Congress of the United States

Washington, DC 20515

June 25, 2002

Support Gender Equity, Help Shrink the Pay Gap

Dear Colleague,

We write to bring to your attention an article from this week's Business Week. Once again, the fact that women are not receiving equal pay for equal work is an important topic for discussion. While Ms. Gutner provides insightful ideas into resolving this problem, we believe that one overarching principle will work to end the disparities women face: the Equality Amendment.

Passing the Equality Amendment, also known as the ERA (H.J. Res. 40), would help set the tone for equality in the workplace by writing into the Constitution what most Americans strongly believe:

"Equal Rights Under the Law Shall Not Be Denied or Abridged on Account of Sex."

For more information about H.J.Res. 40, or to become a cosponsor, please contact Orly Isaacson with Rep. Maloney at x5-7944.

Member of Congress

Sincerely,

JOHN D. DINGELL **Member of Congress**

BusinessWeek Investor | Hers

HOW TO SHRINK THE PAY GAP



hers@businessweek.com

Where Women Lost Ground

The following data, from a recent study by the General Accounting Office, show how much full-time female managers earn for each dollar earned by males.

Extertainment and 836 626 —216

Public administration 80 mg 83 mg +3 mg Hospital and 5 mg 89 mg 89

86 *** 73 -13

76 68 -8

82 76 —6

88, r. 4 83 - . -5 69 - 65 - 4

90 ¹ ,88 -2 -2

30 (a) (85 c) 2 +5

tting Office

munications **

nance, insurance, id real estate

Business services and repairs

Other professional

Hospital and Services

Education

Rotall trade Professional medical services ay you suspected you were being paid less than someone else in your company with the same title and experience. Wouldn't it help to be able to look up the company's wage-setting practices, the salaries for each job, and the sex and race of the employees in each position? Requiring corporations to disclose such information is the only way to close the pay gap between men and women. On their own, companies of-

of their own, companies of the fail to practice pay parity. Why would they? No one is holding them to it. Indeed, from 1995 to 2000, women managers in several fields not only made less money than men but the wage gap widened in 7 of the 10 sectors that employ 71% of all female workless according to a General

of all female workers, according to a General Accounting Office report released earlier this year (table).

Entertainment and recreational services had the largest widening, with female managers earning just 62¢ for every dollar made by males in 2000, down from 83¢ in 1995. Only in public administration, hospital and medical services,

and education did the gap nar-row. One explanation: These industries are dominated by women. It may also be easier for women to succeed in fields strongly influenced by unions, strongly influenced by unions, where there are clear rules for salary levels and promotions. But for most other industries, "it's a wake-up call," says Representative Carolyn Maloney (D-N.Y.), who commissioned the GAO report with Representative John Dingell (D-Mich)

Why is the clock turning back? We don't have enough information to know the reasons for the specific results of the GAO study. What we do know is that in general, the salary difference between col-lege-educated men and women closed during the 1980s and early 1990s. And many, includ-ing federal government offi-cials, expected that trend to

In fact, because people took for granted that the gap would



continue to shrink, the issue fell off the radar screen. Indeed, both the Equal Employment Opportunity Commis-sion and the Office of Federal Contract Com-Pideral Contract Com-pliance had funding cutbacks, says Heidi Hartmann, an econo-mist and president of the Institute for Women's Policy Re-search in Washington. Before anything can

be done about inequity,
"we have to point out there is a gap, because there is still an unwillingness to acknowledge it's a problem," says Heather Boushey, an economist at the non-profit Economic Policy Institute in Washington. The GAO study and other reports high

light the problem, even accounting for other reasons for pay differential, such as merit and the desire to keep employees from jumping to

the desire to keep employees from jumping to competitors.

The question now is whether Congress will act on this information. The right to gain access to salary and demographic information I mentioned above is actually a section from a little-known bill, the Fair Pay Act, introduced by Senator Tom Harkin (D-Iowa), in 1996. The House sponsor is Representative Eleanor Holmes Norton (D-D.C.), who doesn't even have a vote because the District isn't a state, and the bill lacks enough co-sponsors to create any traction.

Regardless of whether the Fair Pay Act moves forward, I believe the reporting provision should be considered on its own merit. (There is talk of a separate bill on Capitol Hill.) The section requires employers to preserve records

talk of a separate bill on Capitol Hill.) The section requires employers to preserve records and file summaries of wage-setting practices, and submit annual reports of pay by position, gender, race, and nationality to the EEOC. No names would be listed. The data would be available to all employees. Although it might be possible to identify what some individuals earn in positions with few women and minorities, I think the potential gains outweigh that risk. "Companies claim these requirements would

"Companies claim these requirements would invite frivolous lawsuits, but if they have nothing to hide, it would derail the lawsuits," says Martha Burk, chair of the National Council of Women's Organizations in Washington. Clearly, companies need some government prodding for them to make pay parity a priority.

BusinessWeek online

To join a discussion in our forum, see hers.online at www.businessweek.com/investor/