony of the subcommittee even though notified that the subcommittee had concluded the hearings.

JOHNSTON mentioned that although the appointee had practiced law in New York since 1938, he had never been licensed to practice there. JOHNSTON discussed this as the practice of law without a license. JOHNSTON brought out that the question of such practice was brought up in the subcommittee hearings, and that in response to a specific question as to whether the appointee had practiced in New York, the appointee answered, "I did not."
JOHNSTON charged that the appointee practiced barratry and maintenance (maintaining, supporting, or promoting the litigation of another). JOHNSTON mentioned a case in the district court of Smith County, Texas, Seventh Judicial District - the State of Texas against the NAACP. In that case the judge found that the NAACP and its dominated NAACP Legal Defense and Educational Fund among other things to have practiced barratry contrary to the laws of Texas. JOHNSTON mentioned the appointee's association with these organizations. JOHNSTON mentioned that the appointee was questioned as to an appeal to the above case, and the appointee advised that the case was never appealed.

Mr. THURMOND mentioned a case - NAACP against HARRISON in the Supreme Court of Appeals of the State of Virginia. THURMOND said this court upheld a lower court which had held that the solicitation of business by the NAACP violated Virginia law and canons of legal ethics.

JOHNSTON mentioned the appointee's testimony as to his membership in the NATIONAL LAWYERS GUILD subsequent to the resignation of several who resigned because the Communist domination. He mentioned that the testimony clearly shows that the appointee was the principal speaker at a rally held by the AMERICAN LEAGUE FOR PEACE AND DEMOCRACY. JOHNSTON identified this organization as a Communist front group and quotes the appointee as having testified, "I might have been naive" in accepting the speaking engagement.

THURMOND mentioned the subversive elements which have infiltrated the NAACP and mentioned a speech in this regard documented from the files of the House Committee on Un-American Activities by Representative E. C. GATHINGS of Arkansas. (previously reviewed and reported)

Mr. MART reported that the chairman of the standing committee of the Federal Judiciary of the American Bar Association had furnished information that the standing committee had interviewed, in person or by telephone, more than 50 judges and lawyers, approximately half of that number in the second circuit, to which appointee had been nominated and the remainder from other parts of the country. The interviews included Justices of the Supreme Court of the United States, judges of the U. S. Court of Appeals of five different circuits, judges of U. S. District Courts, two former Attorneys General of the United States, and two former Deputy Attorneys General of the United States. Including among these
were key advisers on judicial selection to the last four Presidents of the United States. The American Bar Association committee interviewed the appointee. The committee found no hesitancy whatever in unanimously concluding that Mr. Marshall was well qualified for this appointment.

Senator Keating in speaking for nomination of the appointee said in part that the appointee's nomination has been approved by the interested bar associations. His performance in the court has won him the praise of the Chief Judge. The hours of questioning to which appointee was subject did not develop a single fact casting doubt on his qualifications. "As I have indicated the evidence in this proceeding raised no doubt about Judge Marshall's loyalty."

Among those mentioned in this material as supporting the nomination of the appointee were the following:

- The Dean of the Fordham University Law School
- The Governor of New York
- The American Bar Association (See above)
- The Governor of New Jersey
- The Association of the Bar of the City of New York
- The New York State Bar Association
- [Additional names or details not fully visible]
TO: DIRECTOR, FBI

FROM: SAC, NEW YORK (77-26395)

SUBJECT: THURGOOD MARSHALL
SPI

ReNYtel, 7/16/65.

On 7/19/65, Judge MARSHALL advised that he was admitted to practice before the United States Court of Appeals, Second Circuit, on March 20, 1961.

Report follows.
At the request of the White House on July 18, 1965, an investigation concerning Judge Thurgood Marshall's activities since 1961 has been conducted. The results of a 1961 investigation of Judge Marshall were previously furnished to the Department in 1961. The results of a current name check and record check were furnished to the Deputy Attorney General on July 18, 1965.

Transmitted herewith is a memorandum summarizing the results of the investigation concerning Judge Marshall's activities since 1961. A copy of this memorandum has been furnished to the White House.

During the 1940s Judge Marshall, who was then Special Counsel for the National Association for the Advancement of Colored People, Legal Defense and Educational Fund, Incorporated, made several charges against this Bureau in connection with civil rights cases. One of these charges was that a police officer had allegedly killed a Negro in Tennessee accompanied FBI agents during their investigation. This allegation was denied by all agents working on the case. In another charge it was alleged that the FBI could not locate a certain witness when Judge Marshall had no difficulty in locating. The FBI discontinued attempts to locate this witness when a United States Attorney ordered the investigation held in abeyance.

On one occasion Judge Marshall alleged that the FBI record in cases involving Negroes was notably one-sided and cited four cases claiming the FBI had been unable to move them but National Association for the Advancement of Colored People investigators had produced either eyewitnesses or the names of the subjects. In three of these the facts were either presented to Federal Grand Juries which did not return indictments or the subject was tried and acquitted. In one of these cases nearly 3,000 interviews were conducted and approximately 100 witnesses appeared before a Federal Grand Jury.

Sequard Cleveland to Gale, 7-19-65.
The Attorney General

On another occasion Judge Marshall charged misconduct on the part of Special Agents of the FBI in cases involving Negroes and during interviews with Negroes. Judge Marshall was requested to supply details of the alleged misconduct in order that immediate administrative inquiry could be made and he never answered the request.

Enclosure

1 - The Deputy Attorney General - Enclosure
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REPORTING OFFICE</th>
<th>OFFICE OF ORIGIN</th>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>INVESTIGATIVE PERIOD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WASHINGTON FIELD</td>
<td>BUREAU</td>
<td>7/16/65</td>
<td>7/16/65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**THEEGOOD MARSHALL**

**REFERENCE:** Bureau telephone call 7/16/65.

**NOTES:**
- **Bureau**
- **WFO (77-72488)**
- **NOT RECORDED**
- **14 SEP 8 1965**
- **50 SEP 16.1965**

**DISSEMINATION RECORD OF ATTACHED REPORT**

_**NOTATIONS**_
ADMINISTRATIVE

Records of the Internal Security Sub Committee, Senate Committee on the Judiciary, were reported in instant report as containing no additional pertinent information. It is noted, however, that these records show that the appointee is mentioned in the following issues of the Congressional Record:

September 11, 1962, pages 17916 through 17950

The Congressional Record for 7/29/63, Appendix Pages A 4785 through A 4815 were reviewed on 7/16/65. These pages contained the extension of remarks of Congressman E. C. GATHINGS of Arkansas of 7/29/63, in which he referred to a previous speech of 2/23/56. He made specific mention to the appointee on Page A 4814 and the information which he gave was not additionally pertinent. It represented material which he had obtained from NCUA.

LEADS

WASHINGTON FIELD

AT WASHINGTON, D.C.

Will report results of review of the Congressional Record for 9/11/62, and set out appropriate leads.

State security outstanding.

- B**-

COVER PAGE
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Copy to: b7c

Date: 7/16/65

Field Office File #: Bureau File #: 77-72488

Title: THURGOOD MARSHALL

Character: SPECIAL INQUIRY

Synopsis: White House records show nomination as Solicitor General. Department of Justice records contained no additional pertinent information. OPF reviewed, U. S. Courts, and employment record set forth. Service as a Grantee by Department of State set out. Senator ROBERT F. KENNEDY advised appointee by reputation has done a good job and he has no adverse comments. No additional pertinent information CIA or HCUA. Bar records checked. Passport records set out. No additional pertinent information Internal Security Subcommittee. No additional pertinent information CSC.

DETAILS: AT WASHINGTON, D.C.

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is issued to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
White House Office

On July 16, 1965, the White House Office, advised SA that the files contained the following information:

4/22/61:
Appointed Personal Representative of the President with the rank of Special Ambassador to attend ceremonies incident of the celebration of the independence of Sierra Leone, within the British Commonwealth scheduled to be held at Freetown beginning April 24, 1961.

10/5/61:
Recess appointment, U. S. Circuit Judge for the Second Circuit.

1/15/62:
Nominated.

9/11/62:
Confirmed.

9/14/62:
Commission dated.

9/14/62:
Commission signed by the President, U. S. Circuit Judge for the Second Circuit.

7/14/65:
Nominated to be Solicitor General of the United States.

The files contained no additional pertinent information.

b7c
Department of Justice

On July 16, 1965, [redacted] reviewed the appointee's file at the Office of the Deputy Attorney General, U. S. Department of Justice. It showed he is currently under consideration for the position of Solicitor General of the U. S., U. S. Department of Justice, but has not entered on duty. No additional pertinent information was contained in the file.
United States Courts

On July 18, 1965, [redacted] reviewed the official personnel file concerning THURGOOD MARSHALL maintained at the Personnel Division, Administrative Office of the U. S. Courts, Washington, D. C., which reflects he received a commission on October 5, 1961, signed by the then President of the United States, JOHN F. KENNEDY, as U. S. Circuit Judge, Second Circuit, and he was sworn in on October 23, 1961, as Judge, U. S. Court of Appeals, Second Circuit, at $25,000 per annum with duty station at New York City, where he is presently employed.

This file reflects his birth as July 2, 1908, at Baltimore, Maryland.

No additional pertinent information appeared therein.
Department of State

On July 16, 1965, [redacted] Reports Officer, Bureau of Educational and Cultural Affairs, advised that the records of her office show that the appointee was a Orantee. He was given a grant as a United States Specialist, Number 3-20330, for the period May 28, 1963, through July 29, 1963. His grant covered travel in Kenya, Tanganyika, and Uganda. His purpose was to discuss human rights and the law in the United States.

[redacted] explained that the records show MARSHALL's employment as a United States Judge.
COMMENTS OF UNITED STATES SENATORS

On July 16, 1965, ROBERT F. KENNEDY, United States Senator, New York, advised [illegible] that he has known the appointee by reputation only for a number of years and has had no personal or social contact with him. He has heard more of the appointee's reputation since he has served as a judge in New York. KENNEDY understands that the appointee has done a good job, that he knows nothing adverse as to the appointee's character, associates, reputation or loyalty. He has no adverse comments regarding the appointee's appointment to the position of Solicitor General.
MISCELLANEOUS

On July 16, 1965, a check was made of the records of the Central Intelligence Agency and no additional pertinent information was located for the appointee.

On July 16, 1965, the records of the House Committee on Un-American Activities were reviewed by IC and no additional pertinent information was located concerning the appointee.

On July 16, 1965, IC caused a search to be made of the files of the following organizations concerning bar membership and no record was found for the appointee:

Committee on Admissions and Grievances, U.S.
District Court for the District of Columbia (USDCDC)
Lawyers Register, USDCDC
District of Columbia Bar Association
Federal Bar Association

The records of the Supreme Court of the U.S. disclosed he was admitted to practice before this court on December 8, 1939, and is in good standing.
NC on July 16, 1965, reviewed the files of the Bureau of Personnel Investigations, Civil Service Commission, and no additional pertinent information was noted therein regarding THURGOOD MARSHALL.
On July 16, 1965, IC reviewed the appointee's file at the Passport Office, Department of State, which listed his birth as July 2, 1908, at Baltimore, Maryland.

This file is being brought up to date since reviewed on September 19, 1961, by IC FBI.

MARSHALL was issued Passport Number D 455600 on June 18, 1963, for a three weeks trip to Kenya, Africa, Tanganyika, and Uganda. He listed his purpose of trip as "State Department Grantee."

He indicated that he was last married on December 17, 1955, to CECILIA SUYAT MARSHALL, a United States citizen, and that the marriage has not been terminated. He stated that he was previously married on September 4, 1929, to VIVIEN BURY, born February, 1911, at Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, and that the marriage was terminated by death on February, 1955. He listed his parents as WILLIAM C., born (date not listed) at Baltimore, Maryland, and NORMA A. WILLIAMS, born (date not listed) at Baltimore, Maryland.
Internal Security Sub Committee
Senate Committee on the Judiciary

On July 17, 1965, [redacted], Chief Investigator, advised [redacted] that the records of this sub committee contain no additional pertinent information concerning the appointee.
REFERENCES: Bureau telephone call to Chicago 7/16/65. Chicago teletype to Director 7/16/65.
Copy to:

Report of: 7/16/65

Field Office File #: 77-12343

File #: 77-88227

This: THURGOOD MARSHALL

Character: DEPARTMENTAL APPLICANT

Synopsis: Applicant current member in good standing of American Bar Association and National Bar Association, Chicago. No grievances noted.

- RUC -
Affiliations

American Bar Association (ABA)
1155 East 60th Street
Chicago, Illinois

ABA, advised from records on July 16, 1965, that the applicant was elected to the ABA on April 24, 1964. He is a current member in good standing and there are no grievances listed against him. His birth is shown as July 2, 1908 (place not listed), and he was admitted to the Bar in 1933 in the State of Maryland. His address is recorded as U. S. Court of Appeals, Foley Square, New York City.

National Bar Association (NBA)
309 East 47th Street
Chicago, Illinois

NBA, advised on July 16, 1965, that the applicant is a current member of the NBA, exact date unknown. He stated there is no unfavorable information or grievances concerning the applicant.

Advised that he is not personally acquainted with the applicant but based on "hearsay" the application is an individual of excellent moral character, a loyal American citizen and one whose associates are people of excellent reputation.
# FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REPORTING OFFICE</th>
<th>OFFICE OF ORIGIN</th>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>INVESTIGATIVE PERIOD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BOSTON</td>
<td>BUREAU</td>
<td>7/19/65</td>
<td>7/16 &amp; 17/65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**CASE OF CASE**

THURGOOD MARSHALL

**CHARACTER OF CASE**

SPI

**REFERENCES:** New York teletype to Bureau and Boston, 7/16/65; Boston teletype to Bureau, 7/16/65; Boston telephone call to New York, 7/16/65; Report of SA dated 9/15/61 at Boston.

---

**APPROVED**

3 - Bureau (77-88227)

1 - Boston (77-10147)

---

**Dissemination Record of Attached Report**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Notations**

- JUL 21 1965

- 20-2014-140

- 67C

---

**COVER PAGE**
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Copy to:

Report of:  
Date:  
Field Office File #:  
Title:  
Office: Boston, Massachusetts  
Bureau File #: 77-88227

Character: SPECIAL INQUIRY

Synopsis:
Acquaintance recommends.
Acquaintance and associate not located.

- RUC -

DETAILS:

Investigation at Vineyard Haven, Massachusetts, was conducted by SA [redacted] at Kennebunkport, Maine, by SA [redacted] and at Boston, Massachusetts, by SA [redacted].

ACQUAINTANCES

Acquaintance [redacted] in New York City, and Massachusetts, advised he has known appointee well both socially and professionally for the past ten years.

He recommended appointee unconditionally for the position of Solicitor General. He considers appointee a very able, experienced attorney and a man of high principles. He said appointee is of unquestioned loyalty to the United States and a man of excellent character, reputation, and associates.

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is lent to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
On July 17, 1965, Kennebunkport, Maine, advised that he is the acquaintance and is in Europe and not available for interview.

ASSOCIATE

On July 16, 1965, Boston, Massachusetts, was contacted in an effort to reach, associate of appointee. He said if was in town, he had not contacted him, and he also determined had not been in touch with local National Association for the Advancement of Colored People office. He stated he would advise this office if he ascertained whereabouts.
**FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REPORTING OFFICE</th>
<th>OFFICE OF ORIGIN</th>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>INVESTIGATIVE PERIOD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ALBANY</td>
<td>BUREAU</td>
<td>7/19/65</td>
<td>7/16/65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME OF CASE</th>
<th>CHARACTER OF CASE</th>
<th>TYPE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>THURGOOD MARSHALL</td>
<td>SPI</td>
<td>b7c</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**REFERENCE:**
New York teletype to Bureau 7/16/65.
Albany teletype 7/16/65.

- RUC -

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>APPROVED</th>
<th>SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE</th>
<th>COVER PAGE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>1</strong></td>
<td>Bureau</td>
<td>77-1d82271-14/</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOT RECORDED

15 JUL 21 1965

**DISSEMINATION RECORD OF ATTACHED REPORT**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AGENT</th>
<th>REQUEST RECEI</th>
<th>DATE RECEIVED</th>
<th>IDNUM PRN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1-161965</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NOTATIONS**

- 25b 3 11:50 AM 5:6:50

HOW INJEST INJ. REC'd
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

SPECIAL INQUIRY

Vermont, United States Circuit Court of Appeals, New York City, NY, advised he is not acquainted with Mr. MARSHALL and knows nothing of his ability or activities and therefore, could not recommend him one way or the other.

- RDC -

DETAILS:

Vermont, United States Circuit Court of Appeals, New York City, New York, on July 16, 1965, advised that prior to the time that Mr. THURGOOD MARSHALL was made a judge and all he knows about him is what he has read in the newspapers. He said he never met Mr. MARSHALL and has never heard any adverse criticism from former colleagues concerning him. He said he knows nothing of his ability or activities and, therefore, would be unable to recommend him one way or the other for a confidential position with the Government.
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

REPORTING OFFICE
NEW HAVEN

OFFICE OF ORIGIN
BUREAU

DATE
7/20/65

INVESTIGATIVE PERIOD
7/16 - 19/65

REPORT MADE BY
SA

CHARACTER OF CASE

SPECIAL INQUIRY

REFERENCE

New York telephone call dated 7/16/65.
New Haven teletype dated 7/17/65.

- RUC -
United States Department of Justice
Federal Bureau of Investigation

Report of:  SA
Date:  July 20, 1965

Field Office File #:  161-659

Title:  THURGOOD MARSHALL

Character:  SPECIAL INQUIRY

Synopsis:
Judges of the Second Circuit, United States Court of Appeals residing in Connecticut, all recommend MARSHALL as to ability, sincerity, impartiality, reputation and for position as Solicitor General of the United States.

- RUC -

Details:

ASSOCIATES:

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is issued to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
On July 16, 1965, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit, advised as follows:

[Redacted] has been acquainted professionally with MARSHALL since the fall of 1961. He has found MARSHALL to be respectable, reliable, responsible, trustworthy, modest, sincere, and a devoted family man who possesses a good sense of humor. MARSHALL is the possessor of a bright quick mind, and is well liked by his fellow judges.

[Redacted] regards MARSHALL as a person of excellent character, morals and reputation.

MARSHALL's associates who are known to [Redacted] are responsible individuals and MARSHALL has used good judgment in the choice of associates. [Redacted] has never had any reason to question MARSHALL's loyalty to the United States.

Because of his interest in civil rights and trips taken on behalf of the U.S. Government, MARSHALL has not devoted as much time to the business of the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second District as have other judges. He is not as well trained as a judge as are other members of the Court and is less useful because of this. He has had a more narrow experience as a lawyer than other judges of the court.

