1	<u>RPTR_BAKER</u>
2	EDTR ROSEN
3	
4	
5	SELECT COMMITTEE ON BENGHAZI,
6	U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,
7	WASHINGTON, D.C.
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	INTERVIEW OF: BERNADETTE MEEHAN
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	FRIDAY, DECEMBER 26, 2015
18	
19	Washington, D.C.
20	
21	
22	The interview in the above matter was held in Room
23	HVC-205, Capitol Visitor Center, commencing at 10:04 a.m.
24	
25	

1	Appearances:
2	
3	
4	
5	For the SELECT COMMITTEE ON BENGHAZI:
6	
7	DANA CHIPMAN, CHIEF INVESTIGATIVE COUNSEL
8	CRAIG MISSAKIAN, DEPUTY CHIEF COUNSEL
9	SHERIA CLARKE, COUNSEL
10	SUSANNE SACHSMAN GROOMS, MINORITY STAFF DIRECTOR/GENERAL
11	COUNSEL
12	HEATHER SAWYER, MINORITY CHIEF COUNSEL
13	PETER KENNY, MINORITY SENIOR COUNSEL
14	DANIEL REBNORD, MINORITY PROFESSIONAL STAFF
15	ERIN O'BRIEN, MINORITY DETAILEE
16 .	
17	For BERNADETTE MEEHAN:
18	
19	JAMES WALSH, Associate White House counsel
20	NICHOLAS MCQUAID, Deputy White House Counsel
21	ZAID ZAID, Associate white House counsel
22	
23	
24	
25	

1 Mr. Missakian. Ms. Meehan, my name is Craig Missakian. 2 I'm one of the lawyers for the majority staff on the House 3 Select Committee on Benghazi. Before we begin the 4 questioning, I'm just going to go over some ground rules. First, we're conducting this transcribed interview pursuant 5 6 to resolution of Congress, and I just wanted to make sure 7 that you understand when you're answering our questions or 8 answering questions from Members of Congress, that you're 9 subject to the penalties provided for in 18 U.S.C. 1001 that 10 requires to provide truthful testimony, and if you don't, you 11 could be subject to criminal penalty. Do you understand 12 that?

13

16

Ms. <u>Meehan.</u> I do.

Mr. <u>Missakian.</u> Is there any reason why today you can't
 give us your best, most truthful testimony?

Ms. Meehan. No reason.

17 Mr. Missakian. Now, this is going to be a little 18 different, and I don't know if you've ever had your 19 deposition taken before, but it is going to be a little different than a deposition would occur in the context of a 20 21 Federal District Court proceeding, for example. Here, there 22 are no objections other than for privilege. To the extent 23 that an objection is raised for privilege and we can't resolve it here, it will then go to the chairman of the 24 committee, Trey Gowdy, and it will be resolved at that level. 25

So just to clarify, are you represented here today by
 counsel?

Ms. <u>Meehan.</u> I am accompanied today by members of the
White House counsel staff. I do not have personal counsel
here.

6 Mr. <u>Missakian.</u> So to the extent that Mr. McQuaid or the 7 other lawyers here from the White House counsel staff intends 8 to raise objections based on privilege, we can deal with 9 those as they come up.

10

Ms. Meehan. Okay.

11 Mr. <u>Missakian.</u> The ground rules for this interview, 12 it's also a little different than a deposition. What happens 13 is the majority staff will begin the questioning, and we will 14 go for an hour. Then once we're finished, the lawyers from 15 the minority staff will step in, and they will go for another 16 hour; and we'll go back and forth until everyone is finished 17 asking all their questions.

18 If at any time in the middle of an hour or at the end of 19 an hour if you want to take a break for any reason or no 20 reason, just let us know, and we'll do our best to 21 accommodate that. Have I left anything out?

22 Mr. <u>Chipman</u>. Perhaps if you could get a record of those 23 who are in attendance.

24 Mr. <u>Missakian.</u> Yes. Typically we go around the room 25 and introduce people. As I said, I am Craig Missakian from

the majority staff. 1 2 Ms. Clarke. Sheria Clarke from the majority staff. 3 Ms. Sachsman Grooms. I'm Susanne Sachsman Grooms from the minority staff. 4 5 Ms. <u>Sawyer</u>. Heather Sawyer from the minority. Ms. O'Brien. Erin O'Brien, minority. 6 7 Mr. Walsh. James Walsh, White House counsel's office. 8 Mr. Zaid. Zaid Zaid, White House counsel's office. 9 Mr. McQuaid. Nick McQuaid, White House counsel's 10 office. 11 Ms. Meehan. And Bernadette Meehan, witness. 12 Mr. Chipman. Dana Chipman with the majority staff. EXAMINATION 13 BY MR. MISSAKIAN: 14 Let's begin. Ms. Meehan, let's start with where 15 0 did you work and what was your title in September of 2012? 16 In September of 2012, I was a foreign service 17 A officer on detail to the White House National Security 18 Council. My title was assistant press secretary in the 19 National Security Council Press Office. 20 21 Mr. Missakian. For the record, we just had two 22 additional people join us. Gentlemen, could you state your name for the record. 23 Mr. Kenny. Peter Kenny for the minority staff. 24 25 Mr. <u>Rebnord</u>. Dan Rebnord for the minority.

1 BY MR. MISSAKIAN: 2 Q And when did that detail begin? 3 My detail began in July of 2012. A 4 0 How long did it last? I was a member of the NSC press team until June of 5 A 2015, though my position within the NSC press office changed 6 over those 3 years. 7 8 How did it change? 0 9 I eventually became the deputy spokesperson and A 10 then eventually the spokesperson for the National Security 11 Council. 12 Q When did that first change in your title occur? I became the deputy at some point in 2013, summer 13 A 14 of 2013. I think. And when were you promoted to be the spokesperson 15 Q of the National Security Council? 16 17 A In the summer/fall of 2014. I've see the National Security Council referred to 18 Q sometimes by a different acronym, NSS, sometimes NSS, 19 20 sometimes NSC. What do you prefer? What is it? Jas 21 It is currently NSC staff. When I started it 🗃 A 22 NSS staff, but it has since gone back to being officially called NSC staff. 23 24 0 So if I refer to it as NSC, we'll all know what we're talking about? 25

A Yes.

1

2 Q Prior to becoming a detailee to the NSC in July of 3 2012, what were you doing at the State Department?

4 A I began my career as a Foreign Service officer in 5 2004. I served a 2-year assignment as the U.S. Embassy in Bogota, Colombia, from 2004 to 2006. In 2006, I volunteered 6 7 to serve in Iraq. So from September 2006 until September 8 2007, I was at the U.S. Embassy in Baghdad, Iraq. I, then, 9 returned to Washington and studied Arabic full-time at the 10 Department of State's Foreign Service Institute in Virginia. about That was been 10 months. I, then, was deployed to the U.S. 11 Consulate General in Dubai, the United Arab Emirates. That 12 13 was from the summer of 2008 until the summer of 2010.

In the summer of 2010, I returned to Washington and 14 began a detail, or an assignment, I should say, in the 15 Department of State's Executive Secretariat. That was 16 approximately 10 months. I, then, became the Foreign Service 17 18 officer filling a special assistant position in the Secretary of State's office. I did that for approximately 15 months, 19 until I was detailed over to the National Security Council in 20 21 July of 2012.

22 Q And once you got to the National Security Council 23 in July of 2012, what were your duties?

A My responsibility, at that particular point in time, was to handle press inquiries related to administration

policy in the Middle East and North Africa. 1 2 0 Could you give us a little bit of an idea what the structure of the office looked like? 3 Sure. At that point in time, we were four press 4 A officers on detail from various national security agencies. 5 We reported to both the spokesperson at the time, and the 6 deputy national security advisor for strategic 7 communications. 8 9 Who was the spokesperson at the time? 0 At that time, it was Tommy Vietor. 10 A 11 Q Tommy Vietor was the spokesperson for the National Security Council? 12 A That's correct. 13 14 Q And the deputy spokesperson you mentioned, who was that? 15 The deputy spokesperson at the time was Caitlin 16 A 17 Hayden. Can you spell her name, please? 18 Q 19 Α C-a-i-t-l-i-n, and her last name is H-a-y-d-e-n. Was Ben Rhodes employed at the NSC at that point? 20 Q He was. He was the Deputy National Security 21 A Advisor that I referred to. 22 Oh, okay. And where was Ben Rhodes in your chain 23 0 of command? 24 Ben A I reported both to Tommy and to Ben. So Tommy was 25

direct boss, and the four press officers in the NSC 1 2 press office reported to Tommy and to Ben. 3 0 Physically, where were you all located? At this particular point in the time, the four 4 A press officers were in the Executive Office Building, the 5 Eisenhower Executive Office Building. Tommy and Ben were 6 in the located^VWest Wing. 7 8 Q Did that change? 9 When I became the spokesperson of the National A Security Council, I, then, took a desk in the West Wing where 10 11 Tommy used to sit. Focusing on September of 2012, were you in the Old 12 Q Executive Office Building at that point? 13 14 A I was. And what was your relationship, if any, to Denis 15 Q 16 McDonough at that point in time? At that point in time, Denis McDonough was the 17 A 18 Deputy National Security Advisor handling policy, as opposed to Ben Rhodes, who did strategic communications. So I would 19 see him in meetings. He was obviously sort of the deputy of 20 21 the overall NSC, so I would see him in meetings, but no 22 direct reporting line to him. 23 0 Give us an idea of your day-to-day life in September of 2012 prior to the attacks. 24 25 A Sure. Well, I had been there for approximately 7

or 8 weeks at that point in time, so was still new to the 1 2 NSC. We would field requests from reporters, both domestic 3 and international, throughout the day. We were also 4 responsible for coordinating press guidance throughout the 5 interagency to help prepare any of the spokespeople at 6 national security agencies that would hold daily press 7 briefings. That would include, at the time, Jay Carney, the 8 spokesperson for the White House, Toria Nuland at the State 9 Department, George Little at the Department of Defense, and 10 attend meetings as required to help fulfill those duties.

11 Q Did anybody sit you down and explain the process of 12 coordinating the interagency messaging that you just talked 13 about?

So generally, when someone joined the press office, 14 A 15 we had a few days with our predecessor, so I had time to shadow that individual, and then we have time, obviously, 16 17 with the other members of the office. It's often a staggered 18 start, so I was the only person starting at that particular 19 point in time, and was able to work with the other members of the office to understand how we were expected to carry out 20 21 those duties.

22

Q Okay. What did you learn?

A Well, I learned how we coordinate, usually on a daily basis, with other national security agencies to try and determine what we feel will be, quote-unquote, news of the

day, that may be raised in any of the daily press briefings 1 2 across the interagency. We coordinate across the interagency 3 press offices to work on incoming stories. There's usually 4 multiple equities involved and multiple agencies will have a 5 part of what a reporter is inquiring about, so we want to 6 ensure that every agency that has an equity is aware of that 7 and is working together to respond to an inquiry. So that is 8 generally what I was taught when I came in.

9 Q In the process of coordinating a message with the 10 interagency, does somebody have the final word on the 11 message?

A It's a case-by-case basis. It depends on what the topic is, whether the inquiry is, what the various equities are of each of the agencies, so it would be a collaborative process among the interagency to determine who will field that inquiry and what input other agencies will have into it.

Q Can you give us an example of something in practice that might shed some light on who has the final word on a particular issue?

A Sure. So, you can look at multiple scenarios that would, perhaps, be different, but an example would be if there is military action going on in Iraq, for example, and a reporter has an inquiry, they may have an inquiry that has multiple parts. They may say, for example, can you discuss what targets were struck in a particular military action, and

how does that relate to the President's overall strategy to
 combat ISIS in Iraq.

3 We would then coordinate among the different agencies 4 and say, DOD, you would be best placed to handle inquiries 5 about what the military targets were, what the military 6 action was in that instance; whereas, the White House would 7 be most appropriate, from the NSC staff, to handle questions 8 related to the President's overall policy with regards to 9 combating ISIS in Iraq. And if there was a role for the 10 State Department, we would draw them into that as well.

11 Q Okay. Aside from who would be the best agency to 12 address an issue, would it be fair to say that NSC has the 13 final word on the content of a message, or is that going too 14 far?

15 It's dependent. It's a case-by-case basis again. A 16 You know, the military would be best placed to make a final decision on how they're going to characterize military 17 action, for example. The intelligence community would be the 18 19 provider and the final decisionmaker on anything related to an intelligence assessment, for example. Generally the NSC 20 21 would have the final word on anything that is characterizing 22 the President's feelings, thoughts, or policy. So, again, it's a case-by-case basis. 23

24 Q Speaking of the intelligence community, how would 25 you describe the relationship between the NSC and the

intelligence community?

A Well, I can only speak to the communications part, since that was the channel that I worked in.

4

Q Yes.

A But we had an excellent relationship. They were a part of the daily coordination that we had across the interagency for news-of-the-day items. I was in daily contact usually with my counterparts at both the CIA and the DNI.

10 Q Were there any other members of the intelligence 11 community that you had this daily contact with, besides CIA 12 and DIA?

A On occasion, NCTC. At this particular time related to Benghazi, there were no other agencies that I was in direct contact with the spokespeople. I can't speak to what the coordinating role of the DNI and the CIA were in that.

Mr. <u>McQuaid.</u> Craig, I think you said DIA. I'm not sure
 if that's the same.

19 BY MR. MISSAKIAN:

20 Q I'm sorry. You said DNI.

21 A DNI.

22 Q I did say DIA. Thank you. Who were your contacts 23 at CIA? This was in September of 2012.

A Right. I can't recall who the spokesperson was at that particular time at CIA.

1 In talking about the CIA, are we talking about the 0 2 office within CIA that has the acronym OPA? 3 Yes, that would be correct, the Office of Public A 4 Affairs. 5 Within the Office of Public Affairs, there was, 0 6 obviously, a spokesperson whose name you can't recall. Is 7 that the person you dealt with on a daily basis? 8 It would be, generally from CIA, each member of A 9 their team would participate in daily coordination. They had 10 a relatively small team. 11 Do you remember the name of anybody on the team? 0 12 At that particular time, there was an individual A 13 named Preston who was working there at the time. He's the 14 one that comes to mind. I don't recall who the other members 15 of that team were at that time. 16 0 Do you recall dealing with anybody in particular on the issue of the attacks in Benghazi? 17 18 On CIA, I don't. Most of the coordination was done A 19 through the DNI, on the intelligence side. 20 In communicating with Preston or anybody else in 0 21 the CIA, OPA shop, was that done by phone or was it done by 22 email? 23 Α Both. 24 Both. And with regards to DNI, did they have their 0 25 own press office?

A They did.

1

14

2 Q Do you recall the names of anybody in the DNI press 3 office?

A I do. The spokesperson at the time was Shawn Turner, and he generally was the person that we coordinated anything related to intelligence with.

Q Was there anybody else in that press shop that yourecall?

9 A There were other members of the press shop. Shawn
10 was my primary point of contact. At that point in time, I
11 don't recall who was working with him.

12 Q Do you recall dealing with Shawn with regard to the 13 attacks in Benghazi?

A I do.

Q Why don't we just go into that a little bit. What do you recall about your interaction with Shawn Turner with regard to the Benghazi attacks?

A He was a member, as I said, of the interagency communications team, so I remember on a daily basis he was part of any meetings that the interagency communicators convened, whether it was email chains, SVTCs, conference calls; and he was, as I said, our conduit $\frac{1}{20}$ information that the intelligence community felt was relevant as we formulated a public response to the attack.

25 Q Do you recall anything specific about your

2

3

4

5

interactions with Shawn Turner, or do all those meetings and email exchanges and conversations just blend together?

Mr. <u>McQuaid.</u> Can we go off the record for one second? [Discussion off the record.]

BY MR. MISSAKIAN:

6 Q During the off-the-record discussion, I want to 7 make it clear to the witness that the questions I'm asking 8 you are really focused on the period around the September 11. 9 2012 attack. To the extent you remember events that occurred 10 on a specific day, you can provide that information to us. 11 To the extent you don't and you just have a generalized 12 recollection of events during that time period, the time 13 period of the attack or the immediate aftermath, that's fine 14 to provide that information as well. But I'm not asking for 15 your recollection of events about Benghazi that may have 16 occurred more recently. We're just focused on that time period. Is that clear? 17

А

Yes.

18

Q Okay. So back to the question about Shawn Turner,
do you recall any specific interaction you had with him
during that September 11, 2012 time period?

A This is obviously more than 3 years ago at this point. I remember lots of interactions with him. I am happy to answer questions if there are questions about specific interactions, but I would sort of need a little bit more

context to be able to answer the questions.

2 Q Let's go in. What specific interactions do you 3 recall, and we'll start there?

4 A Well, again, I remember that Shawn was a 5 participant on daily conference calls that we would have, 6 even prior to Benghazi as a general rule that we held across 7 the interagency to determine what would news-of-the-day 8 topics be that spokespeople or other agencies would need to 9 deal with. During the time period that we're discussing, Benghazi obviously was the focus of most of the press during 10 that time, so I do recall that Shawn was the DNI 11 12 representative on those calls.

