1	
2	
3	
4	PUBLIC MEETING SESSION
5	
6	U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS
7	DRAFT LOWER SNAKE RIVER JUVENILE SALMON MIGRATION
8	FEASIBILITY REPORT/ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT
9	WITH
10	FEDERAL CAUCUS CONSERVATION OF COLUMBIA BASIN FISH
	"ALL-H PAPER"
11	
12	TED FERRY CIVIC CENTER
13	888 VENITIA AVENUE KETCHIKAN, ALASKA
14	
15	PUBLIC COMMENT SESSION
16	FUBLIC COMMENT SESSION
17	MARCH 6, 2000
18	7:00 P.M.
19	
20	
21	COURT REPORTER: WILLIAM J. BRIDGES, CM, RMR, CSR
22	
23	BRIDGES & ASSOCIATES
24	CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTERS P. O. BOX 223
25	PENDLETON, OREGON 97801 (541) 276-9491 - (800) 358-2345

1 MS. DONNA SILVERBERG: Please state 2 your name, and if you are with an organization or an 3 agency, please let us know. 4 If you don't want to state that because you 5 are not with one, or you are not representing them б today, that's fine, too. 7 At the beginning here we would like to 8 offer the Mayor, Bob Weinstein, the opportunity to come up and speak, and then we will move on and we 9 10 will start after Bob with Roland Stanton, followed by John Burke, followed by Wayne Weihing. 11 And I apologize if I say your names wrong. 12 I am not meaning to. No disrespect. 13 MR. BOB WEINSTEIN: You did a good 14 job on mine. Thank you. 15 16 My name is Bob Weinstein. I am the Mayor of the City of Ketchikan, and I guess my comments are 17 18 directed to all agencies involved with this issue and decision. 19 First of all I'd like to thank you for 20 21 coming here to discuss an issue of great importance to 22 this community, to this area. 23 While I don't have any expertise in this, my comments will be I'm sure magnified by those on 24 25 both sides who do have a lot of expertise on this

1 subject.

2	It's my understanding that significant and
3	further reductions of the Alaskan fish harvest will
4	have virtually no positive impact on Snake River fish.
5	Those reductions, however, should a
6	decision be made to implement them, will have major
7	adverse impacts on commercial and sports fisheries in
8	this area, and therefore significant adverse impacts
9	upon the economy of this community.
10	This area is already suffering economically
11	due to federal policy changes with respect to timber
12	harvests. We don't need another federal policy change
13	which will have a disparate personal and economic
14	impact upon this area.
15	Decisions such as this should be based upon
16	science, which my understanding, that scientists
17	inside Alaska and outside Alaska agree that restoring
18	Snake River fish will not be accomplished by further
19	harvest reductions in Alaska.
20	The question seems to be a simple one.
21	Even though the answer may not be. The question is,
22	do you have Snake River fish, or do you have Snake
23	River dams?
24	In closing, Alaska and Alaskans are not the
25	problem. Therefore, we should not be the solution.

1 Thank you. 2 MS. DONNA SILVERBERG: Thank you 3 very much, Bob. Roland Stanton, followed by John Burke, 4 5 followed by Wayne Weihing. 6 MR. ROLAND STANTON: I am a retired 7 engineer and observer. I am not a fisherman. 8 If you want to get birds off an island, pigs. Two pigs will work fine. We use them on a 9 10 airport up north, an island in front of an airport. Works great. They eat the eggs. 11 I'm here to tell you, really to comment on 12 the fisheries from Frazier River south and what's gone 13 wrong in the ocean and what you can do about it. 14 Wally Hickel, when he was Secretary of the 15 16 Interior, he's a former Governor of Alaska, made the California sea lions sacred. Can't touch them. Can't 17 18 talk to them. Can't throw rocks at them. Can't shoot 19 at them anymore. 20 So, one sea lion at Ballard in Seattle at 21 the mouth of the fish ladder managed to wipe out a 22 total fish run all by himself, and now he has buddies. 23 So they have finished them off. And there's 200,000 of them now, courtesy 24 25 of Wally Hickel, who took them from 10,000 up to

1 200,000 with a stroke of a pen, and now that years 2 have passed, and can you manage how many salmon 3 200,000 sea lions can eat? 4 And now the solution. Recently, oh, ten 5 years ago there was a big die off of seals in the б north sea by England and Norway. When they checked it 7 out, they discovered that a dog disease wiped out the 8 seals. Can't remember whether it was distemper or parvo, or something like that. 9 10 So the solution is really easy. All we need to do is take one dead dog, cut it up, put pieces 11 12 of it in herring and feed it to a sample population like ten of sea lions, and we will have a nice 13 epidemic, and your problem will be solved. 14 15 In about ten years you will be able to 16 overfish the mouth of the Columbia again. MS. DONNA SILVERBERG: 17 Thanks a lot, 18 Roland. John Burke, followed by Wayne Weihing, 19 followed by Richard I think it's Schuerger. 20 MR. JOHN BURKE: The 4-H paper. My 21 22 name is John Burke. I have been professionally 23 involved with fisheries for the past 30 years. I worked with the Department for 20 years until I 24 retired two years ago. 25

