## FRANK R. WOLF

10th DISTRICT, VIRGINIA

## COMMITTEE ON APPROPRIATIONS

SUBCOMMITTEES:

RANKING MEMBER—STATE-FOREIGN OPERATIONS

TRANSPORTATION-HUD

CO-CHAIR—CONGRESSIONAL HUMAN RIGHTS CAUCUS



## Congress of the United States

## House of Representatives

September 4, 2008

241 CANNON HOUSE OFFICE BUILDING WASHINGTON, DC 20515–4610 (202) 225–5136

> 13873 PARK CENTER ROAD SUITE 130 HERNDON, VA 20171 (703) 709–5800 (800) 945–9653 (IN STATE)

110 NORTH CAMERON STREET WINCHESTER, VA 22601 (540) 667-0990 (800) 850-3463 (IN STATE)

wolf.house.gov

Mr. Daniel R. Levinson
Inspector General
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services
Room 5250 Cohen Building
3330 Independence Ave SW
Washington DC 20201

Dear Mr. Levinson:

I write today to inquire about the Center for Disease Control and Prevention's (CDC) recognition of Lyme disease treatment guidelines set forth by the Infectious Disease Society of America (IDSA). I have become concerned about the integrity of these standards. I understand other members of Congress have asked for an investigation into this matter and want to join that request.

Last month I held a Lyme Disease Forum in my congressional district and nearly 300 people took time out of their summer schedule to attend. Many in attendance suffer from Bell's palsy, meningitis and other manifestations of Lyme Disease. I have seen firsthand the devastation of this disease. Between 1999 and 2007, the number of reported cases of Lyme Disease in Loudoun County, Virginia, increased from 29 to over 200 cases per year.

I am aware that earlier this year Connecticut Attorney General Richard Blumenthal reported that his antitrust investigation exposed conflicts of interests in the process used by IDSA to write 2006 Lyme disease guidelines. Mr. Blumenthal's findings of undisclosed financial interests held by several of the IDSA panelists and the panel's exclusion of divergent scientific evidence and opinion were shocking. How do you explain this injustice to my constituents and others who suffer from chronic Lyme?

CDC continues to link to the IDSA guidelines. While CDC maintains that the IDSA has "given rigorous peer review of the IDSA guidelines," numerous congressional requests for an explanation of how peer review requirements are met remain unanswered. Furthermore, why is it that another set of guidelines complied by another medical society, which have been published on the National Guidelines Clearing House, are not listed or taken into account by the CDC?

I request that the OIG investigate whether the CDC is justified in only using the IDSA Lyme guidelines given the host of questionable practices exposed during the IDSA approval process. There needs to be a concerted effort to ensure that clinicians working with Lyme disease patients and their families have all the tools necessary to treat this devastating disease.

I am committed to doing whatever I can to help address this issue and look forward to your response.

Best wishes.

Since rely.

Frank R. Wolf Member of Congress

FRW: ip

THIS STUTIONERY PRINTIPLE AS PRESS OF RELYS TO FIELDS.