Congress of the United States Washington, DC 20515

September 15, 2011

The Honorable Ruth Goldway Chairwoman Postal Regulatory Commission 901 New York Avenue NW Suite 200 Washington, DC 20268

Dear Chairwoman Goldway,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the U.S. Postal Service's proposal to close up to 3,653 post offices. We would appreciate your inclusion of this letter in your formal record of comment. We appreciate the dire fiscal condition of the Postal Service and the need to change the Postal Service's business model to protect its viability and the service it provides to American consumers and businesses. Unfortunately, widespread post office closures are the wrong way to deal with the Postal Service's fiscal problems, and they could harm the Postal Service's competitiveness in the long run.

The Postal Service's unparalleled retail network actually is a competitive advantage, as several business representatives noted at a joint House/Senate hearing on the Postal Service. This expansive retail network provides several vital services:

- It fulfills the Postal Service's universal service obligation, providing connections for rural communities which would otherwise be isolated.
- It creates business opportunities for private mail delivery services, which rely on the Postal Service for the last mile of delivery in most parts of America.
- It creates business opportunities for small businesses and online entrepreneurs which deliver their products through the mail, because no other delivery service provides low cost deliveries like the Postal Service.
- It creates business opportunities for the \$1 *trillion* mailing industry, which includes suppliers, retailers, advertisers, and packagers.
- It protects the health of seniors by ensuring reliable delivery of prescription drugs through the mail.

The law requires that "the Postal Service shall provide a maximum degree of effective and regular postal services to rural areas." (39 U.S.C. 101(b)). However, the USPS is centering its downsizing efforts on small post offices (its Postal Regulatory Commission filing lists 2,825 post offices that earn less than \$27,000 per year). These low-earning post offices tend to be located in rural areas. A reduction of this size would have a

severe negative impact on rural America, threatening the viability of thousands of small towns across America. Village Post Offices will not fill this void and do not offer all regular postal services, thus, failing to provide the maximum degree of effective service.

Underlying this post office closure proposal is the premise that drastic cuts in costs are the only way to maintain the solvency of the Postal Service. This assumption is inaccurate, and once again we are presented with false choices. The Postal Service would still have positive net revenue today except for the requirement that it prefund 100% of employee retirement and retirement health costs, a requirement that Congress imposed on it in 2006. No other public or private business in America faces this onerous and unnecessary requirement, and Congress could give the Postal Service breathing room to recalibrate its business model simply by repealing this retirement prefunding requirement. So too Congress could allow the Postal Service to use some of the \$50-\$75 billion that Postal Service employees and customers overpaid to the Treasury during the last thirty years. Simply correcting this overpayment would do far more to protect the solvency of the Postal Service than closing small rural postal facilities. Finally, Congress should remove restrictions which prevent the Postal Service from selling products closely associated with postal products. Thanks to the 2006 Postal Accountability and Enhancement Act, the Postal Service can't even sell postal-related products and services such as wine and beer shipment. Congress should allow the Postal Service to operate like a business rather than punish rural America for the strictures that Congress placed on the Postal Service in 2006.

We greatly appreciate the PRC's careful consideration of this proposal and your longstanding efforts to understand the multifaceted benefits provided by the Postal Service. It is no less valuable today than when Pony Express riders raced across the American frontier. This Constitutional institution must be strengthened, not eviscerated, because it continues to improve quality of life for our constituents while creating more than a trillion dollars of private sector business activity. Widespread closure of post offices should be rejected in favor of more thoughtful reform that fixes the errors of the 2006 Postal Accountability and Enhancement Act and finally allows the Postal Service to behave like a business and ensures the requirement to provide universal service is fulfilled.

Sincerely,

Gerald E. Connolly

11th District Virginia

Don Young

At-Large Alaska



Heath Shuler 11th District, North Carolina

Morgan Griffith Morgan Griffith 9th District, Virginia

Barney Frank

Barney Frank ^V 4th District, Massachusetts

Leonard Boswell

3rd District, Iowa

Jan Blimoran

Earl Blumenauer 3rd District, Oregon

Sanford Bishop 2nd District, Georgia

Dave Loebsack 2nd District, Iowa

Tim Ryan

Tim Ryan 17th District, Ohio

John Yarmuth 3rd District, Kentucky

Peter Welch At-Large, Vermont Michael Michaud 2nd District, Maine

Cedric Richmond 2nd District, Louisiana

Steve Rothman 9th District, New Jersey

> Gene Green 29th District, Texas

Adam Schiff 29th District, California

Alcee Hastings

23rd District, Florida

Steve LaTourette 14th District. Ohio

Gwen Moore 4th District, Wisconsin

District, North Carolina

Laura Richardson 37th District, California

Maurice Hinchey 22nd District, New York

> Colleen Hanabusa 1st District, Hawaii

Ruben Hinojosa 15th District, Texas

23rd District, New York

7th District, Arizona

At-Large, Guam

14th District, California

Dak E. (Clan

Dale Kildee 5th District, Michigan

Marcia Fudge 11th District, Ohio

Paul Tonko 21st District, New York

Rush Holt

12th District, New Jersey

grace & Rapolitain Grace Napolitano

38th District, California

Sary Ackerman
5th District, New York

David McKinley

1st District, West Virginia

THE B. MIST

Jose Serrano 16th District, New York

Sam Farr 17th District, California

Albio Sires 13th District, New Jersey

William R. Keating 10th District, Massachusetts

Lora J. De Lauro

Rosa DeLauro 3rd District, Connecticut

Mazie Hirono 2nd District, Hawaii Russ Carnahan

Russ Carnahan 3rd District, Missouri

いんじゃ

Gregorio Kilili Camacho Sablan MP, Marianas Islands

gue Bun

Joe Baca 43rd District, California

Loretta Sanchez
47th District, California

Jesse Jackson, Jr. 2nd District, Illinois

Bill Johnson 6th District, Ohio

Gary Peters 9th District, Michigan

Ben Ray Lujan

3rd District, New Mexico

51st District, California Mike Doyle 14th District, Pennsylvania ete Visclosky Pete Visclosky 1st District, Indiana Barbara Lee 9th District, California Mike Ross 4th District, Arkansas Jim Himes 4th District, Connecticut Tarning Baldur Tammy Baldwin 2nd District, Wisconsin

Michael & Hrunn

Michael Grimm

13th District, New York

5th District, California At-Large, District of Columbia Nick J. Rahall, II 3rd District, West Virginia Mark Critz 12th District, Pennsylvania Mike Thompson 1st District, California Jo Ann Emerson 8th District, Missouri Henry Cuellar 28th District, Texas

John Conyers 14th District, Michigan

32nd Districk, California 6th District, California errold Nadler 8th District, New York Chellie Pingree David Cicilline 1st District, Rhode Island 1st District, Maine Carolyn Maloney 14th District, New York Bill Pascrell 8th District, New Jersey Elist L. Engel Marcy Kaptur 9th District, Ohio Eliot Engel 17th District, New York Lloyd Doggett Robert C. "Bobby" Scott 25th District, Texas 3rd District, Virginia Mike The Int Mike McIntyre 7th District, North Carolina Congressman Larry Kissell Chris Murphy 5th District, Connecticut 8th District, North Carolina