In the Senate of the United States,

April 6, 2001.

Resolved, That the resolution from the House of Representatives (H. Con. Res. 83) entitled "Concurrent resolution establishing the congressional budget for the United States Government for fiscal year 2002, revising the congressional budget for the United States Government for fiscal year 2001, and setting forth appropriate budgetary levels for each of fiscal years 2003 through 2011.", do pass with the following

AMENDMENT:

Strike out all after the resolving clause and insert:

- 1 SECTION 1. CONCURRENT RESOLUTION ON THE BUDGET
- 2 FOR FISCAL YEAR 2002.
- 3 (a) Declaration.—Congress determines and declares
- 4 that the concurrent resolution on the budget for fiscal year
- 5 2001 is revised and replaced and that this resolution is the
- 6 concurrent resolution on the budget for fiscal year 2002 in-
- 7 cluding the appropriate budgetary levels for fiscal years

- 1 2003 through 2011 as authorized by section 301 of the Con-
- 2 gressional Budget Act of 1974 (2 U.S.C. 632).
- 3 (b) Table of Contents.—The table of contents for
- 4 this concurrent resolution is as follows:
 - Sec. 1. Concurrent resolution on the budget for fiscal year 2002.

TITLE I—RECOMMENDED LEVELS AND AMOUNTS

- Sec. 101. Recommended levels and amounts.
- Sec. 102. Major functional categories.
- Sec. 103. Reconciliation of revenue reductions in the Senate.

TITLE II—BUDGET ENFORCEMENT AND RULEMAKING

- Sec. 201. Restrictions on advance appropriations.
- Sec. 202. Mechanism for implementing increase of fiscal year 2002 discretionary spending limits.
- Sec. 203. Reserve fund for prescription drugs and medicare reform in the Senate.
- Sec. 204. Application and effect of changes in allocations and aggregates.
- Sec. 205. Exercise of rulemaking powers.
- Sec. 206. Reserve fund for medicare payments to home health agencies.
- Sec. 207. Limitation on consideration of amendments under reconciliation and a budget resolution.
- Sec. 208. Reserve fund for the payment of retired pay and compensation to disabled military retirees.
- Sec. 209. Reserve fund for refundable tax credits.
- Sec. 210. Additional revenue reductions.
- Sec. 211. Increase funding for IDEA.
- Sec. 212. Reserve fund for Family Opportunity Act.
- Sec. 213. Reserve fund for veterans' education.
- Sec. 214. Reserve fund for Payments in Lieu of Taxes and Refuge Revenue Sharina.

TITLE III—MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS

- Sec. 301. Sense of the Senate on debt reduction.
- Sec. 302. Sense of the Senate on AIDS and other infectious diseases.
- Sec. 303. Sense of the Senate on consolidated health centers.
- Sec. 304. Funding for Department of Justice programs for State and local law enforcement assistance.
- Sec. 305. Sense of the Senate regarding United States Coast Guard fiscal year 2002 funding.
- Sec. 306. Strengthening our national food safety infrastructure.
- Sec. 307. Sense of the Senate with respect to increasing funds for renewable energy research and development.

1 TITLE I—RECOMMENDED 2 LEVELS AND AMOUNTS

2	LEVELS AND AMOUNTS
3	SEC. 101. RECOMMENDED LEVELS AND AMOUNTS.
4	The following budgetary levels are appropriate for the
5	fiscal years 2001 through 2011:
6	(1) FEDERAL REVENUES.—For purposes of the enforce-
7	ment of this resolution—
8	(A) The recommended levels of Federal revenues
9	are as follows:
10	Fiscal year 2001: \$1,630,290,000,000.
11	Fiscal year 2002: \$1,649,022,700,000.
12	Fiscal year 2003: \$1,727,194,000,000.
13	Fiscal year 2004: \$1,797,038,000,000.
14	Fiscal year 2005: \$1,859,697,000,000.
15	Fiscal year 2006: \$1,921,777,000,000.
16	Fiscal year 2007: \$2,002,737,000,000.
17	Fiscal year 2008: \$2,102,694,000,000.
18	Fiscal year 2009: \$2,215,060,000,000.
19	Fiscal year 2010: \$2,329,509,000,000.
20	Fiscal year 2011: \$2,468,611,000,000.
21	(B) The amounts by which the aggregate levels of
22	Federal revenues should be reduced are as follows:
23	Fiscal year 2001: \$172,000,000.
24	Fiscal year 2002: \$54,465,300,000.
25	Fiscal year 2003: \$54,917,000,000.

```
1
                   Fiscal year 2004: $67,297,000,000.
 2
                   Fiscal year 2005: $90,073,000,000.
                   Fiscal year 2006: $117,806,000,000.
 3
 4
                   Fiscal year 2007: $133,018,000,000.
 5
                   Fiscal year 2008: $140,116,000,000.
 6
                   Fiscal year 2009: $145,056,000,000.
 7
                   Fiscal year 2010: $159,793,000,000.
 8
                   Fiscal year 2011: $159,282,000,000.
 9
         (2) NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY.—For purposes of the
10
    enforcement of this resolution, the appropriate levels of total
11
    new budget authority are as follows:
12
                   Fiscal year 2001: $1,623,518,000,000.
13
                   Fiscal year 2002: $1,541,257,700,000.
14
                   Fiscal year 2003: $1,698,092,000,000.
15
                   Fiscal year 2004: $1,758,495,000,000.
16
                   Fiscal year 2005: $1,831,608,000,000.
17
                   Fiscal year 2006: $1,883,077,000,000.
18
                   Fiscal year 2007: $1,958,068,000,000.
19
                   Fiscal year 2008: $2,042,288,000,000.
20
                   Fiscal year 2009: $2,124,509,000,000.
21
                   Fiscal year 2010: $2,212,488,000,000.
22
                   Fiscal year 2011: $2,308,331,000,000.
23
         (3) BUDGET OUTLAYS.—For purposes of the enforce-
    ment of this resolution, the appropriate levels of total budget
    outlays are as follows:
25
```

```
1
                  Fiscal year 2001: $1,575,136,000,000.
 2
                  Fiscal year 2002: $1,484,725,700,000.
 3
                  Fiscal year 2003: $1,662,634,000,000.
                  Fiscal year 2004: $1,723,585,000,000.
 4
 5
                  Fiscal year 2005: $1,798,258,000,000.
 6
                   Fiscal year 2006: $1,846,533,000,000.
 7
                   Fiscal year 2007: $1,918,166,000,000.
 8
                  Fiscal year 2008: $2,005,038,000,000.
 9
                  Fiscal year 2009: $2,088,169,000,000.
10
                  Fiscal year 2010: $2,177,099,000,000.
11
                  Fiscal year 2011: $2,271,614,000,000.
12
         (4) Surpluses.—For purposes of the enforcement of
13
    this resolution, the amounts of the surpluses are as follows:
14
                  Fiscal year 2001: $55,154,000,000.
15
                  Fiscal year 2002: $189,691,000,000.
16
                  Fiscal year 2003: $75,106,000,000.
17
                  Fiscal year 2004: $73,343,000,000.
18
                  Fiscal year 2005: $61,379,000,000.
19
                  Fiscal year 2006: $75,284,000,000.
20
                  Fiscal year 2007: $84,511,000,000.
21
                  Fiscal year 2008: $97,596,000,000.
22
                  Fiscal year 2009: $127,031,000,000.
23
                  Fiscal year 2010: $152,450,000,000.
24
                  Fiscal year 2011: $197,037,000,000.
```

```
(5) Public debt.—The appropriate levels of the pub-
 1
 2
   lic debt are as follows:
 3
                  Fiscal year 2001: $5,635,478,000,000.
 4
                  Fiscal year 2002: $5,550,044,000,000.
 5
                  Fiscal year 2003: $5,589,859,000,000.
 6
                   Fiscal year 2004: $5,632,365,000,000.
 7
                   Fiscal year 2005: $5,656,725,000,000.
 8
                   Fiscal year 2006: $5,696,513,000,000.
 9
                  Fiscal year 2007: $5,723,461,000,000.
10
                  Fiscal year 2008: $5,735,907,000,000.
11
                  Fiscal year 2009: $5,841,013,000,000.
12
                  Fiscal year 2010: $6,159,768,000,000.
13
                  Fiscal year 2011: $6,496,911,000,000.
14
         (6) DEBT HELD BY THE PUBLIC.—The appropriate
15
    levels of the debt held by the public are as follows:
16
                  Fiscal year 2001: $3,217,990,000,000.
17
                  Fiscal year 2002: $2,870,497,000,000.
18
                  Fiscal year 2003: $2,625,696,000,000.
19
                  Fiscal year 2004: $2,369,361,000,000.
20
                  Fiscal year 2005: $2,074,962,000,000.
21
                  Fiscal year 2006: $1,775,373,000,000.
22
                  Fiscal year 2007: $1,446,148,000,000.
23
                  Fiscal year 2008: $1,082,849,000,000.
24
                  Fiscal year 2009: $791,970,000,000.
25
                  Fiscal year 2010: $694,470,000,000.
```

1	Fiscal year 2011: \$594,370,000,000.
2	(7) Social Security.—
3	(A) Social security revenues.—For
4	purposes of Senate enforcement under section
5	311 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 (2
6	U.S.C. 642), the amounts of revenues of the Fed-
7	eral Old-Age and Survivors Insurance Trust
8	Fund and the Federal Disability Insurance
9	Trust Fund are as follows:
10	Fiscal year 2001: \$504,109,000,000.
11	Fiscal year 2002: \$532,308,000,000.
12	Fiscal year 2003: \$560,938,000,000.
13	Fiscal year 2004: \$588,674,000,000.
14	Fiscal year 2005: \$620,060,000,000.
15	Fiscal year 2006: \$649,221,000,000.
16	Fiscal year 2007: \$679,935,000,000.
17	Fiscal year 2008: \$712,454,000,000.
18	Fiscal year 2009: \$746,439,000,000.
19	Fiscal year 2010: \$782,029,000,000.
20	Fiscal year 2011: \$819,185,000,000.
21	(B) Social security outlays.—For pur-
22	poses of Senate enforcement under section 311 of
23	the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 (2 U.S.C.
24	642), the amounts of outlays of the Federal Old-
25	Age and Survivors Insurance Trust Fund and

