# Coastal Impact Assistance Program Documentation on Spatial Data and Methods



## Part 1: Spatial Calculations

Great Circle Distance Calculation
 Coastline Length Calculation
 NAD27 to NAD83 Datum Transformation

## Part 2: Spatial Data Behind the Calculations

Minerals Management Service (MMS) Baseline
 Minerals Management Service (MMS) Leased Tracts
 Bureau of the Census TIGER/Line Files, Redistricting Census 2000

 NOAA Medium-Resolution Shoreline
 Alaska Coastline 1 to 63,360

## Part 3: Transforming Spatial Calculations into Dollar Allocations

- 1. Formula Summary
- 2. State Allocations
- 3. Local Allocations

This documentation is a draft version intended solely as a description of certain aspects behind the spatial data and processing for the Coastal Impact Assistance allocations. Please direct your comments or questions on the allocations to John King at (301) 713-3155 x188 or john.king@noaa.gov.



Map showing revenue generated from leased tracts in the Gulf of Mexico (vertical height represents dollars), and coastal political subdivisions. View is the Gulf Coast of the United States looking towards the southeast.

Part1: Spatial Calculations

1. Great Circle Distance Calculation



#### Explanation

The shortest distance between two points is a straight line. On a three-dimensional spherical object like the earth the shortest distance between two points is a curving line called the great circle arc. Calculating great circle distance on a sphere can be done using a formula in spherical trigonometry. The standard formula from Snyder (1987:30) is the length of great circle (c) between two points (lat1, long1 and lat2, long2 in radians, where X is the difference in longitude) on a sphere:

#### c = arccos [ (Sin(lat1) \* Sin(lat2)) + (Cos(lat1) \* Cos(lat2) \* Cos(X)) ].

However, the earth is not a perfect sphere like a billiard ball. It is more of a flattened ellipsoid, like an orange. There can be a significant difference in using a perfect sphere versus an earth-like ellipsoid to calculate great circle distance. We chose the GRS80 ellipsoid to reflect the NAD83 datum. The length (Lr) of 1 radian on the GRS80 ellipsoid at mean latitude YR, where a is the equatorial radius and  $e^2$  the polar flattening, is (Snyder, 1987:24):

$$Lr = a * (1 - e^2) / (1 - e^2 * sin^2 (YR))^{3/2}$$

We used these equations in a computer program written especially for the Coastal Impact Assistance calculations and derived a series of great circle distances in radians which we then converted to statute miles.<sup>i</sup>

## **Quality Control on Distance Calculations**

Allocations to Producing Coastal States, Calculation, *in Sec. 31 (d) (1) (B) (2)* In many cases, the great circle between a leased tract and the closest point on the coastline of a state crossed over land or another state's jurisdiction. For instance, the great circle between a leased tract in the western Gulf and the State of Mississippi crosses a good portion of Louisiana, which was to be expected.

In the case of inshore points in western Mississippi, had we used a mean lower low water (MLLW) line instead of the MMS baseline, the result would have been an increase in Mississippi's state allocation at the expense of other states (with the exception of Louisiana and Texas, which probably would not have been affected because of the 25% cap on state allocations as described in "Allocations to Producing Coastal States," Part (B)). The rationale for selecting the MMS baseline, instead of a MLLW coastline, is explained below in the MMS baseline description (see also figure in MMS baseline description).

We plan to review the final results against the NOAA medium-resolution MHW (Mean High Water) shoreline, for our own comparative purposes only, in order to judge how the use of one digital file versus another can affect the overall result in terms of dollars. At the time of this writing, these comparisons have not yet been completed. We know that in a few instances, such as on the Texas coast, there are points on the MHW line that are slightly seaward of points on the MMS baseline. The assumption is that the NOAA medium-resolution digital shoreline is dated, whereas the MMS baseline has been updated to reflect the ambulatory nature of coastline and certain points "fixed" by law.

#### Payments to Coastal Political Subdivisions, in Sec. 31 (d) (3) (C)

In "Payments to Coastal Political Subdivisions," Part (B), the phrase "coastal political subdivision" – not "coastline" – is used. Unlike for the states, we calculated great circle distance from the geographic center of leased tracts to the nearest point in the "coastal political subdivision," not to the nearest point on the "coastline." In some cases, the nearest point in the coastal political subdivision was a not a point on or near the coastline, but an interior point on the backside or edge of an eligible county's border.

There was no consistent set of digital files representing Coastal Political Subdivisions to compare with our results using TIGER/Line 2000. The U.S. Census has published caveats about the use of TIGER/Line files for highly accurate measurements (see excerpt below, full metadata available in endnotes).

The information present in these files is provided for the purposes of statistical analysis and census operations only. Coordinates in the TIGER/Line files have six implied decimal places, but the positional accuracy of these coordinates is not as great as the six decimal places suggest. The positional accuracy varies with the source materials used, but generally the information is no better than the established national map Accuracy standards for 1:100,000-scale maps from the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS); thus it is NOT suitable for high-precision measurement applications such as engineering problems, property transfers, or other uses that might require highly accurate measurements of the earth's surface.

Accuracy and precision standards were set in the Coastal Impact Assistance program to the nearest statute mile thus well within the standards of the Bureau of the Census in their TIGER 2000 digital files.

## 2. Coastline Length Calculation



## Explanation

For the Gulf of Mexico states and California, we intersected the NOAA medium-resolution shoreline with the Census TIGER 2000 county land and water boundary file. For Alaska, we intersected the Alaska 1 to 63,360 coastline with the Census TIGER 2000 land and water boundary file, which we had to adapt somewhat to represent special Alaskan coastal political subdivisions. We dissolved the coastline file by county and calculated coastline length using the appropriate state plane coordinate system for the area of the state, following a general procedure of the Minerals Management Service (Thormahlen, 1999).<sup>ii</sup> In Alaska, we used the same Albers Conical Equal Area projection in which the 1 to 63,360 coastline was provided. All spatial processing was done in ArcView GIS.

#### Quality Control on Coastline Length Calculations

The Alaska coastline file, supplied by the State of Alaska, was originally in NAD27. Attempts to convert it to NAD83 using the NADCON extension in ArcView failed for reasons that are not entirely clear, but may have to do with the size and complexity of the file and areas west of 180 degrees out of range of NADCON grids. We recognize that using NAD83 TIGER 2000 files to clip an NAD27 Alaska coastline is not an ideal solution. There may be positional shift of up to 300 meters. However, given the vast extent of Alaskan coastline and issues of variable coastline resolution in the data itself (see metadata), it is not expected that a datum shift would significantly affect the overall allocation estimates to Alaskan coastal political subdivisions.

Other consistent estimates of coastline length, by county, were not readily available to check our results.

## 3. NAD27 to NAD83 Datum Transformation

In the Gulf of Mexico, MMS baseline points and MMS leased tract centroids were converted from NAD27 to NAD83 using an Avenue implementation of the NOAA NADCON conversion program. NADCON is the Federal standard for NAD27 to NAD83 datum transformations (Federal Register, Volume 55, Number 155 dated August 10, 1990).<sup>III</sup>

An attempt to convert the datum of the Alaska coastline from NAD27 to NAD83 was unsuccessful (see description above).

Part2: Spatial Data Behind the Calculations

## 1. Minerals Management Service (MMS) Baseline

Distributed By: Minerals Management Service, Mapping and Boundary Branch<sup>iv</sup>

Ellipsoid: Clarke 1866 Converted to GRS80 by NOAA Coordinate System: Geographic Datum: NAD27 Converted to NAD83 by NOAA Projection: Geographic



#### Description

The MMS baseline is a set of 1) isolated points, and 2) points connected by lines, representing the mean lower low water (MLLW) line in direct contact with the open sea or marking the seaward limit of open water. Isolated points usually represent rocks, small islands, irregular coastlines, etc. Points connected by lines represent larger islands, uniform stretches of coastline, river and bay closing lines, etc.

#### Source

The Minerals Management Service interprets "the line of ordinary low water along that portion of the coast which is in direct contact with the open sea and the line marking the seaward limit of inland waters" (43 U.S.C. 1301(c)), to be the same as the mean lower low water (MLLW) line depicted on National Ocean Service nautical charts (Thormahlen, 1999). Cartographers from MMS generally use appropriate large-scale NOAA nautical charts to select isolated points and straight-line segments. Supplemental source materials included hydrographic and topographic survey sheets, photogrammetry, and conventional surveys. Points were submitted to the appropriate state(s) for verification and concurrence (see Thormahlen, 1999)<sup>v</sup>.

#### Role in Calculation

We used this file to represent "coastline" for calculating the great circle distance between the nearest point on the coastline of a producing coastal state and the geographic center of leased tracts, as described in "Allocations to Producing Coastal States," Part (B).

#### Explanation

We did not use a complete MLLW coastline for the state allocation calculation. There are two rationales for doing this. The first and most important reason is that there *is* no complete digital MLLW coastline for the entire Gulf of Mexico, California and Alaska. The MMS baseline is a subsample of the MLLW coastline, representing only the furthest offshore points or bay closing lines from which a federal-state waters boundary line can be defined. Ordinarily, segments of a MLLW coastline to a leased tract. There were important exceptions, however, such as the State of Mississippi's western edge (see also figure and great circle distance discussion above). It is hoped that measures will be taken to make a digital MLLW coastline available, as it will be needed to satisfy any future directives from Congress calling for this kind of calculation to be done.

Our second rationale for using the MMS baseline is founded on the definition of "coastline" in the Submerged Lands Act, "the line of ordinary low water along that portion of the coast which is in direct contact with the open sea and the line marking the seaward limit of inland waters" (43 U.S.C. 1301(c)). This definition, subject to a legal interpretation that is not offered here, may exclude inshore points such as those in western Mississippi.

Finally, for the purposes of providing these estimates only, we selected a point on the MMS baseline midway between Louisiana and Mississippi to represent the lateral state waters boundary. We picked this midway point because it represents a general precedent in international law; but we did not intend to resolve or set a precedent for future discussions between the State of Louisiana and the State of Mississippi about where their state waters lie.

# 2. Minerals Management Service (MMS) Leased Tracts

Distributed By: Minerals Management Service

Gulf of Mexico and California Ellipsoid: Clarke 1866 Converted to GRS80 by NOAA Coordinate System: Geographic Datum: NAD27 Converted to NAD83 by NOAA Projection: Geographic

#### Alaska

Ellipsoid: GRS80 Coordinate System: Geographic Datum: NAD83 Projection: Geographic



#### Description

A digital file of offshore leased tracts and their geographic centers. Only eligible leased tracts were included in the subset provided by MMS.

#### Source

The latitude-longitude coordinates for geographic centers of offshore leased tracts were calculated by MMS. See the metadata for MMS baseline (above).

#### Role in Calculation

We used this file to represent 'leased tract' in order to calculate the distance between the nearest point on the coastline and the geographic center of leased tracts in "Allocations to Producing Coastal States," Part (B).

#### Explanation

There is no other reasonable digital source for leased tracts.

