Congress of the United States Washington, DC 20515

July 31, 2012

Assistant Secretary Kerri-Ann Jones Bureau of Oceans and International Environmental and Scientific Affairs U.S. Department of State 2201 C St., NW Rm 3880 Washington, DC 20520

Dear Secretary Jones:

We are writing to formally request that the State Department conduct a new Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed Keystone XL pipeline. The proposed pipeline will have significant environmental impacts, and we believe this project warrants a full and thorough environmental review.

We understand that in August of 2011 the State Department issued a final EIS for the first project application for the Keystone XL pipeline and that the Department simply plans to conduct a supplemental review of the final EIS. However, considering that the proposed pipeline is essentially a new project, we believe that the State Department should conduct an entirely new environmental review for the project. In light of the new route and a new termination point in Cushing, Oklahoma, the purpose and need for this project must be re-evaluated.

Additionally, the final EIS that was issued by the State Department was flawed and should not be used as a baseline for a supplemental review. A new review process offers an opportunity to rectify these outstanding issues and should include the following:

- A thorough assessment of a no action option, including clean energy alternatives this analysis is especially important in light of the President's approval of what was the southern section of the original Keystone XL pipeline plan;
- An analysis of lifecycle greenhouse gas emissions throughout the entire life of the project that does not presuppose the development of tar sands regardless of the construction of this project;
- An analysis of the transboundary impacts associated with tar sands development in Canada;
- An analysis of newly proposed alternative routes that would avoid the Ogallala Aquifer, Nebraska Sandhills, and other important natural resources;
- An analysis of expected spill frequency, severity, and emergency response plans in light of the Keystone I spills and the recent Corrective Action Order issued on that pipeline, as well as the line 6B rupture that has devastated the Kalamazoo River and surrounding communities in Michigan;
- An analysis of the project's effect on U.S. petroleum markets;
- An analysis of the connected Bakken and Cushing Marketlink projects;

- An analysis of environmental justice issues associated with developing, transporting, and refining tar sands oil;
- An analysis of impacts on tribes; and
- An analysis of the project's impacts to water resources and sensitive wildlife species.

Given the extensive environmental impact of this proposed pipeline and the number of critical questions that remain either unanswered or inadequately addressed, we believe the Department should proceed with an entirely new environmental review. However, if the State Department opts to proceed with solely a supplemental review, we respectfully request that the issues listed above be thoroughly addressed in this review.

Sincerely,

Steve Cohen

Member of Congress

Earl Blumenauer

Member of Congress

Peter Welch

Member of Congress

Mazie Hirono

Member of Congress

Pete Stark

Member of Congress

Jim McDermott

Member of Congress

James Moran

Member of Congress

Bob Filner

Member of Congress

Hank Johnson

Member of Congress

Rush Holt
Member of Congress

Raul Grijalva Member of Congress

Barbara Lee Member of Congress

Chellie Pingree
Member of Congress

Anna Eshoo Member of Congress

Paul Tonko
Member of Congress

Mike Quigley
Mike Quigley
Member of Congress

lel hade

Jerrold Nadler Member of Congress

Jan Schakowsky Member of Congress

Dennis Kucinich Member of Congress

Gerry Connolly Member of Congress

John Lewis

Member of Congress

Keith Ellison Member of Congress