1	the Federal Disability Insurance Trust Fund are
2	as follows:
3	Fiscal year 2001: \$343,562,000,000.
4	Fiscal year 2002: \$356,786,000,000.
5	Fiscal year 2003: \$369,939,000,000.
6	Fiscal year 2004: \$383,133,000,000.
7	Fiscal year 2005: \$395,765,000,000.
8	Fiscal year 2006: \$408,189,000,000.
9	Fiscal year 2007: \$420,714,000,000.
10	Fiscal year 2008: \$433,784,000,000.
11	Fiscal year 2009: \$449,872,000,000.
12	Fiscal year 2010: \$467,368,000,000.
13	Fiscal year 2011: \$485,551,000,000.
14	(C) Social security administrative ex-
15	PENSES.—In the Senate, the amounts of new
16	budget authority and budget outlays of the Fed-
17	eral Old-Age and Survivors Insurance Trust
18	Fund and the Federal Disability Insurance
19	Trust Fund for administrative expenses are as
20	follows:
21	Fiscal year 2001:
22	(A) New budget authority,
23	\$3,431,000,000.
24	(B) Outlays, \$3,371,000,000.
25	Fiscal year 2002:

1	(A)	New	budget	authority,
2	\$3,501,0	00,000.		
3	(B)	Outlays,	\$3,456,000	,000.
4	Fiscal ye	ear 2003:		
5	(A)	New	budget	authority,
6	\$3,499,0	00,000.		
7	(B)	Outlays,	\$3,478,000	,000.
8	Fiscal ye	ear 2004:		
9	(A)	New	budget	authority,
10	\$3,599,0	00,000.		
11	(B)	Outlays,	\$3,554,000	,000.
12	Fiscal ye	ear 2005:		
13	(A)	New	budget	authority,
14	\$3,699,0	00,000.		
15	(B)	Outlays,	\$3,647,000	,000.
16	Fiscal ye	ear 2006:		
17	(A)	New	budget	authority,
18	\$3,808,0	00,000.		
19	(B)	Outlays,	\$3,753,000	,000.
20	Fiscal ye	ear 2007:		
21	(A)	New	budget	authority,
22	\$3,909,0	00,000.		
23	(B)	Outlays,	\$3,854,000	,000.
24	Fiscal ye	ear 2008:		

```
1
                            (A)
                                   New
                                          budget
                                                    authority,
 2
                       $4,011,000,000.
 3
                            (B) Outlays, $3,955,000,000.
                       Fiscal year 2009:
 4
 5
                            (A)
                                   New
                                          budget
                                                    authority,
 6
                       $4,113,000,000.
 7
                            (B) Outlays, $4,057,000,000.
 8
                       Fiscal year 2010:
 9
                                  New
                            (A)
                                          budget
                                                    authority,
10
                       $4,178,000,000.
                            (B) Outlays, $4,125,000,000.
11
                       Fiscal year 2011:
12
13
                            (A)
                                   New
                                          budget
                                                    authority,
14
                       $4,349,000,000.
15
                            (B) Outlays, $4,285,000,000.
    SEC. 102. MAJOR FUNCTIONAL CATEGORIES.
17
         Congress determines and declares that the appropriate
18 levels of new budget authority, budget outlays, new direct
   loan obligations, and new primary loan guarantee commit-
   ments for fiscal years 2002 through 2011 for each major
21
   functional category are:
22
         (1) National Defense (050):
23
             Fiscal year 2001:
                            New
24
                  (A)
                                       budget
                                                    authority,
25
              $310,328,000,000.
```

```
(B) Outlays, $300,591,000,000.
 1
 2
             Fiscal year 2002:
 3
                  (A)
                            New
                                       budget
                                                    authority,
 4
              $334,513,500,000.
                  (B) Outlays, $326,812,500,000.
 5
             Fiscal year 2003:
 6
 7
                  (A)
                            New
                                       budget
                                                    authority,
 8
              $333,428,000,000.
                  (B) Outlays, $325,703,000,000.
 9
10
             Fiscal year 2004:
11
                  (A)
                            New
                                       budget
                                                    authority,
             $342,728,000,000.
12
13
                  (B) Outlays, $334,198,000,000.
             Fiscal year 2005:
14
15
                  (A)
                            New
                                       budget
                                                    authority,
16
              $352,292,000,000.
17
                  (B) Outlays, $347,283,000,000.
18
             Fiscal year 2006:
19
                  (A)
                            New
                                       budget
                                                    authority,
20
              $362,163,000,000.
21
                  (B) Outlays, $354,639,000,000.
22
             Fiscal year 2007:
23
                  (A)
                            New
                                       budget
                                                    authority,
24
              $372,279,000,000.
25
                  (B) Outlays, $361,964,000,000.
```

```
1
              Fiscal year 2008:
 2
                   (A)
                            New
                                        budget
                                                     authority,
 3
              $382,774,000,000.
 4
                   (B) Outlays, $375,662,000,000.
             Fiscal year 2009:
 5
 6
                   (A)
                            New
                                        budget
                                                     authority,
 7
              $393,559,000,000.
 8
                   (B) Outlays, $386,546,000,000.
 9
              Fiscal year 2010:
10
                   (A)
                            New
                                        budget
                                                     authority,
11
              $404,547,000,000.
                  (B) Outlays, $397,628,000,000.
12
13
              Fiscal year 2011:
14
                   (A)
                                        budget
                                                     authority,
                            New
15
              $416,308,000,000.
16
                   (B) Outlays, $409,251,000,000.
17
         (2) International Affairs (150):
18
              Fiscal year 2001:
19
                   (A) New budget authority, $22,424,000,000.
20
                   (B) Outlays, $19,670,000,000.
21
              Fiscal year 2002:
22
                   (A) New budget authority, $24,116,000,000.
23
                   (B) Outlays, $19,793,000,000.
24
              Fiscal year 2003:
25
                   (A) New budget authority, $24,405,000,000.
```

1	(B) Outlays, \$20,414,000,000.
2	Fiscal year 2004:
3	(A) New budget authority, \$24,543,000,000.
4	(B) Outlays, \$20,469,000,000.
5	Fiscal year 2005:
6	(A) New budget authority, \$25,417,000,000.
7	(B) Outlays, \$20,830,000,000.
8	Fiscal year 2006:
9	(A) New budget authority, \$26,215,000,000.
10	(B) Outlays, \$21,445,000,000.
11	Fiscal year 2007:
12	(A) New budget authority, \$26,982,000,000.
13	(B) Outlays, \$22,191,000,000.
14	Fiscal year 2008:
15	(A) New budget authority, \$27,497,000,000.
16	(B) Outlays, \$22,876,000,000.
17	Fiscal year 2009:
18	(A) New budget authority, \$28,086,000,000.
19	(B) Outlays, \$23,633,000,000.
20	Fiscal year 2010:
21	(A) New budget authority, \$28,472,000,000.
22	(B) Outlays, \$24,211,000,000.
23	Fiscal year 2011:
24	(A) New budget authority, \$29,645,000,000.
25	(B) Outlays, \$25,047,000,000.

```
(3) General Science, Space, and Technology (250):
 1
 2
              Fiscal year 2001:
                  (A) New budget authority, $21,043,000,000.
 3
 4
                  (B) Outlays, $19,612,000,000.
 5
              Fiscal year 2002:
 6
                  (A) New budget authority, $22,798,000,000.
 7
                  (B) Outlays, $21,201,000,000.
 8
              Fiscal year 2003:
 9
                  (A) New budget authority, $21,852,000,000.
10
                  (B) Outlays, $21,059,000,000.
11
              Fiscal year 2004:
12
                  (A) New budget authority, $22,307,000,000.
13
                  (B) Outlays, $21,825,000,000.
14
              Fiscal year 2005:
15
                  (A) New budget authority, $22,859,000,000.
16
                  (B) Outlays, $22,380,000,000.
17
              Fiscal year 2006:
18
                  (A) New budget authority, $23,493,000,000.
19
                  (B) Outlays, $22,925,000,000.
20
              Fiscal year 2007:
21
                  (A) New budget authority, $24,122,000,000.
22
                  (B) Outlays, $23,496,000,000.
23
              Fiscal year 2008:
24
                  (A) New budget authority, $24,741,000,000.
25
                  (B) Outlays, $24,091,000,000.
```

```
1
              Fiscal year 2009:
 2
                   (A) New budget authority, $25,370,000,000.
                   (B) Outlays, $24,707,000,000.
 3
 4
              Fiscal year 2010:
 5
                   (A) New budget authority, $25,769,000,000.
 6
                   (B) Outlays, $25,211,000,000.
 7
              Fiscal year 2011:
 8
                   (A) New budget authority, $26,829,000,000.
 9
                   (B) Outlays, $25,966,000,000.
10
         (4) Energy (270):
11
              Fiscal year 2001:
12
                   (A) New budget authority, $1,225,000,000.
13
                   (B) Outlays, -\$115,000,000.
14
              Fiscal year 2002:
15
                   (A) New budget authority, $1,676,000,000.
16
                   (B) Outlays, $18,000,000.
17
              Fiscal year 2003:
18
                   (A) New budget authority, $965,000,000.
19
                   (B) Outlays, -$266,000,000.
20
              Fiscal year 2004:
21
                   (A) New budget authority, $1,117,000,000.
22
                   (B) Outlays, -\$355,000,000.
23
              Fiscal year 2005:
24
                   (A) New budget authority, $1,104,000,000.
25
                   (B) Outlays, -$291,000,000.
```

1	Fiscal year 2006:
2	(A) New budget authority, \$1,228,000,000.
3	(B) Outlays, $-\$149,000,000$.
4	Fiscal year 2007:
5	(A) New budget authority, \$1,308,000,000.
6	(B) Outlays, $-\$43,000,000$.
7	Fiscal year 2008:
8	(A) New budget authority, \$2,401,000,000.
9	(B) Outlays, \$590,000,000.
10	Fiscal year 2009:
11	(A) New budget authority, \$2,495,000,000.
12	(B) Outlays, \$989,000,000.
13	Fiscal year 2010:
14	(A) New budget authority, \$2,472,000,000.
15	(B) Outlays, \$1,160,000,000.
16	Fiscal year 2011:
17	(A) New budget authority, \$2,396,000,000.
18	(B) Outlays, \$1,132,000,000.
19	(5) Natural Resources and Environment (300):
20	Fiscal year 2001:
21	(A) New budget authority, \$28,833,000,000.
22	(B) Outlays, \$26,361,000,000.
23	Fiscal year 2002:
24	(A) New budget authority, \$29,643,855,000.
25	(B) Outlans, \$29,251,538,450.

