DAV10820 S.L.C.

## Resolution of Advice and Consent to Ratification of the New START Treaty

1	Resolved, (two-thirds of the Senators present concur-
2	ring therein), That the Senate advises and consents to the
3	ratification of the Treaty between the United States of
4	America and the Russian Federation on Measures for the
5	Further Reduction and Limitation of Strategic Offensive
6	Arms, signed in Prague on April 8, 2010, with Protocol,
7	including Annex on Inspection Activities to the Protocol,
8	Annex on Notifications to the Protocol, and Annex on Tel-
9	emetric Information to the Protocol, all such documents
10	being integral parts of and collectively referred to in this
11	resolution as the "New START Treaty" (Treaty Docu-
12	ment 111-5), subject to the conditions of subsection (a),
13	the understandings of subsection (b), and the declarations
14	of subsection (c).
15	(a) CONDITIONS.—The advice and consent of the
16	Senate to the ratification of the New START Treaty is
17	subject to the following conditions, which shall be binding
18	upon the President:
19	(1) GENERAL COMPLIANCE.—If the President
20	determines that the Russian Federation is acting or
21	has acted in a manner that is inconsistent with the

1	object and purpose of the New START Treaty, or
2	is in violation of the New START Treaty, so as to
3	threaten the national security interests of the United
4	States, then the President shall—
5	(A) consult with the Senate regarding the
6	implications of such actions for the viability of
7	the New START Treaty and for the national
8	security interests of the United States;
9	(B) seek on an urgent basis a meeting with
10	the Russian Federation at the highest diplo-
11	matic level with the objective of bringing the
12	Russian Federation into full compliance with its
13	obligations under the New START Treaty; and
14	(C) submit a report to the Senate promptly
15	thereafter, detailing—
16	(i) whether adherence to the New
17	START Treaty remains in the national se-
18	curity interests of the United States; and
19	(ii) how the United States will redress
20	the impact of Russian actions on the na-
21	tional security interests of the United
22	States.
23	(2) Presidential certifications and re-
24	PORTS ON NATIONAL TECHNICAL MEANS.—(A) Prior
25	to the entry into force of the New START Treaty,

and annually thereafter, the President shall certify

2 to the Senate that United States National Technical 3 Means, in conjunction with the verification activities 4 provided for in the New START Treaty, are suffi-5 cient to ensure effective monitoring of Russian com-6 pliance with the provisions of the New START 7 Treaty and timely warning of any Russian prepara-8 tion to break out of the limits in Article II of the 9 New START Treaty. Following submission of the 10 first such certification, each subsequent certification 11 shall be accompanied by a report to the Senate indi-12 cating how United States National Technical Means, including collection, processing, and analytic re-13 sources, will be utilized to ensure effective moni-14 15 toring. The first such report shall include a longterm plan for the maintenance of New START 16 17 Treaty monitoring. Each subsequent report shall in-18 clude an update of the long-term plan. Each such re-19 port may be submitted in either classified or unclas-20 sified form. (B) It is the sense of the Senate that moni-21 22 toring Russian Federation compliance with the New START Treaty is a high priority and that the in-23 24 ability to do so would constitute a threat to United 25 States national security interests.

1	(3) REDUCTIONS.—(A) The New START Trea-
2	ty shall not enter into force until instruments of
3	ratification have been exchanged in accordance with
4	Article XIV of the New START Treaty.
5	(B) If, prior to the entry into force of the New
6	START Treaty, the President plans to implement
7	reductions of United States strategic nuclear forces
8	below those currently planned and consistent with
9	the Treaty Between the United States of America
0	and the Russian Federation on Strategic Offensive
1	Reductions, signed at Moscow on May 24, 2002
12	(commonly referred to as "the Moscow Treaty"),
13	then the President shall—
14	(i) consult with the Senate regarding the
15	effect of such reductions on the national secu-
16	rity of the United States; and
17	(ii) take no such reductions until the Presi-
18	dent submits to the Senate the President's de-
19	termination that such reductions are in the na-
20	tional security interest of the United States.
21	(4) Timely warning of Breakout.—If the
22	President determines, after consultation with the Di-
23	rector of National Intelligence, that the Russian
24	Federation intends to break out of the limits in Arti-
25	cle II of the New START Treaty, the President