# 3. Bureau of the Census TIGER/Line Files, Redistricting Census 2000

#### Distributed By: Bureau of the Census<sup>vi</sup>

Ellipsoid: NA Coordinate System: Geographic Datum: NAD83 Projection: Geographic



## Description

The geographic coverage for the TIGER/Line 2000 file represents counties or statistically equivalent entities in the United States and Puerto Rico, based on January 1, 2000 legal boundaries.

#### Source

The sources of the TIGER files are 1:100,000 US Geological Survey (USGS) topographic maps scanned by USGS for the Census Bureau. For major urban areas, Census substituted the GBF/DIME files created in the 1970s (with updates from 1981 to 1985). The TIGER data base has been updated with information from local officials and from fieldwork by Census staff. However, these updates were done without any geodetic controls.

#### Role in Calculation

We used this file to represent "coastal political subdivision" to calculate the great circle distance between the point in the coastal political subdivision closest to the geographic center of leased tracts in "Payments to Coastal Political Subdivisions," Part (B).

#### Explanation

In "Payments to Coastal Political Subdivisions," Part (B), the phrase "coastal political subdivision" – not "coastline" – is used (see discussion of great circle distance above).

## 4. National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) Medium-Resolution Shoreline

Distributed By: NOAA Special Projects Office<sup>vii</sup>

Ellipsoid: GRS80 Coordinate System: Geographic Datum: NAD83 Projection: Geographic



*Description* The Mean High Water (MHW) shoreline as depicted on NOAA nautical charts.

#### Source

Digitized from NOAA nautical charts, generally 1:80,000 NOAA charts took precedence. For areas where 1:80,000 charts were not readily available, charts with the next largest scale (e.g., 1:60,0000) were used up to the largest scale available. If larger scale nautical charts were not available, charts of the next smallest scale (e.g., 1:100,000) were used down to the smallest scale. The digital shoreline for Florida was obtained from the state. Alaska, the Hawaiian Islands, Puerto Rico, and all other interests and territories of the United States are not included.

#### Role in Calculation

We used this file to represent "coastline" to calculate coastline miles (except in Alaska) in "Payments to Coastal Political Subdivisions," Part (B).

#### Explanation

There is no complete digital MLLW coastline for the Gulf of Mexico, California and Alaska (see MMS baseline explanation above). The MMS baseline is a series of point and points connected by lines representing only a small sample of the entire MLLW line (see description of MMS baseline above). For the purpose of computing total coastline length, where segments of coast winding up into inland bays and rivers would be included, the most consistent and best available digital coastline is the NOAA MHW shoreline.

# 5. Alaska Coastline 1 to 63,360

Distributed By: Alaska Department of Natural Resources, State of Alaska<sup>viii</sup>

Ellipsoid: Clarke 1866 Coordinate System: Geographic Datum: NAD27 Projection: Albers Conical Equal Area (see metadata)



#### Description

The file is a "1:63,360" coastline for the State of Alaska where data was available as of January 1998. Where unavailable the 1:250,000 coastline was used to fill in.

#### Source

The source data were USGS 1:63,360 topographic maps ranging in date from the 1950's to the 1990's that were subsequently photo revised by the Bureau of Land Management, and a mixture of sources including Department of Natural Resources Land Records Information Section hydrography database, Exxon Valdez Oil Spill Environmentally Sensitive Index coastline, US Geologic Survey hydrography, and the US Forest Service (in Prince William Sound).

#### Role in Calculation

We used this file to represent "coastline" in order to calculate coastline miles for Alaska political subdivisions only in "Payments to Coastal Political Subdivisions," Part (B).

#### Explanation

The Alaska coastline 1 to 63,360 is roughly comparable to the NOAA medium-resolution shoreline in terms of spatial resolution, and represents the best digital coastline available for the state.

# Part 3: Transforming Spatial Calculations into Dollar Allocations

The following are notes describing the mathematical operations that were used to transform input from spatial calculations into dollar allocations, according to the terms of the Coastal Impact Assistance amendment.

(Individual tables have been put in parenthesis for reference purposes)

## 1. Formula Summary



Graphical summary of Coastal Impact Assistance Program allocations.

## **Total Allocation**

A. Legislation calls for distribution of \$150,000,000.00 distributed to 7 oil and gas producing states with qualified OCS lease tracts:

- Alaska
- California
- Texas
- Louisiana
- Mississippi
- Alabama
- Florida

B. Across the board 0.22% recission in all appropriations (\$149,670,670.00)

C. 5.0% for program administration (\$142,186,500.00)

#### State Allocations

A. 60% of the total allocation (\$142,186,500.00) split equally between the 7 states (\$85,311,900.00 total @ \$12,187,414.285 per state))

B. 40% of the total allocation divided among the 7 states based on their proximity to eligible OCS lease tracts within 200 miles of their coastline and the total revenue of these lease tracts for the period of beginning Jan 1, 1995 and ending Dec. 31, 2000 (\$56,874,600.00)

C. No one state can receive more than 25% (\$14,218,650.00) of this 40% share of the overall appropriation

#### Local Allocations

A. 65% of the state's allocation stays at the state level

B. 35% of the state's allocation is divided among the coastal counties (as defined by CZMA) as follows:

25% based on ratio of coastal county population to total population for all coastal counties in state

25% based on ratio of coastal county shoreline length to total shoreline length for all coastal counties within the state

50% based on coastal county proximity to OCS lease tracts used to determine state allocation

*Exception* – a coastal county in CA that is farther than 200 miles from the nearest lease tract and contains a coastline and one or more oil refineries should be treated as if that coastal county were 50 miles from the nearest lease tract

#### Info Needs

OCS revenue data from MMS for all eligible leases Minimum distance values from each lease centroid to each state Minimum distance values from each lease centroid to each county/parish/borough Shoreline length of each county/parish/borough 1990 population for each coastal county/parish/borough Those counties in CA that have oil refineries and a coastline (Solano and Contra Costa)

Allocation Formula Details (see CIAP Allocations SQL.doc)

## 2. State Allocations

(see Ex. Allocation Formula for State Distance Calculations.xls)



Map showing estimated state allocations (vertical height represents dollars). *Note: vertical scale is not the same as in the county map.* 

*Step 1.* Determined for each lease tract what the maximum distance (within 200 miles) was to all states (Max\_Distance\_View)

Lease # 001: distance to TX 20, LA 40, MS 80, AL 160 , FL 320; so max = 160 (note: 200 mile limit in state allocation formula)

**Step 2.** The formula calls for the revenue (ex. Lease #001 = \$2M) to be applied to each state as a function of how close it is to a particular lease tract, therefore it was determined how close each state was to a lease tract relative to the proximity of all other states to the same lease tract

TX 160/20 or 8 times closer than the farthest distance,

LA 160/40 or 4 times closer than the farthest distance and twice as far as the closest, MS 160/80 or 2 times closer than the farthest distance and 4 times as far as the closest, AL 160/160 or 1 (this is the farthest distance) and 16 times as far as the closest distance

*Step 3.* Used a simple algebra equation to determine the portion of that lease tracts revenue (Lease\_Revenue\_View) to apply to each state (Lease\_X\_Factor view);

 $\begin{aligned} &8x + 4x + 2x + 1x = \$2,000,000 \\ & \text{therefore, } 15x = \$2,000,000 \\ & \text{and } x = \$2,000,000/15 \text{ so, } x = \$133,333.333...(x \text{ factor}) \end{aligned}$ 

MS; 2\*(\$133,333.333) = \$266,666.6667..., AL; 1\*(\$133,333.333) = \$133,333.333...

*Step 4.* The sum of the revenue of all lease tracts for each state was determined once the relative proportions from each lease tract were calculated as in the example above to give a total state revenue value

*Step 5.* This total state revenue value was then divided by the total overall revenue (Total\_Revenue view), calculated by summing up the revenue for every eligible lease tract, to generate the state revenue factor (Allocation by State SQL)

*Step 6.* The state revenue factor was then multiplied by 40% (0.4) of the total amount of funds being split among the 7 states (\$56,874,600.00) to give the state allocation before the 25% cap (Allocation by State SQL)

*Note*: the legislation states that no one state can receive more than 25% (\$14,218,650.00) of this 40% share of the overall appropriation

*Step 7.* The total amount over this 25% cap was determined for LA (\$17,435,443.32) and applied as follows to the other 6 states based on the relative proportions they received from the initial calculations (performed using MSExcel):

For each state not over the cap their state revenue factor was divided by the sum of the revenue factors for each state

Then this quotient was multiplied for each state by the amount LA was over the cap

The product was then added to the state's allocation total

*Step 8.* It was then determined that TX had gone over the 25% cap (\$9,203,254.82), and the above procedures were performed again for the remaining 5 states

Step 9. The final results can be found in the Final State Allocations.xls file

*Step 10.* These total state allocation values were then multiplied by 35% (0.35) to give the amounts that were divided among the local political subunits for each state

Saved in Oracle as a table (State\_Allocations) containing the state name, state allocation amount, and the local allocation amount

## 3. Local Allocations

(see Ex. Allocation Formula for County Distance Calculations.xls)



Map showing estimated county allocations (vertical height represents dollars). *Note: vertical scale is not the same as in the state map.* 

## County Distance Factor Calculations

*Step 1.* Using the cnty\_lease\_distance table, calculated the sum of all distances from the various counties within a state to those lease tracts used to calculate that states allocation total (Cnty\_Total\_Distance view)

*Step 2.* Determined what the maximum total distance was for each state (Max\_Total\_Distance)

*Step 3.* Divided the maximum summed distance in the state by each of the summed distances for each county to get a distance factor for each county within the state (Cnty\_X\_Factor view)

Lease #001 distance to 01001 10 miles, 01003 20 miles, 01005 40 miles, so for 01001 40/10 = 4, 01003 40/20 = 2, 01005 40/40 = 1

*Step 4.* Used a simple algebra equation that involved summing up the distance factors for the counties of each state and dividing 1.0 by this distance factor sum to get the Cnty\_X\_Factor (Cnty\_X\_Factor view) for each county as follows:

4x + 2x + 1x = 1, therefore 7x = 1, or x = 1/7 or 0.14285 ...(x factor)

*Step 5.* Plugged the Cnty\_X\_Factor back into the formula to get a Cnty\_Lease\_Dist\_Factor to plug into the overall local allocation calculation (Cnty\_Lease\_Dist\_Factor view) for each county as follows:

for 01001,  $4^{*}(0.14285 \dots) = 0.57142 \dots$ for 01003,  $2^{*}(0.14285 \dots) = 0.28571 \dots$ for 01005  $1^{*}(0.14285 \dots) = 0.14285 \dots$ 

#### California Exception:

The legislation states that for any CA county that has a shoreline and contains one or more oil refineries but is greater than 200 miles from the closest least tract, that county should be considered to be located 50 miles from the closest lease tract

It was determined that this might come into play for Contra Costa and/or Solano counties

After the GIS program was run, it was determined that Solano County was 217 miles from the closest least tract, but Contra Costa County was 196 and 197 miles from the closest two least tracts

