adopted by voluntary consensus standards bodies.

This proposed rule does not use technical standards. Therefore, we did not consider the use of voluntary consensus standards.

#### **Environment**

We have analyzed this proposed rule under Commandant Instruction M16475.1D, which guides the Coast Guard in complying with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA) (42 U.S.C. 4321-4370f), and have concluded that there are not factors in this case that would limit the use of a categorical exclusion under section 2.B.2 of the Instruction. Therefore, this rule is categorically excluded, under figure 2-1, paragraph (34)(h), of the Instruction, from further environmental documentation. Under figure 2-1, paragraph (34)(h), of the Instruction, an "Environmental Analysis Check List" and a "Categorical Exclusion Determination" are not required for this rule.

## List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 100

Marine safety, Navigation (water), Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Waterways.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard proposes to amend 33 CFR part 100, as follows:

## **PART 100—MARINE EVENTS**

1. The authority citation for Part 100 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 33 U.S.C. 1233; Department of Homeland Security Delegation No. 0170.1

2. From 10 a.m. until 5 p.m. on October 10, 2004, add temporary § 100.35T–07–099 to read as follows:

## § 100.35T-07-099 World Championship Super Boat Race; Deerfield Beach, Florida.

(a) Regulated areas. (1) The regulated area encompasses all waters located inside of a line connecting the following positions located offshore of Deerfield Beach, Florida:

Point 1: 26°17′08″ N, 080°04′41″ W, Point 2: 26°17′06″ N, 080°04′17″ W, Point 3: 26°19′49″ N, 080°04′16″ W, Point 4: 26°19′49″ N, 080°03′48″ W,

All coordinates referenced use Datum: NAD 1983.

(2) The spectator area encompasses all waters located within a box bounded by the following positions located offshore of Deerfield Beach, Florida:

Point 1: 26°17′07″ N, 080°04′26″ W, Point 2: 26°17′06″ N, 080°04′17″ W, Point 3: 26°19′49″ N, 080°03′57″ W,

Point 3: 26°19′49″ N, 080°03′57″ W, Point 4: 26°19′49″ N, 080°03′48″ W.

All coordinates referenced use Datum NAD: 1983.

(b) Coast Guard Patrol Commander. The Coast Guard Patrol Commander is a commissioned, warrant, or petty officer of the Coast Guard who has been designated by Commanding Officer, Coast Guard Sector Miami, Florida.

(c) Special Local Regulations. From 10 a.m. until 5 p.m. on October 10, 2004, non-participant vessels are prohibited from entering the regulated area unless authorized by the Coast Guard Patrol Commander. Spectator craft may remain in the designated spectator area but must follow the directions of the Coast Guard Patrol Commander. The Coast Guard Patrol Commander can be contacted on VHF marine band radio, channel 16.

(d) *Dates:* This section is effective from 10 a.m. until 5 p.m. on October 10, 2004.

Dated: August 30, 2004.

#### D.B. Peterman,

Rear Admiral, U.S. Coast Guard, Commander, Seventh Coast Guard District.

[FR Doc. 04–20456 Filed 9–8–04; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 4910–15–M** 

## **DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE**

#### **Forest Service**

36 CFR Part 294

RIN 0596-AC10

## Special Areas; State Petitions for Inventoried Roadless Area Management

**AGENCY:** Forest Service, USDA. **ACTION:** Proposed rule; extension of public comment period.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the public comment period for the proposed rule for Special Areas; State Petitions for Inventoried Roadless Area Management, published in the **Federal Register** on July 16, 2004 (69 FR 42636), is being extended.

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before November 15, 2004.

ADDRESSES: Send written comments by mail to: Content Analysis Team, Attn: Roadless State Petitions, USDA Forest Service, P.O. Box 221090, Salt Lake City, UT 84122; by facsimile to (801) 517–1014; or by e-mail at statepetitionroadless@fs.fed.us. If you intend to submit comments in batched e-mails from the same server, please be aware that electronic security safeguards on Forest Service and Department of Agriculture computer systems for prevention of commercial spamming may limit batched e-mail access. However, the Forest Service is

interested in receiving all comments on this proposed rule. Therefore, please call (801) 517-1020 to facilitate transfer of comments in batched e-mail messages. Comments also may be submitted via the World Wide Web/ Internet Web site http:// www.regulations.gov. Please note that all comments, including names and addresses when provided, will be placed in the record and will be available for public inspection and copying. The agency cannot confirm receipt of comments. Individuals wishing to inspect the comments should call Jody Sutton at (801) 517-1023 to schedule an appointment.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Dave Barone, Planning Specialist, Ecosystem Management Coordination Staff, Forest Service, USDA, (202) 205– 1019

Dated: September 2, 2004.

Dale N. Bosworth,

Chief.

[FR Doc. 04–20370 Filed 9–8–04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-11-P

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

## 40 CFR Part 52

[VA155-5081b; FRL-7809-4]

Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Virginia; NO<sub>X</sub> RACT Determinations for Two Individual Sources

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection

Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA proposes to approve the State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision submitted by the Commonwealth of Virginia for the purpose of determining the reasonably available control technology (RACT) for the control of nitrogen oxides (NO<sub>X</sub>) from two individual sources located in Fairfax County, Virginia; namely, the Central Intelligence Agency, and the National Reconnaissance Office. In the Final Rules section of this Federal Register, EPA is approving the Commonwealth's SIP submittal as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial submittal and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to this action, no further activity is contemplated. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule