## PATRICK E. MURPHY 18TH DISTRICT, FLORIDA

WASHINGTON OFFICE: 1517 LONGWORTH HOUSE OFFICE BUILDING WASHINGTON, DC 20515 (202) 225–3026 FAX: (202) 225–8398

www.patrickmurphy.house.gov



## Congress of the United States House of Representatives

Washington, DC 20515-0918

COMMITTEES

FINANCIAL SERVICES
SUBCOMMITTEES:
FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS AND

CONSUMER CREDIT
MONETARY POLICY AND TRADE

SMALL BUSINESS
SUBCOMMITTEE:
RANKING MEMBER, AGRICULTURE,
ENERGY, AND TRADE

September 30, 2014

The Honorable Anthony Foxx Secretary of Transportation U.S. Department of Transportation 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE Washington, D.C. 20590

Dear Secretary Foxx:

Thank you for speaking with me again regarding my opposition to the proposed All Aboard Florida (AAF) passenger rail service. As we discussed, residents throughout the Palm Beaches and Treasure Coast have serious concerns about the project's detrimental effects to public safety, quality-of-life, and the local economy. Although Floridians were assured that these concerns would be addressed and dealt with in the Federal Railroad Administration's (FRA) draft Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), this 522-page document raised more questions than answers.

As the FRA evaluates AAF's application for more than \$1.5 billion in taxpayer-funded federal loans, it is vital that these concerns are properly factored. This request is among the largest of its kind in history, and due to the risk associated with its size and AAF's viability, I believe a more thorough analysis of the financial viability of the payment of the loan is required. On top of the risks to the taxpayer, the EIS fails to adequately address a number of the issues we discussed in April, further validating the community's concerns. For instance, AAF stands to double the amount of time local drawbridges will block marine navigation, costing boaters hundreds of thousands of dollars annually. At certain crossings, it will triple the amount of Grade-F traffic gridlock at peak hours. It will effectively blockade those in need of emergency treatment from their hospitals, under circumstances in which a delay of one minute can mean the difference between life and death.

The EIS clearly lays out these significant problems and many more, but it lacks adequate solutions. To make matters worse, these negative impacts disproportionately affect regions that will reap no benefit from 32 daily passenger trains. Further, upon learning that there is no third-party analysis of the facts in the EIS, I am concerned that the data and mitigation proposed is not thorough. I would strongly urge you to not only extend the comment period to 90 days, but believe there should be an analysis prepared by an independent body not funded or associated with AAF to provide our community with confidence in the process and allow all of our concerns to be heard.

For these reasons, I am opposed to this plan that stands to negatively impact the Treasure Coast-Palm Beach district I am so proud to represent. I appreciate your continued responsiveness and attention to these serious concerns.

Sincerely,

Patrick E. Murphy

MEMBER OF CONGRESS