

**Endangered Species Act
Section 7(a)(2) Consultation**

**State/Tribal Review Draft
Biological Opinion**

**Operation of the Federal Columbia River Power System
including the 19 Bureau of Reclamation Projects in the Columbia Basin
(Revised and reissued pursuant to court order,
NWF v. NMFS, Civ. No. CV 01-640-RE (D. Oregon))**

Action Agencies:	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Bonneville Power Administration Bureau of Reclamation
Consultation Conducted by:	NOAA's National Marine Fisheries Service (NOAA Fisheries) Northwest Region
NOAA Fisheries Log Number:	F/NWR/2004/00727
Date:	September 8, 2004

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	OBJECTIVES	1-1
1.1	Introduction	1-1
1.2	Application of ESA Section 7(a)(2) Standards – Jeopardy Analysis Framework.....	1-5
1.2.1	Step 1: Evaluate Current Status with Respect to Range-wide Biological Requirements and Essential Features of Critical Habitat	1-6
1.2.2	Step 2: Evaluate Relevance of the Environmental Baseline in the Action Area to Biological Requirements and the Current Status of the Species and Any Designated Critical Habitat	1-7
1.2.3	Step 3: Describe the Effects of the Proposed Action	1-10
1.2.4	Step 4: Describe Cumulative Effects.....	1-10
1.2.5	Step 5: Conclusion.....	1-11
2.0	BACKGROUND	2-1
2.1	Introduction	2-1
2.1.1	2000 FCRPS Biological Opinion	2-1
2.1.2	National Wildlife Federation v. NMFS Remand Order	2-1
2.1.3	Hatchery Listing Policy and Status Reviews	2-2
2.1.4	Redesignation of Critical Habitat	2-3
2.2	Current Consultation	2-3
2.3	Meetings with Tribal Representatives.....	2-3
2.4	Collaboration with Comanagers	2-3
3.0	PROPOSED ACTION.....	3-1
3.1	Term of this Biological Opinion	3-1
4.0	RANGE-WIDE STATUS OF THE LISTED SPECIES.....	4-1
4.1	Introduction	4-1
4.2	Listed Species Affected by the Proposed Action	4-1
4.3	Current Range-wide Status of Listed Species Affected by the Proposed Action	4-3
4.3.1	SR Spring/summer Chinook Salmon	4-3
4.3.2	SR Fall Chinook Salmon.....	4-5
4.3.3	UCR Spring Chinook Salmon	4-6
4.3.4	UWR Chinook Salmon.....	4-7
4.3.5	LCR Chinook Salmon	4-8
4.3.6	SR Steelhead.....	4-10
4.3.7	UCR Steelhead	4-12
4.3.8	MCR Steelhead.....	4-13
4.3.9	UWR Steelhead	4-15
4.3.10	LCR Steelhead.....	4-15
4.3.11	CR Chum Salmon.....	4-17
4.3.12	SR Sockeye Salmon	4-18
4.3.13	LCR Coho Salmon	4-19