MARSHALL's previous background and experience have given him a somewhat narrower view than other judges of the court. He has strong views on civil rights and is not as balanced and impartial in this field as are other judges of the court. According to [Redacted] MARSHALL is a less able judge than other members of the court.

[Redacted] furnished the above information to [Redacted].
On July 16, 1965, the U. S. Court of Appeals, Second Circuit, residing at
Rochester, N. Y., advised that he has known MARSHALL for the past three
years as an associate. He noted that the appointee, according to the press has been appointed U. S. Solicitor
General.

He stated he knows no reason why the appointee would not
do a fine job at this position. He pointed out that the
appointee's background is radically different than the
backgrounds of previous men who have held this position.
He explained that the appointee all this life has been a
protagonist for the NAACP (National Association for the
Advancement of Colored People), and the bulk of his
experience has been pleading the cause of the colored
people. He advised that appointee has done a fine job
and possesses all the necessary qualifications to present
cases to the Supreme Court of the United States with ability
and as he sees them. He stated appointee is a person of the
highest character and reputation and there is no doubt as to
his loyalty to the United States.

He added appointee is a thoroughly practical fellow who
has had a broad experience with people. He noted appointee
grew up the hard way and knows what life is all about. He
concluded by stating the appointee is exceedingly well
qualified to present any case in court and he has confidence
that the appointee will do a good job as U. S. Solicitor
General.
On July 16, 1955, retired United States Judge THOMAS W. SWAN, Second Circuit Court of Appeals, River Road, Guilford, Connecticut, advised S. he has known MARSHALL as a fellow judge and sat on bench with him. He regards MARSHALL as an able, sincere and impartial person. He believes MARSHALL to be honest man of dedicated convictions of equality for all. He endorses MARSHALL as Solicitor General.
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

REFERENCE:

New York teletype to the Bureau, dated 7/16/65.

ADMINISTRATIVE

Informants in this report are being designated in accordance with reports previously submitted concerning MARSHALL in 1961.

The article entitled "New Vista Given To Episcopalians," which appeared in the October 24 issue of the "New York Times" mentioned in this report was previously furnished to the Bureau by airtel dated 7/16/65.

SPECIAL INQUIRY

New York teletype to the Bureau, dated 7/16/65.

- RUC -
Appointee's colleagues on the Federal bench recommend him highly. Other associates including New York State judge, attorneys and others also recommend. Appointee has favorable credit rating and no arrest record located. Advised that [REDACTED] stated that MAXWELL SOBBEL would have a better chance in court in view of the statement by Judge MARSHALL to the effect that he would give ETHEL ROSENBERG a new trial if she appeared in his court. Appointee reported to be member of the Association of the Bar of New York City and New York County Lawyers Association. Confidential informants contacted with negative results.

- NUG -
TO JUDGE THURGOOD MARSHALL, ADVISED SA JULY SIXTEEN THAT JUDGE MARSHALL HAS CONTINUOUSLY SERVED ON THE BENCH SINCE HIS APPOINTMENT IN NINETEEN SIXTY ONE. HE CURRENTLY RESIDES AT FIVE ZERO ONE WEST ONE HUNDRED TWENTY THIRD STREET, NY, NY, ALONG WITH WIFE, TWO SONS, AND HIS AUNT, MEDIA DODSON, THE LATTER MOVING IN WITH JUDGE MARSHALL AFTER HER HUSBAND'S DEATH. DODSON IS APPOINTEE'S MOTHER'S SISTER. SHE RECOMMENDED HIM HIGHLY.

U. S. COURT OF APPEALS, FOR THE SECOND CIRCUIT, ADVISED SA JULY SIXTEEN THAT HE HAS KNOWN APPOINTEE SINCE HE WAS APPOINTED IN NINETEEN SIXTY ONE. HAS NEVER BEEN TO HIS HOME BUT HAS MET HIS WIFE. STATED APPOINTEE TRIES TO MAXIMUM OF HIS CAPACITY TO PERFORM HIS DUTIES AS AN APPELLATE JUDGE. BASICALLY, ACCORDING TO
THE APPOINTEE IS AN ADVOCATE AND A GOOD ADVOCATE. HE
ADDED THAT APPOINTEE HAS HAD MUCH EXPERIENCE ARGUING CASES IN
THE U. S. SUPREME COURT AND THE NECESSARY EXPERIENCE FOR THE
POSITION OF SOLICITOR GENERAL OF THE U. S. HE STATED THAT
THE APPOINTEE'S JUDICIAL TEMPERAMENT AS AN APPELLATE JUDGE
COULD ONLY BE ASCERTAINED BY REVIEWING HIS OPINIONS. HE STATED
THERE IS NO QUESTION AS TO HIS LOYALTY TO THE UNITED STATES.

U. S. COURT OF APPEALS, FOR THE
SECOND CIRCUIT, ADVISED SA [insert date] ON JULY SIXTEEN THAT HE HAS
KNOWN THE APPOINTEE SINCE NINETEEN SIXTYONE. HE STATED HE
BELIEVES THAT JUDGE MARSHALL IS A GOOD JUDGE, AND HE KNOWS
NO ONE WHO HAS ANY FINER CHARACTER THAN THE APPOINTEE. HE
DESCRIBED THE APPOINTEE AS A MAN OF UNQUESTIONABLE INTEGRITY
WHO INSISTS ON THE FULL TREATMENT IN EVERY THING HE DOES.
HE ADVISED THAT THE APPOINTEE IS VIGOROUSLY ANTI-COMMUNIST
AS SHOWN IN HIS EFFORTS TO KEEP THE NAACP FROM BEING INFILTRATED
WHEN HE WAS WITH THIS ORGANIZATION. HE STATED THE APPOINTEE
IS A GOOD MAN FOR THE JOB FOR WHICH HE IS BEING CONSIDERED.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT,
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NY, ADVISED ON JULY SIXTEEN.
THAT HE HAS KNOWN THE APPOINTEE SINCE NINETEEN SIXTYONE
AND DESCRIBED JUDGE MARSHALL AS EVERY INCH A GENTLEMAN AND
A SCHOLAR WHO IS VERY LOYAL TO THE U. S. HE STATED HE COULDN'T
NOT THINK OF ANYTHING BUT THE HIGHEST PRAISE FOR JUDGE MARSHALL.
HE MET APPOINTEE'S WIFE ON SEVERAL OCCASIONS AND FINDS HER AN ATTRACTIVE WOMAN OF GOOD CHARACTER. HE ADVISED HE FEELS
THAT JUDGE MARSHALL IS A GOOD MAN FOR THE POSITION FOR WHICH HE HAS BEEN APPOINTED AND HE WOULD SO RECOMMEND HIM.

U. S. DISTRICT COURT,
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NY, ADVISED ON JULY SIXTEEN
THAT HE FIRST MET APPOINTEE IN NINETEEN SIXTYONE. HE STATED
APPOINTEE HAS BECOME AN EXCELLENT JUDGE WITH GREAT UNDERSTANDING
AND ONE OF THE MOST COOPERATIVE PERSONS HE KNOWS. HE STATED
APPOINTEE HAS AN EXCELLENT JUDICIAL TEMPERAMENT AND HE HAS NO REASON WHATSOEVER TO QUESTION HIS LOYALTY TO THE UNITED STATES. HE RECOMMENDED HIM FOR THE APPOINTMENT FOR WHICH HE IS BEING CONSIDERED.
That he has known the appointee for six or seven years and Judge Marshall has been a fine judge since being appointed to the bench. He stated the appointee is extremely well qualified for the position of Solicitor General. He has met appointee's wife on several occasions, but does not know her well enough to comment concerning her. He stated appointee has excellent judicial temperament and is excellent on criminal matters. He recommends.

Advised SA on July sixteen that he has known appointee since nineteen sixty-one and finds him a very competent judge. He has argued appeals before the appointee and has found him extremely alert to problems and one who gets through to the heart of the matter. There has never been any reason to question appointee's loyalty to the United States and he would recommend him for the position of Solicitor General of the U.S.
UNITED STATES
DISTRICT COURT, SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK, A RESIDENT
OF [REDACTED] ADVISED
ON JULY SIXTEEN, NINETEEN SIXTY FIVE, THAT HE FIRST MET
THURGOOD MARSHALL ABOUT NINETEEN SIXTY ONE. WHEN MARSHALL
WAS APPOINTED TO THE SECOND CIRCUIT UNITED STATES COURT
OF APPEALS. MARSHALL HAD HAD NO PRIOR JUDICIAL EXPERIENCE
BUT HAD HAD CONSIDERABLE EXPERIENCE AS ATTORNEY FOR THE
NATIONAL ASSOCIATION FOR THE ADVANCEMENT OF COLORED PEOPLE
(NAACP), AND SOME OF THAT EXPERIENCE WAS ARGuing CASES
BEFORE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT. HE STATED THAT
MARSHALL HAD REVIEWED CASES THAT HAD PREVIOUSLY BEEN
ADJUDICATED BY [REDACTED] IN THE DISTRICT COURT. [REDACTED]
STATED HE CONSIDERED MARSHALL'S KNOWLEDGE OF THE LAW TO
BE EXCELLENT. HIS DECISIONS ARE CONSIDERED BY [REDACTED]
TO BE VERY FAIR AND THAT MARSHALL ONLY "CALLS THEM AS
HE SEES THEM". HE STATED THAT HIS ONLY SOCIAL CONTACTS
WITH MARSHALL HAVE BEEN AT JUDICIAL CONFERENCES, AND ON
ONE OCCASION AT A DINNER IN NEWY
ON JULY SIXTEEN SIXTY FIVE, [REDACTED] CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS, FOLEY SQUARE, NYC, ADVISED SPECIAL AGENT [REDACTED] THAT HE WAS ACQUAINTED WITH APPOINTEE PRIOR TO APPOINTEE'S APPOINTMENT AS A CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS JUDGE. HE KNEW HIM PRIMARILY THROUGH HIS REPUTATION AS AN ATTORNEY FOR THE NAACP. [REDACTED] STATED THAT APPOINTEE HAS MADE A FINE APPEARANCE AS A CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS JUDGE AND HAS BEEN A GOOD COLLEAGUE. [REDACTED] STATED HE KNOWS NOTHING WHICH WOULD REFLECT UNFAVORABLY REGARDING APPOINTEE WHATSOEVER, AND IS SORRY TO SEE HIM LEAVE. HE STATED HE KNEW OF NO REASON WHY APPOINTEE'S APPOINTMENT AS UNITED STATES SOLICITOR GENERAL SHOULD NOT BE CONFIRMED BY THE UNITED STATES SENATE.

ON JULY SIXTEEN SIXTY FIVE, [REDACTED] UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE, FOLEY SQUARE, NYC, ADVISED SPECIAL AGENT [REDACTED] THAT HE KNEW THAT APPOINTEE IS A PERSON WHO WOULD ALWAYS PLACE HIS PRINCIPLES ABOVE PERSONAL GAIN. APPOINTEE IS A
PERSON OF THE HIGHEST MORALES, IS RELIABLE, DECENT, TEMPERATE, AND AN OUTSTANDING INDIVIDUAL. APPOINTEE HAS A VERY GOOD SENSE OF HUMOR, AND TO HIS UNDERSTANDING, HAS DONE AN OUTSTANDING JOB AS A CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS JUDGE. [REDACTED] COULD NOT RECOMMEND APPLICANT HIGHLY ENOUGH FOR THE POSITION OF UNITED STATES SOLICITOR GENERAL.
ON JULY SIXTEEN INSTANT [REDACTED] second circuit court of appeals, was interviewed at [REDACTED] by [REDACTED]. He advised he has known the appointee only since nineteen sixty one, when he was appointed to the second circuit court of appeals. [REDACTED] advised the appointee has done a capable job and his only failing is that he does not have a full background in general law. [REDACTED] advised the appointee is an able, intelligent man, who is "marvelous in civil rights." He has seen the appointee and his wife on several social occasions and regards them as loyal Americans of excellent character, reputation and associates. He recommended the appointee for a position of trust and confidence with the united states government.
ON JULY NINETEEN, SIXTY FIVE, TO THE APPOINTEE, ADVISED THAT HE WAS ADMITTED TO PRACTICE BEFORE THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SECOND CIRCUIT ON MARCH TWENTY, SIXTY ONE.
ON JULY SIXTEEN SIXTY-FIVE ADVISED SA [REDACTED] THAT HE HAS
KNOWN THE APPOINTEE SINCE NINETEEN TEN OR NINETEEN ELEVEN AND
HAS FOLLOWED HIS ACTIVITIES AND PROGRESS CLOSELY OVER THE YEARS.
HE HAS GREAT ADMIRATION FOR MARSHALL AND HAS BEEN INTENSELY
INTERESTED IN HIS SUCCESS AND ADVANCEMENT. MARSHALL IS "A-1" AND
"FIRST CLASS" IN EVERY RESPECT AS FAR AS HE IS CONCERNED. [REDACTED]
STATED THAT THURGOOD MARSHALL IS A CREDIT TO HIS RACE AND
A CREDIT TO HIS COUNTRY. HE ADVISED THAT NONE OF HIS OPINIONS
REGARDING THE APPOINTEE WOULD HAVE CHANGED SINCE HIS LAST INTERVIEW
ON THIS SUBJECT IN NINETEEN SIXTY-ONE.

FURTHER ADVISED THAT HE BELIEVED THE APPOINTEE
TO POSSESS THE VERY HIGHEST ABILITY IN LAW AND THE JUDICIARY.
HE STATED THAT MARSHALL WAS A "JUDGE AMONG JUDGES" JUST AS HE WAS A
"LAWYER AMONG LAWYERS." THE APPOINTEE IS ALSO A PERFECT GENTLEMAN
AND THERE HAS NEVER BEEN THE SLIGHTEST TAINT TO HIS PERSONAL OR
PUBLIC LIFE. HE HAS NEVER BEEN ASSOCIATED WITH AN ORGANIZATION
OF A QUESTIONABLE NATURE AND HAS NEVER BEEN ENGAGED IN ANY
ACTIVITIES WHICH COULD BE IN THE SLIGHTEST CRITICIZED. HE ADVISED THAT HE WOULD HIGHLY RECOMMEND THE APPOINTEE IN ALL RESPECTS.
ON JULY SEVENTEEN, SIXTY FIVE, NEW YORK CITY, ADVISED SA THAT HE IS STILL THE APPOINTEE'S AND HE SEES THE APPOINTEE PROFESSIONALLY TWO OR THREE TIMES A YEAR. STATED HE ALSO SEES THE APPOINTEE OCCASIONALLY ON A SOCIAL BASIS USUALLY AT THE APPOINTEE'S HOME.

ADvised that his opinion regarding the appointee has not changed since he was interviewed in nineteen sixty one. He stated he still has the highest regard for the appointee's integrity and feels he is a person of excellent character, reputation and associates. Stated that appointee's loyalty to the United States is beyond reproach.

Advised that as far as he is concerned, the appointee is of high legal ability and will continue to do an excellent job for the United States government in any capacity.

Advised that it is his opinion that the appointee is in excellent physical condition.
ON SEVEN SIXTEEN SIXTY FIVE, MR. ARTHUR SPINGARN, ATTORNEY, THREE ZERO SIX WEST FORTY FOURTH STREET, NYC, ADVISED SPECIAL AGENT [REDACTED] THAT HE IS PRESIDENT OF THE NAACP AND THAT HE HIRED MARSHALL WHEN HE, SPINGARN, WAS CHAIRMAN OF THE LEGAL COMMITTEE OF THE NAACP. HE RECALLED BEING INTERVIEWED CONCERNING MARSHALL IN NINETEEN SIXTY ONE AND SAID THAT HE STILL HOLDS THE SAME HIGH OPINION OF MARSHALL AS HE DID THEN. HE STATED THAT HE HAS MAINTAINED THE SAME CLOSE ASSOCIATION WITH MARSHALL DURING THE PAST FOUR YEARS AND THAT MARSHALL AND HIS FAMILY FREQUENTLY SPENT SUMMER VACATIONS WITH SPINGARN AT SPINGARN'S SUMMER HOME IN ARNENIA, NEW YORK, UNTIL TWO YEARS AGO WHEN THE HOME BURNED DOWN. HE SAID MARSHALL IS IN THE PROCESS OF BUILDING A COTTAGE ON THE LAND. HE ADDED THAT AS A UNITED STATES CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE MARSHALL ADDED STATURE, AN EXCELLENT REPUTATION AS A COMPETENT FAIR INDIVIDUAL WITH AN EXCELLENT KNOWLEDGE OF THE LAW. HE SAID HE IS STRONGLY ANTI-COMMUNIST, THAT HE AND HIS FAMILY ARE ENTIRELY LOYAL TO THE UNITED STATES AND THAT HE WOULD RECOMMEND MARSHALL HIGHLY FOR A RESPONSIBLE POSITION WITH THE GOVERN.
ON SEVEN SIXTEEN SIXTY FIVE, NAACP, NYC, ADVISED SPECIAL AGENT THAT HE WAS INTERVIEWED IN NINETEEN SIXTY ONE CONCERNING MARSHALL, THAT HE HAS HAD FREQUENT PROFESSIONAL AND SOCIAL CONTACT WITH MARSHALL DURING THE PAST FOUR YEARS AND THAT NOTHING HAS OCCURRED THAT WOULD CHANGE THE VERY HIGH OPINION THAT HE HOLDS OF MARSHALL IN NINETEEN SIXTY ONE. HE SAID THAT MARSHALL IS AN OUTSTANDING AMERICAN, A PERSON OF THE HIGHEST PERSONAL AND PROFESSIONAL CHARACTER AND THAT HE WOULD RECOMMEND HIM HIGHLY FOR A RESPONSIBLE POSITION WITH THE GOVERNMENT.
ON SEVEN SIXTEEN SIXTY FIVE [REDacted] NAACP
LEGAL DEFENSE AND EDUCATIONAL FUND, INC., ADVISED SA [REDacted] THAT HE HAS KNOWN THE APPOINTEE INTIMATELY SINCE NINETEEN FIFTY TWO, AND KNEW HIM ON A CASUAL BASIS TEN YEARS PRIOR TO THAT TIME. HE ADVISED THAT APPOINTEE AND HIS WIFE ARE LOYAL AMERICANS OF EXCELLENT CHARACTER AND REPUTATION.
HE ADVISED THAT APPOINTEE POSSESS UNMISTAKEABLE LEGAL ABILITY AND ALWAYS DEMONSTRATED HIMSELF TO BE A BRILLIANT ATTORNEY. [REDacted] ADVISED THAT THE APPOINTEE HAS A BROTHER WHO IS A PHYSICIAN IN BALTIMORE, AND ALSO HAS AN AUNT. NEITHER OF WHOM ARE WELL KNOWN TO [REDacted] HE RECOMMENDED APPOINTEE WITHOUT QUALIFICATION FOR A POSITION OF HIGH TRUST AND CONFIDENCE.
ON JULY SIXTEEN, SIXTY FIVE, NAACP LEGAL DEFENSE AND EDUCATIONAL FUND, INC., NEW YORK CITY, ADVISED THAT HE HAS KNOWN THE APPOINTEE SINCE NINETEEN FORTY NINE THROUGH THEIR ASSOCIATION WITH THE NAACP. HE STATED THAT HIS PREVIOUS COMMENTS FROM THE NINETEEN SIXTY ONE INVESTIGATION OF THE APPOINTEE WOULD STILL STAND. HE RELATED THAT THE APPOINTEE IS ONE OF THE OUTSTANDING AMERICANS IN THE COUNTRY TODAY. HE STATED THERE IS NO QUESTION AS TO THE CHARACTER, LOYALTY, ASSOCIATES, REPUTATION AND MORALS OF THE APPOINTEE.