Let me jump in to make it a little easier. 13 0 I want 14 to ask you if you have any specific recollection. An example 15 of that would be on such-an-such a day, you remember getting 16 on the phone with a Shawn Turner and discussing a specific topic. I know this was a few years ago, so it may be 17 18 difficult to recall that, but if you have any recollections 19 of any conversations that stick out in your mind or any meetings that may stick out in your mind or any email 20 21 exchanges, where you can, not word for word, but give us the essential substance of what was discussed. that's kind of 22 23 what I'm asking for now. Does anything stick out in your mind during that period, or does it all kind of blend 24 25 together in a more general way?

1	A It all kind of blends together in a more general
2	way.
3	Q Okay. That's fair, so we'll try to get at it in a
4	different way. A couple background questions. At the time,
5	did you have a security clearance?
6	A I did.
7	Q To what level?
8	A TS/SCI.
9	Q And in your office, did you have a secure computer
10	system in your own office?
11	A I did.
12	Q Was there also a SCIF in the area where you worked?
13	A There was.
14	Q And during the period between 9/11, 2012 and the
15	attacks and the end of that week, do you recall reading any
16	classified information?
17	A I don't recall specific classified information, but
18	as a general rule, I would have access to classified
19	information during that time, yes.
20	Q As you sit here today, you can't recall anything
21	specific that you read? And I'm just talking about physical
22	pieces of paper that you read.
23	A I do not recall specifically what classified
24	information I was reading at that time, no.
25	Q Do you recall if you read any classified

information, other than your general practice?

A Again, as I sit here today, I couldn't say with certainty.

4 Q Do you recall having any classified briefings 5 during that period?

A I don't recall having any classified briefings by the intelligence community, for example. I would, as a general rule, have been involved in meetings where classified information was discussed.

10 Q As a general rule, that may have occurred, but 11 during that period, do you recall anything specifically where 12 you participated in a classified briefing?

A I recall that in that period, there would have been communicator SVTCs that are not necessarily classified in and of themselves, but would have been held in a secure facility in the WHSR at a TS/SCI level, and it is possible that classified information would have been discussed in those meetings, yes.

19QDo you recall any of those SVTCs in particular?20AI don't.

21 Q We have seen evidence that there was a SVTC at 7:30 22 p.m. on the night of the attacks on September 11. Did you 23 take part in that? Do you recall?

A I don't recall as I sit here today.
Q Do you recall taking part in any specific SVTC

l during that week?

A Specifically I don't. I know that I did, but if you're asking for a specific sort of date, time, and topics, I don't recall.

Q When you say you know that you did, is that just based on your own understanding of your own general practice, or does something specific stand out in your mind? For example, did you review a calendar prior to this interview today that jogged your memory?

A I did not review any calendars in preparation for my appearance here today. It's a combination of as general practice, we would hold those types of meetings among the interagency, classified SVTCs, and I do recall that there were communicator SVTCs that were held that week. I don't recall specific dates and times.

16 Q Did you review any documents prior to the interview 17 here today to prepare?

A I was shown less than 10 documents by **a** White House counsel in preparation for this appearance today, documents that they thought might be raised during the questioning that they wanted me to familiarize myself with, but, no, beyond that, I did not.

Q Let's talk a little bit about the night of the
attacks on September 11. Do you recall how you first heard
about the attacks?

2

I do not.

A

0

3 you saw it on the news, whether you got an email, anything? 4 А I don't, unfortunately. I'm sorry. 5 0 Do you recall where you were at the time when you heard? 6 7 A I was at the NSC. 8 Do you recall about what time you heard? 0 9 I do not. Α 10 Do you recall any discussions with anybody, and I'm 0 11 not talking about the whole night. I'm just talking about in relationship to your first hearing about what had occurred? 12 13 A I can't say whether this is the first I heard, but 14 I do specifically remember receiving an email from Toria 15 Nuland, who was the spokesperson at the State Department at the time, wanting to ensure, on her part, that I was aware 16 that something was happening, and asking that we remain in 17 18 close touch as the situation developed in anticipation of 19 press inquiries. 20 Q In response to that email, did you do anything? I don't recall. 21 Α

Do you recall whether somebody told you, whether

22 Take us through the night, as best you can Q reconstruct it now a few years later, from the point where 23 you heard about the attacks until you went home that night. 24 25

I recall being in contact with the State A

Department, Department of Defense, the intelligence

community, conversations with Ben and Tommy. I would have been in touch also with policy members of the NSC who had responsibility for Libya as well. I don't recall what time I left that evening, but I know it was quite late, and when I returned home, I continued to work for a good portion of the night on BlackBerry.

8 Q Let's start with the conversations you may have had 9 with people at the NSC that night. I think you mentioned Ben 10 Rhodes and Tommy Vietor. Aside from those two, did you speak 11 to anybody else about the attacks that you recall?

A I don't recall specifically. As a general matter when there is something that occurs that I will need to be prepared to respond publicly to, I would be in touch with whoever the policy person is at the NSC that has responsibility for that particular issue.

17 Q Who was that?

1

18 A At this time, it would have been Ben Fishman, who
 19 was the director for Libya.

20 Q During that night, can you say how many 21 conversations you had with Ben Rhodes?

22 A I don't recall.

23 Q Was it one, a dozen?

A I really couldn't say. I don't remember.

25 Q Do you have a best estimate? Was it at the point

where you were talking to them constantly, or were they all
 blending together?

3

A I wouldn't want to speculate.

Q That's fair. What, if anything, do you recall about any of the conversations you had with Mr. Rhodes that night?

A I recall letting him know that I was in touch with my counterparts at the various national security agencies, that I was working with MENA, which was the Middle East-North Africa Directorate at the NSC, the policy side, which was customary and standard practice, and discussing with him how we were going to work with the interagency to determine what the press response, the public response, to this would be.

14 Q And how did you first communicate with him? Was it 15 by email, by phone? Did you walk over to the West Wing? How 16 did you do it?

17

A I don't recall.

Q When you had your first conversation with
Mr. Rhodes, did you get the sense that he was aware of the
attack?

A I don't recall that first conversation, so I
 couldn't say.

23 Q Do you recall whether you told him about the attack 24 or whether he was already aware of it?

25 A Again, unfortunately as I don't remember the first

conversation, I wouldn't want to speculate as to who told
 who.

Q As best you can recall, what did he say to you
during that evening about the attacks?

5 A I don't recall specific conversations. As a 6 general matter, it would have been conversations about what 7 the public response would have been. That would have been 8 the responsibility of the press team and the rest of the 9 communicators in the interagency, so discussions would have 10 centered around that topic.

11 Q You phrased your answer by saying what would have 12 occurred. I don't want you to speculate. If you don't have 13 a specific recollection, that's fine, but do you recall, 14 generally, anything that he said that night?

15 A I do not.

16 Q So as you sit here today, you can't recall anything 17 that he said?

18 A On that specific day, no, I could not with19 certainty.

20 Q What about Tommy Vietor, did you have any 21 conversations with him the night of the attack?

A I don't recall specific conversations. Again, as a general matter, he would have been involved in helping to determine what the public response was.

25 Q Generally, do you recall anything that he said to

1 you that night?

2 A I do not.

Q Do you recall anything that Mr. Fishman, Ben
 Fishman, said to you that night?

A I do not.

Q I believe you said that you communicated with the
State Department as well. Who at the State Department other
than Victoria Nuland, who you already identified?

9 A I was in touch with press counterparts in the 10 Department of State's Bureau of NEA Affairs. Specifically, I 11 recall being in touch with **Example 1** throughout that day. 12 He was a press officer.

13

5

Q What do you recall discussing with Mr.

A I recall that was the first person that morning to inform me of an incident outside the U.S. Embassy in Cairo early in the morning Washington time, having discussions with him about that, and being in touch with him throughout the day as we were waiting for more information about what was happening in Benghazi.

20

Q What did he tell you about Cairo?

A To the best of my recollection, he told me that there had been an incident in Cairo outside the Embassy with individuals who were protesting the production of an anti-Muslim video, and that there were attempts to reach the compound walls.

Q What, if anything, did you do with regard to Cairo?
 A Me personally?

3

0 Yes.

A I told him to keep me apprised. I asked him if the State Department had already issued a comment. And to my recollection, they had already publicly spoken and addressed what was going on in Cairo.

8

9

10

11

Q The State Department, or the Embassy in Cairo? A The State Department said that the State Department had responded, whether that referred to the Embassy in Cairo or the Main State Department, I couldn't say.

12QOkay. Did you have any conversations with Tommy13Vietor or Ben Rhodes about what was occurring in Cairo?

A Not that I can recall at that point in time. I do recall that what had happened in Cairo certainly came up once we were aware that there was an incident outside of the facility in Benghazi, but I don't recall speaking to them in the morning about it as a separate matter.

19 Q Okay. So you do recall there was a time between 20 what occurred in Cairo and what occurred in Benghazi? They 21 were not happening at the same time?

22

A That is my recollection, yes.

Q And what, if anything, do you recall discussing about the relationship, if any, between what was occurring in Cairo and what was occurring in Benghazi?

1 I don't recall the specifics of those A 2 conversations, simply that there was a discussion that there 3 had been an incident in Cairo earlier in the day as we were learning about what was unfolding in Benghazi. 4 Beyond that, do you recall anything else that was 5 Q 6 said? 7 I do not. A Did you do anything with regard to Cairo? In other 8 Q words, did you prepare a draft message or anything like that? 9 Did you do anything? 10 To the best of my recollection, before I was aware 11 A 12 of what was happening in Benghazi, we deferred to the State 13 Department to address what was happening in Cairo, which would have been standard practice at that point. 14 It sounded like your conversation with Mr. 15 0 16 was focused on Cairo. He was giving you a heads up on that incident. Is that fair? 17 That's my recollection, yes. 18 A Did you have any conversations with Mr. 19 0 or anybody else at the State Department about Benghazi? 20 I do recall that I was in touch with and his 21 A office, the NEA press office, again, as we became aware that 22 there was an incident in Benghazi, yes. 23 Was it just Mr. that you communicated with or 24 0 25 was it other people in his office?

1 A I don't recall specifically. Generally it would be 2 more than one person in that office. 3 And you've told us what you and he discussed about 0 4 Cairo. Do you recall what you and he discussed about 5 Benghazi? 6 А I do not. 7 Did you take any notes of any of your conversations 0 with him that we could look at, anything like that? 8 9 I don't recall taking notes. I, generally, as a A 10 practice, wouldn't. Most of my conversations with him 11 probably took place over email. 12 0 Do you recall any of your conversations with 13 anybody else at the State Department besides Ms. Nuland and 14 about Benghazi, the night of the attack? Mr. 15 А Specifically September 11? 16 0 Yes. 17 A I do recall later that night, very late that night, emailing Jake Sullivan to ask him whether Chris Stevens was 18 19 dead. 20 Did you ever have any conversations, like phone 0 21 conversations, with Mr. Sullivan? 22 A I don't recall whether I did on that day. 23 Q Do you recall generally having any conversations 24 with him that week? Or in the immediate aftermath of the attack, that general period of September 2012? 25

I do recall having one phone conversation with him. 1 A I don't know whether it's in the scope of the 4 to 5 days 2 that we're discussing. 3 4 0 Okay. What was discussed in that conversation? 5 He raised that he had been unaware before Matt A Olsen testified on the Hill, that Matt Olsen was going to 6 7 make a link publicly to Al Qaeda in reference to the Benghazi 8 attack. 9 Why did he raise that issue with you? 0 10 A I can't say why I was the individual that he 11 called. I don't know. Did he ask you to do anything? 12 0 Mr. McQuaid. Craig, as I think you're aware, I think 13 that is postdating what we were here to talk about. 14 15 Mr. Missakian. No, it's not. Let's go off the record. [Discussion off the record.] 16 17 Ms. Sachsman Grooms. Let's go on the record for the 18 conversation. 19 Mr. Missakian. Let's go on the record. Mr. McQuaid. So on the record, we had a very clear 20 21 understanding that's memorialized in an email that we were here to talk about September 12 through the 16, and that what 22 you're talking about, again, Ms. Meehan wouldn't know those 23 24 exact dates, but I know from being aware of the record of the investigation, that it's the 18th, so I'd ask you to, again, 25

1 direct your questions to the 12th through the 16th. 2 Mr. Missakian. Can we go off the record. [Discussion off the record.] 3 4 BY MR. MISSAKIAN: 5 All right. Let's go back to the list of people you 0 6 communicated with that night. You also mentioned you 7 communicated with the Department of Defense, I believe? 8 Correct. A 9 Describe that for us. 0 10 That would have been George Little and/or other A 11 members of his team that would be the press office at the Department of Defense, again, as part of the interagency 12 13 coordination efforts to determine what the initial press 14 posture would be. Again, you say it would have been George Little 15 0 and/or. I'm just asking you about what you recall. If you 16 don't recall who you communicated with, that's fine. That's 17 18 an acceptable answer. So do you recall specifically 19 communicating with anybody from the Department of Defense 20 that night? 21 А I do not recall specific conversations, no. 22 0 So would it be fair to say that the communications you had with DOD were email communications where they may 23 have been on an email chain? 24 25 A Certainly, email would have been one method of

1 communications, yes.

Q Do you recall having phone conversations with 2 3 anybody at the Department of Defense? 4 I recall that we had an interagency conference A call. DOD was a party to that call. I don't remember who 5 specifically represented DOD on that call. 6 Do you recall when that conversation occurred? 7 0 8 I do not. A 9 0 What do you recall about that interagency phone call? 10 11 Again, only that it was to coordinate what the А 12 initial press response would be. 13 0 What do you recall generally about what was 14 discussed? 15 A I don't want to speculate, per your instructions. I don't recall specifically what that conversation was. 16 Okay. Do you recall anything generally? 17 Q It would have been a determination. It was a 18 A determination of which agencies had equities, and that it was 19 20 basically a decision about whether the State Department or 21 the White House would be the first to speak about what was occurring. 22 What do you recall about how that decision was made 23 0 as to whether or not it should be the State Department or the 24 White House to speak initially? 25

- 1 2
- A I don't recall specifically.

Q What do you recall generally?

A Generally, I recall that Toria Nuland had drafted holding lines that she recommended on behalf of the State Department. I couldn't speak to who was involved in that decision at the State Department, but that Toria, in communicating it to us, recommended that the State Department put out initially as holding lines.

9

Q

What is a holding line?

10 A A holding line is generally information that we put 11 out to the press when there's great interest in a particular 12 issue, and we don't have a complete understanding of what is 13 occurring at a particular point in time, but there is a need 14 to provide a response, so that is generally something that 15 acknowledges what the issue is, and saying that as we have 16 more information, we will make it available.

17 Q And do you recall when during the evening that 18 phone call occurred?

19

23

A I do not.

20 Q Was there any information that was being provided 21 back and forth about what was going on in Benghazi during 22 that call?

A I don't recall.

Q As best you can recall, how did you get the information about the attack that you had that night?

1 Again, I don't recall how I initially found out A 2 about the attack. Now I'm going to break my own rule. How would you 3 0 4 have learned about it? 5 There are multiple possibilities. It's possible A 6 that I heard from someone internal to the NSC. It's possible 7 that I heard, first, from someone at the State Department who knew about it directly from the Mission in Benghazi, but I 8 just don't recall who it was that first told me. 9 10 0 Okay. If you had received information that was being passed from the Mission in Benghazi or the Embassy in 11 Tripoli, how would that chain have looked? 12 13 So without saying that that's what occurred --A 14 Q Sure. 15 -- generally, on matters that contain information A that's coming from a post overseas, I would receive that 16 through the communicators office at the State Department. 17 That was my primary channel of communication, so it would 18 19 have come either through Toria Nuland in the spokesperson's Office of Public Affairs, or through the press office in the 20 21 NEA Bureau. And sitting here today, as best you can recall, 22 0 what did you personally believe had occurred in Benghazi on 23 the night of September 11? 24 As I recall, there was a great deal of confusion 25 A

about what was occurring in Benghazi. I remember that there were questions in my mind about whether this was related to what had happened earlier in Cairo, which was a result of protests based on this video that we knew had been put out in the public sphere.

6 So I recall, you know, when I went home and went to 7 sleep that night not having a clear understanding really of 8 what had happened.

9 Q How did you come to the conclusion that the 10 protests in Cairo occurred over the video?

11 A As I recall, that was publicly stated by people 12 that were protesting and acting out against the Embassy at 13 the time.

Q So you were relying on open media reports?

A Well, certainly there were open media reports stating that, and that, as I am a press officer, is something that I would be watching throughout the day, yes.

Q But would you have relied on that, in other words,
 accepted it as truthful?

A I would not have made any public comment without receiving information about a U.S. Government assessment, no.

22

14

Q Why is that?

A Because as a general rule, we don't speculate when we speak to the public. We rely on an assessment developed by members of the interagency community to provide facts. We

are driven in any public response by factual information, not
 speculating on what we personally think may have happened in
 a particular instance.

Q So would it be fair to say that if you read something in an open media news report, you would not have relied on it because it may not be true?

A That's correct.

8 Q Do you have a specific or general recollection of 9 anything you discussed with anybody at the Department of 10 Defense, either that night or that week up to the 16th?

A I do not.

7

11

Q I think you also mentioned that you had
communications with the IC or the intelligence community.
Tell us what you meant by that?

A So during that broader period that we're discussing, the 12th through the 16th, the IC was responsible for feeding into the drafting of press items that would be used by members of the U.S. Government in public response, and they would have been responsible for providing us the assessment of what the U.S. Government believed to have happened in the attack in Benghazi.

