# NEW TAX CUTS IN RYAN BUDGET WOULD GIVE MILLIONAIRES \$265,000 ON TOP OF BUSH TAX CUTS 

by Chuck Marr

Even as House Budget Committee Chairman Paul Ryan's budget would impose trillions of dollars in spending cuts, at least 62 percent of which would come from low-income programs, ${ }^{1}$ it would enact new tax cuts that would provide huge windfalls to households at the top of the income scale. New analysis by the Urban-Brookings Tax Policy Center (TPC) finds that people earning more than $\$ 1$ million a year would receive $\$ 265,000$ apiece in new tax cuts, on average, on top of the $\$ 129,000$ they would receive from the Ryan budget's extension of President Bush's tax cuts. ${ }^{2}$


The new tax cuts at the top would dwarf those for middle-income families. After-tax incomes would rise by 12.5 percent among millionaires, but just 1.8 percent for middle-income households (see Figure 1 and footnote 6). Low-income working families would actually be hit with tax increases.

[^0]Chairman Ryan claims that his budget would fully offset the cost of his proposed tax cuts by closing tax expenditures (tax credits, deductions, and other preferences) for high-income households. But his budget contains no specific proposals to do so, and meeting this goal would be all but impossible, given that the Ryan budget rules out reducing the tax expenditure most heavily tilted to high-income households: the preferential rates for capital gains and dividends. ${ }^{3}$

| Average Tax Cut (or Increase) and Percent Change in After-Tax Income in 2015 Under the House Republican Budget Proposal |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Income | Average Tax Cut (Or Increase) | Percentage Change in After-Tax Income |
| Less than \$10,000 | -\$112 | -2.0\% |
| \$10-\$20K | -\$193 | -1.2\% |
| \$20-30K | -\$58 | -0.2\% |
| \$30-\$40K | \$209 | 0.6\% |
| \$40-\$50K | \$510 | 1.3\% |
| \$50-\$75K | \$975 | 1.8\% |
| \$75-\$100K | \$1,692 | 2.3\% |
| \$100-\$200K | \$2,818 | 2.5\% |
| \$200K-\$500K | \$11,089 | 4.8\% |
| \$500K-\$1M | \$47,040 | 8.8\% |
| More than \$1M | \$264,970 | 12.5\% |
| Note: Compared to current policy; does not include plan's reductions in unspecified tax expenditures. Source: Urban Brookings Tax Policy Center -- Table \#T12-0126 |  |  |

By combining large budget cuts (and tax increases) that disproportionately harm lower-income Americans with big tax cuts that disproportionately help those at the top of the income scale, the Ryan budget would significantly worsen inequality and increase poverty and hardship (and reduce opportunity as well, through deep cuts in programs such as Pell Grants to help low-income students afford college).

## Plan Would Cut Top Rate to Lowest Level Since Hoover Administration

The Ryan budget includes a number of specific tax cuts, on top of making the Bush tax cuts permanent. All of its new tax cuts are both expensive and tilted toward high-income households. It would cut the top individual tax rate to 25 percent, the lowest level since the Hoover Administration more than 80 years ago. It would cut the corporate rate to 25 percent and eliminate both the Alternative Minimum Tax and the Affordable Care Act's increase in the Medicare tax for highincome people.

[^1]A new TPC analysis finds that people with incomes above $\$ 1$ million would receive a $\$ 265,000$ average annual tax cut just from the new Ryan proposals (i.e., not counting what they would also receive from extension of the Bush tax cuts). Middle-income taxpayers with incomes between $\$ 50,000$ and $\$ 75,000$ would receive $\$ 975$, on average. ${ }^{4}$

The best gauge of the distributional impact of a tax cut is the percentage changes that it causes in the after-tax incomes of households at different income levels. A progressive tax cut raises after-tax incomes by a greater percentage among lower- and middle-income people than among higher-income people. A regressive tax cut provides a larger percentage after-tax income gain at the top of the income scale and thereby widens income inequality.

The new TPC figures show that the Ryan tax cuts are starkly regressive: after-tax incomes of people who make more than $\$ 1$ million a year would rise by 12.5 percent, on average - seven times more than the 1.8 percent average gain for middle-income households. ${ }^{5}$

Underscoring how tilted the proposal is toward the top, the TPC figures show that people making more than $\$ 1$ million a year would receive 39 percent of the new Ryan tax cuts even though they constitute less than one-half of 1 percent of U.S. households (see
 Figure 2).

Many low-income working families would actually see an increase in their tax burdens under the Ryan plan. While the Ryan budget makes permanent all of the Bush tax cuts including those for high-income households, which are slated to expire at the end of 2012, it would not fully extend the tax cuts for working-poor households that were enacted under President Obama and also are scheduled to expire at the end of this year. ${ }^{6}$

[^2]As a result, while the most affluent people in the country would receive very large income gains under Chairman Ryan's specific tax-cut proposals, millions of working-poor families would see their small after-tax incomes reduced. A single mother with two children who works full time at the minimum wage and makes $\$ 14,500$ a year would have her Child Tax Credit cut by more than $\$ 1,500$, from $\$ 1,725$ to $\$ 218$.

## Tax Cuts Would Come on Top of Regressive Bush Tax Cuts

As noted, these regressive new tax cuts would come on top of the Bush tax cuts, which also were costly and provided disproportionate gains to the highest-income households. Combined, the Bush and Ryan tax cuts would provide an annual windfall of nearly $\$ 400,000$ apiece, on average, to people with incomes over $\$ 1$ million (see Figure 3). For these people, their tax cuts would be eight times the average total after-tax incomes of people in the middle 20 percent of the income scale. ${ }^{7}$

The Bush tax cuts contributed significantly to the emergence of large deficits over the past decade and would prove even more unaffordable in coming decades if policymakers extended them. ${ }^{8}$ Yet, instead of letting them expire as the economy recovers, the Ryan budget would "double down" by extending them and adding another round of costly, regressive tax cuts on top.

| Figure 3 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Ryan Proposals Would Give Millionaires Another \$265,000 in Tax Cuts |  |
| Increase in after-tax income for an individual with yearly income over $\$ 1$ million |  |
|  | \$394,000 |
| \$265,000 |  |
| New Ryan Tax Cuts | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ryan + Extending } \\ \text { Bush Tax Cuts } \end{gathered}$ |
| Note: Does not include plan's reductions in unspecified tax expenditures. |  |
| Source: Urban Brooking Tax Policy Center, Tables T12-0126 \& T10-0312 |  |
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