Buddy, Can You Spare A Dime?

Why NYC Gets 9 Cents Per Person in Firefighter Homeland Aid, But the Entire State of Montana Gets \$9.07 Per Person.

An Analysis of the Distribution of FIRE Act Grants

A report prepared by the office of Congresswoman Carolyn B. Maloney February 23, 2004

Table of Contents

- I. Report by the Office of Congresswoman Carolyn B. Maloney
- II. Recommendations for Congress
- III. Graphic of New York City Funding v. Montana Funding
- IV. CRS Report on the FIRE Act
- V. FY2001 FIRE Act Totals
- VI. FY2001 Per Capita Totals
- VII. FY2001 Raw Data on Congressional Districts
- VIII. FY2003 FIRE Act Totals
- IX. FY2003 Per Capita Totals
- X. FY2003 Raw Data on Congressional Districts
- XI. Pennsylvania Totals
- XII. CRS Chart of First Responder Funding
- XIII. Department of Homeland Security Totals for FY2001 through FY2003 as of 2/20/04

Contact information:

Afshin Mohamadi (202) 225-7944

Buddy, Can You Spare A Dime?

Why NYC Gets 9 Cents Per Person in Firefighter Homeland Aid, But the Entire State of Montana Gets \$9.07 Per Person.

An Analysis of the Distribution of FIRE Act Grants

BACKGROUND

The FIRE Act was signed into law by the President in December 2000 and was funded at \$100 million in FY2001 to provide fire departments with basic needs such as hiring and training personnel, prevention programs, equipment and facilities and public education. The program caps awards at \$750,000 for any fire department and requires a match of 10% for departments serving fewer than 50,000 people and a 30% match for department serving more than 50,000 people. These parameters were in place to ensure the program served smaller communities who had financial difficulty in supplying the basic firefighting needs to their communities.

MAJOR CHANGE AS A RESULT OF 9/11

In President Bush's first budget proposal for FY2002 he proposed eliminating the program arguing that it did not represent an appropriate responsibility of the federal government. This decision was reversed when Congress funded the program due to opposition from the fire fighter community. Then came the terrorist attacks of 9/11 and the program changed from a relatively small-scale program aimed at helping smaller communities fulfill their basic fire department needs into one of the primary homeland security programs and the designated program for fire departments to receive direct homeland security funding.

POST 9/11 FUNDING

Despite a post 9/11 significant funding increase that went from \$100 million for FY2001 to close to \$2 billion program for FY2002 through FY2004 and despite an inherent need for homeland security funds to be directed to where the most significant threat and largest vulnerabilities are, the formula was never changed. This means it capped assistance to high-threat and high-need areas at \$750,000. This formula prevents large cities such as New York, Los Angeles, Chicago Miami and Boston from receiving more than \$750,000 in FIRE Act funding, the same eligibility of a small town. It also prevents these large fire departments from purchasing the needed equipment to supply their firefighters with the equipment necessary to respond to future attacks. While small fire departments should be able to afford to purchase such as radios, hazardous material equipments and other apparatus to protect themselves, the program's cap will prevent large fire departments from purchasing the needed equipment for their homeland security needs.

THE PRESIDENT'S BUDGET PROPOSAL

This year, President Bush has proposed in his FY2005 budget to cut funding to the FIRE Act grant program by 33% (from \$750 to \$500 million) and, according to the Congressional Research Service, has proposed cutting first responder funding by \$2.3 billion for FY2005. The President proposes raising the cap from \$750,000 to \$2 million but also proposes prohibiting any funds from being used for fire code enforcement, wellness/fitness, fire station modification, fire prevention, and public education. While this is a recognition that the formula needs to change, by cutting overall funding, prohibiting the use of funds for important programs and still restricting funding with an arbitrary small cap that does not allow the Department of Homeland Security to distribute funding based on need and threat level to large cities.

ANALYSIS OF FUNDING LEVELS

Attached is an analysis of FIRE Act funding for FY2001 and FY2003. These years were chosen because FY2001 was the first year of the program and FY2003 is the most recent year that data is available. The FY2003 statewide data is as of February 20, 2004 and accounts for \$680 million in funding for FY2003. According to the Department of Homeland Security's website this is the total amount that they have distributed to date despite being almost 5 months into FY2004. According to their website, no grants have yet to be awarded for FY2004. The information provided for Congressional Districts for FY2003 only represents the first \$512 million of grants distributed (of the \$745 million appropriated). This was the latest information that has been made available to Congress broken down by Congressional District. What the data seems to show is a disturbing trend of funding not going to where the threat is, rather a haphazard distribution throughout the country and a distribution that seemingly benefits fire departments in Congressional Districts held by Republican Members.

The analysis of the funding found the following:

- Funding through this program appears haphazard with wide variations among areas receiving funds.
- Montana is the state that receives the most funding per person in this program at \$9.07 and Hawaii receives the least at \$0.78.
- After the attacks of 9/11 the purpose of the program changed dramatically and caused a rapid expansion of funding levels (\$100 million in FY2001 to close to \$2 billion from FY2002 to FY2004). Despite being the center of the terrorist attacks, New York City only receives 9 cents per person.
- Pennsylvania receives more grant money then any other state at \$44,414,829 and saw their percentage of these grants more then double from 3.2% in FY2001 to 6.5% in FY2003.
- A state-by-state per capita analysis found wide variations in grant funding. The states with the highest per capita funding are Montana with \$9.07, Vermont with \$8.38, Alaska with \$8.36 and Maine with \$8.10. The states with the least per capita are Hawaii with \$0.78, California with \$0.88, Florida with \$0.98. New York State received \$1.79 per capita and New York City received \$0.09 per capita.
- If the entire \$750 million was entirely distributed based on population, the funds would be distributed at \$2.56 per person. If this formula was applied NYC would

- receive \$20.5 million opposed to the \$750,000 cap now in place or the \$2 million cap proposed in the President's Budget.
- Calculating the data per Congressional District, it appears that 18% of Democratic Congressional Districts did not receive any FIRE Act Grants in FY2003 but 97% of Republican Districts received grants.
- Despite an almost 50/50 split in Congressional Districts, Republican Districts received 60% of all FIRE Act grants funding.
- The average grant total per Democratic District is approximately \$1 million and the average grant total per Republican District is \$1.36 million. The average Republican District receives about 27% more then the average Democratic District.
- Since the inception of the program approximately \$115 million of funding for FY2001 through FY2003 has yet to be distributed and all of the \$746 million in FY2004 has yet to be distributed. The application process for FY2004 is just underway.

Conclusions: What Congress Should Do

- 1. Congress should reject President Bush's proposed \$2.3 billion in first responder cuts, including the \$250 million proposed cut to the FIRE Act program.
- 2. Congress must fix the formula so it should be targeted towards areas and departments with the greatest needs. Standards must be established by the Department of Homeland Security and funding should be directly targeted to filling holes identified by these standards. This funding should not be distributed haphazardly and arbitrary caps that only hobble this program should be eliminated.
- 3. Not only should Congress reject the President's first responder cuts and fix the FIRE Act formula, Congress must at the same time ensure that the original intent of helping small communities provide basic needs for their fire departments is maintained. This program can only be successful as a homeland security initiative if the basic needs of individual fire departments are met as well as large cities.

Note:

• The following pages include supplemental material used for this report. The Congressional Research Service (CRS) document (in the appendix) was used in compiling this report. The FY2001 and FY2003 FIRE Act totals are calculations from materials provided by CRS. The FY2001 are complete totals. The FY2003 total only represents data available for the first \$512 million in fund distributed. The FY2001 and FY2003 statewide totals and per capita totals is current as of February 20, 2004 and are available at:

http://www.usfa.fema.gov/fire-service/grants/afgp/awards/2003awards/03awards.shtm.

Homeland Firefighter Aid Funding Per Capita

Montana \$9.07



New York City .09¢



CRS Report for Congress

Received through the CRS Web

Assistance to Firefighters Program

Lennard G. Kruger Specialist in Science and Technology Resources, Science, and Industry Division

Summary

The Assistance to Firefighters Program, ¹ also known as the FIRE Act grant program, was established by Title XVII of the FY2001 National Defense Authorization Act (P.L. 106-398). Currently administered by the Office for Domestic Preparedness (ODP) within the Department of Homeland Security (DHS), the program provides federal grants directly to local fire departments to help address a variety of equipment, training, and other firefighter-related needs. The FY2004 DHS Appropriations (P.L. 108-90) funds fire grants at \$746 million for FY2004, and provides fire grant funding as a separate appropriation within the Office for Domestic Preparedness. The Administration's budget proposal for FY2005 requests \$500 million for the fire grant program, and would shift program priorities toward terrorism preparedness. Meanwhile, the FY2004 National Defense Authorization (P.L. 108-136) contains a provision (the "SAFER Act") which establishes a federal grant program to provide funding to fire departments for hiring personnel. The SAFER Act is authorized but not funded in FY2004. The Administration has requested no funding for SAFER grants in FY2005. This report will be updated as events warrant.