5.0	ENVIRONMENTAL BASELINE.....	5-1
5.1	Overview	5-1
5.1.1	Action Area	5-2
5.2	Summary of the Environmental Baseline.....	5-4
5.2.1	Reference Operations at Mainstem FCRPS Projects	5-4
5.2.2	Effects of the Existence of the FCRPS and Non-discretionary Operations	5-6
5.2.3	Fish Passage Facilities and Structural Configurations at the Corps’ Mainstem Lower Columbia and Lower Snake River Dams.....	5-12
5.2.4	Juvenile Fish Transportation Program	5-14
5.2.5	Predation.....	5-15
5.2.6	Estuary and Plume Conditions and Limiting Factors.....	5-19
5.2.7	Tributary Habitat Conditions.....	5-19
5.2.8	Artificial Propagation Programs.....	5-19
5.2.9	Harvest.....	5-20
5.3	Factors Affecting the Species’ Environment in the Action Area.....	5-25
5.3.1	Snake River Spring/summer Chinook Salmon.....	5-25
5.3.2	Snake River Fall Chinook Salmon	5-31
5.3.3	Upper Columbia River Spring Chinook Salmon.....	5-33
5.3.4	Upper Willamette Chinook Salmon	5-37
5.3.5	Lower Columbia River Chinook Salmon.....	5-38
5.3.6	Snake River Steelhead.....	5-40
5.3.7	Upper Columbia River Steelhead.....	5-43
5.3.8	Mid-Columbia River Steelhead.....	5-46
5.3.9	Upper Willamette Steelhead.....	5-53
5.3.10	Lower Columbia River Steelhead	5-54
5.3.11	Columbia River Chum Salmon	5-55
5.3.12	Snake River Sockeye Salmon.....	5-57
5.3.13	Lower Columbia River Coho Salmon	5-58
5.4	Adequacy of Conditions in Designated Critical Habitat.....	5-59
6.0	EFFECTS OF THE PROPOSED ACTION	6-1
6.1	Introduction and Methods	6-1
6.1.1	Methods for Evaluating Proposed Hydropower Operations and Configuration Changes.....	6-2
6.1.2	Methods for Evaluating Proposed Non-hydro Actions	6-3
6.1.3	Methods for Determining Net Effects of FCRPS and Non-hydro Actions.....	6-4
6.2	Results Common to Multiple ESUs	6-8
6.2.1	Effect of Proposed Hydro Operations on Mainstem Habitat Conditions, Including in the Estuary and Plume.....	6-8
6.2.2	Effect of Proposed Hydro Operations on Juvenile and Adult Mainstem Reach Survival	6-11
6.2.3	Performance Standards, Annual Reports, and Comprehensive Evaluations	6-17

6.3	Snake River Spring/Summer Chinook Salmon	6-20
6.3.1	Effect of Proposed Hydro Operations	6-20
6.3.2	Effect of Non-hydro Measures	6-40
6.3.3	Net Effect of Hydro and Non-hydro Actions	6-45
6.4	Snake River Fall Chinook Salmon	6-48
6.4.1	Effect of Proposed Hydro Operations	6-48
6.4.2	Effect of Non-hydro Measures	6-51
6.4.3	Net Effect of Hydro and Non-hydro Actions	6-53
6.5	Upper Columbia River Spring Chinook Salmon	6-54
6.5.1	Effect of Proposed Hydro Operations	6-54
6.5.2	Effect of Non-hydro Measures	6-55
6.5.3	Net Effect of Hydro and Non-hydro Actions	6-58
6.6	Upper Willamette Chinook Salmon	6-59
6.6.1	Effect of Proposed Hydro Operations	6-59
6.6.2	Effect of Non-hydro Measures	6-60
6.6.3	Net Effect of Hydro and Non-hydro Actions	6-60
6.7	Lower Columbia River Chinook Salmon	6-61
6.7.1	Effect of Proposed Hydro Operations	6-61
6.7.2	Effect of Non-hydro Measures	6-62
6.7.3	Net Effect of Hydro and Non-hydro Actions	6-63
6.8	Snake River Steelhead	6-65
6.8.1	Effect of Proposed Hydro Operations	6-65
6.8.2	Effect of Non-hydro Measures	6-67
6.8.3	Net Effect of Hydro and Non-hydro Actions	6-68
6.9	Upper Columbia River Steelhead	6-68
6.9.1	Effect of Proposed Hydro Operations	6-68
6.9.2	Effect of Non-hydro Measures	6-69
6.9.3	Net Effect of Hydro and Non-hydro Actions	6-73
6.10	Mid-Columbia River Steelhead	6-74
6.10.1	Effect of Proposed Hydro Operations	6-74
6.10.2	Effect of Non-hydro Measures	6-75
6.10.3	Net Effect of Hydro and Non-hydro Actions	6-79
6.11	Upper Willamette Steelhead	6-80
6.11.1	Effect of Proposed Hydro Operations	6-80
6.11.2	Effect of Non-hydro Measures	6-80
6.11.3	Net Effect of Hydro and Non-hydro Actions	6-81
6.12	Lower Columbia River Steelhead	6-81
6.12.1	Effect of Proposed Hydro Operations	6-81
6.12.2	Effect of Non-hydro Measures	6-82
6.12.3	Net Effect of Hydro and Non-hydro Actions	6-83
6.13	Columbia River Chum Salmon	6-84
6.13.1	Effect of Proposed Hydro Operations	6-84
6.13.2	Effect of Non-hydro Measures	6-85
6.13.3	Net Effect of Hydro and Non-hydro Actions	6-86
6.14	Snake River Sockeye Salmon	6-87
6.14.1	Effect of Proposed Hydro Operations	6-87

