From: backstrommikeyb@aol.com

Sent: Friday, June 11, 2004 4:30 PM

To: BPA Public Involvement

Subject: Comment on Summer Spill Proposal

### Comment on Summer Spill Proposal

View open comment periods on http://www.bpa.gov/corporate/kc/home/comment.cfm

Michael A Backstrom Sportfisherman backstrommikeyb@aol.com 503-358-5482 1625 NE 77th Portland OR 97213

Stephan J. Wright, Administrator Bonneville Power Administration Dear Stephan Wright Please do not reduce flows on the Columbia River for the summer of 2004. Juvenile salmon are not the only ones who will benefit from sustained flows over the dams. You have seen first hand the recovery of the great salmon runs into the Columbia River. Why stop now? Let those fish live so that this region can have some resources left to call their own. Please do the right thing and keep the water flowing. Sincerely Michael A Backstrom

From:sbailey@mhbco.comSent:Thursday, June 10, 2004 12:43 PMTo:BPA Public Involvement

Subject: Comment on Summer Spill Proposal

#### Comment on Summer Spill Proposal

View open comment periods on http://www.bpa.gov/corporate/kc/home/comment.cfm

Steve Bailey Tax Payer sbailey@mhbco.com 503-709-2015 7240 SW 77th Portland Ore 97223

My name is Steve Bailey. I am a native Oregonian, businessman, outdoorsman and sports fisherman living in this beautiful region for 44 years. During this time I have experienced the bountys of returning salmon that have returned in my early years, and the decimated runs that have plagued us just a few years back. Over the past 3 years we have once again been able to enjoy bountiful returns of salmon and steelhead to the mighty Columbia River due in part to alot of peoples hard work and forward thinking ideas. These returns not only effect us on an economic level but have give me the opportunity to share quality days of fishing and recreating with my wife, son and daughter and other family memebers. If these salmon had not returned it would have been an important segement of our lives that we would have missed out on. I am writing to you today to in opposition to your proposal to reduce spill for summer migrants in the Columbia. Spill has proven over the years to be the safest and most effective way to help baby salmon migrate from their natal stream to the ocean. Years where smolts had adequate spill resulted in years of high adult returns. This years returning spring salmon forcast was revised to 40% lees than what we originally predicted. This was do to the low water non spill year of 2001. Almost half of our 4 year old fish did not return this year. We should not give up what is certain—spill--for uncertain measures and promises, especially given the dismal track record of broken promises. Summer spill reductions will impact tens of thousands of steelhead and salmon, while saving pennies on my electric bill. Our heritage species, the salmon have already paid a high enough price for hydro development in the Northwest. Surely they should not suffer further harm in order to help the BPA out of the financial mess they continue to find themselves in. Please support the citizens of the Northwest in our support for abundant salmon populations by telling BPA to spill, not kill. Sincerely, Steve Bailey 7240 SW 77th Portland Oregon 97223

From: jcbutcher@localaccess.com

Sent: Monday, June 14, 2004 1:09 PM

To: BPA Public Involvement

Subject: Comment on Summer Spill Proposal

# Comment on Summer Spill Proposal

View open comment periods on http://www.bpa.gov/corporate/kc/home/comment.cfm

Gerald Butcher

jcbutcher@localaccess.com 360.262.3860 702 north Fork Rd. Chehalis Wa. 98532

I support this amended 2004 summer spill porposal because it is a step in the right direction. I personally feel it should be expanded on, using common sense, and reduce future spills over the next several years. By doing this it seems as if everyone wins.

From: gloabou@ucinet.com

Sent: Thursday, June 10, 2004 4:23 PM

To: BPA Public Involvement

Subject: Comment on Summer Spill Proposal

#### Comment on Summer Spill Proposal

View open comment periods on http://www.bpa.gov/corporate/kc/home/comment.cfm

Steve Bruning

gloabou@ucinet.com

2901 SW Ladow

Pendleton OR 97801

Spills work. Look at the runs we have had. I know ocean conditions make or break it, but anything that we can do that will benefit our future is good. Keep up the good work.