Q So you would have been interacted with them for them to provide the assessments that would have then been used in, I think you said, statements by members of the U.S. Government?

A They would have fed in information to the press guidance that was then provided to people like Jay Carney, for example, Victoria Nuland, Department of Defense spokespeople, others in the U.S. Government who would be speaking publicly about the attack, yes.

Q So to specifically focus on your interaction with the intelligence community, what do you recall about that?

6

7

8 So I recall that in the days that we are speaking A 9 about, I played my standard role of coordinating the 10 interagency communicators group, so I would have been one of 11 the repositories for gathering inputs from different agencies 12 related to their equities and their responsibilities as it relates to what occurred in Benghazi. And I recall 13 14 interacting with Shawn Turner at DNI in that regard, 15 receiving information from him that represented the 16 assessments of his building and others that DNI would have coordinated with, and feeding that into the overall press 17 guidance package that would have been provided. 18

19QCan you remember any specific days when you20received an assessment from the DNI or the CIA?

A I can say that the DNI and the CIA, every single day, would have been part of the drafting, clearing, and approving process of press guidance. Whether they were providing new material each day, I can't say specifically. 0 Tell us a little bit about that. How does the NSC

work with the DNI and the CIA to review, vet, and approve
 press guidance? How does that work?

3 So as a general rule, the NSC helps to coordinate A 4 among the interagency, so on a case-by-case basis, depending 5 on what the issue or the topic is, there are various people 6 who would have a hand in drafting press guidance. Because 7 there were so many equities involved in what had happened in 8 Benghazi, there would have been original inputs coming from 9 multiple agencies, so the NSC generally takes on the role as 10 compiling those and circulating them to ensure that any 11 agency that has an equity in what happened has an opportunity 12 to provide input into the drafting, has an opportunity to review during the clearance process, and ultimately gives a 13 14 final approval before that is used by any member of the 15 U.S. Government publicly.

Q Let me give you an example that might give a little more context. Let's say both the DNI and the CIA provide an assessment of what occurred in Benghazi. Do those assessments come to you, to the NSC, initially?

20 A Are you talking about press guidance or the actual 21 intelligence itself?

Q Right. My understanding of what you said, and I may have misunderstood was the intelligence community, the DNI, the CIA, they provide intelligence assessments. That information is then used to provide press guidance. That

press guidance is, in turn, then sent back to the DNI or the
 CIA to review and approve?

3

A Uh-huh.

Q Is that the process? In other words, are they doing the press guidance first then sending it along with the assessment, or do you, at the NSC, take the assessment and use that to prepare the press guidance, which you then send back?

9 I wish it was a linear process. The truth is, it's A 10 not, and that's not just in the case of Benghazi. It's often 11 the scramble of just how the interagency works when we're 12 responding to queries. It's not linear in the sense that 13 it's not that DNI provides something, CIA provides something, State Department provides something. Often those agencies 14 15 are working simultaneously on various parts of press 16 guidance. It would come together in one document, and oftentimes be circulated multiple times before we have a 17 clear product. It's not something that would sort of come 18 19 over once and then be cleared.

To answer your more specific question, anything that we use publicly that refers to an intelligence assessment would use the exact language that the intelligence community provided. We would not generally fiddle with that type of language. We may put context around it, but the intelligence community would provide what they believe to be an

1

unclassified assessment suitable for public use.

Q So the intelligence community would have the final word on that language; are we talking about the analyst side at the CIA, or are we talking about the press shop at the CIA, if you know?

6 A I do not know. My counterpart, obviously, is in 7 the press shop. They would be responsible for sending me a 8 DNI- or a CIA-cleared product, but what their internal 9 process is for clearing that with policy folks and senior 10 leadership, I couldn't speak to that.

11 Q Let's try to dig in a little bit on the specifics 12 of how it played out with regard to Benghazi. Do you recall 13 receiving any specific intelligence assessments from the DNI?

14 A So are you asking about press guidance related to 15 intelligence assessments or actual?

Q No, actual intelligence.

17 A I don't recall that I had access to those.

Q Do you recall if you had access to the actual
 intelligence assessments provided by the CIA?

20

16

A I don't recall.

21 Q Did anybody, to your knowledge, at the NSC, receive 22 it and review the actual intelligence assessments that were 23 being provided during this period?

A I wouldn't want to speak to what access other people had at the NSC.

- 1
- Q So you don't know?

A I don't know.

Q But you did not -- well, it sounds like you would have had access, but you don't recall if you actually reviewed it that week?

6 A That's correct. It is possible that I would have 7 had access. I can't say either way, because I don't recall.

Q And when you said for use by members of the U.S. Government, I mean, there's been a lot of talk about the CIA talking points that were prepared ostensibly for use by the House Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence. In your answer, when you referred to the members of the U.S. Government, were you including those talking points and HPSCI as well?

15 A Yes, that would go through the same review process 16 that press guidance for members inside the administration 17 itself would use, yes.

18 Q We'll get into that a little more specifically 19 later. I'm sure you said this already, but who was your 20 contact at the DNI?

21

A Shawn Turner.

Q Shawn Turner, okay. You did say that. Thank you. Did you ever have any conversations with Ben Rhodes or Tommy Vietor about the content of any of the intelligence assessments that were being provided about the attack in 1 Benghazi during that period?

2	A I do recall that I did ask Tommy and Ben to review
3	the press guidance as part of the clearance process, and the
4	intelligence assessments that were cleared for public use, if
5	you will, as part of the press guidance, would have been part
6	of what they reviewed.
7	Q Do you know one way or the other whether they
8	reviewed the actual assessments, like the raw assessments
9	that were coming from the intelligence community about the
10	attacks?
11	A I don't know.
12	Q Did you have any interaction with the White House
13	situation room on the night of the attacks?
14	A We did convene an interagency conference call. I
15	can't recall whether we used WHSR to set up the call or not.
16	Q What is WHSR?
17	A Sorry. The White House situation room.
18	Q If you had used it, would the call have occurred in
19	the situation room?
20	A Yes, it would have been a SVTC. It would have been
21	a video screen SVTC.
22	Q Do you recall having any conversations with anybody
23	in the situation room that night about what had occurred in
24	Benghazi?
25	A No.

IQIs that something you would have done?2ANo.

3

4

Q Take us through the process of how you personally collected information about the attacks in Benghazi?

5 During this period of time, I would have had A 6 several channels that I would work through. One would have 7 been the communicators at each agency who are receiving 8 information from multiple sources within their own buildings 9 on the policy side generally, about what had occurred. We 10 often shared information within that channel with each other. I would have had conversations, and I recall having 11 conversations with Ben Fishman, who would have been the 12 13 person responsible, or one of the people responsible for 14 dealing with Libya policy within the NSC.

And the other would have been Tommy Vietor and Ben 15 Rhodes, because both were more senior to me at the time and 16 sat in the West Wing. It would not be uncommon that they 17 18 would have more information from other channels that I was not privy to, so I would check in with them to ensure that I 19 was, in any of my work, had access to the most updated 20 21 information and the most accurate information. Those would be the general three channels. 22

23 Q That night, do you recall receiving any information 24 from Ben Rhodes or Tommy Vietor that was new information to 25 you, that you had not heard from those other channels you

1 just described?

I don't recall. 2 A 3 0 Do you recall, generally, that they were, 4 essentially, on the same page with you when it came to 5 understanding what had occurred in Benghazi? 6 A I do. 7 And if I understood you correctly, that was just 0 8 confusion about what had occurred? 0 A In the early hours of the attack, yes, absolutely. 10 And as people gathered more information, people, you know, 11 the thinking sort of advanced with the information as it was collected. 12 13 0 Describe that process for us, going from confusion 14 to collecting more information to the evolving understanding. Over what period of time did that play out, what did you 15 16 learn? How did the assessments change? 17 Well, that's a process that went on for several А 18 days, if not weeks. I couldn't say specifically, but as a general rule, and this was the case with Benghazi as well. 19 20 when there was updated information from any agency, that was 21 fed in through the communicators at each agency, so that 22 press guidance could be updated to ensure that anything that we were saying publicly represented the most factual 23 24 assessment at that given point in time. We also tried to make clear that initial information in these situations is 25

frequently incorrect or incomplete, and that it was likely
 that assessments would evolve over time as more information
 was available.

Q Focusing on the night of the attacks, do you recall the understanding of what had occurred in Benghazi evolving that night, or was it essentially confusion from the beginning to when you left that night?

8 Well, I can only speak for myself, and obviously, A 9 I'm not privy to all of the information that policymakers and 10 senior leadership would have had access to, so I can only say 11 that when I went home that night, there was still confusion 12 about exactly what had occurred. When I left the NSC to go 13 home, I still was not aware that Chris Stevens had been killed, nor that others had been killed. So it is accurate 14 15 to say that when I left, there was not a full understanding 16 in my mind of what had occurred. I can't speak for what 17 anyone else was thinking at that point.

18 Q So your best recollection as you sit here today is 19 you learned of Mr. Stevens' death after you had left for the 20 day?

21 A Yes.

Q Did you work on anything? I think you said you
 were working your BlackBerry throughout the night. What were
 you working on?

25 A I was, as I said, in touch with Jake Sullivan,

asking if he knew whether Chris had been killed. So that was
 one particular chain that stands out in my mind, and in
 communication with Ben and Toria Nuland at various points to
 see if there was any change in posture and to begin preparing
 for the next day.

Q Do you recall taking part in any statements that were issued by the State Department on the night of September 11?

9

10

A I don't recall specifically.

Q Do you recall generally?

11 A I don't. I mean, as a general matter, I would be 12 on chains related to the clearance of such statements, but I 13 don't recall specifically whether I provided edits or other 14 comments on those.

Q Do you recall any discussions about any military
 response to the attacks in Benghazi the night of the attacks?

17

А

I don't recall being party to any such discussions.

18 0 Were you a party to a discussion about whether or not the State Department should issue a statement about 19 Benghazi and issue a statement about Cairo? Let me be more 20 specific. Eventually, the State Department issued a 21 22 statement a little after ten o'clock that night, and the statement covered both -- we'll get to the statement. I 23 don't want to characterize it. Did you ever recall any 24 discussions about issuing two statements, one about what had 25

1

occurred in Benghazi, and one about the video?

A I don't recall specific conversations. As I said earlier, there was, when we became aware of the attack in Benghazi, of course, discussion about whether it was related to what had occurred in Cairo, given that there had been a large protest and an attempt to breach that compound that same day.

Q What do you recall about that discussion, about
whether it was connected to Cairo?

10 A Well, I recall that that was a discussion that was 11 simply that, a discussion about whether it was possible that 12 those two events were related, that it would seem 13 irresponsible to not consider the possibility given what had 14 occurred in Cairo earlier that day.

Q Were there people just speculating. I wonder if these two are connected; obviously there's a relationship in time, or were they discussing specific items of fact from which you might draw an inference that there was a connection?

A I cannot speak to what policymakers or intelligence officials were discussing. I was not a party to those conversations. On the press side --

23 Q Yes.

A -- we were certainly discussing how we would have questions about both, and certainly, it was pretty obvious

1 that the press would likely ask about whether there was a 2 connection, so we would have to be prepared to answer that 3 question. But, again, we would not be the ones to provide 4 the answer to that question. That would come from others 5 inside the interagency.

Q I'll show you a document that I'll mark as exhibit7 1 to your interview transcript.

[Meehan Exhibit No. 1

8

9

10

was marked for identification.]
BY MR. MISSAKIAN:

11 Q Okay. Now this is an email from you sent at 9:32 12 p.m. on September 11 to a number of people. Do you recall 13 sending this email?

A As I sit here today, I don't recall sending it, but
 I certainly don't doubt the authenticity of it.

16 Q Is this one of the emails you reviewed in 17 preparation for your interview here today?

A It is not.

20

18

19

21 22

23

24

25

1 <u>RPTR DEAN</u>

2 EDTR ROSEN

4

3 [11:05 a.m.]

BY MR. MISSAKIAN:

Q Now to focus first on the first paragraph, it says, the second sentence there, The State Department will release a statement tonight regarding the events and we ask that sentence. Seeing that, does that refresh your memory at all regarding the discussion about who would be issuing a statement that night about the attacks, the White House, the State Department, anything like that?

A It does not. Only that there were conversations throughout the day that Toria earlier had sought approval for the use of holding lines, while we were figuring out what sort of the more formal response would be, but no, not beyond that.

Q And the folks in this recipient list, there are a number of them. Did you select this list at the time or is this a list that existed in your Outlook address book? Looking at it, who are these people and how did they end up on this email?

A Sure. So would you like me to go individually? A Sure. So would you like me to go individually? Q You don't have to go individually. Let's start with, did you compile this lists on the spot, or is this something that existed at the time?

1 This, from what I can tell from looking at this A now, would have been a list of the primary communicators 2 3 within the interagency. I would have, in compiling this list, pulled from a larger list based on the agencies that 4 had an equity. So for example, Treasury is often included on 5 interagency communicator emails. I don't, at first glance 6 7 here, see Treasury listed, and that would be because there wasn't necessarily a Treasury link at this point in time. So 8 9 it would have been culled from a bigger list. 10 0 Take a look at the second paragraph, it begins on "an important note." And then last sentence there says, 11 "Please do not refer anyone to the Embassy Cairo statement, 12 which is causing significant negative backlash." Do vou 13 recall the statement that you are referring to this in this 14 15 email? I do generally, yes. 16 А What do you recall? 17 0 18 A I recall that Embassy Cairo released a public 19 comment. I cannot recall what the format of that was. And this was, again, going back to what I said earlier what 20 had apprised me of earlier in the day. 21 And what did you mean by significant negative 22 0 backlash? 23 As I recall from where I am sitting today, that 24 A statement made -- used language that some folks construed to 25

be the United States Government apologizing -- apologizing
 for a video that the U.S. Government had not produced, and it
 had generated some negative backlash.

4 Q Do you recall how you became aware of that negative 5 backlash?

6 A From **Exercise**, who was my point of contact on 7 the press response and anything related to Embassy Cairo 8 throughout the day.

9

What did Mr. tell you?

A Again, that there had been some negative response to what was released by embassy Cairo, the statement in whatever form that was released. That there was some concern about it within the State Department and that it had not been cleared by the State Department before the embassy released it.

Q Did you have any conversation with either Mr. Vietor or Mr. Rhodes about the negative backlash that the Embassy Cairo statement had caused?

19 A I don't recall specific conversations.

20 Q All right.

Q

21 Mr. <u>McQuaid.</u> Just for ease of record, do you want to 22 just put the Bates number or something about the document, 23 the time stamp, things like that.

24 Mr. <u>Missakian.</u> Sure. For the record, this document has 25 a document control number of C05390724.

1 Ms. Sachsman Grooms. And for the record, it says at the 2 bottom that it was produced to the House Oversight and Government Reform Committee in August of 2013. 3 4 Mr. Missakian. I have been told I am at the end of my 5 hour so I will go off the record. 6 [Recess.] 7 [Meehan Exhibit No. 2 8 was marked for identification.] 9 BY MR. MISSAKIAN: 10 I have just given you a 1-page document which we 0 11 have marked as exhibit 2. It is a series of emails, the 12 document control number is 05578259. When you have had a chance to read it over, please let me know. 13 14 A Okay. 15 0 And when we were off the record after last session, we had a little bit of a discussion related to my asking you 16 17 whether or not you had seen any of these documents that I am 18 now showing you. And the agreement we reached is that if you 19 are asked a question during the interview, and you are able 20 to answer that by the fact that you had reviewed a document that was shown to you by one of the lawyers from the White 21 House, that you will then say, yes, I saw this document, and 22 this helped me remember certain things that are part of your 23 24 answer. Is that fair? 25 Ms. McQuaid. Yes. I think what we agreed is if you

don't -- if there is something that Craig asks you that you -- otherwise you would not have had a recollection but you do have a more recollection because you had seen the document that has shaped, kind of influenced that recollection, then you should reference that that is part of what your memory is based on is the document.

Ms. <u>Meehan.</u> Okay.

8 Ms. <u>McQuaid</u>. Or represent whatever the role that had 9 and that is appropriate.

10 Ms. <u>Meehan.</u> Okay.

7

13

11 Mr. <u>Missakian.</u> Is that understood?

12 Ms. <u>Meehan.</u> Yes.

BY MR. MISSAKIAN:

Q So let's get back to exhibit No. 2. This is a series of emails. The first one at the bottom is from Victoria Nuland on September 11th, 6:10 p.m.; you are one of the recipients. Can you tell us what we are looking at in that bottom email?

A Sure. So for the record, I don't recall this email chain. I certainly don't doubt its authenticity. So my answer will be based on the context as I read it now, versus a recollection of sending the chain at the time.

23 So from the context of this, this is from Victoria 24 Nuland who was the spokesperson at the State Department at 25 the time. These would have been some of the holding lines that I referred to earlier, based on numerous inquiries
 seeking sort of an initial response to what was unfolding in
 both Cairo and Benghazi at the time.

4 Q When you say these are the hold lines, you are 5 referring to the statements in the bottom email? And I will 6 just read them into the record. "We can confirm that our 7 office in Benghazi, Libya, has been attacked by a group of 8 militants, we are working with the Libyans now to secure the 9 compound. We condemn, in the strongest terms, this attack on 10 our diplomatic mission." And then below a series of dash 11 lines. It says, "In Cairo, we can confirm that Egyptian 12 police have now removed the demonstrators who had entered our 13 embassy grounds today." And then below that, another series 14 of dashes "For press duty guidance, if pressed whether we see 15 a connection between these two."