1	shall immediately inform the Committees on Foreign
2	Relations and Armed Services of the Senate, with a
3	view to determining whether circumstances exist
4	that jeopardize the supreme interests of the United
5	States, such that withdrawal from the New START
6	Treaty may be warranted pursuant to paragraph 3
7	of Article XIV of the New START Treaty.
8	(5) United states missile defense test
9	TELEMETRY.—Prior to entry into force of the New
10	START Treaty, the President shall certify to the
11	Senate that the New START Treaty does not re-
12	quire, at any point during which it will be in force,
13	the United States to provide to the Russian Federa-
14	tion telemetric information under Article IX of the
15	New START Treaty, Part Seven of the Protocol,
16	and the Annex on Telemetric Information to the
17	Protocol for the launch of—
18	(A) any missile defense interceptor, as de-
19	fined in paragraph 44 of Part One of the Pro-
20	tocol to the New START Treaty;
21	(B) any satellite launches, missile defense
22	sensor targets, and missile defense intercept
23	targets, the launch of which uses the first stage
24	of an existing type of United States ICBM or

I	SLIBM listed in paragraph 8 of Article III of
2	the New START Treaty; or
3	(C) any missile described in clause (a) of
4	paragraph 7 of Article III of the New START
5	Treaty.
6	(6) CONVENTIONAL PROMPT GLOBAL STRIKE.—
7	(A) The Senate calls on the executive branch to clar-
8	ify its planning and intent in developing future con-
9	ventionally armed, strategic-range weapon systems.
0	To this end, prior to the entry into force of the New
1	START Treaty, the President shall provide a report
12	to the Committees on Armed Services and Foreign
13	Relations of the Senate containing the following:
l4	(i) A list of all conventionally armed, stra-
15	tegic-range weapon systems that are currently
16	under development.
17	(ii) An analysis of the expected capabilities
18	of each system listed under clause (i).
19	(iii) A statement with respect to each sys-
20	tem listed under clause (i) as to whether any of
21	the limits in Article II of the New START
22	Treaty apply to such system.
23	(iv) An assessment of the costs, risks, and
24	benefits of each system.

1	(v) A discussion of alternative deployment
2	options and scenarios for each system.
3	(vi) A summary of the measures that could
4	help to distinguish each system listed under
5	clause (i) from nuclear systems and reduce the
6	risks of misinterpretation and of a resulting
7	claim that such systems might alter strategic
8	stability.
9	(B) The report under subparagraph (A) may be
10	supplemented by a classified annex.
11	(C) If, at any time after the New START Trea-
12	ty enters into force, the President determines that
13	deployment of conventional warheads on ICBMs or
14	SLBMs is required at levels that cannot be accom-
15	modated within the limits in Article II of the New
16	START Treaty while sustaining a robust United
17	States nuclear triad, then the President shall imme-
18	diately consult with the Senate regarding the rea-
19	sons for such determination.
20	(7) United states telemetric informa-
21	TION.—In implementing Article IX of the New
22	START Treaty, Part Seven of the Protocol, and the
23	Annex on Telemetric Information to the Protocol,
24	prior to agreeing to provide to the Russian Federa-
25	tion any amount of telemetric information on a

	United States test launch of a conventionally armed
2	prompt global strike system, the President shall cer-
3	tify to the Committees on Foreign Relations and
4	Armed Services of the Senate that—
5	(A) the provision of United States tele-
6	metric information—
7	(i) consists of data that demonstrate
8	that such system is not subject to the lim-
9	its in Article II of the New START Trea-
10	ty; or
11	(ii) would be provided in exchange for
12	significant telemetric information regard-
13	ing a weapon system not listed in para-
14	graph 8 of Article III of the New START
15	Treaty, or a system not deployed by the
16	Russian Federation prior to December 5,
17	2009;
18	(B) it is in the national security interest of
19	the United States to provide such telemetric in-
20	formation; and
21	(C) provision of such telemetric informa-
22	tion will not undermine the effectiveness of such
23	system.
24	(8) BILATERAL CONSULTATIVE COMMISSION.—
25	Not later than 15 days before any meeting of the

1	Bilateral Consultative Commission to consider a pro-
2	posal for additional measures to improve the viabil-
3	ity or effectiveness of the New START Treaty or to
4	resolve a question related to the applicability of pro-
5	visions of the New START Treaty to a new kind of
6	strategic offensive arm, the President shall consult
7	with the Chairman and ranking minority member of
8	the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate
9	with regard to whether the proposal, if adopted,
10	would constitute an amendment to the New START
11	Treaty requiring the advice and consent of the Sen-
12	ate, as set forth in Article II, section 2, clause 2 of
13	the Constitution of the United States.
14	(9) United states commitments ensuring
15	THE SAFETY, RELIABILITY, AND PERFORMANCE OF
16	ITS NUCLEAR FORCES.—
17	(A) The United States is committed to en-
18	suring the safety, reliability, and performance
19	of its nuclear forces. It is the sense of the Sen-
20	ate that—
21	(i) the United States is committed to
22	proceeding with a robust stockpile steward-
23	ship program, and to maintaining and
24	modernizing the nuclear weapons produc-
25	tion capabilities and capacities, that will