From:jbrown@projob.comSent:Thursday, June 10, 2004 2:05 PMTo:BPA Public Involvement

Subject: Comment on Summer Spill Proposal

# Comment on Summer Spill Proposal

View open comment periods on http://www.bpa.gov/corporate/kc/home/comment.cfm

Jasun Brown Ifish.net jbrown@projob.com 503-365-0002 1432 Princess Lane N.E. Salem OR 97301 In reading the recent attempt to curtail spill levels it is known that many Salmon smolt fishing for arrive and Fell S. I.

In reading the recent attempt to curtail spill levels it is known that many Salmon smolt will die. Commercial and Sport fishing for spring and Fall Salmon is a huge economic windfall for the northwest. Curtailing spill levels will reduce sport dollars and in effect cripple our northwest economy. Summer spills must happen. Bottom line "Spill Not Kill!!!"

From:lighter1@comcast.netSent:Thursday, June 10, 2004 11:36 AMTo:BPA Public Involvement

Subject: Comment on Summer Spill Proposal

#### Comment on Summer Spill Proposal

View open comment periods on http://www.bpa.gov/corporate/kc/home/comment.cfm

Sean Brophy Ifish.net lighter1@comcast.net 503-640-5939 2389 S alpine st cornelius or 97113

Gentlemen, My name is Sean Brophy and I represent one of many people that spends over thousands dollars a year in fishing tackle, gas, food, and boat accessories to fish for columbia river Salmon and steelhead. I am writing to you today to in opposition to your proposal to reduce spill for summer migrants in the Columbia. Spill has proven over the years to be the safest and most effective way to help baby salmon migrate from their natal stream to the ocean. Years where smolts had adequate spill resulted in years of high adult returns. We should not give up what is certain—spill-for uncertain measures and promises, especiallyy given the dismal track record of broken promises. Summer spill reductions will impact tens of thousands of steelhead and salmon, while saving pennies on my electric bill. Our heritage species, the salmon have already paid a high enough price for hydro development in the Northwest. Surely they should not suffer further harm in order to help the BPA out of the financial mess they continue to find themselves in. Please support the citizens of the Northwest in our support for abundant salmon populations by telling BPA to spill, not kill. Sincerely, Sean Brophy

From: AnonymousComment@somewhere.com

**Sent:** Friday, June 11, 2004 6:51 AM

To: BPA Public Involvement

Subject: Comment on Summer Spill Proposal

#### Comment on Summer Spill Proposal

View open comment periods on http://webit2/corporate/kc/home/comment.cfm

John Brett

No E-mail Address Submitted 640-8004 1805 SW 325th Hillsboro OR 97123

I support spilling over the columbia river dams during the summertime to increase smolt survival. This fishery is one of the premier fisheries in the country and we should do all we can to preserve it. This also has great economic impact due to the increased sport fishery. We are now seeing the impact of reduced flows with the downgrading of the Columbia River spring chinook run numbers by approx. 40%. Please do whatever you can to maximize this resource. Thank you.

From: sbrat01@comcast.net

Sent: Friday, June 11, 2004 12:44 PM

To: BPA Public Involvement

Subject: Comment on Summer Spill Proposal

#### Comment on Summer Spill Proposal

View open comment periods on http://www.bpa.gov/corporate/kc/home/comment.cfm

Scott Braten

sbrat01@comcast.net

3832 Firwood Circle SE

Albany` OR 97322

Do not eliminate or reduce spill patterns this summer. If this is "necessary why don't you continue to run four boats pushing fish barges instead of reducing them as the smolt count wains.

From: branham@bpa.govSent: Thursday, June 10, 2004 12:39 PMTo: BPA Public Involvement

Subject: Comment on Summer Spill Proposal

# Comment on Summer Spill Proposal

View open comment periods on http://www.bpa.gov/corporate/kc/home/comment.cfm

Kenny branham concerned citizen branham 1-503-296-4561 614 E14 The Dalles OR 97058

We need to spill to perserve or fishing heritage in the columbia. The north West is world famous for its sport fishing. It brings a tens of thousands of Dollars to the economy. And if we let go what has been one of the most sucssesful come backs since the buffalo would be a set back that we as Americans can not have. HELP PERSERVE OR SALMON PLEASE.