1	Fiscal year 2003:
2	(A) New budget authority, \$27,390,000,000.
3	(B) Outlays, \$27,480,000,000.
4	Fiscal year 2004:
5	(A) New budget authority, \$28,169,000,000.
6	(B) Outlays, \$27,913,000,000.
7	Fiscal year 2005:
8	(A) New budget authority, \$28,392,000,000.
9	(B) Outlays, \$28,118,000,000.
10	Fiscal year 2006:
11	(A) New budget authority, \$28,408,000,000.
12	(B) Outlays, \$28,268,000,000.
13	Fiscal year 2007:
14	(A) New budget authority, \$29,074,000,000.
15	(B) Outlays, \$28,735,000,000.
16	Fiscal year 2008:
17	(A) New budget authority, \$29,799,000,000.
18	(B) Outlays, \$29,231,000,000.
19	Fiscal year 2009:
20	(A) New budget authority, \$31,070,000,000.
21	(B) Outlays, \$30,338,000,000.
22	Fiscal year 2010:
23	(A) New budget authority, \$31,623,000,000.
24	(B) Outlays, \$30,975,000,000.
25	Fiscal year 2011:

1	(A) New budget authority, \$32,867,000,000.
2	(B) Outlays, \$31,958,000,000.
3	(6) Agriculture (350):
4	Fiscal year 2001:
5	(A) New budget authority, \$35,290,000,000.
6	(B) Outlays, \$32,654,000,000.
7	Fiscal year 2002:
8	(A) New budget authority, \$26,189,000,000.
9	(B) Outlays, \$24,541,000,000.
10	Fiscal year 2003:
11	(A) New budget authority, \$25,655,000,000.
12	(B) Outlays, \$24,026,000,000.
13	Fiscal year 2004:
14	(A) New budget authority, \$25,527,000,000.
15	(B) Outlays, \$24,117,000,000.
16	Fiscal year 2005:
17	(A) New budget authority, \$25,382,000,000.
18	(B) Outlays, \$23,897,000,000.
19	Fiscal year 2006:
20	(A) New budget authority, \$23,933,000,000.
21	(B) Outlays, \$22,333,000,000.
22	Fiscal year 2007:
23	(A) New budget authority, \$22,565,000,000.
24	(B) Outlays, \$20,991,000,000.
25	Fiscal year 2008:

1	(A) New budget authority, \$20,693,000,000.
2	(B) Outlays, \$19,107,000,000.
3	Fiscal year 2009:
4	(A) New budget authority, \$19,881,000,000.
5	(B) Outlays, \$18,404,000,000.
6	Fiscal year 2010:
7	(A) New budget authority, \$18,939,000,000.
8	(B) Outlays, \$17,578,000,000.
9	Fiscal year 2011:
10	(A) New budget authority, \$19,168,000,000.
11	(B) Outlays, \$17,770,000,000.
12	(7) Commerce and Housing Credit (370):
13	Fiscal year 2001:
14	(A) New budget authority, \$2,516,000,000.
15	(B) Outlays, $-\$771,000,000$.
16	Fiscal year 2002:
17	(A) New budget authority, \$7,694,000,000.
18	(B) Outlays, \$4,459,000,000.
19	Fiscal year 2003:
20	(A) New budget authority, \$8,603,000,000.
21	(B) Outlays, \$3,231,000,000.
22	Fiscal year 2004:
23	(A) New budget authority, \$12,889,000,000.
24	(B) Outlays, \$8,654,000,000.
25	Fiscal year 2005:

1	(A) New budget authority, \$12,800,000,000.
2	(B) Outlays, \$9,083,000,000.
3	Fiscal year 2006:
4	(A) New budget authority, \$12,729,000,000.
5	(B) Outlays, \$8,434,000,000.
6	Fiscal year 2007:
7	(A) New budget authority, \$13,598,000,000.
8	(B) Outlays, \$9,288,000,000.
9	Fiscal year 2008:
10	(A) New budget authority, \$13,918,000,000.
11	(B) Outlays, \$9,375,000,000.
12	Fiscal year 2009:
13	(A) New budget authority, \$14,332,000,000.
14	(B) Outlays, \$9,674,000,000.
15	Fiscal year 2010:
16	(A) New budget authority, \$18,793,000,000.
17	(B) Outlays, \$12,903,000,000.
18	Fiscal year 2011:
19	(A) New budget authority, \$13,587,000,000.
20	(B) Outlays, \$9,875,000,000.
21	(8) Transportation (400):
22	Fiscal year 2001:
23	(A) New budget authority, \$62,130,000,000.
24	(B) Outlays, \$51,681,000,000.
25	Fiscal year 2002:

1	(A) New budget authority, \$62,156,000,000.
2	(B) Outlays, \$56,082,000,000.
3	Fiscal year 2003:
4	(A) New budget authority, \$64,751,000,000.
5	(B) Outlays, \$58,952,000,000.
6	Fiscal year 2004:
7	(A) New budget authority, \$66,248,000,000.
8	(B) Outlays, \$60,797,000,000.
9	Fiscal year 2005:
10	(A) New budget authority, \$67,741,000,000.
11	(B) Outlays, \$62,549,000,000.
12	Fiscal year 2006:
13	(A) New budget authority, \$69,347,000,000.
14	(B) Outlays, \$64,303,000,000.
15	Fiscal year 2007:
16	(A) New budget authority, \$70,953,000,000.
17	(B) Outlays, \$65,535,000,000.
18	Fiscal year 2008:
19	(A) New budget authority, \$72,578,000,000.
20	(B) Outlays, \$67,008,000,000.
21	Fiscal year 2009:
22	(A) New budget authority, \$74,248,000,000.
23	(B) Outlays, \$68,664,000,000.
24	Fiscal year 2010:
25	(A) New budget authority, \$75,759,000,000.