1	ensure the safety, reliability, and perform-
2	ance of the United States nuclear arsenal
3	at the New START Treaty levels and meet
4	requirements for hedging against possible
5	international developments or technical
6	problems, in conformance with United
7	States policies and to underpin deterrence;
8	(ii) to that end, the United States is
9	committed to maintaining United States
10	nuclear weapons laboratories and pre-
11	serving the core nuclear weapons com-
12	petencies therein; and
13	(iii) the United States is committed to
14	providing the resources needed to achieve
15	these objectives, at a minimum at the lev-
16	els set forth in the President's 10-year
17	plan provided to the Congress pursuant to
18	section 1251 of the National Defense Au-
19	thorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (Pub-
20	lic Law 111–84).
21	(B) If appropriations are enacted that fail
22	to meet the resource requirements set forth in
23	the President's 10-year plan, or if at any time
24	more resources are required than estimated in
25	the President's 10-year plan, the President

DAV10820 S.L.C.

1	shall submit to Congress, within 60 days of
2	such enactment or the identification of the re
3	quirement for such additional resources, as ap
4	propriate, a report detailing—
5	(i) how the President proposes to rem
6	edy the resource shortfall;
7	(ii) if additional resources are re
8	quired, the proposed level of funding re
9	quired and an identification of the stock
10	pile work, campaign, facility, site, asset
11	program, operation, activity, construction
12	or project for which additional funds are
13	required;
14	(iii) the impact of the resource short
15	fall on the safety, reliability, and perform
16	ance of United States nuclear forces; and
17	(iv) whether and why, in the changed
18	circumstances brought about by the re
19	source shortfall, it remains in the national
20	interest of the United States to remain a
21	Party to the New START Treaty.
22	(10) Annual Report.—As full and faithfu
23	implementation is key to realizing the benefits of the
24	New START Treaty, the President shall submit a
25	report to the Committees on Foreign Relations and

Ţ	Armed Services of the Senate not later than Janu-
2	ary 31 of each year beginning with January 31
3	2012, which will provide—
4	(A) details on each Party's reductions in
5	strategic offensive arms between the date the
6	New START Treaty entered into force and De-
7	cember 31, 2011, or, in subsequent reports
8	during the previous year;
9	(B) a certification that the Russian Fed-
10	eration is in compliance with the terms of the
11	New START Treaty, or a detailed discussion of
12	any noncompliance by the Russian Federation
13	(C) a certification that any conversion and
14	elimination procedures adopted pursuant to Ar-
15	ticle VI of the New START Treaty and Part
16	Three of the Protocol have not resulted in am-
17	biguities that could defeat the object and pur-
18	pose of the New START Treaty, or-
19	(i) a list of any cases in which a con-
20	version or elimination procedure that has
21	been demonstrated by Russia within the
22	framework of the Bilateral Consultative
23	Commission remains ambiguous or does
24	not achieve the goals set forth in para-

1	graph 2 or 3 of Section 1 of Part Three of
2	the Protocol; and
3	(ii) a comprehensive explanation of
4	steps the United States has taken with re-
5	spect to each such case;
6	(D) an assessment of the operation of the
7	New START Treaty's transparency mecha-
8	nisms, including—
9	(i) the extent to which either Party
10	encrypted or otherwise impeded the collec-
11	tion of telemetric information; and
12	(ii) the extent and usefulness of ex-
13	changes of telemetric information; and
14	(E) an assessment of whether a strategic
15	imbalance exists that endangers the national se-
16	curity interests of the United States.
17	(b) Understandings.—The advice and consent of
18	the Senate to the ratification of the New START Treaty
19	is subject to the following understandings, which shall be
20	included in the instrument of ratification:
21	(1) Missile defense.—It is the under-
22	standing of the United States that—
23	(A) the New START Treaty does not im-
24	pose any limitations on the deployment of mis-
25	sile defenses other than the requirements of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

paragraph 3 of Article V of the New START Treaty, which states, "Each Party shall not convert and shall not use ICBM launchers and SLBM launchers for placement of missile defense interceptors therein. Each Party further shall not convert and shall not use launchers of missile defense interceptors for placement of ICBMs and SLBMs therein. This provision shall not apply to ICBM launchers that were converted prior to signature of this Treaty for placement of missile defense interceptors therein."; (B) any additional New START Treaty limitations on the deployment of missile defenses beyond those contained in paragraph 3 of Article V, including any limitations agreed under the auspices of the Bilateral Consultative Commission, would require an amendment to the New START Treaty which may enter into force for the United States only with the advice and consent of the Senate, as set forth in Article II, section 2, clause 2 of the Constitution of the United States; and (C) the April 7, 2010, unilateral statement