Therefore, for Solano County (06095) all distances were reduced by 167 miles when calculating the county's lease distance factors (Cnty\_Total\_Dist view)

#### County Shoreline Length Factor Calculations

*Step 1.* Determined the total shoreline length for each state (Total\_State\_Shorelen\_Mi view)

*Step 2.* Divided the county shoreline length by the state shoreline length to get the county shoreline length factor for each coastal county to plug into the overall local allocation calculation (Cnty\_Pop90\_Shorlen\_Factors view)

County Population Factor Calculations

*Step 1.* Determined the total coastal population for each state (Total\_State\_Pop90 view)

*Step 2.* Divided the county population by the coastal state population to get the county population factor for each coastal county to plug into the overall local allocation calculation (Cnty\_Pop90\_Shorlen\_Factors view)

#### **Overall Local Allocation Calculation**

Used 2 views (Cnty\_Lease\_Dist\_Factor, Cnty\_Pop90\_Shorlen\_Factors views) and the State\_Allocation table generated previously to plug the main components of the overall local allocation calculation into the formula (County Allocations SQL) as follows:

Local allocation = (0.35 \* state allocation) \* ((0.25 \* cnty\_shorlen\_factor) + (0.25 \* cnty\_pop90\_factor) + (0.5 \* cnty\_lease\_distance\_factor))

Visual Basic Program for calculating great circle distance, on an ellipsoid:

Private Sub Command1\_Click() Dim D, D1, X, D1Deg As Double Dim r2d, d2r As Double Dim long1, lat1, long2, lat2 As Double Dim radian\_length, rad\_length\_meter, rad\_length\_in\_miles As Double Dim one\_radian, SM, sin\_square, geo\_lat, geo\_long As Double Dim BaseLine DB As Recordset Dim St\_DB As Recordset Dim Lease\_DB As Recordset Dim MyDB As Database Dim Lease\_No As String Dim Lease\_X As Double Dim Lease\_Y As Double **Dim PrevFips As String Dim NextFips As String** Dim BaseLine\_Array(22114) As BaseLinePts 'Must change this value to reflect new array size Dim St\_Array(5) As St\_Lookup 'Must change this value to reflect new array size Dim EachPt\_txt As New FileSystemObject, EachPt\_fil Dim i, i As Integer Dim St\_txt As New FileSystemObject, st\_fil Dim Base\_Seq As Integer

Set MyDB = Workspaces(0).OpenDatabase("e:\Coastal\_Impact\coastal\_imp.MDB")

Const a = 6378137# 'GRS80 Const e\_square = 0.00669438 'GRS80 Const FipsDistance = 10000

'converted degrees to radians d2r = 3.14159265358979 / 180 r2d = 1# / d2r one\_radian = r2d

\*\*\*\*\*

'The following code basically creates two text files

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>i</sup> Snyder, John P. 1987. *Map Projections – A Working Manual.* U.S.G.S. Professional Paper 1395. Washington, D.C.: Government Printing Office.

\*\*\*\*\* Set EachPt\_txt = CreateObject("Scripting.FileSystemObject") Set EachPt\_fil = EachPt\_txt.CreateTextFile("EachPt.txt", True) Pt\_Header\_Str = "Lease\_Numb,Lease\_X,Lease\_Y," Pt\_Header\_Str = Pt\_Header\_Str & "Base Seq, Base X, Base Y, STFips, STFips S1, SM" EachPt\_fil.WriteLine (Pt\_Header\_Str) Set St\_txt = CreateObject("Scripting.FileSystemObject") Set st\_fil = St\_txt.CreateTextFile("StMindist.txt", True) St\_Header\_Str = "St\_Seq,STFips,Lease\_Numb,Lease\_X,Lease\_Y,Base\_X,Base\_Y,Base\_Seq,Min\_Dist" st\_fil.WriteLine (St\_Header\_Str) \*\*\*\*\* 'The following code basically reads BaseLine Points 'into an array \*\*\*\*\* Set BaseLine\_DB = MyDB.OpenRecordset("Baseline\_points") BaseLine\_DB.MoveFirst For i = 0 To (BaseLine\_DB.RecordCount - 1) BaseLine\_Array(i).Base\_Seq = BaseLine\_DB!Base\_Seq BaseLine\_Array(i).Base\_X = BaseLine\_DB!Base\_X BaseLine\_Array(i).Base\_Y = BaseLine\_DB!Base\_Y BaseLine\_Array(i).Base\_STFips = BaseLine\_DB!STFips BaseLine\_Array(i).Base\_STFipsS1 = BaseLine\_DB!STFips\_S1 BaseLine\_DB.MoveNext Next TotalBasePtNo = BaseLine\_DB.RecordCount - 1 BaseLine\_DB.Close \*\*\*\*\* \*\*\*\* 'The following code basically reads State Lookup 'into an array \*\*\*\*\* Set St\_DB = MyDB.OpenRecordset("State\_Lookup") St\_DB.MoveFirst For i = 1 To (St\_DB.RecordCount) St\_Array(i).St\_Seq = St\_DB!St\_Seq St\_Array(i).STFips = St\_DB!STFips St\_Array(i).ST\_MinDist = St\_DB!Min\_Dist St\_DB.MoveNext Next St\_DB.Close Set Lease\_DB = MyDB.OpenRecordset("Lease\_Points") Lease\_DB.MoveFirst For i = 0 To (Lease\_DB.RecordCount - 1) 'Just for degugging purpose only, set that to 1 for each lease points Lease\_No = Lease\_DB!Lease\_Numb Lease\_X = Lease\_DB!Lease\_X Lease\_Y = Lease\_DB!Lease\_Y 'As soon as I read the next lease number reset the minimum distance to

'10000 again Set St\_DB = MyDB.OpenRecordset("State\_Lookup") St\_DB.MoveFirst

```
For j = 1 To (St_DB.RecordCount)
   St_Array(j).St_Seq = St_DB!St_Seq
   St_Array(j).STFips = St_DB!STFips
   St_Array(j).ST_MinDist = St_DB!Min_Dist
   St_DB.MoveNext
  Next
  St_DB.Close
  For EachPt = 0 To (UBound(BaseLine_Array) - 1)
   PrevFips = BaseLine_Array(EachPt).Base_STFips 'Reads the First STFips and Store
   NextFips = BaseLine_Array(EachPt + 1).Base_STFips 'Reads the next STFips and Store
   long1 = Lease_X * d2r 'centroid of tract x or longitude (negative number)
   lat1 = Lease_Y * d2r 'Centroid of tract y or latitude
   long2 = BaseLine_Array(EachPt).Base_X * d2r 'baseline x or longitude (negative number)
   lat2 = BaseLine_Array(EachPt).Base_Y * d2r 'baseline y or latitude
   *****
   'The following code is to calculate Distance
                X = (long1) - (long2)
   D = (Sin(lat1) * Sin(lat2)) + (Cos(lat1) * Cos(lat2) * Cos(X))
   D1 = Atn(-D / Sqr(-D * D + 1)) + 2 * Atn(1) ' arc cosine function
   D1Deg = (D1 * one_radian)
   geo_lat = (lat1 + lat2) / 2
   geo_long = ((long1) - (long2))
   sin_square = Sin(geo_lat) * Sin(geo_lat)
   radian_length = a * (1 - e_square) / ((1 - e_square * sin_square) (3 / 2))
   rad_length_meter = (radian_length * d2r)
   rad_length_in_miles = (rad_length_meter / 1609.344)
   SM = (D1Deq * rad length in miles)
                  'The Following code writes each distance to the text file
   'I do this just to see whether the calculations are correct or not
  'LinetoWrite = Lease_No & "," & Lease_X & "," & Lease_Y & ","
   'LinetoWrite = LinetoWrite & BaseLine_Array(EachPt).Base_Seq & ","
   'LinetoWrite = LinetoWrite & BaseLine_Array(EachPt).Base_X & "
   'LinetoWrite = LinetoWrite & BaseLine_Array(EachPt).Base_Y & ","
   'LinetoWrite = LinetoWrite & BaseLine_Array(EachPt).Base_STFips & ","
   'LinetoWrite = LinetoWrite & BaseLine_Array(EachPt).Base_STFipsS1 & ","
   'LinetoWrite = LinetoWrite & SM
   'EachPt_fil.WriteLine (LinetoWrite)
   •****
    'The following code basically writes only the minimum distance
   'to the output file
*****
   StSeq = BaseLine_Array(EachPt).Base_STFipsS1
   If (SM < St_Array(StSeq).ST_MinDist) Then
     St_Array(StSeq).ST_MinDist = SM
     Base_Seq = BaseLine_Array(EachPt).Base_Seq
   End If 'If (SM < St_Array(StSeq).ST_MinDist) Then
```

If (PrevFips <> NextFips) Then

```
•*****
****
    'The following code basically writes the State info and minimum dist to the
    'output file as soon as State Fips changes
    'First it writes the record out then resets the value of SM for other fips
*****
*****
     StLinetoWrite = StSeq & "," & St_Array(StSeq).STFips & ","
     StLinetoWrite = StLinetoWrite & Lease_No & ",'
StLinetoWrite = StLinetoWrite & Lease_X & ","
StLinetoWrite = StLinetoWrite & Lease_Y & ","
     StLinetoWrite = StLinetoWrite & BaseLine_Array(Base_Seq - 1).Base_X & ","
     StLinetoWrite = StLinetoWrite & BaseLine_Array(Base_Seq - 1).Base_Y & ","
     StLinetoWrite = StLinetoWrite & Base_Seg & ","
     StLinetoWrite = StLinetoWrite & St_Array(StSeq).ST_MinDist
     st_fil.WriteLine (StLinetoWrite)
    End If 'If (PrevFips <> NextFips) Then
    'Write the last record out because PrevFips & NextFips will always be same
    'If (TotalBasePtNo = EachPt) Then

StLinetoWrite = StSeq & "," & St_Array(StSeq).STFips & ","
StLinetoWrite = StLinetoWrite & Lease_No & ","
StLinetoWrite = StLinetoWrite & Lease_X & ","

    ' StLinetoWrite = StLinetoWrite & Lease_Y & ","
     StLinetoWrite = StLinetoWrite & BaseLine_Array(Base_Seq - 1).Base_X & ","
      StLinetoWrite = StLinetoWrite & BaseLine_Array(Base_Seq - 1).Base_Y & ","
      StLinetoWrite = StLinetoWrite & Base_Seq & ","
    ' StLinetoWrite = StLinetoWrite & St_Array(StSeq).ST_MinDist
    ' st_fil.WriteLine (StLinetoWrite)
    'End If
  Next
  Lease_DB.MoveNext
 Next
 Lease_DB.Close
 EachPt_fil.Close
 st_fil.Close
 MsgBox "Done"
End Sub
 Nipa Parikh
 Computer Programmer
 NOAA/NOS/SPO
 <Nipa.Parikh@noaa.gov>
<sup>ii</sup> State Plane Coordinate System zones used in coastline length calculation, by counties.
ALABAMA WEST ZONE:
BALDWIN, MOBILE
CALIFORNIA ZONE I:
DEL NORTE, HUMBOLDT
CALIFORNIA ZONE II:
MENDOCINO, NAPA, SOLANO, SONOMA
```