1	(B) Outlays, \$69,976,000,000.
2	Fiscal year 2011:
3	(A) New budget authority, \$77,835,000,000.
4	(B) Outlays, \$71,900,000,000.
5	(9) Community and Regional Development (450):
6	Fiscal year 2001:
7	(A) New budget authority, \$11,225,000,000.
8	(B) Outlays, \$11,366,000,000.
9	Fiscal year 2002:
10	(A) New budget authority, \$11,228,000,000.
11	(B) Outlays, \$11,560,000,000.
12	Fiscal year 2003:
13	(A) New budget authority, \$10,318,000,000.
14	(B) Outlays, \$11,088,000,000.
15	Fiscal year 2004:
16	(A) New budget authority, \$10,567,000,000.
17	(B) Outlays, \$10,780,000,000.
18	Fiscal year 2005:
19	(A) New budget authority, \$10,920,000,000.
20	(B) Outlays, \$10,408,000,000.
21	Fiscal year 2006:
22	(A) New budget authority, \$11,243,000,000.
23	(B) Outlays, \$10,179,000,000.
24	Fiscal year 2007:
25	(A) New budget authority, \$11.545,000,000.

```
1
                  (B) Outlays, $10,325,000,000.
 2
             Fiscal year 2008:
 3
                  (A) New budget authority, $11,844,000,000.
 4
                  (B) Outlays, $10,507,000,000.
 5
             Fiscal year 2009:
 6
                  (A) New budget authority, $12,146,000,000.
 7
                  (B) Outlays, $10,783,000,000.
 8
             Fiscal year 2010:
 9
                  (A) New budget authority, $12,338,000,000.
10
                  (B) Outlays, $11,048,000,000.
11
             Fiscal year 2011:
12
                  (A) New budget authority, $12,844,000,000.
13
                  (B) Outlays, $11,345,000,000.
         (10) Education, Training, Employment, and Social
14
15
    Services (500):
16
             Fiscal year 2001:
17
                  (A) New budget authority, $76,886,000,000.
18
                  (B) Outlays, $69,790,000,000.
19
             Fiscal year 2002:
20
                  (A)
                            New
                                       budget
                                                    authority,
21
              $110,856,700,000.
22
                  (B) Outlays, $78,353,000,000.
23
             Fiscal year 2003:
24
                  (A) New budget authority, $94,870,000,000.
25
                  (B) Outlays, $90,064,000,000.
```

```
Fiscal year 2004:
 1
 2
                            New
                                       budget
                                                    authority,
                  (A)
 3
              $100,875,000,000.
 4
                  (B) Outlays, $95,270,000,000.
             Fiscal year 2005:
 5
                  (A)
 6
                            New
                                       budget
                                                    authority,
 7
              $108,361,000,000.
 8
                  (B) Outlays, $102,509,000,000.
 9
             Fiscal year 2006:
                  (A)
                                                    authority,
10
                            New
                                       budget
11
              $114,752,000,000.
                  (B) Outlays, $109,992,000,000.
12
13
             Fiscal year 2007:
14
                  (A)
                            New
                                       budget
                                                    authority,
15
              $120,924,000,000.
                  (B) Outlays, $116,602,000,000.
16
17
             Fiscal year 2008:
18
                  (A)
                            New
                                       budget
                                                    authority,
19
              $127,409,000,000.
                  (B) Outlays, $123,230,000,000.
20
21
             Fiscal year 2009:
22
                  (A)
                            New
                                       budget
                                                    authority,
23
              $133,285,000,000.
24
                  (B) Outlays, $130,009,000,000.
25
             Fiscal year 2010:
```

```
budget
                                                    authority,
 1
                   (A)
                            New
 2
              $138,583,000,000.
 3
                   (B) Outlays, $135,697,000,000.
 4
             Fiscal year 2011:
                            New
 5
                   (A)
                                       budget
                                                    authority,
 6
              $145,533,000,000.
                  (B) Outlays, $142,324,000,000.
 7
 8
         (11) Health (550):
 9
              Fiscal year 2001:
                  (A)
                                                    authority,
10
                            New
                                       budget
11
              $182,604,000,000.
                  (B) Outlays, $175,512,000,000.
12
13
              Fiscal year 2002:
                                                    authority,
14
                   (A)
                            New
                                       budget
15
              $216,052,000,000.
                  (B) Outlays, $213,242,000,000.
16
17
             Fiscal year 2003:
18
                   (A)
                            New
                                       budget
                                                    authority,
19
              $242,906,000,000.
                   (B) Outlays, $239,126,000,000.
20
21
             Fiscal year 2004:
22
                   (A)
                            New
                                       budget
                                                    authority,
23
              $260,309,000,000.
24
                   (B) Outlays, $258,514,000,000.
25
             Fiscal year 2005:
```

```
budget
                                                    authority,
 1
                  (A)
                            New
 2
              $257,859,000,000.
 3
                  (B) Outlays, $255,591,000,000.
 4
             Fiscal year 2006:
 5
                  (A)
                            New
                                       budget
                                                    authority,
             $271,154,000,000.
 6
                  (B) Outlays, $269,004,000,000.
 7
             Fiscal year 2007:
 8
 9
                  (A)
                            New
                                       budget
                                                    authority,
10
              $291,758,000,000.
                  (B) Outlays, $289,047,000,000.
11
             Fiscal year 2008:
12
13
                                                    authority,
                  (A)
                            New
                                       budget
14
             $312,905,000,000.
                  (B) Outlays, $310,457,000,000.
15
             Fiscal year 2009:
16
17
                  (A)
                            New
                                                    authority,
                                       budget
18
              $335,471,000,000.
19
                  (B) Outlays, $333,359,000,000.
             Fiscal year 2010:
20
21
                  (A)
                            New
                                       budget
                                                    authority,
22
              $360,544,000,000.
23
                  (B) Outlays, $358,901,000,000.
24
             Fiscal year 2011:
```

```
budget
                                                    authority,
 1
                  (A)
                            New
 2
              $389,308,000,000.
 3
                  (B) Outlays, $387,174,000,000.
 4
         (12) Medicare (570):
 5
             Fiscal year 2001:
 6
                  (A)
                            New
                                       budget
                                                    authority,
 7
              $217,531,000,000.
 8
                  (B) Outlays, $217,708,000,000.
 9
             Fiscal year 2002:
                  (A)
10
                            New
                                       budget
                                                    authority,
11
              $229,128,000,000.
                  (B) Outlays, $229,075,000,000.
12
13
             Fiscal year 2003:
14
                  (A)
                            New
                                       budget
                                                    authority,
15
              $243,946,000,000.
                  (B) Outlays, $243,718,000,000.
16
17
             Fiscal year 2004:
18
                  (A)
                            New
                                       budget
                                                    authority,
             $260,240,000,000.
19
                  (B) Outlays, $260,446,000,000.
20
21
             Fiscal year 2005:
22
                  (A)
                            New
                                       budget
                                                    authority,
23
              $291,770,000,000.
24
                  (B) Outlays, $291,696,000,000.
25
             Fiscal year 2006:
```

```
budget
                                                     authority,
 1
                   (A)
                             New
 2
              $309,921,000,000.
 3
                   (B) Outlays, $309,660,000,000.
 4
             Fiscal year 2007:
                            New
 5
                   (A)
                                       budget
                                                     authority,
 6
              $336,143,000,000.
                  (B) Outlays, $336,366,000,000.
 7
             Fiscal year 2008:
 8
 9
                   (A)
                            New
                                       budget
                                                     authority,
10
              $362,842,000,000.
                  (B) Outlays, $362,744,000,000.
11
             Fiscal year 2009:
12
13
                                                     authority,
                   (A)
                            New
                                       budget
              $391,122,000,000.
14
                  (B) Outlays, $390,848,000,000.
15
             Fiscal year 2010:
16
17
                   (A)
                            New
                                       budget
                                                     authority,
18
              $423,445,000,000.
19
                  (B) Outlays, $423,698,000,000.
             Fiscal year 2011:
20
21
                   (A)
                            New
                                       budget
                                                     authority,
22
              $459,396,000,000.
23
                   (B) Outlays, $459,390,000,000.
         (13) Income Security (600):
24
25
              Fiscal year 2001:
```

```
budget
                                                    authority,
 1
                  (A)
                            New
 2
              $255,942,000,000.
 3
                  (B) Outlays, $256,932,000,000.
 4
             Fiscal year 2002:
                                       budget
 5
                  (A)
                            New
                                                    authority,
             $278,801,000,000.
 6
                  (B) Outlays, $274,943,000,000.
 7
             Fiscal year 2003:
 8
 9
                                                    authority,
                  (A)
                            New
                                       budget
10
              $281,124,000,000.
                  (B) Outlays, $281,660,000,000.
11
             Fiscal year 2004:
12
13
                                                    authority,
                  (A)
                            New
                                       budget
             $292,431,000,000.
14
                  (B) Outlays, $291,586,000,000.
15
             Fiscal year 2005:
16
17
                  (A)
                            New
                                                    authority,
                                       budget
18
              $307,066,000,000.
19
                  (B) Outlays, $305,698,000,000.
             Fiscal year 2006:
20
21
                  (A)
                            New
                                       budget
                                                    authority,
22
              $314,915,000,000.
23
                  (B) Outlays, $313,407,000,000.
24
             Fiscal year 2007:
```

```
budget
                                                     authority,
 1
                   (A)
                             New
 2
              $322,128,000,000.
 3
                   (B) Outlays, $320,620,000,000.
 4
             Fiscal year 2008:
 5
                   (A)
                            New
                                        budget
                                                     authority,
 6
              $336,555,000,000.
 7
                   (B) Outlays, $335,198,000,000.
 8
              Fiscal year 2009:
 9
                   (A)
                            New
                                        budget
                                                     authority,
10
              $348,003,000,000.
11
                   (B) Outlays, $346,343,000,000.
             Fiscal year 2010:
12
13
                   (A)
                            New
                                        budget
                                                     authority,
              $358,590,000,000.
14
15
                  (B) Outlays, $356,942,000,000.
             Fiscal year 2011:
16
17
                            New
                   (A)
                                        budget
                                                     authority,
18
              $370,342,000,000.
19
                   (B) Outlays, $368,149,000,000.
         (14) Social Security (650):
20
21
              Fiscal year 2001:
22
                   (A) New budget authority, $9,805,000,000.
23
                   (B) Outlays, $9,805,000,000.
24
              Fiscal year 2002:
25
                   (A) New budget authority, $10,865,000,000.
```

1	(B) Outlays, \$10,864,000,000.
2	Fiscal year 2003:
3	(A) New budget authority, \$11,315,000,000.
4	(B) Outlays, \$11,315,000,000.
5	Fiscal year 2004:
6	(A) New budget authority, \$11,852,000,000.
7	(B) Outlays, \$11,852,000,000.
8	Fiscal year 2005:
9	(A) New budget authority, \$12,387,000,000.
10	(B) Outlays, \$12,387,000,000.
11	Fiscal year 2006:
12	(A) New budget authority, \$13,038,000,000.
13	(B) Outlays, \$13,038,000,000.
14	Fiscal year 2007:
15	(A) New budget authority, \$13,739,000,000.
16	(B) Outlays, \$13,739,000,000.
17	Fiscal year 2008:
18	(A) New budget authority, \$14,750,000,000.
19	(B) Outlays, \$14,750,000,000.
20	Fiscal year 2009:
21	(A) New budget authority, \$15,927,000,000.
22	(B) Outlays, \$15,927,000,000.
23	Fiscal year 2010:
24	(A) New budget authority, \$17,289,000,000.
25	(B) Outlays, \$17,289,000,000.

1	Fiscal year 2011:
2	(A) New budget authority, \$18,799,000,000.
3	(B) Outlays, \$18,799,000,000.
4	(15) Veterans Benefits and Services (700):
5	Fiscal year 2001:
6	(A) New budget authority, \$46,675,000,000.
7	(B) Outlays, \$45,926,000,000.
8	Fiscal year 2002:
9	(A) New budget authority, \$53,789,000,000.
10	(B) Outlays, \$53,060,000,000.
11	Fiscal year 2003:
12	(A) New budget authority, \$54,088,000,000.
13	(B) Outlays, \$53,771,000,000.
14	Fiscal year 2004:
15	(A) New budget authority, \$56,024,000,000.
16	(B) Outlays, \$55,641,000,000.
17	Fiscal year 2005:
18	(A) New budget authority, \$60,007,000,000.
19	(B) Outlays, \$59,567,000,000.
20	Fiscal year 2006:
21	(A) New budget authority, \$59,395,000,000.
22	(B) Outlays, \$58,929,000,000.
23	Fiscal year 2007:
24	(A) New budget authority, \$58,637,000,000.
25	(B) Outlays, \$58,180,000,000.