by the Russian Federation on missile defense

standing of the United States that—  (A) any rail-mobile-launched ballistic missile with a range in excess of 5,500 kilometers would be an ICBM, as the term is defined in paragraph 37 of Part One of the Protocol (in the English-language numbering), for the purposes of the New START Treaty, specifically including the limits in Article II of the New START Treaty;  (B) an erector-launcher mechanism for launching an ICBM and the railear or flatcar on which it is mounted would be an ICBM launcher, as the term is defined in paragraph 28 of Part One of the Protocol (in the English-language numbering), for the purposes of the New START Treaty, specifically including the limits in Article II of the New START Treaty;  (C) if either Party should produce a rail-mobile ICBM system, the Bilateral Consultative Commission would address the application of other parts of the New START Treaty to that	1	does not impose a legal obligation on the
standing of the United States that—  (A) any rail-mobile-launched ballistic missile with a range in excess of 5,500 kilometers would be an ICBM, as the term is defined in paragraph 37 of Part One of the Protocol (in the English-language numbering), for the purposes of the New START Treaty, specifically including the limits in Article II of the New START Treaty;  (B) an erector-launcher mechanism for launching an ICBM and the railcar or flatcar on which it is mounted would be an ICBM launcher, as the term is defined in paragraph 28 of Part One of the Protocol (in the English-language numbering), for the purposes of the New START Treaty, specifically including the limits in Article II of the New START Treaty;  (C) if either Party should produce a rail-mobile ICBM system, the Bilateral Consultative Commission would address the application of other parts of the New START Treaty to that	2	United States.
(A) any rail-mobile-launched ballistic missile with a range in excess of 5,500 kilometers would be an ICBM, as the term is defined in paragraph 37 of Part One of the Protocol (in the English-language numbering), for the purposes of the New START Treaty, specifically including the limits in Article II of the New START Treaty;  (B) an erector-launcher mechanism for launching an ICBM and the railcar or flatcar on which it is mounted would be an ICBM launcher, as the term is defined in paragraph 28 of Part One of the Protocol (in the English-language numbering), for the purposes of the New START Treaty, specifically including the limits in Article II of the New START Treaty;  (C) if either Party should produce a rail-mobile ICBM system, the Bilateral Consultative Commission would address the application of other parts of the New START Treaty to that	3	(2) Rail-mobile icbms.—It is the under-
sile with a range in excess of 5,500 kilometers would be an ICBM, as the term is defined in paragraph 37 of Part One of the Protocol (in the English-language numbering), for the pur- poses of the New START Treaty, specifically including the limits in Article II of the New START Treaty;  (B) an erector-launcher mechanism for launching an ICBM and the railcar or flatcar on which it is mounted would be an ICBM launcher, as the term is defined in paragraph 28 of Part One of the Protocol (in the English- language numbering), for the purposes of the New START Treaty, specifically including the limits in Article II of the New START Treaty;  (C) if either Party should produce a rail- mobile ICBM system, the Bilateral Consultative Commission would address the application of other parts of the New START Treaty to that	4	standing of the United States that—
would be an ICBM, as the term is defined in paragraph 37 of Part One of the Protocol (in the English-language numbering), for the purposes of the New START Treaty, specifically including the limits in Article II of the New START Treaty;  (B) an erector-launcher mechanism for launching an ICBM and the railear or flatear on which it is mounted would be an ICBM launcher, as the term is defined in paragraph 28 of Part One of the Protocol (in the English-language numbering), for the purposes of the New START Treaty, specifically including the limits in Article II of the New START Treaty;  (C) if either Party should produce a rail-mobile ICBM system, the Bilateral Consultative Commission would address the application of other parts of the New START Treaty to that	5	(A) any rail-mobile-launched ballistic mis-
paragraph 37 of Part One of the Protocol (in the English-language numbering), for the purposes of the New START Treaty, specifically including the limits in Article II of the New START Treaty;  (B) an erector-launcher mechanism for launching an ICBM and the railcar or flatcar on which it is mounted would be an ICBM launcher, as the term is defined in paragraph 28 of Part One of the Protocol (in the English-language numbering), for the purposes of the New START Treaty, specifically including the limits in Article II of the New START Treaty;  (C) if either Party should produce a rail-mobile ICBM system, the Bilateral Consultative Commission would address the application of other parts of the New START Treaty to that	6	sile with a range in excess of 5,500 kilometers
the English-language numbering), for the purposes of the New START Treaty, specifically including the limits in Article II of the New START Treaty;  (B) an erector-launcher mechanism for launching an ICBM and the railcar or flatcar on which it is mounted would be an ICBM launcher, as the term is defined in paragraph 28 of Part One of the Protocol (in the English-language numbering), for the purposes of the New START Treaty, specifically including the limits in Article II of the New START Treaty;  (C) if either Party should produce a rail-mobile ICBM system, the Bilateral Consultative Commission would address the application of other parts of the New START Treaty to that	7	would be an ICBM, as the term is defined in