Background

Firefighting activities are traditionally the responsibility of states and local communities. As such, funding for firefighters is provided mostly by state and local governments. During the 1990s, shortfalls in state and local budgets, coupled with increased responsibilities (i.e. counterterrorism) of local fire departments, led many in the fire community to call for additional financial support from the federal government. While federally funded training programs existed (and continue to exist) through USFA's National Fire Academy, and while federal money has been available to first responders for counterterrorism training and equipment through the Department of Justice, 2 there did

¹ See the official Assistance to Firefighters grant program web page at: [http://www.usfa.fema.gov/dhtml/inside-usfa/grants.cfm].

² For a list of federal programs providing assistance to state and local first responders, see CRS (continued...)

not exist a dedicated program, exclusively for firefighters, which provided federal money directly to local fire departments to help address a wide variety of equipment, training, and other firefighter-related needs.

Authorization: The FIRE Act

During the 106th Congress, many in the fire community asserted that local fire departments require and deserve greater support from the federal government. In response, H.R. 1168, the Firefighter Investment and Response Enhancement (FIRE) Act, was introduced on March 17, 1999 by Rep. Pascrell. The bill authorized the Director of the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) "to make grants to fire departments for the purpose of protecting the public and firefighting personnel against fire and fire-related hazards." In the Senate, the FIRE Act was introduced by Senator Dodd (S. 1941) and Senator Campbell (S. 1899). Ultimately, FIRE Act language was agreed to in the Senate by unanimous consent as an amendment offered by Senator Dodd to the FY2001 National Defense Authorization Act (P.L. 106-398, signed into law on October 30, 2000).

Title XVII of P.L. 106-398 amends the Federal Fire Prevention and Control Act (P.L. 93-498)³ to establish a new office in FEMA to administer grants to fire departments and fire prevention organizations for a variety of purposes, including: hiring and training personnel, prevention programs, equipment and facilities, and public education. The law stipulates that at least 5% of the funds go to prevention programs, that recipients agree to contribute a 30% nonfederal match (10% for fire departments serving jurisdictions of 50,000 or less), that a grant recipient may not receive more than \$750,000 for any fiscal year, and that volunteer departments receive a proportion of the total grant funding that is not less than the proportion of the U.S. population that those departments protect. Meanwhile, FEMA was directed to conduct an 18-month study (in conjunction with the National Fire Protection Association) on the need for federal assistance to state and local communities to fund firefighting and emergency response activities. The study, entitled, *A Needs Assessment of the U.S. Fire Service*, was released in January 2003 and found that many fire departments — particularly volunteer companies in rural communities — report shortfalls in facilities, equipment, and training of personnel.⁴

For firefighter assistance, P.L. 106-398 authorized \$100 million for FY2001 and \$300 million for FY2002. However, in the wake of the September 11, 2001 terrorist attack on the World Trade Center and the Pentagon, the Senate amended the FY2002 Department of Defense Authorization Act (S. 1438) to include language providing increased authorization levels for the Assistance to Firefighters Program. An additional increase was inserted during the House-Senate conference on the defense authorization bill, authorizing the program at \$900 million per year through FY2004, and expanding the scope of the grants to include equipment and training to help firefighters respond to a

² (...continued)

Report RL31227, Terrorism Preparedness: Catalog of Federal Assistance Programs, by Ben Canada

³ "Firefighter assistance" is codified as section 33 of the Federal Fire Prevention and Control Act (15 USC 2229).

⁴ Study available at: [http://www.nfpa.org/PDF/needsassessment.PDF?src=nfpa]

terrorist attack or an attack using weapons of mass destruction. The bill was signed into law (P.L. 107-107) on December 28, 2001.

Appropriations

From FY2001 through FY2003, the Assistance to Firefighters Program (as part of USFA/FEMA) received its primary appropriation through the VA-HUD-Independent Agencies Appropriation Act. In FY2004, the Assistance to Firefighters Program began to receive its annual appropriation through the House and Senate Appropriations Subcommittees on the Department of Homeland Security. Table 1 shows recent appropriated funding for the fire grant program.

Table 1. Appropriations for Assistance to Firefighters Program, FY2001 - FY2005

FY2001	FY2002	FY2003 (P.L. 108-7)	FY2004 (P.L. 108-90)	FY2005 (Administration request)
\$100 million	\$360 million	\$745 million	\$746 million	\$500 million

FY2001. On December 21, 2000, President Clinton signed into law the Consolidated Appropriations Act 2001 (H.R. 5666; P.L. 106-554). P.L. 106-554 provided \$100 million in FY2001 funding for the Assistance to Firefighters Program.

FY2002. In its preliminary FY2002 budget document, "Blueprint for a New Beginning," the Bush Administration proposed abolishing the fire grant program, arguing that it did not represent an appropriate responsibility of the federal government. After intense opposition from the fire community, the Administration reversed its position and proposed \$100 million in FY2002 for the Assistance to Firefighters Program. The November 6, 2001 House-Senate conference agreement (H.Rept. 107-272) set the Assistance to Firefighters Program at \$150 million for FY2002. The FY2002 VA-HUD appropriations act was signed into law (P.L. 107-73) on November 26, 2001.

Supplemental FY2002 funding for the firefighter grant program was provided in the homeland security package contained in the FY2002 Defense Appropriations Act (P.L. 107-117, H.R. 3338), signed into law on January 10, 2002. P.L. 107-117 appropriated an additional \$210 million in FY2002 funding for the Assistance to Firefighters grant program. Thus, the total FY2002 appropriation for the fire grant program was \$360 million.

FY2003. The President's FY2003 budget proposal sought to transfer \$150 million of funding for the Assistance to Firefighter Program into FEMA's newly formed Office of National Preparedness, where the fire grant program would be incorporated into the President's proposed \$3.5 billion First Responder grant program.⁵ The 107th Congress was not able to complete passage of the VA-HUD-Independent Agencies appropriation for FY2003. In the 108th Congress, the FY2003 Omnibus Appropriations (P.L. 108-

⁵ See: CRS Report RL31475, First Responder Initiative: Policy Issues and Options, by Ben Canada.

7;H.J.Res 2) was signed into law on February 20, 2003, and provides \$745 million to the Assistance to Firefighters Program. P.L. 108-7 established a separate appropriations account for the program — "Firefighter Assistance Grants." According to the H.J.Res. 2 Conferees, this new appropriations account is being established "so that there will be no doubt as to the importance of this program, and to protect this program from being lost in the morass of the Department of Homeland Security."

FY2004. The Administration's FY2004 budget requested \$500 million for the Assistance to Firefighters Program. The budget proposal sought to fund the fire grant program as part of the \$3.5 billion Office for Domestic Preparedness, located within the Department of Homeland Security's Directorate of Border and Transportation Security. The FY2004 Department of Homeland Security Appropriations Act P.L. 108-90) funded fire grants at \$746 million for FY2004, and provided fire grant funding as a separate appropriation within the Office for Domestic Preparedness. The Conference Report (H.Rept. 108-280) specified that DHS shall "continue current administrative practices in a manner identical to the current fiscal year, including a peer review process of applications, granting funds directly to local fire departments, and the inclusion of the United States Fire Administration during grant administration."

FY2005. The Administration's budget proposal requests \$500 million for fire grants in FY2005, a cut of one-third (33%) from the FY2004 level. The Administration proposes three major changes to the fire grant program. *First*, priority will be given to applications enhancing terrorism preparedness. Currently, the program primarily focuses on helping fire departments meet an array of basic firefighting needs. *Second*, grants would be capped at \$2 million for fire departments serving populations of 500,000 or more. Currently, by statute, an individual grant cannot exceed \$750,000. And *third*, grants would be available only for training, vehicles, firefighting equipment, and personal protective equipment. Currently, grants are available for a number of additional purposes, including: fire code enforcement, wellness/fitness, fire station modification, fire prevention, and public education.

Program Implementation

Through FY2003, the Assistance to Firefighters Program was administered by the U.S. Fire Administration (USFA). Beginning in FY2004, the program is administered by the Office for Domestic Preparedness. At present, the program does not award funding for major building construction or for hiring of personnel. Eligible applicants are limited to fire departments (defined as an agency or organization that has a formally recognized arrangement with a state, local, or tribal authority to provide fire suppression, fire prevention and rescue services to a population within a fixed geographical area). Additionally, national, state, local, or community fire prevention or safety organizations are eligible to receive fire prevention grants. For official program guidelines, frequentlyasked-questions, the latest awards announcements, and other information, see the Firefighters Assistance to grant program web page at: [http://www.usfa.fema.gov/fire-service/grants/afgp/grants.shtm].

The FY2003 grant application period began on March 10, 2003, and ended on April 11, 2003. About 19,950 applications were received, requesting approximately \$2.5 billion in funding (including both the federal and nonfederal share). Approximately \$2 billion in federal funding was requested. The first awards were announced on June 12, 2003.

FEMA and the USFA expect to announce awards weekly, and will obligate over 8000 grants by September 2004 as part of the FY2003 round of awards.

