1	
2	
3	
4	PUBLIC MEETING SESSION
5	U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS DRAFT LOWER SNAKE RIVER JUVENILE SALMON MIGRATION
б	FEASIBILITY REPORT/ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT WITH
7	FEDERAL CAUCUS CONSERVATION OF COLUMBIA BASIN FISH "ALL-H PAPER"
8	
9	
10	ASTORIA, OREGON
11	PUBLIC COMMENT SESSION FEBRUARY 15, 2000
12	
13	
14	
15	Tapes Transcribed by: Michael R. King, CSR
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	RIDER & ASSOCIATES, INC.
24	COURT REPORTERS P.O. Box 245
25	Vancouver, Washington 98666

1	PROCEEDINGS 2/15/2000
2	
3	BOB SHEVE:
4	s-h-e-v-e. Residence is 405 Pleasant Avenue,
5	Astoria, Oregon. Phone number is 503-325-5986.
6	Not being well aware of all of the situations that
7	are affecting the Columbia River Basin, I definitely believe
8	that the dams are a major contributing factor to the
9	detriment of the fish, some nine species as of to date
10	supposedly in danger.
11	I feel that major drawdowns of dams could be one of
12	the solutions to restoring fish. The fish that are behind
13	these dams on their return on their youthful migration to
14	the ocean become predatory by other fish and airborne birds.
15	The fish situation, I think, could be solved a great
16	deal by drawing down Bonneville Dam, The Dalles Dam and the
17	Snake River dams for a short period while the major portion
18	of these migrations of these fish is in process.
19	Our obligation to our grandchildren and the people
20	that are going to come up into this world in the future is
21	our responsibility. We're leaving them a very poor legacy in
22	regards to wild fish stocks.
23	My full interest here is to draw down the dams and
24	it needs to be done in a very forward way. I think it would
25	be the most economic, being that The Dalles Dam was filled up

- 1 -- the reservoir -- back in the '50s, in less than 12 hours.
- 2 I think that the drawdown series could run for about three
- 3 months out of the year and the dams could then be put back
- 4 into service.
- 5 Thank you.
- 6 DON TUSCHOFF:
- 7 My name is Don Tuschoff. I reside in Seaside,
- 8 Oregon, having lived all my life in Clarkston, Washington,
- 9 until two years ago when I retired.
- 10 Since I have listened -- or since hearing about dam
- 11 breaching, I have been in favor of it because for all these
- 12 years since the dams have gone in, we've watched the fishing
- 13 decline from the beginning of the mouth of the Columbia River
- 14 through all its tributaries.
- 15 And everything has been tried. And I am not sure
- 16 there aren't more things that could be tried, but still the
- 17 numbers go down, down, down when it comes to fish counts.
- 18 I am in favor of breaching because that is not a
- 19 permanent change to the dam in itself. It's just a
- 20 breaching. Maybe it will save the fish. If so, the economic
- 21 benefits could possibly far outweigh the economic benefits of
- 22 the dams themselves. But if it doesn't work -- and this is
- 23 what I love -- if it doesn't work, the earthen portion of the
- dams can be put back and we'll be where we are today.
- Now, that's an oversimplification of a very highly

- 1 complex issue, but that's -- those are my feelings on this
- 2 issue.
- 3 Thank you.
- 4 JOHN VHAY:
- 5 My name is John Vhay, and I am speaking for myself
- 6 as a citizen of the state of Oregon.
- 7 My first comments are with respect to the John Day
- 8 Reservoir drawdown study and its recommendations. Following
- 9 that, I will address some of the studies concerning the
- 10 breaching of the Snake dams.
- 11 Although I agree with the recommendations of the
- 12 Phase I Study of the John Day drawdown, I am absolutely
- 13 amazed that the Corps would release a summary with such poor
- 14 use of significant figures. Someone in your management
- 15 should explain to the editor or writer of this document the
- 16 use of the numbers.
- 17 The case in point is on page 21, the projected
- 18 numbers of spawning salmon indicated by the biological life
- 19 cycle modeling for drawdown and the barge transportation are
- 20 reported to four significant figures. At least the
- 21 calculations for the spawning habitat in the reservoir are
- 22 stated as estimates and reported only to two significant
- 23 figures, 5500 and 55,000.
- 24 Such juvenile work in this summary casts some degree
- of doubt as to the professionalism of this entire study.