16 Then below that, the sentence reads, we have no 17 information regarding a connection between these two 18 incidents.

19

20

23

A Correct.

Q So what are the hold lines in what I just read?

A So the hold lines would have been the sentence that
 begins with we can confirm.

Q Okay.

A And ends with the second sentence, "we condemn in the strongest terms." The second hold line would be the

sentence that begins with "in Cairo" and ends at the end of that sentence. The third sentence that you read with the instruction for press duty guidance would not have been something that was proactively put out with the other two, but would have been in response to that specific question, if asked.

Q Okay. Do you have any understanding of why that
last sentence, the third sentence, would not have been
included in the hold lines above?

10 A I don't recall what the conversation was regarding11 that at this time, no.

12 Q And to the best of your recollection, did the 13 statements made in this email, were they accurate as 14 of 6:10 p.m. that night?

A These would have been accurate, yes. This would have reflected the best information that the U.S. Government had at that time regarding what we understood to be the situations in those locations at that particular moment in time.

20 Q Did you get any information, either that night or 21 later that week to call into question the truth of the 22 statement, we have no information regarding a connection 23 between these two incidents?

24 A Can you repeat that?

25 Q Sure. Focusing on the third sentence, we have no

information regarding a connection between those two
 incidents.

3

A Uh-huh.

Q Assuming that you believe that statement to be true as of September 11th at 6:10 p.m., did you get any information later that night or later that week to call into question the truth of that statement?

8 A I don't recall as I sit here. If we did, we would 9 have amended the statement to update -- to reflect an update 10 in the assessment that was presented here.

11 Q So if there was no amendment, can we conclude from 12 that that there was no information to call into question that 13 statement?

A If there was no publicly updated information, you can draw the conclusion that the U.S. Government assessment had either not changed, or the information that was available in an unclassified setting and was therefore usable with the public had not changed.

Q Let's move up the chain a little bit, Victoria Nuland, in the second email from the top says, and I will quote, "We are holding for Rhodes clearance, BMM please advise ASAP." I gather the BMM is you?

A From the context of this email, yes.
Q You don't recall being referred to by those
initials back in September of 2012?

A Generally, I prefer not to use my initials, so, no, but it is not uncommon. I have a long name and I know Toria well, so.

4

Q So you gave her a pass.

5 Then at the very top you write back, "Ben is good with 6 these and is on with Jake now too." Having read that, does 7 that refresh your memory at all as to the interaction between 8 the NSC and the State Department with regard to these hold 9 lines?

A Again, I do recall that there was coordination throughout the day on what the public response would be, but no, it does not jog any more recollection of what the specifics of those conversations were, only that there were frequent conversations between the agencies on how we would -- how we would make the first public comment.

Q So the fact that you are saying that Ben is good with these and is on with Jake now too, I assume, tell me if I am wrong, that when you said Ben is on with Jake, that they are on the phone together?

20 A From the context of this email, yes, that is how I 21 interpret this.

Q How do you think you knew that if you were in one building and Mr. Rhodes was in another building? Is it possible at this point in the evening, you were in the same location in the West Wing with Mr. Rhodes? 1 А No, because I wouldn't have access to email if I 2 was in the West Wing, so it could be that I emailed Ben and 3 he said I am on the phone with Jake. It could be that I called down to Ben's office, and his secretary answered the 4 5 phone, and he said he's on the phone with Jake, he can't take 6 your call. It could be that Tommy told me that he was on the 7 phone with Jake. There are multiple reasons I could have 8 known that, but I don't recall specifically how I knew.

9 Q Do you have any understanding of what the two 10 discussed, Mr. Jake Sullivan and Mr. Ben Rhodes?

11

I do not recall.

A

12 Q Going back down to the bottom portion, did you get 13 any information to call into question the truth of any of the 14 statements made in the press hold lines that are reflected 15 there?

A Again, I don't remember the specific discussions around these lines. What I can say is as a general matter, we would not release anything to the public that we did not believe should be truthful or accurate at the particular time that we released it.

Q A few questions ago you said that if there was no amended public statement, that we could take from that either that the information did not change, and the statements were still true, or there might be some classified information that could be shared publicly. Would that analysis hold true

1

with these two statements at the bottom as well?

2 Yes, it would. We, as a rule, would go back on any A 3 issue, and Benghazi was no exception, multiple times 4 throughout the day, when we are answering inquiries, to 5 ensure that any agency that has new information or feels that 6 press guidance should be updated for any reason, that that 7 agency has an opportunity to weigh in and make the 8 appropriate edits so the press guidance reflects the most 9 updated and accurate information at that point in time. 10 0 Thank you. You can put that aside. [Meehan Exhibit No. 3 11 12 was marked for identification.] BY MR. MISSAKIAN: 13 14 Ms. Meehan, I just handed you a document that has 0 15 been marked as exhibit 3. It is a one-page document with 16 document control number C05578215. Once you have had a 17 chance to review it, please let me know. 18 A Okay. 19 0 Do you recall this email? 20 А As we sit here today, I do not recall this email 21 chain, but, again, I certainly don't doubt its authenticity. 22 The bottom of email chain contains what I believe 0 23 to be a draft of the statement that the State Department 24 issued at about 10 o'clock p.m. on September 11th. Were you 25 involved at all in the drafting or the review of the

statement that was essentially put out by the State Department?

A I was involved in reviewing it. I am a party to the second part of this email chain asking for a review of this statement.

6 Q And do you recall anything specific about that 7 review process?

A I do not.

8

21

9 Q Do you recall having discussions with anybody 10 inside the NSC, outside the NSC, anywhere, about the content 11 of this statement?

12 A I do not recall specific discussions about this
13 statement, no.

Q Now this statement, in the second to last paragraph, refers to inflammatory material originating in the United States, which I believe to be the video that you referred to earlier. Do you recall any discussion about putting out two statements, one essentially condemning the video, and one explaining or condemning or addressing the attacks in Benghazi?

A I do not recall any such conversations.

Q Do you recall any conversation either with Mr. Vietor or Mr. Rhodes about the content of this statement? A I do not recall any specific conversations at this time.

- 1
- Q Do you recall generally?

A I do not, again, other than to say I was in communication with them throughout the day and the evening regarding public response, but specific comments about this statement I do not recall.

Q As you sit here today, did you have any role that
you can recall in drafting the statement issued by the State
Department?

9

A Not that I recall, no.

Q Did you take part in drafting any statement that was issued about Benghazi? For example, on the morning of the 12th, the very next day, the White House issued a written statement. And then after that statement went out, the President made some remarks in the Rose Garden. Do you recall that?

16

A I do.

Q Were you involved in drafting, reviewing,
commenting on the initial written statement issued by the
White House?

A I recall being involved in the clearance process for that statement. I do not recall whether I had a drafting role.

Q How did you participate in the clearance process?
A The clearance process would have been done, or was
done, I should say, over email. It would have been

circulated to relevant parties within the NSC who would have had some knowledge that would have been brought to bear in ensuring what the President was going say was accurate as we understood it to be at that point in time.

Q Were you receiving information about what had occurred so you could take that information and then marry it up to the statement? I mean, how were you analyzing the accuracy of the statement?

9 A Well, again, consistently and continuously 10 throughout those days, I was in contact with my counterparts 11 at other agencies.

12 Q Let me stop you right there, if you want to finish,13 you can.

14

A Sure.

Q I just want to make this is an efficient as possible. You said throughout those days, I am really just focused now on the next morning, September 12. There was the written statement by the White House, and then the Rose Garden remarks that the President, which were also in writing, but the President delivered orally.

From that night, from the moment you left your job the night before on the 11th, to the moment that the first statement went out from the White House, I mean, were you receiving information about the attacks in Benghazi that you would then use to evaluate against the accuracy of the

statement or, were you just essentially reading the statement for grammar?

A Again, I don't recall specific conversations or email chains from that specific, very, very precise period of time, as it was more than 3 years ago at this point. So if you are looking for a specific answer as to who I had conversations with, or what email chains I was on, and what information was contained in those email chains, I couldn't say, because I don't recall.

10 Q Well, it would be fair to say that you weren't 11 receiving any classified information on your BlackBerry, if 12 that's how you were getting information. And I assume you 13 don't have a secure telephone at your residences?

A That is correct.

14

19

15 Q Do you recall making any trips to a SCIF that night 16 to receive information about what had occurred?

17 A Well, again, are we talking about the night of18 September 11th?

Q Yes. Into the morning of the 12th?

A I was there quite late and would have returned very early the next day, but, no, I would not have made separate trips back to the office after I left and before I arrived the next day.

Q Do you recall getting any classified information prior to your review of that first White House statement?

1 A I don't recall. 10 And what you recall, specifically or generally, 2 0 3 about the review process of that first statement? 4 A Again, I don't recall. 5 0 Do you recall conversations with people? Do you recall making specific changes? Take us through the process 6 7 of what you, personally, did to review the statement? Ms. McQuaid. When you ask the question, please let her 8 9 finish the answer. You keep stepping on it. 10 Mr. Missakian. That is fair. 11 Ms. Meehan. Again, as I said, I don't recall specific 12 email chains or conversations from that morning regarding 13 this statement, this written statement and verbal statement that the President delivered that you asked about. 14 15 BY MR. MISSAKIAN: 16 0 Do you recall anything that you did with regard to that first statement? 17 18 А I do not. 19 Q Do you recall the second statement that the President read from the Rose Garden? 20 21 A I recall the statement, yes. 22 0 And there is one part of it that I want to ask you about. I read both statements, unfortunately I don't have 23 them here, but it has been well -- well, not reported, but in 24 25 the second statement that the President read in the Rose

Now that phrase does not appear in the first 4 0 5 written statement. Do you recall any discussion about including that statement in the second Rose Garden statement? 6 7 A Again, I don't recall specific conversations related to these two statements. 8 9 0 Do you have any understanding of how that phrase 10 made it into the second statement? 11 A I do not. 12 Do you know who put it in? 0 I do not. 13 A Do you know when it was put in? 14 0 I do not. 15 A Do you know anything about that second statement? 16 Q 17 Again, if you are asking me to recount specific A conversations some it was cleared, what my role was, the 18 answer is, as I sit here, no, I don't recall the specifics of 19 20 that. 0 21 Do you recall generally anything? Like, for example, were you at your job when you were reviewing it? 22 23 Were you still at home doing it on your BlackBerry, anything about it? 24 I do not recall. 25 A

Garden, he used the phrase "act of terror." Do you recall

1

2

3

that?

А

I do.

1	Q You can put that aside.
2	[Meehan Exhibit No. 4
3	was marked for identification.]
4	BY MR. MISSAKIAN:
5	Q Just so we are clear here, not your lawyer, but
6	Mr. McQuaid asked me to allow you to finish your answers. I
7	cut you off at one point. Was there anything you wanted to
8	add to that answer that you weren't able to?
9	A No. I am fine with what I have said.
10	Q It happens occasionally, where we will talk over
11	each other. It is not intended to cut you off, it is just
12	intended to make sure that you understand the question.
13	A Yes, no problem, thank you.
14	Q All right. What I have given you is a multipage
15	a 2-page document with document control number C05578242.
16	Once you have had a chance to review it, please let me know.
17	A Okay.
18	Q Let's kind of start with the basics here. We have
19	got a couple of emails, actually three that are part of this
20	2-page document. The first one from you on September 14,
21	2012, at 3:46 p.m. to Benjamin Rhodes and Tommy Vietor, the
22	subject is "Libya for Toria." What is the purpose of this
23	email?
24	A So I will start by saying in regards to the earlier
25	conversation, that this is one of the emails that I did

review in preparation for my appearance here. So my memory
 has been jogged by having the opportunity to see that. It is
 not otherwise an email chain that I recall. So if you could
 just repeat the question.

Q Sure. What was the purpose of the first email that appears at the bottom where the subject is "Libya for Toria"?

5

6

7 A So as I look at this today, through the context of 8 the email, the purpose of this would have been, as I mentioned earlier, one of the responsibilities of the NSC 9 10 press office is to help coordinate press guidance throughout the interagency, especially as it relates to press briefings 11 12 that different agencies may give. The White House, for example, has a daily press pressing, as does the State 13 Department, and Toria was the spokesperson for the State 14 Department at the time. 15

So it would be normal for Toria to reach out before she briefs to ensure she has the most recent and updated information, and it would be the NSC that would generally have that collated from among the interagency.

20 So from the context of this email, it looks like, based 21 on the timing of it, that Jay Carney would have briefed 22 probably at his normal time in the middle of the day, and 23 Toria was gaggling at 4:30, which would have been later in 24 the day than usual for her. And her office would have either 25 reached out to me to ensure they have the most updated, or I

proactively wanted to ensure that she had the most updated.

1

2 So this represents the press guidance from that day 3 related to this topic. And I sent it to Tommy and Ben to 4 ensure that there was no information that they had through 5 channels that perhaps I was not privy to that would have 6 necessitated an update of the press guidance.

Q And did you draft the body of the very first email8 on page 1?

9 A I don't recall who the drafter of that information 10 was.

11 Q Having read through it, do you have an idea whether12 you drafted it, does it have your style?

So I would say this press guidance is often a 13 A 14 compilation of inputs from across the interagency. So there 15 are certain things I would have drafted. For example, I can tell the third paragraph where it makes reference to a 16 17 conversation that the President had with President Hadi, that would have come from me, because I would be responsible for 18 19 drafting something that refers to the President. And the rest, likely a compilation of other agencies. 20

21 When I look at, for example, on the second page, the 22 first question on the second page, the second question 23 overall, where it talks about intelligence 48 hours in 24 advance of the Benghazi attack having been ignored. That 25 would have been provided by the intelligence agency, because

it represents a comment on intelligence received in an
 intelligence assessment, so that language would have been
 provided by the intelligence agency.

Q When you say "the language would have been provided," you are talking about the answer to the question?

A That is correct. Where it says "We are not aware of any actionable intelligence indicating that an attack on the U.S. mission in Benghazi was planned or imminent. We also see indications that this action was related to the video that has sparked protests in other countries."

Q Who came up with the question? Let's use that one as an example. Your best belief is that statement came in some form from the intelligence community?

A Uh-huh.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

14

15 Q I assume it is possible that it could have been 16 revised at the NSC?

A The NSC generally would not revise anything related to an intelligence assessment. It is certainly possible that the NSC added the first phrase, this story is absolutely wrong, but we would, as a rule, generally not tweak language provided by the intelligence community related to an intelligence assessment.

Q And, I mean, if we were to go look at all the emails out there, would we expect to find an email from someone in the intelligence community that has that language in it, beginning with we are not aware of. that would have
 come from someone in the intelligence community; is that your
 best belief?

A Yes, that is my best belief. And may I just go back and answer your other question also, about where the questions came from?

Q Yes.

7

19

8 A So this is part of the process that I described 9 earlier where the interagency coordinates throughout the day, 10 not only in press guidance, but in sharing information about 11 what we believe will be questions related to news of the day. So someone in the interagency was likely contacted by the 12 Independent, or would have seen that story and flagged for 13 the rest of the interagency that this is something that we 14 are likely to be asked about. 15

Q So not only the information that goes into answering the questions could have been the end product of the interagency process, but the questions as well?

A That is correct.

Q As you sit here today, you can't really tell one way or the other where any of the questions or information came from, other than what we spoke about specifically where you said it came from the intelligence community?

A And again, references in the first question and then in the question on the second page, can you explain to

us again the President's comments about why Egypt was not an
ally? That would have been likely drafted by me, because,
again, it is characterizing the President's comments and that
is generally something the NSC would have the lead on. There
are other answers here that look to me like they reflect
State Department input in addition to NSC input.

Q Let's go to the very first paragraph of your email to Mr. Rhodes and Mr. Vietor. I will read into the record, "I think a lot has been spinning down there that I might not be looped into, especially after the discrepancy between Jay's points and the Hill comment, Toria gaggles at 4:30, so I want to make sure she is on point with us."

13 Let's break that down a little bit. First who is the 14 Jay that you refer to in that sentence?

A From the context of this email, I believe that
would refer to Jay Carney, who was the White House
spokesperson at the time.

18 Q Do you know any other Jays that it might refer to?
19 A I do not.

20 Q What points were you referring to when you referred 21 to Jay's points?

A I don't recall specifically. My best guess from the context of this is that it refers to comments made by Jay Carney during the White House press briefing that day.

25 Q This would have been on Friday, September 14th?

A It could have been that day, it could have been the day previous, I can't tell, which it would have referred to, but generally, it would have referred to the White House press briefing.

5 Q And I know you said this, but what time does he 6 typically do his press briefing?

A He typically briefs sometime between 12:00 and 2:00 p.m., it would depend on whether the President was traveling. If the President was traveling, it is possible that they gaggled instead of having a full briefing earlier in the morning, or a little bit later in the information. I don't know where they were on that particular day.

13 Q And what did you mean when you said the Hill 14 comments?

A I do not know which comments that refers to. Idon't recall.

Q What did you mean when you said the discrepancybetween Jay's points and the Hill comments?

A From the context of this email, that there obviously was a discrepancy, as I wrote, between something that Jay Carney said, and something that someone on the Hill said, but I don't recall specifically what that was.

