To:

"senusm1" <senusm1@clb.usmc.mil>

From:

"Hossom, Carole" <cjd0@ATSDT01.EM.CDC.GOV>

. Certify:

N

Subject:

RE: MCB CL supply well data

Date:

Tuesday, April 1, 1997 at 8:44:00 am EST

Attached:

None

Mick,

Thanks for all the information on the sampling.

The level of DCE from the 1/1997 well was not left out of your e-mail do

you have that value?

I have more questions too...

What is being done with these wells that had hits of VOCs?

Have any been closed out/abandoned?

What are yalls SOP. Is it based on the MCL or state level? What do you do

with an exceedence?

Thanks,

carole

From: senusml

To: cjd0 Cc: pauln

Subject: MCB CL supply well data

Date: Friday, March 28, 1997 11:43AM

Carole,

After our discussion yestereday, I reviewed the following documents and summaries follow:

1/1997 SAMPLING EVENT (contract 96-4842)

67 active wells sampled using EPA Method 524.2; those above NC-2L were:

HP-698 (Brewster Blvd) had 1.5 ppb of chloroform.

HP-628 (intersection of Sneads Ferry Rd & Main Service Rd) had 0.8 ppb

chloroform.

GSR-01 (Greater Sandy Run; west side of Rte 17) had 34.8 ppb chloroform

and 2.9 Bromodichloromethane.

AS-106 (Curtis Rd, MCAS WWTP) had cis-1,2-Dichloroethene.

5/1996 RE-SAMPLING (contract 96-4889)

6 active wells Re-sampled to follow up 12/95 sampling

GSR-01 had 38.6 ppb for chloroform

12/1995 SAMPLING & ANALYSIS (contract 95-1501)

61 active wells sampled using EPA Method 524.2; those above NC-2L were:

AS-106 had 0.4 ppb for VC

HP-628 had 0.7 ppb for chloroform

GSR-01 had 0.7 ppb for chloroform

1/1994 WELLHEAD MONITORING STUDY (contract 92-8290)

Detailed inventory all supply wells and analysis of 79 active wells. Metals, VOCs, PCBs, pesticides, and wet chemistry parameters were test for.

3/1991 WELL MANAGEMENT PROGRAM (contract 90-6756)

A comprehensive inventory of all water supply wells. No sampling.

CLW

0000002798



To answer some of your questions:
We test all active wells annually, and potentially threatened wells
semi-annually. This program will currently run indefinitly. We test for VOCs

only, except where otherwise noted.

Please call if you need any other information. 910/451-5068 ext 411. Mick

CLW 0000002799 To:

smtp[cjd0@CDC.GOV]

Cc:

nnp

From:

GS-9 MICHAEL P SENUS@EMD2@MCB LEJEUNE

Certify:

Subject:

MCB CL Supply Well SOP

Tuesday, April 1, 1997 at 10:09:00 am EST

Date:

Attached: None

Carole,

Follow up to your questions about Camp Lejeune's Water Supply Well Sampling Program SOP:

- 1. What was cis DCE result for 1/97 sampling event? 6.3 ppb
- 2. What is our program SOP? It is based on MCLs or State levels? Action Levels are set for constitutents that exceed MCLs or NC State drinking water standards, WHICH EVER IS MORE RESTRICTIVE.
- 3. What do we do with well analytics in exceedence? The well is taken of E line.

We will also close wells that are threatened, although they may not show analytics with exceedence as of yet. For example, Hadnot Point Industrial Area (HPIA) is our most contaminated site. Any well within a 1,500-ft radius of HPIA was taken off line: HP-603, -601, 602, -608, -630, and -634.

3. What is being done with hits of VOCs from 1/97 sampling? No action at this time; will continue to monitor. Chloroform was detected in 3 wells that were above groundwater standards, but there has been no drinking water standard (i.e. it is an unregulated compound). Additionally, chloroform is a lab constituent.

The other 2 VOCs detected were either an unrequlated compound or well below state or federal standards.

-Mick

As discussed, ATSDR is the vension we do correct jendy sayding. EPA requested every 6 months for sits "close to" untaum noted gow. Use your hest judgement to determine what is "close to". Please see me about Tom howis.

月 40000002800

Mith

Happy Wail!

COURTHOUSE BAY:

15 46

Thanks, Mick

To: GS-9 WILLIAM H WARD@PWO1@MCB LEJEUNE Cc: Bcc: From: GS-9 MICHAEL P SENUSEEMDZEMCB LEJEUNE Subject: Fwd: Supply Wells Tuesday, April 1, 1997 9:47:35 EST Date: Attach: Certify: Priority: Normal Defer until: Expires: Forwarded by: Comments: ...and well 652. Thanks. ACT - - - - Original Message - - -GS-9 WILLIAM H WARD@PWO1@MCB LEJEUNE From: GS-9 MICHAEL P SENUS@EMDZ@MCB LEJEUNE Date: Tuesday, April 1, 1997 at 8:57:53 am EST Attached: None Bill, Last week we talked about the status of supply wells. Can you tell me about the following wells and their status of 3 posibilities (ac tive, closed, or abandoned) HADNOT POINT: 1P=6035-7170 IP-601- 4/ 1P=602_7/6 1P-637 CLOSEP IP=608=*AO* IP=631-290 1P=630=#4# JP-642-ACT

> > 0000002801

To:

GS-9 WILLIAM H WARD@PWO1@MCB LEJEUNE GS-9 MICHAEL P SENUS@EMD2@MCB LEJEUNE

™ From:

Subject:

Certify:

Fwd: Supply Wells

Date:

Tuesday, April 1, 1997 at 9:47:35 am EST

Attached:

Comments:

...and well 652. Thanks.

- Original Message - - - - -

To:

GS-9 WILLIAM H WARD@PWO1@MCB LEJEUNE

From:

GS-9 MICHAEL P SENUSWEMD2WMCB LEJEUNE

Date: Attached: None

Tuesday, April 1, 1997 at 8:57:53 am EST

Bill, Last week we talked about the status of supply wells.

Can you tell me about the following wells and their status of 3 posibilities (active, ∞ los \rightleftharpoons d, or abandoned)

HADNOT POINT:

HP-603 remain (pending contract to remain)

HP-601 removed

HP-602 recovered

-> not used @ this time, pendy former needs (closed not aboundance) HP-637-

HP-608 removed

HP-634 Hemores

HP-630 senevul

active taken of line perding sampling

COURTHOUSE BAY:

A-5 - abandened in Rate '80;

Thanks, Mick

CLW 0000002802