1 While I was working for the department the 2 last five years I was at Headquarters. At that time I worked on the Chinook technical committee for the 3 4 Pacific Salmon Treaty. I was the state's expert 5 witness on the harvest and distribution of migratory 6 path Chinook took for the U.S. vs. Washington case. 7 I drafted several of the state's Section 7 8 permit applications related to the incidental harvest of Snake River fall Chinook. I also was the state's 9 alternative representative to the implementation team 10 for a period of two years. 11 12 I am currently the General Manager of southern southeast regional aquaculture association, 13 and after all of that, I am here to represent myself. 14 15 These fish are very important to us. I 16 think you wanted to hear that, and I hope you will 17 tonight. 18 At the level of long term difficult life history choices, these fish are here, that is why many 19 of us live here, period. 20 21 We came here because of them, we stay here 22 because of them. I realize this is a legal exercise 23 as much as anything else. 24 One solution would be to minimally 25 forestall the extinction of these fish while others

1 range to actually restoring the runs of Snake River 2 fall Chinook to the level of health that would support some harvest in the river as well as at least 3 4 incidental harvest elsewhere. 5 It is hard to imagine Alaskan fishermen or б perhaps any fishermen anywhere that would support a 7 plan that was designed to simply prevent extinction 8 and nothing more. Most of us, including our own scientists, 9 10 only have a vague concept of exactly what that means. I think Larry tried to explain that today. It's very 11 difficult to explain. 12 I would like to talk about two of the H's 13 that have brought the fish where they are today. 14 Hydro and habitat. 15 16 In Alaska we manage our salmon fisheries in a sustainable fashion. In fact the last several years 17 some of these fisheries have reached all time harvest 18 19 records. And obviously nature has a lot to do with 20 21 that. 22 We try to do all the important things and 23 yet we certainly have made mistakes managing our fisheries. But somehow these do not seem to 24 25 accumulate over time and the stocks most often quickly 1 recover. Why?

2	There is one reason for this and one reason
3	alone. The habitat utilized by these fish is largely
4	unaltered. The Pacific salmon is a very resilient
5	animal and if, and only if, they have an opportunity
6	to recover, they will, but without habitat, regardless
7	of the measures our managers might take, they do not
8	have that opportunity.
9	I will skip to near the end. I would like
10	to talk about hatchery shortly. We have a number of
11	successful programs in Alaska. We have run our
12	hatchery with serious constraints involving genetics,
13	pathology and fish culture.
14	We've always judged them based on adult
15	returns and the value of those returns, never on the
16	number of fish released. Simply put, all hatcheries
17	are not the same. They should not be painted with the
18	same brush. There are probably things you could do
19	with innovative measures and enhancement which could
20	help these fish come back.
21	There is a strong prejudice against
22	hatcheries on the Columbia River. Perhaps if you
23	could look beyond these prejudices, do some innovative
24	things, hatcheries might actually help you more than
25	you have thought.

1 Just to summarize, a brief comment about 2 the economic analysis. If the things you put forward 3 don't work, you really haven't put the other part in 4 the equation, the cost to these people here of the 5 failure. It's not there. 6 Finally I would think Alternative Number 1 7 would be the one I would most generally support. 8 Thank you. MS. DONNA SILVERBERG: 9 Thank you very 10 much, John. Wayne Weihing, followed by Richard 11 Schuerger, followed by Nevin May. 12 MR. WAYNE WEIHING: 13 Thank you. For the record my name is Wayne Weihing. I have been a 14 long term resident of southeastern Alaska. 15 16 My background is I have commercial fished, I continued to support fish. I have fished for 17 subsistence. I have watched the changes in the 18 fisheries here in southeastern, my friends in all the 19 peer groups. I have seen them taken some real hits as 20 21 far as reductions, to save some of the Snake River 22 salmon. 23 I would advocate for a real aggressive approach in the methods that you spoke on tonight, 24 25 talking about restoring those areas that are taking

1 salmon away and not allowing them to get past either 2 the dams, and it's a very complex issue, one of which 3 I would like to speak to a little bit tonight, is my 4 experience with Eastern Oregon, Western Idaho, is a 5 habitat issue. б When those farmers and ranchers got the 7 water that they never had before, and they got so many 8 acre-feet of water, there was no real conservation methods put into place, such as settling ponds. They 9 10 flood irrigated. If they had the water, they paid for it, 11 and it went right in the irrigation ditches, waste 12 water ditches, back into the rivers. 13 With that it carried a lot of the soil with 14 it, it silted over habitat, it carried agricultural 15 16 chemicals and fertilizers with it. 17 So when you look at dam breaching and you look at restoring the run, I think a real important 18 component of that is when those fish have a free 19 chance to go someplace, they will need improved 20 21 spawning gravel. 22 And some of the places you are talking 23 about tonight doesn't include the areas that I'm speaking of where the tributaries of the Snake, not 24