- 1 I want to direct my next comments to the NMFS All-H
- 2 Studies and the Path Analysis. Everyone acknowledges the
- 3 problems confronting us are very complex, but some want
- 4 absolute certainty of the outcomes before taking any action.
- 5 From my part, without oversimplifying things, I
- 6 think it is fair to state that since we cannot control ocean
- 7 conditions, cannot control basin-wide precipitation and the
- 8 occasional drought years, but in spite of these do have
- 9 moderately successful runs of bright fall Chinook and
- 10 steelhead above McNary on the Columbia, it is obvious the
- 11 current practices for the Snake -- the survival of the Snake
- 12 runs are totally inadequate.
- 13 We shouldn't be looking at a few thousand fish on
- 14 the Snake. We should be looking at millions of fish coming
- 15 back out of that Snake nursery. These runs do not have the
- 16 luxury of study time. This is not a classroom experiment.
- 17 True, biological assessment is necessary, but it
- 18 should not be an excuse for doing nothing now. Action must
- 19 be taken.
- Talk about habitat, what is wrong with letting these
- 21 Snake River runs have free access to the 1,000 miles of
- 22 spawning and rearing waters welcoming them in the main stream
- and tributaries of the Grande Ronde, Imnaha, Clearwater and
- 24 especially the Salmon? A thousand miles are already in --
- 25 above the river mouths are already in protected areas or

- 1 forests. That's out of the 5200 miles of river in these --
- 2 in this Basin.
- 3 Don't include the 140 miles of current Snake -- on
- 4 the current Snake River reservoirs in the calculations until
- 5 they're flushed of silt.
- 6 We can't go blaming people in the past for doing
- 7 things. We have to take action and do it now. But are we
- 8 too proud and bullheaded to admit that these dams were a
- 9 mistake? I hope not.
- 10 But if we do not breach these structures as soon as
- 11 possible and assist those who'll be temporarily affected, we
- 12 might as well forget this whole exercise. Just back up,
- 13 direct the Bonneville and the Corps to let contracts for
- 14 permanent signs stating "The following extinctions were
- 15 brought to you by the citizens of the Pacific Northwest
- 16 through their elected officials and the bureaucrats due to
- inaction and lack of courage in the years 2000 through 2010."
- 18 Thank you for listening.
- 19 PETE FERRERO:
- 20 Pete Ferrero, citizen, Astoria, Oregon.
- 21 This is really to Colonel William Buhlin and his
- 22 associates. Salmon species have been under duress of
- 23 extinction when the first of numerous dams was constructed on
- 24 the Columbia River and its tributaries. Need one say more?
- The problem has been researched to the point of

- 1 exhaustion; regretfully, underfinanced, politicized and
- 2 covert corruption and exploited for the benefit of greed for
- 3 the few to profit at the expense of endangering the species
- 4 to the point of extinction within our lifetime.
- 5 While we debate the research and economics, the Rome
- 6 of the Northwest has been burning. With virtually all
- 7 extinct species, the major cause was loss of healthy habitat.
- 8 I support the restoration of some of the viable
- 9 salmon habitat on the Snake River by breaching the four dams
- 10 in question. With that, I want to focus on accountability.
- 11 For years the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers has changed the
- 12 natural topography of our sweet earth. Not being a student
- 13 of their projects, I have to stand in awe at some of their
- 14 success in constructing such monoliths as the Grand Coulee
- 15 Dam.
- 16 What I nor the populace noted was the other side of
- 17 their successes, such as the destruction of salmon
- 18 populations. I realize that with progress something is
- 19 gained and something is lost, but when pertinent species
- 20 become at risk of extinction for the benefit of subsidizing
- 21 business interests, it is time to change the legacy of the
- 22 Corps.
- 23 Legacy is defined as something gifted by will or
- something transmitted or received by an ancestor or
- 25 predecessor from the past.