He stated that the appointee has done an outstanding job as federal circuit court judge at New York City since his appointment in nineteen sixty one by President Kennedy. He stated that he would further describe the appointee as quote first rate unquote and would be in complete agreement with any appointment given the appointee by President Johnson in a position involving trust and responsibility in the government.
NYC, NEW YORK, ADVISED SPECIAL AGENT [REDACTED] ON SEVEN SIXTEEN THAT HE HAS KNOWN APPOINTEE FOR OVER TWENTY YEARS BOTH IN A SOCIAL AND PROFESSIONAL WAY. HE HAS ALWAYS FOUND APPOINTEE OPEN AND ABOVE BOARD IN HIS DEALINGS WITH HIM. APPOINTEE HAS ALWAYS CONDUCTED HIMSELF IN AN EXCELLENT WAY SOCIALLY, IS A MAN OF STRONG MORAL CONVICTIONS AND HAD NO COMPUNCTIONS IN RECOMMENDING APPOINTEE FOR A HIGH POSITION OF TRUST IN GOVERNMENT SERVICE.
ON JULY SIXTEEN, SIXTY FIVE, NEW YORK CITY, ADVISED THAT SHE HAS KNOWN THE APPOINTEE SINCE NINETEEN FORTY SIX WHEN BOTH WERE ASSOCIATED WITH THE NAACP LEGAL DEFENSE AND EDUCATIONAL FUND, INC., AT NEW YORK CITY. SHE STATED THAT IN NINETEEN SIXTY ONE THE APPOINTEE WAS APPOINTED BY THE LATE PRESIDENT KENNEDY AS A FEDERAL CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE IN NEW YORK CITY. SHE STATED THAT HER PREVIOUS STATEMENTS CONCERNING THE APPOINTEE PRIOR TO HIS BEING APPOINTED FEDERAL CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE REMAINED THE SAME IN ALL PHASES. SHE STATED THAT SHE FIRMLY BELIEVED THAT THE EXPERIENCE ATTAINED BY THE APPOINTEE WHILE LEGAL COUNSEL WITH THE NAACP OVER THE YEARS AS WELL AS HIS TENURE AS A FEDERAL CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE WOULD PLACE THE APPOINTEE IN A POSITION OF ANY NATURE INVOLVING TRUST AND RESPONSIBILITY IN THE GOVERNMENT. RELATED THAT THE APPOINTEE AND FAMILY WERE OF THE HIGHEST CHARACTER, LOYALTY, ASSOCIATES, MORALS AND REPUTATION. SHE STATED SHE WOULD
NY 77-26395

HAVE NOTHING BUT THE HIGHEST RECOMMENDATION FOR THE APPOINTEE
FOR A POSITION INVOLVING TRUST AND RESPONSIBILITY IN THE
GOVERNMENT.
ADvised special agent on July sixteen nineteen sixty five that he has known the appointee for approximately fifteen years. Stated he knew him first when and the appointee was head of the NAACP legal defense fund. Stated that he knows the appointee both professionally and socially and has always found him to be a very able lawyer and his integrity was beyond reproach.

Stated that he has visited the appointee's home, knew his wife and children and that they are a fine family. Advised that the appointee's moral character, personal habits and reputation are beyond reproach and never has he had any reason to question his loyalty to the United States. Stated that he would recommend the appointee without reservation for a position of trust and confidence in the United States Government.
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[Redacted], NEW YORK CITY, and residing at [Redacted], advised Special Agent [Redacted] on July Sixteen, One Nine Six Five, that he has known the appointee for approximately forty years and is one of his oldest personal friends. [Redacted] stated that he has known the appointee from the time he was a young man and has watched him grow up to be the wonderful person and able lawyer that he is today. [Redacted] stated that the appointee is eminently qualified to handle any position that the government might have in mind for him, as evidenced by his record as a Federal Judge. [Redacted] advised that the appointee is a man of the highest personal ideals and personal standards and that his moral character and his loyalty to his country is beyond reproach. [Redacted] added that his personal integrity, honesty, his
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ABILITY AND HIS REPUTATION AS A PERSON, AS A LAWYER AND
A JUDGE IS OUTSTANDING. STATED THAT HOLDING THE APPOINTEE IN THE HIGHEST RESPECT HE WOULD
RECOMMEND THE APPOINTEE FOR ANY POSITION OF TRUST AND
CONFIDENCE IN THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT.
ON JULY SIXTEEN SIXTY FIVE, NEW YORK,
ADVISED SA THAT HE HAS KNOWN JUDGE THURGOOD
MARSHALL FOR TWENTY FIVE YEARS. HE STATED THAT HE WAS
TO THE APPOINTEE WHO HEADED THE LEGAL OFFICE OF
THE NAACP IN THE EARLY NINETEEN FORTIES, WHEN THAT OFFICE WAS
LOCATED AT SIXTY NINE FIFTH AVENUE AND LATER AT TWENTY WEST
FORTIETH STREET, BOTH NEW YORK CITY. SAID THAT
THIS OFFICE IS PRESENTLY KNOWN AS THE LEGAL DEFENSE AND EDUCATION
FUND LOCATED AT TEN COLUMBUS CIRCLE, NEW YORK CITY. STATED THAT THE APPOINTEE RECEIVED GREAT JUDICIAL EXPERIENCE IN
THIS OFFICE AND THROUGH THE YEARS HAS ACQUIRED AN OUTSTANDING
LEGAL BACKGROUND. HE SAID THE APPOINTEE HAS APPEARED IN AT LEAST
THIRTY EIGHT TO FORTY CASES BEFORE THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT
AS WELL AS MANY TIMES IN VARIOUS OTHER HIGH FEDERAL COURTS, AND
IN THIS REGARD IS ONE OF THE MOST EXPERIENCED ATTORNEYS IN THE
UNITED STATES IN THIS PRACTICE.

HE SAID THAT HE KNOWS THE APPOINTEE VERY WELL, HAVING BEEN
IN CLOSE ASSOCIATION WITH HIM FOR TWENTY FIVE YEARS, AND REGARD
HIM AS A LOYAL AMERICAN OF GOOD CHARACTER, REPUTATION, MORALS AND ASSOCIATES, CONCERNING WHOM HE KNOWS NO DEROGATORY INFORMATION. HE ADVISED THAT HE HAS HAD MANY OCCASIONS TO OBSERVE THE APPOINTEE IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS, SECOND DISTRICT, WHERE THE APPOINTEE HAS DONE AN OUTSTANDING JOB EXHIBITING FIRST RATE LEGAL ABILITY. HE BELIEVES THE APPOINTEE HAS A GOOD GRASP OF CONSTITUTIONAL INTERPRETATION OF VARIOUS CRIMINAL STATUTES AND SITUATIONS AND IS CLOSELY ASSOCIATED AND ALIGNED IN HIS THINKING WITH THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT JUDGE TOM CLARK.

Said that he considers any advance that the appointee might make in the legal field would be for the betterment of the United States. He said he would recommend the appointee for any position of trust in the United States government.
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ON JULY SIXTEEN INSTANT, NEW YORK,
ADvised SPECIAL AGENT, NEW YORK, that he is

stated that he knew the appointee's parents and has
known the appointee since his birth. stated that
he saw him grow up at the appointee's
wedding. added that the appointee was a devoted
family man, a true American and "one on whom he would
bet his life". stated that he could not speak too
highly of the appointee and that he was a man of great
personal character, personal habits, and ability in the
field of law. He added that his loyalty to his country
was not to be questioned. stated that because of the
appointee's kindness, loyalty, character, and devotedness to
his country, he would recommend him for any position of
trust and confidence with the United States government.
ON JULY SIXTEEN SIXTY FIVE

NEW YORK CITY, ADVISED THAT HE HAS KNOWN THE APPOINTEE
SINCE JUNE, NINETEEN FIFTY SEVEN, AND CONSIDERS HIM TO BE A
GREAT AMERICAN AND A PERSON OF THE HIGHEST INTEGRITY.

DESCRIBED THE APPOINTEE AS A PERSON WHO HAS COURAGE
IN HIS BELIEFS AND A GREAT CONVICTION FOR THE WELFARE OF PEOPLE.

THE APPOINTEE
HAS HANDLED LEGAL MATTERS IN A HIGHLY COMPETENT MANNER AND
ENJOYS AN EXCELLENT REPUTATION AMONGST HIS FRIENDS AND ASSOCIATES.

STATED THAT THE APPOINTEE IS UNQUESTIONABLY
LOYAL TO THE UNITED STATES AND HE HIGHLY RECOMMENDS THE APPOINTEE
FOR A POSITION WITH THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT.

WAS INTERVIEWED BY SA
OF NEW YORK, ADVISED SA
ON SEVEN SIXTEEN SIXTY FIVE THAT HE PRESENTLY
HAS
ADVISER HE HAS HAD ONLY LIMITED
SOCIAL CONTACTS WITH JUDGE DURGOOD MARSHALL SINCE HIS
APPOINTMENT AS A US JUDGE IN NINETEEN SIXTY ONE. HE
CONTINUED BY STATING HE FEELS FROM WHAT HE HAS HEARD OF
JUDGE MARSHALL'S ACTIONS SINCE BEING PUT ON THE BENCH
THAT HE POSSESS THE SAME, IF NOT MORE SO, HIGH QUALITY
AND ATTRIBUTES NEEDED BOTH FOR A GENTLEMAN AND A JUDGE AS
HE DID IN NINETEEN SIXTY ONE.

HE CONSIDERED JUDGE MARSHALL TO POSSESS THE
HIGHEST LEGAL REPUTATION POSSIBLE BECAUSE OF HIS LONG
CONDITIONING WHICH ENABLED HIM TO LISTEN TO BOTH SIDES OF AN ARGUMENT UNBIASEDLY. FELT THAT JUDGE MARSHALL'S RECENT YEARS ON THE BENCH SHOWED THAT HE HAS AN IDEAL JUDICIAL TEMPERAMENT.

IN CONCLUSION, COMMENTED HE KNEW OF NO REASONS TO QUESTION JUDGE MARSHALL'S LOYALTY, CHARACTER OR ASSOCIATES.
NEW YORK 77-26395

On July Sixteen, One Nine Six Five, [Redacted]

Credit Bureau of Greater New York, Advised Investigative Clerk [Redacted] That Her Records Reflect Favorable Credit Ratings For The Appointee [Redacted]

Advised That She Could Locate No Record For [Redacted]

On July Sixteen Instant, [Redacted]

Poughkeepsie Credit Bureau, Which Covers Amenia, New York, Advised [Redacted] That He Had No Record For The Appointee Or [Redacted]

Arrest On July Sixteen Instant [Redacted]

Dutchess County Sheriff's Office, Poughkeepsie, New York, Advised [Redacted] That He Could Locate No Record For The Appointee Or [Redacted]
ON JULY SIXTEEN, ONE NINE SIX FIVE, SPECIAL AGENT CAUSED THE RECORDS OF THE NEW YORK CITY POLICE DEPARTMENT TO BE CHECKED BY BUREAU OF CRIMINAL IDENTIFICATION; INFORMATION UNIT; OLD RECORD ROOM (ALL OF THE ABOVE OF THE NEW YORK CITY POLICE DEPARTMENT); AND FINGERPRINT BUREAU, CRIMINAL COURT OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK. NO RECORD WAS LOCATED FOR THE APPOINTEE, OR

ON JULY SIXTEEN, ONE NINE SIX FIVE, BUREAU OF SPECIAL SERVICES, NEW YORK CITY POLICE DEPARTMENT, ADVISED SPECIAL AGENT THAT HE COULD LOCATE NO RECORD FOR THE APPOINTEE OR HIS RELATIVES.

MISCELLANEOUS

ON JULY SIXTEEN, ONE NINE SIX FIVE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, SDNY, POLEY SQUARE, NEW YORK, NEW YORK, ADVISED SPECIAL AGENT THAT HER
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RECORDS FAILED TO DISCLOSE THAT THE APPLICANT HAD BEEN ADMITTED TO PRACTICE BEFORE THE FEDERAL COURT OF THE SDNY.

ON JULY SIXTEEN, ONE NINE SIX FIVE, UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, EDNY, TWO TWO FIVE WASHINGTON STREET, BROOKLYN, NEW YORK, ADVISED THAT HER RECORDS SUBSEQUENT TO ONE NINE FOUR ZERO FAILED TO DISCLOSE THAT THURGOOD MARSHALL HAD BEEN ADMITTED TO PRACTICE IN THE FEDERAL COURT. RECORDS PRIOR TO NINETEEN FORTY WERE NOT AVAILABLE FOR REVIEW.

ATTEMPTS WERE MADE TO CONTACT THE FOLLOWING INDIVIDUALS WHO WERE INTERVIEWED CONCERNING THE APPOINTEE IN NINETEEN SIXTY ONE AND WHO WERE UNAVAILABLE AT THIS TIME:

ON JULY SIXTEEN ONE NINE SIX FIVE NYTWO ADVISED THAT HE COULD FURNISH NO ADDITIONAL INFORMATION CONCERNING THE APPOINTEE.
ON WHO HAS FURNISHED RELIABLE INFORMATION IN THE PAST, ADVISED THAT COMMITTEE TO SECURE JUSTICE FOR MORTON SOBELL (GSJMS) STATED THAT FELT SOBELL WOULD HAVE A BETTER CHANCE IN COURT IN VIEW OF THE STATEMENT BY US APPEALS COURT JUDGE THURGOOD MARSHALL. JUDGE MARSHALL, ACCORDING TO INDICATED THAT IF ETHEL ROSENBERG APPEARED BEFORE HIS COURT AT THE PRESENT TIME, SHE WOULD BE GRANTED A NEW TRIAL.

MORTON SOBELL WAS CONVICTED ON THREE TWENTY-NINE FIFTY ONE IN THE USDC, SDNY OF CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT ESPIONAGE ON BEHALF OF THE SOVIET UNION, AND WAS SENTENCED ON APRIL FIVE, NINETEEN FIFTY ONE TO THIRTY YEARS IMPRISONMENT. HE IS CURRENTLY SERVING HIS SENTENCE IN THE CUSTODY OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL.

JULIUS AND ETHEL ROSENBERG WERE CONVICTED IN THE USDC, SDNY ON THREE TWENTY-NINE FIFTY ONE OF CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT ESPIONAGE ON BEHALF OF THE SOVIET UNION. THE ROSENBERGS WERE SENTENCED TO DEATH ON FOUR FIVE FIFTY ONE. THEY WERE
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Legally executed at Sing Sing Prison, Ossining, New York, on Six Nineteen Fifty Three.

Documentation of the CSJMS appears in the Appendix attached here. 
ON SEVEN SIXTEEN INSTANT AND
FOLEY SQUARE,
NEW YORK, NEW YORK, ADVISED SA THAT THEY
WERE NOT ACQUAINTED WITH THE APPOINTEE EITHER OFFICIALLY
OR PERSONALLY.

ON SEVEN SIXTEEN INSTANT THE RECORDS OF THE
NEW YORK TIMES MORGUE WERE REVIEWED BY IC AN ARTICLE ENTITLED, "NEW VISTA GIVEN TO EPISCOPALIANS"
APPEARED IN THE OCTOBER TWENTY FOURTH ISSUE OF THE NEW YORK TIMES" AND CONTAINED INFORMATION CONCERNING THE APPOINTEE.

ON SEVEN SIXTEEN SIXTY FIVE MAX YERGAN, PINES
BRIDGE ROAD, OSSINING, NEW YORK, ADVISED SA THAT SINCE NINETEEN SIXTY ONE HE HAS SEEN THE
APPOINTEE ON ONLY ONE OR TWO OCCASIONS FROM A DISTANCE.
HE STATED THAT HE HAS NO ADDITIONAL INFORMATION CONCERNING
THE APPOINTEE AND THAT HIS OPINIONS OF THE APPOINTEE'S
CHARACTER, REPUTATION AND LOYALTY HAVE NOT CHANGED SINCE
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NINETEEN SIXTY ONE. HE ADVISED THAT HE WOULD RECOMMEND
THE APPOINTEE FOR ANY POSITION OF TRUST AND CONFIDENCE
WITH THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT.
ON SEVEN SIXTEEN INSTANT, NEW YORK STATE SUPREME COURT, APPELLATE DIVISION, FIRST JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT, TWENTY FIFTH STREET AND MADISON AVENUE, NEW YORK, NEW YORK, ADVISED IC THAT THERE IS NO RECORD CONCERNING THE APPOINTEE.

ON SEVEN SIXTEEN INSTANT, NEW YORK STATE SUPREME COURT, APPELLATE DIVISION, SECOND JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT, FORTY FIVE MONROE PLACE, BROOKLYN, NEW YORK, ADVISED IC THAT THERE IS NO RECORD CONCERNING THE APPOINTEE.

ON SEVEN SIXTEEN INSTANT, ASSOCIATION OF THE BAR OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK, FORTY TWO WEST FOURTY FOUR STREET, NEW YORK, NEW YORK, ADVISED IC THAT THE APPOINTEE WAS ELECTED MARCH, NINETEEN SIXTY THREE, TO THE ASSOCIATION.

ON SEVEN SIXTEEN INSTANT, ASSOCIATION OF THE BAR OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK,
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FORTY TWO WEST FORTY FOUR STREET, NEW YORK, NEW YORK,
GRIEVANCE COMMITTEE, ADVISED THAT THERE IS NO RECORD CONCERNING THE APPOINTEE.

ON SEVEN SIXTEEN INSTANT, NEW YORK COUNTY LAWYER'S ASSOCIATION, FOURTEEN VESEY STREET, NEW YORK, NEW YORK, ADVISED THAT THE APPOINTEE WAS ADMITTED IN NINETEEN THIRTY SIX.

