AMENDMENT TO THE RULES COMMITTEE PRINT FOR H.R. 5303

OFFERED BY MR. SHUSTER OF PENNSYLVANIA

Page 7, strike lines 1 through 8.

Page 11, line 14, strike "and" at the end.

Page 11, line 16, strike the period at the end and insert "; and".

Page 11, after line 16, insert the following:

- 1 (7) reducing the costs of dredging and dredged 2 material placement or disposal, such as projects that 3 use dredged material for— 4 (A) construction or fill material; 5 (B) civic improvement objectives; and 6 (C) other innovative uses and placement 7 alternatives that produce public economic or en-8 vironmental benefits.
 - Page 69, after line 17, insert the following:

9 SEC. ___. COST SHARE REQUIREMENT.

- The Secretary shall carry out the project for eco-
- 11 system restoration and recreation, Los Angeles River,
- 12 California, as authorized by this Act, substantially in ac-

- 1 cordance with the terms and conditions described in the
- 2 Report of the Chief of Engineers, dated December 18,
- 3 2015, including, notwithstanding section 2008(c) of the
- 4 Water Resources Development Act of 2007 (121 Stat.
- 5 1074), the recommended cost sharing.
- 6 SEC. . PUBLIC ACCESS.
- 7 (a) Recreational Access Permitted.—The
- 8 Board of Directors of the Tennessee Valley Authority may
- 9 approve and allow the construction and use of a floating
- 10 cabin on waters under the jurisdiction of the Tennessee
- 11 Valley Authority if—
- 12 (1) the floating cabin is maintained by the
- owner to reasonable health, safety, and environ-
- mental standards, as required by the Board of Di-
- 15 rectors; and
- 16 (2) the Tennessee Valley Authority has author-
- ized the use of recreational vessels on such waters.
- 18 (b) Fees.—The Board of Directors may levy fees on
- 19 the owner of a floating cabin on waters under the jurisdic-
- 20 tion of the Tennessee Valley Authority for purposes of en-
- 21 suring compliance with subsection (a), so long as such fees
- 22 are necessary and reasonable for such purposes.
- 23 (c) Continued Recreational Use.—With respect
- 24 to a floating cabin located on waters under the jurisdiction

1	of the Tennessee Valley Authority on the date of enact-
2	ment of this Act, the Board of Directors—
3	(1) may not require the removal of such float-
4	ing cabin—
5	(A) in the case of a floating cabin that was
6	granted a permit by the Tennessee Valley Au-
7	thority before the date of enactment of this Act,
8	for a period of 15 years beginning on such date;
9	and
10	(B) in the case of a floating cabin not
11	granted a permit by the Tennessee Valley Au-
12	thority before the date of enactment of this Act,
13	for a period of 5 years beginning on such date;
14	and
15	(2) shall approve and allow the use of the float-
16	ing cabin on waters under the jurisdiction of the
17	Tennessee Valley Authority at such time, and for
18	such duration, as the floating cabin meets the re-
19	quirements of subsection (a) and the owner of such
20	cabin has paid any fee levied pursuant to subsection
21	(b).
22	(d) New Construction.—The Tennessee Valley
23	Authority may establish regulations to prevent the con-
24	struction of new floating cabins.

1	(e) FLOATING CABIN DEFINED.—In this section, the
2	term "floating cabin" means every description of
3	watercraft or other floating structure primarily designed
4	and used for human habitation or occupation and not pri-
5	marily designed or used for navigation or transportation
6	on water.
7	(f) Savings Provision.—Nothing in this section re-
8	stricts the ability of the Tennessee Valley Authority to en-
9	force reasonable health, safety, or environmental stand-
10	ards.
11	SEC TRIBAL DISPLACEMENT.
12	(a) IN GENERAL.—The Comptroller General of the
13	United States shall conduct a study related to any remain-
14	ing Federal obligations to Indian people displaced by the
15	construction of the Bonneville Dam, the Dalles Dam, or
16	the John Day Dam on the Columbia River in Oregon and
17	Washington.
18	(b) Factors.—The study shall include—
19	(1) a determination as to the number and loca-
20	tion of Indian people displaced by the construction
21	of the Bonneville Dam, the Dalles Dam, or the John
22	Day Dam;
23	(2) a determination of the amounts and types
24	of assistance provided by the Federal Government to

1	Indian people displaced by the construction of such
2	dams to the present; and
3	(3) a determination of whether and how much
4	assistance is necessary to meet any remaining Fed-
5	eral obligations to compensate Indian people dis-
6	placed by the construction of such dams.
7	(c) Report.—Not later than 1 year after the date
8	of enactment of this Act, the Comptroller General shall
9	submit to Congress a report on the results of the study.
10	SEC DROUGHT EMERGENCIES.
11	(a) Authorized Activities.—With respect to a
12	State in which a drought emergency is in effect on the
13	date of enactment of this Act, or was in effect at any time
14	during the 1-year period ending on such date of enact-
15	ment, and upon the request of the Governor of the State,
16	the Secretary is authorized to—
17	(1) prioritize the updating of the water control
18	manuals for control structures under the jurisdiction
19	
1)	of the Secretary that are located in the State; and
20	of the Secretary that are located in the State; and (2) incorporate into the update seasonal oper-
	·
20	(2) incorporate into the update seasonal oper-
2021	(2) incorporate into the update seasonal operations for water conservation and water supply for

- appropriate Federal agencies, elected officials, and mem-2 bers of the public. 3 SEC. GAO STUDY. 4 (a) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of this Act, the Comptroller General 6 of the United States shall submit to the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the Senate and the 8 Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives an analysis of the President's 10 budget requests for the Corps of Engineers Civil Works Program for each of fiscal years 2008 through 2017. 12 (b) Considerations.—The analysis to be submitted under subsection (a) shall evaluate— 13 14 (1) the extent to which there is geographic di-15 versity among the projects included in such budget 16 requests; and 17 (2) whether the methodologies used by the 18 Corps of Engineers to calculate benefit-cost ratios 19 for projects impact the geographic diversity of 20 projects included in such budget requests.
 - Page 75, strike lines 9 and 10.
 - Page 75, strike lines 14 and 15 and insert the following:

- 1 (1) Project for flood damage reduction and en-
- 2 vironmental restoration, Hamilton City, California.

