0033

FINAL DRAFT



### QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

### CONCERNING THE

U. S. Environmental Protection Agency

**REGULATION OF ORGANIC CONTAMINANTS** 

IN

DRINKING WATER

WITH SPECIAL EMPHASIS

ON

TRIHALOMETHANES

James M. Symons

Drinking Water Research Division Municipal Environmental Research Laboratory Office of Research & Development U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Cincinnati, Ohio

January 28, 1980

000000358 -

CL.W

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

Ċ

Page
Foreword
Questions Related to Trihalomethanes1
General Questions
Questions about the Regulation
Questions about Treatment
Questions Related to Other Organic Contaminants
Bibliography
List of USEPA Regional Water Supply Representatives 22
Acknowledgments
Appendix (Trihalomethane Regulation)

#### FOREWORD

This document had its genesis as the author's input to an Ad Hoc Committee of the Water Quality Division of American Water Works Association, of which he is a member. The charge to the Committee was to develop informational material about the new USEPA Regulation for Trihalomethanes in Drinking Water and related topics that the AWWA could distribute to water utilities and other interested members.

When my contribution to the Committee was completed, many of the USEPA reviewers (listed in the Acknowledgments) both in Cincinnati and at EPA Headquarters, thought that we should make this information directly available to interested parties. We hope this material will aid in your understanding of the Regulation. If you would like to distribute this to others, additional copies are available from the author at 26 West St. Clair Street, Cincinnati, Ohio 45268.

### QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS CONCERNING THE REGULATION OF ORGANIC CONTAMINANTS IN DRINKING WATER WITH SPECIAL EMPHASIS ON TRIHALOMETHANES

•

### OUESTIONS RELATED TO TRIHALOMETHANES

#### GENERAL QUESTIONS

### 1) WHAT ARE TRIHALOMETHANES?

ANSWER: They are members of a group of organic chemicals that contain a single carbon atom, one hydrogen atom, and three halogen (chlorine, bromine, or iodine, or a mixture) atoms. Other halogens are not significant in this context. The structural formulas of the four common trihalomethanes are shown below:

C1C1C1BrC1-C-C1Br-C-C1Br-C-BrBr-C-BrHHHTrichloromethaneBromodichloromethaneDibromochloromethane(Chloroform)(Bromofcorm)

### 2) HOW ARE TRIHALOMETHANES FORMED?

ANSWER: Trihalomethanes are formed during drinking water chlorination by the reaction of free chlorine with organic compounds in the water, frequently called "trihalomethane precursors" or just "precursors".(1) Trihalomethanes are often called "chlorination by-products".

Chlorine + Precursors ---> Trihalomethanes

3) WHAT ARE PRECURSORS?

ANSWER: Most often precursors are organic compounds produced from decaying vegetation, humic and fulvic acids. These are frequently called "natural" organics. "Synthetic" or man-made organics are not usually trihalomethane precursors.

4) IS LIQUID/GASEOUS CHLORINE MORE LIKELY TO FORM TRIHALOMETHANES THAN SODIUM OR CALCIUM HYPOCHLORITE?

ANSWER: No. Whenever a free chlorine residual exists in water, trihalomethanes will be created if precursors are present.

(1) Stevens, A.A. and Symons, J.M., "Formation and Measurement of Trihalomethanes in Drinking Water," In: Proceedings, Control of Organic Chemical Contaminants in Drinking Water, USEPA, Washington, D.C., In Press.

5) DO DISINFECTANTS OTHER THAN CHLORINE FORM TRIHALOMETHANES?

ANSWER: Neither ozone, chlorine-free chlorine dioxide, nor chloramines will react with precursors to form trihalomethanes. They may, however, form other yet unidentified <u>disinfection</u> by-products. Bromine chloride and iodine will form trihalomethanes when precursors are present.

6) WHEN TRIHALOMETHANES ARE CREATED, IS CHLOROFORM ALWAYS THE TRIHALO-METHANE PRESENT IN THE HIGHEST CONCENTRATION?

ANSWER: No. Any of the four common trihalomethanes (see Question 1) may be present in the highest concentration in a given circumstance. Usually, however, chloroform is present in the highest concentration.

7) WHAT IS THE SOURCE OF THE BROMINE THAT RESULTS IN THE BROMINE-CONTAINING TRIHALOMETHANES?

ANSWER: Bromide is present in the water, and the free chlorine will convert it to bromine species, which will then react with the precursors to form the bromine-containing trihalomethanes. Further, bromine may be present in gaseous chlorine as an impurity.

8) DOES FREE CHLORINE REACT WITH FLUORIDE, NATURAL OR ADDED DURING FLUORIDATION, TO PRODUCE SIMILARLY REACTIVE FLUORINE SPECIES?

ANSWER: No.

9) ARE TRIHALOMETHANES EVER PRESENT IN SOURCE (RAW) WATERS?

ANSWER: Usually not in significant concentrations.

10) ARE OTHER BY-PRODUCTS FORMED DURING CHLORINATION?

ANSWER: Yes. Free chlorine reacts with organic compounds to produce halogen containing organic by-products other than trihalomethanes. Few of these compounds can be identified individually, but they can be measured as a group as "total organic halogen." Oxidation (nonhalogen containing) by-products of chlorination generally cannot be measured.

11) WHAT IS THE DANGER OF HAVING TRIHALOMETHANES IN DRINKING WATER?

ANSWER: Chloroform is carcinogenic to test animals and, therefore, is considered a potential human carcinogen. The other trihalomethanes are toxic chemicals and possibly carcinogenic.

12) ARE PRECURSORS THEMSELVES DANGEROUS IN DRINKING WATER?

ANSWER: Probably not. Precursors are significant, however, because of their role in the formation of trihalomethanes and other disinfection by-products. Further, in high concentrations they may cause an objectionable color in water and sometimes taste and odor; also and any weight as nutrients for microbiological growth.

### QUESTIONS ABOUT THE REGULATION

#### 13) WHAT TRIHALOMETHANES ARE REGULATED?

ANSWER: Four of the ten possible trihalomethanes, chloroform (trichloromethane), bromodichloromethane, dibromochloromethane, and bromoform (tribromomethane) are regulated. Their concentrations are added together for compliance purposes (total trihalomethanes).(2)

14) WHY ARE THE FOUR TRIHALOMETHANE CONCENTRATIONS ADDED TOGETHER?