poses of the New START Treaty, specifically including the limits in Article II of the New START Treaty;  (B) an erector-launcher mechanism for launching an ICBM and the railcar or flatcar on which it is mounted would be an ICBM launcher, as the term is defined in paragraph 28 of Part One of the Protocol (in the English-language numbering), for the purposes of the New START Treaty, specifically including the limits in Article II of the New START Treaty;  (C) if either Party should produce a rail-mobile ICBM system, the Bilateral Consultative Commission would address the application of other parts of the New START Treaty to that	8	paragraph 37 of Part One of the Protocol (in
including the limits in Article II of the New START Treaty;  (B) an erector-launcher mechanism for launching an ICBM and the railcar or flatcar on which it is mounted would be an ICBM launcher, as the term is defined in paragraph 28 of Part One of the Protocol (in the English-language numbering), for the purposes of the New START Treaty, specifically including the limits in Article II of the New START Treaty;  (C) if either Party should produce a rail-mobile ICBM system, the Bilateral Consultative Commission would address the application of other parts of the New START Treaty to that	9	the English-language numbering), for the pur-
12 START Treaty;  (B) an erector-launcher mechanism for 14 launching an ICBM and the railcar or flatcar 15 on which it is mounted would be an ICBM 16 launcher, as the term is defined in paragraph 17 28 of Part One of the Protocol (in the English- 18 language numbering), for the purposes of the 19 New START Treaty, specifically including the 20 limits in Article II of the New START Treaty; 21 (C) if either Party should produce a rail- 22 mobile ICBM system, the Bilateral Consultative 23 Commission would address the application of 24 other parts of the New START Treaty to that	10	poses of the New START Treaty, specifically
13 (B) an erector-launcher mechanism for 14 launching an ICBM and the railcar or flatcar 15 on which it is mounted would be an ICBM 16 launcher, as the term is defined in paragraph 17 28 of Part One of the Protocol (in the English- 18 language numbering), for the purposes of the 19 New START Treaty, specifically including the 20 limits in Article II of the New START Treaty; 21 (C) if either Party should produce a rail- 22 mobile ICBM system, the Bilateral Consultative 23 Commission would address the application of 24 other parts of the New START Treaty to that	11	including the limits in Article II of the New
launching an ICBM and the railcar or flatear on which it is mounted would be an ICBM launcher, as the term is defined in paragraph 28 of Part One of the Protocol (in the English- language numbering), for the purposes of the New START Treaty, specifically including the limits in Article II of the New START Treaty; (C) if either Party should produce a rail- mobile ICBM system, the Bilateral Consultative Commission would address the application of other parts of the New START Treaty to that	12	START Treaty;
on which it is mounted would be an ICBM launcher, as the term is defined in paragraph 28 of Part One of the Protocol (in the English-language numbering), for the purposes of the New START Treaty, specifically including the limits in Article II of the New START Treaty;  (C) if either Party should produce a rail-mobile ICBM system, the Bilateral Consultative Commission would address the application of other parts of the New START Treaty to that	13	(B) an erector-launcher mechanism for
launcher, as the term is defined in paragraph 28 of Part One of the Protocol (in the English- language numbering), for the purposes of the New START Treaty, specifically including the limits in Article II of the New START Treaty; (C) if either Party should produce a rail- mobile ICBM system, the Bilateral Consultative Commission would address the application of other parts of the New START Treaty to that	14	launching an ICBM and the railcar or flatcar
17 28 of Part One of the Protocol (in the English- 18 language numbering), for the purposes of the 19 New START Treaty, specifically including the 20 limits in Article II of the New START Treaty; 21 (C) if either Party should produce a rail- 22 mobile ICBM system, the Bilateral Consultative 23 Commission would address the application of 24 other parts of the New START Treaty to that	15	on which it is mounted would be an ICBM
language numbering), for the purposes of the New START Treaty, specifically including the limits in Article II of the New START Treaty; (C) if either Party should produce a rail- mobile ICBM system, the Bilateral Consultative Commission would address the application of other parts of the New START Treaty to that	16	launcher, as the term is defined in paragraph
New START Treaty, specifically including the limits in Article II of the New START Treaty;  (C) if either Party should produce a rail-mobile ICBM system, the Bilateral Consultative Commission would address the application of other parts of the New START Treaty to that	17	28 of Part One of the Protocol (in the English-
limits in Article II of the New START Treaty;  (C) if either Party should produce a rail- mobile ICBM system, the Bilateral Consultative Commission would address the application of other parts of the New START Treaty to that	18	language numbering), for the purposes of the
(C) if either Party should produce a rail- mobile ICBM system, the Bilateral Consultative Commission would address the application of other parts of the New START Treaty to that	19	New START Treaty, specifically including the
22 mobile ICBM system, the Bilateral Consultative 23 Commission would address the application of 24 other parts of the New START Treaty to that	20	limits in Article II of the New START Treaty;
Commission would address the application of other parts of the New START Treaty to that	21	(C) if either Party should produce a rail-
other parts of the New START Treaty to that	22	mobile ICBM system, the Bilateral Consultative
	23	Commission would address the application of
system, including Articles III, IV, VI, VII, and	24	other parts of the New START Treaty to that
	25	system, including Articles III, IV, VI, VII, and

1	XI of the New START Treaty and relevant
2	portions of the Protocol and the Annexes to the
3	Protocol; and
4	(D) an agreement reached pursuant to
5	subparagraph (C) is subject to the requirements
6	of Article XV of the New START Treaty and,
7	specifically, if an agreement pursuant to sub-
8	paragraph (C) creates substantive rights or ob-
9	ligations that differ significantly from those in
10	the New START Treaty regarding a "mobile
11	launcher of ICBMs" as defined in Part One of
12	the Protocol to the New START Treaty, such
13	agreement will be considered an amendment to
14	the New START Treaty pursuant to Paragraph
15	1 of Article XV of the New START Treaty and
16	will be submitted to the Senate for its advice
17	and consent to ratification.
18	(3) Strategic-range, non-nuclear weapon
19	SYSTEMS.—It is the understanding of the United
20	States that—
21	(A) future, strategic-range non-nuclear
22	weapon systems that do not otherwise meet the
23	definitions of the New START Treaty will not
24	be "new kinds of strategic offensive arms" sub-
25	ject to the New START Treaty;

1	(B) nothing in the New START Treaty re-
2	stricts United States research, development,
3	testing, and evaluation of strategic-range, non-
4	nuclear weapons, including any weapon that is
5	capable of boosted aerodynamic flight;
6	(C) nothing in the New START Treaty
7	prohibits deployments of strategic-range non-
8	nuclear weapon systems; and
9	(D) the addition to the New START Trea-
10	ty of—
11	(i) any limitations on United States
12	research, development, testing, and evalua-
13	tion of strategic-range, non-nuclear weapon
14	systems, including any weapon that is ca-
15	pable of boosted aerodynamic flight; or
16	(ii) any prohibition on the deployment
17	of such systems, including any such limita-
18	tions or prohibitions agreed under the aus-
19	pices of the Bilateral Consultative Commis-
20	sion,
21	would require an amendment to the New
22	START Treaty which may enter into force for
23	the United States only with the advice and con-
24	sent of the Senate, as set forth in Article II,

1	section 2, clause 2 of the Constitution of the
2	United States.
3	(c) Declarations.—The advice and consent of the
4	Senate to the ratification of the New START Treaty is
5	subject to the following declarations, which express the in-
6	tent of the Senate:
7	(1) Missile Defense.—(A) It is the sense of
8	the Senate that—
9	(i) pursuant to the National Missile De-
10	fense Act of 1999 (Public Law 106-38), it is
11	the policy of the United States "to deploy as
12	soon as is technologically possible an effective
13	National Missile Defense system capable of de-
14	fending the territory of the United States
15	against limited ballistic missile attack (whether
16	accidental, unauthorized, or deliberate)";
17	(ii) defenses against ballistic missiles are
18	essential for new deterrent strategies and for
19	new strategies should deterrence fail; and
20	(iii) further limitations on the missile de-
21	fense capabilities of the United States are not
22	in the national security interest of the United
23	States.
24	(B) The New START Treaty and the April 7,
25	2010, unilateral statement of the Russian Federa-