CALIFORNIA ZONE III: ALAMEDA, CONTRA COSTA, MARIN, SAN FRANCISCO, SAN MATEO, SANTA CLARA, SANTA CRUZ CALIFORNIA ZONE IV: MONTEREY

CALIFORNIA ZONE V: LOS ANGELES, SAN LUIS OBISPO, SANTA BARBARA, VENTURA

CALIFORNIA ZONE VI: ORANGE, SAN DIEGO

LOUISIANA SOUTH ZONE: ASSUMPTION, CALCASIEU, CAMERON, IBERIA, JEFFERSON, LAFOURCHE, LIVINGSTON, ORLEANS, PLAQUEMINES, ST. BERNARD, ST. CHARLES, ST. JAMES, ST. JOHN THE BAPTIST, ST. MARTIN, ST. MARY, ST. TAMMANY, TANGIPAHOA, TERREBONNE, VERMILION

MISSISSIPPI EAST ZONE: HANCOCK, HARRISON, JACKSON

FLORIDA EAST ZONE:

BREVARD, BROWARD, CLAY, COLLIER, DADE, DUVAL, FLAGLER, GLADES, HENDRY, HIGHLANDS, INDIAN RIVER, LAKE, MARTIN, MONROE, NASSAU, OKEECHOBEE, ORANGE, OSCEOLA, PALM BEACH, PUTNAM, SEMINOLE, ST. JOHNS, ST. LUCIE, VOLUSIA

FLORIDA WEST ZONE: CHARLOTTE, CITRUS, DE SOTO, HARDEE, HERNANDO, HILLSBOROUGH, LEE, LEVY, MANATEE, MARION, PASCO, PINELLAS, POLK, SARASOTA, SUMTER

FLORIDA NORTH ZONE:

ALACHUA, BAKER, BAY, BRADFORD, CALHOUN, COLUMBIA, DIXIE, ESCAMBIA, FRANKLIN, GADSDEN, GILCHRIST, GULF HAMILTON, HOLMES, JACKSON, JEFFERSON, LAFAYETTE, LEON, LIBERTY, MADISON, OKALOOSA, SANTA ROSA, SUWANNEE, TAYLOR, UNION, WAKULLA, WALTON, WASHINGTON

TEXAS CENTRAL ZONE: ORANGE

TEXAS SOUTH CENTRAL ZONE: ARANSAS, BRAZORIA, CALHOUN, CHAMBERS, GALVESTON, HARRIS, JACKSON, JEFFERSON, MATAGORDA, REFUGIO, VICTORIA

TEXAS SOUTH ZONE: CAMERON, KENEDY, KLEBERG, NUECES, SAN PATRICIO, WILLACY

iii NADCON, Version 2.10, August 29, 2000, Distribution Copy, PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

NADCON transforms latitude and longitude coordinate values between the North American Datum of 1927 (NAD 27) and the North American Datum of 1983 (NAD 83). NADCON is the Federal standard for NAD 27 to NAD 83 datum transformations (as was articulated in the Federal Register, Volume 55, Number 155 dated August 10, 1990). NADCON also transforms data originally expressed in old island datums that exist in Alaska, Hawaii, Puerto Rico, American Samoa, and Virgin Islands into data referenced to NAD 83. However all datums, including these, are referred to within the program as NAD 27. NADCON automatically chooses the proper transformation; the user does not need to know the specific name of the old island datum.

NADCON conversions between datums are approximate values based on models of real data. NADCON should be used only when data does not exist in the data base (NGSIDB) for one of the datums required. The accuracy of the transformations should be viewed with some caution. At the 67 percent confidence level, this method introduces approximately 0.15 meter uncertainty within the conterminous United States, 0.50 meter uncertainty within Alaska, 0.20 meter uncertainty within Hawaii, and 0.05 meter uncertainty within Puerto Rico and the Virgin Islands. In areas of sparse geodetic data coverage NADCON may yield less accurate results, but seldom in excess of 1.0 meter. Transformations between NAD 83 and States/Regions with High Accuracy Reference Networks (HARNS) introduce approximately 0.05 meter uncertainty. Transformations between old datums (NAD 27, Old Hawaiian, Puerto Rico etc.) and HARN could combine uncertainties (e.g. NAD 27 to HARN equals 0.15 meter + 0.05 meter = 0.20 meter). In near offshore regions, results will be less accurate but seldom in excess of 5.0 meters. Farther offshore NAD 27 was undefined. Therefore, the NADCON computed transformations are extrapolations and no accuracy can be stated.

NADCON cannot improve the accuracy of data. Stations that are originally third-order will not become first-order stations. NADCON is merely a tool for transforming coordinate values between datums. Remember, this program is based exclusively upon data within the official National Spatial Reference System (NSRS). Data originating from stations not part of this official reference may not be compatible. Be sure that the data to be transformed is actually referenced to the NSRS. While NADCON will print out latitudes and longitudes to 0.00001 seconds of arc, the results in the fourth or fifth place may change depending on the platform used. However, all results will be limited to, and within, the accuracy stated above. This is true even though additional precision may be implied by the results....

Comments, questions, and concerns can be addressed to:

Mr. David Doyle or Ms. Cindy Craig N/GS4 N/NGS21 SSMC3 #8535 SSMC 3, #8708 1315 East-West Highway1315 East-West Highway Silver Spring, MD20910Silver Spring, MD20910 Telephone: (301) 713-3178 Telephone: (301) 713-3194 email:daved@ngs.noaa.govemail:cindy@ngs.noaa.gov

Additional copies of this program can be obtained from:

National Geodetic Survey Information Services Branch SSMC-3, #9202 1315 East-West Highway Silver Spring, MD 20910 Telephone: (301) 713-3242

World Wide Web Home Page:http://www.ngs.noaa.gov <sup>iv</sup> Identification\_Information: Citation: Citation Information: Originator: Minerals Management Service, Mapping and Boundary Branch Publication\_Date: 200001 Title: Digital Offshore Cadastre (DOC) - Gulf of Mexico 27 - Leasing Map / Official Protraction Diagram Geospatial\_Data\_Presentation\_Form: Map Series\_Information: Series\_Name: Digital Offshore Cadastre Issue Identification: current Publication\_Information: Publication\_Place: Lakewood, Colorado Publisher: Minerals Management Service, Mapping and Boundary Branch Online\_Linkage: ftp://mmspub.mms.gov/pub/mapping/gom27 Larger Work Citation: Citation Information: Originator: Minerals Management Service, Mapping and Boundary Branch Publication\_Date: 200001 Title: Technical Information Management System (TIMS), Mapping System, Block and Boundary Component Geospatial Data Presentation Form: Map Publication Information: Publication\_Place: Lakewood, Colorado Publisher: Minerals Management Service, Mapping and Boundary Branch Description: Abstract: Areas of the Outer Continental Shelf (OCS) within Federal jurisdiction, and associated data the Minerals Management Service (MMS) uses to develop an offshore cadastre (OCS blocks). An official baseline is established and used to generate the Submerged Lands Act (SLA) boundary and Limit of '8(g) Zone'. The SLA boundaries for Texas, Louisiana, Mississippi, and Alabama have been fixed by decree of the

US Supreme Court. The SLA boundary of Florida south of approximately 26 N. has not been officially computed and accepted. An unofficial boundary is shown in this area. Other boundaries and lines (Exclusive Economic Zone (EEZ), international maritime, marine sanctuaries and national park) may also be included where these are appropriate and known. Planning Area boundaries are administrative limits for planning purposes and are subject to change. The US-Mexico Maritime Boundary and the US-Cuba Provisional Maritime Boundary define the southern extent of OCS Planning Areas. The gaps in these maritime boundaries have been closed with a Limit of Protraction and are subject to change. Purpose: Official Protraction Diagrams (OPD's) and associated boundaries and areas are generated in accordance with 30 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) 256.8 to support Federal land ownership and mineral resource management. Time\_Period\_of\_Content: Time\_Period\_Information: Single\_Date/Time: Calendar\_Date: 200001 Currentness\_Reference: Publication date Status: Progress: Complete Maintenance\_and\_Update\_Frequency: As needed Spatial\_Domain: Bounding\_Coordinates: West\_Bounding\_Coordinate: -98.00 East\_Bounding\_Coordinate: -81.00 North\_Bounding\_Coordinate: 30.50 South\_Bounding\_Coordinate: 24.00 Keywords: Theme: Theme\_Keyword\_Thesaurus: None Theme\_Keyword: Offshore Theme\_Keyword: Cadastre Theme Keyword: Planning Area Theme\_Keyword: Leasing Map Theme\_Keyword: Official Protraction Diagram, OPD Theme Keyword: Submerged Lands Act boundary Theme\_Keyword: Outer Continental Shelf, OCS Theme\_Keyword: Minerals Management Service, MMS Theme\_Keyword: Oil and gas Theme\_Keyword: Three Mile Line Place: Place\_Keyword\_Thesaurus: None Place\_Keyword: USA Place Keyword: Gulf of Mexico Place\_Keyword: Western Gulf of Mexico Place\_Keyword: Central Gulf of Mexico Place\_Keyword: Eastern Gulf of Mexico Place\_Keyword: Exclusive Economic Zone, EEZ Access\_Constraints: None. The Block and Boundary data is in the public domain. Use Constraints: The data was developed within the U.S. Government; no proprietary rights may be attached to them nor may they be sold to the U.S. Government as part of any procurement of ADP products or services. Point\_of\_Contact: Contact\_Information: Contact\_Organization\_Primary: Contact\_Organization: Minerals Management Service, Mapping & Boundary Branch Contact\_Person: Richard Naito Contact\_Position: Cartographer Contact\_Address: Address\_Type: Mailing address Address: P.O. Box 25165, Mail Stop 4011

Address: Denver Federal Center City: Lakewood State\_or\_Province: Colorado Postal\_Code: 80225 Country: USA Contact Voice Telephone: (303) 275-7187 Contact\_Facsimile\_Telephone: (303) 275-7106 Contact\_Electronic\_Mail\_Address: richard.naito@mms.gov Hours\_of\_Service: 6:30am-2:30pm MT Native\_Data\_Set\_Environment: Coverages were created in Arc/Info version 7.0.4 on a Sun Sparc Station 10 running Solaris 2.5.1 Cross\_Reference: Citation Information: Originator: Minerals Management Service, Mapping and Boundary Branch Publication\_Date: 1994-1995 Title: Supplemental Official OCS Block Diagrams (SOBD's) Edition: current version, same vintage as OPD's Geospatial\_Data\_Presentation\_Form: Diagram Publication\_Information: Publication\_Place: Lakewood, Colorado Publisher: Minerals Management Service, Mapping and Boundary Branch Other\_Citation\_Details: SOBD's contain additional coordinate and area calculations for individual blocks. Online Linkage: None. SOBD's are available only in paper form from the MMS Gulf of Mexico regional office. Larger Work Citation: Citation\_Information: Originator: Minerals Management Service, Mapping and Boundary Branch Publication\_Date: 200001 Title: Technical Information Management System (TIMS), Mapping System, Block and Boundary Component Cross\_Reference: Citation\_Information: Originator: Minerals Management Service, Gulf of Mexico Region, Leasing Division Publication\_Date: Unpublished material Title: Leases Publication Information: Publication\_Place: New Orleans, Louisiana Publisher: Minerals Management Service, Gulf of Mexico Region, Leasing Division Other\_Citation\_Details: This Oracle database contains current information on the status of Federal leases. Larger\_Work\_Citation: Citation\_Information: Originator: Minerals Management Service Publication\_Date: 200001 Title: Technical Information Management System (TIMS), Lease Administration Component Data\_Quality\_Information: Logical\_Consistency\_Report: Coordinates for Official Protraction Diagram (OPD), Leasing Maps, OCS blocks, baseline, and projected boundaries are calculated quantities and have been defined to be correct to 3 decimal places of a foot. Coverages have been topologically cleaned with Arc/Info's 'CLEAN' command using dangle length = 0 and fuzzy tolerance = 0.000002.