ANSWER: Because the four common trihalomethanes are a family of compounds, are formed by similar reactions, are measured by similar techniques, all have some toxic effects, and are controlled by similar treatment techniques, the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) determined that excluding any of them from the Regulation would be inappropriate. Therefore, their concentrations (in weight per unit volume - ug/L, not micro moles per unit volume) are added together to produce the parameter "total trihalomethanes."

- 15) WHAT IS THE MAXIMUM CONTAMINANT LEVEL (MCL) FOR TOTAL TRIHALOMETHANES? ANSWER: 0.10 mg/L
- 16) ARE CONCENTRATIONS OF 0.10 mg/L (PPM) and 100 ug/L (PPB) IDENTICAL?

ANSWER: Not exactly, because they do not contain the same number of significant figures, although they are often loosely used interchangeably.

17) WHY WAS THE MAXIMUM CONTAMINANT LEVEL (MCL) OF 0.10 mg/L CHOSEN?

ANSWER: The USEPA determined that reduction of trihalomethanes to this concentration was technically achievable; that this concentration was a reasonable national standard, taking costs into consideration; and that achieving this concentration would provide health protection to consumers presently using drinking water containing higher concentrations. USEPA encourages utilities to reduce trihalomethane concentrations to as low a value as feasible, however.

18) WHEN WAS THE REGULATION PROMULGATED?

ANSWER: November 29, 1979

19) WHAT UTILITIES ARE COVERED BY THE REGULATION?

ANSWER: Community water systems that serve a population of 10,000 or more individuals and that add a disinfectant (oxidant) to the water in any part of the drinking water treatment process and, at the discretion of the Primacy State, community water systems that serve a population of less than 10,000 individuals. Noncommunity water systems are not included.

(2) <u>Federal Register</u>, <u>44</u>, No. 231, 68624-68707 (Nov. 29, 1979), See Appendix. **000000363** 

### 20) HOW MANY WATER UTILITIES ARE COVERED BY THE REGULATION?

ANSWER: Approximately 2,700 utilities serving about 167 million peeople.

### 21) WHEN IS THE REGULATION EFFECTIVE?

ANSWER: For community water systems serving a population of 75,0000 individuals or more, monitoring must start by November 29, 1980 and compliance must be achieved by November 29, 1981. For community water systems serving from 10,000 to 75,000 individuals, monitoringg must start by November 29, 1982 and compliance must be achieved by November 29, 1983.

22) WHAT ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP IS RESPONSIBLE FOR CARRYING OUT THE PROVISIONS OF THE REGULATION?

ANSWER: The Regulatory Agency in the States that have been granteod Primacy or the USEPA in States that do not have Primacy. Wherever the terrem. "Primacy Agency" is mentioned in this document, the term "Primacy State or WUSEPA where applicable" should be understood.

23) HAS THE REGULATION BEEN CHALLENGED IN COURT?

ANSWER: Yes. On January 11, 1980 the American Water Works Association together with the City of Englewood, Colorado and the Capital City Water Company, a Missouri corporation, filed a Petition for Review with the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuitt, asking the court for "review of a final rule" as allowed by Sectionn 1448(a)(1) of the Safe Drinking Water Act (P.L. 93-523). At this writing, January 28, 1980, no action has been taken on this Petiticon. The closing date for filing such actions was January 14, 1980.

24) WHY WAS THE POPULATION SERVED REDUCED FROM 75,000 to 10,000 FOR INCLUSION IN THE REGULATION?

ANSWER: Most of those who commented on the proposed Regulation, (3.) February 9, 1978, said coverage should be broadened to provide the health benefits to as many consumers as possible. The USEPA agree of and increased the coverage from about 50 percent to about 80 percent of the country's population served by community water systems.

25) WHY WERE THE SYSTEMS SEBVING LESS THAN 10,000 INDIVIDUALS NOT SELE OCTED FOR REGULATION AT THIS TIME?

ANSWER: Although about 20 percent of the country's population is served by community water systems of this size, the number of these systems, more than 57,000, made careful supervision to avoid errorss during treatment changes almost impossible. Furthermore, many of these systems use ground water and, therefore, probably would not exceed the Maximum Contaminant Level for total trihalomethanes in Wtheir drinking water.

(3) Federal Register, 43, No. 28, 5756-5780 (February 9, 1978).

- 4 -

CLW

26) WHEN WILL SYSTEMS SERVING LESS THAN 10,000 INDIVIDUALS BE COVERED BY A USEPA REGULATION?

ANSWER: This decision will be made after some experience has been gained in implementing the current Regulation.

27) UNDER WHAT CONDITIONS MIGHT THE MAXIMUM CONTAMINANT LEVEL (MCL) BE LOWERED BELOW 0.10 mg/L?

ANSWER: The MCL will be reconsidered in the National <u>Revised</u> Primary Drinking Water Regulations based upon an updated assessment of technological and economic feasibility, implementation experience and additional toxicological information. Further public comment would be involved in any future decision. Note: The current Trihalomethane Regulation is an amendment to the National <u>Interim</u> Primary Drinking Water Regulations.

28) HOW MANY SAMPLES MUST BE TAKEN TO MEET THE MONITORING REQUIREMENTS?

ANSWER: For each treatment plant in the system at least 4 samples per quarter of a year must be taken. All samples for each quarter must be collected on the same day. With Primacy Agency approval, systems using multiple wells drawing from a single aquifer may be considered to have one treatment plant.

29) WHERE IN THE SYSTEM MUST THE SAMPLES BE TAKEN?

ANSWER: At least 25 percent of the samples must be taken at locations within the distribution system reflecting the <u>maximum</u> residence time of the water in the system. The remainder of the samples may be taken from the central portion of the distribution system. The selection of the sampling points must be approved by the Primacy Agency. Samples taken at the entry point to the distribution system may not be included in the compliance sampling.

### CLW

30) MAY THE SAMPLING FREQUENCY BE REDUCED IF THE TOTAL TRIHALOMETHANE CONCENTRATIONS IN THE COMPLIANCE SAMPLES ARE ALWAYS WELL BELOW 0.10 mg/L?

ANSWER: Yes. For surface water systems, the Primacy Agency may reduce the sampling frequency to a minimum of one (instead of four) samples per quarter of a year per treatment plant at any time upon written request from the utility when the data for one year show total trihalomethane concentrations is be consistently below 0.10 mg/L. If the total trihalomethane concentration ever exceeds 0.10 mg/L or a change in source or treatment occurs, the original sampling frequency is restored. If only a single sample per quarter of a year is collected, it must be collected near the extremity of the distribution system.

