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## A Quick Outline of This Presentation

1) CBO's Individual Income Tax Model

- Overview
- Current Projection and Alignment Methodology

2) How Other Static Microsimulation Models Project and Align Their Data
3) Criteria for New Projection and Alignment Methodology

## 1) CBO’s Individual Income Tax Model: Overview

- Began in mid-1980s; models and projects the effects of major tax reforms
- Written in Fortran
- Uses data from the IRS's Statistics of Income (SOI) and the Census Bureau's Current Population Survey (CPS)
- Serves as the foundation for multiple CBO products:
- 10-year baseline projections
- Distribution of household income and federal taxes (retrospective)
- Calculation of effective marginal tax rates
- Analyses of labor supply responses to tax law changes
- Long-term revenue projections


## 1) CBO’s Individual Income Tax Model: Overview



## 1) CBO's Individual Income Tax Model: Current Projection and Alignment Approach

Sequential
1
2
3
4
Population

Targets: Annual population forecasts by age, sex, and marital status from CBO's long-term analysis model

Application: Multiplicative weight adjustments based on growth in targets
Two Problems:

1) Unit of analysis is tax unit, not individual

- Married couple gets simple average of two individual growth rates

2) Kids/dependents not explicitly targeted

## 1) CBO's Individual Income Tax Model: Current Projection and Alignment Approach

## Sequential

Employment

Targets: Annual employment forecasts from CBO's Macroeconomic Analysis Division

Application: Offsetting weight adjustments
adj_w $_{-} t_{i t}^{w}=w g t_{i t}^{w} *\left(\frac{\text { Target }_{t}^{w}}{\sum w g t_{i t}^{w}}\right) \square \begin{aligned} & \text { Multiplicative weight adjustment to hit } \\ & \text { aggregate employment target }\end{aligned}$
$\operatorname{adj}_{-} w g t_{i j t}^{n}=w g t_{i j t}^{n} *\left\{1-\left[\left(\frac{\text { Target }_{t}^{w}}{\sum w g t_{i t}^{w}}\right) *\left(\frac{\sum w g t_{i j t}^{w}}{\sum w g t_{i j t}^{n}}\right)\right]\right\}$ $\square$ Offsetting weight adjustments for nonworkers
where $j=1 . .42$ cells by marital status ( $0: 1$ ), number of dependents ( $0: 2$ ), and age groups (1:7)

## 1) CBO's Individual Income Tax Model: Current Projection and Alignment Approach

Sequential


Targets: Forecasted growth in employer-sponsored health insurance (ESI) coverage from CBO's health insurance simulation model

Application: Simulated coverage, with probabilities scaled by aggregate ESI growth rates
$E S I_{-} \operatorname{cov}_{i t}= \begin{cases}1, & \text { if } p\left(E S I_{-} \operatorname{cov}_{j t_{0}}\right) *\left(E S I_{t} / E S I_{t-1}\right)>\text { random }_{i} \\ 0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}$
where $j=1 . .48$ cells by marital status ( $0: 1$ ), number of dependents ( $0: 1$ ), earnings quartiles (1:4), and age groups (1:3)

## 1) CBO's Individual Income Tax Model: Current Projection and Alignment Approach

## Sequential



Targets: Annual income forecasts from CBO's Macroeconomic Analysis Division

Application: Multiplicative scaling of $\sim 100$ income sources and tax components by growth in 12 projections of income sources from CBO's Macroeconomic Analysis Division

For example:

- Most income sources and tax components are grown at weighted average of growth in wages, proprietors' income, dividends, and interest income
- Short-term gain, loss, and carry-over and long-term gain, loss, and carry-over are all grown at single aggregate growth rate in net capital gains


## 1) CBO's Individual Income Tax Model: Current Projection and Alignment Approach

Sequential


Targets: None; trend analysis
Application: Offsetting adjustments to wage and salary income growth rates $81^{\text {st }}$ to $90^{\text {th }}$ percentiles: Grows at average economywide growth rate

91st percentile and up: Grows faster than average
80 th percentile and down: Growth rates adjusted downward to offset faster growth in top decile
(This component of CBO's projection and alignment method is currently being reviewed and may change.)