Q When you say "someone on the Hill said," what doyou mean by that?

A Well, Members of Congress are out in the media

25

quite frequently, so it could have been something that
 someone, a Senator or a Representative said in an interview,
 or in comments to the press.

Q Is it possible that you could have also been referring to a briefing provided by somebody to Members of Congress? For example, we have information to suggest that Patrick Kennedy gave a briefing about the Benghazi attacks on the evening of September 12th.

A Uh-huh.

9

10 Q Do you recall knowing about that?

11 A I do not recall that briefing, no.

12 Q So as you sit here today, you are not, if I 13 understand you correctly, you are not sure what you meant by 14 the Hill comment?

15 A That is correct.

16 Q Is there anything you could review to help you 17 remember what you meant?

A No. I mean, I would have to look back over any public comment, or testimony, or anything related to the Hill over a period of several days to be able to make a judgment on that.

Q If somebody had given a briefing to the Hill, for example, Mr. Kennedy, would you have been aware of that possibly?

25 A Possibly, yes.

1

How would you have become aware of it?

A It depends, it's a case-by-case basis. I generally am made aware when there is going to be open testimony on the Hill, because we want to ensure that spokespeople are prepared to handle questions about what is discussed in an open session. Generally, if there is a closed session, I am made aware in case there are leaks from a closed session on the Hill, and the press has inquiries about that as well.

9 Q What role, if any, would the NSC play in preparing 10 for a Hill briefing? Would that be based on the subject 11 matter? Would that automatically involve someone like 12 Mr. Rhodes or Mr. Vietor? Was there any pattern or practice 13 to that?

A Well, again, I can only speak to the role that the press office plays. If there is preparation on sort of the policy or the leg side, that is not something I can speak to.

17

Q I apologize, I meant on the press side.

A But on the press side, it is common practice that we would receive a copy of an opening statement, for example, to act as the logistical coordinator to clear those remarks within the NSC with policy folks, legal folks, leg folks as appropriate.

Q Aside from an opening statement that would be typically given at a formal congressional hearing, would the NSC be involved in reviewing or vetting any other information

that another agency would be providing to Congress?

2 A Generally, from the press point of view, in my 3 experience, not related to Benghazi, but other general 4 experience at the State Department, individuals who go up to 5 testify up on the Hill often have a hard Q&A packet, or most 6 recent press guidance, things like that. So that would often 7 be pulled in part, if not wholly, from products coordinated 8 from among all the agencies, sometimes through the press 9 office.

10 Q As you sit here today, you don't remember anything 11 specifically about the attacks in Benghazi and any statements 12 that may or may not have been to Members of Congress about 13 the attacks?

A As it relates to this particular email, that iscorrect.

Q Just putting the email aside, do you recall any information about the statements that were made, either by the White House, the NSC, anybody in your interagency about the attacks to Congress?

A I recall that there was the provision of what is commonly known to as the HPSCI points from the CIA, I believe, to Members of Congress who requested them.

Q We will get into that in a little bit. But beyond that, during this period from September 11th through that weekend, do you recall anything about providing information 1 to Congress about the attacks?

A

2

During this period of time, no.

Q Let's work our way up to the next one. This is an email from Tommy Vietor to you and Benjamin Rhodes, September 14th, at 3:50 p.m. Mr. Vietor says, "No changes. Jay leaned further into the premeditated stuff." Do you have any understanding of what Mr. Vietor meant by the second sentence in that email?

9

A No, I do not recall.

10 Q Do you recall any discussion involving whether or 11 not the attacks in Benghazi were either spontaneous or 12 premeditated during that period of time?

A Generally, yes. Can I recall specific conversations? No. But generally, yes. As I said earlier, there were questions about whether this could have been related to what had occurred earlier on the morning of September 11th in Cairo. I think generally in conversations, people were being looking at all possibilities as they sought to figure out what had happened.

20 Q Do you recall there ever being a resolution of that 21 issue during that period about whether or not the attacks in 22 Benghazi were spontaneous, or whether they were premeditated?

A Well, I recall initial assessments indicated, as you've seen in some of the other materials that you have provided to me, were that this was a protest that had grown out of a reaction to what had occurred earlier that day in
 Cairo, yes, and that that assessment evolved over time.

Q What document, just so the record is clear, what
document are you referring to?

So I think from some of these earlier -- for 5 A 6 example, deposition exhibit 3, where it refers to, in this statement from the State Department, "Some have sought to 7 8 justify this suspicious behavior as a response to 9 inflammatory material." It references our commitment to 10 religious tolerance. And then in the holding statement in 11 deposition exhibit 2, where Toria is putting out information, 12 or the State Department is putting out information related to the attacks in Benghazi and the attacks in Cairo. 13

Let's flip to page 2 in the exhibit you have in 14 0 15 front of you. The paragraph that begins "Fourth," the last sentence of that paragraph says, "The President has 16 17 personally spoken to the leaders of Egypt, Libya, and Yemen 18 and also sent a personal message to Prime Minister Erdogan." Assuming this is something that would have come from the NSC 19 20 because it involves statements by the President. Do you 21 recall any detail about how you obtained this information if 22 you are, in fact, the person who wrote that portion of it?

A Sure. So when the President has spoken to a foreign leader, the press office is generally aware that such a conversation has taken place, either so we can prepare a

written readout, or provide a readout to the press and the public, or if that is a conversation that is not going to be made public, we are aware of it in case it happens to leak so that we are aware that the conversation has taken place.

5 I will say that I do recall, if you look at the date of 6 this particular press guidance -- this is Friday, September 7 14th -- and just sort of give a little clarity around why we 8 would have felt it was important to include that the 9 President had spoken to these leaders.

Q You know, just for the clarity of the record,
anything you write on the document is going to end up in the
record, because this is the actual exhibit. You can do it,
but I just want to let you know --

14 A Okay, thank you.

15

Q -- that it will be preserved for all of all time.

16 A Thank you, I appreciate it. So just to provide a 17 little context, this press guidance was compiled on September 18 14th, and at that particular point in time, we had seen 19 following the incident in Cairo on September 11th, the 20 incident in Benghazi on September 11th, that there were 21 violent attacks against multiple diplomatic outposts, and 22 against personnel, in, off the top of my head, remembering 23 Tunisia, in Yemen, in Sudan, a protest in Pakistan. So this 24 press guidance does not solely address what occurred in 25 Benghazi or Cairo for that matter. It reflected, on

September 14th on that Friday, a series of attacks against diplomatic facilities overseas, and a very real worry that we had that on that particular Friday, that there would be more violence in that region in particular, in the Middle East-North Africa region, since Friday after prayers is often a time when we see increased demonstrations and violence in the region.

8 So just for the context of it, I wanted to make clear 9 that the reason we would have included calls that the 10 President made to those leaders is because we were incredibly 11 worried about attacks against diplomats in multiple areas, 12 not just what had happened in Benghazi at the time.

Q Just to clarify the statement, was intended toinclude Benghazi as well?

A This press guidance addresses what occurred in Benghazi, but it is broader than that. So it also takes into account what we had seen in terms of violence against multiple diplomatic facilities throughout the region.

Q Okay. I think I know the answer to this, but in that next section, the paragraph that begins the story is absolutely wrong. The last sentence there said, "we also see indications that this action was related to the video that has sparked protests in other countries." Do you have any idea what indications are being referred to in that statement?

I do not. A 1 You can put that aside. 2 Q [Meehan Exhibit No. 5 3 4 was marked for identification.] 5 Ms. McQuaid. I will give Bernadette a non exhibit copy 6 that she can doodle on. Ms. Meehan. That is my inclination is to highlight. 7 BY MR. MISSAKIAN: 8 Ms. Meehan, I have just handed you a 2-page 9 0 document, the control number is C05562051. It is a series of 10 11 emails. Once you have had a chance to look it over, please let me know? 12 My second page is blank. 13 A So is mine. I am not sure why that is, but my best 14 0 belief is the document is complete. 15 Α 16 Okay. Let's start at the top this time. So you have got 17 0 an email from you to 18 , this is your reaction to receiving the tragic news 19 about Ambassador Stevens. And this is -- you have an 11:07 20 response to Mr. 11:07 email, 11:07 p.m. Does this 21 help you place in time where you were on that night, because 22 23 I believe you said you were already at home when you heard the news? 24 I don't know where I was when I received this 25 A

email. I do know that I was at home when I received the 1 2 email from Jake Sullivan confirming that Chris was dead. 3 0 So in your mind, you had pegged the time you were 4 at home versus at the office based on Mr. Sullivan's 5 information. Do you believe that information came before? It seems like it would come after. 6 7 I believe it came after, ves. A 8 0 Okay. So then looking at this, you can't tell 9 where you were at this point in the evening? 10 A I cannot by looking at this, no. 11 0 You may have still have been at the office? 12 A It is possible, yes. Let's look at the email you sent. This is 11:04 13 0 14 p.m., and I will quote, "Just the opening of what I think we 15 will get tomorrow, there is a SVTC at 7:00 a.m. As I 16 mentioned earlier, we will need fully State-cleared guidance here by about 9:00 a.m." And then there is a series of lines 17 18 that begin with a Q: And they appear to be a series of 19 questions that you, or somebody, is anticipating getting from 20 the press about Benghazi and Cairo. Is that a fair characterization of what we are looking at here? 21 22 A Yes, it is. 23 0 Looking at this now, do you recall whether or not 24 you were the person that drafted these questions? 25 I don't recall specifically. But it is likely that A

I I probably drafted these questions, yes.

Q Let's drop down to the fourth question. Is the U.S. repositioning U.S. military assets in response to the attacks in Benghazi? Do you recall why you included that guestion?

A Because this is a question, one of the first
 questions we would get from the press. They often require
 about military action when there is a threat against
 embassies.

10 Q And the next question is, "Were the attacks in 11 Cairo and does Benghazi link/coordinated," and the next 12 question is "Can you confirm reports that Egyptian $\frac{C_{op}}{copts}$ were 13 involved in the projection of the video?"

A Uh-huh.

14

25

15 0 And you are sending this email to and . Why were you sending the 16 17 questions to them? and were press officers 18 A in the Department of State's Bureau of -- NEA Bureau, so they 19 20 would have been my primary contacts into that bureau at the State Department; and at this particular 21 22 point in time, was one of Toria Nuland's deputies in the 23 spokeperson's office, and would have been one of my points of context there if I wasn't going directly to Toria. 24

Q Did you view Mr. and Mr. as subject

matter experts in the area of Libya and Benghazi?

1

23

A was a foreign service officer who had served in the region, but no, they would have been my contacts because they were press officers, and they would have been responsible for circulating this to policy and substantive experts within the State Department as they saw it to be appropriate.

Q I guess what I am trying to understand is why were you including Mr. **Free 1** - I'm sorry, Mr. **Free 1** and Mr. **Free 1** both in the NEA Bureau at the State Department? A Correct.

12 Q Why were you including this versus sending this13 straight to Victoria Nuland's office?

A Because press guidance within the State Department isn't generally compiled by the spokesperson's office; it is compiled by the bureaus who have responsibility for the policy that is being discussed. So they would be the action officers on circulating this for drafting, clearing, and approving within the State Department.

20 Q Where did you get the information about the 21 Egyptian Coptic Christians as referred to in the question 22 that I read? Do you recall?

A I do not recall.

Q Do you recall any discussions generally about that video and trying to get YouTube to take it down?

1 A I do recall, generally, that there were discussions 2 related to concerns of the outbreak in violence in Cairo, 3 yes.

Q And you refer here to SVTC at 7:00 a.m., I assume that means the morning of the 12th, do you recall attending or participating in that SVTCs?

7 A I don't recall that specific SVTCs, but I would 8 have been there, yes.

9 Q You can put that document aside. We talked a 10 little bit about what we both refer to as the HPSCI talking 11 points, the talking points that were prepared primarily by 12 the CIA for purposes of distribution to the House Permanent 13 Select Committee on Intelligence. Have you ever reviewed 14 those talking points?

A At the time, I do recall being on email chains
 during the drafting, clearing process, yes.

Q Have you reviewed them since then?

17

18 A I have, in preparation for this appearance here 19 today, reviewed one document related to that email chain, 20 yes.

21 Q Just take us through your role in preparation, 22 review, and circulation of those HPSCI talking points.

A My recollection, as I sit here today, is that the CIA had the lead on drafting those talking points, but they were circulated within the interagency for input review

clearance. I remember in this particular instance, Tommy
 Vietor having more of a lead role at the NSC than I did on
 this particular set of points, but that I was on many of the
 email chains related to, as I said, the drafting of the
 clearance.

6 Q How did you first hear that the talking points were 7 in the works?

8

11

14

A I don't recall.

9 Q And do you recall having any discussions with 10 Mr. Vietor about the talking points?

A I don't recall.

12 Q And whenever I use the term "talking points," I am 13 referring to the HPSCI talking points, just so we are clear.

A Understood.

Q What was the nature of Mr. Vietor's role withregard to the talking points?

A As I recall today, Tommy took the lead on the NSC side in clearing them in our building, with people who would have had an equity in taking a look at ensuring that the information was accurate and factual as we understood it to be at that point in time.

22

23

24

1 RPTR MCCONNELL

2 <u>EDTR ROSEN</u>

4

14

21

3 [12:14 p.m.]

BY MR. MISSAKIAN:

5 Q Okay. And do you have any understanding of how 6 Mr. Vietor took the talking points and ensured that they were 7 accurate?

8 A From what I recall, in terms of email chains, he 9 would have circulated them, or he did circulate them on 10 email. Whether there were other channels of communication he 11 had with people in the NSC, I don't know.

12 Q Okay. Do you know if he reviewed any documents in 13 the process of ensuring the talking points were accurate?

A I don't know.

Q Did you have any conversations with anybody in the
Office of Public Affairs at the CIA about the talking points?
A I don't recall.

Q Do you recall having any conversations, putting aside email, about the talking points with anybody during that period of time?

A I don't recall.

22 Q Do you recall having an understanding of what the 23 purpose was of the talking points?

A I do. My recollection is that members of the HPSCI had requested points from -- from the U.S. Government, in

this case, directly the CIA, for use when they spoke to the public or the media about what had transpired in Benghazi, and that is not an unusual request. We get requests from the Hill on a frequent basis for -- for requests like that.

5 Can you give me any other examples of such request? 0 Outside of that timeframe, yes. One example would 6 A 7 be during the Iran deal, we would frequently receive requests from Members of Congress for talking points that they might 8 9 use when discussing the deal publicly or in TV interviews. 10 Cuba would be another example where we would have Members of Congress reach out to ask for press guidance or talking 11 12 points that they could use to discuss the administration's position on that particular policy. 13

14 Q Are these requests coming to the NSC, or those 15 requests are going directly to the CIA as in this instance?

A It depends. It depends on who the Member of Congress is, it depends on what the policy is. It's a case-by-case basis.

Q Okay, do you recall being part of any discussion
 about the talking points in any way?

A Aside from remembering that I was on emails, email chains related to the clearance of the HPSCI talking points, no, I don't remember any specific conversations.

24 Q Do you have any understanding of whether or not 25 those talking points were used by Ambassador Rice to prepare

for her appearances on the Sunday talk shows?

2 I can say that press guidance that would have been 3 provided to Secretary -- or to Ambassador Rice at that time 4 would have been based on press guidance developed throughout the week and updated at the time that it was presented to 5 her. And as the HPSCI points would have reflected what the 6 7 administration was saying publicly, yes, those would have 8 been part of the same process for creating the points that 9 eventually went to Ambassador Rice.

10 Q Okay. So if I understand you correctly, it sounds 11 like you are saying as a general practice, you believe that 12 HPSCI talking points would have ended up in a package given 13 to Ambassador Rice. Is that fair?

14 A They would have been part of the process, but they would have been -- I can't recall what date the HPSCI talking 15 16 points were provided to the HPSCI, versus the date that 17 Ambassador Rice received her press guidance. But whatever 18 Ambassador Rice received would have reflected the press 19 guidance that was updated and accurate at the point in time 20 she received it. And if the HPSCI points were before that. 21 yes, they would have been part of that package. But I don't 22 recall the specific dates.

23 Q Right. So as you sit here today, you don't know 24 one way or the other whether or not Ambassador Rice ever saw 25 those HPSCI talking points?

A I do not.

2 Q Were you involved in any way in preparing 3 Ambassador Rice for her appearances on the Sunday talk shows?

A I was, again, part of the interagency process that compiled press guidance that would have been used in a preparation package for her as she prepared for the Sunday shows.

Q Okay. Let's break it down a little bit. Do you have any firsthand knowledge, again, not what would have been done, but do you have any firsthand knowledge of the stack of information that Ambassador Rice received to prepare for the Sunday talk shows?

13 A Yes. The package of press guidance that she 14 received was the product of interagency coordination and 15 reflected the updated talking points at that point in time as 16 cleared by all agencies with an equity.

Q Okay. So now I'm a little bit confused. I thought
 you said you don't know one way or another whether or not
 Ambassador Rice received the HPSCI talking points?

20

A That's right.

21 Q I think you just said, unless I misheard you, that 22 the talking points, the updated talking points would have 23 been -- were included in the material she received?

24 A So let me take a step back.

25

Please.