Groundwater systems may request in writing at any time that their sampling frequency be reduced to a minimum of one sample per <u>year</u> per treatment plant if they can demonstrate that the "maximum total trihalomethane potential" (see Ouestion 35) concentration in their drinking water is less than 0.10 mg/L. In such cases, the yearly sample is for the maximum total trihalomethane potential concentration; it is not a regular total trihalomethane sample. Even if the maximum total trihalomethane potential concentration is greater than 0.10 mg/L, groundwater systems may apply to the Primacy Agency for a reduction in sampling frequency to one sample per <u>quarter of a year</u> per treatment plant on the same basis as surface water systems, as described in the previous paragraph. Here again, any single sample must be taken near the extremity of the distribution system.

# 000000366

\* 31) HOW ARE THE COMPLIANCE DATA CALCULATED FOR SYSTEMS WITH ONE TREATMENT PLANT?

ANSWER: For compliance purposes, each individual trihalomethane concentration is calculated in ug/L, rounded to the nearest ug/L or to two significant figures, and then added together to obtain total trihalomethanes. This value is then converted to mg/L to the nearest hundreth. All the samples collected in a quarter are arithmetically averaged and become the one compliance concentration for that quarter. Compliance for any quarter is then calculated by arithmetically averaging the four most recent quarterly concentrations (running annual average). If this running annual average concentration is equal to or less than 0.10 mg/L, the utility is in compliance.

For example:

Quarter	D,J,F*	M,A,M,	J,J,A	S,0,N**	D,J,F	Treatment Change	М,А,М
	<				ce Samples		
		Tot	al Trih	alomethane	Concentrati	.ons, mg/L	
	0.15	0.19	0.14	0.18	0.13		0.02
•	0.06 0.05	0.17 0.23	0.06 0.05	0.08 0.07	0.05		0.01
	0.07	0.18	0.09	0.10	0.15		0.01 0.03
	0.00)	0.10	0.0)	0.09	0.15		0.00
Average (Quarter) Concentra- tion, mg/L	0.08	0.19	0.09	0.10	0.10		0.02
Running Annual							
Avg., mg/L	A	-	0.( = ( Out	.08+0.19+ 09+0.10)/4 0.12 t of mpliance	(0.19+0.09 0.10+0.10) =0.12 Out of Compliance	/4	(0.09+0.10+ 0.10+0.02)/4 =0.08 In Compliance

\*The first quarter begins in December, because the Regulation was promulgated November 29, 1979.

\*\*More than the minimum number of samples collected this quarter.

## CLW

## 000000367

- 7 -

32) HOW ARE THE COMPLIANCE DATA CALCULATED FOR A SYSTEM WITH MORE THAN ONE TREATMENT PLANT?

ANSWER: All the samples collected in a quarter from all of the treatment plants are arithmetically averaged and become the <u>one</u> compliance total trihalomethane concentration for that quarter. Compliance for any quarter is then calculated by arithmetically averaging the four most recent quarterly concentrations (running annual average). If this running annual average concentration is equal to or less than 0.10 mg/L, the utility is in compliance.

For example, for two treatment plants in the same system:

•						Treatment	
Quarter	D,J,F*	M,A,M	J,J,A	S,0,N**	D,J,F	Change	<u>M,A,M,</u>
	<		Comp]	liance Samp	les		>
		• Total	Trihalon	nethane Con	centrations,	, mg/L	·.
Plant A	<b>0</b> .07	0.19	0.16	0.18	0.08		0.02
	0.05	0.17	0.18	0.19	0.09		0.02
	0.04	0.23	0.19	0.21	0.07		0.01
	0.11	0.18	0.20	0.23 0.24	0.15		0.01
Plant B	0.15	0.16	0.14	0.18	0.13		0.02
	0.06	0.10	0.06	0.08	0.05		0.01
	0.05	0.09	0.05	0.07	0.05		0.01
	0.07	0.11	0.09	0.10 0.09	0.08		0.03
Average (Quarter Concentra	a-		<b></b>				
tion, mg	/L 0.08	0.15	0.13	0.16	0.09		0.02
Running Annual							
Avg., mg,	/L -		~ ((	.08+0.15+	(0.15+0.13+	F	(0.13+0.16+
			0.	13+0.16)/4	0.16+0.09)	/4	0.09+0.02)/4
			=	0.13	=0.13		=0.10
				at 62 ompliance	Out of Complian	nce	In Compliance

\*The first quarter begins in December because the Regulation was promule CLCW November 29, 1979.

\*\*More than the minimum number of samples collected this guart 00000000368

-8----

### 33) WHO IS DESIGNATED TO COLLECT THE COMPLIANCE SAMPLES?

ANSWER: The utility.

34) WHAT ARE THE SAMPLING/SHIPPING/STORAGE REOUIREMENTS FOR REGULAR COMPLIANCE SAMPLES?

ANSWER: Special sampling bottles are required. The samples must be collected in such a manner as to ensure that the bottle is completely full; no bubble. A dechlorinating (reducing) agent, such as sodium thiosulfate, must be added to the bottle prior to sampling. The samples should be analyzed within 14 days of sampling and need not be refrigerated during storage. Special shipping containers are required - dry ice shall not be used to avoid sample breakage from freezing. Note: See Question 35 for techniques to be used for the special Maximum Total Trihalomethane Potential Test.

35) HOW IS THE SPECIAL MAXIMUM TOTAL TRIHALOMETHANE POTENTIAL TEST PERFORMED?

ANSWER: In general, this parameter is determined by storing a sample without adding a dechlorinating (reducing) agent in a closed container for 7 days at 25°C or above, then adding a dechlorinating agent, and then measuring the total trihalomethane concentration. To be a valid test, a disinfectant residual must be present in the sample at the end of the storage period. This is determined from a duplicate stored sample to which no dechlorinating agent is added.

36) WHAT ANALYTIC PROCEDURES MAY BE USED TO MEASURE THE TRIHALOMETHANES?

ANSWER: A gas chromatographic technique is used to measure the trihalomethanes, with two variations of the basic method being approved by the USEPA. These are frequently called the "Purge and Trap" and the "Liquid-Liquid Extraction" methods.