necessarily in that area that you are talking about

25

1 dam breaching, but I really believe that it needs to 2 be looked at in a larger scale to address many of these issues of the destroyed habitat. 3 And I thank you for the opportunity tonight 4 5 to speak. I will follow up with written comments. 6 Thank you. 7 MS. DONNA SILVERBERG: Thank you very 8 much, Wayne. 9 Richard Schuerger, followed by Nevin May, 10 followed by Susan Walsh. Richard, am I saying your name right? 11 MR. RICHARD SCHUERGER: 12 You got it right. My name is Rich Schuerger, and I am a 25 year 13 resident of Alaska here, been fishing here for 25 14 15 years. 16 Most of the comments of my ideas have already been made. 17 18 Alaska has very responsibly managed fishery, and we have gone through a lot of 19 conservation, and it's cost people money, some people 20 more than others, different fisheries. 21 22 But once again, I think the habitat is the 23 key to the answer here rather than further reductions of Alaska's fisheries. 24 25 Unfortunately, being in Alaska here, after

1 watching many of our friends go through the Pacific 2 Salmon Treaty process there and how Alaska gets 3 treated a lot of times, one feels like you live in a 4 resource colony, because there is so much more 5 pressure from down below, from larger populations, and б it seems like everybody in the whole country has got 7 to tell Alaska how to do stuff. 8 You know, whereas you would never think of telling Florida how to do anything, from living up in 9 10 Alaska. But living up in Alaska, everywhere else 11 12 has an opinion on how Alaska should be run. I think there was a tradeoff made, starting 13 30, 50 years ago with the dams. They decided to trade 14 their habitat and build their lifestyle with 15 16 electricity and farming, and that's good. 17 Now the result is what you have now is very poor runs in the rivers there. You're looking to us I 18 think as one of the solutions to pay for somebody 19 else's problems. And I don't think -- I think it 20 21 should be looked at in that light, then. 22 There is also one comment made about the 23 farmers blaming the ocean runs, or the lack of the runs on the wild fisheries. 2.4 25 I think you guys should also present the

1 real viable and good fishery we have got going on here 2 in Alaska, because those people don't know. 3 I know you have already been there, gotten 4 comments from them. Those folks haven't got a clue of 5 what's going on. Because they don't really know. б They just get their ideas from what they read in the 7 newspapers and the media, that the oceans are all 8 dead, and that's not the case in Alaska. And they don't realize, like the Canadians 9 didn't, that we don't take that many of their fish, 10 compared to our whole harvest that we do. 11 12 Thank you. MS. DONNA SILVERBERG: 13 Thank you very much, Rich. 14 Nevin May, followed by Susan Walsh, 15 16 followed by Gary Souza. 17 MR. NEVIN MAY: My name is Nevin May, and I am I quess a 34, 35 year resident of Ketchikan. 18 I make my living commercial fishing and 19 have all the time that I have been here. And I would 20 21 like to be in opposition of any option that would 22 decrease our harvest of salmon in any way. 23 We have been getting decreased here it seems like every year, we have been getting cut back. 24 I think it was '95, '94 we got cut back 34,00025

1

Chinook, for trying to save the Snake River fish.

2 And I don't think that we can have a viable 3 fishery if we are cut back any further. It's gotten 4 to the point now where it's pretty tough. And our 5 impact on those stocks seems to be so minimal, our б cutting back any further wouldn't do a great deal of 7 good. 8 And we also don't have any impact on the steelhead which are in trouble there. And we have no 9 10 impact on the bull trout which are in trouble. So I think it's time to look at the habitat 11 and some of these other things that may or may not 12 solve your problems. 13 14 But I don't think that anybody here thinks that we can, including you guys. I just don't think 15 16 there's a way that we can solve those problems with further reductions here. 17 18 And it's a shame that you're not -- you're only going to four cities here, instead of some of the 19 rural communities that are a hundred percent dependent 20 21 on fisheries to make a living, what minimal one it may 22 be. 23 And any further cutbacks would destroy, it would be just hard to tell how many people's lives and 24 25 livelihood.

1 So I would just like to say that I would 2 oppose in any manner that I could any further reductions in our harvest rates here. 3 4 Thank you. MS. DONNA SILVERBERG: Thank you for 5 б your comments, Nevin. 7 Susan Walsh, followed by Gary Souza, 8 followed by Jack Lee. MS. SUSAN WALSH: Good evening. My 9 10 name is Susan Walsh, and my comments address both the Corps draft environmental statement and the Federal 11 12 Caucus, All-H paper. And I am here to support the removal of the 13 four lower Snake River dams as the best way to restore 14 the salmon runs in the Columbia River Basin. 15 16 As a nurse I understand all too well that we can treat a child with asthma with all the 17 18 state-of-the-art medicine in the emergency room, as you pointed out, but until we restore the environment 19 to a healthy environment, that child will continue to 20 21 have problems. 22 Your own science shows that no other option 23 has as high a chance of restoring those runs as taking out these dams. Current harvest and further limiting 24 25 Alaskan fishermen won't bring the salmon back.