- 1 We're not building the Grand Coulee Dam in the year
- 2 2000 to ward off and defeat the Nazi and Japanese
- 3 dictatorships as our parents and grandparents did. We
- 4 hopefully are beyond the ego stage to construct for the sake
- 5 of construction.
- 6 Since the Corps is the most immediate and the
- 7 powerful organization involved with dams and, therefore,
- 8 salmon, I am asking Lt. Colonel Buhlin to ask himself and his
- 9 superiors as to the question of legacy. Is their legacy one
- 10 of continuance of the old guard by propagandizing partial
- 11 data to aid their goals and their covert allies? Is their
- 12 legacy going to change with regard to unpopular decisions
- which floods against the tide of the establishment?
- 14 The Corps is not some invisible bureaucracy operated
- 15 by nameless humans. It functions by people with such titles
- 16 as lieutenant colonel, colonel, general and so and so. What
- 17 is the legacy of these people? What will they leave to their
- 18 children and possibly even their living parents and
- 19 grandparents? Will it be that they altered the course of the
- 20 Corps or that they felt it safe to continue down the path
- 21 like the sheep before them.
- 22 What will your personal legacy be? A great pension
- 23 and some dynamic pictures of some Snake River dam and a
- 24 picture of salmon which once were? Your legacy will be
- 25 linked to the fate of salmon forever. You have been the

- 1 villain in this saga. You know this.
- 2 But you the general, colonel and lieutenant colonel
- 3 can change this legacy by being honest and lawful, by
- 4 properly implementing the Endangered Species Act, by
- 5 breaching the dams, by restoring habitat and by restoring
- 6 honor to your responsibilities.
- 7 That's it.
- 8 ALAN MOORE:
- 9 My name is Alan Moore. I'm a member of Trout
- 10 Unlimited's national staff. This will be brief.
- 11 We've dedicated over 30 years and well over \$3
- 12 billion in so-called techno-fixes trying to correct the
- 13 mistakes that we built into the four Lower Snake River dams.
- 14 Those efforts, quite simply, have not worked. This
- 15 is evidenced by entire populations of Snake River salmon and
- 16 steelhead on the brink of extinction or already there, and
- 17 further evidenced by disappearing tribal, commercial and
- 18 sport fisheries from Astoria to Alaska, and inland to Idaho,
- 19 as well.
- 20 What does this tell you? It tells me that our
- 21 grandiose and expensive efforts to fix these dams have failed
- 22 not because we need to spend more money, take more time, nor
- 23 study the issues further. It tells me that it was the dams
- 24 themselves that were the mistake, a mistake that can only be
- 25 corrected by removing them.

1	We urge you to recognize that fact, do the right
2	thing for the region, its salmon and its people and make the
3	tough choices necessary to restore our region's wild salmon
4	heritage.
5	What is at issue here economically is not whose jobs
6	are more or less expendable, because that's a distinction
7	none of us can make. Jobs were affected when we built these
8	dams and jobs will continue to be affected, no matter which
9	course we take from here, even if we do nothing.
10	This is now about making the choices we must bring
11	we must make to bring the salmon back, about concentrating
12	our investments where the human impacts are greatest. The
13	economies evolve, but extinction does not. And the choice is
14	clear.
15	We urge the federal government to remove the four
16	Lower Snake River dams while the salmon still have a chance.
17	Thank you.
18	(TESTIMONY CONCLUDED.)
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
2 =	