37) IS A MASS SPECTROMETER REQUIRED FOR THE TRIHALOMETHANE DETERMINATION?

ANSWER: No. The gas chromatographic detectors required are "a halide specific" detector for the Purge and Trap method and a "linearized electron capture" detector for the Liquid-Liquid Extraction method.

38) MUST PRECURSORS BE MEASURED FOR COMPLIANCE?

ANSWER: No.

39) WHO IS DESIGNATED TO ANALYZE THE NECESSARY TRIHALOMETHANE SAMPLES REOUIRED TO SATISFY THE REGULATION?

ANSWER: Any laboratory either "interim approved" by USEPA or certified by a Primacy State. The USEPA is in the process of developing a certification program for the trihalomethane analysis.

CLW

### 40) WHAT IS THE COST OF ONE TOTAL TRIHALOMETHANE ANALYSIS?

ANSWER: Costs at commercial laboratories vary. The USEPA has estimated the annual monitoring cost to be about \$800 per utility, which, for the minimum 16 samples per year, is about \$50 per analysis. The USEPA expects these costs to-decline in the future. Some Primacy States may not charge or may reduce the cost for the utilities in that State.

### 41) WHAT IS THE COST OF THE ANALYTIC EQUIPMENT TO MEASURE TRIHALOMETHANES?

ANSWER: Equipment costs vary, but \$8,000 to \$15,000 is the probable range for the necessary analytic equipment, installed in an existing laboratory.

42) WHAT ACCURACY IS REQUIRED IN THE MEASUREMENT OF TRIHALOMETHANES?

ANSWER: To be approved, a laboratory must be able to measure a standard trihalomethane quality control sample supplied by the USEPA to within  $\pm 20$  percent of the true value for each trihalomethane and the total trihalomethanes.

43) WHAT ARE THE REPORTING REQUIREMENTS?

ANSWER: All compliance data must be reported to the Primacy Agency.

44) WHEN MUST THE PUBLIC BE NOTIFIED OF A VIOLATION?

ANSWER: Whenever the running annual average total trihalomethane concentration, calculated as outlined in the answer to Questions 31 and 32, exceeds 0.10 mg/L.

- 10

#### QUESTIONS ABOUT TREATMENT

45) HOW MANY UTILITIES MIGHT NEED TO CHANGE THEIR TREATMENT BECAUSE THEY EXCEED THE MAXIMUM CONTAMINANT LEVEL?

ANSWER: The USEPA estimates that only about 20 percent of the 2,70000 utilities presently included in the Regulation might have to alter their treatment scheme to comply with the Maximum Contaminant Leve III. The USEPA expects that the first year of monitoring data from the other 80 percent of the utilities presently included in the Regulation will show the annual average concentration of total trihalomethanes in their drinking water to be less than 0.10 mg/L.

46) WHO DECIDES WHAT TECHNIQUES SHOULD BE USED TO LOWER THE TRIHALOMETHEANE CONCENTRATION, IF THAT IS REQUIRED?

ANSWER: The utility, with the approval of the Primacy Agency, and \_, in many cases, with the aid of a consulting engineer.

47) IS GRANULAR ACTIVATED CARBON ADSORPTION THE REQUIRED TREATMENT TECHNIQUE FOR TRIHALOMETHANE CONTROL?

ANSWER: No.

48) WHAT ARE THE TREATMENT OPTIONS?

ANSWER: Two general approaches exist for controlling trihalometharmes: (one), continue to chlorinate, but remove precursor material prior to chlorination and (two), use a disinfectant that does not produce trihalomethanes. (4) Several options exist in the first category:

• Move the point of application of chlorine to as late in the treatment train as practical so that as much precursor as possible is removed prior to chlorination. Note: Reduction of chlorine dose is also often helpful.

• If chlorine is applied after coagulation (softening)/ settli:mg, improve those processes to optimize precursor removal.

• Use off-stream storage for precursor removal.

• Use an adsorbent, either powdered activated carbon or granul .ar activated carbon, for precursor removal prior to chlorination.

- Select an alternative source of water containing less precur :sor.
- Use a combination of the above.

In the second (alternative disinfectant) category, because neither chloramines, chlorine-free chlorine dioxide, nor ozone form trihalomethanes, these disinfectants may be substituted for chlorine to effect a reduction in total trihalomethane concentrati. oCLW

(4) Symons, J.M., "Utilization of Various Treatment Unit Processes and Treatment Modifications for Trihalomethane Control," In: Proceedings 0,000371 Control of Organic Chemical Contaminants in Drinking Water, USEPA, Washington, D.C., In Press.

- 11 -

49) WHAT RESTRICTIONS EXIST ON THE ALTERNATIVE DISINFECTANTS THAT MAY BE USED?

ANSWER: Situations in which ozone, chlorine dioxide, chloramines or other disinfection techniques may be used are at the discretion of the Primacy Agency. The USEPA does suggest, however, that when chlorine dioxide is used, residual oxidants (chlorite, chlorate, chlorine dioxide) should be monitored and kept below a total concentration of 0.5 mg/L. When necessary, USEPA will provide guidance related to analytic methods.

50) DOES BOILING REDUCE THE CONCENTRATION OF TRIHALOMETHANES?

ANSWER: Yes. Although time consuming and energy consuming, boiling for 3 to 5 minutes will drive off most of the trihalomethanes. Note: Simple warming may increase the trihalomethane concentration.

51) ARE HOME TREATMENT DEVICES EFFECTIVE FOR REDUCING THE CONCENTRATIONS OF TRIHALOMETHANES?

ANSWER: Devices that use reverse osmosis (RO) as the treatment principle do not significantly remove trihalomethanes. Devices that use activated carbon (often incorrectly called charcoal) as an adsorbent may be effective, but the adsorptive capacity of some units is limited because the quantity of adsorbent used is too small.

52) WHAT MUST THE UTILITY DO BEFORE IT ALTERS ITS TREATMENT?

ANSWER: Effective November 29, <u>1979</u>, a utility planning to make any significant modifications in treatment to lower trihalomethane concentrations must submit a plan to the Primacy Agency for approval.<sup>\*</sup> The purpose of this plan is to ensure the maintenance of the microbiological quality of the water during treatment modifications. As a minimum, this plan shall cover:

- (1) A sanitary survey of the system,
- (2) An evaluation of existing treatment and the proposed modifications,
- (3) Baseline water quality data. Such data should include the results from monitoring for coliform and fecal coliform bacteria, fecal streptococci, and standard plate count at 35°C and 20°C, in the distribution system,
- (4) Proposed additional monitoring to ensure continued maintenance of optimal microbiological quality in the finished water,
- (5) Discussion of the proposed program with respect to an active disinfectant residual throughout the distribution system during and after any treatment changes.