ON SEVEN SIXTEEN INSTANT, BROOKLYN BAR ASSOCIATION, ONE TWO THREE REMSEN STREET, BROOKLYN, NEW YORK, ADVISED THAT THERE IS NO RECORD CONCERNING THE APPOINTEE.

IN JULY, NINETEEN SIXTY FIVE, SEVERAL CONFIDENTIAL SOURCES FAMILIAR WITH SOME PHASES OF CPF ACTIVITY IN THE NYC AREA ADVISED THAT THEY HAD NO PERSONAL KNOWLEDGE OF THE APPOINTEE.
I. APPENDIX

COMMITTEE TO SECURE JUSTICE FOR MORTON SOBELL

"Following the execution of atomic spies ETHEL and JULIUS ROSENBERG in June, 1953, the 'Communist campaign assumed a different emphasis. Its major effort centered upon MORTON SOBELL,' the ROSENBERGS' codefendant. The National Committee to Secure Justice in the Rosenberg Case - a Communist front which had been conducting the campaign in the United States - was reconstituted as the National Rosenberg-Sobell Committee at a conference in Chicago in October, 1953, and 'then as the National Committee to Secure Justice for Morton Sobell in the Rosenberg Case'. . ."

("Guide to Subversive Organizations and Publications" dated December 1, 1961, issued by the House Committee on Un-American Activities, page 116.)

In September, 1954, the name "National Committee to Secure Justice for Morton Sobell" appeared on literature issued by the Committee. In March, 1955, the current name, "Committee to Secure Justice for Morton Sobell," first appeared on literature issued by the Committee.

The Address Telephone Directory for the Borough of Manhattan, New York City, as published by the New York Telephone Company on April 20, 1964, lists the "Committee to Secure Justice for Morton Sobell" (CSJMS) as being located at 940 Broadway, New York, New York.
Memorandum

TO: Mr. Gale

FROM: W. V. Cleveland

DATE: July 16, 1965

SUBJECT: THURGOOD MARSHALL
       DEPARTMENTAL APPLICANT
       SOLICITOR GENERAL
       DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

At 10:05 a.m. today Mr. DeLoach advised that he had been informed by the White House the President wants the investigation of Thurgood Marshall brought up to date immediately since the 1961 investigation concerning him. We conducted a departmental applicant investigation concerning Marshall in 1961 prior to his appointment as Judge, United States Circuit Court of Appeals, New York.

The requested investigation has been ordered to the field by telephone with the request that a summary of the results be furnished by teletype by noon on Sunday, July 18, 1965, to the Bureau. We plan to have a summary of this supplement investigation ready to go to the White House on Monday, July 19, 1965.

ACTION:

This matter is being followed closely and you will be advised of any significant developments.

1 - Mr. Belmont
1 - Mr. DeLoach
1 - Mr. Gale
1 - Mr. Cleveland
1 -
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GUEST LIST FOR THURGOOD MARSHALL
SWEARING-IN CEREMONY -- August 24, 1965
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Mr. Paul Bender

Mr. Justice Hugo Black
Mr. Hugo Black

Mr. Jerome L. Chapman

Mr. Louis F. Claiborne

Deputy Attorney General Ramsey Clark
Mr. Justice Tom Clark
Honorable John Davis, Clerk of the Supreme Court

Assistant Attorney General John Dear

Miss Mildred E. Fanoubist

Honorable Raymond F. Farrell, Commissioner of Immigration and Naturalization

Mr. Owen Fisi
Mr. Lewis F. Gage
Mr. Daniel M. Friedman

Mr. Ernest Friesen

Mr. Fred Halsey

Honorable J. Edgar Hoover, Director of the Federal Bureau of Investigation

Acting Assistant Attorney General John Jones

Attorney General Nicholas deB. Katzenbach

Mr. Jack S. Levin

77-88227-145

NOT RECORDED
3 SEP 21 1965

04 SEP 22 1965
Mr. Nathan Lewin

Mr. John C. Lewis, Jr.

Mr. Clarence Mitchell

Mr. Richard A. Posner

Mr. Harold Reis, Executive Assistant to the Attorney General

Honorable Spotswood Robinson

Mrs. Spotswood Robinson

Mr. Jack Rosenthal, Director of Public Information, Department of Justice.

Mr. Barefoot Sanders

Assistant Attorney General Norbert A. Schlei

Mr. Arthur Spingarn

Mr. Ralph S. Spritzer

Mr. Charles Stovall

Mrs. Charles Stovall

Assistant Attorney General Fred M. Vinson

Assistant Attorney General Edwin Weisel, Jr.

Mr. Roy Wilkins

Assistant Attorney General J. Walter Yeagley

Mr. Herman Zand

Mr. and Mrs. Thurgood Marshall and two sons
TO : Mr. DeLoach  
FROM : M. A. Jones  
DATE: 12-28-65  
SUBJECT: SOLICITOR GENERAL THURGOOD MARSHALL SPECIAL BUREAU TOUR

In accordance with prior arrangements made by Solicitor General Marshall with the Director's Office, Mrs. Marshall and their two sons, Thurgood, Jr., and John, were provided a very special tour of FBI Headquarters this morning by SA

They were accompanied by [redacted] and a young friend of the Marshall children.

Prior to the tour, at Miss Gandy's invitation, the group was given an opportunity to see Mr. Hoover's Office.

During the tour, they were afforded a special firearms demonstration and the boys were given empty shell cases and used silhouette targets. The entire group was most appreciative of the courtesies extended them.

RECOMMENDATION: For information.  

1 - Mr. DeLoach  
1 - Miss Holmes  
1 - Miss Gandy  
1 - Tour Room

DIRECTOR

12-76-SAN
Subject: Marshall Thunwood
Birthdate & Place: 7-3-68
Address:

Localities:

Re: Date: 12-7
Searcher: 531

Prod. FILE NUMBER SERIAL

77-88227
62-86660
44-1540
44-10894
62-86660 -20
77-88227 -115
62-86660 -3

DEC 2 1968

DEC 5 1968
An applicant-type investigation was conducted by the FBI in 1961 and additional inquiries were conducted in 1965 concerning the captioned individual. The results of these investigations were furnished to the White House.

For detailed results of these investigations, you are referred to the office of the Honorable Marvin Watson, Special Assistant to the President, attention Mrs. Mildred Stogall.

(77-88227)

Original and 1 - NACC - Army
Request received - December 2, 1966

NOTE: In 1939, Marshall registered with the American Labor Party and in 1944, was listed as a national committeeman of the International Juridical Association. In 1942, he wrote a report which was adopted by the National Executive Board of the National Lawyers Guild. In 1947, he was speaker on a program sponsored by the Progressive Citizens of America. All of the above-mentioned organizations have been cited by the HCUA6.
Reference is made to the oral request of Mr. John T. Duffner, Executive Assistant, Office of the Deputy Attorney General, for any pertinent information received by this Bureau concerning Solicitor General Thurgood Marshall since the investigation of him in 1963.

The files of the FBI, including the files of the Identification Division, contain no additional pertinent information received concerning Mr. Marshall since the investigation of him in 1963.

NOTE: See memo Cleveland to Gale dated 6-13-67, same caption.
Memorandum

TO: Mr. Gale

FROM: W. V. Cleveland

DATE: 6-13-67

SUBJECT: THURGOOD MARSHALL
SOLICITOR GENERAL OF THE UNITED STATES

My memorandum of 6-13-67, advised that John T. Duffner, Executive Assistant, Office of the Deputy Attorney General, had requested a name check concerning Thurgood Marshall, Solicitor General of the United States. Duffner confidentially advised that Marshall was being considered as a replacement for Tom Clark on the Supreme Court of the United States. It is noted that at 12:06 p.m. on 6-13-67, the news ticker carried an announcement of Marshall's appointment by the President to the Supreme Court.

My memorandum also pointed out we had previously investigated Marshall in 1961 and 1965. The results of these investigations have previously been furnished to the Department.

Bureau files show no additional pertinent information received concerning Marshall since our 1965 investigation. However, it will be recalled that Marshall as Solicitor General filed a petition in the Black case with the Supreme Court of the United States over the objections of the FBI. In this connection it is noted that in June, 1966, on a highly confidential basis advised Mr. DeLoach in connection with the Black case that Marshall as Solicitor General had ineptly and inadequately presented the matter of electronic devices to the Supreme Court commented upon Marshall's inept and stupid presentations to the Supreme Court regarding the general matter of confessions.

It will also be recalled that in the 1940s Marshall when Special Counsel for the National Association for the Advancement of Colored People was critical of the Bureau and made several charges in connection with civil rights cases, which were unfounded. In July, 1965, the Attorney General was also advised of these unsubstantiated charges by Marshall.

Enclosure

1 - Mr. DeLoach
1 - Mr. Wick
1 - Mr. Gale
1 - Mr. Cleveland

CONTINUED - OVER
Memorandum to Mr. Cale
RE: THURGOOD MARSHALL
SOLICITOR GENERAL OF THE UNITED STATES

ACTION:

Attached for approval is a letter to the Attorney General advising him that our files contain no additional pertinent information received concerning Marshall since the 1965 investigation.
June 13, 1967

PERSONAL

Honorable Thurgood Marshall
The Solicitor General
U. S. Department of Justice
Washington, D. C.

Dear Mr. Marshall:

My associates join me in extending congratulations upon your being named by the President today to be an Associate Justice of the United States Supreme Court. You have our very best wishes on this occasion.

Sincerely yours,

[Signature]

EDGER RHOEER

NOTE: Marshall has been critical of the FBI in connection with civil rights matters. Marshall was investigated in 1961 when he was being considered for appointment as a United States Circuit Judge and in 1965 in connection with his appointment as Solicitor General. The White House and the Attorney General were advised of his criticism in July, 1965. Marshall had alleged in his criticism that the FBI's record in cases involving Negroes was notably one-sided and implied that they did not exert full effort to solve them. On one occasion when he charged misconduct on the part of Special Agents in the FBI while serving as a Judge, he was requested to supply details of the alleged misconduct so that immediate administrative inquiry could be made, and he never answered the request. Under Marshall's administration of the Solicitor General's office, the Department's present program concerning disclosure of FBI wire taps was promulgated.
UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO: Mr. Gale

FROM: W. V. Cleveland

DATE: 6-13-67

SUBJECT: THURGOOD MARSHALL
SOLICITOR GENERAL OF THE UNITED STATES

This morning Mr. John T. Duffner, Executive Assistant, Office of the Deputy Attorney General, requested an expedite name check concerning Thurgood Marshall, Solicitor General of the United States. He advised on a very confidential basis that Marshall was being considered as a replacement for Tom Clark on the Supreme Court of the United States.

We investigated Marshall in 1961 when he was being considered for appointment as a United States Circuit Judge and in 1965 in connection with his appointment as Solicitor General.

Attached are copies of memoranda setting forth the results of the previous investigations. Also attached is a copy of a letter furnished to the White House and the Attorney General in 1965 advising of Marshall's past criticism of the Bureau in connection with civil rights matters.

Bureau files are being searched for any pertinent information received concerning Marshall since the 1965 investigation.

ACTION:

Enclosures

1 - Mr. DeLoach
1 - Mr. Wick
1 - Mr. Gale
1 - Mr. Cleveland

57 Jun 22 1967
Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion.

☐ Deletions were made pursuant to the exemptions indicated below with no segregable material available for release to you.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section 552</th>
<th>Section 552a</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☐ (b)(1)</td>
<td>☐ (b)(7)(A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ (b)(2)</td>
<td>☐ (b)(7)(B)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ (b)(3)</td>
<td>☐ (b)(7)(C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ (b)(4)</td>
<td>☐ (b)(7)(D)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ (b)(5)</td>
<td>☐ (b)(7)(E)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ (b)(6)</td>
<td>☐ (b)(7)(F)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

☐ Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to the subject of your request.

☐ Information pertained only to a third party. The subject of your request is listed in the title only.

☐ Documents originated with another Government agency(ies). These documents were referred to that agency(ies) for review and direct response to you.

Pages contain information furnished by another Government agency(ies). You will be advised by the FBI as to the releasability of this information following our consultation with the other agency(ies).

Page(s) withheld inasmuch as a final release determination has not been made. You will be advised as to the disposition at a later date.

Pages were not considered for release as they are duplicative of

☐ For your information:

☑ The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages:

11-88227-151 enclosed
Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion.

☐ Deletions were made pursuant to the exemptions indicated below with no segregable material available for release to you.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section 552</th>
<th>Section 552a</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☐ (b)(1)</td>
<td>☐ (b)(7)(A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ (b)(2)</td>
<td>☐ (b)(7)(B)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ (b)(3)</td>
<td>☐ (b)(7)(C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>___________</td>
<td>___________</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>___________</td>
<td>___________</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ (b)(4)</td>
<td>☐ (b)(7)(D)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ (b)(5)</td>
<td>☐ (b)(7)(E)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ (b)(6)</td>
<td>☐ (b)(7)(F)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ (b)(7)</td>
<td>☐ (d)(5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

☐ Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to the subject of your request.

☐ Information pertained only to a third party. The subject of your request is listed in the title only.

☑ Documents originated with another Government agency(ies). These documents were referred to that agency(ies) for review and direct response to you.

Pages contain information furnished by another Government agency(ies). You will be advised by the FBI as to the releasability of this information following our consultation with the other agency(ies).

Page(s) withheld inasmuch as a final release determination has not been made. You will be advised as to the disposition at a later date.

Pages were not considered for release as they are duplicative of ____________

☐ For your information: ____________

☒ The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages: M-88227-152
Memorandum

TO: The Director

FROM: N. P. Callahan

SUBJECT: The Congressional Record

Page 94143. The Senate received the following Executive nomination: Thurgood Marshall, of New York, to be an Associate Justice of the Supreme Court of the United States.

In the original of a memorandum captioned and dated as above, the Congressional Record was reviewed and pertinent items were marked for the Director's attention. This form has been prepared in order that portions of a copy of the original memorandum may be clipped, mounted, and placed in appropriate Bureau case or subject matter files.
Memorandum

TO: The Director

FROM: N. P. Callahan

DATE: July 12, 1967

SUBJECT: The Congressional Record

Pages S9357-S9359. Senator Yarborough, (D) Texas, spoke concerning the retirement of Supreme Court Justice Tom Clark and stated "our regret at Justice Tom Clark's leaving the Supreme Court is only partly mitigated by our pleasure in having Ramsey Clark as Attorney General and Justice Thurgood Marshall on the Court." Mr. Yarborough placed in the Record the remarks of the Honorable Orison Marden, president of the American Bar Association, made at the dinner honoring Justice Clark on June 12, 1967.

NOT RECORDED
126: 128: 130: 132: 155

In the original of a memorandum captioned and dated as above, the Congressional Record for 7-11-67 was reviewed and pertinent items were marked for the Director's attention. This form has been prepared in order that portions of a copy of the original memorandum may be clipped, mounted, and placed in appropriate Bureau case or subject matter files.
July 13, 1957

The Attorney General

Bureau, D.C.

Enclosed are a copy of a letter I received from Senator Byrd and a copy of my reply to him.

Enclosures (6)

1 - The Deputy Attorney General - Enclosures (2)
1 - Mr. Wick - Enclosures (2)
1 - Mr. DeLoach - Enclosures (2)
July 13, 1967

Honorable Robert C. Byrd
United States Senate
Washington, D. C. 20510

My dear Senator:

With respect to the inquiry contained in your letter of July 10th, I have referred a copy of your communication to the Attorney General for whatever assistance he may be able to render since data in our files must be maintained as confidential in accordance with regulations of the Department of Justice. I regret I am unable to be of help in this instance.

Sincerely yours,

J. Edgar Hoover

NOTE: Senator Byrd is on the Special Correspondents' List. Thurgood Marshall is, of course, well known to the Bureau.
The Honorable J. Edgar Hoover
Director
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Department of Justice
Washington, D. C.

Dear Mr. Hoover:

I have received correspondence which reads, in part, as follows:

Thurgood Marshall has been active in several Communist front organizations, including membership in the national committee of the International Juridical Association, which was cited in 1944 and again in 1950 as a Communist front.

In December 1949, Marshall was listed in a report of the HCUA as a member of the executive board of the National Lawyers Guild. The Daily Worker on November 11, 1942, reported that Marshall was one of those who submitted a report on lynching and discrimination which was adopted by the executive board of the National Lawyers Guild.

The Daily Worker of November 24, 1947, reported that Thurgood Marshall was among a group of attorneys who sent a telegram to New York Congressmen urging them to oppose contempt citations in the case of the so-called "Hollywood 10."

Newsweek Magazine, in September, 1961, quoted Thurgood Marshall as follows: "...We have negotiated too quietly and too reasonably for too long. We've made up our minds to harass the legal hell out of the school boards. From here on out we're going to be unreasonable, undecent and un-everything else."
The Honorable J. Edgar Hoover
July 10, 1967
Page 2

Would you please inform me as to the truth of the foregoing allegations. Any information will be deeply appreciated inasmuch as I would not want to support Mr. Marshall's nomination to the Supreme Court if the above statements have substance.

Sincerely yours,

[Signature]
Robert C. Byrd
U. S. Senator

RCB:erl
August 14, 1967

THURGOOD MARSHALL
Born: July 2, 1908
Baltimore, Maryland

An applicant-type investigation was conducted in 1961 and additional inquiries were conducted in 1965 concerning the captioned individual. The results of these investigations were furnished to the White House.

For detailed results of these investigations, you are referred to [redacted] at the White House. (77-88227)

Your attention is directed to a memorandum, possibly relating to the subject of your inquiry, which was furnished to the Department of State on November 15, 1954. (62-88880-5)

Original and | State Dept.
Request received - August 7, 1967

NOTE: Up to 1939, Marshall registered with the American Labor Party and in 1944, was listed as a national committeeman of the International Juridical Association. In 1942, he wrote a report which was adopted by the National Executive Board of the National Lawyers Guild. In 1947, he was speaker on a program sponsored by the Progressive Citizens of America. All of the above-mentioned organizations have been cited by the HCUA.

State Dept. name check request indicated reason for request "Candidate for American Specialists Program." 77-88227-15

EX 106
REF. 48
10 AUG 14 1967

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI, and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency. This reply is result of check of FBI investigative files. To check arrest records, request must be submitted to FBI Identification Division. Fingerprints are necessary for positive check.
November 22, 1967

Honorable William B. Spong, Jr.
United States Senate
Washington, D. C. 20510

My dear Senator:

Your communication and its enclosures were received on November 17th. While I certainly wish it were possible for me to be of service, I am unable to comment as you desire since data in our files must be maintained as confidential pursuant to regulations of the Department of Justice. You may wish to communicate with the Attorney General for any assistance he may be able to render in connection with your inquiry.