Completeness\_Report: All NAD27 Gulf of Mexico offshore protraction diagram (OPD) and Leasing Map boundaries are shown. The status of leasing and blocks under non-Federal jurisdiction are not shown. Positional Accuracy: Horizontal Positional Accuracy: Horizontal\_Positional\_Accuracy\_Report: Coordinates for points forming the Submerged Lands Act (SLA) boundary line are calculated values to 3 decimal places of a foot. Official protraction diagram (OPD) and Leasing Map corners are calculated values to 3 decimal places of a foot. Lineage: Source\_Information: Source\_Citation: Citation\_Information: Originator: Minerals Management Service, Mapping and Boundary Branch Publication Date: 200001 Title: TIMS, Mapping System, Block and Boundary Component Geospatial\_Data\_Presentation\_Form: Map Publication\_Information: Publication\_Place: Lakewood, Colorado Publisher: Minerals Management Service, Mapping and Boundary Branch Type\_of\_Source\_Media: Computer program Source\_Time\_Period\_of\_Content: Time\_Period\_Information: Single\_Date/Time: Calendar\_Date: 20000210 Source\_Currentness\_Reference: Publication date Source Citation Abbreviation: MMS, TIMS Source\_Contribution: Source coordinates were taken from TIMS. Process\_Step: Process\_Description: The source data for the Leasing Maps and Official Protraction Diagrams (OPD) are a theoretical mathematical derivation. The source data for the Submerged Lands Act (SLA) boundary line are baseline points in the TIMS Block and Boundary database. In-house computer programs are used to generate points to form the (SLA) line at the appropriate distance from the baseline points. Source\_Used\_Citation\_Abbreviation: MMS, TIMS Process\_Date: 20000210 Process\_Contact: Contact\_Information: Contact Organization Primary: Contact\_Organization: Minerals Management Service, Mapping and Boundary Branch Contact\_Person: Leland Thormahlen Contact\_Position: Branch chief Contact\_Address: Address\_Type: Mailing address Address: P.O. Box 25165, Mail Stop 4011 Address: Denver Federal Center City: Lakewood State\_or\_Province: Colorado Postal\_Code: 80225 Country: USA Contact\_Voice\_Telephone: (303) 275-7121 Contact\_Facsimile\_Telephone: (303) 275-7106 Contact\_Electronic\_Mail\_Address: leland.thormahlen@mms.gov Hours\_of\_Service: 7:00am-3:30pm MT Spatial\_Data\_Organization\_Information: . Indirect\_Spatial\_Reference: U.S. Gulf of Mexico Outer Continental Shelf (OCS) Direct\_Spatial\_Reference\_Method: Vector Spatial\_Reference\_Information:

Horizontal\_Coordinate\_System\_Definition: Geographic: Latitude\_Resolution: 0.00000001 Longitude\_Resolution: 0.00000001 Geographic\_Coordinate\_Units: Decimal degrees Geodetic Model: Horizontal\_Datum\_Name: North American Datum 1927 Ellipsoid\_Name: Clarke Spheroid of 1866 Semi-major\_Axis: 6378206.4 m Denominator\_of\_Flattening\_Ratio: 294.98 Entity\_and\_Attribute\_Information: Overview\_Description: Entity\_and\_Attribute\_Overview: Leasing Map, Official Protraction Diagram and OCS Submerged Lands Act boundary Entity\_and\_Attribute\_Detail\_Citation: The coverages contain only the default attributes created by the Arc/Info generate, clean and build processes. Distribution\_Information: Distributor: Contact\_Information: Contact\_Organization\_Primary: Contact\_Organization: Minerals Management Service, Mapping and Boundary Branch Contact Address: Address\_Type: Mailing address Address: P.O. Box 25165, Mail Stop 4011 Address: Denver Federal Center City: Lakewood State\_or\_Province: Colorado Postal\_Code: 80225 Country: USA Contact\_Voice\_Telephone: (303) 275-7121 Contact Facsimile Telephone: (303) 275-7106 Hours\_of\_Service: 7:00am-3:30pm MT Resource\_Description: Digital Offshore Cadastre Distribution Liability: As is. No responsibility is assumed for the correctness of this data. Standard\_Order\_Process: Digital\_Form: Digital\_Transfer\_Information: Format\_Name: ARCE and Arc/View Shape Files Format Version Number: 7.0.4 Transfer\_Size: 1.6 Mbytes Digital\_Transfer\_Option: Online Option: Computer\_Contact\_Information: Network\_Address: Network\_Resource\_Name: ftp://mmspub.mms.gov/pub/mapping/ Access\_Instructions: Files are available via anonymous ftp from mmspub.mms.gov in the pub/mapping/gom27 subdirectory. For Arc/Info export files download the gm27pa.tar.Z file, 1.6Mbytes, in binary mode. In A UNIX environment use 'uncompress gm27pa.tar.Z' and then 'tar xvf gm27pa.tar'. The resulting four files will be a metadata file, mdgm27pa.txt (this file) and one Arc/Info export data file for each GOM planning area (Western, Cental and Eastern). For Arc/View shape files download the gm27pa.zip file, 1.2Mbytes, in binary mode. Unzipping this file will give a metadata file, mdgm27pa.txt (this file) and five Arc/View files for each GOM planning Area (Western, Central & Eastern). Online\_Computer\_and\_Operating\_System: Dell Pentium server running Windows NT 4.0 Fees: None

Metadata\_Reference\_Information:

Metadata\_Date: 20000215 Metadata\_Review\_Date: 20000215 Metadata\_Contact: Contact\_Information: Contact Organization Primary: Contact Organization: Minerals Management Service, Mapping and Boundary Branch Contact\_Person: Richard Naito Contact\_Position: Cartographer Contact Address: Address\_Type: Mailing address Address: P.O. Box 25165, Mail Stop 4011 Address: Denver Federal Center City: Lakewood State\_or\_Province: Colorado Postal Code: 80225 Country: USA Contact\_Voice\_Telephone: (303) 275-7187 Contact\_Facsimile\_Telephone: (303) 275-7106 Contact\_Electronic\_Mail\_Address: richard.naito@mms.gov Hours\_of\_Service: 6:30am - 2:30pm MT Metadata\_Standard\_Name: Content Standard for Digital Geospatial Metadata Metadata\_Standard\_Version: FGDC-STD-001-1998 Metadata\_Time\_Convention: Local time

<sup>v</sup> Thormahlen, Leland. 1999. "Boundary Development on the Outer Continental Shelf." OCS Report MMS 99-0006. Lakewood, CO: U.S. Department of the Interior, Minerals Management Service, Mapping and Boundary Branch. vi Identification\_Information:

Citation:

Citation Information: Originator: U.S. Department of Commerce Bureau of the Census Geography Division Publication\_Date: 2001 Title: TIGER/Line Files, Redistricting Census 2000 Edition: Redistricting Census 2000 Series\_Information: Series Name: TIGER/Line Files Issue\_Identification: Version (MMYY) represents the month and year file created Publication\_Information: Publication\_Place: Washington, DC Publisher: U.S. Department of Commerce Bureau of the Census **Geography Division** 

Description:

Abstract:

TIGER, TIGER/Line, and Census TIGER are registered trademarks of the Bureau of the Census. The Redistricting Census 2000 TIGER/Line files are an extract of selected geographic and cartographic information from the Census TIGER data base. The geographic coverage for a single TIGER/Line file is a county or statistical equivalent entity, with the coverage area based on January 1, 2000 legal boundaries. A complete set of Redistricting Census 2000 TIGER/Line files includes all counties and statistically equivalent entities in the United States and Puerto Rico. The Redistricting Census 2000 TIGER/Line files will not include files for the Island Areas. The Census TIGER data base represents a seamless national file with no overlaps or gaps between parts. However, each county-based TIGER/Line file is designed to stand alone as an independent data set or the files can be combined to cover the whole Nation. The Redistricting Census 2000 TIGER/Line files consist of line segments representing physical features and governmental and statistical boundaries. The Redistricting Census

2000 TIGER/Line files do NOT contain the ZIP Code Tabulation Areas (ZCTAs) and the address ranges are of approximately the same vintage as those appearing in the 1999 TIGER/Line files. That is, the Census Bureau is producing the Redistricting Census 2000 TIGER/Line files in advance of the computer processing that will ensure that the address ranges in the TIGER/Line files agree with the final Master Address File (MAF) used for tabulating Census 2000. The files contain information distributed over a series of record types for the spatial objects of a county. There are 17 record types, including the basic data record, the shape coordinate points, and geographic codes that can be used with appropriate software to prepare maps. Other geographic information contained in the files includes attributes such as feature identifiers/census feature class codes (CFCC) used to differentiate feature types, address ranges and ZIP Codes, codes for legal and statistical entities, latitude/longitude coordinates of linear and point features, landmark point features, area landmarks, key geographic features, and area boundaries. The Redistricting Census 2000 TIGER/Line data dictionary contains a complete list of all the fields in the 17 record types.

#### Purpose:

In order for others to use the information in the Census TIGER data base in a geographic information system (GIS) or for other geographic applications, the Census Bureau releases to the public extracts of the data base in the form of TIGER/Line files. Various versions of the TIGER/Line files have been released; previous versions include the 1990 Census TIGER/Line files, the 1992 TIGER/Line files, the 1994 TIGER/Line files, the 1995 TIGER/Line files, the 1997 TIGER/Line files, the 1998 TIGER/Line files, and the 1999 TIGER/Line files. The Redistricting Census 2000 TIGER/Line files were originally produced to support the Census 2000 Redistricting Data Program.

Supplemental\_Information:

To find out more about TIGER/Line files and other Census TIGER data base derived data sets visit http://www.census.gov/geo/www/tiger.