\*Note: USEPA intends to provide the Primacy States with furth Cle Wance concerning this requirement.

### 53) ARE THE REQUIREMENTS FOR MICROBIOLOGICAL MONITORING CHANGED IF TREATMENT IS CHANGED?

ANSWER: This is the decision of the Primacy Agency, but the Regulation suggests additional monitoring, as appropriate, to "assure continued maintenance of optimal biological [sic] quality in finished water."

### 54) WHAT WILL THE TREATMENT CHANGES COST?

ANSWER: Costs will vary depending on the choice of treatment options. Many utilities have actually saved money by moving the point of chlorination to later in the treatment train because of a reduced chlorine demand. Although chloramines, chlorine dioxide, and ozone are all somewhat ore expensive than chlorine, their use would only add about 1 to 3 cents per 1000 gallons to the cost of producing water. Further, coagulation/settling improvements for precursor removal would also be inexpensive. The USEPA estimates that about 95 percent of those utilities that must change their treatment scheme will be able to employ these low cost solutions. In the rare cases where adsorbents must be used for precursor removal, costs would be higher, possibly 10 to 15 cents per 1000 gallons if granular activated carbon adsorption were used.<sup>(5)</sup> As a national average, considering all possible treatment alternatives, the USEPA has estimated an increase in the annual water bill for a typical family of three to be \$1.40 in systems required to practice trihalomethane control.

### 55) IS MONEY AVAILABLE TO HELP SYSTEMS THAT MUST MAKE TREATMENT CHANGE S?

ANSWER: Not from USEPA. Technical assistance is available from the Primacy State and the USEPA Regional Office, see Question 57. Under certain circumstances, some funds are available from the following groups: Department of Agriculture Soil Conservation Service, Corps of Engineers, Economic Development Administration, Housing and Urban Development, Indian Health Service, Power and Water Resources Service (formerly Bureau of Reclamation), Small Business Administration, Office of Water Resources Research in the Department of the Interior, Department of Labor (CETA Program), Office of Revenue Sharing in the Department of the Treasury, and various Regional Commissions. Operator Training support is available through the Department of Education.

56) WHAT SHOULD A UTILITY DO IF IT CANNOT MEET THE DEADLINE?

ANSWER: Under these circumstances the utility should communicate the problem to the Primacy Agency.

(5) Clark, R.M. and Dorsey, P., "Water Utility Costs for Organics Regulations," <u>Journal American Water Works Association</u>, In Press.

000000373

CL.W

### 57) WHERE CAN I OBTAIN MORE INFORMATION?

ANSWER: See the attached bibliography, or for technical assistance contact your State Regulatory Agency, or your USEPA Regional Water: Supply Representative (names attached), or call or write to Mr. Lowell Vaan Deen Berg, Director, Technical Support Division, Office of Drinking Watter, USEPA, 5555 Ridge Ave., Cincinnati, OH 45268 (513-684-4374) or Mr.. Gordon G. Robeck, Director, Drinking Water Research Division, Office of Research and Development, USEPA, 26 W. St. Clair St., Cincinnati, OH 45268 (513-684-7201). For further information on the Regulaticon call or write Dr. Jos.ph A. Cotruvo, Director, Criteria and St andærds Division, Office of Drinking Water, USEPA, 401 M Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20460 (202-472-5016).

## CL-W 000000374

### QUESTIONS RELATED TO OTHER ORGANIC CONTAMINANTS

58) ARE ANY OTHER ORGANIC CONTAMINANTS IN DRINKING WATER REGULATED?

ANSWER: Yes. Effective June 24, 1978 Maximum Contaminant Levels: for the following six pesticides were established: (6)

Endrin	0.0002 mg/L	Toxaphene	0.005 mg/L
Lindane	0.004 mg/L	2,4-D	0.1 mg/L
Methoxychlor	0.1 mg/L	2,4,5-TP (Silvex)	0.01 mg/L

59) WHAT IS THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN "SYNTHETIC ORGANIC CONTAMINANTS" AND TRIHALOMETHANES?

ANSWER: As most frequently used, the term "synthetic organic conntami nants" refers to man-made pollutants in source waters, while trihalomethmanes:, although synthetic organic contaminants, are treated differently because they are created during the water treatment (disinfectionn) process. Synthetic organic contaminants are frequently toxic or potentially carcinogenic compounds.

60) WHY WAS A MAXIMUM CONTAMINANT LEVEL (MCL) ESTABLISHED FOR TRIHALCO-METHANE CONTROL, BUT A TREATMENT TECHNIQUE, RATHER THAN MCL'S, <u>PFROPOSED</u> FOR CONTROL OF "SYNTHETIC ORGANIC CONTAMINANTS"?

ANSWER: Monitoring for all of the synthetic organic contaminants found in source waters was not technically feasible. Under these circumstances the Safe Drinking Water Act (P.L. 93-523) allows the USEPA to adopt an alternative regulatory approach. Therefore, the USEPA proposed, on February 9, 1978, <sup>(3)</sup> The use of a "broad—spectrum" treatment technique that would, at once, control most synthetic corganmic contaminants at the few locations where organic pollution is judged hazardous.

61) WHAT IS THE STATUS OF THE REGULATION FOR THE CONTROL OF SYNTHETIC: ORGANIC CONTAMINANTS?

ANSWER: Because of the objections raised during the public comment period, it may be reproposed for additional public comment sometime in 1980.

62) WHAT ARE USEPA'S PLANS CONCERNING CHLORINATED SOLVENTS, SUCH AS TRICHLOROETHYLENE, FREQUENTLY FOUND IN GROUND WATERS?

ANSWER: This is a significant, newly recognized problem. Preliminarry surveys have shown that trichloroethylene and related chlorinated solvents are often present in ground waters in significant concerntrations. The USEPA may propose Maximum Contaminant Levels for these compounds in 1980. Most of the compounds discovered thus far can be removed by aeration or adsorption.