From: johnmbond@comcast.net

Sent: Thursday, June 10, 2004 10:17 PM

To: BPA Public Involvement

Subject: Comment on Summer Spill Proposal

### Comment on Summer Spill Proposal

View open comment periods on http://www.bpa.gov/corporate/kc/home/comment.cfm

John Bond

johnmbond@comcast.net 5038876640 4147 SE 39th Ave #28 p or 97202

Lets keep the water flowin' boys. There is plenty of data that would suggest the spring chinook run was greatly reduced this year due to the lack of spill in 2001. The impact this causes on the sportfishing industry is substantial. The sportfishing industry generates a lot of money for Oregon and Washington and we need to ensure that it will still be there 4 years from now. Spill not kill. John Bond

From: mikey@huserintegrated.com

Sent: Thursday, June 10, 2004 1:57 PM

To: BPA Public Involvement

Subject: Comment on Summer Spill Proposal

# Comment on Summer Spill Proposal

View open comment periods on http://www.bpa.gov/corporate/kc/home/comment.cfm

Michael Bode resident mikey@huserintegrated.com 5032276688 4839 SW 39th Drive Portland OR 97221

It seems to me that reducing or eliminating dam spill further impacts salmon migration negatively. Based on just the past two years spring Columbia River salmon runs, we are looking at drastic reductions in returning fish to the Columbia Basin. While downstream tributaries have experienced record returns over the last couple of seasons, from what I can gather, returns counted upstream from Bonneville have experienced just the opposite. It may just be my interpretation of the data, but numbers really don't lie. Please be responsible and continue to work for the overall restoration of this damaged fishery. Sincerely, Michael Bode

From: stephen.a.blum@intel.com

Sent: Thursday, June 10, 2004 3:34 PM

To: BPA Public Involvement

Subject: Comment on Summer Spill Proposal

### Comment on Summer Spill Proposal

View open comment periods on http://www.bpa.gov/corporate/kc/home/comment.cfm

Stephen BLum none stephen.a.blum@intel.com 503-239-3755 2824 se 43rd ave portland or 97206

Dear BPA, I strongly urge you to not decrease summer spills. The lower than expected salmon run of 2004 is a sign that reduced spillage hurts fish runs. The fish went to sea in 2001, during a period of reduced spillage, and what happened? There were fewer than expected and the season on the Columbia was cut short. The Columbia river salmon and steelhead fishery has huge economic importance to the entire lower columbia region. Reduced runs hurts us all. Please do your part as a major player to keep the runs healthy. Let the Californians dam their rivers to generate their own electricity, we NW-ers want strong anadromous runs. Thank you

From:josey321@viclink.comSent:Thursday, June 10, 2004 3:27 PMTo:BPA Public Involvement

Subject: Comment on Summer Spill Proposal

#### Comment on Summer Spill Proposal

View open comment periods on http://www.bpa.gov/corporate/kc/home/comment.cfm

Scott Blankenship Sport fisherman &Father of two josey321@viclink.com 503-435-8071 817 ash st Dayton Or. 97114

To whom it may concern, I and my family feel it is very very nessesary to spill adequet water for the survival of smolts in the columbia river. We are taxpayers and have made it your responsibility to see to the protection of our fisheries. If your plans to not spill stay in effect we will hold you personally responsible. We will make every effort in this household to to deter ever penny we can from your power supply and also incourage everyone to do the same.Please it is imparitive that you spill adequate water for these fish. SPILL/DON'T KILL Thank-you the Blankenships

From:d\_ale@comcast.netSent:Saturday, June 12, 2004 9:21 AMTo:BPA Public Involvement

Subject: Comment on Summer Spill Proposal

#### Comment on Summer Spill Proposal

View open comment periods on http://www.bpa.gov/corporate/kc/home/comment.cfm

Dale Blanchard

d\_ale@comcast.net 5036991028 19683 Sun Circle West Linn OR 97068 Count me as opposed to any reduction in spill at Columbia River dams. Salmon recovery is vital to the economy and

Count me as opposed to any reduction in spill at Columbia River dams. Salmon recovery is vital to the economy and culture of the Pacific Northwest. We need to take every possible measure to promote the restoration of the wild runs. I will gladly pay higher electric rates if it will assist the health of anadromous fish species.