For the FY2004 awards, the federal rule, program guidelines, and notice of funding availability is expected to be released sometime in February 2004, with the beginning of the application period tentatively scheduled for March 15, 2004. Funding will be available in three categories: fire operations and firefighter safety, fire prevention, and firefighting vehicles. Grants for preparedness for weapons of mass destruction (WMD) incidents has been specifically added in all three categories. ODP plans to direct grant money to "WMD Priority Communities" – primarily high-threat urban areas.⁶

On May 13, 2003, the USFA released the first independent evaluation of the Assistance to Firefighters Program. Conducted by the U.S. Department of Agriculture's Leadership Development Academy Executive Potential Program, the survey study presented a number of recommendations and concluded overall that the program was "highly effective in improving the readiness and capabilities of firefighters across the nation." Another evaluation of the fire grant program was released by the DHS Office of Inspector General in September 2003. The report concluded that the program "succeeded in achieving a balanced distribution of funding through a competitive grant process," and made a number of specific recommendations for improving the program.

The Administration's FY2005 budget proposal is accompanied by program evaluations called the Program Assessment Rating Tool (PART). PART gave the fire grant program a rating of "Results Not Demonstrated," and found that "the program is unfocused and has not been able to demonstrate its impact on public safety, though the grant administration process is generally well-managed." The PART recommendation is as follows: "In 2004, ODP will strengthen performance measures and place greater emphasis on the unique role of Federal funds, particularly for terrorism preparedness. In addition, \$250 million is shifted to grants for 'high-threat' urban areas."

Issues

The Assistance to Firefighters Program is currently providing an unprecedented level of direct federal financial assistance to local fire departments. As such, firefighters strongly support the continuation of the fire grants as a viable, discrete, and well-funded program. The Administration has proposed \$500 million for the program in FY2005, a cut of 33% from the FY2004 level. Firefighters are likely to argue that the need for fire grant funding remains high and that a cut is not warranted.

⁶ Office of Domestic Preparedness, Department of Homeland Security, 2004 Assistance to Firefighters Grant Program, Workshop for New Applicants, power point presentation available at: [http://www.usfa.fema.gov/downloads/ppt/2004workshop.ppt]

⁷ For full report see: [http://www.usfa.fema.gov/downloads/pdf/affgp-fy01-usda-report.pdf]

⁸ Department of Homeland Security, Office of Inspections, Evaluations, and Special Reviews, "A Review of the Assistance to Firefighters Grant Program," OIG-ISP-01-03, September 2003, p. 3. Available at: [http://www.dhs.gov/interweb/assetlibrary/OIG_Review_Fire_Assist.pdf]

⁹ Budget of the United States Government, FY2005, p. 177.

A related issue is the focus of the fire grant program, now that it has been relocated to the Office of Domestic Preparedness. From its inception, the program had been administered by the USFA (part of the EPR Directorate), and focused on enhancing the basic needs of fire departments across the nation. In the FY2004 budget request, as part of its effort to consolidate terrorism preparedness grants under a single entity, the Administration proposed to relocate the fire grant program within the Office for Domestic Preparedness (ODP), whose mission is to provide state and local governments with assistance to improve their readiness for terrorism incidents. The FY2004 DHS Appropriations Act (P.L. 108-90) acceded to the Administration's request, and relocated the fire grants to ODP.¹⁰ The Conferees directed that DHS shall "continue current administrative practices in a manner identical to the current fiscal year, including a peer review process of applications, granting funds directly to local fire departments, and the inclusion of the United States Fire Administration during grant administration."

The Administration's FY2005 budget proposal seeks to shift the focus of the fire grant program to terrorism preparedness, and would dedicate a significant portion of funding for "high-threat" urban areas. ¹¹ Firefighting groups have questioned this proposed shift in focus, arguing that the original purpose of the Fire Act (enhancing basic firefighting needs) should not be compromised or diluted. Also at issue is whether the program will continue to provide significant support for rural (in many cases, volunteer) fire departments not located near "high-threat" areas.

Another issue is the role of the federal government in assisting fire departments to hire personnel. Firefighters have argued that inadequate state and local budgets leave many fire departments critically understaffed, and that federal assistance is needed. On the other hand, the Administration has argued that funding the hiring of firefighters is not an appropriate federal role. In the first session of the 108^{th} Congress, Congress enacted the Staffing for Adequate Fire and Emergency Response Firefighters (SAFER) Act as Section 1057 of the FY2004 National Defense Authorization Act (P.L. 108-136; signed into law November 24, 2003). The SAFER Act authorizes federal grants of over \$1 billion per year through 2010 directly to fire departments for the hiring of personnel. The SAFER Act gives the U.S. Fire Administrator authority to issue 4-year grants for new hires, with the condition that the recipient fire department must assume an increasing percentage of the cost in each year. The SAFER provision is an authorization; the program can not be implemented until Congress appropriates money specifically for that purpose. No money has been appropriated for SAFER grants in FY2004. The Administration has requested no funding for SAFER grants in FY2005.

On June 12, 2003, Senator Collins introduced S. 1245, the Homeland Security Grant Enhancement Act of 2003. Section 3 of S. 1245 would place the Assistance to Firefighters Program within the Office for State and Local Government Coordination (OSLGC), which is within the Office of the Secretary of DHS. Under this proposal, the fire grant program would be separate from the Office of Domestic Preparedness (which would also be placed within the OSLGC). S. 1245 also provides that the fire grant program's current administrative rules and regulations be retained. On September 5, 2003, S. 1245 was reported by the Senate Committee on Governmental Affairs and placed on the Senate Legislative Calendar.

¹¹ The Administration's Program Assessment Rating Tool (PART) states that suburban and urban areas received 29% of fire grant funding in 2002, with urban areas receiving 9%.

2001 Fire Grant Totals

2001 File Static Fotals	Democrats	Republicans	
Seats	212 (1 independent)	223 (1 independent)	
Percentage	48.7%	51.3%	
Number of Districts Receiving Grants	171	209	
Number of districts w/o any grant money	41	14	
% of district with no rewards	19.3%	6.3%	
Percentage of grants	45%	55%	
Total value of grants	\$39,365,882.14	\$50,695,123.87	
Difference in Total Value	-\$11,329,241.73	\$11,329,241.73	
% of Total Grant Money	43.7%	56.3%	
Average per District	\$185,688.12	\$227,332.39	
Difference in Avg per District	-\$41,644.27	\$41,644.27	

2001 Per Capita State Figures

State	Amount Rewarded	% of Total Grant Money	Total Population	Grant Money per capita
Alabama	\$3,085,057	3.43%	4,447,100	\$0.69
Alaska	\$1,303,801	1.45%		\$2.08
Arizona	\$1,337,280	1.48%	5,130,632	\$0.26
Arkansas	\$1,371,459	1.52%		\$0.51
California	\$5,317,673	5.90%		\$0.16
Colorado	\$1,003,091	1.11%		\$0.23
Connecticut	\$1,828,914	2.03%	3,405,565	\$0.54
Delaware	\$132,365	0.15%		\$0.17
Florida	\$2,819,551	3.13%	15,982,378	\$0.18
Georgia	\$2,375,308	2.64%	8,186,453	\$0.29
Hawaii	\$0	0.00%	1,211,537	\$0.00
Idaho	\$916,236	1.02%	1,293,953	\$0.71
Illinois	\$2,368,694	2.63%	12,419,293	\$0.19
Indiana	\$2,703,425	3.00%	6,080,485	\$0.44
lowa	\$1,301,988	1.45%	2,926,324	\$0.44
Kansas	\$1,153,527	1.28%	2,688,418	\$0.43
Kentucky	\$2,215,045	2.46%		\$0.55
Louisiana	\$3,371,616	3.74%		\$0.75
Maine	\$1,296,033	1.44%		\$1.02
Maryland	\$739,040	0.82%	5,296,486	\$0.14
Massachusetts	\$2,243,746	2.49%		\$0.35
Michigan	\$2,815,588	3.13%		\$0.28
Minnesota	\$2,133,462	2.37%		\$0.43
Mississippi	\$1,784,634	1.98%	2,844,658	\$0.63
Missouri	\$3,079,688	3.42%	5,595,211	\$0.55
Montana	\$1,164,469	1.29%		\$1.29
Nebraska	\$1,034,068	1.15%		\$0.60
Nevada	\$282,939	0.31%	1,998,257	\$0.14
New Hampshire	\$594,540	0.66%	1,235,786	\$0.48
New Jersey	\$2,596,009	2.88%	8,414,350	\$0.31
New Mexico	\$1,455,242	1.62%	1,819,046	\$0.80
New York	\$3,979,771	4.42%	18,976,457	\$0.21
North Carolina	\$1,949,107	2.16%	8,049,313	\$0.24
North Dakota	\$546,368	0.61%	642,200	\$0.85
Ohio	\$2,731,344	3.03%	11,353,140	\$0.24

Oklahoma	\$1,864,648	2.07%	3,450,654	\$0.54
Oregon	\$1,596,571	1.77%	3,421,399	\$0.47
Pennsylvania	\$2,890,895	3.21%	12,281,054	\$0.24
Rhode Island	\$407,204	0.45%	1,048,319	\$0.39
South Carolina	\$1,544,160	1.71%	4,012,012	\$0.38
South Dakota	\$904,003	1.00%	754,844	\$1.20
Tennessee	\$2,436,088	2.70%	5,689,283	\$0.43
Texas	\$3,445,342	3.83%	20,851,820	\$0.17
Utah	\$900,990	1.00%	2,233,169	\$0.40
Vermont	\$451,203	0.50%	608,827	\$0.74
Virginia	\$1,995,430	2.22%	7,078,515	\$0.28
Washington	\$1,535,782	1.71%	5,894,121	\$0.26
West Virginia	\$1,067,941	1.19%	1,808,344	\$0.59
Wisconsin	\$2,077,773	2.31%	5,363,704	\$0.39
Wyoming	\$1,090,166	1.21%	493,782	\$2.21
Puerto Rico	\$657,147	0.73%	3,808,610	\$0.17

