Obamacare Falls in an Ohio Forest

11.30.2011

From the *Investor's Business Daily* Editorial page:

"The media are celebrating Ohio voters' rejection of GOP initiatives. Predictably, though, they are downplaying the fact that Ohio emphatically renounced ObamaCare. Why? It goes against the media's agenda.

Casual consumers of the news would think that Ohio voters thoroughly vanquished Republicans and their ideas. 'Voters defeat many GOP-sponsored measures,' says the New York Times. Reuters took things a bit further: 'Ohio union victory boosts Obama's hopes for 2012.'.... The voters disagreed with Kasich and Ohio's GOP lawmakers, a development the media are taking great joy in.

But there's more to Tuesday's vote in Ohio than what was placed on the ballot as Issue 2. There was Issue 3, a measure to "preserve the freedom of Ohioans to choose their health care." It is a state constitutional amendment that allows Ohio to opt out of the ObamaCare individual insurance mandate.....

The nearly two-thirds approval is a strong repudiation of the president's signature legislation and it deserves more attention.....Columnist Michael Barone reports that 'about half the folks that the unions turned out voted against ObamaCare.'....Despite the public's dislike for the Democrats' Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act — our IBD/TIPP poll shows that 44% want it repealed while only 25% wish to expand it — the media are still in thrall to it."

New Poll Shows Occupy Wall Street Protests Waning In Popularity

From Mediate...

"Now well into its third month, the Occupy Wall Street movement has achieved a worldwide cultural significance unseen since the Vietnam War, but according to a new survey by Public Policy Polling, the appeal of the protests seems to be wearing thin with Americans.

Their favorability has only decreased slightly in the poll, from 35% in October to 33% now, but **those opposed to OWS** have increased from 36% to 45% in that time."

MSNBC's Wagner: Referring to 'Illegal' Immigrants Is 'Coded' and 'Derogatory' Language From NewsBusters...

"Referring to immigrants who are in the United States illegally as 'illegals' is 'derogatory' and 'coded' language, even if it is true, MSNBC's new host complained in a panel discussion on her noon Eastern program Now with Alex Wagner. The remark came in the middle of a discussion about the upcoming Presidential election and electoral support.

'Well, they are illegal though,' Newsmax's Jedediah Bila interjected. 'Can I just say that? They're breaking the law,' the lone conservative on the panel reminded viewers. 'But if we're talking about semiotics, and you're also looking to win the Hispanic population, you go out there, and, the term illegals, I think, is coded,' Wagner insisted. 'It's in a way derogatory, it may be factual, but I think he's going to have to pivot off of that kind of rhetoric and then say to Hispanic Americans I will represent you too.' In other words, yes, illegal immigration may be a real issue, but Wagner and the liberal media are going to do their darned best to conflate that concern with outright xenophobia..."