From: daveybeee@yahoo.com

Sent: Thursday, June 10, 2004 12:35 PM

To: BPA Public Involvement

Subject: Comment on Summer Spill Proposal

#### Comment on Summer Spill Proposal

View open comment periods on http://www.bpa.gov/corporate/kc/home/comment.cfm

Dave Bergeron

daveybeee@yahoo.com 253 229 5816 PO Box 64651 TAcoma WA 98464 I would like to voice my opinion that I oppose summer spill reduction. While power is important, natural resources and preservation of habitat is foremost. Save the salmon!

From:billyb@ocp.orgSent:Thursday, June 10, 2004 3:38 PMTo:BPA Public Involvement

Subject: Comment on Summer Spill Proposal

#### Comment on Summer Spill Proposal

View open comment periods on http://www.bpa.gov/corporate/kc/home/comment.cfm

billy benson ifish.net, Life Member NAHC billyb@ocp.org

po box 750

cornelius or 97113

To save the salmon, water needs to be sent over the dam. It is a fact. This years dismal returns of Spring salmon is a direct cause due to the lack of water spilt over the dams in 2001. Spill water.

From:aakatony@juno.comSent:Saturday, June 12, 2004 2:07 AMTo:BPA Public Involvement

Subject: Comment on Summer Spill Proposal

#### Comment on Summer Spill Proposal

View open comment periods on http://www.bpa.gov/corporate/kc/home/comment.cfm

Anthony Bendeck

aakatony@juno.com 360 892-1254 12308 ne 103rd ave Vancouver wa 98662

Robert Lohn, Regional Administrator NOAA-Fisheries 525 N.E. Oregon Street Portland, OR 97232 Brigadier General Wm. Grisoli U.S. Army Corps of Engineers 220 N.W. 8th Avenue Portland, OR 97209 Stephan J. Wright, Administrator Bonneville Power Administration 905 N.E. 11th Avenue Portland, OR 97208 Gentlemen, My name is Anthony Bendeck. I am a resident of Vancouver Washington. I am writing to you today in opposition to your proposal to reduce spill for summer migrants in the Columbia. Spill has proven over the years to be the safest and most effective way to help baby salmon migrate from their natal stream to the ocean. Years where smolts had adequate spill resulted in years of high adult returns. We should not give up what is certain—spill-for uncertain measures and promises, especiallyy given the dismal track record of broken promises. Summer spill reductions will impact tens of thousands of steelhead and salmon, while saving pennies on my electric bill. Our heritage species, the salmon have already paid a high enough price for hydro development in the Northwest. Surely they should not suffer further harm in order to help the BPA out of the financial mess they continue to find themselves in. Please support the citizens of the Northwest in our support for abundant salmon populations by telling BPA to spill, not kill. Sincerely, Anthony Bendeck

From:bugleman2u@yahoo.comSent:Friday, June 11, 2004 4:33 AMTo:BPA Public Involvement

Subject: Comment on Summer Spill Proposal

#### Comment on Summer Spill Proposal

View open comment periods on http://www.bpa.gov/corporate/kc/home/comment.cfm

Kevin Beckman EIT Civil bugleman2u@yahoo.com 503.356.9911 17645 SW Pheasant Ln. Aloha OR 97006

There is not enough salmon to go around adn the corps already gets it's share even if the Hydro system is operated in a manner that passes as many salmon as possible. It is obvious what happened to the brood year that would have been this years 4 year olds. No spill = no salmon. Your plan stinks and if anything should change regarding the amount of spill there should be more. NOT LESS!!!!!!! The proposed mitigation is mearly a slight of hand and is not an acceptable alternative no matter what the biological opionion is of the Biologists employed by you and your system. This is going way to far. Spill and send my smolt to the ocean alive please. That is all I ask.