2001 Firefighter Grants

State	Cong. Dist.	Num. Awarded	Amount Awarded		
AL	5	6	\$265,365.00	Cramer	D
AL	7	4	\$371,359.00	Hilliard	D
AR	1	10	\$331,596.00	Berry	D
AR	2	13	\$491,137.00	Snyder	D
AR	4	14	\$278,217.00	Ross	D
AS	98	1	· · · · · ·	Faleomavaega	D
AZ	2	3		-	D
CA	1	7		M. Thompson	D
CA	6	5			D
CA	7	2		•	D
CA	8	_ 1	· · · · · ·		D
CA	10	1	. ,		D
CA	12	1			D
CA	13	2			D
CA	14	2			D
CA	15	1	. ,		D
CA	17	1			D
CA	18	3	· · · · · ·		D
CA	20	3			D
CA	22	4		•	D
CA	31	3			D
CA	34	2			D
CA	36	1	. ,	•	D
CA			. ,		
	42	2			D
CA	49	1	. ,		D
CO	1	1	. ,		D
CO	2	4	· · · · · ·		D
CT	1	5			D
CT	3	5			D
CT	5	5		Maloney (Jim)	D
FL	2	11		-	D
FL	3	2			D
FL 	5	2	. ,		D
FL 	17	1			D
FL 	19	2			D
FL	20	6			D
FL	23	1	\$37,907.00		D
GA	2	8	· · · · · ·	•	D
GA	4	1	. ,	•	D
GA	5	1	\$21,803.00		D
IA	3	11	\$424,582.00		D
IL	2	4			D
IL	3	2		•	D
IL	9	1	. ,	Schakowsky	D
IL	12	7	· · · · · ·	Costello	D
IL	17	3			D
IL	19	3		•	D
IN	1	2		Visclosky	D
IN	3	3	· · · · · ·	Roemer	D
IN	9	9	\$697,887.00	Hill	D

IN	10	2	\$89,455.00	Carson	D
KS	3	1	\$17,716.00		D
KY	4	7	\$251,171.00		D
LA	2	2	\$80,707.00		D
LA	7	12	\$396,162.00		D
MA	1	9	\$668,919.00		D
MA	2	3	\$177,711.00		D
MA	3	1	\$13,428.00		D
MA	5	4	\$136,357.00		D
MA	6	4	\$440,130.00		D
MA	7	4	\$292,509.00	,	D
MA	9	5	\$251,531.00	•	D
MA	10	4	\$263,161.00	-	D
MD	3	2	\$149,443.00		D
MD	4	1	\$63,000.00		D
MD	5	1	\$52,200.00	•	D
ME	1	8	\$482,887.00		D
ME	2	21	\$813,145.77		D
MI	1	8	\$254,973.20		D
MI	5	5	\$201,010.00	•	D
MI	9	3	\$55,975.00		D
MI	10	2	\$47,420.00		D
MI	12	2	\$50,200.00		D
MI	13	2	\$41,130.00		D
MI	14	1	\$57,326.40		D
MI	15	2	\$555,569.00	-	D
MI	16	1	\$105,400.00	•	D
MN	4	1	\$29,700.00	-	D
MN	5	1	\$700,000.00		D
MN	6	2	\$94,345.50		D
MN	7	11	\$435,480.00		D
MN	8	13	\$384,177.00		D
MO	1	6	\$713,556.00		D
MO	3	1	\$16,200.00		D
MO	4	10	\$469,446.00		D
MS	2	6	\$129,782.00	Thompson	D
MS	4	6	\$141,235.00		D
MS	5	11	\$688,696.00	Taylor	D
NC	1	8	\$190,219.00	Clayton	D
NC	2	3	\$102,706.00	•	D
NC	4	3	\$518,625.00	_	D
NC	7	5	\$166,394.00		D
NC	12	2	\$55,069.00	•	D
ND	0	16	\$546,368.00		D
NJ	1	1	\$22,362.00	-	D
NJ	6	2	\$171,450.00		D
NJ	8	4	\$417,535.00		D
NJ	9	1	\$90,288.00		D
NJ	10	4	\$347,195.00		D
NJ	13	3	\$538,700.00	•	D
NM	3	20	\$640,965.00		D
NV	1	1	\$110,600.00	Berkley	D
			. ,	,	

NY	4	3	\$75,600.00	McCarthy	D
NY	14	1	\$46,386.00	Maloney	D
NY	17	1	\$54,380.00	Engel	D
NY	18	1	\$53,683.00	Lowey	D
NY	21	1	\$14,848.00	•	D
NY	26	11	\$410,725.00	•	D
NY	28	1	\$43,200.00		D
NY	29	2	\$161,700.00		D
ОН	6	8	\$294,685.00		D
OH	9	3	\$105,174.00		D
OH	10	1	\$27,000.00	•	D
OH	11	2	\$165,664.90		D
OH	13	2	\$210,080.00		D
OH	14	2	\$189,864.00		D
OH	17	4		-	D
				Traficant	
OK	2	14	\$489,227.00		D
OR	1	2	\$273,552.00		D
OR	3	1		Blumenauer	D
OR	4	9	\$311,367.00		D
OR	5	5	\$262,704.00	•	D
PA	1	1	\$169,200.00	•	D
PA	6	4	\$118,899.00		D
PA	11	5	\$170,757.00	•	D
PA	12	3	\$130,302.00		D
PA	13	1	\$28,710.00	Hoeffel	D
PA	14	1	\$30,258.00	Coyne	D
PA	18	4	\$272,158.00	Doyle	D
PA	20	2	\$135,359.00	Mascara	D
PR	98	12	\$657,147.00	Avecedo-Vila	D
RI	1	5	\$178,102.00	P. Kennedy	D
RI	2	5	\$229,102.00	Langevin	D
SC	5	4	\$137,165.00	-	D
SC	6	6	\$318,095.00	•	D
TN	5	1	\$22,883.00	•	D
TN	6	7	\$449,663.00		D
TN	8	3	\$21,821.00		D
TN	9	1	\$262,500.00		D
TX	1	3	\$257,025.00		D
TX	2	7	\$124,384.50		D
TX	4	3	\$220,509.00		D
TX	9	3	\$109,812.00		D
TX	11	4	\$64,258.00	•	D
TX	15	2	\$61,367.00		
				,	D
TX	16	3	\$50,815.00		D
TX	17	3	\$42,478.00		D
TX	18	1		Jackson-Lee	D
TX	20	1	\$216,000.00		D
TX	24	2	\$62,986.00		D
TX	25	1	\$12,870.00		D
TX	27	2	\$39,583.00		D
TX	28	3	\$464,400.00		D
TX	29	4	\$190,139.00	Green	D

UT	2	1	\$6,750.00	Matheson	D
VA	3	4	\$802,519.00	Bobby Scott	D
VA	4	3	\$185,539.00		D
VA	9	5	\$461,809.00	Boucher	D
WA	2	5	\$135,530.00	Larsen	D
WA	3	4	\$258,475.71	Baird	D
WA	6	3	\$228,922.00		D
WA	7	1	\$58,500.00		D
WA	9	1	\$4,480.00		D
WI	2	5	\$343,765.75		D
WI	3	7	\$473,382.00		D
WI	4	1	\$71,106.00		D
WI	5	1	\$214,481.00		D
WI	7	8	\$261,834.40		D
WV	1	8	\$263,776.98	-	D
WV	3	8	\$474,521.48		D
VVV	0	8	\$451,203.00		ı
VA	5	3	\$100,530.00		i İ
AK					
	0	16	\$1,303,801.00	-	R
AL	1	6	\$702,318.72		R
AL	2	12	\$354,352.32		R
AL	3	12	\$347,228.00	•	R
AL	4	18	\$587,942.70		R
AL	6	11	\$456,490.90		R
AR	3	10	\$270,509.00		R
AZ	1	1	\$64,255.00		R
AZ	3	9	\$297,689.00		R
AZ	5	5	\$424,807.00		R
AZ	6	7	\$314,014.00	-	R
CA	2	8	\$168,401.00	-	R
CA	3	1	\$13,770.00		R
CA	4	5	\$221,767.00		R
CA	11	2	\$96,620.00		R
CA	19	1	\$5,684.00	Radanovich	R
CA	21	1	\$7,920.00	B. Thomas	R
CA	23	1	\$13,440.00	Gallegly	R
CA	28	2	\$39,416.39	Dreier	R
CA	38	1	\$138,529.00	Horn	R
CA	40	2	\$18,666.00	J. Lewis	R
CA	41	1	\$76,028.00	G. Miller	R
CA	43	3	\$387,871.00	Calvert	R
CA	44	1	\$45,623.00	Bono	R
CA	47	1	\$53,640.00	Cox	R
CA	48	3	\$184,414.00	Issa	R
CA	51	4	\$87,470.84	Cunningham	R
CA	52	4	\$265,992.00	Hunter	R
CO	3	12	\$467,720.40	McInnis	R
CO	4	4	\$181,290.00		R
CO	5	2	\$105,400.00		R
CO	6	1	\$6,300.00		R
СТ	2	6	\$137,170.00		R
CT	4	3	\$217,196.60		R
		-	, ,	•	