Inasmuch as a great deal of the information set forth in the editorials you enclosed is attributed to the House Committee on Un-American Activities, you may want to contact Mr. Francis J. McNamara, Director of that Committee, for any further data he may be able to provide.

The enclosures to your communication are being returned in accordance with your request.

Sincerely yours,

J. Edgar Hoover

NOTE: Bufiles disclose we have enjoyed limited cordial relations with Senator Spong. Enclosed with his communication were copies of three news clippings which highlight some of the previous activity of Supreme Court Associate Justice Thurgood Marshall. Marshall is, of course, well known to the Bureau.
United States Senate

Thurgood Marshall

Respectfully referred to
Honorable J. Edgar Hoover, Director
Federal Bureau of Investigation

for such consideration as the communication
herewith submitted may warrant, and for a report
thereon, in duplicate to accompany return of
inclouser.

By direction of

William B. Spong, Jr.
U. S. S.
The Distorters

"Wait and see what I do when I get on the Supreme Court. I will send every 'Whity' to jail I can."

—Thurgood Marshall, recently named by President Johnson to the U.S. Supreme Court.

The preceding remark by the Negro lawyer who undoubtedly will be approved by the Senate to fill retiring Justice Tom Clark's seat on the highest court in the land, was quoted by the Washington Observer newsletter in a report revealing several of Marshall's Communist-front connections.

The newsletter pointed out that a special report of the House Committee on Un-American Activities shows that Marshall was affiliated with the American League Against War and Fascism—an organization launched by the American Communist Party in the early 1930s. Another Communist-front connection cited by the Observer: "Marshall also was a member of the International Juridical Association, a front and offshoot of the International Labor Defense, the legal arm of the American Communist Party."

Marshall also was named as a member of the left-wing National Lawyers Guild, and as co-signer of a telegram to Congress demanding that the notorious Hollywood writers not be cited for contempt for refusing to testify before the HCU.

The newsletter stated that Marshall's remark about sending "every 'Whity' to jail I can" was made in a closed-door Negro "leadership" meeting at Howard University in October, 1961.

Newsweek magazine of September 18, 1961, quotes Marshall as saying: "We've negotiated too quietly and too reasonably for too long. We've made up our minds to harass the legal hell out of the school boards. From here on out, we're going to be unreasonable, indecent and un-everything else."

Including un-American.

This is the man the Senate is expected to confirm as a Justice of the U.S. Supreme Court, where he will sit in judgment on "Whity" and on cases involving "civil rights"—a field in which, for 25 years as an NAACP lawyer, he represented one side.

On the court he will find a clique of "soul brothers"—Chief Justice Warren, Fortas Douglas and Brennan. All five have personal political and sociological ideologies which run counter to the philosophy of the Constitution—the Constitution each takes an oath to uphold. The opinions of these five "liberals" will continue to distort the shape of American society, institutions and culture for years to come. Thus are we ruled by persons who hold us in contempt and thereby do we end up in a country that we are not fit to live in anymore.
Marshall's Pro-Red Record

Although President Johnson picked Thurgood Marshall for the U.S. Supreme Court because he was a Negro, Marshall had something else going for him.

He has a long background of Communist-front affiliations and activities. Such a background never did anybody harm in the Johnson Administration. Fact is, it seems to help.

Congressman Joe D. Waggonner, Jr., D-La., pointed to Marshall's pre-Communist background two years ago when the President nominated Marshall as Solicitor General.

The information, Waggonner explained, came from the public records, files and publications of the House Committee on Un-American Activities.

"This material," Waggonner said, "reveals that Thurgood Marshall was a member of the national committee of the International Juridical Association. The special Committee on Un-American Activities cited the International Juridical Association as a Communist front and an offshoot of the International Labor Defense in Report No. 1311, dated March 20, 1944. Also in a report on the National Lawyers Guild, prepared and published September 17, 1950, by the Committee on Un-American Activities, the International Juridical Association was cited as an organization which "actively defended Communists and consistently followed the Communist Party line."

A list of officers of the National Lawyers Guild, as of December 1948 which is printed in the committee's report on the National Lawyers Guild on page 4 contains the name of Thurgood Marshall as it was New York City, among the members of the executive board. It was shown to be an active editor of the National Lawyers Guild Review in the January of May to 1948 on Page 2.

"In The Washington Star, on page A-22 of the February 28, 1948, issue and on Page A-22 of the February 12, 1948, issue of that same paper, a story shows that Marshall criticized the loyalty program in a public forum held at the offices of the National Lawyers Guild here in Washington."

As you know, the Congress has the power to check the courts' excesses, the Congress
Manchester (N. H.) Union Leader
8/17/67

'The Man'
—His Record

The author of the following guest editorial is
John Lattin, Jr., former editor of our Vermont
Sunday News and now with the Coral Gables
Times in Coral Gables, Fla.—EDITORS.

FOR SOME YEARS he was a member of the
board of directors of the National Lawyers Guild
but resigned from that organization in 1933 during
what he termed "the Communist trial."

His resignation came some five years after the
organization had officially been designated a
Communist front by a special House Committeee
on Un-American Activities.

In 1937 as head of an organization called
Defense and Educational Fund, which the court
found to be "subversive, seditious, and moronic"
with the parent organization as to be its alter
ego," he was cited by the conviction of Robert
Kosrow, representative of the organization's
actions which the Court ruled in fact included
unlawful practice of law in Texas, soliciting and
fomenting litigation, operating in Texas without a
license required by Texas law for foreign
corporations doing business in that state, and
violating the Canons of Ethics of the American
Bar Association.

This same individual, in the same Texas suit
in 1937 in the District Court of Smith County at
the time of the prosecution of the group to
examine the books, documents and accounts of
his organization, refused to permit the authorized
representative of the Attorney General to
examine certain letters and correspondence.

While sitting at his desk he mutilated such
documents by cutting signatures and addresses
and the delivering the mutilated copies to the
authorized party.

In July of 1935, this man wrote to Dr.
Alfred H. Kelty, professor of history at Wayne
University in Detroit and asked him to help
prepare a research paper to be presented to the
U.S. Supreme Court on the intent of the framers
of the 14th Amendment respecting the constitu-
tionality of racially segregated schools.
On Dec. 23, 1921, eight years later, Dr. Kelly spoke to the American Historical Association of this research: "Never have there been, for me at least, a more dramatic illustration of the difference in function, technique, and relation between lawyer and historian. It is not that we were engaged in formulating how these were nothing as crude and naive as that. But we were using facts, understanding facts, drawing from them facts, sliding off facts, quietly ignoring facts, and above all interpreting facts in a way by the mind he said we had to do — yet by those boys down there."

Dr. Kelly spoke of another colloquy that he had had with The Man: "Alfred, you are one of us here and I like you. But I want you to understand that when we colored folks takes over, every time a white man draws a breath, he'll have to pay a fine."

In the Sept. 12, 1921 issue of Negro World, he spoke with the same dedication to his cause: "We've negotiated too gently and the responsibility for too long. We've made up our minds to harrass the legal hell out of the school board. From here on out, we're going to be unreasonable, uncorrected, and uneverything else."

It is not infrequent to demand that an individual of only the most exemplary conduct be allowed to sit on the bench of this nation's highest tribunal. And it is for this reason that both of Florida's senators, George Smathers as a member of the Judicial Committee now considering The Man's qualifications and Sprague Holland should cast a "no" vote on the appointment of Thurgood Marshall to the United States Supreme Court."
U. S. SUPREME COURT JUSTICE THURGOOD MARSHALL, SPEAKING ENGAGEMENT AT UNIVERSITY OF GEORGIA, SEPTEMBER TWENTY-EIGHT, NINETEEN SIXTY-EIGHT. INTERNAL SECURITY (INFORMATION CONCERNING).

RE TELEPHONE CALL FROM INSPECTOR JOE BIZO TO ASAC, ATLANTA, SEPTEMBER TWENTY-THREE, SIXTY-EIGHT.

U. S. SUPREME COURT JUSTICE THURGOOD MARSHALL IS SCHEDULED TO ADDRESS A GROUP OF GEORGIA STATE LEGISLATORS AT A LUNCHEON TO BE HELD AT THE UNIVERSITY OF GEORGIA COLISEUM IN ATHENS, GEORGIA, BETWEEN TEN AM AND ONE PM SEPTMEBER TWENTY-EIGHT, NINETEEN SIXTY-EIGHT, PRIOR TO THE FOOTBALL GAME TO BE HELD BETWEEN THE UNIVERSITY OF GEORGIA AND CLEMSON UNIVERSITY. SOURCES AT THE UNIVERSITY OF GA. AS WELL AS SOURCES FROM OTHER INSTITUTIONS, INCLUDING THOSE IN THE SAVANNAH DIVISION, HAVE NO KNOWLEDGE OF ANY PLANS BEING MADE.
BY AN STUDENT GROUP TO DEMONSTRATE AGAINST MARSHALL IN
CONNCTION WITH HIS VISIT.

AT THE END OF THE SCHOOL YEAR IN JUNE, NINETEEN SIXTY-EIGHT,
A CHAPTER OF STUDENTS FOR A DEMOCRATIC SOCIETY (SDS) EXISTED
AT THE UNIVERSITY OF GEORGIA IN ATHENS, GEORGIA. THIS CHAPTER
HAD FIFTEEN MEMBERS AT ITS PEAK; HOWEVER, FOUR OF THESE
MEMBERS WERE SUSPENDED AT THE END OF THE SCHOOL YEAR FOR
PARTICIPATING IN SIT-IN DEMONSTRATIONS IN THE ACADEMIC
BUILDING AT THE UNIVERSITY OF GEORGIA IN APRIL, SIXTY-EIGHT.

SOURCES AT THE UNIVERSITY OF GEORGIA STATE THE POSSIBILITY
EXISTS THAT SOME OF THE SDS MEMBERS MAY POSSIBLY PICKET
MARSHALL; HOWEVER, THESE INDIVIDUALS ARE MORE CONCERNED AT THE
PRESENT TIME WITH PROTESTING THEIR SUSPENSION BY THE UNIVERSITY
OF GEORGIA. STUDENTS AT THE UNIVERSITY OF GEORGIA WILL BE
REGISTERED FOR FALL CLASSES UP THROUGH SEPTEMBER TWENTYEIGHT,
SIXTY-EIGHT; THEREFORE, THEY HAVE HAD LITTLE OPPORTUNITY TO
ORGANIZE ANY ACTIVITY AS OF THE PRESENT TIME.

END PAGE TWO
SOURCEs AT THE UNIVERSITY OF GEORGIA AS WELL AS THOSE
CONNECTED WITH OTHER COLLEGES WILL FOLLOW THIS MATTER
CLOSERLY AND THE BUREAU WILL BE ADVISED IMMEDIATELY UPON
RECEIPT OF ANY INFORMATION INDICATING THE POSSIBILITY OF
ANY DEMONSTRATION AGAINST MARSHALL.

END

LPT

FBI WASH DC
UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO: MR. TOLSON
FROM: C. D. DeLoach

DATE: 9/23/68
cc Mr. DeLoach
Mr. Sullivan

SUBJECT: HARASSMENT OF
SUPREME COURT JUSTICE
THURGOOD MARSHALL,
AT UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN -

POTENTIAL FOR HARASSMENT
AT UNIVERSITY OF GEORGIA

Justice Thurgood Marshall called at 9:40 a.m.,
today. He stated he was somewhat of a "practicing coward" and that he had been deliberately harassed at the University of Wisconsin while attempting to make an appearance there over the past weekend. He stated the demonstrators were representatives of the Black Panther Party, as well as a number of individuals who were anti-administration with respect to Vietnam. He mentioned that there were not over one dozen representatives of each group; however, they were vociferous and very active in their harassment. He indicated he became somewhat afraid for his safety.

The Justice told me that this weekend he will make an appearance at the University of Georgia, Athens, Georgia, and that he is even more concerned about his safety there. He asked if the FBI would be kind enough to "size up the situation there in an attempt to ascertain if he can expect similar harassment at that location.

I told the Justice we would check files and see what we could find out, and I would be back in touch with him.

ACTION:

It is suggested the Domestic Intelligence Division check with both the Atlanta and Savannah Offices to determine if there is any potential harassment in connection with the scheduled appearance of Justice Marshall at the University of Georgia this weekend. We can afterwards give the Justice a call relative to any facts turned up. The Justice is well aware of the fact that the FBI cannot afford him protection.
Memorandum

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI

FROM: SAC, ATLANTA (100-7530) (C)

SUBJECT: U. S. SUPREME COURT JUSTICE THURGOOD MARSHALL, SPEAKING ENGAGEMENT AT THE UNIVERSITY OF GEORGIA, SEPTEMBER 27, 1968, INTERNAL SECURITY (INFORMATION CONCERNING)

Re: Atlanta teletype to Bureau 9/23/68.

PSI, Athens, Georgia, advised that the appearance of U. S. Supreme Court Justice THURGOOD MARSHALL at the University of Georgia Law School on the afternoon of 9/27/68, was without any incident inside or outside the demonstration by any groups against MARSHALL speaking at the University of Georgia.

EX:103

REC-15 77=29.222-161
81 NOV 27 1968
DEC 5 2 594322 1

SEC. D DOM INTELL 6

DEC 6 1968

Buy U. S. Savings Bonds Regularly on the Payroll Savings Plan
INFORMATIVE NOTE

Date 9/24/68

Justice Thurgood Marshall advised on 9/23/68 that he is scheduled to speak at the University of Georgia this coming weekend and would like to know whether any demonstration activity is being planned by dissident groups during his appearance.

Attached teletype indicates that at present time a controversy exists at University of Georgia regarding suspension of SDS members and possibility exists that some SDS members may picket Marshall. Sources advised, however, that SDS not organized on University of Georgia campus at present time and no definite plans to picket Marshall known to sources.

Information in attached teletype will be telephonically furnished to Justice Marshall.
FILE DESCRIPTION

SUBJECT

Thurgood Marshall

FILE NO.

New York file 89D-NY-184262
Mr. Justice Thurgood Marshall  
Associate Justice of the  
Supreme Court of the United States  
21 First Street, N.E.  
Washington, D.C. 20543

Dear Mr. Justice Marshall:

I am sorry, indeed, that I am compelled to communicate  
with you in this manner, but this must be done in the  
Cause of Justice, as some urgent and serious matters  
are involved which  
are being blocked by your Court Clerk as the copy of enclosed  
papers clearly indicate.

The title captions of my three actions are herebelow  
enclosed in a very abbreviated form and all three actions  
also involve malicious violations of the Anti-trust laws and  
the Public  
Interest and present the basic flavor of the nature of the actions.

Also, as you can see from the Federal Action caption,  
there is nothing but CORRUPTION in the Federal Courts below where  
I am preparing impeachment Proceedings, positive documentary evidence  
is available as indisputable proof.

Further, as you can see from my communications to the  
Commission on Judicial Conduct, there is no respect whatsoever for  
the Laws of the Land in the County of Suffolk. Although by Law,  
the Commission is supposed to prosecute these actions, I will  
attempt to do it myself under the Special Prosecutor Law, since  
the Commission is also a CORRUPT political machine. I have  
documentary evidence of these allegations since I have previously submitted papers to them (which they have ignored) to Remove a CORRUPT  
State Supreme Court Judge.

One has only to read the newspapers to learn of the  
murders, rapes, robberies, etc., etc., and the functioning of the  
System of "Justice" the core of the problems lies in the sitting  
Judges who are negligent, incompetent, or CORRUPT, or who possess  
all of the "sterling" qualities. I am also writing a paper which  
describes a method of selecting a Judge which will be submitted  
to the appropriate authority(s). Since I am not a lawyer, the  
paper will either be plagiarized by others or will find its way into  
file 23, since the CORRUPT Lawyers and Judges would prefer to keep  
the System as it is because they are making money (tribes, plea  
bargaining, etc.) at the expense of the litigants.

If the Federal and State Legislatures go to the considerable lengths to enact laws to promote Justice in our civilized  
society, the "great Question" is: why is the Congress?

J
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NEW YORK (BO 89D-WT-163189) (C) (C-18)
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DIRECTOR FBI () () ()
WMFO () () ()
BT
UNCLAS

89D-VY-184262-3

UNCLAS

NOTE: AFTER APPROVAL, PLEASE ROUTE THIS DOCUMENT BACK TO THE WORD PROCESSING SUPERVISOR, NOT TO THE TELETYPE ROOM.

WP Initials: ___
CITE: //3540:3613//

PASS: HQ FOR VIOLENT CRIMES UNIT - CID.

SUBJECT: ASSOCIATE JUSTICE THURGOOD MARSHALL - VICTIM; UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT, CCSCAKA; DQ: WMFO.

REFERENCE BUREAU TELETYPES TO ALL SACS, DATED OCTOBER 28, 1988; WMFO TELETYPE TO DIRECTOR AND NEW YORK, DATED MAY 4, 1989; NEW YORK TELETYPE TO DIRECTOR AND WFMO, DATED MAY 8, 1989.

REFERENCED NEW YORK TELETYPE REPORTED RESULTS OF NEW YORK'S INVESTIGATION TO FBIHQ AND WMFO WITHIN SEVEN (7) CALENDAR DAYS AS
REQUIRED BY REFERENCED BUREAU TELETYPE. COPY OF SAME BEING FAXED TO WMFO, C-4.
TO: HQ NY
DE WM #0011 1810046
ZNR UUUUU
P: 2900052 JUN 09
FROM: WMFC 2 EMHI
SUBJECT: 181/0011 RR
DATE: 30 JUN 89 00:23:59 GMT
CC: 
TEXT:
VZCZCM0011
RR HQ NY
DE WM #0011 1810046
ZNR UUUU U
P: 2900052 JUN 09
FM FBI WASHINGTON METROPOLITAN FIELD:8PD-WF-1:0166 / RFC-4:
TO DIRECTOR, FBI / ROUTINE/
FBI, NEW YORK / ROUTINE/
BT
UNCLASS
LITE: //3920//
PASS: 89-0-82 252

SUBJECT: ASSOCIATE JUSTICE THURGOOD MARSHALL - VICTIM, U.S. SUPREME COURT. COSCAKAI COD: WMFC.
RE BUREAU TELETYPE TO ALL SAI'S DATED 10/26/88,
WMFC TT TO THE DIRECTOR AND NEW YORK DATED 5/4/89.
The Supreme Court Police, Washington, D.C. have requested
the results of the FBI investigation in captioned matter so that
they can make a threat assessment. Pursuant to referenced bureau
teletype, results of the investigation must be reported to FBIHQ.