From:brbeane@yahoo.comSent:Thursday, June 10, 2004 11:02 PMTo:BPA Public Involvement

Subject: Comment on Summer Spill Proposal

#### Comment on Summer Spill Proposal

View open comment periods on http://www.bpa.gov/corporate/kc/home/comment.cfm

Brent ifish.net brbeane@yahoo.com 503-262-9104 3118 NE Fremont Dr Portland Or 97220

To whom it may concern, My name is Brent Beane. I am a native Oregonian and an avid outdoorsman. I am a carpenter. I'm a registered voter and concerned citizen. I love fishing because I love fish. They are one of the best foods one can eat, not to mention the outdoor experience. The number one reason I am against the summer spill proposal is because of tens of thousands of salmon and steelhead smolts will die. Lower water levels mean higher mortality rates for smolts caused by the turbines. In fact, lower water levels will increase the water temperature. Increased water temperature means lower oxygen levels in the water. Not only will the smolts trying to go out to the ocean die from lack of oxygen, the adult fish trying to come up the river to spawn will also die from lack of oxygen. We have recently seen an example of this in southern Oregon when thousands of adult salmon were found dead along the banks when to much water was diverted for irregation. The lower water levels increased temperatures to deadly levels. Its my understanding that sustained water temperatures 70 degrees and over will kill fish. They basically suffocate. I have fished in good times and in bad since I was a boy. One thing fishermen and women have learned over the years about depleting fish stocks in our rivers is that it is preventable. The Bonneville Power Administration has a lawful and public responsibility to cultivate the growth of current and future fish runs. Not to mention a moral and ethical responsibility. As people from the northwest become more educated about the truth of what and who is liable for the decimation of our fish, evidence supports that culpability will surely subside on your shoulders. Rest assured, people will no longer be duped by political shell games to try and divert attention away from the problem. Erroneous solutions, finger pointing, and faint-hearted resolve do not inspire confidence. I urge you to make the right decision and choose to save our salmon. Thank you, Brent Beane

From: beal.cabin@cascadeaccess.com

Sent: Thursday, June 10, 2004 7:47 PM

To: BPA Public Involvement

Subject: Comment on Summer Spill Proposal

### Comment on Summer Spill Proposal

View open comment periods on http://www.bpa.gov/corporate/kc/home/comment.cfm

Roger Beal

beal.cabin@cascadeaccess.com 5036956410 42710 SE Elsner RD Sandy OR 97055

Thank you for taking the to time to reconsider the original idea of holding back the water needed to flush smolts downriver. I've seen the results the recent spills have provided regarding what I consider excellent return rates of salmon. The spills are a much better method in assisting the fish in their journey to the sea than the option of using barges or through turbines. I feel strongly the science is available to back up my last sentence. I write to you as both a sport fisherman and a consumer of the power BPA provides. As a rate payer, I do not want the very minor savings which holding back water might provide. Everyone I talk to in regard to this subject also takes the same stand. As a sport fisherman I realize many factors are involved with the successful return of salmon. We have zero control regarding the majority of these factors. We do have the ability to provide the spills needed to assist smolts downriver and I request of the BPA that they do so. Thank you.

From: dbwheat@televar.com

Sent: Thursday, June 10, 2004 8:41 PM

To: BPA Public Involvement

Subject: Comment on Summer Spill Proposal

### Comment on Summer Spill Proposal

View open comment periods on http://www.bpa.gov/corporate/kc/home/comment.cfm

Daniel Bauermeister Rural Electric Co-op Director dbwheat@televar.com (509)234-6861 P.O. Box 278 1470 Bauermeister Rd. Connell WA 99326-0278

The proposal to reduce summer spill is a step in the right direction. However, the proposal in March was a much better one. It is rediculous that we have been throwing away so much money on so few fish. It is time that BPA and the Corps of Engineers stand up to the redical fish people and use some common sense in the operation of the Columbia River system. The supporters of summer spill will never give an inch. They are afraid that the spill will be proved unnecessary and threaten their arguments for dam removal. The ratepayers of the BPA system deserve some relief from the higher rates they have incurred as a result of a succession of bad ideas and poor decisions. Even if this modest plan goes forward, I doubt that we will see a net reduction in our rates only less of an increase. A large number of the ratepayers on our system are farmers who depend on electricity for irrigation. Every rate increase imposes a hardship on them as they struggle to earn a living in an industry which has been suffering through several years of hard times. It is high time to bring some common sense to fish recovery. Most people of reasonable disposition recognize that we have squandered millions of dollars on fish recovery which have contributed nothing to fish recovery. Summer spill is just one big example.