- (3) Federal Register, 43, No. 28, 5756-5780 (February 9, 1978).
- (6) <u>Federal Register</u>, 40, No. 248, 59582 59583 (December 24, CPTW-See also EPA 570/9-76-003, USEPA, Washington, D.C.

### 63) WHAT FUTURE REGULATIONS ARE CONTEMPLATED BY THE USEPA?

ANSWER: The National Interim Primary Drinking Water Regulations may be further amended to include Maximum Contaminant Levels (MCL's) for some of the chlorinated solvents, as well as to include the treatment regulation for the control of general synthetic organic chemicals. Further, the USEPA is required by the Safe Drinking Water Act to promulgate National Revised Primary Drinking Water Regulations. These will require a comprehensive review of all Regulations established thus far.

### BIBLIOGRAPHY

All References are from the Journal of the American Water Works As and concern either the subject of trihalomethanes or disinfection.	sccilation
Vol. 71, No. 11, November 1979	· .
TREATMENT OF GROUNDWATER WITH GRANULAR ACTIVATED CARBON Paul R. Wood and Jack DeMarco	6774
Vol. 71, No. 10, October 1979	
ORGANICS REMOVAL BY COAGULATION: A REVIEW AND RESEARCH NEEDS Committee Report	5\$88
Vol. 71, No. 9, September 1979	
TRIHALOMETHANES IN WATER: A REPORT ON THE OCCURRENCE, SEASONAL VARIATION IN CONCENTRATION, AND PRECURSORS OF TRIHALOMETHANES M. D. Arguello, C.D. Chriswell, J.S. Fritz, L.D. Kissinger, K.W. Lee, J.J. Richard and H. J. Svec	5404
A PRELIMINARY SURVEY OF TRIHALOMETHANE LEVELS IN SELECTED EAST TEXAS WATER SUPPLIES William H. Glaze and Richard Rawley	5:09
A ONE-YEAR SURVEY OF CHANGES IN TRIHALOMETHANE CONCENTRATIONS WITHIN A DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM Robert W. Brett and Richard A. Calverley	5-15
REMOVAL OF TRIHALOMETHANE PRECURSORS FROM SURFACE WATERS USING WEAK BASE RESINS John J. Rook and Sheldon Evans	52:0
TRIHALOMETHANE REDUCTION IN OPERATING WATER TREATMENT PLANTS Clarence A. Blanck	5;25
Vol. 71, No. 8, August 1979	
IS YOUR CHLORINE SAFE TO DRINK? Patrick R. Cairo, Ramon G. Lee, Bruce S. Aptowiez and William Blankenship	<b>4</b> +50
Vol. 71, No. 7, July 1979	
PRECISE ANALYSIS OF TRIHALOMETHANES Albert R. Trussell, Mark D. Umphres, Lawrence Y.C. Leong, and R. Rhodes Trussell	3885
ANALYSIS OF TRIHALOMETHNAES IN AQUEOUS SOLUTIONS: A COMPARATIVE STUDY	3889
M. M. Varma, M. R. Siddique, K.T. Doty and Alfred Machis	CLW

000000377

- 17 -

392

118

149

161

87

37

•	
	COMPARISON OF METHOD FOR DETERMINATION OF TRIHALOMETHANES IN DRINKING WATER Ronald C. Dressman, Alan A. Stevens, Jerry Fair and Bradford Smith
	Vol. 71, No. 3, March 1979
	ORGANICS IN DRINKING WATER: A HEALTH PERSPECTIVE George W. Pendygraft, Fred E. Schlegel and Michael J. Huston
	CHLORINATION AND COAGULATION OF HUMIC AND FULVIC ACIDS David S. Babcock and Philip C. Singer
	HALOFORMS IN DRINKING WATER: A STUDY OF PRECURSORS AND PRECURSOR REMOVAL Barry G. Oliver and John Lawrence
	Vol. 71, No. 2, February 1979
	CHLOROFORM FORMATION IN PUBLIC WATER SUPPLIES: A CASE STUDY John S. Young, Jr. and Philip C. Singer
	Vol. 71, No. 1, January 1979
	A STATISTICAL MODEL FOR PREDICTING CHLOROFORM LEVELS IN CHLORINATED SURFACE WATER SUPPLIES Gary S. Moore, Robert W. Tuthill and David W. Polakoff

ŧ /

THE USE OF CHLORAMINE FOR REDUCTION OF TRIHALOMETHANES AND DISINFECTION OF DRINKING WATER 40 Noel V. Brodtmann, Jr. and Peter J. Russon

Vol. 70, No. 11, November 1978

Allen L. Lange and Elizabeth Kawczynski 000	0000378
CONTROLLING ORGANICS: THE CONTRA COSTA COUNTY WATER DISTRICT EXPERIENCE	CLOW
CONTROLLING ORGANICS: THE METROPOLITAN WATER DISTRICT OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EXPERIENCE Robert S. Cohen, Cordelia J. Hwang, and Stuart W. Krasner	647
CONTROLLING ORGANICS: THE LOS ANGELES DEPARTMENT OF WATER AND POWER EXPERIENCE D. G. McBride	644
CONTROLLING ORGANICS: THE EAST BAY MUNICIPAL UTILITY DISTRICT EXPERIENCE Keith E. Carns and Karl B. Stinson	637
MODIFIED COAGULATION FOR IMPROVED REMOVAL OF TRIHALOMETHANE PRECURSORS Michael C. Kavanaugh	613
THE FORMATION OF TRIHALOMETHANES R. Rhodes Trussell and Mark D. Umphres	604

.1.Q.

