However, extension of the comment deadlines to the dates that PCIA requests could unduly delay the Commission's determination of the issues, in view of their significance to commenting parties and the public. Therefore, it is concluded that modifying PCIA's request for the extension, as specified below, is in the public interest.

Ordering Clause

Accordingly, it is ordered, pursuant to § 1.46 of the Commission's rules regarding the time for filing comments, that the request of PCIA is granted to the extent indicated. The comment deadline in the CPP proceeding is extended to the close of business on September 17, 1999, and the reply comment deadline is extended to the close of business on October 18, 1999.

Federal Communications Commission.

Kris Monteith,

Chief, Policy Division, Wireless Telecommunications Bureau.

[FR Doc. 99–21272 Filed 8–16–99; 8:45 am]

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

48 CFR Part 536

[GSAR Notice 5-421]

RIN 3090-AH07

General Services Administration Acquisition Regulation; Clarification on the Use of Selection Criteria for Architect-Engineer Procurements

AGENCY: Office of Acquisition Policy, GSA.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The General Services Administration proposes to amend the GSA Acquisition Regulation (GSAR) to provide additional guidance concerning the use of selection criteria for Architect-Engineer procurements.

DATES: Comments should be submitted in writing to address shown below on or before October 18, 1999.

ADDRESSES: Mail comments to General Services Administration, Office of Acquisition Policy, GSA Acquisition Policy Division (MVP), 1800 F Street, NW, Room 4027, Washington, DC 20405

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Cecelia L. Davis, GSA Acquisition Policy Division, (202) 219–0202.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

A. Background

The GSAR is proposed to amended to supplement the language provided in the FAR on the use of selection criteria for Architect-Engineer procurements. This proposed rule would eliminate unnecessary restrictions to competition in GSA's use of geographic limitations in the evaluation process for Architect-Engineer procurements.

B. Executive Order 12866

This regulatory action was not subject to Office of Management and Budget review under Executive Order 12866, dated September 30, 1993, and is not a major rule under 5 U.S.C. 804.

C. Regulatory Flexibility Act

This proposed rule is not expected to have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities within the meaning of the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 601, et seq.

D. Paperwork Reduction Act

The Paperwork Reduction Act does not apply because the proposed changes to the GSAR do not impose recordkeeping or information collection requirements, or otherwise collect information from offerors, contractors, or members of the public that require approval of the Office of Management and Budget under 44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.

List of Subjects in 48 CFR Part 536

Government Procurement.

Accordingly, 48 CFR part 536 is proposed to be amended as follows:

1. The authority citation for 48 CFR Part 536 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 40 U.S.C. 486(c).

PART 536—CONSTRUCTION AND ARCHITECT-ENGINEER CONTRACTS

2. Part 536 is proposed to be amended by adding Subpart 536.6 to read as follows:

Subpart 536.6—Architect—Engineer Services

536.602 Selection of firms for architectengineer contracts.

536.602-1 Selection criteria.

- (a) FAR 36.602–1 requires that agencies include "location in the general geographical area of the project and knowledge of locality of the project" as one of several selection criteria.
- (1) This evaluation factor shall not be used as a minimum qualification requirement for determining whether a firm is eligible to compete for a proposed project.

- (2) This factor shall not exceed 5 percent of the total weight of all evaluation criteria. In order to receive the maximum score for this factor, the architect-engineer firm(s) must demonstrate that at least 35 percent of the architect-engineer contract services (based on the total contract price) will be accomplished with the geographical boundaries established for the project.
- (3) Under an approved class deviation from FAR 36.602–1(a)(5), this factor does not apply to projects determined by the Chief Architect of GSA to be of national significance.
- (b) The public announcement (Commerce Business Daily notice) for a proposed project should identify the general geographical area of the project by either:
- (1) A radius in miles or other appropriate unit of measure; or,
- (2) The Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area, county(ies), state(s) surrounding the project, or other appropriate geographic boundaries.
- (c) Architect-engineer selections under the Design Excellence Program shall apply the geographical evaluation criteria in the second phase.
- (d) The public announcement (Commerce Business Daily notice) may require the architect-engineer of record to establish a significant production capability within the general geographical area of the project within 45 calendar days after contract award.

Dated: July 28, 1999.

Ida M. Ustad,

Deputy Associate Administrator for Acquisition Policy.

[FR Doc. 99-21319 Filed 8-16-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6820-61-M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

50 CFR Part 226

[I.D. No. 120197A]

Designated Critical Habitat; Reopening of Comment Period and Notice of Public Hearing on Proposed Revision of Critical Habitat for Snake River Spring/Summer Chinook Salmon

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Commerce.

ACTION: Public hearing and re-opening of public comment period.

SUMMARY: NMFS is re-opening the public comment period until September

8, 1999, and announcing a public hearing on a proposed regulation to revise critical habitat for Snake River spring/summer chinook salmon (Oncorhynchus tshawytscha).

DATES: The public hearing date is Tuesday, August 31, 1999, 6:30 p.m. to 9:00 p.m local time. Written comments on the proposed rule must be received on or before September 8, 1999.

ADDRESSES: The hearing will be held at the Natural Resource Center, Bureau of Land Management, 1387 South Binnell Way, Boise, Idaho. Written comments should be sent to: Garth Griffin, NMFS, Protected Resources Division, 525 NE Oregon St. - Suite 500, Portland, OR 97232–2737.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Garth Griffin at (503) 231–2005, or Chris Mobley at (301) 713–1401.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On June 2, 1999, NMFS published a proposal to revise critical habitat for threatened Snake River spring/summer chinook salmon (64 FR 29618). The proposed revision would specifically exclude areas upstream of Napias Creek Falls (located on a tributary to Panther Creek, in Lemhi County, Idaho) as critical habitat for the species. NMFS has received a request from the Shoshone-Bannock Tribes for a public hearing on the proposal. The agency finds the request to be reasonable and, hereby, announces a public hearing and re-opens the comment period until September 8, 1999, to allow time to conduct the hearing and solicit additional public comment.

NMFS is soliciting information, comments and/or recommendations on any aspect of this proposal from all concerned parties (see ADDRESSES);

comments must be received by September 8, 1999. In particular, NMFS is requesting any data, maps, or reports describing areas of the Napias Creek watershed that currently or historically supported chinook salmon populations and that may require special management considerations. NMFS will consider all information received before reaching a final decision.

Special Accommodations

This hearing is physically accessible to people with disabilities. Requests for sign language interpretation or other aids should be directed to Garth Griffin (see ADDRESSES) by August 24, 1999.

Dated: August 9, 1999.

Art Jeffers,

Acting Director, Office of Protected Resources, National Marine Fisheries Service. [FR Doc. 99–21323 Filed 8–16–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–22–F