
## OPNAV 5216/144A (Rev. 8-81) S/N 0107-LF-052-2320



DATE:

15 June 1983

FROM:

Director, Natural Resources Division

TO:

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD


SUBJ:

COL STOKES, Chief of Staff, MCB, Brief of 14 June 1983

1. On 14 June 1983, Col Stokes was given a general base NREA brief after which a question/answer discussion on the Natural Resources program. Col Marshall, AC/S Facilities, was also present for the briefing. Col Stokes had questions concerning (1) forestry operations particularly possibility of getting logger to remove timber (small trees) currently being left, unsightly; (2) hazardous waste (chemical landfill at Rifle Range Area), waste oil disposal, alligators and red-cockaded woodpeckers.

2. Concerning the red-cockaded woodpecker, Col Stokes instructed Col Marshall and meto discontinue sending woodpecker habitat inspection (violation reports) with action taken requested and photos to involved commands as they were an insult. Instead he instructed that a letter be sent with an action taken repsonse deadline. Col Stokes instructed me to continue to take photographs but file them at NREAD office.

J. I. WOOTEN



DATE: 20 May 1983

FROM: Assistant Chief of Staff, Facilities

TO: Chief of Staff


**SUBJ**: Violation Report #7-83

Sir:

- 1. In response to your questions, the following information is provided. The Department of Interior's 1 February 1979 letter requests that we promptly inform the Asheville Area Office of actions taken regarding violations.
- 2. Division has not responded to our messages stating what they have done in the violation, therefore I have none to show you as an example.
- 3. Because we have not received any, we don't do anything with them. Normally, what we have had to do in the past on at least two occasions where the Fish and Wildlife Service has come in and asked what was done, we have had to go to Division, gather the information at that point in time and then provide it to the Fish and Wildlife Service.
- 4. I had just recently started asking the Division in the violation message for a response in order to preclude scurrying around, trying to fabricate information on past happenings when we could be collecting and storing it at the time of the violation.


Respectfully,

T. MARSHALL



FROM: AC/S FAC 55 To: C/s SUBJ: RCW VIOLATION RPT 7-83 SIR Per epur instruction request permission to release

J & Markell




## HEADQUARTERS, MARINE CORPS BASE CAMP LEJEUNE, NORTH CAROLINA

Date 19 May

To: Chief of Staff, Facilities"

1. Why do we ask Coud to notify us of action tuken? 2. What do we do with mit? 3. Show me an example of Divis response to prediones Reports


A



## **DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY** Memorandum

TOMR Woolen

sur: Julian - Pls put in little no more magi care going to fly.



31 MAY 83

FROM: Ac/s FAC

To: c/s

SUBS: Report 8-83

SIR! PIER YOUR RIEQUEST

REQUEST PIERMISSION TO

RIZLIZASIE.

J 1 Marshell