This was edited by

Chief
JUN 2S 1989
C-28

b7c
AND EXPEDITION 0011 UNCLAS

0011

NNNN
INBOX.9 (#3429)
TO: NY @ EMH1
FROM: WMFO @ EMH1
SUBJECT: 194/0009 ROUTINE
DATE: 13 JUL 89 15:54:55 GMT
CC:
TEXT:
V4CICWMO009
RR NY
DE WM #0009 1941735
ZNR UUUUU
R 131727Z JUL 89
FM FBI WASHINGTON METROPOLITAN FIELD OFFICE 8PS-AF-1c3189 VP (C-4)
TO FBI, NEW YORK/ROUTINE/
BT
UNCLAS
CITE: //3920//

SUBJECT: AKA; JUSTICE THURGOOD MARSHALL, ASSOCIATE JUSTICE OF THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES;
CC/SC/A: OC:WMFO

RE NEW YORK TT TO WMFO DATED 5/5/89.

NEW YORK IS REQUESTED TO RE-SEND REFERENCED TT AS WMFC ONLY RECEIVED FOUR PAGES OF THE DOCUMENT, THE FOURTH PAGE ENDING WITH, ....AND WAS AWARE OF THE EXISTENCE OF....

BT
#0009

STM
NY 1842625
JUL 13 1989
SUBJECT: **[REDACTED]**; JUSTICE THURGOOD MARSHALL, ASSOCIATE JUSTICE OF THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES; [REDACTED]; WMFO.

RE: WMFO TT TO NY, DATED JUNE 29, 1989; NY TT TO WMFO, DATED JULY 7, 1989; WMFO TT TO NY, DATED JULY 13, 1989; AND SEPTEMBER 12, 1989, TELECALL BETWEEN SSA **[REDACTED]** AND SA **[REDACTED]**

FOR THE INFORMATION OF NY, FBHMQ ADVISED THAT THEY WERE NOT IN RECEIPT OF NY TT, DATED MAY 8, 1989. WMFO RECEIVED ONLY A...
PAGE TWO OF FBI WMFO 0029 UNCLAS

PARTIAL TELEFAX OF SAID TT ON JULY 3, 1989, AND ATTEMPTED TO
OBTAIN A COPY FROM FBIHQ. WMFO SEEKS TO DISTRIBUTE INFORMATION
TO THE U.S. CAPITOL POLICE THREAT ASSESSMENT SECTION.

LEADS: NY DIVISION: AT NEW YORK: WILL FORWARD COPY OF TELETYPING,
DATED MAY 8, 1989, TO FBIHQ AND WMFO.

BT
#0029

NNNN
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☐ For your information:
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TELETYPE

ROUTINE

UNCLAS

9/29/89

/ROUTINE/

NEW YORK (89D-NY-184262) (C) (JTF-1)

/ROUTINE/

DIRECTOR FBI () () ()

WMFO (89D-WF-163189) () ()

BT

UNCLAS

1 - New York
1 - Supervisor STP-1
(2) 06943

approved: JMF

Transmitted

89-NY-184262

Per

NOTE: AFTER APPROVAL, PLEASE ROUTE THIS DOCUMENT BACK TO THE WORD PROCESSING SUPERVISOR, NOT TO THE TELETYPE ROOM.

WP Initials: 10/5/89

Closed
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FILE DESCRIPTION

SUBJECT
Thurgood Marshall

FILE NO.
Washington Metropolitan Field Office file 9A-5651
9-565-1
Subject of FOIPA Request
By 190-WF-193489
Retain 6 Years 3-10-93

Subject of FOIPA request
By 190-WF-193489
Retain 6 Years beyond 9-15-95
FROM FBI WASHINGTON FIELD OFFICE (9A-5651)(P)(C-4)

TO ACTING DIRECTOR, FBI PRIORITY

FBI, SAVANNAH PRIORITY

RE

UNCLASSIFIED

ATTN: PERSONAL CRIMES UNIT.

UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT JUSTICE THURGOOD MARSHALL-VICTIM; EXTORTION (A), 00:SAVANNAH.

ON 9/15/87, THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT POLICE PROVIDED WFO WITH ORIGINAL ONE PAGE TYPED THREATENING LETTER FROM

SAVANNAH, GEORGIA, A WHITE MALE, DOB (X), RECEIVED AT THE CHAMBERS OF UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT JUSTICE THURGOOD MARSHALL, 1 FIRST STREET, N.E., WASHINGTON, D.C., ON 9/14/87. THE PERTINENT PASSAGE READS:

"MY YOUR SOUL BURN IN HELL YOU SONOFABITCH...I WILL BLAST

UNCLASSIFIED

Washington Field

Approved: 9/15/87

Transmitted (Number) Per

AA - 5651 - 1

AA - 5651 - 40
YOU IN EVERY DAMN WAY POSSIBLE...IF YOU WANT TO SEND THE DAMN FBI TO INVESTIGATE ME DO SO...ONE THING FOR CERTAIN YOU HAVE YOUR REWARD COMING. AND MY PRAYERS IS FOR YOUR DAMN DEATH AS SOON AS POSSIBLE...NOT WOULD I LOVE TO WHIP YOUR DAMN ASS...KEEP TALKING PERHAPS SOMEONE SOME PLACE WILL SHUT YOU UP FOREVER. I HOPE SO.

(SIGNED) [Redacted]

BY WAY OF BACKGROUND INFORMATION, SUBJECT LAST CAME TO THE ATTENTION OF SAVANNAH AND WFO IN 1985, WHEN HE WROTE TO ASSOCIATE SUPREME COURT JUSTICE [Redacted] AND SAID, "I COULD KILL ANYONE, WOULD DO SO AT WILL IF THE RIGHT TIME CAME INTO FOCUS." INVESTIGATION AT THAT TIME INDICATED THAT CAPTIONED SUBJECT WAS AMBULATORY AND PROSECUTION WAS DECLINED. SUBJECT HAD EARLIER COME TO THE ATTENTION TO THE BUREAU IN 1982, FOR SIMILAR LETTER. SUBJECT HAS ASSORTED LOCAL ARRESTS IN SAVANNAH, GEORGIA, DATING BACK TO 1967, FOR THREATENING THE LIFE, ASSAULT AND BATTERY, DISCHARGING FIREARMS IN CITY LIMITS, RESISTING ARRESTS, AND TWO COUNTS OF SIMPLE ASSAULT BE THREATENING, AMONG OTHER CHARGES. SUBJECT'S [Redacted] IN 1982, WAS [Redacted] WHO STATED THAT SUBJECT HAD BEEN OPERATED ON UNCLAS
FOR PROSTATE CANCER AND HAD A VOICE BOX INSERTED INSIDE HIS THROAT FOR SOME OTHER TYPE OF AILMENT. ACCORDING TO SUBJECT IS PERMANENTLY DISABLED AND NEEDS A WHEELCHAIR TO GET AROUND. \_

STATED THAT SUBJECT DID OWN AN OLD .22 CALIBER RIFLE AND HAD THREATENED HER IN THE PAST.

LEADS: SAVANNAH DIVISION: AT SAVANNAH, GEORGIA:

WILL CONDUCT LOGICAL INVESTIGATION, TO INCLUDE CONFIRMING SUBJECT'S PRESENT DISABLED STATUS. SHOULD CONSIDER REINTERVIEW OF SUBJECT AND PRESENTATION TO THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE FOR PROSECUTIVE OPINION. ADVISE WFO OF RESULTS, IN ORDER THAT UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT POLICE MIGHT BE APPROPRIATELY APPRISED. IF PHOTOGRAPH IS AVAILABLE, SAVANNAH IS REQUESTED TO ATTEMPT TO OBTAIN ONE.

WASHINGTON FIELD DIVISION: AT WASHINGTON, D.C.:

WILL FORWARD ORIGINAL LETTER TO FBI LABORATORY FOR APPROPRIATE ANALYSIS.

BT

Approved: ______________ Transmitted ___________________ Per ____________
(Number) (Time)
Savannah, Georgia
September 23, 1987

UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT JUSTICE
THURGOOD MARSHALL - VICTIM;
EXTORTION

[Redacted] the subject of a previous investigation regarding allegations of mailing threatening communications to Supreme Court Justices, was contacted at Savannah, Georgia on September 21, 1987 with acknowledging that he had in fact again sent a letter to United States Supreme Court Justice THURGOOD MARSHALL, indicating that it was his right to do so and that he meant no physical harm to Justice MARSHALL.

By way of background, subject had mailed similar letters in 1982 and 1985 when he indicated that if the opportunity were available he would kill a Supreme Court Justice; however, past investigation has shown that is confined to a wheel chair with terminal cancer.

[Redacted] on September 21, 1987 again confirmed that he was in fact confined to a wheel chair and indicated that in March and April of 1987 he had had heart attacks. [Redacted] claims to be an ordained minister and rambled during the interview about his right of free speech and the fact that the Supreme Court had taken his rights from him, giving them to others, including homosexuals. It was apparent to the interviewing Agent that the 63-year-old could not be taken seriously, especially when considering his confinement to a wheel chair and no further investigation is being conducted at Savannah.

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
Assistant United States Attorney FREDERICK W. KRAMER III, Southern District of Georgia, Savannah, Georgia, on September 21, 1987, concurred in this opinion and advised that this matter did not warrant prosecution.
X AIRTEL

TO:       ACTING DIRECTOR, FBI

FROM:     SAC, SAVANNAH (9A-1500) (C)

SUBJECT:  UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT JUSTICE
          THURGOOD MARSHALL - VICTIM;
          EXTORTION (A)
          OO: SV

Re WFO teletype to Savannah, 9/16/87.

Enclosed for the Bureau are the original and four
(4) copies of an LHM concerning captioned matter, with two (2)
copies of an FD-376. Enclosed for WFO are two (2) copies
of LHM.

Copies of LHM being furnished locally to USA,

2 - Bureau (Encs. 7)
2 - Washington Field (9A-5651) (P) (C4) (Encs. 2)
1 - Savannah

(5)
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

POLICE, 1 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C., telephone number (202) 479-____ provided the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) with a one page typed letter, from Savannah, Georgia, which arrived at the chambers of UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT JUSTICE THURGOOD MARSHALL, on September 14, 1987, which begins:

"May your soul burn in hell you sonofabitch."

______ also provided a copy of Savannah Police Department Arraignment Criminal Record.

Investigation on 9/15/87 at Washington, D.C. File #: 92-5651

SA. Date dictated 9/15/87

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency. It and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
Savannah, Ga.

May your soul burn in hell you sonofabitch. all you damn ultra liberals.

Thurgood,
i will come to the point without delay. as you have blasted about every thing that almighty god stands for. upheld the devil in all his filth. i will blast you in every damn way possible.
you were on the damn liberal news this A.M.
blasted bork. well he is as good or better than any sonofabitch who has dominated the white house in years. the damn fucking democrats has destroye:
every thing. gods laws outlawed by corruption in the heart as well as soul of this evil bunch of misfit dogs.
if you want to send the damn F.B.I. to investigate me do so.
i do not have one damn thing to hide from damn fools in power.
do i love my country hell no not as long as dogs dominate it.
damn the damn plural society. for even it should have guide lines. hell no this bunch of sonofabitches has allowed such damn idiots as the damn N.E.
A.C.L.U. American Way. Gays in which god forbids. Abortions. the damn bitches called Feminism rule or dominate out society.
would i if i were able leave my country. hell yes/ and destroy it to the last damn splinter. there would not be a peace of wood left to make a match no thanks to the goddam democratic party. of sheer barbarian idiots.
its this goddam bench sorry i will not call it a court for it is not that has caused corruption nation wide with out restraint in the damn bench one thing for certain you have your reward coming. and my prayers is for you damn death as soon as possible. as well as the sonofabitch who placed you there. not would i love to whip you damn ass. you bet.
keep talking perhaps someone someplace will shut you up forever.
i hope so.
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FBI/DOJ
TO: ACTING DIRECTOR, FBI
(ATTN: PERSONAL CRIMES UNIT)

FROM: SAC, WASHINGTON FIELD OFFICE (9A-5651)(C-4)(P)

SUBJECT: UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT JUSTICE THURGOOD MARSHALL-VICTIM;
EXTORTION (A);
OO:SAVANNAH

Re WFO teletype to Bureau, dated 9/15/87.

Enclosed for the Bureau is an original one page typed letter from captioned subject to JUSTICE THURGOOD MARSHALL, which was received at the chambers of captioned victim, on 9/14/87.

For the information of the Bureau, is a white male, DOB: who has a history of violent behavior - no further biographical data is available, at this time.

REQUEST OF FBIHQ

QUESTIONED DOCUMENT UNIT

The Questioned Document Unit is requested to compare submitted item to the Anonymous Letter File. Conduct examinations for indented writings and other physical characteristics deemed appropriate. Forward copies to for the psycholinguistic profiling of author to determine the validity of the threat.

LATENT FINGERPRINT SECTION

Examine submitted items for latent prints suitable for comparison with record prints of captioned subject. Forward original evidence to OO.

6-Bureau (Enc. 1)
(4-Questioned Document Unit)
(2-Personal Crimes Unit)
2-Savannah
2-WFO
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☑ The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages:
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RECORD OF INFORMATION FURNISHED OTHER AGENCIES

Orally __________________________ Written Communication 10/1/87 __________________________

Information concerning: (Include NARCOTICS MATTERS here.)

UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT JUSTICE THURGOOD MARSHALL—VICTIM; EXTORTION(A)

Information furnished from File, Serial, and Page Number: 9A-5651

☐ On 10/1/87 __________________________ a continuing disclosure was initiated with

U.S. Supreme Court Police __________________________ and will be maintained until the conclusion

of the investigation.

Information furnished to:

Remarks:

U.S. Supreme Court Police provided with a copy of Savannah LHM dated 9/23/87.

SA ________________ Employee Furnishing Information
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REPORT
of the
FBI
LABORATORY
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20535

To: SAC, Washington Field Office (9A-5651) (C-4)

FBI FILE NO.

LAB. NO. 70923016 D WN VF

Re: UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT JUSTICE THURGOOD MARSHALL - VICTIM; EXTORTION (A)

OO: Savannah

Examination requested by: Address

Reference: Communication dated September 15, 1987

Examination requested: Document - Fingerprint

Specimens received: September 23, 1987

Specimen:

Q1 One-page typewritten letter dated 9/9/87, beginning "May your soul burn..."

Result of examination:

Specimen Q1 was searched in the appropriate sections of the Anonymous Letter File without effecting an identification. Copies will be added to this file for future reference.

Several of the typewriting characters on Q1 were observed to have non printing areas which may allow an association with a suspect typewriting element.

The typewriting on Q1 was determined to have a horizontal spacing of ten characters per inch. The style and size of type most closely corresponds to Laboratory standards for the "Prestige Pica" type style. This type style may be found on numerous brand name typewriters, including IBM, Royal and others.

2 - Savannah
Page 1
No watermarks, indented writing or other physical characteristics were observed on Q1 which would further assist in determining its immediate origin.

The results of the psycholinguistic and latent fingerprint examinations and the disposition of the submitted evidence will be subjects of separate reports. Photographs are retained by the Laboratory.
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
Washington, D.C. 20537

REPORT
of the
LATENT FINGERPRINT SECTION
IDENTIFICATION DIVISION

YOUR FILE NO.
FBI FILE NO.
LATENT CASE NO.

9A-5651 (C-4) (P) 11/22/87
C-68655

TO: SAC, WFC

RE:
UNITED STATES SUPREME
COURT JUSTICE
THURGOOD MARSHALL - VICTIM;
EXTORTION (A)

REFERENCE:
EXAMINATION REQUESTED BY:
SPECIMENS:

Letter, C1

The listed C specimen is further described in a separate
Laboratory report.

Five latent fingerprints of value were developed on C1.

On the basis of the information furnished, no fingerprint
record was located in the Identification Division files for
C1 is being furnished to Savannah as requested.

2 - Savannah - En

THIS REPORT IS FURNISHED FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY
TO: ACTING DIRECTOR, FBI  
(ATTN: PERSONAL CRIMES UNIT)

FROM: SAC, WASHINGTON FIELD (9A-5651) (C-4) (RUC)

SUBJECT: UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT JUSTICE  
THURGOOD MARSHALL-VICTIM;  
EXTORTION(A);  
OO: SV

Re Savannah airtel to Bureau, dated 9/23/87.

The U.S. Supreme Court Police have been apprised of the results of this investigation and WFO considers the matter RUC.
Photofacsimile of a one page typewritten communication was transmitted through the Laboratory with request for psycholinguistic evaluation.

Based upon an analysis of the content and style of this communication, it is my judgment that the author is a high school educated, Southern born, White male approximately between the ages of 55 and 70. There are no indicators of specialized skills or training. There is no reason to doubt the veracity of the author's manifest assertion of identity.

Although this communication evidences some paranoid ideation which is disquieting because of its association with violent action, the author's threats are couched in the vague and general language that is not typically associated with the precursors of imminent violent action. It is significant that the author, while expressing his own desires for action throughout the letter, ends the communication with the hope that someone other than himself might effect such action. Such language is consistent with someone struggling with his own sense of powerlessness. It is consistent with the self-indulgent ventilation of emotion generally characterizing the communication.

The ideation is bigotry conservative and, although the level of hostility and maledictory terms is outside the typical boundaries of this genre of political hyperbole, the author fails to demonstrate either the determination or the resolve to escalate his anger beyond these types of harassments.

01/12/88
FILE DESCRIPTION

SUBJECT

Thurgood Marshall

FILE NO.

Washington Metropolitan Field Office file 89-WF-163189
Subject of FOIPA Request by 190-WF-193489
Retain 6 years beyond 9-15-95

85-WF-163189
Subject of FOIPA Request
By 190-WF-193489
Retain 6 Years 3-10-93
Serials in this file have been automated through serial

NO SERIAL CAN BE PLACED, CHANGED OR INSERTED PRIOR TO ABOVE SERIAL.
FM FBI WASHINGTON METROPOLITAN FIELD (89D-NEW) (P) (C-4)

TO DIRECTOR, FBI/PRIORITY/

FBI NEW YORK/PRIORITY/

BT

UNCLASS

CITE: //3920//

PASS: VIOLENT CRIMES UNIT, CID.

SUBJECT: ASSOCIATE JUSTICE THURGOOD MARSHALL -

VICTIM; U.S. SUPREME COURT; CCSCAKA; CO: WMFO.

RE TELCAL BETWEEN SSA [REDACTED] FBIHQ AND SA [REDACTED]

WMFO DATED MAY 4, 1989.


