

Satellite-Sunphotometer Studies of Aerosol and Gas Roles in Climate-Chemistry-Biosphere Interactions: Results from PRIDE, SAFARI-2000, and ACE-Asia

P. Russell¹, J. Redemann², B. Schmid², J. Livingston³, P. Pilewskie¹, R. Bergstrom², S. Ramirez², J. Eilers¹, E. Welton⁴, C. Hsu⁴, R. Kahn⁶, R. Levy⁵, L. Remer⁵, A. Chu⁵, D. Hlavka⁷, P. Hobbs⁸, J. Campbell⁷, B. Holben⁵, M. McGill⁵, J. Reid⁹, T. Anderson⁸, S. Masonis⁸, A. Clarke¹⁰, S. Howell¹⁰, C. McNaughton¹⁰, B. Huebert¹⁰, J. Wang¹¹, D. Collins¹², J. Seinfeld¹¹, R. Flagan¹¹, H. Jonsson¹³, P. Colarco⁴, O. Toon¹⁴, J. Anderson¹⁵



¹NASA Ames Research Center, Moffett Field, CA, ²Bay Area Environmental Research Institute, Sonoma, CA, ³SRI International, Menlo Park, CA, ⁴GEST/NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, MD, ⁵NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, MD, ⁶Jet Propulsion Laboratory, Pasadena, CA, ⁷SAI/NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, MD, ⁸University of Washington, Seattle, WA, ⁹Naval Research Laboratory, Monterey, CA, ¹⁰University of Hawaii, Honolulu, HI, ¹¹California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA, ¹²Texas A&M University, College Station, TX, ¹³Center for Interdisciplinary Remotely Piloted Aircraft Studies, Marina, CA, ¹⁴Laboratory for Atmospheric and Space Physics, University of Colorado, Boulder, CO, ¹⁵Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ

Scientific Motivation & Overall Approach

Aerosols caused by biomass burning, desert dust storms, urban pollution, and other processes form features recognizable from space on regional to intercontinental scales. These aerosols can change the climate by perturbing energy exchange between the sun, Earth, and space, as well as by redistributing energy within the atmosphere. Two gas-phase constituents, water vapor and ozone, are also relevant to this IDS task, because they interact with aerosols both chemically and physically, and they are themselves major players in Earth's radiation budget. All three types of constituents— aerosols, water vapor, and ozone— can be retrieved quantitatively from spaceborne measurements. However, retrieval accuracy is still being determined, because it depends strongly on constituent type, measurement conditions, and spaceborne measurement technique.

The three constituent types can also be measured by airborne sunphotometry. Our IDS task has emphasized the use of airborne sunphotometry as a unique link between space-based retrievals and a diversity of suborbital measurements, including in situ, vertically resolved measurements of aerosol physico-chemical microproperties and radiative fluxes, as well as remote sensing by lidar and surface-based radiometers.





- Efforts focused on the 5 boxed subjects, using 3 Experiments:
- **PRIDE** (Puerto Rico Dust Experiment, June-July 2000)
 - **SAFARI-2000** (Southern African Regional Science Initiative, August-September 2000)
 - **ACE-Asia** (Asian Pacific Regional Aerosol Characterization Experiment, March-May 2001)



1. Satellite Validation



In PRIDE, SAFARI-2000, and ACE-Asia, the Ames Airborne Tracking Sunphotometers (AATS-6 and AATS-14) measured aerosol optical depth (AOD) spectra, coincident with satellite overpasses, for comparison to retrievals by AVHRR, GMS-5, GOES-8 Imager, MISR, MODIS, SeaWiFS, TOMS, and ATSR-2. Example comparisons are shown at right. These and related comparisons have shown:

- When dust is dominant (AOD > 0.2 in Frame A), MODIS-retrieved AOD spectra slope more steeply than AATS AOD spectra. The likely cause is dust nonsphericity, which causes the MODIS retrieval to substitute more small mode aerosol for nonspherical large mode dust. An updated MODIS algorithm that adds nonspherical phase functions is being developed to address this.
- MODIS-AATS comparisons in SAFARI-2000 (Frame B) helped confirm that the biomass smoke SSA originally used in MODIS AOD retrievals (SSA ~0.9, based on SCAR-B) produced retrieved AOD < correlative AOD. Adopting a new SSA (~0.85) has now produced retrieved AODs (V4 MODIS in Frame B) that agree with AATS, AERONET, and other AODs in regions with strong biomass burning such as in Zambia.
- AATS-14 provided the first validation of MODIS-retrieved AODs at wavelengths 1.2 and 1.6 μm over water.
- MISR-AATS comparisons in SAFARI-2000 (Frame C) showed that a model including small, spherical, non-absorbing particles needed to be restored to the MISR retrieval. (It had been deleted early in the mission to reduce computer resource requirements.)
- MISR-AATS comparisons in ACE-Asia confirmed that early MISR-derived AODs were skewed high for some low-light-level scenes. Subsequent experiments demonstrated that scattered light played a key role in this phenomenon, and led to a revision of the MISR low-light-level calibration (that significantly affects MISR-derived AOD over dark water).
- An advanced SeaWiFS retrieval (4 wavelengths, 440 to 860 nm) produces AOD values over water that agree with airborne sunphotometer measurements to <-0.04, a considerable improvement over the standard 2-wavelength SeaWiFS algorithm (Frame D).