0

I am not familiar with what date the HPSCI talking 1 A 2 points were finalized and provided to the HPSCI. Secretary, 3 or Ambassador Rice, received press guidance that was worked 4 through the interagency, and cleared by any agency that had 5 an equity in what had occurred in Benghazi on September 11. 6 Those points that Ambassador Rice received would have 7 overlapped in some way, if not have been identical to the 8 HPSCI talking points, depending on when they were developed. 9 I don't know what the difference in dates was, so I can't say 10 with certainty whether they were the same or different.

I have no idea whether Ambassador Rice received the final HPSCI talking points in that form. I have no idea. But she did receive press guidance that would have been developed the same way the HPSCI talking points were, and would have reflected the same information, but updated for the date that she received them.

17 Q How do you know how the HPSCI talking points were 18 developed?

A Well, as I have been saying, I was on the email
 chain where they were cleared and drafted.

21 Q But you said HPSCI talking points followed the same 22 process as all of the other press guidance that we -- how do 23 you know that? I mean, how do you know what the CIA did to 24 prepare those talking points?

25 A I was on the interagency chain.

1 Q Right. Sorry, I didn't mean to interrupt you. Go 2 ahead.

3 A I was on the interagency chain for the clearance of press guidance used by Toria Nuland, by Jay Carney, by George 4 5 Little, by others in the administration during that week. I 6 was also separately on the chain where the HPSCI talking points were going through drafting and clearance. That was a 7 8 similar process, meaning that any agency that had an equity 9 was involved in drafting and/or clearing, and/or approving 10 any public points that were provided on the topic of 11 Benghazi.

Q You would agree with me, wouldn't you, that the process of drafting and revising HPSCI talking points, I mean, only a portion of that, maybe a very small portion of that is reflected in the email exchange is about it. And much of that work may have occurred within the four walls of the CIA. Is that fair?

18 A Yes, absolutely. The same way with --

19 Q Okay, how did you --

20 A -- with press guidance --

21 Q I'm not finished with my question.

A -- is developed inside an agency, and then once an agency has a compiled answer or product to provide, it's then fed into the interagency process.

25 Q I understand that, but you said HPSCI talking

points were developed using the same process as the press
 guidance. How do you have an insight into what was going on
 at the CIA with regard to the HPSCI talking points?

A I don't. I can only talk to the interagency
process writ large, and the interagency process was the same
in both cases.

Q And going back to Ambassador Rice. It sounds like
you know exactly the documents she was given to prepare. Is
that true, or am I just --

10 A I am familiar with one of the documents that she 11 received. If she received other materials from her 12 spokesperson as part of her preparation, I would not be privy 13 to that.

14 Q Okay. What is the one document that you know she 15 received?

A It was the compiled press guidance that was the result of the interagency clearance process. I recall that it also had top lines that were added in at the end of that process to give it sort of an overall frame.

Q And how do you know she received that?
A Because I received -- was forwarded in an email
that contained that press guidance.

Q Was she included on that email?
A It was the email that went to her spokesperson. I
can't recall if Susan specifically was on that email

1 personally.

1	personally.
2	Q So do you have any I will just ask this: Were
3	you in the room when she was prepared for her talk shows
4	appearances?
5	A I was not.
6	Q So you don't know, one way or the other, what
7	document she actually reviewed, or the people that prepared
8	her were using to prepare her, you just know what was sent to
9	her?
10	A Correct.
11	Q Did you have any discussions with anybody about
12	that preparation?
13	A I don't recall.
14	Q Okay. Did you have any discussions with anybody
15	about her appearance and the statements she made on the
16	Sunday talk shows?
17	A Following her appearance?
18	Q Yes.
19	A I don't recall.
20	Q You don't recall any such discussions?
21	A I don't. You're asking me to recall discussions on
22	a specific day more than 3 years ago, and I don't recall
23	those discussions now.
24	Q No, I'm certainly not doing that. I'm asking you
25	if you recall any discussions about her appearance on the

talk shows, whether it occurred on that Sunday, or another 1 2 day. Do you recall any conversations? 3 A I don't recall specific conversations, no. 4 Q Do you recall generally anything that was discussed 5 about her appearances on the talk shows? 6 A Well, we would, as a general rule, on a Monday, 7 have to prepare Jay Carney and Toria Nuland and others 8 spokespeople across the U.S. Government for their daily press 9 briefings. And we would anticipate that appearances of U.S. 10 Government officials on Sunday shows would often be asked 11 about in those press briefings, so yes. 12 0 I don't want to get into like the next day, because 13 that's outside the timeframe that was discussed. I'm just 14 talking about conversations about her appearance on that Sunday. 15 16 Right, and I'm sorry if I'm unclear, but as I've A said, I don't recall specific conversations on that day about 17 18 Susan's appearances. 19 Again, I'm not talking about that day. I'm talking 0 20 about her appearance on that day. Uh-huh. 21 А So we understand she appeared on the Sunday talk 22 0 She made certain statements about Benghazi? 23 shows.

Do you recall generally any conversations about

A Uh-huh.

0

25

statements she made, whether you had the conversation on that day, or another day, we are not going to know that because you can't remember. But do you recall the conversations? A No, as I've said, I do not recall specific

5 conversations related to Ambassador Rice's appearance on the 6 Sunday shows.

Q Do you recall anything generally that was said in8 conversation about the appearance?

A I do not.

9

10 Q Do you recall being involved in any way in 11 preparing the President for his appearance, his interview 12 with Steve Kroft on September 12?

A I do not recall being part of any of thatpreparation, no.

Q Okay. Who would have been involved in that? A Generally, Ben Rhodes from the NSC press side. I can't speak to who from other offices would have been involved.

Q Do you recall that appearance, that interview withSteve Kroft on the 12th?

21 A I do not.

Q Do you recall any discussions about statements Jay Carney made during that week from September 12 to the end of the week?

25 A Specific discussions, no.

Q Do you recall that week being informed that the FBI
 had opened up an investigation into the attacks in Benghazi?

A As a general rule, I'm aware that the FBI always opens an investigation into a suspicious death of an American citizen abroad. I was familiar with that from my time at the State Department, so --

Q So as you sit here today, you don't recall a
specific investigation being opened that week?

9 A Again, I would -- I have that general knowledge, so 10 I don't -- I don't know whether I was informed specifically 11 with regards to Benghazi, or whether that's just something I 12 would have assumed.

Q Fair enough. Do you recall being told by anybody that in light of the FBI investigation that you should or should not say anything about the Benghazi attacks, in other words -- that was a bad question.

In other words, were you told by anybody that the pendency of the investigation should, I think, affect, in any way, the public statements about the attacks?

A I do recall, at least one email chain where either someone from the FBI or DOJ did ask whether folks within DOJ and/or FBI were involved in clearing guidance because of equities related to the investigation, yes.

Q Do you recall ever being told that you could not make a statement about the attacks in Benghazi because of the

1 pendency of the FBI investigation? 2 A I don't recall. 3 Mr. Missakian. I understand I'm at the end of my hour. 4 I just have one last document. Should I mark it and question 5 her and then I can turn it over to you? 6 Ms. Sachsman Grooms. That's fine with us. Are you 7 okay? 8 Ms. Meehan. I'm okay, yeah. 9 [Meehan Exhibit No. 6 10 was marked for identification.] 11 BY MR. MISSAKIAN: 12 Q Ms. Meehan, I have just marked as exhibit No. 6, a 13 multi-paged document, with document control number C05415285. 14 Once you have had a chance to review it, just let me know. 15 Mr. McQuaid. You are not marking the one that is the exhibit. 16 17 Ms. Meehan. No. Okay. BY MR. MISSAKIAN: 18 Okay? 19 0 20 А Yep. 21 All right. Let's start with the basics. We are 0 22 looking at what appears to be one email. Well, no, multiple emails. Start at the back and work our way forward. The 23 24 email beginning on the second to last page, this is an email 25 from , dated September 14, 2012, at 7:11 p.m. I

1 don't see you being a recipient on this email. Having read 2 it, do you recognize it as something you received back then? 3 I can see that I'm not a party to the email. I do A 4 recall being forwarded a copy of this email from one of the 5 recipients, but do not recall ever being added in as a 6 participant on the chain. Okay. Do you recall being forwarded a copy of 7 0 8 Mr. email? Of the overall email in some form or another. 9 A 10 Q Okay. And how do you recall that? 11 In my preparation to appear today, I was provided a A 12 copy of an email that appears to be this email that was 13 forwarded to me by one of the recipients of the email, if 14 that makes sense. 15 0 It does make sense. Who forwarded the email to 16 you? 17 Erin Pelton. A And do we have that email? 18 0 19 Mr. McQuaid. I don't know. BY MR. MISSAKIAN: 20 21 And did you have any conversation with Ms. Pelton 0 22 about the email prior to you receiving it? Prior to her forwarding me the email? 23 A Yes. 24 Q Not that I recall, no. 25 A

6

7

8

9

10

23

Q Do you know why she sent it to you?

A My recollection, my recollection based on the context of the email, as I was able to review it, was that she noticed that I was not included on the email and was forwarding it for situational awareness.

Q I see. All right, let's go through the sender and the recipients here in a little more detail. This email -now we are on the first page. This email is coming from Ben Rhodes on September 14, 2012, at 8:09 p.m.

A Uh-huh.

 11
 Q
 And let's just go through the recipient list. Who

 12
 is

A was a member of the White House communications staff who was the liaison between the White House and the networks as it related to things like Sunday shows appearances and interviews.

17QAnd then there is what appears to be a title or18possibly a group, NSC Deputy Press Secretary. Who is that?

A At this particular point in time, I believe thatwould have been Caitlin Hayden.

21 Q Is there a reason why her name wouldn't appear, as 22 opposed to her title?

A That's a technical question. I don't know.

24 Q And who is David Plouffe?

25 A David Plouffe at the time was a member of the White

1	House staff. I didn't have any interaction with him and
2	don't know what specifically his job was.
3	Q Did you have any interaction with him at all
4	regarding Benghazi during the period we have been talking
5	about?
6	A I did not.
7	Q We know who Jay Carney is. Jennifer Palmieri, who
8	is that?
9	A At this point in time, she was the Deputy
10	Communications Director within the White House Communications
11	Office.
12	Q And Joshua Earnest, what was his title at the time?
13	A At the time, he was Deputy Press Secretary.
14	Q Okay. And then the next name, and I'm sure I will
15	mispronounce it, second second .
16	A Yes, that's actually spot on. At the time,
17	was Ben Rhodes's administrative assistant.
18	Q And 2000 ?
19	A At the time, I believe that struct was Jay Carney's
20	administrative assistant.
21	Q And ?
22	A I don't know who that is.
23	Q ?
24	A I don't know who that is.
25	Q And we know who Erin Pelton is, and

Q To your understanding, what are we looking at in this email from Mr. Rhodes?

1

2

3

4

5

A Well, again, just for the record, I'm not a party to the email. But based on the context, it appears to be the press guidance that would be provided to Ambassador Rice to use as the basis for preparation for her appearance on the Sunday show.

11 Q And you're basing that on the fact that the subject 12 line reference to "prep call with Susan Saturday at 4 p.m. 13 Eastern"?

A Yes, and the context of what is included in the email, and the originating email from which includes all of the logistical information for her appearance on the Sunday shows.

18 Q Do you have any insight as to how Ambassador Rice 19 was selected to appear on the Sunday talk shows as opposed to 20 Secretary Clinton or anybody else?

21 A I do not. I do not believe I was a party to those 22 discussions.

23 Q Do you recall reviewing this document at the time? 24 I mean, you recall it being forwarded to you, but do you 25 recall doing anything with it once you received it?

A I certainly don't recall doing anything with it
 once I received from it Erin Pelton, no.

Q And prior to this going out, would there have been a discussion with Ambassador Rice about the types of questions that she could be expected to receive on the Sunday talk shows, or was that just assumed based on the course of events that week what would be talked about?

A I wasn't part of any prep that Susan did, so I can't say specifically, but generally, when we are prepping for the Sunday shows, yes, we would, much like I had described in the process earlier, when coming up with and compiling press guidance, we would discuss what we think are likely questions to be asked.

Q Would those, the likely questions that would be asked, would those be informed by the actual questions, for example, Jay Carney had been receiving at his press conferences during the week?

Δ

18

A They could be, yes.

Q So you folks would be aware of what he was asked and what he was saying, and would you all have transcripts of those press conferences?

A Transcripts are available. Whether Ambassador Ricehad them, I couldn't say.

Q In the first section, "goals," is this a typical format for this type of document where you have goals and I

1 know you had used the term "top lines" previously in the 2 interview. And then you've got Q&A that follows. Is this a 3 typical format that the NSC press office would follow in this 4 kind of circumstance?

5

Yes, it is.

A

Q Okay. So what does the "goal" section mean?
A So, generally, goals would be the purpose of why
the individual is appearing on the Sunday shows, what sort of
the end goal is of the appearance, and what you're trying to
convey.

11 Q And what are the top lines? How do you define top 12 lines?

A So top lines, the best way to describe them is to also reference the Q&A. Q&A would be specific questions that we think an individual may be asked. Top lines are more general, sort of context for the overall issue at large.

Q And let's look at the goals section. One part of this that has received quite a lot of attention is the second bullet point there. And I will quote it: "To underscore that these protests are rooted in an Internet video, and not a broader failure of policy."

Do you have any understanding of what Mr. Rhodes meant to convey by that statement?

A I certainly don't want to speak for Ben. I can say more generally, looking at the date, this was Friday,

September 14, and looking, again, as I reviewed the press 1 2 guidance here, that the context of this particular point in 3 time is that, again, we had seen multiple outbreaks of violence against multiple diplomatic facilities in Cairo, in 4 5 Benghazi, in Tunisia, and Yemen, and Sudan, and Pakistan, and elsewhere, so this would have been -- this entire package 6 would have been designed to address not only what had 7 8 happened in Benghazi specifically, but the administration 9 response to these outbreaks of violence and threats against American citizens more broadly across the region. 10

11 Q And from the context, do you have any understanding 12 what he was referring to when he says "and not a broader 13 failure of policy"?

A Again, from the context of this, yes, that this would have been to say that there was a specific reason that there was an outbreak of violence in these particular areas. And that it was not a broader failure of the President's policies in the region.

Q Okay, what policies do you believe he was referring to? In other words, for example, this could be referring to the policy behind going into Libya in the first place. It could be the policy relating more generally to the war on terror. Do you have a sense of what policy he was referring to here?

25

A I don't want to speculate because I don't know what

Ben was referring to in particular.

2 0 Okay. Thank you. I don't have any further 3 questions on this document. And if you give me a moment to go over my notes, I may be done completely. 4 5 A Sure. Of course. [Discussion off the record.] 6 7 BY MR. MISSAKIAN: Just one followup question. My colleague wanted me 8 0 9 to clarify something, and it's a good point. I believe you 10 testified that you know for certain that Ambassador Rice at least received one document for her preparation for the talk 11 shows. Is this what we have marked as exhibit 6, that one 12 13 document you know she received? So to clarify, to the point that you and I 14 A discussed, I can confirm that Erin Pelton, who was her 15 16 spokesperson, received this document. In looking at the document, I do not see Ambassador Rice personally listed in 17 the recipient line. And Rexon, who was also on her staff, 18 19 obviously received this document, as he is the person that produced it. So I can't say whether this was presented to 20 her, but I would have a hard time believing that this 21 22 wouldn't have been passed on to her by her staff. Okay. Thank you. Anything else? 23 0 Ms. Clarke. No. 24 25 Ms. Sachsman Grooms. Off the record.

1	[Discussion off the record.]
2	EXAMINATION
3	BY MS. SACHSMAN GROOMS:
4	Q Let's go back on. Just to reintroduce myself, my
5	name is Susanne Sachsman Grooms. I'm with the minority
6	staff, and we wanted to thank you for coming in voluntarily
7	for the transcribed interview.
8	I want to start by going through a couple of these
9	exhibits that you have already been through.
10	A Okay.
11	Q So let's start with exhibit 3.
12	A Okay.
13	Q And for the record, exhibit 3 contains an email
14	from Jacob Sullivan to Ben Rhodes, you, and various others
15	from September 11th of the night of the attacks at 9:32, with
16	a statement which was a proposed statement for Secretary
17	Clinton for that evening.
18	A Uh-huh.
19	Q I want to call your attention to the language that
20	was discussed in the previous round. "Some have sought to
21	justify" and I'm quoting "Some have sought to justify
22	this vicious behavior as a response to inflammatory material
23	originating in the United States. The United States deplores
24	any intentional effort to denigrate the religious beliefs of
25	others. Our commitment to religious tolerance goes back to

1 the very beginning of our Nation."

2 When Secretary Clinton testified before the committee on October 22, she testified about that language, which was 3 4 included both in that statement the night of the attacks, and 5 in the following day. And she said, and I quote -- and I will just quote a long thing. "During the day on 6 7 September 11, as you did mention, Congressman, there was a 8 very large protest at our Embassy in Cairo. Protesters 9 breached the walls, they tore down the American flag, and it 10 was of grave concern to us because the inflammatory video had 11 been shown on Egyptian television, which has a broader reach 12 than just inside Egypt. And if you look at what I said I referred to the video that night in a very specific way. I 13 14 said, quote," -- and she is quoting -- "'Some have sought to 15 justify the attack because of the video. I used those words 16 deliberately not to ascribe a motive to every attacker, but 17 as a warning to those across the region that there was no 18 justification for further attacks.'"