CT	6	5	\$190,673.00	N. Johnson	R
DE	0	3	\$132,365.00	Castle	R
FL	1	8	\$270,180.00	Scarborough	R
FL	4	2	\$108,032.00	Crenshaw	R
FL	6	1	\$52,650.00	Stearns	R
FL	7	5	\$295,985.60	Mica	R
FL	8	1	\$53,100.00	Keller	R
FL	9	1	\$49,788.00	Bilirakis	R
FL	10	2	\$93,020.00	Young	R
FL	12	1	\$94,500.00	-	R
FL	13	3	\$80,640.00	D. Miller	R
FL	14	1	\$135,483.00		R
FL	16	4	\$191,714.00		R
FL	18	1		Ros-Lehtinen	R
FL	22	1	\$30,543.30		R
GA	1	5	\$272,727.00		R
GA	3	3	\$216,517.00		R
GA	6	2	\$190,200.00		R
GA	7	3	\$70,872.00		R
GA	8	11	\$436,498.00		R
GA	9	3	\$301,468.00		R
GA	10	8	\$247,425.00		R
GA	11	1	\$29,700.00		R
IA	1	4	\$201,486.00		R
IA	2	6	\$266,500.00		R
IA	4	5	\$190,707.00		R
IA	5	7	\$218,713.00		R
ID .	1	, 14	\$680,953.68		R
ID	2	7	\$235,282.00		R
IL	6	1	\$29,250.00		R
IL	8	3	\$277,459.00	•	R
IL	10	3	\$100,138.50		R
IL	11	4	\$117,218.00		R
IL	13	2	\$47,142.00		R
IL	14	1	\$32,400.00		R
IL	15	2	\$42,763.00		R
IL	16	5	\$317,781.00		
IL	18	3	\$66,065.00		R R
IL	20				
		4	\$189,534.00		R
IN	2	3	\$79,817.00		R
IN	4	4	\$88,813.60		R
IN	5	3	\$148,040.00	•	R
IN	6	6	\$328,720.00		R
IN	7	5	\$548,155.00		R
IN	8	10	\$413,658.00		R
KS	1	12	\$321,992.00		R
KS	2	7	\$219,897.60	•	R
KS	4	12	\$593,921.50		R
KY	1	13	\$694,674.00		R
KY	2	5	\$119,085.00		R
KY	3	4	\$137,181.60	•	R
KY	5	13	\$723,323.00	H. Rogers	R

KY	6	7	\$289,610.00	Fletcher	R
LA	1	13	\$687,055.00	Vitter	R
LA	3	7	\$292,466.00	Tauzin	R
LA	4	16	\$638,478.00	McCrery	R
LA	5	32	\$1,111,371.00		R
LA	6	8	\$165,377.00	-	R
MD	1	3	\$336,674.00		R
MD	6	4	\$137,723.00		R
MI	2	5	\$137,351.00		R
MI	3	2	\$48,240.00		R
MI	4	4	\$258,064.40		R
MI	6	2	\$168,751.00	•	R
MI	7	4	\$612,861.00	•	R
MI	8	2	\$112,817.00		R
MI	11	1	\$108,500.00	-	R
MN	1	4	\$152,429.00	•	R
MN	2	10	\$310,330.64		R
MN	3	1	\$27,000.00	•	R
MO	2	1	\$100,083.00		R
MO	6	11	\$330,674.00		R
MO	7	11	\$374,821.00		R
MO	8	18	\$776,986.00		R
MO	9	9	\$297,922.00		R
MS	1	18	\$482,501.00		R
MS	3	11	\$342,420.00		R
MT	0	27	\$1,164,469.00	•	R
NC	3	2	\$70,389.00	•	R
NC	5	5	\$123,975.00		R
NC	6	3	\$123,975.00		R
NC	8	2	\$199,415.00		R
NC	9	1			
NC	10		\$51,625.00 \$151,052.00		R
NC	11	4 7	\$151,952.00	-	R
			\$216,887.00	-	R
NE	1	5	\$347,044.00		R
NE	2 3	3		Terry	R
NE		5	\$112,719.00		R
NH	1	6	\$158,630.00		R
NH	2	8	\$435,910.00		R
NJ	2	13	\$517,513.00		R
NJ	4	1	\$36,000.00		R
NJ	5_	5	\$147,319.04		R
NJ	7	1	\$292,500.00	-	R
NJ	11	1		Frelinghuysen	R
NM	2	16	\$814,277.00		R
NV	2	5	\$172,339.00		R
NY	1	3	\$149,945.00		R
NY	3	1	\$27,000.00		R
NY	19	2	\$58,495.00	•	R
NY	20	2	\$163,103.00		R
NY	22	6	\$207,725.00	•	R
NY	23	9	\$737,268.00		R
NY	24	9	\$446,181.75	McHugh	R

NY	25	2	\$41,319.00		R
NY	27	7	\$355,815.00	Reynolds	R
NY	30	8	\$486,554.00	Quinn	R
NY	31	14	\$445,843.00	Houghton	R
OH	1	2	\$97,677.00	Chabot	R
OH	2	2	\$215,550.00	Portman	R
OH	4	2	\$282,615.00	Oxley	R
OH	5	3	\$174,686.00	-	R
OH	7	7	\$128,415.50		R
OH	8	2	\$10,095.00		R
OH	12	2	\$180,000.00		R
OH	15	1	\$68,552.00		R
OH	16	1	\$11,838.00		R
OH	18	3	\$39,108.00	-	R
OH	19	4	\$152,591.00	-	R
OK	1	2	\$17,047.40		R
OK	3	17	\$396,108.00		R
OK	4	10			
			\$505,846.00		R
OK	5	10	\$277,288.00		R
OK	6	6	\$179,132.00		R
OR	2	13	\$731,094.00		R
PA	4	4	\$85,201.00		R
PA	5	5	\$164,758.00		R
PA	7	2	\$91,353.00		R
PA	8	4	\$127,890.00		R
PA	9	6	\$362,130.00		R
PA	10	1	\$27,000.00		R
PA	15	3	\$288,180.00	Toomey	R
PA	16	4	\$185,234.00	Pitts	R
PA	17	4	\$204,735.00	Gekas	R
PA	19	4	\$177,845.00	Platts	R
PA	21	3	\$120,926.00	English	R
SC	1	4	\$306,414.00	H. Brown	R
SC	2	3	\$111,030.00	Spence	R
SC	3	4	\$173,094.00	Graham	R
SC	4	10	\$498,362.00	DeMint	R
SD	0	25	\$904,003.10	Thune	R
TN	1	7		Jenkins	R
TN	2	5	\$236,088.00		R
TN	3	8	\$490,618.00		R
TN	4	10	\$507,298.00	•	R
TN	7	8	\$250,356.00	,	R
TX	3	1	\$32,599.00	•	R
TX	5	5	\$225,211.00		R
TX	6	3	\$151,149.00		R
TX	7	2	\$23,830.00		R
TX	8	3	\$166,029.00		R
	12	3			
TX	13	3 2	\$114,111.00		R
TX		3	\$58,014.00	•	R
TX	14		\$33,300.00		R
TX	19	2	\$38,043.00		R
TX	21	4	\$92,036.00	L. SINITN	R

TX	22	1	\$38,496.00	DeLay	R
TX	23	1	\$14,400.00	Bonilla	R
TX	26	2	\$48,802.00	Armey	R
UT	1	5	\$189,356.00	Hansen	R
UT	3	17	\$704,884.00	Cannon	R
VA	1	1	\$72,000.00	J. Davis	R
VA	6	4	\$161,033.41	Goodlatte	R
VA	7	1	\$112,000.00	Cantor	R
VA	10	1	\$100,000.00	Wolf	R
WA	4	9	\$472,722.00	Hastings	R
WA	5	8	\$354,652.00	Nethercutt	R
WA	8	1	\$22,500.00	Dunn	R
WI	1	4	\$276,743.00	Ryan	R
WI	6	6	\$117,451.00	Petri	R
WI	8	6	\$218,119.38	M. Green	R
WI	9	4	\$100,890.00	Sensenbrenner	R
WV	2	3	\$329,643.00	Capito	R
WY	0	15 \$	1,090,166.00	Cubin	R

2003 Fire Grant Totals

2000) 110 010111 1 010110	Democrats	Republicans
Seats	206 (including 1 Independent)	229
Percentage	47.3%	52.6%
Number of Districts Receiving Grants	169	222
Number of Districts without any Grant Money	37	7
% of Districts with no rewards	18.0%	3.1%
Percentage of Grants	43.2%	56.8%
Total Value of Grants	\$205,667,365.00	\$312,003,418.00
Total Difference in Total Value	-\$106,336,053.00	\$106,336,053.00
% of Total Grant Money	39.7%	60.3%
Average Per District	\$998,385.27	\$1,362,460.34
Difference in Average per District	-364,075	364,075