2. Closure Among Suborbital Results



SAFARI 2000




ACE-Asia



ACE-Asia






C-130 PBL AOD Comparison



3. Aerosol Absorbing Fraction from Radiative Flux and AOD Spectra



Downwelling Flux: F_{\downarrow}
 Upwelling Flux: F_{\uparrow}
 Net Flux: $F_{\downarrow} - F_{\uparrow}$
 Flux Divergence (absorption):
 $(F_{\downarrow} - F_{\uparrow})_{2000\text{m}} - (F_{\downarrow} - F_{\uparrow})_{43\text{m}}$
 Fractional absorption:
 $[(F_{\downarrow} - F_{\uparrow})_{2000\text{m}} - (F_{\downarrow} - F_{\uparrow})_{43\text{m}}] / F_{\downarrow 2000\text{m}}$



5. Regional Forcing Assessments by Combining Satellite and Suborbital Results

We use several approaches in this subtask. The one shown here combines monthly-average SeaWiFS-derived AOD with vertical profiles of aerosol intensive properties averaged from 28 closure-study profiles flown in ACE-Asia. These intensive properties yield the curves of forcing vs. AOD shown. Combining those curves with the SeaWiFS AOD map yields the forcing map shown.


In a different approach (not shown), we use profiles of a model dust over a model pollution aerosol, each constrained by ACE-Asia measurements. We adjust the relative amounts of dust and pollution in each pixel to produce a model Angstrom exponent that matches the SeaWiFS-retrieved Angstrom exponent at that pixel. This approach produces curves of forcing vs. AOD for different relative amounts of dust and pollution. The curves differ little from those shown, and hence produce similar maps of forcing.



4. Tests of Chemical Transport Models

International comparisons of chemical-transport models (CTMs) show that aerosol vertical distributions often differ markedly from model to model. Hence, the vertical profiles of AOD and extinction measured by our airborne sunphotometers (AATS-6 and -14) can provide a key performance test for such CTMs. We have participated in such tests of the models GOCART, CARMA/MATCH, and MATCH.

The figure shows examples of such comparisons between Sahara dust AOD profiles simulated by CARMA/MATCH and AOD profiles measured by AATS-6 in PRIDE. Both days, June 28 and July 5, 2000, are cases of low-level transport of Saharan dust to the Caribbean. The June 28 case shows poor agreement between measured and modeled AOD. The July 5 case shows good agreement below 1 km for model-assumed scavenging of 10% in the precipitating part of clouds. Above that height, model calculations with more than 10% scavenging produce better agreement with AATS AOD.



Summary and Conclusions

This EOS IDS task combines satellite and suborbital results to assess aerosol effects on radiation and climate. Because assessment accuracy depends critically on the quality of input measurements, we devote considerable effort to testing and improving both the satellite and the suborbital results. Also emphasized are novel ways to derive critical aerosol parameters (e.g., SSA from radiative flux and AOD spectra) and tests of model predictions of aerosol distributions and properties. Key results include:

- Column AOD comparisons have helped improve retrievals by MODIS and MISR. Other comparisons have helped quantify the accuracy of AOD retrievals from AVHRR, GMS-5, GOES-8 Imager, SeaWiFS, TOMS, and ATSR-2.
- Extinction- and AOD-profile comparisons have quantified the ability of lidars and airborne in situ measurements to derive extinction from backscatter, from size distribution plus chemistry, and from scattering plus absorption. These comparisons provide independent tests of analysis methodologies, including inlet corrections for particle loss and enhancement (by evaporation, aerodynamics, etc.)
- Combining flux and AOD spectra yields SSA spectra from ~350 to 1600 nm that are based on within-atmosphere solar absorption measurements and are free of any aerosol-sampling artifacts.
- Monthly average, cloud-free aerosol radiative forcing at the surface in ACE-Asia exceeded -30 W m^{-2} in a plume downwind of Japan and in the Sea of Japan and Yellow Sea. Top-of-Atmosphere (TOA) forcing was about 47% of surface forcing.