19 Is Secretary Clinton's explanation consistent with your 20 understanding of the time -- at the time of what that 21 statement was meant to mean?

22

A It is.

A

23 Q Okay. And what did you think the purpose of the 24 language was, and what do you think it conveyed?

25

I think, as I sit here today and recall, it was in

response to the fact that there had not only been the attack 1 2 against the facility in Benghazi, but a large and frightening attack against our Embassy in Cairo. There was also, as I 3 recall it now, a great deal of worry inside the 4 5 administration that there would potentially be further attacks, or protests, or incidents outside of other 6 7 diplomatic facilities in the region. It's, obviously, a very volatile region. And part of what we were doing in our 8 9 public language was seeking to tamp down inflammatory 10 rhetoric in the region and do everything we could to ensure 11 that there was an environment that would not lend itself to 12 further attacks based on this video or people using this video as an excuse. 13

Q I'm going to move off of that exhibit.
A If I could just note one thing for the record.
Q Sure.

17 You know, as we sort of discussed in one of the A 18 earlier sessions, I think that was somewhat prescient in the 19 sense that there were, in fact, quite violent attacks against multiple other diplomatic facilities in the region as that 20 21 week went on, and that was, you know, always a concern in our mind was how do we verything that we can to ensure that 22 23 there wasn't further loss of life, you know, of American life in these other areas. 24

25

Q Let's talk for just a couple of minutes and I know

we have gone through it in great detail, about the night of the attack. I understand that you don't have specific recollection at this point of detailed conversations, but can you give us a sense of the feeling that was in the building on the night of the attacks, and sort of the sentiment, your primary focus, the focus of your colleagues?

7 A Sure. So I will sort of break that down into a 8 couple of separate answers. My responsibility and my primary 9 responsibility was to help coordinate among the interagency 10 what the public response would be to incoming inquiries from the press, and then as time went on and it became clear how 11 12 serious the situation was, at that point, it becomes not an 13 issue of simply responding to incoming inquiries, but, you know, the need for the President and others to proactively go 14 15 out and address the American people.

So my primary role would be to interact with my counterparts at various national security agencies that had an equity in what was occurring, and to work with others internally to ensure that as there were public products, that the appropriate people had the opportunity to review them. With regards to the sentiment overall, you know, there was a lot of anguish and sadness.

There was a lot of confusion in the sense that you have a lot of information coming in. You had two Foreign Service officers who were unaccounted for for a period of time,

trying to track down where they were and what had happened to them. For me personally, you know, I interact, obviously, with the press secretaries at the State Department. Those were individuals who worked in the same bureau as the two Foreign Service officers who were killed. So there was a lot of sadness, anxiety, confusion, anger on that end as well.

Q Was there -- and perhaps your role was really more on the communications side, but was there a sense of urgency within the building to make sure that the United States, as a whole, responded in a way that was quickly to save the people who were there and to protect human life?

12 A Yes, absolutely. I can say that as I noted 13 earlier, I don't recall specific meetings and conversations, 14 but I do recall very clearly that the sentiment passed down from Ben was that everything that could be done was being 15 16 done; that there was an urgency, again, in not only trying to 17 resolve the situation in Benghazi, but doing everything that we could as a government to look across the region to see if 18 there were other facilities that would be in need of 19 20 assistance, and doing everything we could to ensure that we would not be faced with the same situation, you know, at 21 22 other diplomatic facilities across the region.

Q And we saw your emails from the night of the attacks. They -- it's clear that the deaths impacted you personally, emotionally. I don't want to go into that in

2

5

detail. But is that a fair description?

A Yes.

Q And once you learned of those things, you, despite an emotional reaction, you continued to work. Is that right?

A That's correct.

Q And I know there was a lot of questions about what time you went home. Certainly, we saw emails from you well into the night and the early morning until maybe 12:42 a.m. on September 12, and then it looks like the email traffic started back up again around 5:00 a.m. on September 12. Does that sound right?

12 A Yes, I don't recall specific times, but yes, the 13 general timeframe sounds accurate.

Q So I don't want there to be a misimpression that you weren't, you know, still working. You were working very hard and diligently that night. Is that accurate?

That is accurate, and thank you, yes. I think it 17 А was part of the difficulty in recollecting specific 18 conversations and affixing them to certain moments in time is 19 that there was very little sleep across, you know, an 20 extended period of days, as I mentioned. There was a lot of 21 22 concern and a lot of action being taken to prevent similar occurrences at other places across the region. So it was 23 24 pretty much a nonstop effort for an extended period of time, and that tends to bleed together when you look back 3 years 25

ago.

1

2 Q And you're speaking for yourself on that, but was 3 that sort of a shared feeling that you have about all of 4 the -- all of your colleagues?

5 A Based on, you know, what I observed, yes. That 6 would be accurate.

7 0 I want to shift to exhibit 4. And exhibit 4 is, 8 just to remind the record, is an email from you on Friday, 9 September 14 at 3:46 to Ben Rhodes and Tommy Vietor with Libya -- subject line, "Libya for Toria." We spent a lot of 10 time on this before, so I don't want to belabor the point, 11 12 but there, in that first sentence you say, "I think a lot has 13 been spinning down there that I might not be looped into." I 14 know sometimes people hear the word "spinning" and they start 15 wondering what exactly that means. Can you maybe explain to us what you meant by that? 16

17 A Sure, in looking at this now from the context what 18 I was referring to, as I said earlier, Ben Rhodes and Tommy Vietor, to whom this email is addressed, both sat in the West 19 20 Wing, whereas I sat in the EEOB, you know, sort of across the 21 street, if you will. So, by nature, of both of their positions and their physical location, they would have had 22 23 access to information, people perhaps stopping by their 24 office that I would not have had access to. So when I said I think a lot has been spinning down there, that simply means 25

1 meetings, information, conversations that they would be aware 2 of that I wasn't. And wanting to ensure that before any 3 information was passed back to the State Department, that I 4 had done my diligence in ensuring that people who had access 5 to information that I may not have had access to, had an 6 opportunity to review this to ensure that there was nothing 7 that, based on their prerogative, needed to be updated.

Q And there is a line in here that says "Especially after the discrepancy between Jay's points and the Hill comments." I understand you can't remember what exactly that's about. I'm going to show you a document. We will see if this refreshes your recollection, or if it's not the right thing. We are marking this exhibit 7.

A Okay.

14

15 [Meehan Exhibit No. 7
16 was marked for identification.]
17 BY MS. SACHSMAN GROOMS:

Q And for the record, exhibit 7 is State Department
document number C5579559.

20 A And just so I am clear for the record, the second 21 page is blank.

22 Q Yes.

23 A Okay.

24 Q I believe we will never fully understand all of the 25 State Department's document production, but it does seem to

2

3

4

25

have some kind of a --

A Identifying number.

Q Something on it, yes. Gibberish language.

A Okay.

Q This is an email from Kimberly Dozier from AP to Shawn Turner at ODNI on Friday, September 14, at 10:34 a.m. The subject line is: "Representative Jeff Duncan, R-North Carolina said State Department had warnings of the attack 48 hours."

10 And it appears that it gets forwarded to you by Shawn 11 Turner at DNI, as well as some other individuals. The 12 question from AP was, and I'm quoting, "Hey there -- at a 13 hearing on Fort Hood just now, Rep. Jeff Duncan, R-N.C., said 14 State Department had warnings of the attack 48 hours --15 apparently repeating the claim in the Independent, but he didn't source it to the Independent -- just said it as if it 16 17 were fact. Can you clarify again?"

It says, underneath that, "Also, I understand you guys reached out to Matt Lee last night telling him the Independent report was wrong. Unfortunately, that didn't get to me until I wasted some time chasing it, so please loop me in too." And then that was sent on to you by Shawn Turner "FYI," he says, "Trying to find out where this is coming from."

So this appears to me to be a reference to a statement

1 that Representative Jeff Duncan had said repeating the claim 2 in the Independent story about the State Department having 3 warnings of the attack 48 hours beforehand. Does that seem 4 right?

5 A Yes, that's an accurate characterization of the 6 email, yes.

Q Does this refresh your recollection as to what you
 were talking about when you referenced Hill comments?

9 A Unfortunately, it does not. I don't -- I truly 10 don't recall what I was referring to. It's possible this was 11 it, but I just don't remember.

12

Q The --

A I would say that it does appear that in the email chain that you're referring to, the one where I emailed Ben and Tommy, there is a question in that press guidance that does specifically address the email chain from the AP reporter.

Q Yeah, so in exhibit 4, in that press guidance below where you say "Especially after the discrepancy between Jay's points and the Hill comments." There is a question, and the question is: "What's your response to the Independent story that says we have intelligence 48 hours in advance of the Benghazi attack that was ignored?"

And then answer, and I believe you said in the previous round, that you would have obtained the answer to that

1 question from DNI? 2 A That's correct. 3 Q Okay. I'm going to -- we spent a fair amount of 4 time in the previous round talking about the HPSCI talking 5 points, so I just want to introduce exhibit 8, which is on 6 that vein. 7 [Meehan Exhibit No. 8 8 was marked for identification.] 9 BY MS. SACHSMAN GROOMS: 10 Exhibit 8 is a long chain of emails. I'm mostly 0 11 going to point to the beginning ones, but why don't you read 12 through the whole thing. 13 A Okay. 14 0 It is Bates Stamped STATE-SCB65819. 15 Okay. Thank you. A 16 0 And so this is, at its beginning, an email from 17 , Chief of Media Relations from 18 the CIA Office of Public Affairs to Tommy Vietor, Ben Rhodes, 19 you, Caitlin Hayden, cc'ing Shawn Turner. The subject is the 20 "Revised HPSCI Talking Points for Review." It was sent at 21 5:09 p.m., and it has HPSCI talking points with a line, "The currently available information suggests that the 22 23 demonstrations in Benghazi were spontaneously inspired by the 24 protests at the U.S. Embassy in Cairo, and evolved into a

25 direct assault against the U.S. consulate and subsequently

l its Annex."

2	In a subsequent chain, Tommy Vietor sends an email
3	around at 6:21. His statement is: " I know you're
4	trying to move these fast, so here's an initial round of
5	edits. One small tweak in sentence 3 of bullet 1 for added
6	clarity. Denis would also like to make sure the highlighted
7	portions were fully coordinated with the State Department in
8	event that they get inquiries." And it and then the
9	response from the CIA is: "Thanks very much for your prompt
10	response. Tommy, we will send over to State." And then the
11	exchange continues.
12	When you were discussing in the previous round that
13	Tommy Vietor took the lead on the NSC side in clearing the
14	HPSCI talking points for the building to ensure that they
15	were accurate and factual at that time, is this what you were
16	referring to?
17	A Yes, it is. And for the record, per the early
18	agreement, I did see a version of this email chain in advance
19	of this hearing.
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

1 RPTR BAKER

2 EDTR HOFSTAD

3 [1:17 p.m.]

А

BY MS. SACHSMAN GROOMS:

Q And so, from this, it appears that what happened was that Tommy Vietor got in a version at 5:09 and then that he edited it, and that was his initial round of edits at 6:21. Is that an accurate reading?

9

4

Yes, it is.

Q The email exchange goes on. And, at some point, Ben Rhodes, now on the first page, at 9:34 p.m., sends an email around that says, "All, sorry to be late to this discussion. We need to resolve this in a way that respects all of the relevant equities, particularly the investigation." And, at that point, they decide to loop in Department of Justice on this email.

17 Is that a good description of what the NSC's role was in 18 this process?

A Yes. In fact, the first line that Ben writes -well, the second line -- "We need to resolve this in a way that respects all of the relevant equities, particularly the investigation." The NSC takes a coordinating role to ensure that anyone throughout the interagency who, as I said earlier, has an equity is able to review, to provide input, to clear on it.

1 In this case, you know, DOJ was eventually looped into it because they obviously have equities as related to the 2 3 investigation. So, yes, that is an accurate representation of the NSC role. 4 Let's go to the Ben Rhodes email. It's going to be 5 0 exhibit 6. 6 7 [Meehan Exhibit No. 6 was marked for identification.] 8 9 BY MS. SACHSMAN GROOMS: 10 0 The email that came in with the HPSCI white paper talking points for use with the media comes in at 5:09. On 11 12 September 14, it looks like Ben Rhodes sends out the email that's exhibit 6, subject line, "RE: PREP CALL with Susan, 13 Saturday at 4:00 p.m. ET," at 8:09 p.m. 14 15 And it looks like in the second page there's a question: 16 "What's your response to the Independent story that says we have intelligence 48 hours in advance of the Benghazi attack 17 that was ignored? Was this an intelligence failure?" 18 And the answer to that question says, "We are not aware 19 of any actionable intelligence indicating that an attack on 20 21 the U.S. Mission in Benghazi was planned or imminent. The 22 currently available information suggests that the 23 demonstrations in Benghazi were spontaneously inspired by the protests at the U.S. Embassy in Cairo and evolved into a 24 direct assault against the U.S. consulate and subsequently 25

1 its annex."

2 My read is that the sentence was cribbed in some version 3 of the first sentence from that HPSCI talking points. Does 4 that look right to you?

5

A Yes, it does. Uh-huh.

Q And that wasn't the final version of the talking points, but it was, in fact, the one that was the most recent one at the time.

9

A That's correct, yes.

Q And is that the process that you've been basically explaining to us throughout the day, right? You would get in additional information from different agencies that was substantive, and you would then add that additional cleared information into whatever was the most recent updated version of the guidance that was going out?

A Yes, that's absolutely correct. We would incorporate any updated information from anyone in the interagency who had relevant information and requested to make an update. And it would be our responsibility to ensure that that information is included in any of the multiple versions of press guidance or talking points that are being circulated at any given point in time.

23 Q And once information like a talking point gets 24 cleared through the interagency, do you then need to reclear 25 it every time you use it, or do you just use the cleared

information until you have an update?

2 So, in an instance like this where there's rapidly 3 changing information, we would clear, certainly, at a 4 minimum, on a daily basis before each of the daily press briefings. That would be recirculated to everyone within the 5 6 interagency that had a role in initially drafting, editing, 7 or clearing, even if they did not provide inputs the last time around because they may now have information that's 8 9 relevant. And, generally, before individuals are doing an 10 appearance, for example, the Sunday shows, that's information that we would ensure is up to date before someone uses it in 11 12 that capacity, yes.

Q Now, I'm going to assume that there were very often during this whole time period time constraints and you all were working very quickly to get out press guidance. Is that accurate?

17

A Yes, that is accurate.

Q And sometimes the news had stories and you were
 responding, and that would accelerate your timeframe?

A Yes, absolutely, particularly in cases like the Independent story, which was referenced earlier, where there is information that we know to be incorrect or believe to be incorrect at a certain period of time. We do everything we can to correct that so it doesn't, sort of, harden in the minds of people, when we know that it is not accurate to the

best of our knowledge at that point in time.

Q And that Independent story -- you know, we have seen email chains where DNI, Shawn Turner was pushing back very aggressively against that. Is that accurate? Does that reflect your recollection?

6 A Based on, sort of, the emails that I've seen today 7 and my recollection, yes.

Q As you were working quickly, how seriously did you personally review the accuracy of the statements and the talking points that you were working on with respect to the attacks in Benghazi?

Well, I take my work very seriously. 12 A It's ultimately my responsibility to ensure that anyone who has 13 knowledge or an equity has an opportunity to review it. So, 14 15 certainly, I do a close review, but I rely on policy experts, on intelligence experts, substantive experts, to ensure that 16 17 the underlying substance of whatever we're saying publicly is accurate and factual. 18

19 Q And so is it fair to understand that your role is 20 not in personally determining whether the information is 21 accurate but instead in making sure the information gets to 22 the relevant agency so that they can check on whether the 23 information is accurate?

A Yes, to an extent. Certainly, if I see things within a statement or a press guidance that contradicts

information I've seen in another area, it would be my 1 2 responsibility, not necessarily to be the arbiter of that, 3 but to raise the contradiction to someone's attention and say, there appears to be a difference of opinion, or, this 4 5 does not appear to have kept up with changes I've seen in other documents. And someone would need to ultimately weigh 6 in and provide a decision based on their substantive 7 8 knowledge.

9 Q So you would flag things, but would you rely on the 10 agencies that were providing the information pursuant to 11 their, sort of, substantive areas for the overall substance 12 of the information ultimately?

A Yes. Each agency would be responsible for clearing whatever the overall package is. That does include substantive experts from the NSC who would have an opportunity to weigh in on it, as well. But, yes, there would have to be clearance from each of those relevant agencies.

Q Did you have a concern or any concern that anyone else at the NSC was not adequately concerned about ensuring the accuracy of any statement or speech that was related to the attacks in Benghazi?

A Absolutely not. In fact, every sort of instruction that we received -- you know, the instruction always when we're dealing with the public is that information needs to be

factual, it needs to be accurate. If we think that things might influence what an assessment is leading to a change, we should be up front and say that this is likely to change as we gather more information.

5 But I do recall, on that particular day and in the days 6 after, there was a sense of not only urgency with regards to 7 what was happening on the ground but also, sort of, an 8 extra-meticulous look at everything that we were putting out. 9 Because there was a lot of information coming in; you know, 10 there were contradictory press reports, information coming 11 from all sorts of sources. And we had a particular 12 responsibility to ensure that what we were putting out was an 13 accurate reflection of what the U.S. Government believed to 14 have happened and not, sort of, based on open sources and other information. 15

Q In any of the statements and the talking points related to the attacks in Benghazi that you cleared on or drafted, did you ever intentionally insert information that you knew to be inaccurate or misleading?