POLICE, WASHINGTON, D.C. FURNISHED WMFO WITH AN ORIGINAL THREAT

LETTER RECEIVED AT THE SUPREME COURT. SERGEANT [REDACTED]

EXPLAINED THAT THE LETTER WAS ENCLOSED IN A FEDERAL EXPRESS

Approved: [REDACTED]
PACKAGE THAT WAS ADDRESSED TO THE CLERK OF THE COURT AND
CONTAINED APPROXIMATELY FIVE POUNDS OF DOCUMENTS. THE PACKAGE
WAS DISCARDED. [REDACTED] HAS BEEN CORRESPONDING WITH THE CLERK OF
THE COURT FOR THE PAST COUPLE OF MONTHS, ATTEMPTING TO HAVE HIS
PETITIONS HEARD BEFORE THE SUPREME COURT. THE CLERK HAS BEEN
RETURNING HIS PETITION FOR LEGAL REASONS.

THE LETTER STATES IN PART:

"THIS COURT IS MY FINAL ATTEMPT TO OBTAIN JUSTICE LEGALLY.
IF THIS COURT TURNS OUT TO BE AS CORRUPT AS THE COURTS BELOW, IT
WILL PROBABLY AMOUNT TO ONE OF THE BIGGEST FRAUDS EVER TO BE
PERPETRATED UPON THE AMERICAN PEOPLE, WITH THE FOUNDING FATHERS
TURNING OVER IN THEIR GRAVES. IF THIS TURNS OUT TO BE TRUE, THEN
"JUSTICE" WILL BE "SERVED" AT THE END OF A GUN EACH TIME THE
TRIGGER IS PULLED."

"YOU HAVE TWENTY-FOUR (24) HOURS TO ACT WITH INJUNCTIONS AND
ORDERS TO RETURN MY CAR. IF I DO NOT HEAR FROM YOU, BERNHARD
GOETZ II WILL BE BORN WITH DESTRUCTION, BLOODSHED OR DEATH
RESULTING. IF THE LAW OF THE JUNGLE IS TO PREVAIL, THEN I MUST
FIGHT WITH GUNS, KNIVES, OR MY BARE HANDS, TO THE DEATH IF
NECESSARY. IN THE ABSENCE OF LAW AND ORDER, IT MUST BE AS THE

Approved: ___________________ Transmitted ___________________ Per ___________________
BIBLE SAYS; AN EYE FOR AN EYE."

THE LETTER COMPLAINS THAT OFFICERS OF THE SUFFOLK COUNTY,
POLICE DEPARTMENT, 4TH PRECINCT, SMITHTOWN, NEW YORK RECENTLY
IMPOUNDED HIS VEHICLE ILLEGALLY.

ON MAY 4, 1989, SA [REDACTED], U.S. SECRET SERVICE, AND
INSPECTOR [REDACTED], U.S. MARSHALL'S SERVICE, WASHINGTON, D.C.
WERE ADVISED OF THE THREAT.

FACSIMILE OF THREAT LETTER FOLLOWS TO NEW YORK.

LEADS:

NEW YORK DIVISION

AT SMITHTOWN, NEW YORK

1) CONDUCT BACKGROUND INVESTIGATION REGARDING [REDACTED]
CRIMINAL AND MENTAL HISTORY.

2) CONTACT OFFICER [REDACTED], NUMBER [REDACTED], 4TH
PRECINCT, SUFFOLK COUNTY, POLICE DEPARTMENT, WHO HAS BACKGROUND
INFORMATION ON SUBJECT.

3) INTERVIEW [REDACTED] REGARDING THE LETTER HE SENT TO THE
VICTIM. SECURE PHOTOGRAPHS OF [REDACTED]

4) PRESENT FOR A PROSECUTIVE OPINION.

UNCLASSIFIED
SUBJECT: ASSOCIATE JUSTICE THURGOOD MARSHALL - VICTIM: U.S. SUPREME COURT DRAHER COLUMN

RE TELCAL BETWEEN SSA ____________________________ FBIHO AND SA ____________________________ WMFD DATED MAY 4, 1969.

ON MAY 3, 1969, SERGEANT ____________________________ U.S. SUPREME COURT POLICE, WASHINGTON, D.C. FURNISHED WMFD WITH AN ORIGINAL THREAT LETTER RECENTLY RECEIVED AT THE SUPREME COURT. SERGEANT ____________________________ EXPLAINED THAT THE LETTER WAS ENCLOSED IN A FEDERAL EXPRESS
PAGE TWO DE WM 0044 UNCLAS

PACKAGE THAT WAS ADDRESSED TO THE CLERK OF THE COURT AND
CONTAINED APPROXIMATELY FIVE POUNDS OF DOCUMENTS. THE PACKAGE
WAS DISCARDED. [REDACTED] HAS BEEN CORRESPONDING WITH THE CLERK OF
THE COURT FOR THE PAST COUPLE OF MONTHS, ATTEMPTING TO HAVE HIS
PETITIONS HEARD BEFORE THE SUPREME COURT. THE CLERK HAS BEEN
RETURNING HIS PETITION FOR LEGAL REASONS.

THE LETTER STATES IN PART:

"THIS COURT IS MY FINAL ATTEMPT TO OBTAIN JUSTICE LEGALLY.
IF THIS COURT TURNS OUT TO BE AS CORRUPT AS THE COURTS BELOW, IT
WILL PROBABLY AMOUNT TO ONE OF THE BIGGEST FRAUDS EVER TO BE
PERPETRATED UPON THE AMERICAN PEOPLE, WITH THE FOUNDING FATHERS
TURNING OVER IN THEIR GRAVES. IF THIS TURNS OUT TO BE TRUE, THEN
"JUSTICE" WILL BE "SERVED" AT THE END OF A GUN EACH TIME THE
TRIGGER IS PULLED."

"YOU HAVE TWENTY-FOUR (24) HOURS TO ACT WITH INJUNCTIONS AND
ORDERS TO RETURN MY CAR. IF I DO NOT HEAR FROM YOU, BERNHARD
GOETZ II WILL BE BORN WITH DESTRUCTION, BLOODSHED OR DEATH
RESULTING. IF THE LAW OF THE JUNGLE IS TO PREVAIL, THEN I MUST
FIGHT WITH GUNS, KNIVES, OR MY BARE HANDS, TO THE DEATH IF
NECESSARY. IN THE ABSENCE OF LAW AND ORDER, IT MUST BE AS THE
BIBLE SAYS; AN EYE FOR AN EYE.

THE LETTER COMPLAINS THAT OFFICERS OF THE SUFFOLK COUNTY, POLICE DEPARTMENT, 4TH PRECINCT, SMITHTOWN, NEW YORK RECENTLY IMPOUNDED HIS VEHICLE ILLEGALLY.


FAISIMILE OF THREAT LETTER FOLLOWS TO NEW YORK.

LEADS:

NEW YORK DIVISION
AT SMITHTOWN, NEW YORK:

1) CONDUCT BACKGROUND INVESTIGATION REGARDING [REDACTED] CRIMINAL AND MENTAL HISTORY.

2) CONTACT OFFICER [REDACTED] NUMBER [REDACTED] 4TH PRECINCT, SUFFOLK COUNTY, POLICE DEPARTMENT, WHO HAS BACKGROUND INFORMATION ON SUBJECT.

3) INTERVIEW [REDACTED] REGARDING THE LETTER HE SENT TO THE VICTIM. SECURE PHOTOGRAPHS OF [REDACTED]

4) PRESENT FOR A PROSECUTIVE OPINION.

UNCALAS
To: SAC NEW YORK
From: SAC WMFO 89D-NEW (F) (SQUAD C-4)

Subject: ASSOCIATE JUSTICE THURGOOD MARSHALL - VICTIM
U.S. SUPREME COURT; CCSCAKA; CO:WMFO

Special handling Instructions:

Send copy to Inspect. Court Security, U.S. Marshall Service

Send copy

Approved:

FBI/DOJ
Mr. Justice Thurgood Marshall
Associate Justice of the
Supreme Court of the United States
31 First Street, N.E.
Washington, D.C. 20543

Dear Mr. Justice Marshall:

I am sorry, indeed that I am compelled to communicate
with you in this fashion, but this must be done in the Cause of
Justice, as some urgent and serious matters are involved which
are being blocked by your Court Clerk as the copy of enclosed
papers clearly indicate.

The title captions of my three actions are herewith en-
closed in a very abbreviated form and all three actions also in-
volve malicious violations of the Anti-trust Laws and the Public
Interest and present the basic flavor of the nature of the actions.

Also, as you can see from the Federal Action caption,
there is nothing but CORRUPTION in the Federal Courts below where
I am preparing Impeachment Proceedings. Positive documentary evi-
dence is available as indisputable proof.

Further, as you can see from my communications to the
Commission on Judicial Conduct, there is no respect whatsoever for
the Laws of the Land in the County of Suffolk. Although by Law,
the Commission is supposed to prosecute these actions, I will
attempt to do it myself under the Special Prosecutor Law, since
the Commission is also a CORRUPT political machine. I have docu-
mentary evidence of these allegations since I have previously sub-
mitted papers to them (which they have ignored) to remove a CORRUPT
State Supreme Court Judge.

One has only to read the newspapers to learn of the
murders, rapes, robberies, etc., etc., and the functioning of the
System of "Justice." The core of the problems lies in the sitting
Judges who are negligent, incompetent, or CORRUPT, or who possesses
all of the "sterling" qualities. I am also writing a paper which
describes a method of selecting a Judge which will be submitted
to the appropriate authority(s). Since I am not a lawyer, the
paper will either be plagiarized by others or will find its way into
File 13, since the CORRUPT Lawyers and Judges would prefer to keep
the System as it is because they are making money (bribes, plea
bargaining, etc.) at the expense of the litigants.

If the Federal and State Legislatures go to the consider-
able lengths to enact laws to promote Justice in our civilized
society, the "great Question" is; why is the CORRUPTION in the
Federal and State Judiciaries permitted to continue to exist??
If the CORRUPTION in the Judiciaries is knowingly permitted to continue to be a way of life in our Society and Country, we do not need Legislatures or Law Libraries which the Judiciaries will ignore. All we would require are guns and knives to survive in the "Jungle." If Law and Order is to be respected, the CORRUPTION MUST be eliminated.

I believe that at least part of the problem is that I am not a lawyer, but, as you know, one is not required to be a lawyer in order to read, write and understand the English Language, to use common sense, and to know the difference between right and wrong.

I believe that the other part of the problem is the Legal Profession itself, which constitutes a monopoly (in direct violation of the Federal Anti-trust Laws) where "intruders" who are NOT lawyers, are NOT welcome to represent themselves, since some lawyers would lose fees, and their own incompetence, negligence and CORRUPT practices may be exposed. If litigants represent themselves, the title of the "real" action becomes "PRO SE v. ESQ."

As you know, every litigant has a right to be heard and to have his day in Court (your attention is respectfully directed to ATTACHMENT-6D). Your Court Clerk is deliberately blocking my papers and Legal Rights. Please advise me as to how I can get my papers to you without them being blocked by your Court Clerk (I have already referred him to Rule 29.14 in my papers).

This Court is my final attempt to obtain Justice LEGALLY. If this Court turns out to be as CORRUPT as the Courts below, it will probably amount to one of the biggest frauds ever to be perpetrated upon the American People, with the Founding Fathers turning over in their graves. If this turns out to be true, then "Justice" will be "served" at the end of a gun each time the trigger is pulled.

Which way will it be Mr. Justice Thurgood Marshall??
Will this Country see Law and Order or will our Society rot with cancerous CORRUPTION?? If it should turn out that Justice is NOT available in this Country as a way of life, then there would be no other choice than the birth of Bernhard Goets II, III, IV, etc., as people will refuse to longer accept or tolerate the CORRUPTION, abuse, and harassment, since I have every intention of protecting my person and property even if it takes me to the grave. This Legal Right is grounded in the Constitution of the United States, Amendment 5 "nor be deprived of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law, nor shall private property be taken for Public use, without just compensation."

So far, it appears that the Law of the Jungle is taking place. My car has just been illegally and forcefully "seized and impounded," in effect and reality, it has been stolen (without notice as with "Pearl Harbor") by Inspector [redacted] of the Town of [redacted].
smithtown code enforcement bureau and a crooked cop of the 5th
precinct of the suffolk county police department,

p.o. assisting with the forced theft, which, as you know,
as a violation of the 5th amendment. this amendment was recited
by the two men, who simply ignored the laws as if they didn’t exist.
now, i have no way of looking for a job to support myself and i
require medical attention which i cannot get without my car. this
constitutes nothing less than attempted murder and rape by the
suffolk county officers.

you have twenty four (24) hours to get with injunctions
and orders to return my car. if i do not hear from you, bernhard
coetz ii will be born, with destruction, bloodshed or death result-
ing. if the law of the jungle is to prevail, then i must fight with
guns, knives, or my bare hands, to the death if necessary. in the
absence of law and order, it must be as the bible says; an eye for
an eye.

the enclosed papers are not entirely complete since i did
not have the time to complete them, but the flavor cannot be mis-
taken.

it is nothing more than heinous obscenity and a sick
travesty of justice on the part of the corrupt lower courts, since
this matter has been denied, pending, and delayed since december
of 1986. now, it will be either justice or death. if you should be
too late in acting, and i do not respond to you, i will be either
in jail or dead.

this letter is being sent to you via express mail-return
receipt requested (together with enclosures), express #bo1555986
to be certain that you receive them.

respectfully yours,

(pro se) for petitioner/plt'f

encl: (1) motion for waiver of time limitations
(2) four applications for injunctions
(3) motion for leave to proceed in forma pauperis
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April 25, 1989

Clerk
Supreme Court of the United States
91 First Street, N.E.
Washington, D.C. 20543

Dear [name]

This letter and enclosures is in response to your letter dated April 11, 1989 (a copy of which is herewith attached as ATTACHMENT-1) which is rejected for the following reasons:

Paragraph 1:

The form of the papers and content for the Writs of Injunction which I have sent you are in exact conformity with a Standard Form contained in the FRCP. The only difficulty which prevailed at the time of preparation, was that I didn't have sufficient time to prepare a complete formal version of the papers. The legal content was intact, concise, clear, obvious, and non-ambiguous and should have superseded any cosmetic effects. Those papers should NOT have been returned to me.

Paragraph 2:

The papers which have been sent to you DOES involve judgments of lower Federal Courts and Laws and it also involves Constitutional Law and State Law. That is the reason for which they were sent to you.

The 90 day time limitation can be legally and properly waived for Writs of Injunction and for Certiorari. This has been explained in my previous papers to you which were necessarily informal and abbreviated due to my lack of time for preparation. This Court was required to take Judicial Notice of McKinney's Statutes of the State of New York (heavily emphasized copies of which were sent to you) and was explained in my abbreviated letter. A formal Motion for Waiver is currently being prepared.

You are advised that this Court has already ruled (ATTACHMENT-2) that "the time limitation is not jurisdictional and does not bar our exercise of discretion to consider this case!" ATTACHMENTS-3A, 3B, 3C (emphasized) are also sufficiently self-explanatory. Therefore, this Court DOES have jurisdiction. Since you do not wear the black robes, you are not in a position to make such an adverse decision.

You have also stated "thus the Court has no jurisdiction to consider them on a petition for a Writ of Certiorari. See Rules 17 to 21" If you had taken the time and trouble to examine and to
read the papers, you would have found that they were for Temporary Restraining Orders Without Notice or Interlocutory Injunctions; NOT for Writs of Certiorari. The Writs of Injunction should have been issued PENDING the submittals of Petitions for Writs of Certiorari. If you had read the papers, you would have seen that.

If you will read ATTACHMENTS-4, 5, you will learn the purpose of Injunctions; if you had read my papers, it would have been OBVIOUS that I am entitled to that relief. The denial of the relief in the lower Court (copies of the ORDERS denying relief were sent previously) is a "clear abuse of discretion or usurpation of judicial power" (ATTACHMENT-6C).

Charges of Judicial Misconduct had been filed with the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit and they issued their denial (these papers had been sent to you previously). I had sent them papers complaining of their denial. In return, I have received a letter from the U.S. Court of Appeals (ATTACHMENT-7). This letter resulted in Impeachment Proceedings (ATTACHMENT-8) which are in the process of preparation. The CORRUPTION in the lower Courts led to the need for Writs of Certiorari (ATTACHMENT-6A). In addition, the Court has already ruled that "Extraordinary writs are reserved for really extraordinary causes" (ATTACHMENT-9). In view of the above, where do you come off telling me that "the Court has no jurisdiction to consider them on a petition for a writ of certiorari. See Rules 17 to 21"? In addition, if you had examined and read the papers which were recently sent to you, they were for Writs of Injunction and NOT for certiorari. Therefore, your statements are totally incorrect. My papers DO comply with all legal requirements.

Paragraph 3:

This paragraph is totally incorrect as explained above.

Thus far, since the initial submittal of my papers, you have made different complaints in "quotes" addressed different Rules, but you were never explicit as to what your complaint was. In slang terminology (but with clear explicit meaning), this is called "jerk- ing me around" in the same manner as the lower Courts where communications were reduced to dual monologues, simply because the lower Courts refused the direct addressing of the issues, and this is true for one of a few reasons (or all of them):

1. You looked at my name and saw "pro se" next to it and I was immediately "disqualified" as a litigant. That means that my papers were never examined nor read, and it explains why your letter is not in the same context of subject matter as my papers. Yes, I know that the Rules, Constitution and Statutes say that "pro se" litigants have every legal right to proceed. The reality is called "abominable hypocrisy" because the Legal "Profession" doesn't want the "wrong message" sent. You are reminded that this Matter is a Legal Controversy NOT a POLITICAL ONE.

2. You are taking bribes to protect my adversaries, as
the lower Courts are doing.

3. You are willfully and knowingly aiding and abetting CORRUPTION (ATTACHMENT-10).

4. The Courts of the United States are "reserved" for only "important", "Public" people.

If you wish to submit a rebuttal or response to this letter (with direct Statutory Citations in support), you may do so. If I do not hear from you within three (3) days, I will assume that you have no interest in the matter. YOUR name will be added to ATTACHMENT-8 (since YOU are blocking my papers so that they do not reach an Associate Justice of this Court) and I will proceed as I deem necessary to protect my Legal and Constitutional Rights which YOU ARE OBVIOUSLY ATTEMPTING TO WITHDRAW.

This letter, together with ATTACHMENTS, is being sent to you via Certified Mail—Return Receipt Requested, Cert. #F-095-123-195 to be certain that you receive it.