660

219

405

CONTROLLING ORGANICS: THE CASITAS MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT EXPERIENCE

Richard H. Barnett and Albert R. Trussell

### Vol. 70, No. 6, June 1978

USE OF OZONE AND CHLORINE IN WATER UTILITIES IN THE FEDERAL REPUBLIC 326 OF CERMANY

W. Kühn, H. Sontheimer, L. Steiglitz, D. Maier and R. Kurz

Vol. 70, No. 4, April 1978

DISINFECTION

Committee Report

Vol. 69, No. 12, December 1977

HEALTH EFFECTS OF ORGANICS: RISK AND HAZARD 658 ASSESSMENT OF INGESTED CHLOROFORM R. G. Tardiff

Vol. 69, NO. 10, October 1977

MEASUREMENT OF TRIHALOMETHANE AND PRECURSOR CONCENTRATION CHANGES 546 Alan A. Stevens and James M. Symons

Vol. 69, No. 7, July 1977

CINCINNATI RESEARCH IN ORGANICS Charles M. Bolton

Vol. 69, No. 6, June 1977

STOICHIOMETRIC	RELATIONSHIP	BETWEEN	HUMIC	AND	FULVIC	ACIDS	
AND FLOCCULANT	S						325
Nava Nark	is and Menaher	n Rebhun					

Vol. 69, No. 5, May 1977

CHLORINATION	ADJUSTMENT TO	REDUCE	CHLOROFORM	FORMATION	258
Leland 1	L. Harms and R	obert W.	Looyenga		

MEASUREMENT AND CONTROL OF ORGANIC CONTAMINANTS BY UTILITIES 267 Committee Report

Vol. 69, No. 3, March 1977

DISINFECTION - WHERE ARE WE? George E. Symons and Kenneth W. Henderson 148

Vol. 69, No. 1, January 1977

A RAPID AND SENSITIVE METHOD FOR DETERMINING VOLATILE ORGANOHALIDES 60 IN WATER James P. Mieure

CLW

LIQUID EXTRACTION FOR THE RAPID DETERMINATION OF HALOMETHANES IN WATER 62 John J. Richard and Gregor A. Junk Vol. 68, No. 11, November 1976 CHLORINATION OF ORGANICS IN DRINKING WATER 615 Alan A. Stevens, Clois J. Slocum, Dennis R. Seeger and Gordon G. Robeck Vol. 68, No. 9, September 1976 AGRICULTURAL RUNOFF AS A SOURCE OF HALOMETHANES IN DRINKING WATER 492 Robert L. Morris and Lauren G. Johnson Vol. 68. No. 8., August 1976 ANALYTICAL NOTES - ANALYSIS OF DRINKING WATER FOR HALOFORMS 435 Larry D. Kissinger and James S. Fritz DISCUSSION OF THE NATIONAL ORGANICS RECONNAISSANCE SURVEY 452 Philip C. Singer, Desmond F. Lawler and David B. Babcock Vol. 68, No. 3, March 1976 HALOFORMS IN DRINKING WATER 168 Johannes J. Rook Vol. 67, No. 11, November 1975 NATIONAL ORGANICS RECONNAISSANCE SURVEY FOR HALOGENATED ORGANICS 634 James M. Symons, Thomas A. Bellar, J. Keith Carswell, Jack DeMarco, Kenneth L. Kropp, Gordon G. Robeck, Dennis R. Seeger, Clois J. Slocum, Bradford L. Smith and Alan A. Stevens Vol. 67, No. 4, April 1975 ADVANCES IN CHLORINE-RESIDUAL ANALYSIS 184 James J. Morrow and Robert N. Roop Vol. 67, No. 2, February 1975 REMOVAL OF HUMIC ACID BY COAGULATION AND MICROFLOTATION 88 Francis J. Mangravite Jr., Timothy D. Buzzell, E. Alan Cassell, Egon Matijevic and Gary B. Saxton THE MECHANISM OF FLOCCULATION PROCESSES IN THE PRESENCE OF HUMIC SUBSTANCES 101 N. Narkis and M. Rebhun Vol. 67, NO. 1, January 1975 CURRENT DPD METHODS FOR RESIDUAL HALOGEN COMPOUNDS AND OZONE IN WATER

- 20 -

A. T. Palin

A RAPID SPECIFIC FREE AVAILABLE CHLORINE TEST WITH SYRINGALDAZINE (FACTS) 34 W. J. Cooper, C. A. Sorber and E.P. Meier

Vol. 66, No., 12, December 1974

THE	OCCURRENCE	OF ORGAN	OHALIDES IN	CHLORINA	TED	WATER	703
	T. A. Bel	lar, J.J.	Lichtenberg	g and R.	C. 1	Kroner	

USE OF CHLORINE DIOXIDE TO DISINFECT WATER SUPPLIES 716 Harold W. Augenstein 716

DETERMINING VOLATILE ORGANICS AT MICROGRAM-PER-LITER LEVELS BY GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY 739

T. A. Bellar and J. J. Lichtenberg

CLW

### UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

#### REGIONAL WATER SUPPLY REPRESENTATIVES

### Region I (ME, VT, NH, MA, CT, RI)

Mr. Jerome J. Healey Region I, EPA, Water Supply Branch John F. Kennedy Federal Building Boston, Massachusetts 02203 617-223-6486

### Region II (NY, NJ, PR, VI)

Mr. Harry F. Smith, Jr. Region II, EPA, Water Supply Branch Federal Building 26 Federal Plaza New York, New York 10007 212-264-1800

### Region III (PA, WV, VA, MD, DE, DC)

Mr. Ramon G. Lee Region III, EPA, Water Supply Programs 6th and Walnut Streets Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19106 215-597-8227

### Region IV (KY, TN, NC, SC, GA, AL, MS, FL)

Mr. Gary D. Hutchinson Region IV, EPA, Water Supply Section 345 Courtland Street Atlanta, Georgia 30308 404-257-3781

### Region V (MN, WI, MI, IL, IN, OH)

Mr. Joseph F. Harrison Region V, EPA, Water Supply Section 230 South Dearborn Street Chicago, Illinois 60604 312-353-2650 Region VI (NM, TX, OK, AAR, LA)

Ms Linda M. Tucker Region VI, EPA, Water Soupply Branch 1201 Elm Street, 28th Filoor Dallas, Texas 75270 214-729-2774

### Region VII (MO, KS, NE, IA)

Ms JoAnn Bassi Region VII, EPA, Water 2Supply Branch 324 E. 11th Street Kansas City, Missouri 644106 816-758-5429

### Region VIII (MT, WY, UT, ND, SD, CO)

Mr. Jack W. Hoffbuhr Region VIII, EPA, Water Supply Branch 900 Lincoln Tower Buildting 1860 Lincoln Street Denver, Colorado 80295 303-327-2731

Region IX (CA, NV, AZ IHI, GU, TT)

Mr. William M. Thurston Region IX, EPA, Water Stupply Branch 215 Fremont Avenue San Francisco, Californiia 94105 415-556-8316

### Region X (AL, WA, OR, IID)

Mr. William A. Mullen Region X, EPA, Water Supply Unit 1200 Sixth Avenue Seattle, Washington 981-01 206-399-1223 CLW

### 000000382

?? -

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author wishes to express his sincere appreciation to the 17 USEPA staff members, both in Cincinnati and Washington, who reviewed this material for completeness and accuracy; to his father, Dr. George E. Symons, who edited the manuscript; to the Trustees of the Water Quality Division of AWWA who made several useful suggestions; and to Ms Patricia Pierson and Ms Virginia Maphet and especially to Ms Maura M. Lilly who typed the many drafts and the final manuscript.