1 You are asking Alaskans to limit the 2 child's exposure to a canary while the dams represent a smoke filled room full of dust mites, cats and dogs. 3 4 It is time to look at the real problems, 5 and as our Governor has stated, the hydro system is a б killing field for these fish, causing more than 80 7 percent human cause mortality. 8 I would also like to applaud those efforts in looking in your caucus information here, to look at 9 10 the recovery actions and changes in ecological conditions, and thus the status of the fish and 11 12 wildlife. Mr. White did go ahead and reiterate the 13 pesticide used in the rural Idaho area and the 14 15 degradation stated in here when the river returns to 16 high velocity streams, and the degradation of the gravel beds for spawning. 17 18 And I applaud your efforts of looking at all of the ramifications of human impact. 19 Thank you. 20 21 MS. DONNA SILVERBERG: Thank you very 22 much, Susan. 23 Gary Souza, followed by Jack Lee, followed by Kay Andrew. 24 25 MR. GARY SOUZA: My name is Gary

1

Souza. I am representing Tongass Sport Fishing

2 Association. And I have been a resident of Ketchikan 3 for 15 years. 4 When the National Marine Fisheries Service 5 was in this same forum five years ago to take public б comment on related issues, their own documents 7 summarized in other ways clearly stated that the 8 demise of the Snake River and Columbia Basin salmonids was due to dams. Up to 95 percent mortality rates on 9 10 some years. At the same time there was a blockage of up 11 12 to 40 percent historic spawning habitat. The dams are beyond a shadow of the doubt 13 the problem. And all night you have been hearing 14 15 things that we as a community are against. 16 I'm going to tell you something we're for. 17 We're for every proposal to breach those dams. 18 Secondly. Further harvest restrictions to deal with this problem fly directly in the face of 19 conservation measures recently reached. In 1999 the 20 21 state of Alaska signed the Pacific Salmon Treaty with 22 Oregon, Washington, Canada to meet, among other 23 subjects, as well as allocation, the Endangered 24 Species Act. Thirdly. Resident sport fishermen, the 25

1 guided sport group, and commercial fishermen, all 2 these three user groups would all suffer by the potential further restrictions to harvest. 3 4 In conclusion, I want to say this. That 5 residents of the Northwest have clearly, historically б made poor sacrificial choices in favor of power and 7 agriculture to the neglect of fisheries. 8 We believe strongly that it is completely unfair and inequitable to cause Alaskans and visitors 9 to Alaska to suffer more for a problem not caused by 10 anyone who fishes here. 11 12 Thank you. MS. DONNA SILVERBERG: Thank you 13 very much, Gary. 14 Jack Lee, followed by Kay Andrew, followed 15 16 by Eric Hummel. 17 MR. JACK LEE: My name is Jack Lee. I am about a 20 year resident up here. I am primarily 18 a sport fisherman, although I have commercial fished 19 also. 20 21 Pretty much everything I had to say has 22 been said. 23 If you want my blessing to take out the dams, take them out (indicating). But don't think 24 25 your problem is going to stop there, gentlemen.

1 I was born and raised in Northeast 2 Washington, I know the problems on those rivers start 3 on the Columbia up in Canada where you've got pulp 4 mills, refineries, continues on downstream through 5 agriculture, more mills, the fish get nuked at б Hanford. 7 You name it. They've got a tough road to 8 hoe there. You go up the Snake. You've got a paper mill that's how many millions of gallons of heated 9 10 water does it dump into the Snake? Take them out, but don't stop. Don't come 11 12 back to Alaska and ask us to quit catching fish until you have taken care of all of those problems, because 13 people of the Northwest have benefited from being able 14 15 to have cheap power, being able to raise crops in a 16 virtual desert, which is basically what benefits your 17 agriculture. 18 That's not real wet country down there without the water coming out of that river. 19 So, clean it up, get rid of the pollution, 20 21 get rid of the agricultural runoff. 22 And, I don't know, I've seen the Columbia 23 when they let the water down behind it. It's not the same as it used to be, you know. You are going to 24 25 have a lot of work there to restore that habitat.

1 So, let's not just say, oh, yeah, we took 2 out the dams and we did our part, now it's up to 3 Alaska, because it's not. 4 You've got a tough road to hoe ahead of you 5 there, and it's going to take a lot more than pulling 6 those dams out before me and a lot of other Alaskans 7 are going to be willing to sacrifice our fish for 8 you. Thank you. 9 10 MS. DONNA SILVERBERG: Thank you, Jack. 11 Kay Andrew, followed by Thomas -- no, 12 followed by Eric Hummel, followed by Thomas Fisher. 13 MS. KAY ANDREW: Good evening. My 14 name is Kay Andrew. I am a life-long resident of 15 16 Ketchikan. 17 In my opinion Alaska has given enough. It's time the federal government held Washington and 18 Oregon responsible for their problems. 19 If they want cheap power, then they will 20 21 have to live and accept the fish problems that they 22 are having. 23 Our community has taken a huge economical hit in the last two years in the loss of our pulp 24 25 mill. A lot of the side timber industries that went

1 with that.