From: kyleskillers@hotmail.com

Sent: Thursday, June 10, 2004 6:06 PM

To: BPA Public Involvement

Subject: Comment on Summer Spill Proposal

# Comment on Summer Spill Proposal

View open comment periods on http://www.bpa.gov/corporate/kc/home/comment.cfm

Kyle Battisti

kyleskillers@hotmail.com

Troutdale or Shame on you for putting profit ahead of our salmon.

From: i.barrand@comcast.net

Sent: Thursday, June 10, 2004 5:24 PM

To: BPA Public Involvement

Subject: Comment on Summer Spill Proposal

#### Comment on Summer Spill Proposal

View open comment periods on http://www.bpa.gov/corporate/kc/home/comment.cfm

ian barrand sport fisherman i.barrand@comcast.net (541) 738 8481 2550 nw. Princess #304 Corvallis OR 97330 Spill more water, SAVE OUR SALMON!!

From: bearbarger@yahoo.comSent: Friday, June 11, 2004 12:38 PMTo: BPA Public Involvement

Subject: Comment on Summer Spill Proposal

#### Comment on Summer Spill Proposal

View open comment periods on http://www.bpa.gov/corporate/kc/home/comment.cfm

Michael Barger US Citizen, Oregon resident bearbarger@yahoo.com 541-953-8635 2876 Barbados Drive Eugene OR 97408-7391

June 11, 2004 Robert Lohn, Regional Administrator NOAA-Fisheries 525 N.E. Oregon Street Portland, OR 97232 Brigadier General Wm. Grisoli U.S. Army Corps of Engineers 220 N.W. 8th Avenue Portland, OR 97209 Stephan J. Wright, Administrator Bonneville Power Administration 905 N.E. 11th Avenue Portland, OR 97208 Gentlemen, My name is Michael Barger. I am a 49 year old citizen of the United States. I have lived in Oregon for 23 years and Southeast Alaska for 4 years as an employee of Federal Forest and Land Management Agencies. I am an avid sport angler, and sport fishing is my primary leisure time activity. I look forward to Salmon fishing from my boat each spring, summer and fall. I have rarely caught any salmon, but I believe you can help to promote activities that could improve migrating fish habitat by spilling more water from dams. It is my personal opinion based on my knowledge and training in natural resource stewardship that migrating fish require spill through dams to help life cycles. I am writing to you today to in opposition to your proposal to reduce spill for summer migrants in the Columbia. Spill has proven over the years to be the safest and most effective way to help baby salmon migrate from their natal stream to the ocean. Years where smolts had adequate spill resulted in years of high adult returns. We should not give up what is certain—spill--for uncertain measures and promises, especially given the dismal track record of broken promises. Summer spill reductions will impact tens of thousands of steelhead and salmon, while saving pennies on my electric bill. Our heritage species, the salmon have already paid a high enough price for hydro development in the Northwest. Surely, they should not suffer further harm in order to help the BPA out of the financial mess they continue to find themselves in. Please support the citizens of the Northwest in our support for abundant salmon populations by telling BPA to spill, not kill. Sincerely, Michael B Barger 2876 Barbados Drive Eugene, OR 97408-7391 (541) 953-8635

From:dbarden@bbec.orgSent:Thursday, June 10, 2004 11:31 AMTo:BPA Public Involvement

Subject: Comment on Summer Spill Proposal

#### Comment on Summer Spill Proposal

View open comment periods on http://www.bpa.gov/corporate/kc/home/comment.cfm

David Barden

dbarden@bbec.org 509-659-1700 306 W 10th Ave Ritzville WA 99169 The current plan to reduce summer spill is a step in the right direction however the cost to the region is still too high. The anticipated cost is over \$1 million per fish, this is too high. Please reduce or eliminate summer spill for the purpo

The anticipated cost is over \$1 million per fish, this is too high. Please reduce or eliminate summer spill for the purpose of saving fish.