Time Period of Content:

Time\_Period\_Information:

Single\_Date/Time:

Calendar\_Date: 2000 Currentness\_Reference: 2000

Status:

Progress: Complete

Maintenance\_and\_Update\_Frequency:

TIGER/Line files are extracted from the Census TIGER data base when needed for geographic programs required to support the census and survey programs of the Census Bureau. No changes or updates will be made to the Redistricting Census 2000 TIGER/Line files. Future releases of TIGER/Line files will reflect updates made to the Census TIGER data base and will be released under a version numbering system based on the month and year the data is extracted.

Spatial\_Domain:

Bounding\_Coordinates:

West\_Bounding\_Coordinate: +131.000000 East\_Bounding\_Coordinate: -64.000000 North\_Bounding\_Coordinate: +72.000000

South\_Bounding\_Coordinate: -15.000000

Keywords: Theme:

Ineme: Theme\_Keyword\_Thesaurus: None Theme\_Keyword: Line Feature Theme\_Keyword: Feature Identifier Theme\_Keyword: Census Feature Class Code (CFCC) Theme\_Keyword: Address Range Theme\_Keyword: Geographic Entity Theme\_Keyword: Point/Node Theme\_Keyword: Point/Node Theme\_Keyword: Ditical Boundary Theme\_Keyword: Statistical Boundary Theme\_Keyword: Statistical Boundary Theme\_Keyword: Polygon Theme\_Keyword: County/County Equivalent Theme\_Keyword: TIGER/Line

Theme\_Keyword: Topology Theme\_Keyword: Street Centerline Theme\_Keyword: Latitude/Longitude Theme\_Keyword: ZIP Code Theme Keyword: Vector Theme\_Keyword: TIGER/Line Identification Number (TLID) Theme\_Keyword: Street Segment Theme\_Keyword: Coordinate Theme\_Keyword: Boundary Place: Place\_Keyword\_Thesaurus: **FIPS Publication 6-4 FIPS** Publication 55 Place\_Keyword: United States Place\_Keyword: Puerto Rico Place\_Keyword: County Access\_Constraints: None Use\_Constraints: None. Acknowledgment of the U.S. Bureau of the Census would be appreciated for products derived from these files. TIGER, TIGER/Line, and Census TIGER are registered trademarks of the Bureau of the Census. Native\_Data\_Set\_Environment: TIGER/Line files are created and processed in a VMS environment. The environment consists of two Alpha Server 8400s clustered together running OpenVMS version 6.2-1H3 used for production operations. The Census TIGER system is driven by DEC Command language (DCL) procedures which invoke C software routines to extract selected geographic and cartographic information (TIGER/Line files) from the operational Census TIGER data base. Data\_Quality\_Information: Attribute\_Accuracy: Attribute\_Accuracy\_Report: Accurate against Federal information Processing Standards (FIPS), FIPS Publication 6-4, and FIPS-55 at the 100% level for the codes and base names. The remaining attribute information has been examined but has not been fully tested for accuracy. Logical\_Consistency\_Report: The feature network of lines (as represented by Record Types 1 and 2) is compete for census purposes. Spatial objects in TIGER/Line belong to the "Geometry and Topology" (GT) class of objects in the "Spatial Data Transfer Standard" (SDTS) FIPS Publication 173 and are topologically valid. Node/geometry and topology (GT)-polygon/chain relationships are collected or generated to satisfy topological edit requirements. These requirements include: \* Complete chains must begin and end at nodes. \* Complete chains must connect to each other at nodes. \* Complete chains do not extend through nodes. \* Left and right GT-polygons are defined for each complete chain element and are consistent throughout the extract process. \* the chains representing the limits of the files are free of gaps. The Census Bureau performed automated tests to ensure logical consistency and limits of files. All polygons are tested for closure. The Census Bureau uses its internally developed Geographic Update System to enhance and modify spatial and attribute data in the Census TIGER data base. Standard geographic codes, such as FIPS codes for states, counties, municipalities, and places, are used when encoding spatial entities. The Census Bureau performed spatial data tests for logical consistency of the codes during the compilation of the original Census TIGER data base files. Most of the Codes themselves were provided to the Census Bureau by the USGS, the agency responsible for maintaining FIPS 55. Feature attribute information has been examined but has not been fully tested for consistency. Completeness\_Report: Data completeness of the TIGER/Line files reflects the contents of the Census TIGER

data base at the time the TIGER/Line files (Redistricting Census 2000 version) were created.

Positional\_Accuracy:

Horizontal\_Positional\_Accuracy:

Horizontal\_Positional\_Accuracy\_Report:

The information present in these files is provided for the purposes of statistical analysis and census operations only. Coordinates in the TIGER/Line files have six implied decimal places, but the positional accuracy of these coordinates is not as great as the six decimal places suggest. The positional accuracy varies with the source materials used, but generally the information is no better than the established national map Accuracy standards for 1:100,000-scale maps from the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS); thus it is NOT suitable for high-precision measurement applications such as engineering problems, property transfers, or other uses that might require highly accurate measurements of the earth's surface. The USGS 1:100,000-scale maps met national map accuracy standards and use coordinates defined by the North American Datum, 1983. For the contiguous 48 States, the cartographic fidelity of most of the Redistricting Census 2000 TIGER/Line files, in areas outside the 1980 census Geographic Base File/Dual Independent map Encoding (GBF/DIME) file coverage and selected other large metropolitan areas, compare favorable with the USGS 1:100.000-scale maps. The Census Bureau cannot specify the accuracy of features inside of what was the 1980 GBF/DIME-File coverage or selected metropolitan areas. The Census Bureau added updates to the TIGER/Line files that enumerators annotated on maps sheets prepared from the Census TIGER data base as they attempted to traverse every street feature shown on the Census 2000 map sheets; the Census Bureau also made other corrections from updated map sheets supplied by local participants for Census Bureau programs. The locational accuracy of these updates is of unknown quality. In addition to the Federal, State, and local sources, portions of the files may contain information obtained in part from maps and other materials prepared by private companies. Despite the fact the TIGER/Line data positional accuracy is not as high as the coordinate values imply, the six-decimal place precision is useful when producing maps. The precision allows features that are next to each other on the ground to be placed in the correct position, on the map, relative to each other, without overlap. Lineage: Source\_Information: Source\_Citation: Citation\_Information: Originator: U.S. Department of Commerce Bureau of the Census Geography Division Publication\_Date: Unpublished material Title: Census TIGER data base Edition: Redistricting Census 2000 Type\_of\_Source\_Media: On line Source Time Period of Content: Time\_Period\_Information: Single\_Date/Time: Calendar Date: 2000 Source\_Currentness\_Reference: Date the file was made available to create TIGER/Line File extracts. Source\_Citation\_Abbreviation: TIGER Source\_Contribution: Selected geographic and cartographic information (line segments) from the Census TIGER data base. Process Step: Process\_Description: In order for others to use the information in the Census TIGER data base in a GIS or for other geographic applications, the Census Bureau releases periodic

a GIS or for other geographic applications, the Census Bureau releases periodic extracts of selected information from the Census TIGER data base, organized as topologically consistent networks. Software (TIGER DB routines) written by the Geography Division allows for efficient access to Census TIGER system data. TIGER/Line files are extracted from the Census TIGER data base by county or statistical equivalent area. Census TIGER data for a given county or statistical equivalent area is then distributed among 17 fixed length record ASCII files, each one containing attributes for either line, polygon, or landmark geographic data types. The Census Bureau has released various versions of the TIGER/Line files since 1988, with each version having more updates (feature and feature names,

address ranges and ZIP Codes, coordinate updates, revised field definitions, etc.) than the previous version. Source\_Used\_Citation\_Abbreviation: Census TIGER data base Process\_Date: 2000 Spatial Data Organization Information: Indirect Spatial Reference: Federal Information Processing Standards (FIPS) and feature names and addresses. Direct\_Spatial\_Reference\_Method: Vector Point\_and\_Vector\_Object\_Information: SDTS Terms Description: SDTS\_Point\_and\_Vector\_Object\_Type: Node, network Point\_and\_Vector\_Object\_Count: 570 to 56,000 SDTS\_Point\_and\_Vector\_Object\_Type: Entity point SDTS\_Point\_and\_Vector\_Object\_Type: Complete chain Point\_and\_Vector\_Object\_Count: 790 to 83,000 SDTS\_Point\_and\_Vector\_Object\_Type: GT-polygon composed of chains Point\_and\_Vector\_Object\_Count: 290 to 33,000 Spatial\_Reference\_Information: Horizontal\_Coordinate\_System\_Definition: Geographic: Latitude\_Resolution: 0.000458 Longitude\_Resolution: 0.000458 Geographic\_Coordinate\_Units: Decimal degrees Entity\_and\_Attribute\_Information: Overview\_Description: Entity\_and\_Attribute\_Overview: The TIGER/Line files contain data describing three major types of features/entities; Line Features -1) Roads 2) Railroads 3) Hydrography 4) Miscellaneous transportation features and selected power lines and pipe lines 5) Political and statistical boundaries Landmark Features -1) Point landmarks, e.g., schools and churches. 2) Area landmarks, e.g., Parks and cemeteries. 3) Key geographic locations (KGLs), e.g., shopping centers and factories. Polygon features -1) Geographic entity codes for areas used to tabulate the Census 2000 census statistical data and 1990 geographic areas 2) Locations of area landmarks 3) Locations of KGLs The line features and polygon information form the majority of data in the TIGER/Line files. Some of the data/attributes describing the lines include coordinates, feature identifiers (names), CFCCs (used to identify the most noticeable characteristic of a feature), address ranges, and geographic entity codes. The TIGER/Line files contain point and area labels that describe landmark features and provide locational reference. Area landmarks consist of a feature name or label and feature type assigned to a polygon or group of polygons. Landmarks may overlap or refer to the same set of polygons. The Census TIGER data base uses collections of spatial objects (points, lines, and polygons) to model or describe real-world geography. The Census Bureau uses these spatial objects to represent features such as streets, rivers, and political boundaries and assigns attributes to these features to identify and describe specific features such as the 500 block of Market Street in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. Entity\_and\_Attribute\_Detail\_Citation: U.S. Bureau of the Census, TIGER/Line files, Redistricting Census 2000 Technical Documentation. The TIGER/Line documentation defines the terms and definitions used within the files. Distribution Information: Distributor:

Contact\_Information: Contact\_Organization\_Primary:

Contact\_Organization: U.S. Department of Commerce Bureau of the Census **Geography Division** Products and Services Staff Contact Address: Address\_Type: Physical address Address: 8903 Presidential Parkway, WP I City: Upper Marlboro State\_or\_Province: Maryland Postal\_Code: 20772 Contact\_Voice\_Telephone: (301) 457-1128 Contact\_Address: Address\_Type: Mailing address Address: Bureau of the Census City: Washington State\_or\_Province: District of Columbia Postal\_Code: 20233-7400 Contact\_Voice\_Telephone: (301) 457-1128 Contact\_Facsimile\_Telephone: (301) 457-4710 Contact\_Electronic \_Mail\_Address: tiger@census.gov Resource\_Description: Redistricting Census 2000 TIGER/Line Files Distribution\_Liability: No warranty, expressed or implied is made and no liability is assumed by the U.S. Government in general or the U.S. Census Bureau in specific as to the positional or attribute accuracy of the data. The act of distribution shall not constitute any such warranty and no responsibility is assumed by the U.S. Government in the use of these files. Standard\_Order\_Process: Digital\_Form: Digital\_Transfer\_Information: Format\_Name: TGRLN (compressed) Format\_Version\_Number: Redistricting Census 2000 Format Version Date: 2000 File\_Decompression\_Technique: PK-ZIP, version 1.93A or higher Digital\_Transfer\_Option: Online Option: Computer\_Contact\_Information: Network Address: Network\_Resource\_Name: www.census.gov/geo/www/tiger Fees: The online copy of the TIGER/Line files may be accessed without charge. See http://www.census.gov/geo/www/tiger for information on availability on CD-ROM/DVD and associated costs for these products. Ordering Instructions: To obtain more information about ordering TIGER/Line files visit http://www.census.gov/geo/www/tiger. Technical\_Prequisites: The Redistricting Census 2000 TIGER/Line files contain geographic data only and do not include display or mapping software or statistical data. A list of vendors who have developed software capable of processing TIGER/Line files can be found by visiting http://www.census.gov/geo/www/tiger Metadata\_Reference\_Information: Metadata\_Date: 2000 Metadata\_Contact: Contact\_Information: Contact\_Organization\_Primary: Contact\_Organization: U.S. Department of Commerce Bureau of the Census **Geography Division** Products and Services Staff Contact Address: Address\_Type: Physical Address

Address: 8903 Presidential Parkway, WP I City: Upper Marlboro State\_or\_Province: Maryland Postal\_Code: 20772 Contact\_Voice\_Telephone: (301) 457-1128 Contact\_Electronic\_Mail\_Address: tiger@census.gov Metadata\_Standard\_Name: FGDC Content Standards for Digital Geospatial Metadata Metadata\_Standard\_Version: 19940608

<sup>vii</sup> The digital geography is in geographic coordinates, datum = NAD83, spheroid = GRS1980. Extent of the Medium Resolution Digital Vector Shoreline Digital Geography Geography provides digital data of the shoreline within the contiguous US. The unprojected (geographic coordinates) Medium Resolution Digital Vector Shoreline Digital Geography for the coterminous United States.

Arc attributes found in the Medium Resolution Digital Vector Shoreline Digital Geography  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{S}_{\mathsf{S}}\mathsf{SCALE}}$ 

Map scale of the source chart from which the arcs were captured. From 1:10,000 to 1:600,000.

S\_CHART

NOS chart catalog number for chart from which the arcs were captured. Charts included 11301 through 18800.

S\_DATUM

Source chart horizontal reference datum.

02 Horizontal Datum = NOS 1902.

Horizontal Datum = NOS 1927.

83

27

Horizontal Datum = NOS 1983.

S\_REV\_DATE

Source chart's date of revision. Any valid date string (mm/yy), 1/87 through 12/92.

S\_SOURCE

Identifies method used to capture source data;

1 Arc/Info-ArcEdit Heads Up.

2

- Hitachi CADCore Heads Up.
- 3 Digitizing Table.

.

Estimation or truncation arc added post capture. (\*)

5 Data obtained from the State of Florida.

S\_ARC\_CODE

Coding identifying the arc type;

Shoreline data arc from capture process.

3 5

1

4

Shoreline truncation arc -post capture addition.

Shoreline estimation arc -post capture modification/addition. (\*)

S\_INTEGRIT

1

Qualitative assessment of arcs accuracy based on source media;

Master compilation -heavy gauge mylar (0.007 in).

2 X-drawing; reproduction of master on lighter grade(0.003) mylar.

3 Water resistant paper.

4

Data sourced from the State of Florida.

5 Estimate or truncation arc.(\*)

6

Unknown. (\*)

#### REGIONLIST

List of Coastal Assessment Regions (individual or combined list from the following);

N Polygons of the North Atlantic region.

M

Polygons of the Middle Atlantic region. S

Polygons of the South Atlantic region.

G Polygons of the Gulf of Mexico region.

P Polygons of the Pacific region.

L Polygons of the Great Lakes region.

U

Polygons within the US border, but not a part of the CAF.

Х

Polygons outside US boundaries.

NOTE:

\*Truncation arcs are arcs inserted into the data to provide continuity/closure in places where the cartographic information on the chart was incomplete. Often, small water bodies (rivers, streams, and creeks), in locations beyond the point of navigability are terminated to facilitate the insertion of non-cartographic information such as title blocks and notations. Continuity of the data, a requirement our data set specification, is interrupted at these locations if they are captured and retained as depicted on the navigation chart. To reestablish the necessary topological continuity an artificial closure arc is placed across the truncated section of shoreline. These arcs make no attempt to simulate the position of the missing shoreline section(s), but rather simply terminate the feature. To easily identify these arc features in the data field they are given the attribute codes: S\_SOURCE = 4 and S\_ARC\_CODE = 3.

Estimation arcs are used for two purposes: first, to fill in gaps in the shoreline as depicted on the chart (other than those areas which would be considered truncations), and secondly, to identify captured (digitized) shoreline arcs which have been modified in some way either for error rectification or edge matching adjustments. The span of these arcs range from five meters to several tens of meters in length. In the first case, estimator arcs attempt to simulate or mimic the actual shape or course of the coastline in the missing area. Insertion of these arcs into the data is done using heads up methods with the appropriate navigational chart(s) as a guide. In the second case, an existing arcs terminal to node is moved from its original captured location to a position where it can be connected to the concomitant arc's node from an adjacent chart. Additional vertices may then be added to replicate the course of the original information. Modification of existing arcs was carried out such that disruption of the information was minimized. Estimate arcs are identified in the data by the codes:  $S_SOURCE = 4$ ,  $S_ARC_CODE = 5$ .

If the accuracy of the data could not be assessed and thus categorized into one of the five qualitative integrity classes, the arc was classified with an integrity of unknown. Most of the arcs grouped into the unknown category were those captured early in the project. During that time source materials (primarily master compilations and X-drawings) were assumed to be of equal stability and accuracy. This was not, however, the case. Once this disparity was recognized, source tracking procedures were implemented and the integrity of the captured information, based on the stability and clarity of the source media was tracked and assessed.

viii Alaska Coastline 1 to 63,360

Metadata also available as - [Questions & Answers] - [Parseable text] - [SGML] - [XML]

Metadata:

Identification\_Information Data\_Quality\_Information Spatial\_Data\_Organization\_Information Spatial\_Reference\_Information Entity\_and\_Attribute\_Information Distribution\_Information Metadata\_Reference\_Information

Identification\_Information: Citation: Citation\_Information: Originator: AK Department of Natural Resources, Land Records Information Section Publication\_Date: 19980211 Title: Alaska Coastline 1 to 63,360 Edition: 1.0 Geospatial\_Data\_Presentation\_Form: vector digital data Series\_Information: Series\_Name: PHYSICAL Issue\_Identification: coast63 Publication\_Information: Publication\_Place: Anchorage, AK Publisher: ADNR, LRIS Online\_Linkage: <URL:http://www.asgdc.state.ak.us/homehtml/pubaccess.html>

#### Description:

Abstract:

This is a first cut at a statewide 1:63,360 coastline. The entire coasline, however, is not 1:63.360; only where data was available as of January 1998. It is a mixture of sources ranging from the Department of Natural Resources, Land Records Information Section hydrography database to the Exxon Valdez Oil Spill Environmentally Sensitive Index coastline (no ESI attributes included) to the US Geologic Survey hydrography to US Forest Service (in Prince William Sound). Where the 1:63,360 data was unavailable the 1:250,000 coastline was used to fill in.

This information does not include lakes or streams. All streams are cut off at the mouth with a straight line.

#### Purpose:

The purpose of this information is to provide a better coastline for land data to be clipped. It's purpose is cartographic. Before this was created, land data was clipped to a 1:250,000 statewide coastline which did not include many of the islands and did not match well with the 1:63,360 hydrography. Attributes have been added to the arcs, but have not been checked carefully.

This coverage is the first of its kind at this scale; thus there are errors. Please notify DNR, LRIS so these may be corrected.

Note: The USGS is in the process of automating the hydrography statewide at 1:63,360 and should be used as a replacement when the information is ready. This hydrography was not complete and available as of January 1998, and no specific date was set.

Time\_Period\_of\_Content: Time\_Period\_Information: Single\_Date/Time: Calendar\_Date: 1997

Currentness\_Reference: publication date

Status:

Progress: In work Maintenance\_and\_Update\_Frequency: As needed

Spatial\_Domain:

Bounding\_Coordinates: West\_Bounding\_Coordinate: 157.55125214 East\_Bounding\_Coordinate: -117.38252248 North\_Bounding\_Coordinate: 67.56587136 South\_Bounding\_Coordinate: 49.12879913

Keywords:

Theme: Theme\_Keyword\_Thesaurus: none Theme\_Keyword: coastline Theme\_Keyword: coast Theme\_Keyword: shore Theme\_Keyword: shoreline Theme\_Keyword: hydrography Place: Place\_Keyword\_Thesaurus: none Place\_Keyword: alaska

Access\_Constraints:

To ensure distribution of the most current public information, please refer requests for data or products to the Alaska Department of Natural Resources, Land Records Information Section.

Use\_Constraints:

It is not recommended the data be used at a scale larger than 1:63,360.

Any hardcopies or published datasets utilizing these data sets shall clearly indicate their source. If the user has modified the data in any way they are obligated to describe the types of modifications they have performed. User specifically agrees not to misrepresent these data sets, nor to imply that changes they made were approved by the Alaska Department of Natural

Resources.

Point of Contact: Contact\_Information: Contact\_Person\_Primary: Contact\_Person: GIS Public Access Coordinator Contact\_Organization: AK Department of Natural Resources - Land Records Information Section Contact\_Position: GIS Public Access Coordinator Contact\_Address: Address\_Type: mailing and physical address Address: 550 W. 7th Suite 706 City: Anchorage State\_or\_Province: AK Postal Code: 99501 Country: USA Contact\_Voice\_Telephone: 907/269-8833 Contact\_Facsimile\_Telephone: 907/269-8920 Contact\_Electronic\_Mail\_Address: GIS\_Public\_Access@dnr.state.ak.us Hours\_of\_Service: 800-1600 AST Contact Instructions: See Distribution Information

Data\_Set\_Credit:

Alaska Department of Natural Resource, Land Records Information Section US Geologic Survey US Forest Service, Chugach US Forest Service, Tongass EVOS Trustee Council

Native\_Data\_Set\_Environment: SunOS, 5.5.1, sun4m UNIX ARC/INFO version 7.1.1

Data\_Quality\_Information:

Attribute\_Accuracy:

Attribute\_Accuracy\_Report:

null (used to close mouth of rivers), and the Canadian border. Since a mix of sources were used, this was not always calculated. No QC was done to check accuracy. Will be corrected as

problems arrise or more time is allowed.