2	We can't take another hit. We can't afford
3	it. We won't be able to live in this community. We
4	provide the pristine waters to raise the fish that
5	come from all over the Northwest. It's not up to us
6	to save the poor fishery management practices of
7	Washington and Oregon.
8	The feds. ruined the fisheries in Alaska
9	when it was a territory. When we became a state and
10	the state took over the management of the resource of
11	the fisheries, they have rebuilt the fisheries to
12	record numbers.
13	Maybe what you folks ought to do is talk to
14	our fisheries experts and see what they think, what
15	their opinions are of rebuilding your stocks.
16	Fix your habitat. Remove the dams.
17	Predator problems. And all the other problems that
18	you have. And then come and talk to Alaska if you are
19	still having problems.
20	A lot of people here tonight have a lot of
21	personal feelings on this, because this is how we make
22	our living. This is why we choose to raise our
23	families in this community.
24	So we are asking you, not to give us
25	another hit. We really can't help you.

1 Thanks. 2 MS. DONNA SILVERBERG: Thank you 3 very much, Kay. 4 Eric Hummel, followed by Thomas Fisher, 5 followed by Tim Bristol. 6 MR. ERIC HUMMEL: My name is Eric 7 Hummel. I am the director of the Tongass Conservation 8 Society. I have lived here in Ketchikan for 20 years. 9 To me what seems very obvious is that what 10 we've got is in the Columbia Basin is an ecological melt down. The fact that it has accelerated, that we 11 have had listed of four species in the Snake River, is 12 where it started and now we have 19 species that I 13 count on the handout that you gave to us. 14 It is plain that this -- the trend is very 15 16 definitely going in the wrong direction. And that's 17 obvious also from your presentation. 18 A catastrophe, if you want to go to that extreme, is something, in this case, has happened 19 because of some decisions that were made, both by the 20 agencies and basically at a regional level, as to what 21 22 the priorities were. 23 The problem with that is that the situation has become where the economic costs and benefits are 24 25 not shared equally by the same people.

1 In other words, the costs go to one party 2 and the benefit goes to somebody else. And that's 3 certainly what's driven the economic boon in the 4 Pacific Northwest. 5 The problem when we start talking about the б analysis of it is that the same problem happens in the 7 economic analysis. It shows the costs or the benefits 8 to -- it's very easy for example to say how much power is lost by the dams. 9 10 But when we talk about all the different people who are affected at a local level here in 11 12 Ketchikan and Sitka, Juneau, et cetera, it's very difficult to quantify. 13 Because I'm not a fisherman, and yet this 14 has an enormous effect on me. And there are lots of 15 16 people in Alaska and throughout the Northwest who are 17 going to experience this. 18 But the fact is, what's happened is that the costs have been dispersed out over a broad enough 19 base that until finally the economic -- until the 20 21 ecological system has now melted down and we can no 22 longer avoid a redistribution of those costs and 23 benefits back to where they belong. 24 And the way in which to do that is to breach the dams, return the habitat for the salmon, 25

1 not to put further costs on the Alaska fishermen. 2 Thank you. 3 MS. DONNA SILVERBERG: Thanks a lot, 4 Eric. 5 Tom Fisher, Thomas Fisher, followed by Tim б Bristol, followed by Bruce Wallace. 7 MR. THOMAS FISHER: Hi. My name is 8 Thomas Fisher. I am a 40 year resident of Ketchikan, Alaska. Yes, I am a salmon troller. I have trolled 9 10 my own boats since I was 13 years old. I have also been on the southern southeast regional agriculture 11 Board of Directors for almost 12 years. 12 I appreciate the opportunity to testify in 13 something so vital to my very existence as the 14 Columbia River Chinook and would like to thank you for 15 16 your time. 17 Let's talk about the magnificent creature called the Chinook for a minute. As you well know, 18 Chinooks are the largest of the salmon species, and 19 the reason that that is, is because when they enter 20 21 fresh water to spawn, they have a long and arduous 22 journey upriver in which they eat nothing. 23 Chinook prepare themselves all of their lives for this trip up the river, and all the 24 25 hardships that a fast running and free river

1 generates.

2 If they were to swim up a placid canal like 3 the Columbia River has been turned into, they would be 4 the size of pink salmon. 5 They have evolved into a creature that б needs a wild and long running river to complete their 7 life cycles. 8 As I have thought about and watched the debate over the Columbia River Chinook, I have 9 10 marveled at how people cannot accept responsibility for choices made years ago. 11 Whether the people who built these dams on 12 the Columbia River realized it or not, they made some 13 clear choices as to what was important to the Pacific 14 Northwest. 15 16 These choices were cheap electrical power, irrigation for farms, and a port for shipping in 17 18 Idaho. These choices were made over the freedom of the Columbia River drainage and Chinook salmon. And 19 so we sit. 20 So here we sit, trying to act as God, 21 22 wanting to bring back the Chinook runs that were 23 written off years ago, whether anyone realized it or 24 not. 25 But still written off when the dams were

1 constructed.