Grand Total Given

\$679,670,915

State	Amount Rewarded	% of Total Grant Money	Total Population	Grant Money per capita
Alabama	\$23,219,573	3.42%	4,447,100	\$5.22
Alaska	\$5,242,409	0.77%	626,932	\$8.36
Arizona	\$7,490,693	1.10%	5,130,632	\$1.46
Arkansas	\$10,675,746	1.57%	2,673,398	\$3.99
California	\$29,969,373	4.41%	33,871,648	\$0.88
Colorado	\$6,109,092	0.90%	4,301,261	\$1.42
Connecticut	\$10,644,940	1.57%	3,405,565	\$3.13
Delaware	\$1,030,575	0.15%	783,600	\$1.32
Florida	\$15,723,701	2.31%	15,982,378	\$0.98
Georgia	\$13,442,454	1.98%	8,186,453	\$1.64
Hawaii	\$947,988	0.14%	1,211,537	\$0.78
Idaho	\$5,996,802	0.88%	1,293,953	\$4.63
Illinois	\$28,810,209	4.24%	12,419,293	\$2.32
Indiana	\$19,915,504	2.93%	6,080,485	\$3.28
lowa	\$15,928,736	2.34%	2,926,324	\$5.44
Kansas	\$10,850,439	1.60%	2,688,418	\$4.04
Kentucky	\$19,175,501	2.82%	4,041,769	\$4.74
Louisiana	\$11,632,330	1.71%	4,468,976	\$2.60
Maine	\$10,323,275	1.52%	1,274,923	\$8.10
Maryland	\$7,231,741	1.06%	5,296,486	\$1.37
Massachusetts	\$15,217,475	2.24%	6,349,097	\$2.40
Michigan	\$16,925,563	2.49%	9,938,444	\$1.70
Minnesota	\$17,436,911	2.57%		\$3.54
Mississippi	\$15,317,652	2.25%	2,844,658	\$5.38
Missouri	\$18,791,751	2.76%		\$3.36
Montana	\$8,178,774		,	\$9.07
Nebraska	\$7,768,364	1.14%	1,711,263	\$4.54
Nevada	\$3,130,601	0.46%	, ,	\$1.57
New Hampshire	\$4,458,615			
New Jersey	\$18,160,403		, ,	
New Mexico	\$4,663,070			\$2.56
New York	\$33,957,181	5.00%		\$1.79
North Carolina	\$22,383,838		, ,	\$2.78
North Dakota	\$5,014,118	0.74%	642,200	\$7.81

Ohio	\$26,392,812	3.88%	11,353,140	\$2.32
Oklahoma	\$10,225,358	1.50%	3,450,654	\$2.96
Oregon	\$9,159,512	1.35%	3,421,399	\$2.68
Pennsylvania	\$44,414,879	6.53%	12,281,054	\$3.62
Rhode Island	\$2,327,940	0.34%	1,048,319	\$2.22
South Carolina	\$11,811,833	1.74%	4,012,012	\$2.94
South Dakota	\$5,566,226	0.82%	754,844	\$7.37
Tennessee	\$19,259,322	2.83%	5,689,283	\$3.39
Texas	\$28,253,498	4.16%	20,851,820	\$1.35
Utah	\$4,628,978	0.68%	2,233,169	\$2.07
Vermont	\$5,103,182	0.75%	608,827	\$8.38
Virginia	\$15,162,905	2.23%	7,078,515	\$2.14
Washington	\$18,624,891	2.74%	5,894,121	\$3.16
West Virginia	\$4,996,416	0.74%	1,808,344	\$2.76
Wisconsin	\$18,167,197	2.67%	5,363,704	\$3.39
Wyoming	\$3,507,270	0.52%	493,782	\$7.10
Puerto Rico	\$1,643,280	0.24%	3,808,610	\$0.43
Virgin Islands	\$544,660	0.08%	108,612	\$5.01
New York City	\$750,000	0.14%	8,008,278	\$0.09

2003 Firefighter Awards

2003 Fireligitter Awarus				
State/District	# of Awards	Amount	Member	Party
AL-05	23	1953595	Cramer	D
AL-07	24	2072300	Artur Davis	D
AR-01	45	2195400	Berry	D
AR-02	34		Victor Snyder	D
AR-04	67		Mike Ross	D
AZ-04	2	131509		D
AZ-07	5		Grijalva	D
CA-01	19		thompson	D
CA-06	14		Woolsey	D
CA-07	3		George Miller	D
CA-08	1	211975		D
CA-09	1		Barbara Lee	D
CA-10	2		Tauscher	D
CA-12	2	411180		D
CA-13	3	299635		D
CA-14	4	285270		D
CA-17	8	730594		D
CA-17	3		Cardoza	D
CA-10	1		Dooley	D
CA-23	2	828436	,	D
CA-23	1	217242	• •	D
	1		Harman	
CA-36				D
CA-38	1		Napolitano	D
CA-43	2	164744		D
CA-51	3	413825		D
CO-01	2		DeGette	D
CO-02	11	640986		D
CT-01	6	839610		D
CT-03	8		DeLauro	D
FL-02	13	669668	•	D
FL-03	2		Corrine Brown	D
FL-19	2	264800		D
FL-20	2		Deutsch	D
GA-02	25		Sanford Bishop	D
GA-03	30	2160852		D
GA-05	1		John Lewis	D
GA-13	2		David Scott	D
HI-02	2	199009		D
IA-03	31	1931744		D
IL-01	3	318334		D
IL-02	8		Jackson, Jr	D
IL-03	4		Lipinski	D
IL-07	1		Danny Davis	D
IL-09	2		Schakowsky	D
IL-17	36	2767897		D
IN-01	10	1271805		D
IN-07	5	1920050		D
IN-08	30		Hostettler	D
IN-09	31		Baron Hill	D
KS-03	2	344597	Dennis Moore	D

KY-04	30	2128457 Lucas	D
KY-05	55	5005388 Harold Rogers	D
LA-02	1	141750 Jefferson	D
LA-05	46	3221664 Alexander	D
LA-07	13	619002 Chris John	D
MA-01	22	2444861 Olver	D
MA-02	12	1255936 Neal	D
MA-03	2	369221 McGovern	D
MA-04	13	738984 Frank	D
MA-05	7	584840 Meehan	D
MA-06	11	1440150 Tierney	D
MA-07	6	688285 Markey	D
MA-08	2	731001 Capuano	D
MA-09	4	528482 Lynch	D
MA-10	6	947428 Delahunt	D
MD-02	4	251613 Ruppersberger	D
MD-03	1	9900 Cardin	D
MD-04	2	157604 Wynn	D
MD-05	3	390202 Hoyer	D
MD-07	1	225000 Cummings	D
ME-01	41	3220739 Thomas Allen	D
ME-02	79	6040151 Michaud	D
MI-01	53	2851089 Stupak	D
MI-05	11	470089 Kildee	D
MI-12	3	196509 Levin	D
MI-13	5	544328 Kilpatrick	D
MI-15	7	233497 Dingell	D
MN-04	5	429489 McCollum	D
MN-05	6	1049965 Sabo	D
MN-07	85	6070390 Peterson	D
MN-08	45	3006838 Oberstar	D
MO-01	4	190836 William Lacy Clay	D
MO-03	12	1651893 Gephardt	D
MO-04	37	2864162 Skelton	D
MO-05	1	91140 McCarthy	D
MS-02	31	1961820 Thompson	D
MS-04	37	3377869 Taylor	D
NC-01	29	2759616 Ballance	D
NC-02	9	736257 Etheridge	D
NC-02	4	461825 Price	D
NC-07	23	1357643 McIntyre	D
NC-12	13	1506714 Watt	D
NC-12	13	1201038 Brad Miller	D
ND-01	76	4492214 Pomeroy	D
NJ-01	11	-	D
		803792 Andrews	
NJ-06	7	607979 Pallone	D
NJ-08	2	261698 Pascrell	D
NJ-09	3	68805 Rothman	D
NJ-10	4	549810 Payne	D
NJ-12	5	634184 Holt	D
NJ-13	1	67499 Menendez	D
NM-03	19	1319715 Udall	D

