EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Abigail Evans, President National Education Association

Jamie Baxter, Vice-President Association for Career and Technical Education

Myrna Mandlawitz, Treasurer School Social Work Association of America

Jonathan Fansmith, Past President American Council on Education

Jocelyn Bissonnette,

National Association of Federally Impacted Schools

Noelle Ellerson

American Association of School Administrators

Stephanie Giesecke

National Association of Independent Colleges and Universities

David Griffith ASCD

Earl Hadley American Federation of Teachers

Kimberly Jones

Council for Opportunity in Education

Lindsay Jones

Council for Exceptional Children

Karen Lanning

The College Board

Cyndy Littlefield

Association of Jesuit Colleges and Universities

Elizabeth Rorick

National Parent Teacher Association

Gerald Sroufe


American Educational Research Association

Blakely Whilden

American Association of State Colleges and Universities

Joel Packer,

Executive Director Committee for Education Funding



June 14, 2011

Dear Judiciary Committee Member:

The Committee for Education Funding (CEF), a coalition of more than 85 education associations and institutions from preschool to postgraduate education, is writing to express our strong opposition to H.J.Res. 1, a proposed constitutional amendment to require a balanced federal budget.

H.J.Res. 1, as amended by the Committee would require draconian, radical cuts in funding for education and other domestic programs, hindering economic growth, job development and global competitiveness.

The proposed amendment could require a balanced federal budget as early as Fiscal Year (FY) 2018. It would also limit overall federal outlays to no more than 18 percent of Gross Domestic Product (GDP). The last time overall federal spending was 18 percent of GDP was FY 1966, before funding of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965, the Higher Education Act of 1965 and the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (originally enacted in 1975).

This would result in cuts to education programs far deeper than the already harmful cuts contained in the House-passed Budget Resolution, which would reduce funding for education and related programs by more than 25 percent or \$250 billion over ten years.

The House-passed Budget Resolution also slashes Pell grants for college students by cutting the maximum Pell grant award by \$2,510 (-45%), adversely affecting over nine million low- and middle-income students.

Yet, the House-passed Budget resolution does not achieve a balanced budget until sometime after FY 2030. Overall federal spending would not fall to 18 percent of GDP until after FY 2040.

Thus, H.J. Res. 1 would require far deeper and more harmful cuts to education and other programs. The most likely outcome would be similar to that proposed in the Republican Study Committee (RSC) budget which would achieve balance in FY 2020.

Compared to the CBO baseline for FY 2020, the RSC budget slashes nondefense discretionary outlays by 70%.

Cuts of this magnitude to early childhood, elementary and secondary, career and technical, adult, and higher education programs would completely reverse progress on improving student achievement, closing achievement gaps and increasing high school graduation, postsecondary education attendance and college completion rates. They will also harm America's global competitiveness and economic future.

Students, schools and colleges are struggling with significant state and local budget cuts which are causing large numbers of educator layoffs and major cuts and eliminations in a range of critical education programs. These cuts are compounded by the loss of ARRA education funds and the \$1.25 billion in education cuts just enacted in the final FY 11 Continuing Resolution. At the same time enrollments are increasing and schools and colleges are serving more students with special needs.

One of the best ways to create jobs and improve our economy and global competitiveness is through investments in education. In May 2011 individuals with less than a high school diploma had an unemployment rate more than three times that of those with a bachelor's degree or higher (14.6% v. 4.5%).

The report of the National Commission on Fiscal Responsibility and Reform stated, "...we must invest in education, infrastructure, and high-value research and development to help our economy grow, keep us globally competitive, and make it easier for businesses to create jobs."

Results from 13 different public opinion polls since January make clear that the American public overwhelmingly opposes cutting federal spending for education programs for both K-12 and higher education.

For the sake of our students, schools and colleges, as well as America's future, we strongly urge you to vote against H.J.Res. 1.

Sincerely,

Abigail Evans President

abigail C. Eury

Joel Packer

Executive Director