2	There seems to be a group of people and
3	interest out there that still don't want to face up to
4	the fact that they can't have their cake and eat it,
5	too. This group would much rather point their fingers
6	at the Alaskan troll fleet and say eliminate them, not
7	the dams.
8	This seems totally idiotic. The troll
9	fleet has been in existence for a hundred years, and
10	the salmon have continued to thrive in Alaska, as long
11	as they have free rivers to swim up.
12	It is always easier to point your fingers
13	at somebody else than accept responsibilities for
14	choices made and lifestyles you live.
15	I read somewhere a year or so ago that a
16	billion dollars had been spent on the Columbia River
17	Chinook and was amazed at how foolishly money can be
18	spent.
19	I wonder why this money was not spent on
20	salmon runs that are still healthy enough that they
21	would generate some returns for the monies spent.
22	As I think about the Endangered Species
23	Act, I am amazed at man and how he thinks he can stop
24	evolution and act a God.
25	I think there comes a time when we must

1 realize that as humans, we've messed up. And not 2 throw good money after bad.

3

We should look at species that we can 4 actually do some good at protecting, whether it be 5 fish or some little bug. Spend money there and not 6 try to reverse time and eliminate lifestyles that had 7 no voices in choices made. 8 MS. DONNA SILVERBERG: Tom, I am going to have to ask you as to wrap up. 9 10 MR. THOMAS FISHER: Well, I know. One of the things that I really resent about this is 11 12 you come to town, and you give us three minutes, you know, to say, talk about this, and this is the only 13 chance I'm going to get to say my piece, and I've got 14 six minutes on this testimony. 15 16 I tried to shorten it. MS. DONNA SILVERBERG: Well, I will 17 tell you will what, we have allowed three minutes for 18 19 everybody in the region. What I would like you to do is ask that you 20 turn that in for us. The written testimony is going 21 22 to be very important to us. 23 MR. RICHARD POLLEN: I give you my

24 three minutes. I have signed up.

25 MS. DONNA SILVERBERG: Does that work

1 for what you have for the Corps?

2 MR. WADDELL: It don't mess up 3 anything. We do want your testimony. 4 MR. THOMAS FISHER: I will present it 5 written, but would I like --6 MR. WADDELL: It's on record if you 7 give us the paper. 8 MR. THOMAS FISHER: I don't believe 9 there are enough salmon in the Columbia River to 10 regenerate the runs of yesterday. 11 Even if we could turn back time and destroy 12 the dams, which is the only thing that might work. I fear, though, that the easier choice is 13 to tell the Alaskan troller that he can no longer work 14 at his chosen profession; harvesting healthy salmon 15 16 runs. 17 I have considerable money and sweat equity 18 wrapped up in my fishing business, and a 75 percent reduction in the Chinook quota in Alaska puts me out 19 of business and making my investment worthless. 20 21 If the federal government should decide to 22 restrict the Chinook catch in Alaska as drastically as 23 proposed to protect a few hundred Chinook, then we the harvesters of this great resource should be 24 25 compensated.

1 When trolling I have operated responsibly, 2 when running my gear, when I have been in areas of 3 high Chinook abundance to avoid catching them. 4 And now if the federal government is going 5 to put me out of business, it is time for the federal б government to act responsibly. 7 I would consider fair compensation 8 somewhere in the neighborhood of \$900,000 to not catch another Chinook for the rest of my life. 9 10 You laugh. I'm not. The way I arrive at this figure is I average \$30,000 for a season's 11 fishing. I have a hundred thousand dollars invested 12 in my fishing operation. I figure I have 30 years of 13 fishing left in this body. 14 So if you do the math, you can see I'm 15 16 still losing a hundred thousand dollars. The funny thing is, there is still not a chance of the Columbia 17 River Chinook surviving even with the elimination of 18 the Alaska troll fleet. 19 I would advocate breaching of the dams. 20 21 However, I feel this is not really going to happen, 22 and not sure that Chinook runs are too far gone to do 23 any good anyhow. This would again probably just throw more good money after bad. 2.4 25 I ask that you consider the fish and the

people of Alaska and the Pacific Northwest in your
 determination as to what to do about the Chinook of
 the Columbia River.

Perhaps you could look at other river systems and salmon runs that are not yet extinct and see if they are not a better choice to concentrate effort and money rather than a few hundred Chinook that have no chance of surviving no matter what we do.

10 It is said we have met -- It is sad we have messed up something as magnificent as the Columbia 11 12 River Chinook. However, the reality of the situation is we have. And now it is time we learn from our 13 mistakes and not allow it to happen again. We should 14 15 spend our money and resources in areas that will 16 actually benefit and protect what we have left of healthy salmon resources. 17 18 Let's wake up to reality and put our

19 efforts into what we actually have left, rather than 20 destroy people's way of life over something we lost 50 21 years ago. 22 I thank you for your time. 23 MS. DONNA SILVERBERG: Thank you

24 very much, Thomas.

25 Tim Bristol, followed by Bruce Wallace,

1 followed by F. N. Herff.