NV-01	3	532146 Berkley	D
NY-01	5	608320 Tim Bishop	D
NY-02	2	119250 Israel	D
NY-04	8	1191072 McCarthy	D
NY-05	2	252762 Ackerman	D
NY-10	1	750000 Towns	D
NY-17	4	138150 Engel	D
NY-18	7	640172 Lowey	D
NY-21	31	2058816 McNulty	D
NY-22	27	2261584 Hinchey	D
NY-28	5	670594 Slaughter	D
OH-06	22	1503965 Strickland	D
OH-09	13	875730 Kaptur	D
OH-10	9	834682 Kucinich	D
OH-11	6	1100451 Tubbs Jones	D
OH-13	10	973365 Sherrod Brown	D
OH-17	11	828183 Tim Ryan	D
OK-02	56	2494387 Carson	D
OR-01	8	878420 Wu	D
OR-03	3	259029 Blumenauer	D
OR-04	21	1741082 DeFazio	D
OR-05	13	1628873 Hooley	D
PA-01	2	839856 Brady	D
PA-02	1	440001 Fattah	D
PA-11	27	2747985 Kanjorski	D
PA-12	56	3705032 Murtha	D
PA-13	11	987809 Hoeffel	D
PA-14	10	890910 Doyle	D
PA-17	56	3922216 Holden	D
PR-00	15	1036233 Acevedo-Vila	D
RI-01	6	456103 Patrick Kennedy	D
RI-02	8	590942 Langevin	D
SC-05	25	1842326 Spratt	D
SC-06	16	1738636 Clyburn	D
TN-04	61	4677411 Lincoln Davis	D
TN-05	1	49500 Jim Cooper	D
TN-06	40	2577141 Gordon	D
TN-08	36	2501868 Tanner	D
TX-01	34	2505724 Sandlin	D
TX-02	33	3024425 Turner	D
TX-04	24	2521856 Hall	D
TX-09	9	678806 Lampson	D
TX-10	1	10800 Doggett	D
TX-10	12	889786 Edwards	D
TX-15	6	282083 Hinojosa	D
TX-16	1	107043 Reyes	D
TX-10	24	1847309 Stenholm	D
TX-17	1	469700 Jackson Lee	D
TX-16	2	91307 Frost	D
TX-24	1	117450 Ortiz	D
TX-27	6	374542 Rodriguez	D
TX-29	4	303766 Green	D
17-23	4	JUJI UU GIEEII	U

TX-30	4	465404 Eddie Bernice Johnson	D
UT-02	17	1397062 Matheson	D
VA-08	1	7650 Jim Moran	D
VA-09	39	3786087 Boucher	D
WA-01	2	945496 Inslee	D
WA-02	20	1349521 Larsen	D
WA-03	22	1816588 Baird	D
WA-06	12	1453479 Dicks	D
WA-09	2	280417 Adam Smith	D
WI-02	23	1798536 Baldwin	D
WI-03	47	2835881 Kind	D
WI-07	41	2439639 Obey	D
WV-01	24	1938592 Mollohan	D
WV-03	17	1290099 Rahall	D
VT-01	43	3210872 Sanders	I
AK-01	34	3824420 Young	R
AL-01	19	1885659 Bonner	R
AL-02	43	3667714 Everett	R
AL-03	37	2901911 Rogers	R
AL-04	82	5189492 Aderholt	R
AL-06	21	1742881 Spencer Bachus	R
AR-03	42	2012534 Boozman	R
AZ-01	30	2347488 Renzi	R
AZ-02	8	872302 Trent Franks	R
AZ-05	1	45306 Hayworth	R
AZ-06	1	63927 Flake	R
AZ-08	9	1443506 Kolbe	R
CA-02	26	2274266 Herger	R
CA-03	7	661845 Ose	R
CA-04	27	3571251 Doolittle	R
CA-11	3	236903 Pombo	R
CA-19	5	816758 Radanovich	R
CA-21	6	451855 Nunes	R
CA-22	6	817994 Bill Thomas	R
CA-24	5	945936 Gallegly	R
CA-25	1	16200 McKeon	R
CA-40	2	835890 Royce	R
CA-41	7	629004 Lewis	R
CA-42	2	107210 Gary Miller	R
CA-44	1	179946 Calvert	R
CA-45	2	158695 Bono	R
CA-46	2	116211 Rohrabacher	R
CA-49	3	111368 Issa	R
CA-50	2	730476 Cunningham	R
CA-52	7	1133713 Hunter	R
CO-03	27	1724874 McInnis	R
CO-04	21	1071426 Musgrave	R
CO-05	13	878021 Hefley	R
CO-06	4	74563 Tancredo	R
CO-07	4	388057 Beauprez	R
CT-02	27	2688265 Simmons	R
CT-04	6	289475 Shays	R
	-	· , -	

CT-05	11	578325 Nancy Johnson	R
DE-01	7	852915 Castle	R
FL-01	14	873020 Miller	R
FL-04	9	318040 Crenshaw	R
FL-05	5	656519 Brown-Waite	R
FL-06	2	86083 Stearns	R
FL-07	8	930574 Mica	R
FL-08	2	242982 Keller	R
FL-09	1	169020 Billirakis	R
FL-10	1	190862 Young	R
FL-12	3	296011 Putnam	R
FL-13	3	396769 Harris	R
FL-14	1	61152 Goss	R
FL-15	1	48152 Weldon	R
FL-16	4	304295 Foley	R
FL-18	3	943469 Ros-Lehtinen	R
FL-22	2	252609 Shaw	R
FL-24	1	34290 Feeney	R
FL-25	1	66510 Diaz-Balart	R
GA-01	15	1039248 Kingston	R
GA-06	2	321062 Isakson	R
GA-07	1	25110 Linder	R
GA-08	6	512179 Collins	R
GA-09	18	1644559 Norwood	R
GA-10	7	470084 Deal	R
GA-11	12	1166722 Gingrey	R
GA-12	10	731403 Burns	R
IA-01	44	2200569 Nussle	R
IA-02	34	2139448 Leach	R
IA-04	71	3745597 Latham	R
IA-05	65	3997926 Steve King	R
ID-01	35	2520530 Otter	R
ID-02	29	2837433 Michael Simpson	R
IL-06	6	454866 Hyde	R
IL-08	9	853086 Crane	R
IL-10	3	821205 Kirk	R
IL-11	28	1868602 Weller	R
IL-12	34	3148744 Costello	R
IL-12	3	186055 Biggert	R
IL-13	3 15	1140469 Hastert	R
IL-15	41	2980176 Timothy Johnson	R
IL-16	19	1256279 Manzullo	R
IL-18	31	2041176 LaHood	R
IL-19	46	3220871 Shimkus	R
IN-02	13	844165 Chocola	R
IN-03	18	1453889 Souder	R
IN-04	22	1323230 Buyer	R
IN-05	15	1600065 Burton	R
IN-06	19 	1371453 Pence	R
KS-01	77	4595852 Jerry Moran	R
KS-02	59	2923062 Ryun	R
KS-04	23	1772125 Tiahrt	R

KY-01	51	4030948 Whitfield	R
KY-02	31	2626917 Ron Lewis	R
KY-03	1	61701 Northup	R
KY-06	8	651722 Fletcher	R
LA-01	6	686126 Vitter	R
LA-03	24	1852185 Tauzin	R
LA-04	16	1048553 McCrery	R
LA-06	8	879373 Baker	R
MD-01	13	1054913 Gilchrest	R
MD-06	15	1250635 Bartlett	R
MI-02	14	645301 Hoekstra	R
MI-03	8	668953 Ehlers	R
MI-04	20	1057918 Camp	R
MI-06	18	923951 Upton	R
MI-07	18	769487 Nick Smith	R
MI-08	12	931442 Mike Rogers	R
MI-09	5	157280 Knollenberg	R
MI-10	26	1556298 Candice Miller	R
MI-11	4	192722 McCotter	R
MN-01	33	2105798 Gutknecht	R
MN-02	14	994417 Kline	R
MN-03	3	266175 Ramstad	R
MN-06	17	1607821 Mark Kennedy	R
MO-02	7	635976 Akin	R
MO-06	48	2823775 Graves	R
MO-07	25	1926860 Blunt	R
MO-08	46	3037018 Emerson	R
MO-09	39	3077761 Hulshof	R
MS-01	75	4725285 Wicker	R
MS-03	54	2661343 Pickering	R
MT-01	127	7650030 Rehberg	R
NC-03	19	1085956 Jones	R
NC-05	7	379534 Burr	R
NC-06	21	1664865 Coble	R
NC-08	9	692420 Hayes	R
NC-09	6	199533 Myrick	R
NC-10	28	2296291 Ballenger	R
NC-11	21	1396090 Charles Taylor	R
NE-01	42	2834396 Bereuter	R
NE-02	3	199539 Terry	R
NE-03	57	3082458 Osborne	R
NH-01	20	1494876 Bradley	R
NH-02	34	2066623 Bass	R
NJ-02	31	2706842 LoBiondo	R
NJ-03	14	950881 Saxton	R
NJ-04	6	254206 Christopher Smith	R
NJ-05	17	1161618 Garrett	R
NJ-07	9	449373 Ferguson	R
NJ-11	9 5	_	R
NM-01	5 6	304047 Frelinghuysen 557659 Wilson	R
NM-02	19	1470930 Pearce	R
NV-02	9	826337 Gibbons	R