### USEPA Reviewers

Mr. Gordon Robeck Mr. Alan Stevens Mr. Walter Feige Dr. Robert Clark Dr. Thomas Love Mr. Benjamin Lykins Mr. Jack DeMarco Dr. John Hoff Mr. Edwin Geldreich Mr. Keith Carswell Dr. Herbert Brass Mr. Lowell Van Den Berg Mr. Leland McCabe Dr. Joseph Cotruvo Mr. Craig Vogt Dr. Chi Wu

### AWWA Reviewers

Dr. Harold Pearson Dr. Edward Singley Mr. Edward Bailey Mr. John Courchene Mr. Alan Hess Mr. Robert McCall

## CLW

Accordingly, Part 141, Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations is hereby amended as follows:

1. By amending § 141.2 to include the following new paragraphs (p) through (t):

### § 141.2 Definitions.

\* \* \*

(p) "Halogen" means one of the chemical elements chlorine, bromine or iodine.

(q) "Trihalomethane" (THM) means one of the family of organic compounds, named as derivatives of methane, wherein three of the for hydrogen atoms in methane are reach substituted by a halogen atom in the molecular structure.

(r) "Total trihalomethanes" (TTHM) means the sum of the concentration in milligrams per liter of the trihalomethane compounds (trichloromethane [chloroform], dibromochloromethane, bromodichloromethane and tribromomethane [bromoiorm]), rounded to two significant figurez.

(s) "Maximum Total Trihalomethane Potential (MTP)" means the maximum concentration of total trihalomethanes produced in a given water containing a disinfectant residual after 7 days at a temperature of 25° C or above.

(t) "Disinfectant" means any oxidant, including but not limited to chlorine, chlorine dioxide, chloramines, and ozone added to water in any part of the treatment or distribution process, that is intended to kill or inactivate pathogenic microorganisms.

2. By revising § 141.5 to read as follows:

#### § 141.5 Effective dates.

(a) Except as provided in paragraph (b) of this section, the regulations set . forth in this part shall take effect on June 24, 1977.

(b) The regulations for total trihalomethanes set forth in § 141.12(c) shall take effect 2 years after the date of promulgation of these regulations for community water systems serving 75,000 or more individuals, and 4 years after the date of promulgation for communities serving 10,000 to 74,999 individuals.

3. By revising the introductory paragraph and adding a new paragraph (c) in § 141.12 to read as follows: § 141.12 Maximum contaminant levels for organic chemicals.

The following are the maximum contaminant levels for organic chemicals. The maximum contaminant levels for organic chemicals in paragraphs (a) and (b) of this section apply to all community water systems. Cor liance with the maximum contaminant levels in paragraphs (a) and (b) is calculated pursuant to § 141.24. The maximum contaminant level for total trihalomethanes in paragraph (c) of this section applies only to community water systems which serve a population of 10,000 or more individuals and which add a disinfectant (oxidant) to the water in any part of the drinking water treatment process. Compliance with the maximum contaminant level for total trihalomethanes is calculated pursuant to § 141.30.

(c) Total trihalomethanes (the sum of the concentrations of bromodichloromethane. dibromochloromethane, tribromomethane (bromoform) and trichloromethane (chloroform)) 0.10 mg/l.

4. By revising the title, the introductory text of paragraph (a) and paragraph (b) of § 141.24 to read as follows:

§ 141.24 Organic chemicals other than total trihakomethanes, sampling and analytical requirements.

(a) An analysis of substances for the . purpose of determining compliance with § 141.12(a) and § 141.12(b) shall be made as follows:

(b) If the result of an analysis made pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section indicates that the level of any contaminant listed in § 141.24 (a) and (b) exceeds the maximum contaminant level, the supplier of water shall report to the State within 7 days and initiate three additional analyses within one month.

5. By adding a new § 141.30 to read as follows:

### § 141.30 Total trihalomethanes sampling, analytical and other requirements.

(a) Community water system which serve a population of 10,000 or more individuals and which add a disinfectant (oxidant) to the water in any part of the drinking water treatment process shall analyze for total trihalomethanes in accordance with this section. For systems serving 75,000 or more individuals, sampling and analyses shall begin not later than 1 year after the date of promulgation of this regulation. For systems serving 10,000 to 74,999

- 24 -

individuals, sampling and analyses shall begin not later than 3 years after the date of promulgation of this regulation. For the purpose of this section, the minimum number of samples required to be taken by the system shall be based on the number of treatment plants used by the system, except that multiple wells drawing raw water from a single aquifer may, with the State approval, be considered one treatment plant for determining the minimum number of samples. All samples taken within an established frequency shall be collected within a 24-hour period.

(b)(1) For all community water systems utilizing surface water sources in whole or in part, and for all community water systems utilizing only ground water sources that have not been determined by the State to qualify for the monitoring requirements of paragraph (c) of this section, analyses for total trihalomethanes shall be performed at quarterly intervals on at least four water samples for each treatment plant used by the system. At- least 25 percent of the samples shall be taken at locations within the distribution system reflecting the maximum residence time of the water in the system. The remaining 75 percent shall be taken at representative locations in the distribution system, taking into account number of persons served, different sources of water and different treatment methods employed. The results of all analyses per quarter shall be arithmetically averaged and reported to the State within 30 days of the system's receipt of such results. Results shall also be reported to EPA until such monitoring requirements have been adopted by the State. All samples collected shall be used in the computation of the average, unless the analytical results are invalidated for technical reasons. Sampling and analyses shall be conducted in accordance with the methods listed in paragraph (e) of this section.

(2) Upon the written request of a conimunity water system the monitoring frequency required by paragraph (b)(1) of this section may be reduced by the State to a minimum of one sample analyzed for TTHMs per quarter taken at a point in the distribution system reflecting the maximum residence time of the water in the system, upon a written determination by the State that the data f...a at least 1 year of monitoring in accordance with paragraph (b)(1) of this section and local conditions demonstrate that total trihalomethane concernition will be consistently below the maximum contaminant level.