Logical\_Consistency\_Report: Polygon and chain-node topology present.

Completeness\_Report:

DNR, LRIS - reselected the hydrography features coded WATER-TYPE = 'S' or 'N' Did some clean up. EVOS - selected and added as need to fill in. USGS - downloaded ITM quads, where needed to fill in. Selected coastline arcs and closing arcs. USFS, Chugach - selected Prince William Sound coastline and filled in. USFS, Tongass - was included into DNR, LRIS hydrography

database.

There are attribute errors. Polygons all closed.

Positional\_Accuracy: Horizontal\_Positional\_Accuracy: Horizontal\_Positional\_Accuracy\_Report: Information was cleanup on the screen. Polygons were closed, dangles deleted, and information edgematched.

#### . .

Lineage: Source Information: Source\_Citation: Citation\_Information: Originator: Alaska Department of Natural Resources, Land Records Information Section Publication\_Date: 1990 Title: ITM hydrography Series\_Information: Series\_Name: ITM hydrography data Issue\_Identification: hydro Publication\_Information: Publication\_Place: Anchorage, AK Publisher: ADNR, LRIS Source\_Scale\_Denominator: 63360 Type\_of\_Source\_Media: online Source\_Time\_Period\_of\_Content: Time\_Period\_Information: Range\_of\_Dates/Times: Beginning\_Date: 1950 Ending\_Date: 1997 Source\_Currentness\_Reference: ground condition Source\_Citation\_Abbreviation: none Source\_Contribution: Source used USGS 1:63,360 topographic maps ranging in date from 1950's to 1990's. These were photo revised by BLM. Only hydrography meeting the needs of the State Status Plats were automated. Arc features were coded with source and water type. US Forest Service, Tongass hydrography data was integrated into datbase to fit DNR's model. Source\_Information: Source\_Citation: Citation\_Information: Originator: USGS Publication\_Date: 1950-1990 Title: ITM hydrography Series Information: Series\_Name: DLG Issue\_Identification: hydrography Publication\_Information: Publication\_Place: Reston, Virginia Publisher: USGS Source\_Scale\_Denominator: 63360 Type\_of\_Source\_Media: web Source\_Time\_Period\_of\_Content: Time\_Period\_Information: Range\_of\_Dates/Times: Beginning\_Date: 1950 Ending\_Date: 1997 Source\_Currentness\_Reference: ground condition Source\_Citation\_Abbreviation: none Source\_Contribution: Selected coastline information where needed and where available. Source Information: Source\_Citation: Citation\_Information: Originator: USFS, Chugach Publication\_Date: 1996 Title: Chugach National Forest coastline Publication\_Information: Publication\_Place: Anchorage, AK Publisher: USFS Source\_Scale\_Denominator: 63360

Type\_of\_Source\_Media: magnetic tape Source\_Time\_Period\_of\_Content: Time\_Period\_Information: Single\_Date/Time: Calendar Date: 1950 Source Currentness Reference: ground condition Source\_Citation\_Abbreviation: none Source\_Contribution: Chugach National Forest has had significant changes in their shoreline, particularly near Columbia Glacier and Copper River Delta. They have generated a new coastline to reflect these changes. This information was selected and added as the best source for Prince William Sound. Source\_Information: Source\_Citation: Citation Information: Originator: EVOS Habitat/Restoration and ADNR Publication\_Date: 1996 Title: EVOS Research and Restoration CD-ROM Series\_Information: Series\_Name: State Coastline Issue\_Identification: coastst Publication\_Information: Publication\_Place: Anchorage, AK Publisher: ADNR Source\_Scale\_Denominator: 63360 Type\_of\_Source\_Media: CD-ROM Source Time Period of Content: Time\_Period\_Information: Single\_Date/Time: Calendar Date: 1989 Source\_Currentness\_Reference: ground condition Source\_Citation\_Abbreviation: none Source\_Contribution: Was used to fill in missing areas of data. Process\_Step: Process Description: From the DNR, LRIS hydrogrpahy, the arcs were selected where water-type = 'S' for shoreline or 'N' for null (closing mouth of streams). This information was used first as it had the most logical coding for arc attributes. The USGS information was downloaded from the web where holes existed. EVOS was used to fill in also. USFS, Chugach was used to completely replace the Prince William Sound area. The statewide 1:250000 alaska coastline was used to fill in where no other data was available. Attributes are structured the same as the ADNR, LRIS hydrography and were added. The attributes were not qc'ed and has errors. Process\_Date: 19980101 Spatial\_Data\_Organization\_Information: Direct\_Spatial\_Reference\_Method: Vector Point\_and\_Vector\_Object\_Information: SDTS\_Terms\_Description: SDTS\_Point\_and\_Vector\_Object\_Type: Point Point\_and\_Vector\_Object\_Count: 36614 SDTS\_Terms\_Description: SDTS\_Point\_and\_Vector\_Object\_Type: String Point\_and\_Vector\_Object\_Count: 64221 SDTS\_Terms\_Description: SDTS\_Point\_and\_Vector\_Object\_Type: GT-polygon composed of chains Point\_and\_Vector\_Object\_Count: 36614 Spatial\_Reference\_Information: Horizontal\_Coordinate\_System\_Definition: Planar: Map\_Projection: Map\_Projection\_Name: Albers Conical Equal Area Albers\_Conical\_Equal\_Area: Standard Parallel: 55 Standard\_Parallel: 65

Longitude\_of\_Central\_Meridian: -154 Latitude\_of\_Projection\_Origin: 50 False\_Easting: 0.00000 False\_Northing: 0.00000 Planar Coordinate Information: Planar\_Coordinate\_Encoding\_Method: coordinate pair Coordinate\_Representation: Abscissa\_Resolution: 1.0 Ordinate\_Resolution: 1.0 Planar\_Distance\_Units: METERS Geodetic Model: Horizontal\_Datum\_Name: North American Datum of 1927 Ellipsoid\_Name: Clarke 1866 Semi-major Axis: 6378206.4 Denominator\_of\_Flattening\_Ratio: 294.98 Entity\_and\_Attribute\_Information: Detailed\_Description: Entity\_Type: Entity\_Type\_Label: COAST63.AAT Entity\_Type\_Definition: arc attributes Entity\_Type\_Definition\_Source: ARC/INFO Attribute: Attribute\_Label: SOURCE Attribute\_Definition: Source where the feature came from Attribute\_Definition\_Source: From the metadata or map Attribute\_Domain\_Values: Unrepresentable\_Domain: Varies on name of source Attribute: Attribute\_Label: SCALE Attribute\_Definition: Scale of the source where the feature came from Attribute\_Definition\_Source: From the metadata or map Attribute\_Domain\_Values: Enumerated\_Domain: Enumerated Domain Value: 63360, 250000 or other. Enumerated\_Domain\_Value\_Definition: scale denominator of source Enumerated\_Domain\_Value\_Definition\_Source: See SOURCE Attribute: Attribute\_Label: DATE Attribute\_Definition: Date of the source where the feature came from Attribute\_Definition\_Source: From the metadata or map Attribute\_Domain\_Values: Unrepresentable Domain: Varies on date of source Attribute: Attribute\_Label: NAME Attribute\_Definition: Name of feature Attribute\_Definition\_Source: From hardcopy map Attribute\_Domain\_Values: Unrepresentable\_Domain: Varies on location Attribute: Attribute\_Label: WATER-TYPE Attribute\_Definition: Type of feature Attribute\_Definition\_Source: ADNR, LRIS Attribute\_Domain\_Values: Enumerated\_Domain: Enumerated\_Domain\_Value: S - shore; N - null; U - usa/canada border Enumerated\_Domain\_Value\_Definition: see above Enumerated\_Domain\_Value\_Definition\_Source: ADNR, LRIS

#### Overview\_Description:

Entity\_and\_Attribute\_Overview:

Attributes were added to keep track of the source of the feature and to provide other geographic information. Entity\_and\_Attribute\_Detail\_Citation: none Distribution\_Information: Distributor: Contact\_Information: Contact\_Organization\_Primary: Contact Organization: AK Department of Natural Resources - Land Records Information Section Contact\_Position: GIS Public Access Coordinator Contact\_Address: Address\_Type: mailing and physical address Address: 550 W. 7th Suite 706 City: Anchorage State\_or\_Province: AK Postal\_Code: 99501 Country: USA Contact\_Voice\_Telephone: 907/269-8833 Contact\_Facsimile\_Telephone: 907/269-8920 Contact\_Electronic\_Mail\_Address: GIS\_Public\_Access@dnr.state.ak.us Hours\_of\_Service: 800-1600 AST Contact\_Instructions: Fax completed "Request for DNR GIS Data" form. Form can be found at <URL:http://www.asgdc.state.ak.us/homehtml/orderform.html>

Distribution\_Liability:

The State of Alaska makes no express or implied warranties (including warranties of merchantability and fitness) with respect to the character, function, or capabilities of the electronic services or products or their appropriateness for any users purposes. In no event will the State of Alaska be liable for any incidental, indirect, special, consequential or other damages suffered by the user or any other person or entity whether from the use of the electronic services or products, any failure thereof or otherwise, and in no event will the State of Alaska s liability to the requestor or anyone else exceed the fee paid for the electronic service or product.

Standard\_Order\_Process: Digital\_Form: Digital\_Transfer\_Information: Format\_Name: ARC Format\_Version\_Number: 7.1.1 Format\_Version\_Date: 02061997 Transfer\_Size: 124 for CD Digital\_Transfer\_Option: Offline\_Option: Offline\_Media: CD-ROM Recording\_Format: CD Fees: \$150, includes other data.

Metadata\_Reference\_Information: Metadata\_Date: 19990510 Metadata Review Date: 19990510 Metadata\_Future\_Review\_Date: 20000101 Metadata\_Contact: Contact Information: Contact\_Organization\_Primary: Contact\_Organization: ADNR, LRIS, GIS Unit Contact\_Person: Dorothy Mortenson Contact\_Position: GIS Manager Contact\_Address: Address\_Type: mailing and physical address Address: 550 W. 7th Suite 706 City: Anchorage State\_or\_Province: AK Postal\_Code: 99501 Country: USA Contact Voice Telephone: 907/269-8852 Contact\_Facsimile\_Telephone: 907/563-1597 Contact\_Electronic\_Mail\_Address: dorothy\_mortenson@dnr.state.ak.us Hours\_of\_Service: 800-1600 AST

Metadata\_Standard\_Name: FGDC Content Standards for Digital Geospatial Metadata Metadata\_Standard\_Version: FGDC-STD-001-1998 Metadata\_Time\_Convention: Local Time Metadata\_Access\_Constraints: none Metadata\_Use\_Constraints: Coverage is new and subject to change without notice. Use caution in referencing this document.

If the user has modified the data in any way they are obligated to describe the types of modifications they have performed in the supporting metadata file. User specifically agrees not to imply that changes they made were approved by the Alaska Department of Natural Resources.

Generated by mp version 2.6.2 on Wed Jan 24 11:20:19 2001 Alaska State Geospatial Data Clearinghouse