2	MR. TIM BRISTOL: My name is Tim
3	Bristol. I am here from the Save Our Wild Salmon
4	Coalition, a group made up of commercial, sport, and
5	recreational fishing interests, and conservation
6	groups and citizens from all walks of life down in the
7	Northwest and up here in Alaska.
8	I just want to thank the Federal Caucus for
9	coming here and listening to what we have to say and
10	also thank the Federal Caucus for actually coming up
11	with an issue that I think just about all southeast
12	Alaskans can agree upon. From a conservation
13	standpoint, it's not that often that that happens
14	here.
15	I would just like to reiterate the support
16	for Alternative A, which is dam removal. I think the
17	reason we are actually going through this exercise
18	right now is because authorities have spent 25 years
19	and about three billion dollars on technical fixes
20	associated with these dams, that just don't simply
21	work.
22	The dams don't make sense anymore.
23	Breaching these four dams on the Snake is clearly in
24	the best interests of Alaska, commercial, sport,
25	subsistence fisheries.

1 And one of the things I was looking at the 2 paper here tonight, breaching the four lower Snake 3 River dams, it says, is it sufficient, and then it 4 says in bold type, probably not. But if you go down 5 underneath here, one of the sub heads, it says, likely б to be sufficient for Snake River fall Chinook and 7 steelhead. 8 And fall Snake River Chinook is the one that we are on the hook so to speak for here. I would 9 have liked to have seen that in bigger type. I think 10 that is a really important point. 11 12 And just to follow up on what most people have said here, it is pretty clear that Alaska 13 commercial fishing interests and sport fishing 14 15 interests just are not the cause of the problem here, 16 and I would like to see them held harmless in this 17 process. We just went through the Pacific salmon 18 treaty. A lot of tough choices were made here, 19 sacrifices were made, fish were given up. And the 20 21 Pacific Northwest is now facing a very difficult 22 choice, a very tough choice, but it's one that they 23 have to make, it's their problem, and the solution has to be -- has to evolve down there, and clearly the 24 best first step is to breach these four dams on the 25

1 Snake.

2 Thanks again for coming and listening to 3 what I had to say and what everybody else had to say 4 tonight. 5 MS. DONNA SILVERBERG: Thank you, б Tim. 7 Bruce Wallace, followed by F. W. Herff. MR. BRUCE WALLACE: Good evening. My 8 name is Bruce Wallace. I am a commercial fishermen 9 10 and I have been down the road that you guys are on 11 more times than I care to think about. 12 I think I am going to speak to the Federal Caucus paper, try to be a little bit specific. Except 13 that much like Jack Lee and John Burke, I think the 14 15 question really, you've got four elements here, but 16 you only really have one singular element, and that's habitat. 17 18 And I've looked all the way through the Path program, the rest of it. There's been a very 19 clear political structure that never elevates habitat 20 21 to where it should be. 22 The scientists go run the papers, and then 23 the policy and the political and the dollars that are involved diminish it. 24 25 Quite frankly, you've got a hundred year

planning event in habitat. You've got something less than that in hydro. You've got a five-year minimum planning event in hatcheries. And then in harvest you've got an immediate, you can turn it off if you have to.

I mean, we do that here on an E.O. basis
regularly. I understand across jurisdictions it would
not be that easy. But the practical impact of harvest
is that you can do it very quickly.

I think that maybe nobody really understood in the Columbia River Basin what they did, but we have changed the Basin, it is not and never will be what it was. The runs that were there, the systems that were there are gone.

15 And I think the fact is, we have the 16 Endangered Species Act that is targeted and has 17 highlighted certain stocks, but the real clear 18 situation is that the system's failing. It's failing 19 or adjusting, you can use either term, and I think 20 under the endangered species you say failing.

If you look at it from a practical real time, it's probably adjusting. You've got new species, you've got dominances coming up that weren't there at all a hundred years ago, and are only, you know, now showing a real strong position in the 1 biostructure.

2 So I guess, you've heard a lot of not in my 3 own back yard. I think it's legitimate. I don't 4 think that there's a thing that quite frankly we can 5 do here that has any substantive effect. I think б Larry's 200 fish, you got down to 70, and I think we 7 got him down to lower than that when we did it with a 8 real calculator. But the fact of the matter is, we can't 9 10 pass enough savings out of this fishery with anything near reasonable controls to do anything for that run 11 12 as it stands. So I guess as it relates to the caucus, I'd 13 like Alternative A, but I would take the first two in 14 harvest and hydro, and then I'd move that 15 16 significantly improved habitat over and put it in that first Alternative A, and take the language under 17 18 hatcheries that say increased conservation hatchery programs, move that into that column, and that would 19 be what I think might be the best road, although I 20 21 think, as many people have said, --22 There's got to be a standard set. I did 23 not hear you say where we were going to, what we were trying to achieve or what this group is trying to 24 25 achieve.

1 Where is the bench mark? Because I think 2 without that bench mark clearly identified, all the 3 decisions are somewhat moot. 4 Thank you. 5 MS. DONNA SILVERBERG: Thanks very б much, Bruce. 7 F. W. Herff. And I don't have anybody else 8 signed up, so if anybody else is going to want to testify, come on up and give me your name and I will 9 10 get you on the list. MR. F. W. HERFF: Good evening. My 11 name is Willy Herff. I have lived here 29 years, and 12 I just happened to work for Water Fall Resort. 13 14 I got a grin out of somebody. That's good. The policies by the federal government is 15 16 divide and concur. This old German guy who immigrated here 29 years ago could tell you something about that. 17 18 They done it with the timber industry. There was ample opportunities, hundreds of meetings, 19 and I went to them, and we were told that our import 20 was very important, because people's livelihoods 21 22 depended on it. 23 And it was very important that Washington, whoever was in charge, everybody said, I have nothing 24 25 to do with it, it was somebody above me, they will

1

listen, they will take it in consideration.