1	Fiscal year 2008:
2	(A) New budget authority, \$62,418,000,000.
3	(B) Outlays, \$62,020,000,000.
4	Fiscal year 2009:
5	(A) New budget authority, \$63,767,000,000.
6	(B) Outlays, \$63,396,000,000.
7	Fiscal year 2010:
8	(A) New budget authority, \$65,075,000,000.
9	(B) Outlays, \$64,736,000,000.
10	Fiscal year 2011:
11	(A) New budget authority, \$67,366,000,000.
12	(B) Outlays, \$66,931,000,000.
13	(16) Administration of Justice (750):
14	Fiscal year 2001:
15	(A) New budget authority, \$30,577,000,000.
16	(B) Outlays, \$30,003,000,000.
17	Fiscal year 2002:
18	(A) New budget authority, \$32,370,000,000.
19	(B) Outlays, \$31,828,000,000.
20	Fiscal year 2003:
21	(A) New budget authority, \$31,899,000,000.
22	(B) Outlays, \$32,116,000,000.
23	Fiscal year 2004:
24	(A) New budget authority, \$33,592,000,000.
25	(B) Outlays, \$34.056.000.000.

1	Fiscal year 2005:
2	(A) New budget authority, \$34,629,000,000.
3	(B) Outlays, \$34,688,000,000.
4	Fiscal year 2006:
5	(A) New budget authority, \$35,651,000,000.
6	(B) Outlays, \$35,279,000,000.
7	Fiscal year 2007:
8	(A) New budget authority, \$36,609,000,000.
9	(B) Outlays, \$36,119,000,000.
10	Fiscal year 2008:
11	(A) New budget authority, \$37,563,000,000.
12	(B) Outlays, \$37,116,000,000.
13	Fiscal year 2009:
14	(A) New budget authority, \$38,539,000,000.
15	(B) Outlays, \$38,090,000,000.
16	Fiscal year 2010:
17	(A) New budget authority, \$39,189,000,000.
18	(B) Outlays, \$38,842,000,000.
19	Fiscal year 2011:
20	(A) New budget authority, \$40,767,000,000.
21	(B) Outlays, \$40,204,000,000.
22	(17) General Government (800):
23	Fiscal year 2001:
24	(A) New budget authority, \$16,307,000,000.
25	(B) Outlays, \$16,065,000,000.

1	Fiscal year 2002:
2	(A) New budget authority, \$16,671,000,000.
3	(B) Outlays, \$16,326,000,000.
4	Fiscal year 2003:
5	(A) New budget authority, \$16,313,000,000.
6	(B) Outlays, \$16,263,000,000.
7	Fiscal year 2004:
8	(A) New budget authority, \$16,680,000,000.
9	(B) Outlays, \$16,627,000,000.
10	Fiscal year 2005:
11	(A) New budget authority, \$17,035,000,000.
12	(B) Outlays, \$16,726,000,000.
13	Fiscal year 2006:
14	(A) New budget authority, \$17,492,000,000.
15	(B) Outlays, \$17,100,000,000.
16	Fiscal year 2007:
17	(A) New budget authority, \$17,921,000,000.
18	(B) Outlays, \$17,504,000,000.
19	Fiscal year 2008:
20	(A) New budget authority, \$17,981,000,000.
21	(B) Outlays, \$17,691,000,000.
22	Fiscal year 2009:
23	(A) New budget authority, \$18,426,000,000.
24	(B) Outlays, \$17,995,000,000.
25	Fiscal year 2010:

```
1
                   (A) New budget authority, $18,706,000,000.
 2
                   (B) Outlays, $18,285,000,000.
 3
             Fiscal year 2011:
 4
                   (A) New budget authority, $19,430,000,000.
 5
                   (B) Outlays, $18,911,000,000.
 6
         (18) Net Interest (900):
 7
              Fiscal year 2001:
 8
                   (A)
                            New
                                       budget
                                                     authority,
 9
              $274,914,000,000.
10
                  (B) Outlays, $274,914,000,000.
11
              Fiscal year 2002:
                                       budget
                                                     authority,
12
                  (A)
                            New
13
              $256,950,000,000.
                  (B) Outlays, $256,950,000,000.
14
15
              Fiscal year 2003:
                                                     authority,
                   (A)
                            New
                                       budget
16
17
              $248,868,000,000.
18
                   (B) Outlays, $248,868,000,000.
19
             Fiscal year 2004:
20
                   (A)
                            New
                                       budget
                                                     authority,
21
              $243,332,000,000.
22
                   (B) Outlays, $243,332,000,000.
23
              Fiscal year 2005:
24
                   (A)
                            New
                                       budget
                                                     authority,
25
              $236,526,000,000.
```

```
(B) Outlays, $236,526,000,000.
 1
 2
             Fiscal year 2006:
 3
                  (A)
                            New
                                       budget
                                                    authority,
 4
             $232,792,000,000.
                  (B) Outlays, $232,792,000,000.
 5
             Fiscal year 2007:
 6
 7
                  (A)
                            New
                                       budget
                                                    authority,
 8
             $230,097,000,000.
 9
                  (B) Outlays, $230,097,000,000.
10
             Fiscal year 2008:
11
                  (A)
                            New
                                       budget
                                                    authority,
12
             $226,779,000,000.
13
                  (B) Outlays, $226,779,000,000.
             Fiscal year 2009:
14
15
                  (A)
                            New
                                       budget
                                                    authority,
16
             $222,750,000,000.
17
                  (B) Outlays, $222,750,000,000.
18
             Fiscal year 2010:
19
                  (A)
                            New
                                       budget
                                                    authority,
20
             $217,745,000,000.
                  (B) Outlays, $217,745,000,000.
21
22
             Fiscal year 2011:
23
                  (A)
                            New
                                       budget
                                                    authority,
24
             $212,513,000,000.
25
                  (B) Outlays, $212,513,000,000.
```

1	(19) Allowances (920):
2	Fiscal year 2001:
3	(A) New budget authority, \$81,000,000,000.
4	(B) Outlays, \$81,000,000,000.
5	(C) The Senate finds that—
6	(i) given the apparent economic slow-
7	down, the Congress should stimulate the
8	economy by passing a 1-year true tax cut
9	stimulus package that provides income tax
10	and payroll tax relief;
11	(ii) for real economic stimulus the 1-
12	year tax cut should equal approximately 1
13	percent of the gross domestic product, or
14	\$95,000,000,000;
15	(iii) a meaningful economic stimulus
16	must reach as many taxpayers as possible,
17	or at least 120 million people;
18	(iv) the broadest range of taxpayers
19	can be reached by offering a direct rebate
20	based on income tax liability or payroll tax
21	liability; and
22	(v) the tax stimulus bill should be im-
23	mediate and take effect on or before July 1,
24	2001.

1	(D) It is the sense of the Senate that the lev-
2	els in this resolution assume that the Senate
3	should as soon as practical consider and pass a
4	stimulus tax package pursuant to this budget
5	resolution that will result in a rebate of—
6	(i) up to \$500 per individual or
7	\$1,000 per couple for 95 million taxpayers
8	who pay income tax; and
9	(ii) up to \$500 for the 25 million tax-
10	payers who pay payroll taxes but do not
11	have income tax liability.
12	Fiscal year 2002:
13	(A) New budget authority,
14	-\$144,106,355,000.
15	(B) Outlays, $-\$133,916,038,450$.
16	Fiscal year 2003:
17	(A) New budget authority, \$4,979,000,000.
18	(B) Outlays, \$3,759,000,000.
19	Fiscal year 2004:
20	(A) New budget authority, \$5,470,000,000.
21	(B) Outlays, \$4,318,000,000.
22	Fiscal year 2005:
23	(A) New budget authority, \$5,614,000,000.
24	(B) Outlays, \$5,166,000,000.
25	Fiscal year 2006:

```
(A) New budget authority, $5,759,000,000.
 1
 2
                   (B) Outlays, $5,506,000,000.
              Fiscal year 2007:
 3
 4
                   (A) New budget authority, $5,945,000,000.
 5
                   (B) Outlays, $5,669,000,000.
 6
              Fiscal year 2008:
 7
                   (A) New budget authority, $6,052,000,000.
 8
                   (B) Outlays, $5,817,000,000.
 9
              Fiscal year 2009:
10
                   (A) New budget authority, $6,285,000,000.
11
                   (B) Outlays, $5,967,000,000.
              Fiscal year 2010:
12
13
                   (A) New budget authority, $6,418,000,000.
14
                   (B) Outlays, $6,082,000,000.
15
              Fiscal year 2011:
16
                   (A) New budget authority, $6,685,000,000.
17
                   (B) Outlays, $6,262,000,000.
18
         (20) Undistributed Offsetting Receipts (950):
19
              Fiscal year 2001:
20
                   (A)
                             New
                                        budget
                                                     authority,
21
              -\$38,265,000,000.
22
                   (B) Outlays, -\$38,265,000,000.
23
              Fiscal year 2002:
24
                   (A)
                             New
                                        budget
                                                     authority,
              -$38,803,000,000.
25
```

```
1
                 (B) Outlays, -\$38,803,000,000.
 2
            Fiscal year 2003:
 3
                 (A)
                          New
                               budget
                                                authority,
 4
             -\$49,708,000,000.
                 (B) Outlays, -\$49,708,000,000.
 5
            Fiscal year 2004:
 6
 7
                 (A)
                          New
                               budget
                                                authority,
             -\$56,515,000,000.
 8
                 (B) Outlays, -\$56,515,000,000.
 9
10
            Fiscal year 2005:
11
                 (A)
                          New
                               budget
                                                authority,
             -\$46,663,000,000.
12
                 (B) Outlays, -\$46,663,000,000.
13
            Fiscal year 2006:
14
                 (A)
                          New
                               budget
15
                                                authority,
             -\$50,661,000,000.
16
                 (B) Outlays, -\$50,661,000,000.
17
18
            Fiscal year 2007:
19
                 (A)
                          New
                                   budget
                                                authority,
20
             -\$48,369,000,000.
21
                 (B) Outlays, -$48,369,000,000.
22
            Fiscal year 2008:
                          New budget
23
                 (A)
                                                authority,
             -\$49,321,000,000.
24
25
                 (B) Outlays, -\$49.321.000.000.
```

```
1
              Fiscal year 2009:
 2
                   (A)
                             New
                                       budget
                                                     authority,
              -\$50,363,000,000.
 3
 4
                   (B) Outlays, -\$50,363,000,000.
 5
              Fiscal year 2010:
 6
                   (A)
                            New
                                       budget
                                                     authority,
 7
              -\$51,918,000,000.
 8
                   (B) Outlays, -\$51,918,000,000.
 9
              Fiscal year 2011:
10
                   (A)
                            New
                                       budget
                                                     authority,
11
              -\$53,397,000,000.
12
                   (B) Outlays, -\$53,397,000,000.
    SEC. 103. RECONCILIATION OF REVENUE REDUCTIONS IN
14
                 THE SENATE.
15
         The Committee on Finance of the Senate shall report
    to the Senate a reconciliation bill—
17
              (1) not later than May 18, 2001; and
18
              (2) not later than September 14, 2001,
19
    that consists of changes in laws within its jurisdiction suffi-
    cient to reduce the total level of revenues for the period of
   fiscal years 2001 through 2011 by not more than the sum
   of the totals set out in section 101(1)(B) of this resolution,
23
    and increase the total level of outlays by not more than
    $60,000,000,000 for the period of fiscal years 2001 through
25 2011.
```