From: obalmer@aol.com

Sent: Monday, June 14, 2004 4:54 PM

To: BPA Public Involvement

Subject: Comment on Summer Spill Proposal

#### Comment on Summer Spill Proposal

View open comment periods on http://www.bpa.gov/corporate/kc/home/comment.cfm

Carolyn Balmer Retired Weyerhaeuser Employee obalmer@aol.com (253) 845-5133 918 21st St. SE Puyallup WA 98372 This proposal is a start, but doesn't go far enough. It is critical that the federal agencies expand this approach and reduce spill further in 2005, 2006 and beyond. We need to be cost aware as we implement actions to help fish.

From: tballard@wrec2.com

Sent: Monday, June 14, 2004 8:22 AM

To: BPA Public Involvement

Subject: Comment on Summer Spill Proposal

#### Comment on Summer Spill Proposal

View open comment periods on http://www.bpa.gov/corporate/kc/home/comment.cfm

Thad S. Ballard Wells Rural Electric Company tballard@wrec2.com (775) 752 - 2363 95 Clover Valley Road Wells Nevada 89835

I want to see a reduction in summer spill and a review of spending decisions regarding salmon recovery. The dollars being spent to recover such a limited number of salmon seem unwarranted. Couldn't equal numbers be recovered more effectively through other, less expensive methods?

From:mballard@bbec.orgSent:Thursday, June 10, 2004 12:45 PMTo:BPA Public Involvement

Subject: Comment on Summer Spill Proposal

# Comment on Summer Spill Proposal

View open comment periods on http://www.bpa.gov/corporate/kc/home/comment.cfm

Mike Ballard Big Bend Electric Coop mballard@bbec.org 509 265-4221 PO Box 270 Mesa WA 99343 The asymptotic leaf

The current plan to reduce summer spill is a step in the right direction however the cost to the region is still too high. The anticipated cost is over \$1 million per fish, this is too high. Please reduce or eliminate summer spill for the purpose of saving fish.

Sent: Monday, June 14, 2004 7:50 PM

To: BPA Public Involvement

Subject: Comment on Summer Spill Proposal

### Comment on Summer Spill Proposal

View open comment periods on http://www.bpa.gov/corporate/kc/home/comment.cfm

Robert Baldwin Retired baldwin@tdn.com (360) 636-4575 3027 Crestline Pl. Longview WA 98632

Dear Sirs, I support your ammended plan for adjusted water spills over dams this summer. BPA power rates have increased over40% recently with both homeowners and industry suffering as a result. This popasal will help alleviate the burden to the ratepayers and still provide protection for our fish

From: bakerjud@lclark.eduSent: Thursday, June 10, 2004 8:39 AMTo: BPA Public Involvement

Subject: Comment on Summer Spill Proposal

#### Comment on Summer Spill Proposal

View open comment periods on http://www.bpa.gov/corporate/kc/home/comment.cfm

Shems Fisherman bakerjud@lclark.edu 503-636-1897 12375 Mt. Jefferson Terr. #3M Lake Oswego OR 97035

Robert Lohn, Regional Administrator NOAA-Fisheries 525 N.E. Oregon Street Portland, OR 97232 Brigadier General Wm. Grisoli U.S. Army Corps of Engineers 220 N.W. 8th Avenue Portland, OR 97209 Stephan J. Wright, Administrator Bonneville Power Administration 905 N.E. 11th Avenue Portland, OR 97208 To Whom It May Concern, My name is Shems Baker-Jud. I am an avid fisherman and I spend time and money pursuing the symbol of the Northwest- salmon. I am writing to you today in opposition to your proposal to reduce spill for summer migrants in the Columbia. Spill has proven over the years to be the safest and most effective way to help baby salmon migrate from their natal stream to the ocean. Years where smolts had adequate spill resulted in years of high adult returns. We should not give up what is certain spill for uncertain measures and promises, especially given the dismal track record of broken promises. Summer spill reductions will impact tens of thousands of steelhead and salmon, while saving pennies on my electric bill. Our heritage species, the salmon have already paid a high enough price for hydro development in the Northwest. Surely they should not suffer further harm in order to help the BPA out of the financial mess they continue to find themselves in. Please support the citizens of the Northwest in our support for abundant salmon populations by telling BPA to spill, not kill. Sincerely, Shems Baker-Jud