1 tion on missile defense do not limit in any way, and 2 shall not be interpreted as limiting, activities that the United States Government currently plans or 3 4 that might be required over the duration of the New 5 START Treaty to protect the United States pursu-6 ant to the National Missile Defense Act of 1999, or 7 to protect United States Armed Forces and United 8 States allies from limited ballistic missile attack, in-9 cluding further planned enhancements to the 10 Ground-based Midcourse Defense system and all 11 phases of the Phased Adaptive Approach to missile 12 defense in Europe. 13 (C) Given its concern about missile defense 14 issues, the Senate expects the executive branch to 15 offer regular briefings, not less than twice each year, 16 to the Committees on Foreign Relations and Armed Services of the Senate on all missile defense issues 17 18 related to the New START Treaty and on the 19 progress of United States-Russia dialogue and co-20 operation regarding missile defense. (2) Defending the united states and al-21 LIES AGAINST STRATEGIC ATTACK.—It is the sense 22 23 of the Senate that— 24 (A) a paramount obligation of the United States Government is to provide for the defense 25

1	of the American people, deployed members o
2	the United States Armed Forces, and United
3	States allies against nuclear attacks to the bes
4	of its ability;
5	(B) policies based on "mutual assured de
6	struction" or intentional vulnerability can be
7	contrary to the safety and security of both
8	countries, and the United States and the Rus
9	sian Federation share a common interest in
10	moving cooperatively as soon as possible away
11	from a strategic relationship based on mutua
12	assured destruction;
13	(C) in a world where biological, chemical
14	and nuclear weapons and the means to delive
15	them are proliferating, strategic stability can be
16	enhanced by strategic defensive measures;
17	(D) accordingly, the United States is and
18	will remain free to reduce the vulnerability to
19	attack by constructing a layered missile defense
20	system capable of countering missiles of al
21	ranges;
22	(E) the United States will welcome steps
23	by the Russian Federation also to adopt a fun
24	damentally defensive strategic posture that no
25	longer views robust strategic defensive capabili

1	ties as undermining the overall strategic bal-
2	ance, and stands ready to cooperate with the
3	Russian Federation on strategic defensive capa-
4	bilities, as long as such cooperation is aimed at
5	fostering and in no way constrains the defensive
6	capabilities of both sides; and
7	(F) the United States is committed to im-
8	proving United States strategic defensive capa-
9	bilities both quantitatively and qualitatively
10	during the period that the New START Treaty
11	is in effect, and such improvements are con-
12	sistent with the Treaty.
13	(3) Conventionally armed, strategic-
14	RANGE WEAPON SYSTEMS.—Consistent with state-
15	ments made by the United States that such systems
16	are not intended to affect strategic stability with re-
17	spect to the Russian Federation, the Senate finds
18	that conventionally armed, strategic-range weapon
19	systems not co-located with nuclear-armed systems
20	do not affect strategic stability between the United
21	States and the Russian Federation.
22	(4) Nunn-Lugar cooperative threat re-
23	DUCTION.—It is the sense of the Senate that the
24	Nunn-Lugar Cooperative Threat Reduction (CTR)
25	Program has made an invaluable contribution to the

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

	22
1	security and elimination of weapons of mass destruc-
2	tion, including nuclear weapons and materials in
3	Russia and elsewhere, and that the President should
4	continue the global CTR Program and CTR assist-
5	ance to Russia, including for the purpose of facili-
6	tating implementation of the New START Treaty.
7	(5) Asymmetry in reductions.—It is the
8	sense of the Senate that, in conducting the reduc-
9	tions mandated by the New START Treaty, the
10	President should regulate reductions in United
11	States strategic offensive arms so that the number
12	of accountable strategic offensive arms under the

of the United States.

(6) COMPLIANCE.—(A) The New START Treaty will remain in the interests of the United States only to the extent that the Russian Federation is in strict compliance with its obligations under the New

New START Treaty possessed by the Russian Fed-

eration in no case exceeds the comparable number of

accountable strategic offensive arms possessed by

the United States to such an extent that a strategic

imbalance endangers the national security interests

23 START Treaty.

(B) Given its concern about compliance issues, the Senate expects the executive branch to offer reg-