20

A No.

21 Q Were you ever asked or ordered to intentionally 22 insert information that you thought would be inaccurate or 23 misleading?

24 A No.

25 Q Did you ever remove any accurate information that

1 you knew caused the remaining information to be inaccurate or 2 misleading?

A No.

3

7

Q Were you ever asked or ordered to remove any inaccurate information that you knew caused the remaining information to be inaccurate or misleading?

A No.

Q It's been alleged that the administration created a false narrative, that the YouTube video mocking the Prophet Mohammed played a role in the attack in Benghazi. What's your response to that allegation? Did the administration create a false narrative?

A No, absolutely not. I can say that, from my time working there, you know, this was a situation where you had a lot of information coming in; there were a lot of emotions. You had had a large demonstration and penetration of the compound wall in Cairo. As the days went on, there were, as I said, violent attacks against multiple other diplomatic facilities in the region.

And this was a group of people throughout the interagency, across multiple agencies, doing their best to provide accurate information, updating that information as new information became available. And to the extent that there were comments that needed to be updated based on new information, that was a result of the situation and certainly

not any deliberate attempt to mislead. Nothing could be further from the truth, in fact, based on what I saw.

Q And with specific respect to Ben Rhodes and his role in messaging around the attack, there have been allegations that he crafted a false narrative or tried to mislead the American public. From your communications with him the night of the attack and the days following, can you speak to that?

9

1

2

A Sure.

I would say, as a general matter, I've worked for Ben
 for 3 years and have never, in any experience on any issue
 I've worked on, had him ask me to do anything other than
 produce accurate, factual information.

I can also say that, specific to Benghazi, the 14 information that was provided regarding the assessment of 15 16 what had occurred in Benghazi was information that was provided by the interagency, specifically the intelligence 17 community, as a result of their efforts. What information 18 they put into that I can't speak to, but Ben Rhodes was not 19 the creator or the origin of that information. So any 20 allegation that Ben was creating a narrative that was false 21 22 or misleading, it just doesn't hold up.

Q And you were at the NSC, but you are a career
 Foreign Service officer. Is that right?

25 A That's correct. I was on detail to the NSC from

the State Department at the time.

2 Q So you are not a political appointee for this 3 administration?

4

A I am not.

Q I'm going to keep belaboring the point. Did you or anyone else -- that's what we do here. Did you or anyone else you worked with on any statement, talking points, or any other remarks about the Benghazi attacks make any changes that were known at the time to be false?

10

14

A No.

No.

No.

11 Q Did anyone ever pressure you to make any changes to 12 any statement, talking points, or other remarks about the 13 Benghazi attacks that you believed to be false?

А

Q And did anyone else working on any statement, talking points, or other remarks about the Benghazi attacks ever tell you that they had been pressured into making changes that they believed to be false?

19

A

Q Do you have any reason to believe that anyone, yourself included, working on any of the speeches, talking points, or remarks about the Benghazi attacks did anything other than convey as clearly and completely as they could the facts based on the best available information at the time? A No. Q At this point, I'm going to switch over to asking you a series of questions that we ask every witness that comes in. As you know, this is the eighth congressional investigation into the Benghazi attacks, and there have been a number of allegations about the attacks. Since we continue to investigate them, we continue to ask these questions to everyone and see if they have any evidence to support them.

8 There is a long series of them, so I'll apologize in 9 advance and ask you to bear with me. If you don't have any 10 evidence, then you can just say that; we'll move on. If you 11 have any, obviously, please speak up.

А

Okay.

No.

12

Q It has been alleged that Secretary of State Clinton intentionally blocked military action on the night of the attacks. One Congressman has speculate that, and I quote, "Secretary Clinton told Leon Panetta to stand down," end Quote, and this resulted in the Defense Department not sending more assets to help in Benghazi.

19 Do you have any evidence that Secretary of State Clinton 20 ordered Secretary of Defense Panetta to stand down on the 21 night of the attacks?

22 A

Q Do you have any evidence that Secretary of State
Clinton issued any kind of order to Secretary of Defense
Panetta on the night of the attacks?

No.

No.

A

Q It has been alleged that Secretary Clinton personally signed an April 2012 cable denying security to Libya. The Washington Post Fact Checker evaluated this claim and gave it Four Pinocchios, its highest award for false claims.

Do you have any evidence that Secretary Clinton
personally signed an April 2012 cable denying security
resources to Libya?

10 A

11 Q Do you have any evidence that Secretary Clinton was 12 personally involved in providing specific instruction on the 13 day-to-day security resources in Libya?

A No.

14

Q It has been alleged that Secretary Clinton misrepresented or fabricated intelligence on the risk posed by Qadhafi to his own people in order to garner support for military operations in Libya in spring 2011.

Do you have any evidence that Secretary Clinton misrepresented or fabricated intelligence on the risk posed by Qadhafi to his own people in order to garner support for military operations in Libya in spring 2011?

23 A No.

Q It has been alleged that the U.S. Mission in Benghazi included transferring weapons to Syrian rebels or to 1 other countries. A bipartisan report issued by the House 2 Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence found that, quote, 3 "the CIA was not collecting and shipping arms from Libya to 4 Syria," end quote, and they found, quote, "no support for 5 this allegation," end quote.

Do you have any evidence to contradict the House
 Intelligence Committee's bipartisan report finding that the
 CIA was not shipping arms from Libya to Syria?

A No.

9

13

10 Q Do you have any evidence that the U.S. facilities 11 in Benghazi were being used to facilitate weapons transfers 12 from Libya to Syria or to any other foreign country?

A No.

Q A team of CIA security personnel was temporarily delayed from departing the Annex to assist the Special Mission Compound, and there have been a number of allegations on the cause of and the appropriateness of that delay.

18 The House Intelligence Committee issued a bipartisan 19 report concluding that the team was not ordered to, quote, 20 "stand down," end quote, but that, instead, there were 21 tactical disagreements on the ground over how quickly to 22 depart.

23 Do you have any evidence that would contradict the House 24 Intelligence Committee's finding that there was no stand-down 25 order to CIA personnel?

A No.

1

8

Q And putting aside whether you personally agree with the decision to delay temporarily or think it was the right decision, do you have any evidence that there was a bad or improper reason behind the temporary delay of the CIA security personnel who departed the Annex to assist the Special Mission Compound?

A No.

А

9 Q A concern has been raised by one individual that, 10 in the course of producing documents to the Accountability 11 Review Board, damaging documents may have been removed or 12 scrubbed out of that production.

Do you have any evidence that anyone at the State Department removed or scrubbed damaging documents from the materials that were provided to the ARB?

16

Q Do you have any evidence that anyone at the State Department directed anyone else at the State Department to remove or scrub damaging documents from the materials that were provided to the ARB?

21

A No.

No.

Q I'm going to ask the questions also for documents that were provided to Congress. Do you have any evidence that anyone at the State Department removed or scrubbed damaging documents from the materials that were provided to 1 Congress?

2 No. A 3 0 It has been alleged that the CIA Deputy Director, 4 Michael Morell, altered unclassified talking points about the 5 Benghazi attacks for political reasons and that he then 6 misrepresented his actions when he told Congress that the 7 CIA, quote, "faithfully performed our duties in accordance with the highest standards of objectivity and 8 9 nonpartisanship," end quote. 10 Do you have any evidence that CIA Deputy Director Mike 11 Morell gave false or intentionally misleading testimony to 12 Congress about the Benghazi talking points? А No. 13 14 Q Do you have any evidence that CIA Deputy Director 15 Morell altered the talking points provided to Congress for political reasons? 16 17 A No. 18 It has been alleged that Ambassador Susan Rice made 0 an intentional misrepresentation when she spoke on the Sunday 19 20 talk shows about the Benghazi attacks. Do you have any 21 evidence that Ambassador Rice intentionally misrepresented 22 facts about the Benghazi attacks on the Sunday talk shows? 23 A No. 24 It has been alleged that the President of the 0 25 United States was virtually AWOL as Commander in Chief on the 1 night of the attacks and that he was missing in action.

2 Do you have any evidence to support the allegation that 3 the President was virtually AWOL as commander in chief or 4 missing in action on the night of the attacks?

5

22

A No.

It has been alleged that a team of four military 6 0 7 personnel at Embassy Tripoli on the night of the attacks who were considering flying on the second plane to Benghazi were 8 9 ordered by their superiors to stand down, meaning to cease 10 all operations. Military officials have stated that those four individuals were instead ordered to remain in place in 11 Tripoli to provide security and medical assistance to their 17 13 current location.

A Republican staff report issued by the House Armed Services Committee found that, quote, "there was no stand-down order issued to U.S. military personnel in Tripoli who sought to join the fight in Benghazi," end quote.

Do you have any evidence to contradict the conclusion of the House Armed Services Committee that there was no stand-down order issued to the U.S. military personnel in Tripoli who sought to join the fight in Benghazi?

A No.

Q It has been alleged that the military failed to
deploy assets on the night of the attack that would have
saved lives.

However, former Republican Congressman Howard "Buck" McKeon, the former chairman of the House Armed Services Committee, conducted a review of the attacks, after which he stated, quote, "Given where the troops were, how quickly the thing all happened, and how quickly it dissipated, we probably couldn't have done more than we did," end quote.

7 Do you have any evidence to contradict Congressman8 McKeon's conclusion?

A

No.

9

14

17

Q Do you have any evidence that the Pentagon had military assets available to them on the night of the attacks that could have saved lives but that the Pentagon leadership intentionally decided not to deploy?

A No.

15 Q Thank you very much. Let's go off the record. 16 [Discussion off the record.]

BY MR. MISSAKIAN:

Q Ms. Meehan, I just have a few followup questions
based on what you were just asked. Let's start with
exhibit 3, if you could find that somewhere.

21 Counsel asked you a series of questions about this 22 document, which began with her reading you a portion of 23 Secretary Clinton's testimony from her recent hearing before 24 Congress. And, in that testimony, Secretary Clinton offered 25 an explanation of the purpose for this statement. And I think you were then asked about your understanding of the
 purpose, and you gave one.

3 What is your understanding of the purpose of this 4 statement based upon? Did you have a conversation with the 5 person who drafted it, Jake Sullivan?

A I don't recall having a conversation with Jake Sullivan, but, certainly, it is indicative of the general sense of purpose of what we were trying to convey in those initial remarks.

Q Okay. And this general sense of purpose, where did you get that from? Was it in a phone conversation the night of the attacks? Was it in a meeting? Where did that come from?

A It would have been a --

14

15 Q Again, not "would have been." Do you have a 16 specific recollection?

A It was a compilation of what we had been working on throughout the day. I could not point to any specific conversation or any specific individual who would have said it in these exact terms. As I said, I don't believe that I was a party to drafting the specific language. But, certainly, it is an accurate reflection of what I recall to be the sentiments at that point in time.

24 Q Right. And I understand the sentiment that is 25 expressed in the document. But you were asked about the

2

purpose of issuing the statement that included that language. What is your understanding of the purpose based upon?

Like, for example, the person that drafted the statement may have had a purpose in his mind at the time he was drafting it. Do you have any insight into the purpose that Jake Sullivan had in his mind at the time?

7 A I certainly can't speak to what was in Jake Sullivan's mind. But, as I have said several times 8 9 throughout this interview, something that was in the back of 10 all of our minds at that time, following on not only what had 11 happened in Benghazi but, again, the attack or the protest, 12 the incident at the embassy in Cairo earlier that day, was a 13 concern that there was the potential for further violence and 14 a spreading of this violence to other facilities in the region and that there was a general need to do anything we 15 16 could to tamp down the rhetoric and prevent that from happening in the region. 17

Q Okay.

You were also asked some questions about whether or not you believe that the United States Government or Ben Rhodes perpetuated a false narrative about the attacks, and you said that you didn't believe that occurred.

23

18

A Correct.

Q In my mind, in order to reach the conclusion that you reached, you would have to know everything that Ben 1 Rhodes knew about the attack or you would have to know 2 everything that the State Department knew about the attack to 3 reach that conclusion. Were you privy to all the information 4 about the attacks?

5 A So I would say a couple things about that. 6 Number one, I don't know whether I was privy to all the 7 information about the facts because I don't know what that 8 universe of all the information is, so it would be impossible 9 for me to say.

10 Certainly, it is accurate to say Ben would have been privy to conversations and briefings that I may not have been 11 12 privy to. But I would also say that, again, my 13 responsibility as one of the coordinators of the interagency 14 means that I would see anything that was being provided by 15 other agencies. So when we received assessments from the intelligence community, that was given from their public 16 affairs officer directly to me. That's not something that 17 went when from Shawn Turner to Ben Rhodes and then I received a 18 version from Ben Rhodes. I saw those direct inputs from the 19 20 agencies.

21 So I don't see any circumstance that would make it 22 feasible for Ben Rhodes or anyone else, frankly, at the NSC 23 or the White House to have made up information or modified 24 information in a way that you're suggesting.

25 Q I don't believe I suggested that. If you heard

that, then you misunderstood what I was saying. I'm not
 suggesting that anyone made up information.

I'm just saying you reached a conclusion that neither Ben Rhodes nor the U.S. Government had perpetuated a false narrative. And, in my mind, maybe not in yours, in order to draw that conclusion, you would have to know all of the information that Ben Rhodes had or that the U.S. Government had. And I'm asking you if you were privy to all that information. You said you don't know.

10

A Uh-huh.

11 Q Okay. Let's focus on some specific items. 12 Were you privy to all of the information that was being 13 conveyed from the facility in Benghazi to the Embassy in 14 Tripoli and then back to the State Department?

15

A I have no way to know.

Q Were you privy to any of that information? A I was certainly privy to information that was incorporated in talking points and press guidance and other materials that would have been passed to me by my counterparts at the State Department.

21 Q Okay. So would it be fair to say that the 22 information you were privy to would be circumscribed by the 23 information you were receiving via email?

A I would have received it via email; perhaps during the SVTCs that we've referred to in the past, where the

interagency communicators gather on the same SVTC just for
 ease of process; or phone conversations -- any of those
 methods.

Q Okay. But as you sit here today, you can't say one way or the other whether you were privy to all the information known about the attacks in Benghazi.

A Correct.

7

25

8 Q And you were asked some questions in the litany of 9 questions at the end about the President's actions the night 10 of the attack. Do you have any firsthand knowledge of the 11 President's actions or movements the night of the attack?

12 A The only firsthand knowledge I have is that he, if 13 I remember correctly, conducted a very lengthy phone call 14 with Prime Minister Netanyahu of Israel that evening.

15 This is on the evening of September 11. Q 16 I believe, to the best of my recollection. A 17 Q Okay. Were you present for that conversation? I was not, but I was responsible for helping to 18 A coordinate the drafting of the public readout of that 19 20 conversation.

21 Q Were you present to witness any of the President's 22 movements or actions during the night of the attack?

23 A I was not.

24 Q All right.

Mr. <u>Missakian.</u> I don't have any further questions.

1		Okay.	Grea	t.	Off	the re	cord				
2		[Where	upon,	at	1:47	p.m.,	the	interview	was	conclude	:d.]
3											
4											
5											
6											
7											
8											
9											
10									2		
11											
12											
13											
14											
15											
16											
17											
18											
19											
20											
21											
22											
23											
24											
25											

1	Certificate of Deponent/Interviewee
2	
3	
4	I have read the foregoing pages, which contain the
5	correct transcript of the answers made by me to the questions
6	therein recorded.
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	Witness Name
12	
13	
14	
15	Date
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Errata Sheet

Select Committee on Benghazi

The witness' White House counsel on behalf of the witness reviewed the accompanying transcript and certified its accuracy by providing the following corrections. These corrections are reflected in the transcript as identified below.

PAGE	LINE	ALL CORRECTIONS MADE BY WITNESS' COUNSEL				
1	Date	The date of this transcript was erroneously transcribed as "Friday, December 16, 2015." The correct day for the interview was "Friday, December 18, 2015."				
2	19-21	Added titles as appropriate.				
6	21	Replaced "is" with "was."				
7	11	Replaced "been" with "about."				
8	25	Replaced "Tommy" with "Ben."				
9	1	Replaced "Ben's" with "Tommy's."				
9	7	Replaced "located West Wing" with "located in the West Wing."				
15	22	Replaced "to" with "for."				
20	18	Deleted "a."				
42	15	Replaced "other" with "others."				
44	23	Replaced "working your BlackBerry" to "working on your BlackBerry."				
49	11	Replaced "then" with "the."				
49	13	Added quotation marks after "backlash."				
63	2	Replaced "What you" with "What do you."				
64	18	Replaced "conversation" with "conversations."				
66	13	Replaced "pressing" with "briefing."				
69	13	Capitalized "independent."				
70	12	Added quotation mark after "us."				
75	18	Deleted "being."				

PAGE	LINE	ALL CORRECTIONS MADE BY WITNESS' COUNSEL			
77	2	Deleted "it."			
81	7	Replaced "require" with "inquire."			
81	12	Replaced "cops" with "Copts."			
107	22	Replaced "we everything" with "we do everything."			
110	6	Replaced "was" with "were."			
132	7	Replaced "who considering" to "who were considering."			
136	18	Replaced "when" with "went."			