1 TITLE II—BUDGET ENFORCE-2 MENT AND RULEMAKING

2	MENT AND RULEMAKING
3	SEC. 201. RESTRICTIONS ON ADVANCE APPROPRIATIONS.
4	(a) In General.—In the Senate and except as pro-
5	vided in subsection (b), an advance appropriations shall
6	be scored as new budget authority in the fiscal year in
7	which the advanced appropriation is enacted and not the
8	fiscal year in which funds become available for obligation.
9	(b) Exceptions.—An advance appropriation that, to-
10	gether with funding in the current year, provides full fund-
11	ing of a capital project shall be scored as new budget au-
12	thority in the year in which the funds become available for
13	obligation.
14	SEC. 202. MECHANISM FOR IMPLEMENTING INCREASE OF
15	FISCAL YEAR 2002 DISCRETIONARY SPENDING
16	LIMITS.
17	(a) FINDINGS.—The Senate finds the following:
18	(1) Unless and until the discretionary spending
19	limit for fiscal year 2002 (as set out in section 251(c)
20	of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Con-
21	trol Act of 1985) is increased, aggregate appropria-
22	tions which exceed the current law limits would still
23	be out of order in the Senate and subject to a super-
24	majority vote.

1	(2) Except for a necessary adjustment included
2	in function 920 (to comply with section 312(b) of the
3	Congressional Budget Act of 1974), the functional to-
4	tals contained in this concurrent resolution envision
5	a level of discretionary spending for fiscal year 2002
6	as follows:
7	(A) For the discretionary category:
8	\$689,195,855,000 in new budget authority and
9	\$666,521,538,450 in outlays.
0	(B) For the highway category:
1	\$28,489,000,000 in outlays.
12	(C) For the mass transit category:
13	\$5,275,000,000 in outlays.
14	(D) For the conservation category:
15	\$1,760,000,000 in new budget authority and
16	\$1,378,000,000 in outlays.
17	(3) To facilitate the Senate completing its legis-
18	lative responsibilities for the 1st Session of the 107th
19	Congress in a timely fashion, it is imperative that the
20	Senate consider legislation which establishes appro-
21	priate discretionary spending limits for fiscal year
22	2002 through 2006 as soon as possible.
23	(b) Adjustment to Allocations and Other Budg-
24	ETARY AGGREGATES AND LEVELS.—Whenever a bill or
25	ioint resolution becomes law that increases the discretionary

- 1 spending limit for fiscal year 2002 set out in section 251(c)
- 2 of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act
- 3 of 1985, the chairman of the Committee on the Budget of
- 4 the Senate shall increase the allocation called for in section
- 5 302(a) of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 (2 U.S.C.
- 6 633(a)) to the appropriate Committee on Appropriations
- 7 and shall also appropriately adjust all other budgetary ag-
- 8 gregates and levels contained in this resolution.
- 9 (c) Limitation on Adjustment.—An adjustment
- 10 made pursuant to subsection (b) shall not result in an allo-
- 11 cation under section 302(a) of the Congressional Budget Act
- 12 of 1974 that exceeds the total budget authority and outlays
- 13 set forth in subsection (a)(2).
- 14 SEC. 203. RESERVE FUND FOR PRESCRIPTION DRUGS AND
- 15 *MEDICARE REFORM IN THE SENATE.*
- 16 If the Committee on Finance of the Senate reports a
- 17 bill or joint resolution, or a conference report thereon is sub-
- 18 mitted, which reforms the medicare program under title
- 19 XVIII of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1395 et seq.)
- 20 and improves the access of beneficiaries under that program
- 21 to prescription drugs, the chairman of the Committee on
- 22 the Budget of the Senate may revise committee allocations
- 23 for the Committee on Finance and other appropriate budg-
- 24 etary aggregates and allocations of new budget authority
- 25 (and the outlays resulting therefrom) in this resolution by

- 1 the amount provided by that bill, joint resolution, or con-
- 2 ference report, but not to exceed \$300,000,000,000 for the
- 3 period of fiscal years 2002 through 2011. The total adjust-
- 4 ment made under this section for any fiscal year may not
- 5 exceed the Congressional Budget Office's estimate of the
- 6 President's medicare reform and prescription drug plan
- 7 (or, if such a plan is not submitted in a timely manner,
- 8 the Congressional Budget Office's estimate of a comparable
- 9 plan submitted by the chairman of the Committee on Fi-
- 10 nance).

11 SEC. 204. APPLICATION AND EFFECT OF CHANGES IN ALLO-

- 12 CATIONS AND AGGREGATES.
- 13 (a) APPLICATION.—Any adjustments of allocations
- 14 and aggregates made pursuant to this resolution shall—
- 15 (1) apply while that measure is under consider-
- 16 ation;
- 17 (2) take effect upon the enactment of that meas-
- 18 ure; and
- 19 (3) be published in the Congressional Record as
- soon as practicable.
- 21 (b) Effect of Changed Allocations and Aggre-
- 22 GATES.—Revised allocations and aggregates resulting from
- 23 these adjustments shall be considered for the purposes of the
- 24 Congressional Budget Act of 1974 as allocations and aggre-
- 25 gates contained in this resolution.

1	(c) Budget Committee Determinations.—For pur-
2	poses of this resolution—
3	(1) the levels of new budget authority, outlays,
4	direct spending, new entitlement authority, revenues,
5	deficits, and surpluses for a fiscal year or period of
6	fiscal years shall be determined on the basis of esti-
7	mates made by the Committee on the Budget of the
8	Senate; and
9	(2) the chairman may make any other necessary
10	adjustments to such levels to carry out this resolution.
11	SEC. 205. EXERCISE OF RULEMAKING POWERS.
12	Congress adopts the provisions of this title—
13	(1) as an exercise of the rulemaking power of the
14	Senate and the House of Representatives, respectively,
15	and as such they shall be considered as part of the
16	rules of each House, or of that House to which they
17	specifically apply, and such rules shall supersede
18	other rules only to the extent that they are incon-
19	sistent therewith; and
20	(2) with full recognition of the constitutional
21	right of either House to change those rules (so far as
22	they relate to that House) at any time, in the same
23	manner, and to the same extent as in the case of any
24	other rule of that House.

1	SEC. 206. RESERVE FUND FOR MEDICARE PAYMENTS TO
2	HOME HEALTH AGENCIES.
3	If the Senate Committee on Finance or the House
4	Committee on Ways and Means or Commerce reports a bill,
5	or if an amendment thereto is offered or a conference report
6	thereon is submitted, that repeals the 15 percent reduction
7	in payments under the medicare program to home health
8	agencies enacted by the Balanced Budget Act of 1997 and
9	now scheduled to go into effect on October 1, 2002, the chair-
10	man of the Committee on the Budget of the House or Senate
11	may increase the allocation of new budget authority and
12	outlays to that committee and other appropriate budgetary
13	aggregates and levels by the amount needed, but not to ex-
14	ceed \$0 in new budget authority and outlays in 2002,
15	\$4,000,000,000 for the period 2002 through 2006, and
16	\$13,700,000,000 for the period 2002 through 2011, subject
17	to the condition that such legislation will not, when taken
18	together with all other previously-enacted legislation, reduce
19	the on-budget surplus below the level of the Medicare Hos-
20	pital Insurance Trust Fund surplus in any fiscal year cov-
21	ered by this resolution.
22	SEC. 207. LIMITATION ON CONSIDERATION OF AMEND-
23	MENTS UNDER RECONCILIATION AND A
24	BUDGET RESOLUTION.
25	(a) Reconciliation and Budget Resolutions.—
26	For purposes of consideration of any reconciliation bill re-

1	ported under section 310(e) of the Congressional Budget Act
2	of 1974 or any budget resolution reported under section
3	305(b) of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974—
4	(1) debate, and all amendments thereto and de-
5	batable motions and appeals in connection therewith,
6	shall be limited to not more than 50 hours;
7	(2) time on a bill or resolution may only be
8	yielded back by consent;
9	(3) time on amendments shall be limited to 60
10	minutes to be equally divided in the usual form and
11	on any second degree amendment or motion to 30
12	minutes to be equally divided in the usual form;
13	(4) no first degree amendment may be proposed
14	after the 10th hour of debate on a bill or resolution
15	unless it has been submitted to the Journal Clerk
16	prior to the expiration of the 10th hour;
17	(5) no second degree amendment may be pro-
18	posed after the 20th hour of debate on a bill or resolu-
19	tion unless it has been submitted to the Journal Clerk
20	prior to the expiration of the 20th hour; and
21	(6) after not more than 40 hours of debate on a
22	bill or resolution, the bill or resolution shall be set
23	aside for 1 calendar day, so that all filed amendments

are printed and made available in the Congressional

- 1 Record before debate on the bill or resolution con-
- 2 tinues.
- 3 (b) Waiver and Appeal.—This section may be
- 4 waived or suspended in the Senate only by an affirmative
- 5 vote of three-fifths of the Members, duly chosen and sworn.
- 6 An affirmative vote of three-fifths of the Members of the Sen-
- 7 ate, duly chosen and sworn, shall be required in the Senate
- 8 to sustain an appeal of the ruling of the Chair on a point
- 9 of order raised under this section.
- 10 SEC. 208. RESERVE FUND FOR THE PAYMENT OF RETIRED
- 11 PAY AND COMPENSATION TO DISABLED MILI-
- 12 TARY RETIREES.
- 13 If the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate or
- 14 the House of Representatives reports the Department of De-
- 15 fense authorization bill and includes a provision to fund
- 16 the payment of retired pay and compensation to disabled
- 17 military retirees, the chairman of the Committee on the
- 18 Budget of the Senate or the House of Representatives, as
- 19 applicable, may increase the allocation of new budget au-
- 20 thority and outlays to that committee by the amount of new
- 21 budget authority (and the outlays resulting therefrom) pro-
- 22 vided by that measure for that purpose not to exceed
- 23 \$2,900,000,000 in new budget authority and outlays for fis-
- 24 cal year 2002, and \$40,000,000,000 in new budget author-
- 25 ity and outlays for the period of fiscal years 2002 through

- 1 2011, subject to the condition that such legislation will not,
- 2 when taken together with all other previously-enacted legis-
- 3 lation, reduce the on-budget surplus below the level of the
- 4 Medicare Hospital Insurance Trust Fund Surplus in any
- 5 fiscal year covered by this resolution.