6.14.2	Effect of Non-hydro Measures	6-88
6.14.3	Net Effect of Hydro and Non-hydro Actions	6-89
7.0	CUMULATIVE EFFECTS	7-1
7.1	Introduction	7-1
7.2	Cumulative Effects Investigation	7-1
7.3	Cumulative Effects	7-3
7.3.1	Mid-Columbia River Steelhead.....	7-3
7.3.2	Upper Columbia Steelhead and Spring/Summer Chinook.....	7-5
7.3.3	Snake River Spring /Summer Chinook, Steelhead and Sockeye	7-7
8.0	CONCLUSIONS.....	8-1
8.1	Approach	8-1
8.1.1	Jeopardy Analysis.....	8-1
8.1.2	Analysis of Adverse Modification of Critical Habitat	8-3
8.1.3	Summary of Conclusions for All ESUs	8-3
8.1.4	Supplemental Consultations for USBR Projects in Occupied Habitat.....	8-4
8.2	SR Spring/summer Chinook Salmon	8-5
8.3	SR Fall Chinook Salmon.....	8-7
8.4	UCR Spring Chinook Salmon	8-10
8.5	UWR Chinook Salmon.....	8-13
8.6	LCR Chinook Salmon	8-14
8.7	SR Steelhead.....	8-16
8.8	UCR Steelhead	8-18
8.9	MCR Steelhead.....	8-20
8.10	UWR Steelhead	8-22
8.11	LCR Steelhead.....	8-23
8.12	CR Chum Salmon.....	8-25
8.13	SR Sockeye Salmon	8-27
8.14	LCR Coho Salmon	8-29
9.0	CONSERVATION RECOMMENDATIONS.....	9-1
9.1	Introduction	9-1
9.2	Subbasin Planning Infrastructure	9-1
10.0	INCIDENTAL TAKE STATEMENT	10-1
10.1	Introduction	10-1
10.2	Amount or Extent of Anticipated Take	10-1
10.2.1	Amount of Take.....	10-1
10.2.2	Hydro Juvenile Survival Performance Standard	10-7
10.2.3	Incidental Take Associated with Non-hydro Activities	10-7
10.3	Effect of the Take	10-8
10.4	Reasonable and Prudent Measures	10-8
10.4.1	Monitor Incidental Take.....	10-8
10.4.2	Reduce Incidental Take by Improving Juvenile and Adult Passage Survival	10-8

10.5	Terms and Conditions	10-8
10.5.1	Terms and Conditions Related to Monitoring Take	10-8
10.5.2	Terms and Conditions Related to Improving Juvenile and Adult Passage	10-10
11.0	MAGNUSON-STEVENSON FISHERY CONSERVATION AND MANAGEMENT	11-1
12.0	REINITIATION OF CONSULTATION.....	12-1
13.0	LITERATURE CITED	13-1

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A.	GEOGRAPHIC EXTENT OF DESIGNATED CRITICAL HABITAT
APPENDIX B.	ESU MAPS
APPENDIX C.	HARVEST RATES
APPENDIX D.	ANALYTICAL APPROACH, METHODS, AND BIOLOGICAL OPINION GAP ANALYSIS SURVIVAL RESULTS FOR THE SNAKE RIVER AND UPPER COLUMBIA RIVER LISTED SALMON AND STEELHEAD ESUs (RUFF ET AL.)
APPENDIX E.	HABITAT IMPROVEMENTS AS OFFSITE MITIGATION FOR FCRPS OPERATIONS: A QUALITATIVE ASSESSMENT
APPENDIX F	ARTIFICIAL PROPAGATION POTENTIAL AS OFF-SITE MITIGATION FOR FCRPS PERATIONS: A SUMMARY ASSESSMENT