Page 75, line 23, strike "\$5,000,000,000" and insert "\$10,000,000,000".

Page 78, line 17, strike "\$5,000,000,000" and insert "\$10,000,000,000".

Page 92, after line 25, insert the following:

- 3 (c) Inventory.—In carrying out the update under
- 4 subsection (a), the Secretary shall include an inventory of
- 5 those lands that are not necessary to carry out the author-
- 6 ized purposes of the project.

Page 93, lines 14 and 15, strike "September 30, 2016, \$31,233,401" and insert "December 31, 2016, \$31,344,841.65".

Page 106, strike line 6 and all that follows before line 7 and insert the following:

7 (1) Navigation.—

A. State	B. Name	C. Date of Report of Chief of Engineers	D. Estimated Costs
1. TX	Brazos Island Harbor	Nov. 3, 2014	Federal: \$116,116,000 Non-Federal: \$88,471,000 Total: \$204,587,000

A. State	B. Name	C. Date of Report of Chief of Engineers	D. Estimated Costs
2. LA	Calcasieu Lock	Dec. 2, 2014	Total: \$16,700,000 (to be derived ½ from the general fund of the Treasury and ½ from the Inland Waterways Trust Fund)
3. NH, ME	Portsmouth Har- bor and Piscataqua River	Feb. 8, 2015	Federal: \$15,580,000 Non-Federal: \$5,190,000 Total: \$20,770,000
4. FL	Port Everglades	Jun. 25, 2015	Federal: \$220,200,000 Non-Federal: \$102,500,000 Total: \$322,700,000
5. AK	Little Diomede Harbor	Aug. 10, 2015	Federal: \$26,015,000 Non-Federal: \$2,945,000 Total: \$28,960,000
6. SC	Charleston Har- bor	Sep. 8, 2015	Federal: \$224,300,000 Non-Federal: \$269,000,000 Total: \$493,300,000
7. AK	Craig Harbor	Mar. 16, 2016	Federal: \$29,062,000 Non-Federal: \$3,255,000 Total: \$32,317,000
8. PA	Upper Ohio	Sep. 12, 2016	Federal: \$1,324,235,500 Non-Federal: \$1,324,235,500 Total: \$2,648,471,000

Page 109, strike line 1 and all that follows before line 2 and insert the following:

1 (4) Ecosystem restoration.—

A. State	B. Name	C. Date of Report of Chief of Engineers	D. Estimated Costs
1. FL	Central Ever- glades	Dec. 23, 2014	Federal: \$976,375,000 Non-Federal: \$974,625,000 Total: \$1,951,000,000

A. State	B. Name	C. Date of Report of Chief of Engineers	D. Estimated Costs
2. WA	Skokomish River	Dec. 14, 2015	Federal: \$12,782,000 Non-Federal: \$6,882,000 Total: \$19,664,000
3. WA	Puget Sound	Sep. 16, 2016	Federal: \$293,558,000 Non-Federal: \$158,069,000 Total: \$451,627,000

Page 110, before line 3, insert the following:

- 1 (8) Hurricane and Storm Damage risk re-
- 2 DUCTION AND ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION.—

A. State	B. Name	C. Date of Report of Chief of Engineers	D. Estimated Costs
1. LA	Southwest Coastal Louisiana	Jul. 29, 2016	Federal: \$2,011,280,000 Non-Federal: \$1,082,997,000 Total: \$3,094,277,000

Page 110, strike line 3 and all that follows through the end of the table following line 4 and insert the following:

- 3 (9) Deauthorizations, modifications, and
- 4 OTHER PROJECTS.—

A. State	B. Name	C. Date of Decision Document	D. Estimated Costs
1. TX	Upper Trinity River	May 21, 2008	Federal: \$526,500,000 Non-Federal: \$283,500,000 Total: \$810,000,000

A. State	B. Name	C. Date of Decision Document	D. Estimated Costs
2. KY	Green River Locks and Dams 3, 4, 5, 6 and Barren River Lock and Dam 1 Disposi- tion	Apr. 30, 2015	Federal: \$0 Non-Federal: \$0 Total: \$0
3. KS, MO	Turkey Creek Basin	May 13, 2016	Federal: \$97,067,750 Non-Federal: \$55,465,250 Total: \$152,533,000
4. KY	Ohio River Shore- line	May 13, 2016	Federal: \$20,309,900 Non-Federal: \$10,936,100 Total: \$31,246,000
5. MO	Blue River Basin	May 13, 2016	Federal: \$34,860,000 Non-Federal: \$11,620,000 Total: \$46,480,000
6. FL	Picayune Strand	Jul. 15, 2016	Federal: \$308,983,500 Non-Federal: \$308,983,500 Total: \$617,967,000
7. MO	Swope Park Industrial Area, Blue River	Jul. 15, 2016	Federal: \$20,205,250 Non-Federal: \$10,879,750 Total: \$31,085,000