## 2) How Other Static Microsimulation Models Project and Align Their Data

## $\hat{X}_{t}=\sum x_{i t} w_{i t}$

Most use a two-stage technique:

1. Apply across-the-board multiplicative adjustments to $x_{i t}$ to hit broad aggregate totals

## 2) How Other Static Microsimulation Models Project and Align Their Data

$$
\widehat{X}_{t}=\sum x_{i t} w_{i t}
$$

Most use a two-stage technique:

1. Apply across-the-board multiplicative adjustments to $x_{i t}$ to hit broad aggregate totals
2. Use a constrained optimization algorithm to adjust weights ( $w_{i t}$ ) to "fine-tune" / align the projection

## 2) How Other Static Microsimulation Models Project and Align Their Data

## $\Sigma$ <br> $$
x_{i t} \quad w_{i t}^{*}=T_{x t}
$$

Most use a two-stage technique:

1. Apply across-the-board multiplicative adjustments to $x_{i t}$ to hit broad aggregate totals
2. Use a constrained optimization algorithm to adjust weights ( $w_{i t}$ ) to "fine-tune" / align the projection

## 2) How Other Static Microsimulation Models Project and Align Their Data
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## 2) How Other Static Microsimulation Models Project and Align Their Data

One approach:
Minimize the absolute value of the percentage change in weights ( $\left|z_{i}\right|$ ) necessary to hit aggregate targets

$$
\min \sum\left|z_{i}\right| \text { subject to: } \sum x_{i} w_{i} z_{i}=T_{x}-\sum x_{i} w_{i} \text { and } 0 \leq\left|z_{i}\right| \leq \delta
$$

(where $\delta$ is a bounding parameter)
Operationalized by splitting $z_{i}$ into its positive and negative components:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r_{i}=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
z_{i}, & \text { if } z_{i}>0 \\
0, & \text { otherwise }
\end{array} \quad s_{i}=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
z_{i}, & \text { if } z_{i}<0 \\
0, & \text { otherwise }
\end{array} \quad \square \quad \begin{array}{c}
z_{i}=\left(r_{i}+s_{i}\right) \\
\left|z_{i}\right|=\left(r_{i}-s_{i}\right)
\end{array}\right.\right. \\
& \min \sum\left(r_{i}-s_{i}\right) \square w_{i}^{*}=w_{i}\left(1+r_{i}+s_{i}\right) \text { and } \sum x_{i} w_{i}^{*}=T_{x}
\end{aligned}
$$

Because the objective function and the constraints are linear, the problem can be solved with a relatively straightforward linear programming algorithm, such as a simplex algorithm.

## 2) How Other Static Microsimulation Models Project and Align Their Data

Another approach:
Minimize the "distance" between vector of original weights ( $w_{i}$ ) and new vector of weights ( $w_{i}^{*}$ ) while hitting aggregate targets

$$
\begin{gathered}
\min \sum \varphi\left(w_{i}, w_{i}^{*}\right) \\
\text { subject to: } \\
\sum x_{i} w_{i}^{*}=T_{x}
\end{gathered}
$$

$\varphi$ can take many forms:
L1 Norm: $\varphi\left(w_{i}, w_{i}^{*}\right)=\left|w_{i}-w_{i}^{*}\right|$
L2 Norm: $\varphi\left(w_{i}, w_{i}^{*}\right)=\left(w_{i}-w_{i}^{*}\right)^{2}$
Treasury and Joint Committee on Taxation use functional form approximately like:

$$
\varphi\left(w_{i}, w_{i}^{*}\right)=\left(w_{i}^{*} / w_{i}\right)^{4}+\left(w_{i}^{*} / w_{i}\right)^{-4}-2
$$

If the objective function $(\varphi)$ is nonlinear, the problem must be solved with a relatively more complex nonlinear programming algorithm.

## 2) How Other Static Microsimulation Models Project and Align Their Data

Solving $2^{\text {nd }}$ stage optimization with...
...a linear objective function and a linear programming algorithm produces a trimodal distribution of weight changes.

...a nonlinear objective function and a quadratic programming algorithm produces a smooth distribution of weight changes.


Note: One is not necessarily better than the other.

## 3) Criteria for New Projection and Alignment Methodology

- Keep it simple
- Comprehension is just as important as "precision"
- Minimize aggregate and distributional effects on nontargeted variables
- Integrate with methods used for each alignment component
- New method of labor force participation in development
- New method to adjust income distribution under consideration
- Minimize restructuring of current model and workflow
- Current CBO tax model incorporates projection and alignment in each model run
- Other models project and align data in a separate module