LIST OF TABLES

Table #

1.1	USBR projects in the Columbia River Basin under consultation in this Biological Opinion	1-4
5.1	Expected harvest rates for listed salmonids in winter, spring, and summer season fisheries in the mainstem Columbia River and in tributary recreational fisheries under the 2001 - 2005 Spring Agreement in U.S. v Oregon	5-22
5.2	Expected harvest rates for listed salmonids in fall season fisheries in the mainstem Columbia River under the 2004 Fall Agreement in U.S. v Oregon	5-23
5.3	Estimates of in-river survival for juvenile MCR steelhead, derived from Appendix D.....	5-46
6.1.	Qualitative Categories for Potential Improvements in VSP Characteristics	6-3
6.2a	Hypothetical examples described in terms of absolute and relative percentages	6-5
6.2b	The multiplicative effect of salmonid survival through different life stages.....	6-6
6.3	Simulated average seasonal flows (and flow ranges) in thousand cubic feet per second (kcf) for reference and proposed action operations during spring and summer time periods relevant to migrating listed juvenile salmon and steelhead for the years 1994 through 2003.....	6-9
6.4	Estimated minimum adult survival and unaccounted loss from 2000 through 2002 through the FCRPS (Bonneville Dam tailrace through John Day, Lower Granite, Priest Rapids dams)	6-12
6.5	Estimated Average Juvenile and Adult Survival Rates over 1994-2003 Study Period through the FCRPS under the 2004 Proposed Hydro Operation.....	6-21
6.6	Estimated Average Juvenile and Adult Survival Rates over 1994-2003 Study Period through the FCRPS under the 2010-2014 Proposed Hydro Operation	6-22
6.7	Summary of effects of proposed hydro operations and 2004 system configuration on listed ESUs.....	6-24
6.8	Summary of effects of discretionary hydro operations and expected 2010 system configuration improvements on listed ESUs	6-31
6.9	Assessment of net effect of August 30, 2004, Amended Proposed Action for most significant components. Safety-net programs reduce short-term risk of extinction for several ESUs	6-46
6.10	Proposed Action, Upper Columbia Spring Chinook, Wenatchee, Entiat, and Methow Subbasin	6-57
6.11	Proposed Action, Upper Columbia Steelhead, Wenatchee, Entiat, and Methow Subbasin.....	6-71
6.12	Proposed Conservation Measure, John Day Populations of Mid Columbia Steelhead, North Fork John Day, Middle Fork John Day, and Upper Mainstem John Day including the South Fork John Day Subbasins.....	6-77
8.1	Summary of conclusions.....	8-4

10.1	Quantitative estimates of incidental take of juvenile salmonids migrating past FCRPS projects resulting from the proposed FCRPS actions	10-2
10.2	Estimates of incidental take of adult salmonids resulting from the proposed FCRPS hydro operations	10-3
10.3	Quantitative estimates of total FCRPS passage mortality of juvenile salmonids migrating past FCRPS projects resulting from a combination of the proposed hydro operations, which include discretionary and non-discretionary operations and the existence of the dams	10-4
10.4	Estimates of total FCRPS mortality of adult salmonids resulting from a combination of the proposed hydro operations, which include discretionary and non-discretionary operations and the existence of the dams	10-5
10.5	Incidental take associated with components of the monitoring and evaluation program for the hydrosystem.....	10-6

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure

1.1	Map of the major dams in the Columbia River basin, including major facilities that make up the Federal Columbia River Power System.	1-2
5.1	Action Area.....	5-3
5.2	Simulated Columbia River flows at Bonneville Dam under the 1990 level of development and flows that would have occurred under the reference operation from October 1929 through September 1978	5-9