From:bakers46@juno.comSent:Monday, June 14, 2004 7:19 AM

To: BPA Public Involvement

Subject: Comment on Summer Spill Proposal

### Comment on Summer Spill Proposal

View open comment periods on http://www.bpa.gov/corporate/kc/home/comment.cfm

JoAnne Baker Cowlitz PUD Ratepayer bakers46@juno.com 360-636-5968 3545 Fairway Lane Longview WA 98632

Please, please consider the financial impact to the electrical rate payers with this decision. It is my understanding that curtailing the spill for the months of July and August would be a net result savings of \$75 million. Cowlitz County is hurting and needs to save......and curtailing for these two months would not be injurious to the salmon recovery. Thank you. JoAnne Baker Longview, Washington

From:baycity@pacifier.comSent:Friday, June 11, 2004 7:02 AMTo:BPA Public Involvement

Subject: Comment on Summer Spill Proposal

#### Comment on Summer Spill Proposal

View open comment periods on http://www.bpa.gov/corporate/kc/home/comment.cfm

Gary Baker None baycity@pacifier.com 503-377-2757 6315 Seattle Street Bay City or 97107

I just wanted to send you a comment to let you folks know how inportant it is to allow the escapement of salmon/steehead smolts in the summer months. I realize that the dams are only a part of a salmons long journey but during the low water years, the chance of survival is reduce if water is held back as you well know. Let the water Flow Dudes!! Thanks for reading... Gary Baker

From: AnonymousComment@somewhere.com

**Sent:** Friday, June 11, 2004 8:27 AM

**To:** BPA Public Involvement

Subject: Comment on Summer Spill Proposal

### Comment on Summer Spill Proposal

View open comment periods on http://webit2/corporate/kc/home/comment.cfm

No E-mail Address Submitted

My name is Brad Baker, I am an active outdoorsman who, like so many, inject hundreds if not thousands of dollars into our local economies for the privledge of salmon and steelhead fishing. I also feel strongly about this proposal. I am writing to you today to in opposition to your proposal to reduce spill for summer migrants in the Columbia. Spill has proven over the years to be the safest and most effective way to help baby salmon migrate from their natal stream to the ocean. Years where smolts had adequate spill resulted in years of high adult returns. We should not give up what is certain—spill--for uncertain measures and promises, especiallyy given the dismal track record of broken promises. Summer spill reductions will impact tens of thousands of steelhead and salmon, while saving pennies on my electric bill. Our heritage species, the salmon have already paid a high enough price for hydro development in the Northwest. Surely they should not suffer further harm in order to help the BPA out of the financial mess they continue to find themselves in. Please support the citizens of the Northwest in our support for abundant salmon populations by telling BPA to spill, not kill. Sincerely, Brad Baker

From: lbyerly@msn.com

Sent: Thursday, June 10, 2004 10:15 AM

To: BPA Public Involvement

Subject: Comment on Summer Spill Proposal

## Comment on Summer Spill Proposal

View open comment periods on http://www.bpa.gov/corporate/kc/home/comment.cfm

Shane Byerly Oregon Resident, Ifish member Ibyerly@msn.com 503-868-7414 19538 SE Grand Island Loop Dayton OR 97114

I am opposed to your proposed reduction to summer water spillage practices on the Columbia River, Past practices have insured the survival of smolts as they migrate down river. These fish have a vast economic impact on Oregon, Washington and Idaho residents. As a sports fisherman I place a high value on these fish. Please do not cut the water off.