1 ular briefings, not less than four times each year, to 2 the Committees on Foreign Relations and Armed 3 Services of the Senate on compliance issues related 4 to the New START Treaty. Such briefings shall in-5 clude a description of all United States efforts in 6 United States-Russian diplomatic channels and bi-7 lateral fora to resolve any compliance issues and 8 shall include, but would not necessarily be limited to, 9 a description of— 10 (i) any compliance issues the United States 11 plans to raise with the Russian Federation at the Bilateral Consultative Commission, in ad-12 13 vance of such meetings; and 14 (ii) any compliance issues raised at the Bi-15 lateral Consultative Commission, within thirty 16 days of such meetings. 17 (7) EXPANSION OF STRATEGIC ARSENALS IN 18 COUNTRIES OTHER THAN RUSSIA.—It is the sense of 19 the Senate that if, during the time the New START 20 Treaty remains in force, the President determines 21 that there has been an expansion of the strategic ar-22 senal of any country not party to the New START 23 Treaty so as to jeopardize the supreme interests of 24 the United States, then the President should consult 25 on an urgent basis with the Senate to determine

whether adherence to the New START Treaty re-1 2 mains in the national interest of the United States. 3 (8) Treaty interpretation.—The Senate af-4 firms the applicability to all treaties of the constitu-5 tionally based principles of treaty interpretation set 6 forth in condition (1) of the resolution of advice and 7 consent to the ratification of the Treaty Between the United States of America and the Union of Soviet 8 9 Socialist Republics on the Elimination of Their In-10 termediate-Range and Shorter Range Missiles, to-11 gether with the related memorandum of understanding and protocols (commonly referred to as the 12 "INF Treaty"), approved by the Senate on May 27, 13 14 1988, and condition (8) of the resolution of advice 15 and consent to the ratification of the Document 16 Agreed Among the States Parties to the Treaty on 17 Conventional Armed Forces in Europe (CFE) of No-18 vember 19, 1990 (commonly referred to as the "CFE Flank Document"), approved by the Senate 19 20 on May 14, 1997. 21 (9) Treaty modification or reinterpreta-22 TION.—The Senate declares that any agreement or 23 understanding which in any material way modifies, 24 amends, or reinterprets United States or Russian obligations under the New START Treaty, including 25

the time frame for implementation of the New START Treaty, should be submitted to the Senate for its advice and consent to ratification.

(10) CONSULTATIONS.—Given the continuing

- interest of the Senate in the New START Treaty and in strategic offensive reductions to the lowest possible levels consistent with national security requirements and alliance obligations of the United States, the Senate expects the President to consult with the Senate prior to taking actions relevant to paragraphs 2 or 3 of Article XIV of the New START Treaty.
- (11) Tactical Nuclear Weapons.—(A) The Senate calls upon the President to pursue, following consultation with allies, an agreement with the Russian Federation that would address the disparity between the tactical nuclear weapons stockpiles of the Russian Federation and of the United States and would secure and reduce tactical nuclear weapons in a verifiable manner.
- (B) Recognizing the difficulty the United States has faced in ascertaining with confidence the number of tactical nuclear weapons maintained by the Russian Federation and the security of those weap-

S.L.C.

1	ons, the Senate urges the President to engage the
2	Russian Federation with the objectives of—
3	(i) establishing cooperative measures to
4	give each Party to the New START Treaty im-
5	proved confidence regarding the accurate ac-
6	counting and security of tactical nuclear weap-
7	ons maintained by the other Party; and
8	(ii) providing United States or other inter-
9	national assistance to help the Russian Federa-
10	tion ensure the accurate accounting and secu-
11	rity of its tactical nuclear weapons.
12	(12) Further strategic arms reduc-
13	TIONS.—(A) Recognizing the obligation under Arti-
14	cle VI of the Treaty on the Non-Proliferation of Nu-
15	clear Weapons, done at Washington, London, and
16	Moscow on July 1, 1968, "to pursue negotiations in
17	good faith on effective measures relating to cessation
18	of the nuclear arms race at any early date and to
19	nuclear disarmament and on a treaty on general and
20	complete disarmament under strict and effective
21	international control," and in anticipation of the
22	ratification and entry into force of the New START
23	Treaty, the Senate calls upon the other nuclear
24	weapon states to give careful and early consideration

DAV10820 S.L.C.

to corresponding reductions of their own nuclear ar senals.

(B) The Senate declares that further arms reduction agreements obligating the United States to reduce or limit the Armed Forces or armaments of the United States in any militarily significant manner may be made only pursuant to the treaty-making power of the President as set forth in Article II, section 2, clause 2 of the Constitution of the United States.

(13) Modernization and replacement of United States strategic delivery vehicles.— In accordance with paragraph 1 of Article V of the New START Treaty, which states that, "Subject to the provisions of this Treaty, modernization and replacement of strategic offensive arms may be carried out," it is the sense of the Senate that United States deterrence and flexibility is assured by a robust triad of strategic delivery vehicles. To this end, the United States is committed to accomplishing the modernization and replacement of its strategic nuclear delivery vehicles, and to ensuring the continued flexibility of United States conventional and nuclear delivery systems.