NV-03	2	452266 Porter	R
NY-19	18	820945 Kelly	R
NY-20	34	1911844 Sweeney	R
NY-23	52	3654797 McHugh	R
NY-24	47	4011690 Boehlert	R
NY-25	18	1187098 James Walsh	R
NY-26	38	2644405 Reynolds	R
NY-27	34	2381452 Quinn	R
NY-29	41	2487831 Houghton	R
OH-01	6	779257 Chabot	R
OH-02	12	914937 Portman	R
OH-03	6	441468 Turner	R
OH-04	14	1126402 Oxley	R
OH-05	20	1205805 Gillmor	R
OH-07	8	1104266 Hobson	R
OH-08	10	1037414 Boehner	R
OH-12	5	279402 Tiberi	R
OH-14	20	1662865 LaTourette	R
OH-15	3	850350 Pryce	R
OH-16	14	1057328 Regula	R
OH-18	28	2008940 Ney	R
OK-01	23	1676215 Sullivan	R
OK-03	44	2168559 Frank Lucas	R
OK-04	37	1468739 Cole	R
OK-05	9	455122 Istook	R
OR-02	36	3437362 Walden	R
PA-03	28	2080287 English	R
PA-04	34	2150364 Hart	R
PA-05	46	4078441 Peterson	R
PA-06	20	1566363 Gerlach	R
PA-07	14	925758 Weldon	R
PA-08	6	991632 Greenwood	R
PA-09	24	2205692 Shuster	R
PA-10	43	3647112 Sherwood	R
PA-15	15	1227939 Toomey	R
PA-16	16	1309865 Pitts	R
PA-18	30	2253170 Murphy	R
PA-19	22	1836840 Platts	R
SC-01	8	664473 Henry Brown	R
SC-02	11	1082267 Joe Wilson	R
SC-03	30	1704602 Barrett	R
SC-04	19	1507188 DeMint	R
SD-01	92	5012177 Janklow	R
TN-01	34	2078701 Jenkins	R
TN-02	10	804184 Duncan	R
TN-02 TN-03	25	2013870 Wamp	R
		•	
TN-07	27 5	1735122 Blackburn	R
TX-03	5 16	242093 Sam Johnson	R
TX-05	16	1042144 Hensarling	R
TX-06	13	1104439 Barton	R
TX-07	1	67200 Culberson	R
TX-08	2	60729 Kevin Brady	R

TX-12	6	383453 Granger	R
TX-13	16	1003888 Thornberry	R
TX-14	18	1176847 Paul	R
TX-19	10	1029363 Combest	R
TX-21	12	884358 Lamar Smith	R
TX-22	2	163498 DeLay	R
TX-23	10	1146489 Bonilla	R
TX-26	7	712716 Burgess	R
TX-31	16	1337475 Carter	R
TX-32	1	232074 Sessions	R
UT-01	19	1098500 Rob Bishop	R
UT-03	13	1056663 Cannon	R
VA-01	3	414964 Jo Ann Davis	R
VA-02	2	146083 Schrock	R
VA-04	9	879943 Forbes	R
VA-05	37	3628006 Goode	R
VA-06	19	1857113 Goodlatte	R
VA-07	7	1557412 Cantor	R
VA-10	3	119377 Wolf	R
VA-11	4	248451 Tom Davis	R
WA-04	27	3389332 Hastings	R
WA-05	37	3552078 Nethercutt	R
WA-08	9	927934 Dunn	R
WI-01	17	1199798 Paul Ryan	R
WI-05	10	951288 Sensenbrenner	R
WI-06	35	2148660 Petri	R
WI-08	40	2243210 Mark Green	R
WV-02	22	1767725 Capito	R
WY-01	25	2077972 Cubin	R

Interesting Figures

Pennsyvlania entire total	2001 \$2,890,895	2003 \$44,414,879.00
Pennsylvania % of entire money	3.2%	6.5%
New York Total	\$4,065,181.78	\$33,957,181.00
New York % of entire money	4.5%	5.0%

FY2004 Budget Request and Appropriations, and FY2005 Budget Request for Selected Homeland Security Assistance Programs

(all amounts in millions)

Program	Agency	FY04 Request	FY04 Approps	FY05 Request
President's First Responder Initiative ^A	DHS	\$3,558	_	_
State Homeland Security Grant Program	ODP	_	\$1,700	\$700
Urban Area Security Initiative	ODP	_	\$725	\$1,446
Law Enforcement Terrorism Prevention Grant	ODP	_	\$500	\$500
Assistance to Firefighters	ODP	[\$500]	\$750	\$500
Citizen Corps	ODP	\$181	\$40	\$50
Emergency Management Performance Grants	FEMA	_	\$180	\$170
Urban Search and Rescue	FEMA	_	\$60	\$60
Interoperable Communications	FEMA	_	_	_
First Responder Assistance Total		\$3,739	\$3,955	\$3,426
Local Law Enforcement Block Grant	OJP	_	\$225	_
Byrne Memorial Formula Grant	OJP	_	\$659	
Community-Oriented Policing Service	OJP	\$164	\$756	\$97
Law Enforcement Assistance Total		\$164	\$1,640	\$97
Public Health and Bioterrorism Preparedness	CDC	\$940	\$940	\$829
Bioterrorism Hospital Preparedness	HRSA	\$518	\$518	\$476
Metropolitan Medical Response System	OEP	_	\$50	_
Bioterrorism Preparedness Total		\$1,458	\$1,508	\$1,305
Port Security Grants	TSA	_	\$125	\$125
Water Security Assistance	EPA	\$32	\$32	B
Hazardous Material Assistance	RSPA	\$13	\$14	\$14
Critical Infrastructure Protection Total		\$35	\$171	\$139
Homeland Security Assistance Total		\$5,396	\$7,274	\$4,967

Source: CRS calculations based on U.S. Office of Management and Budget, Fiscal Year 2004 Budget of the United States Government, (Washington: GPO, 2003), P.L. 108-90, and U.S. Office of Management and Budget, Fiscal Year 2005 Budget of the United States Government, (Washington: GPO, 2004).

^A The Administration proposed a first responder block grant (First Responder Initiative) that would have combined ODP and FEMA grants into a single grant program.

B At this time CRS has not determined the amount requested for water security assistance in FY2005.



Assistance to Firefighters Grant Program (AFGP)

2003 Assistance to Firefighters Grant Program

Fiscal Year 2003 Award Recipie

Categories	No. of Awards	Amount
Fire Operations and Firefighter Safety	6,778	\$480,026,176
Fire Prevention	289	\$13,277,599
Firefighting Vehicles	1,356	\$182,509,575
EMS	66	\$3,857,565
Total	8,489	\$679,670,915


Award recipients under Sec. 1701.2(b)(4), Fire Prevention Programs, are not included.

Download

Download the Entire Recipient List (through 2/20/04) (1.17 Mb)

Individual State Links

Click on a state to view awards through February 20, 2004.



- Alabama
- Alaska
- Arizona
- Arkansas
- California
- Colorado

- Montana
- Nebraska
- Nevada
- New Hampshire
- New Jersey
- New Mexico

f fo a foo a coa f



Assistance to Firefighters Grant Program (AFGP)

Fiscal Year 2002 Award Recipients (through January 27, 2003)

Categories	No. of Awards	Amount of Awards*
Fire Operations & Firefighter Safety	4,731	\$281,091,066
Fire Prevention	215	\$10,926,998
Firefighting Vehicles	315	\$39,277,630
EMS	53	\$3,069,736
TOTAL	5,314	\$334,365,430

*NOTE: Award recipients under Sec. 1701.2(b)(4), Fire Prevention Programs, are not included.

Download

ENTIRE RECIPIENT LIST (through 01/27/03) -- 703 Kbytes

Click on a state to view awards through January 27, 2003.

Individual State Links

- Alabama
- Alaska
- Arizona
- <u>Arkansas</u>
- California
- Colorado
- Connecticut
- <u>Delaware</u>
- District of Colubmia
- Florida
- Georgia
- <u>Hawaii</u>
- <u>Idaho</u>
- <u>Illinois</u>
- <u>Indiana</u>
- Iowa
- Kansas

h

Kentucky

- Mississippi
- Missouri
- Montana
- Nebraska
- Nevada
- New Hampshire
- New Jersey
- New Mexico
- New York
- North Carolina
- North Dakota
- Ohio
- Oklahoma
- Oregon
- Pennsylvania
- Rhode Island
- South Carolina
- South Dakota

f fe g fee ceg fg



Assistance to Firefighters Grant Program (AFGP)

Fiscal Year 2001 Recipients

Categories	No. of Awards	Amount of Awards*	
Training	160	\$5,199,356.48	
Wellness & Fitness	168	\$8,256,720.15	
Vehicles	208	\$20,412,605.57	
Fire Prevention	209	\$9,071,484.60	
Fire Fighting Equipment	404	\$14,919,463.89	
Personal Protective Equipment	706	\$34,136,809.27	
TOTAL	1855	\$91,996,439.96	

*NOTE: Award recipients under Sec. 1701.2(b)(4), Fire Prevention Programs, are not included.

Download

ENTIRE RECIPIENT LIST -- 549 Kbytes

Select a state below for a list of recipients:

- Alabama
- <u>Alaska</u>
- American Samoa
- Arizona
- <u>Arkansas</u>
- California
- Colorado
- **■** Connecticut
- <u>Delaware</u>
- Florida
- Georgia
- <u>Idaho</u>
- Illinois
- Indiana
- Towa
- Kansas
- Kentucky
- Louisiana
- Maine

h

Maryland

- New Jersey
- New Mexico
- New York
- North Carolina
- North Dakota
- Northern Mariana Is.
- Ohio
- Oklahoma
- Oregon
- Pennsylvania
- Puerto Rico
- Rhode Island
- South Carolina
- South Dakota
- Tennessee
- Texas
- <u>Utah</u>
- Vermont
- Virgin Islands
- Virginia

f fe g fee ceg fg