2 Excuse me. There is no more timber 3 industry. 4 They divided Alaskans. They pitted all 5 fishermen against the timber, and they achieved their б goal, with the help of environmentalists that 7 infiltrated the Forest Service, and that's been going 8 on since the '70s. That is how they achieved it. Now you are coming to the fish. 9 10 Years ago I cautioned people, they are going to try to divide us. 11 So here's what I would like to tell 12 everybody. If we're commercial, sport, guided, 13 whatever, canneries, native rights, if you like this 14 15 state, and you care about it, anybody that's 16 listening, if we don't form an organization that speak with one voice, protect all of us, against the 17 predator, which is the federal government, because you 18 19 have no clue, you have no plan, you have a cost estimate. 20 Well, that was cute. How much did it cost 21 22 to shoot the state of Alaska down? Your numbers are 23 nothing compared to that. More people moving out. Jobs are lost. You have no numbers for that. There 24 are no numbers. It's real life. 25

1 So get a life, get a grip. You make your 2 own bed down there. Sleep in it. Don't take anything 3 away. We gave all we had to give. 4 Thank you very much for listening to me. MS. DONNA SILVERBERG: Thank you very 5 б much, Willy. 7 We have one more signature, so George 8 Winter, would you like to come on up. MR. GEORGE WINTER: Hi. I am George 9 10 Winter. And I have been around here a while. I disagree with my brother who just spoke. 11 I don't think the environmentalists did this. And I 12 hear, we didn't do it down there. 13 I think what's being bypassed, and I think 14 with some intention, is a toxic cesspool has 15 16 developed. We had one of my brothers -- I agree with 17 most of the people that talked about things coming 18 from the tributaries. Pulp mills and so forth further 19 up. I've got neighbors, we're getting refugees now 20 21 from the farm land of Washington State, Columbia 22 Basin. 23 They are making the mistake of drinking the water that comes from the irrigation. It goes back in 24 25 their wells.

1 That's what the fish have to come through. 2 Now, I don't mind breaching the dams and 3 doing some things you are talking about. But the 4 federal government, and in particular the EPA, is 5 bypassing some of their main responsibilities. 6 And we're expected to take up for the 7 losses that the toxic waste along with the rest of the 8 habitat problems were causing for the fish. And I think one of the things we need to 9 know is that we're not going to solve this problem 10 through the government. I do agree with my previous 11 brother, they listen, but not for the purposes that 12 13 are spoken to us. And some of these people are very well 14 intentioned. 15 16 I think from Prince Rupert, we might learn 17 a little lesson. I am not sure it's exactly the thing to do. 18 But if you want to affect what happens in 19 your life, what happens to your community, then you 20 21 have to come together as environmentalists, fishermen, 22 and start doing things in terms of direct action. 23 Start affecting the economy. Stop a few cruise ships for a while. Do something that makes us 24 noticed. We'll be listened to, just like the labor 25

1 movement was listened to when there was enough people 2 who would take to the street, sit down on the jobs, and then they had to legalize unions. 3 4 But it took that kind of thing, and it's 5 going to take it from us, it's going to take the same б thing from my brothers and sisters. 7 We the people who are below the business 8 level, the government level, have to come together 9 once again. And we can do it. 10 MS. DONNA SILVERBERG: Thank you, 11 George. That's the last person who signed up to 12 testify. So I think with that, is there anybody else 13 who wants to testify who's not on the list? 14 All right. Then with that, I want to thank 15 16 you all very, very much. If you have questions you want to ask folks up front, please come on up and ask 17 18 them. 19 Thank you so much for your attention tonight and for your participation. You have been a 20 21 wonderful group to be with and it has been a pleasure 22 to have you testifying. Thank you for having us. 23 (8:30 p.m.) 24 25

1 STATE OF OREGON)) ss. 2 County of Umatilla) 3 4 I, William J. Bridges, do hereby certify 5 that at the time and place heretofore mentioned in the б caption of the foregoing matter, I was a Certified 7 Shorthand Reporter for the State of Oregon; that at 8 said time and place I reported in stenotype all 9 testimony adduced and proceedings had in the foregoing 10 matter; that thereafter my notes were reduced to 11 typewriting and that the foregoing transcript consisting, of 40 typewritten pages is a true and 12 correct transcript of all such testimony adduced and 13 14 proceedings had and of the whole thereof. Witness my hand at Pendleton, Oregon, on 15 this _____ day of April, 2000. 16 17 18 19 20 William J. Bridges 21 Certified Shorthand Reporter 22 Certificate No. 91-0244 My certificate expires: 10-31-99 23 24 25