6 SEC. 209. RESERVE FUND FOR REFUNDABLE TAX CREDITS.

- 7 In the Senate, if any bill reported by the Committee
- 8 on Finance, amendment thereto, or conference report there-
- 9 on, has refundable tax provisions that increase outlays, the
- 10 chairman of the Committee on the Budget may increase the
- 11 amount of new budget authority (and outlays flowing there-
- 12 from) allocated to the Committee on Finance by the amount
- 13 provided by such provisions and adjust the budget aggre-
- 14 gates and reconciliation directions set forth in this resolu-
- 15 tion, as applicable, accordingly, but only to the extent that
- 16 the increase in outlays and reduction in revenues resulting
- 17 from such bill does not exceed the amounts specified in sec-
- 18 tion 101.

19 SEC. 210. ADDITIONAL REVENUE REDUCTIONS.

- Notwithstanding any other provision of this resolution,
- 21 the revenue levels and other aggregates in this resolution
- 22 shall be adjusted to reflect an additional \$69,000,000,000
- 23 in revenue reductions for the period of fiscal years 2002
- 24 through 2011.

1 SEC. 211. INCREASE FUNDING FOR IDEA.

- 2 Notwithstanding any other provision of this resolution,
- 3 the spending aggregates, functional totals, allocations, and
- 4 other levels in this resolution shall be adjusted to reflect an
- 5 additional \$70,000,000,000 in budget authority and outlays
- 6 for function 500 for the period of fiscal years 2002 through
- 7 2011, and a reduction of \$70,000,000,000 in revenue reduc-
- 8 tions (and an increase of \$70,000,000,000 in total revenues)
- 9 for the period of fiscal years 2002 through 2011.

10 SEC. 212. RESERVE FUND FOR FAMILY OPPORTUNITY ACT.

- 11 If the Committee on Finance of the Senate reports a
- 12 bill or joint resolution, which provides States with the op-
- 13 portunity to expand medicaid coverage for children with
- 14 special needs, allowing families of disabled children with
- 15 the opportunity to purchase coverage under the medicaid
- 16 program for such children (commonly referred to as the
- 17 "Family Opportunity Act of 2001"), the chairman of the
- 18 Committee on the Budget of the Senate may revise com-
- 19 mittee allocations for the Committee on Finance and other
- 20 appropriate budgetary aggregates and allocations of new
- 21 budget authority (and the outlays resulting therefrom) in
- 22 this resolution by the amount provided by that measure for
- 23 that purpose, but not to exceed \$200,000,000 in new budget
- 24 authority and outlays for fiscal year 2002 and
- 25 \$7,900,000,000 in new budget authority and outlays for the
- 26 period of fiscal years 2002 through 2011, subject to the con-

- 1 dition that such legislation will not, when taken together
- 2 with all other previously-enacted legislation, reduce the on-
- 3 budget surplus below the level of the Medicare Federal Hos-
- 4 pital Insurance Trust Fund surplus in any fiscal year cov-
- 5 ered by this resolution.

6 SEC. 213. RESERVE FUND FOR VETERANS' EDUCATION.

- 7 If the Committee on Veterans' Affairs of the House or
- 8 the Senate reports a bill that increases the basic monthly
- 9 benefit under the Montgomery G.I. Bill to reflect the in-
- 10 creasing cost of higher education, the chairman of the Com-
- 11 mittee on the Budget of the House or Senate, as applicable,
- 12 may increase the allocation of new budget authority and
- 13 outlays to such committee by the amount of new budget au-
- 14 thority (and the outlays resulting therefrom) provided by
- 15 that measure for that purpose not to exceed \$775,000,000
- 16 in new budget authority and outlays for fiscal year 2002,
- 17 \$4,300,000,000 in new budget authority and outlays for the
- 18 period of fiscal years 2002 through 2006, and
- 19 \$9,900,000,000 in new budget authority and outlays for the
- 20 period of fiscal years 2002 through 2011, subject to the con-
- 21 dition that such legislation will not, when taken together
- 22 with all other previously-enacted legislation, reduce the on-
- 23 budget surplus below the level of the Medicare Hospital In-
- 24 surance Trust Fund surplus in any fiscal years covered by
- 25 this resolution.

1	SEC. 214. RESERVE FUND FOR PAYMENTS IN LIEU OF TAXES
2	AND REFUGE REVENUE SHARING.
3	If the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources of
4	the Senate reports a bill, or an amendment thereto is of-
5	fered, or a conference report thereon is submitted, that pro-
6	vides full, permanent, mandatory funding for Payments in
7	Lieu of Taxes for entitlement lands under chapter 69 of title
8	31, United States Code and for Refuge Revenue Sharing,
9	the chairman of the Committee on the Budget of the Senate
10	may increase the aggregates, functional totals, allocations
11	and other appropriate levels and limits in this resolution
12	by up to \$353,000,000 in new budget authority and outlays
13	for fiscal year 2002 and \$3,709,000,000 in new budget au-
14	thority and outlays for the period of fiscal years 2002
15	through 2011: Provided, That such legislation will not,
16	when taken together with all other previously enacted legis-
17	lation, reduce the on-budget surplus below the level of the
18	Medicare Hospital Insurance Trust Fund surplus in any
19	fiscal year provided in this resolution.
20	TITLE III—MISCELLANEOUS
21	PROVISIONS
22	SEC. 301. SENSE OF THE SENATE ON DEBT REDUCTION.
23	It is the sense of the Senate that conservation funding
24	is a priority of the One Hundred Seventh Congress.

1	SEC. 302. SENSE OF THE SENATE ON AIDS AND OTHER IN-
2	FECTIOUS DISEASES.
3	Notwithstanding any other provision of this resolution,
4	it is the sense of the Senate that:
5	(1) FINDINGS.—The Senate finds the following:
6	(A) HIV/AIDS, having already infected
7	over 58 million people worldwide, is devastating
8	the health, economies, and social structures in
9	dozens of countries in Africa, and increasingly
10	in Asia, the Caribbean and Eastern Europe.
11	(B) AIDS has wiped out decades of progress
12	in improving the lives of families in the devel-
13	oping world. As the leading cause of death in Af-
14	rica, AIDS has killed 17 million and will claim
15	the lives of one quarter of the population, mostly
16	productive adults, in the next decade. In addi-
17	tion, 13 million children have been orphaned by
18	AIDS—a number that will rise to 40 million by
19	2010.
20	(C) The Agency for International Develop-
21	ment, along with the Centers for Disease Control,
22	Department of Labor, and Department of De-
23	fense have been at the forefront of the inter-
24	national battle to control HIV/AIDS, with global
25	assistance totaling \$330,000,000 from the United

States Agency for International Development

- and \$136,000,000 from other agencies in fiscal year 2001, primarily focused on targeted prevention programs.
 - (D) While prevention is key, treatment and care for those affected by HIV/AIDS is an increasingly critical component of the global response. Improving health systems, providing home-based care, treating AIDS-associated diseases like tuberculosis, providing for family support and orphan care, and making antiretroviral drugs against HIV available will reduce social and economic damage to families and communities.
 - (E) Pharmaceutical companies recently dramatically reduced the prices of anti-retroviral drugs to the poorest countries. With sufficient resources, it is now possible to improve treatment options in countries where health systems are able to deliver and monitor the medications.
 - (F) The United Nations AIDS program estimates it will cost at least \$3,000,000,000 for basic AIDS prevention and care services in Sub-Saharan Africa alone, and at least \$2,000,000,000 more if anti-retroviral drugs are provided widely. In Africa, only \$500,000,000 is

1	currently available from all donors, lending
2	agencies and African governments themselves.
3	(2) Sense of the senate.—It is the sense of
4	the Senate that the spending levels in this budget res-
5	olution shall be increased by \$200,000,000 in fiscal
6	year 2002 and by \$500,000,000 in 2003 and for each
7	year thereafter for the purpose of helping the neediest
8	countries cope with the burgeoning costs of preven-
9	tion, care and treatment of those affected by HIV/
10	AIDS and associated infectious diseases.
11	SEC. 303. SENSE OF THE SENATE ON CONSOLIDATED
12	HEALTH CENTERS.
13	It is the sense of the Senate that appropriations for
14	consolidated health centers under section 330 of the Public
15	Health Service Act (42 U.S.C. 254b) should be increased
16	by 100 percent over the next 5 fiscal years in order to double
17	the number of individuals who receive health services at
18	community, migrant, homeless, and public housing health
19	centers.
20	SEC. 304. FUNDING FOR DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE PRO-
21	GRAMS FOR STATE AND LOCAL LAW EN-
22	FORCEMENT ASSISTANCE.
23	(a) FINDINGS.—The Senate finds that—
24	(1) the national rate of serious crime dropped for
25	the last 8 years in a row;