Very truly yours,

(pro se)
(pro se) for Plt'r/Petitioner

Encl: ATTACHMENT-1 : Letter from dtd. 4/11/87
   -2 : Excerpt from 394 U.S. 316
   -3(A-D) : Excerpt from 398 U.S. 58
   -4(A-B) : FRCP Rule 65(b)
   -5(A-E) : 15 USC 26
   -6(A-F) : Excerpt from 352 U.S. 249
   -7 : Letter from U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit, dtd. 6/30/87
   -8 : Caption for Impeachment Proceedings
   -9 : Excerpt from 376 U.S. 240
   -10 : DMV Scam
April 11, 1989

Dear [Redacted]

I very much regret the necessity of returning the papers you sent by Express mail and which arrived here on April 9, 1989. They simply do not present a matter either in the form of a petition for a writ of certiorari or a petition for an extraordinary writ which can be docketed in this Court.

The Supreme Court is an appellate court which reviews judgments of lower state and federal courts involving issues of federal law. A judgment of a lower court may be reviewed on a writ of certiorari provided the petition for a writ of certiorari is filed within 90 days of the entry of the judgment sought to be reviewed, or within 90 days of the denial of a timely filed petition for rehearing. Your papers do not address a judgment of a lower court entered within the 90-day period. Thus the Court has no jurisdiction to consider them on a petition for a writ of certiorari. See Rules 17 to 21.

A petition for an extraordinary writ can be issued only "in aid of the Court's appellate jurisdiction. In addition, the petition must show that "there are exceptional circumstances warranting the exercise of the Court's discretionary powers, and that adequate relief cannot be had in any other form or from any other court." See Court Rule 25. Your papers do not comply with these requirements.

To assist in any future filing I am sending you herewith a copy of the Court's rules and a sample petition for a writ of certiorari in forma pauperis. Although we cannot help you with the merits of any case you may have, we would be pleased to reply to any inquiry concerning the procedure for filing a case in the Court.

All the papers you submitted are herewith returned.

Sincerely yours,

Enclosures

ATTACHMENT - I
SUBJECT: ASSOCIATE JUSTICE THURGOOD MARSHALL—
VICTIM, U.S. SUPREME COURT, LCSCAV; CO: INFO.

BE BUREAU TELETYPETO ALL SAC'S DATED 10/28/88.
INFO TT TO THE DIRECTOR AND NEW YORK DATED 5/4/89.

THE SUPREME COURT POLICE, WASHINGTON, D.C. HAVE REQUESTED
THE RESULTS OF THE FBI INVESTIGATION IN CAPTIONED MATTER SO THAT
THEY CAN MAKE A THREAT ASSESSMENT. PURSUANT TO REFERENCED BUREAU
TELETYPETO, RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION MUST BE REPORTED TO FBIHQ

[Handwritten note: "7/88"]

[Handwritten note: "8/3/89"]
AND WMFO WITHIN 7 CALENDAR DAYS.

UNCLAS

BT
IMMEDIATE

NEW YORK (89D-MF-163189) (C-36/LIRA)

IMMEDIATE

DIRECTOR FBI (89D-MF-163189) ()

WMPO (89D-MF-163189) ()

MT

UNCLAS

CITE: //3540:3619//

SUBJECT: [REDACTED]; JUSTICE THURGOOD MARSHALL, ASSOCIATE JUSTICE OF THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES; CCS-CAKA; CO: WMPO.

RE WMPO TELETYPE, MAY 4, 1989.

ON MAY 4, 1989, SA [REDACTED] CONTACTED LIEUTENANT [REDACTED] SUFFOLK COUNTY POLICE DEPARTMENT (SFCO), FOURTH PRECINCT, HAUPPAUGE, SUFFOLK COUNTY, NEW YORK. LIEUTENANT [REDACTED]
ADvised that [name] is well known to the SCPD and has been since 1985. Lieutenant [name] made available a file filled with letters written by [name] to the commanding officer of the Fourth Precinct and stated that a similar file exists in the legal department of the police department. [name] has instituted several lawsuits against the SCPD, none of which he has won to date. Central to present[,] [name] cannot get this car back unless it is registered and insured and, furthermore, he cannot drive it away from the SCPD unless he has a valid New York State driver's license, which is presently suspended. Lieutenant [name] stated that [name] has written derogatory letters about every police officer who has gotten involved with him. He opined that if [name] is interviewed by FBI agents, they will be made subject of the same. Lieutenant [name] stated that the SCPD has spent an inordinate amount of time answering all the letters by [name].

Lieutenant [name] advised that [name] was born and resides at [name] New York. He stated that he is a
WHO APPARENTLY IS NOT WORKING. LIEUTENANT [REDacted] STATED [REDacted].

ON MAY 5, 1989, [REDacted] PROVIDED A COPY OF THE LETTER SENT TO JUSTICE THURGOOD MARSHALL TO ASSISTANT UNITED STATES ATTORNEY PETER TOMAO, EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK (EDNY). MR. TOMAO ADVISED THAT AFTER CONFERRING WITH HIS SUPERIORS, IT IS THE OPINION OF THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE, EDNY, THAT THIS LETTER DOES NOT CONSTITUTE A THREAT TO JUSTICE MARSHALL. IT IS FURTHER THEIR OPINION THAT THE LETTER IS MORE OF A THREAT TO THE TOWN OF SMITHTOWN AND POSSIBLY TO THE SUFFOLK COUNTY POLICE OFFICER [REDacted] SINCE COPIES OF THIS LETTER HAVE BEEN FURNISHED TO THE SCPD, THE FBI HAS NO FURTHER INVESTIGATION TO CONDUCT.

THE LETTER.

ON MAY 5, 1989, SA [REDACTED] ESTABLISHED THAT [REDACTED] HAS NO PISTOL PERMIT OR LICENSE TO CARRY FIREARMS IN SUFFOLK COUNTY.

INBOX HAS 3 DOCUMENTS
FORMS, TEXT HAS 1 DOCUMENT

INBOX 1 (83530)

TEXT: VZCZCNY0307

RR HO WMFO

DE NY #0307 1872:07

NY UWUUU

D 062003 ZUL 0-9

FM FBI NEW YORK (EO 89D46W-160188) 00

TO DIRECTOR FBI/RCUTION

WASHINGTON METROPOLITAN FIELD OFFICE, ROUTINE

ST

UNCLAS

CITE: 1/3545:13e12://

PASS: HQ FOR VIOLENT CRIMES UNIT - OCG.

SUBJECT: ✔ ASSOCIATE JUSTICE THURGOOD MARSHALL - VICTIM; UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT

REFERENCE BUREAU TELETYPED TO ALL SACS, DATED OCTOBER 23, 1988;

WMFO,TELETYPED TO DIRECTOR ANI NEW YORK, DATED MAY 4, 1989; NEW YORK

TELETYPED TO DIRECTOR AND WMFO, DATED MAY 8, 1989.

REFERENCED NEW YORK TELETYPED REPORTED RESULTS OF NEW YORK'S
INVESTIGATION TO FBIHQ AND WMFO WITHIN SEVEN (7) CALENDAR DAYS AS
REQUARED BY REFERENCED BUREAU TELETYPED. COPY OF SAME BEING TAIRED TO

JUL 7 1989
ATTN: AKA: JUSTICE THURGOOD MARSHALL,
ASSOCIATE JUSTICE OF THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES;
SCANNED INTO:

RE: NEW YORK TT TO WMPO DATED 3/8/89

NEW YORK IS REQUESTED TO RE-SEND REFERENCED TT AS WMPO ONLY
RECEIVED FOUR PAGES OF THE DOCUMENT, THE FOURTH PAGE ENDING WITH,

AND WAS AWARE OF THE EXISTENCE OF....
MEMORANDUM

9-13-89

TO: SAC, WMFO (89D-WF-163189)(P)(C-4)

FROM: SA [redacted]

SUBJECT: [redacted] aka; JUSTICE THURGOOD MARSHALL, ASSOCIATE JUSTICE OF THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES; CCSCAKA; CC: WMFO

On 9-12-89, SSA [redacted] contacted and advised that he was unable to locate NY teletype to WMFO dated 5-8-89 regarding current.
SUBJECT: AKA; JUSTICE THURGOOD MARSHALL, ASSOCIATE JUSTICE OF THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES; AKA; CO; WMFO.

1. RE WMFO TT TO NY, DATED JUNE 29, 1988; NY TT TO WMFO, DATED JULY 5, 1989; WMFO TT TO NY, DATED JULY 13, 1989; AND SEPTEMBER 12, 1989, TELECALL BETWEEN SSA AKA AND SSA.

FOR THE INFORMATION OF NY, FBIHQ ADVISED THAT THEY WERE NOT IN RECEIPT OF NY TT, DATED MAY 8, 1989. WMFO RECEIVED ONLY A
FBI REPORT OF BEARD TO BE JULY 3, 1940, AND REFERRED TO
FBI SUBPOENA REEFS TO DISTRIBUTE INFORMATION
TO THE U.S. CAPITOL POLICE THREAT ASSESSMENT SECTION.
LEADS: MY DIVISION; AT NEW YORK: WILL FORWARD COPY OF TELETYPE,
DATED MAY 3, 1939, TO F.B.I. AND U.S. CAPITOL POLICE THREAT ASSESSMENT SECTION.
TO DIRECTOR FBI/Routine/
FBI WMFO (89D-WF-163189)/Routine/
BT
UNCLASS
CITE: //3540//

SUBJECT: [Redacted] AKA: JUSTICE THURGOOD MARSHALL, ASSOCIATE
JUSTICE OF THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES; CCSCAKA; 001WMFO.

RE: WMFOTEL TO NEW YORK, DATED SEPTEMBER 13, 1989, CAPTIONED AS
ABOVE.

FOR INFORMATION OF WMFO, NEW YORK FILE OF CAPTIONED CASE
CLOSED IN MAY, 1988, HOWEVER, IT CANNOT BE LOCATED IN NEW YORK
CLOSED FILES. A SEARCH TO DATE HAS BEEN UNABLE TO LOCATE THAT FILE.
CONSEQUENTLY, THE TELETYPE DATED MAY 8, 1989, REQUESTED BY WMFO
CANNOT BE SENT AT THIS TIME.
However, the following should serve as a basis for threat assessment at WMFO.

Checks with various Suffolk County, New York Police Agencies shows that [redacted] is a police nuisance.

He has also made verbal threats to police officials in the town of Smithtown, New York. However, the various police agencies in Suffolk, having had extensive contacts with [redacted] do not see him as a threat.

This matter was discussed with the United States Attorney's Office, Eastern District of N advised there is no federal violation inasmuch as there is no threat to Justice Marshall. The letter actually contained threats to Suffolk County Police Officers who may arrest [redacted] in the future. The appropriate Suffolk County authorities were notified of the letter to Justics Marshall.

It should be noted that this case was opened originally at New York with NY File 89D-184262. If and when the file is located it
UNCLASSIFIED

WILL BE CONSOLIDATED INTO FILE 89D-WF-163189.

NO FURTHER INVESTIGATION IS BEING CONDUCTED BY NEW YORK AT THIS TIME.
To FBI WMFO (89D-WF-163189) (C) (C-4)

From DIRECTOR FBI/Routine/

Subject: AKA: JUSTICE THURGOOD MARSHALL, ASSOCIATE: JUSTICE OF THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES; CSCA: 60: WMFO.

CITE: //3920//
PASS: VCU-CID.

Subject: RE: NEW YORK TELETYPOLE TO THE DIRECTOR DATED 10/2/89.

FOR INFORMATION, IN REFERENCED TELETYPOLE, NEW YORK ADVISES THAT CAPTIONED CASE WAS DISCUSSED WITH THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE, EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK WHO ADVISED THAT THERE IS NO FEDERAL VIOLATION INASMUCH AS THERE IS NO THREAT TO JUSTICE MARSHALL. IN VIEW OF THIS PROSECUTIVE OPINION, WMFO IS ADMINISTRATIVELY CLOSING THIS CASE.

2-WMFO

Approved: [Signature]

Transmitted: [Signature]
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date Filed</th>
<th>To be returned</th>
<th>Disposition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7-29-63</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>original letter from [redacted] not sent to lab as [redacted] identity is known</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Field File No. 89D-WF-163/89-1A1
Serial # of Originating Document
OO and File No.
Date Received
From
(Name of Contributor)
(Address of Contributor)
(City and State)
By SA
(Name of Special Agent)
To Be Returned □ Yes □ No  Receipt Given □ Yes □ No
Grand Jury Material - Disseminate Only Pursuant to Rule 6(e), Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure □ Yes □ No
Title: b7c
Reference: (Communication Enclosing Material)
Description: □ Original notes re interview of
Original letters from
not past to lat as identity is known.
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☐ Deletions were made pursuant to the exemptions indicated below with no segregable material available for release to you.

<table>
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<th>Section 552</th>
<th>Section 552a</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(b)(1)</td>
<td>(b)(7)(A)</td>
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<tr>
<td>(b)(2)</td>
<td>(b)(7)(B)</td>
</tr>
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<td>(b)(7)(D)</td>
</tr>
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☐ Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to the subject of your request.

☐ Information pertained only to a third party. The subject of your request is listed in the title only.

☐ Documents originated with another Government agency(ies). These documents were referred to that agency(ies) for review and direct response to you.

Pages contain information furnished by another Government agency(ies). You will be advised by the FBI as to the releasability of this information following our consultation with the other agency(ies).

Page(s) withheld inasmuch as a final release determination has not been made. You will be advised as to the disposition at a later date.

Pages were not considered for release as they are duplicative of

☐ For your information:

The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages:

89-WF-163189-1A enclosures.
Supreme Court of the United States
1 First Street, N.E.
Washington, D.C. 20543

Re: Petition for TRO's or Interlocutory Injunctions

Gentlemen:

IMMEDIATE ATTENTION

The enclosed papers are re-submitalla and require the utmost urgency for Injunctions. I do not have the time to prepare a more formal organization of the enclosed papers nor a more formal presentation for the waiver of Time Requirements under 28 USC § 2101.

Pursuant to CPLR § 511(a,b), this Court is required to take Judicial Notice of McKinney's Statutes, Sec. 92(a), Sec. 111, Sec. 111, Sec. 145, Sec. 146, Sec. 148, Sec. 172, Sec. 321 (for recognition of remedial Statute of 28 USC § 2201).

The submitalls of these papers is the final attempt to obtain TR('s) or Interlocutory Injunctions in a manner prescribed by the Laws of the Land. If the Court refuses the Injunctions (by telephone to the respondents, since there isn't any time left for mail since this Court has been too busy worrying about the size of the paper and the formatting, to be concerned with JUSTICE), then JUSTICE in this Country is nothing but a facade and the Law of the Jungle is the true reality, with blood and death resulting.

I depend on my car and a telephone for employment and supporting myself. Anyone who attempts to seize my car (illegally, as my Driver's License was seized) will result in death; either mine or any respondent. It is tragic, indeed, that I should have to quote the famous words in the so-called modern society in the United States, "Give Me Liberty Or Give Me Death?" I am rapidly coming to the conclusion that there is nothing in New York State but a CORRUPT JUDICIARY (as the enclosed letters demonstrate).

If IMMEDIATE Injunctions are not issued, forthwith, then BERNHARDT GOETZ II will be born, and "Justice" will be "served" each time the trigger is pulled. In that case, a Writ of Certiorari will not be necessary, since the Statutes are only facades.

If you should attempt to contact me and I do not respond within ONE week, then I will be either dead or in prison.

This letter is being sent to you via Express Mail Return Receipt Requested, Express #F34301246 to be certain that you receive it.

Very truly yours,
(pro se)

Bernhardt Goetz II

April 7, 1989
FILE DESCRIPTION

SUBJECT

Thurgood Marshall

FILE NO.

Washington Metropolitan Field Office file 157-2377
Subject of FOIPA request
by 190-WE-193489
Retain 6 years beyond 9-15-95

157-2377
Subject of FOIPA Request
By 190-WE-154553
Retain 6 Years 3-10-93
TO: SAC, WFO
FROM: Director, FBI
SUBJECT: SPEECH BY SUPREME COURT JUSTICE THURGOOD MARSHALL
        DILLARD UNIVERSITY
        MAY 4, 1969
        RACIAL MATTERS

DATE: 5/9/69

Press reports indicate that Supreme Court Justice Thurgood Marshall spoke at the centennial celebration at Dillard University before a predominantly Negro crowd on Sunday, May 4, 1969. Justice Marshall stated that "anarchy is anarchy is anarchy" "it makes no difference who practices it, it is bad, it is punishable and it should be punished." He reportedly denounced black militants and said that nothing will be settled with guns, fire bombs and rocks. He reportedly stated "the seeds (of anarchy) are here but nothing will be settled with guns, fire bombs and rocks. The country can't survive if the perpetrators go unpunished. Its that simple."

New Orleans attempt to discreetly obtain from your sources on the Dillard campus copies of Justice Marshall's speech. WFO should do likewise with your sources at the Supreme Court.

Furnish copies of the speech to the Bureau.

2 - New Orleans
SAC, NEW ORLEANS (157-12173)(P)

SPeECH BY SUPREME COURT JUSTICE
THURGOOD MARSHALL
DILLARD UNIVERSITY
MAY 4, 1969
RACIAL MATTERS

Be Bureau letter to UFO, 5/9/69.

Dillard University, New Orleans, Louisiana, advised that Justice THURGOOD MARSHALL did not speak at Dillard from a prepared text and therefore no copy of his speech is available. It stated this speech was recorded by New Orleans radio stations WDSU, and WYLD, and the WYLD tape was used by U.S. News and World Report in preparing their article concerning this speech. Arrangements have been made to secure a copy of this tape from WYLD, New Orleans, May 19, 1969, and it will be forwarded to the Bureau.

2 - Bureau
1 - UFO (Info)
2 - New Orleans

(5)
SPEECH BY SUPREME COURT JUSTICE
THURGOOD MARSHALL
DILLARD UNIVERSITY
MAY 4, 1969
RACIAL MATTERS

Rebuted to WFO; 5/9/69, and New Orleans letter to Bureau, dated 5/16/69.

On 5/14/69, the United States Supreme Court, advised that there had been innumerable requests for a copy of Justice Marshall's speech, given by him at Dillard University; however, there was no prepared text for this speech and a copy thereof is not available. It did advise that the purport of this speech did appear in the May 19, 1969 issue of U.S. News and World Report.
DIRECTOR, FBI

SAC, NEW ORLEANS (157-12173) (C)

SPEECH BY SUPREME COURT JUSTICE
THURGOOD MARSHALL
DILLARD UNIVERSITY
MAY 4, 1969

RM

Re New Orleans letter to Bureau, 5/18/69.

Radio Station WYLD, New Orleans, La., made available a copy of a tape of Justice THURGOOD MARSHALL's speech at Dillard University on May 4, 1969. This tape is enclosed herewith for your use.