- (2) the national rate of violent crime, including
 murders and rapes, is at its lowest level since 1978;
- 3 (3) the success in reducing serious crime and 4 violent crime rates across the Nation is due in large 5 part to the crime-fighting partnership between the De-6 partment of Justice and State and local law enforce-7 ment agencies and benefits from Department of Jus-8 tice programs for State and local law enforcement as-9 sistance;
 - (4) on February 28, 2001, President George W. Bush submitted to Congress the Administration's budget highlights, "A Blueprint For New Beginnings," which proposed "redirecting" \$1,500,000,000 out of a total of \$4,600,000,000 that has been dedicated for Department of Justice programs for State and local law enforcement assistance;
 - (5) for fiscal year 2001, Congress appropriated \$523,000,000 for the Local Law Enforcement Block Grant Program, including \$60,000,000 to the Boys and Girls Clubs of America for grants to Boys and Girls Clubs across the Nation, within the Department of Justice programs for State and local law enforcement assistance;
 - (6) for fiscal year 2001, Congress appropriated \$25,500,000 for the Bulletproof Vest Partnership

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Grant Program within the Department of Justice
 programs for State and local law enforcement assistance and Congress passed the Bulletproof Vest Partnership Grant Act of 2000 (Public Law 106–517) to
 authorize \$50,000,000 for the Bulletproof Vest Partnership Grant Program for fiscal year 2002 within
 the Department of Justice programs for State and
 local law enforcement assistance;
 - (7) for fiscal year 2001, Congress appropriated \$569,050,000 for the Edward Byrne Memorial State and Local Assistance Program for Byrne discretionary and formula grants within the Department of Justice programs for State and local law enforcement assistance;
 - (8) for fiscal year 2001, Congress appropriated \$686,500,000 for State prison grants, including the Violent Offender Incarceration Grant Program and Truth-In-Sentencing Incentive Program, within the Department of Justice programs for State and local law enforcement assistance;
 - (9) for fiscal year 2001, Congress appropriated \$250,000,000 for the Juvenile Accountability Incentive Block Grant Program within the Department of Justice programs for State and local law enforcement assistance:

25 assistance;

1 (10) for fiscal year 2001, Congress appropriated 2 for \$470,000,000 Police Hiring Initiatives, 3 the\$227,500,000 for Safe Schools Initiative. \$140,000,000 for the COPS Technology Program, and 4 5 \$48,500,000 for the COPS Methamphetamine/Drug 6 "Hot Spots" Program under the Community Oriented 7 Policing Services (COPS) Program within the Department of Justice programs for State and local law 8 9 enforcement assistance; 10 (11) for fiscal year 2001, Congress appropriated 11 \$288,679,000 for grants to support the Violence 12 Against Women Act within the Department of Justice 13 programs for State and local law enforcement assist-14 ance and Congress passed the Violence Against 15 Women Act of 2000 (Public Law 106–386) to author-16 ized grants of approximately \$390,000,000 for grants 17 to support the Violence Against Women Act for fiscal 18 year 2002 within the Department of Justice programs 19 for State and local law enforcement assistance; 20 (12) for fiscal year 2001, Congress appropriated 21 \$130,000,000 for the Crime Identification Technology 22 Act within the Department of Justice programs for 23 State and local law enforcement assistance; 24 (13) for fiscal year 2001, Congress appropriated 25 \$279,097,000 for Juvenile Justice and Delinquency

- 1 Prevention Programs within the Department of Jus-2 tice programs for State and local law enforcement as-3 sistance:
- 4 (14) in 2000, Congress passed the Computer 5 Crime Enforcement Act (Public Law 106–572) to au-6 thorize \$25,000,000 for fiscal year 2002 within the 7 Department of Justice programs for State and local 8 law enforcement assistance;
 - (15) in 2000, Congress passed the DNA Analysis

 Backlog Elimination Act of 2000 (Public Law 106–
 546) to authorize \$65,000,000 for fiscal year 2002

 within the Department of Justice programs for State
 and local law enforcement assistance; and
- 14 (16) in 2000, Congress passed the Paul Coverdell
 15 National Forensic Science Improvement Act of 2000
 16 to authorize \$85,400,000 for fiscal year 2002 within
 17 the Department of Justice programs for State and
 18 local law enforcement assistance.
- (b) Sense of the Senate.—It is the sense of the Senate that the levels in this resolution assume an increase of \$1,500,000 for fiscal year 2002 for the following Department of Justice programs for State and local law enforcement assistance to be provided for without reduction and consistent with previous appropriated and authorized levels: Local Law Enforcement Block Grant Program; Boys

9

10

11

12

1	and Girls Clubs of America Grant Program; Bulletproof
2	Vest Partnership Grant Program; Edward Byrne Memorial
3	State and Local Assistance Program; Violent Offender In-
4	carceration Prison Grant Program; Truth-In-Sentencing
5	Prison Grant Program; Juvenile Accountability Incentive
6	Block Grant Program; COPS Program; Violence Against
7	Women Act; Crime Identification Technology Act; Juvenile
8	Justice and Delinquency Prevention Programs; Computer
9	Crime Enforcement Act; DNA Analysis Backlog Elimi-
10	nation Act; and Paul Coverdell National Forensic Science
11	Improvement Act.
12	SEC. 305. SENSE OF THE SENATE REGARDING UNITED
13	STATES COAST GUARD FISCAL YEAR 2002
13 14	STATES COAST GUARD FISCAL YEAR 2002 FUNDING.
14	FUNDING.
14 15	FUNDING. It is the sense of the Senate that any level of budget
141516	FUNDING. It is the sense of the Senate that any level of budget authority and outlays in fiscal year 2002 below the level
14151617	FUNDING. It is the sense of the Senate that any level of budget authority and outlays in fiscal year 2002 below the level assumed in this resolution for the Coast Guard would re-
14 15 16 17 18	FUNDING. It is the sense of the Senate that any level of budget authority and outlays in fiscal year 2002 below the level assumed in this resolution for the Coast Guard would require the Coast Guard to—
14 15 16 17 18 19	FUNDING. It is the sense of the Senate that any level of budget authority and outlays in fiscal year 2002 below the level assumed in this resolution for the Coast Guard would require the Coast Guard to— (1) close numerous units and reduce overall mis-
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	FUNDING. It is the sense of the Senate that any level of budget authority and outlays in fiscal year 2002 below the level assumed in this resolution for the Coast Guard would require the Coast Guard to— (1) close numerous units and reduce overall mission capability, including the counter narcotics inter-
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	It is the sense of the Senate that any level of budget authority and outlays in fiscal year 2002 below the level assumed in this resolution for the Coast Guard would require the Coast Guard to— (1) close numerous units and reduce overall mission capability, including the counter narcotics interdiction mission which was authorized under the West-

1	(3) reduce operations in a manner that would
2	have a detrimental impact on the sustainability of
3	valuable fish stocks in the North Atlantic and Pacific
4	Northwest and its capacity to stem the flow of illicit
5	drugs and illegal immigration into the United States.
6	SEC. 306. STRENGTHENING OUR NATIONAL FOOD SAFETY
7	INFRASTRUCTURE.
8	(a) FINDING.—The Senate finds that the United States
9	food supply is one of the safest in the world, but in order
10	to maintain the integrity of our food supply in the face
11	of emerging threats, we must make the necessary invest-
12	ments now, in a time of surplus.
13	(b) Sense of the Senate.—It is the sense of the Sen-
14	ate that the levels in this resolution assume that at least
15	\$100,000,000 more (based on constant funding at fiscal
16	year 2002 level) should be invested at the Food and Drug
17	Administration and the Center for Disease Control food ac-
18	tivities next year in order to strengthen our national food
19	safety infrastructure by—
20	(1) increasing the number of inspectors within
21	the Food and Drug Administration to enable the Food
22	and Drug Administration to inspect high-risk sites at
23	least annually;
24	(2) supporting research that enables us to meet
25	emeraina threats:

1	(3) improving surveillance to identify and trace					
2	the sources and incidence of food-borne illness;					
3	(4) otherwise maintaining at least current fund					
4	ing levels for food safety initiatives in the Food and					
5	Drug Administration and the United States Depart					
6	ment of Agriculture; and					
7	(5) providing additional funds should such need.					
8	arise due to emerging food safety threats.					
9	SEC. 307. SENSE OF THE SENATE WITH RESPECT TO IN-					
10	CREASING FUNDS FOR RENEWABLE ENERGY					
11	RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT.					
12	Notwithstanding any other provision of this resolution,					
13	it is the sense of the Senate that the levels in this resolution					
14	assume—					
15	(1) that renewable energy resources can provide					
16	the Nation and the world with clean and sustainable					
17	sources of power;					
18	(2) that renewable energy technologies developed					
19	and deployed in the United States and exported					
20	abroad will improve our environment and balance of					
21	trade;					
22	(3) that increased reliance on renewable energy					
23	resources to satisfy the Nation's growing need for					
24	power can provide jobs, reliable electricity supplies,					

1	and reduce conventional pollution and greenhouse gas
2	emissions;
3	(4) that research and development of renewable
4	energy resources should be supported strongly by the
5	Federal Government;
6	(5) that a minimum of \$450,000,000 in fiscal
7	year 2002, shall be allocated to accelerate the research,
8	development and deployment of wind, photovoltaic,
9	geothermal, solar thermal, biomass and other renew-
10	able energy technologies; and
11	(6) further, that the amount assumed for renew-
12	able energy research and development shall increase
13	by greater than the rate of inflation for each subse-
14	quent year.
	Attest:

Secretary.

107th Congress 1st Session H. CON. RES. 83

AMENDMENT

- HCON 83 EAS——2
- HCON 83 EAS——3
- HCON 83 EAS——4
- HCON 83 EAS——5
- HCON 83 EAS——6
- HCON 83 EAS——7
- HCON 83 EAS——8
- HCON 83 EAS——9
- HCON 83 EAS——10