

**Calendar No. 98**

111TH CONGRESS }  
*1st Session* }

SENATE

{ REPORT  
111-38

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR, ENVIRONMENT, AND  
RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS BILL, 2010

JULY 7, 2009.—Ordered to be printed

Mrs. FEINSTEIN, from the Committee on Appropriations,  
submitted the following

**REPORT**

[To accompany H.R. 2996]

The Committee on Appropriations to which was referred the bill (H.R. 2996) making appropriations for the Department of the Interior, environment, and related agencies for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2010, and for other purposes, reports the same to the Senate with an amendment and recommends that the bill as amended do pass.

*Total obligational authority, fiscal year 2010*

Total of bill as reported to the Senate .....	\$32,154,084,000
Amount of 2009 appropriations (including emergency appropriations) .....	38,540,958,000
Amount of 2010 budget estimate .....	32,382,043,000
Amount of 2010 House allowance .....	32,353,850,000
Bill as recommended to Senate compared to—	
2009 appropriations (including emergency appropriations) .....	–6,386,874,000
2010 budget estimate .....	–227,959,000
2010 House allowance .....	–199,216,000

## CONTENTS

---

	Page
Summary of Bill .....	4
Revenue Generated by Agencies in Bill .....	4
Major Changes Recommended in the Bill .....	5
Reprogramming Guidelines .....	6
Title I:	
Department of the Interior:	
Land and Water Resources: Bureau of Land Management .....	9
Fish and Wildlife and Parks:	
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service .....	16
National Park Service .....	25
Energy and Minerals:	
U.S. Geological Survey .....	33
Minerals Management Service .....	35
Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement .....	36
Indian Affairs: Bureau of Indian Affairs .....	38
Departmental Offices:	
Insular Affairs .....	42
Office of the Solicitor .....	44
Office of Inspector General .....	44
Office of Special Trustee for American Indians .....	44
Department-wide Programs:	
Wildland Fire Management .....	45
Central Hazardous Materials Fund .....	46
Natural Resource Damage Assessment and Restoration .....	46
Title II:	
Environmental Protection Agency:	
Science and Technology .....	51
Environmental Programs and Management .....	52
Office of Inspector General .....	55
Buildings and Facilities .....	55
Hazardous Substance Superfund .....	55
Leaking Underground Storage Tank Trust Fund .....	56
Oil Spill Response .....	58
State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	58
Administrative Provisions .....	63
Title III:	
Related Agencies:	
Department of Agriculture: Forest Service .....	65
Administrative Provisions, Forest Service .....	77
Department of Health and Human Services:	
Indian Health Service .....	78
National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences .....	80
Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry .....	80
Other Related Agencies:	
Council on Environmental Quality .....	81
Chemical Safety and Hazard Investigation Board .....	82
Office of Navajo and Hopi Indian Relocation .....	82
Institute of American Indian and Alaska Native Culture and Arts Development .....	83
Smithsonian Institution .....	83
National Gallery of Art .....	85
John F. Kennedy Center for the Performing Arts .....	86
Woodrow Wilson International Center for Scholars .....	87
National Foundation on the Arts and the Humanities:	
National Endowment for the Arts .....	87

	Page
Title III—Continued	
Related Agencies—Continued	
Other Related Agencies—Continued	
National Foundation on the Arts and the Humanities—Continued	
National Endowment for the Humanities .....	88
Commission of Fine Arts .....	89
Advisory Council on Historic Preservation .....	90
National Capital Planning Commission .....	91
United States Holocaust Memorial Museum .....	91
Presidio Trust .....	92
Dwight D. Eisenhower Memorial Commission .....	93
Title IV: General Provisions .....	94
Compliance with Paragraph 7, Rule XVI of the Standing Rules of the Senate .....	96
Compliance with Paragraph 7(c), Rule XXVI of the Standing Rules of the Senate .....	97
Compliance with Paragraph 12, Rule XXVI of the Standing Rules of the Senate .....	98
Budgetary Impact of Bill .....	103
Congressionally Directed Spending .....	104
Comparative Statement of Budget Authority .....	118

SUMMARY OF BILL

For this bill, estimates totaling \$32,382,043,000 in new obligational authority were considered by the Committee for the programs and activities of the agencies and bureaus of the Department of the Interior, except the Bureau of Reclamation, and the following related agencies:

- Environmental Protection Agency
- Department of Agriculture: Forest Service
- Department of Health and Human Services:
  - Indian Health Service
  - National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences
  - Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry
- Council on Environmental Quality
- Chemical Safety and Hazard Investigation Board
- Office of Navajo and Hopi Indian Relocation
- Institute of American Indian and Alaska Native Culture and Arts Development
- Smithsonian Institution
- National Gallery of Art
- John F. Kennedy Center for the Performing Arts
- Woodrow Wilson International Center for Scholars
- National Foundation on the Arts and Humanities:
  - National Endowment for the Arts
  - National Endowment for the Humanities
- Commission of Fine Arts
- Advisory Council on Historic Preservation
- National Capital Planning Commission
- United States Holocaust Memorial Museum
- Presidio Trust
- Eisenhower Memorial Commission

REVENUE GENERATED BY AGENCIES IN BILL

Oil and gas leasing and other mineral leasing recreation and user fees, the timber and range programs, and other activities are estimated to generate income to the Government of \$14,714,831,000 in fiscal year 2010. These estimated receipts, for agencies under the subcommittee's jurisdiction, are tabulated below:

[In thousands of dollars]

Item	Fiscal year—	
	2009	2010
Department of the Interior .....	12,784,000	13,980,000
Forest Service .....	737,965	734,831
Total receipts .....	13,521,965	14,714,831

## MAJOR CHANGES RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL

The Committee has developed revisions to the budget estimate for the 2010 fiscal year.

A comparative summary of funding in the bill is shown by agency or principal program in the following table (excluding emergency appropriations):

[In thousands of dollars]

	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Committee recommendation compared with budget estimate
<b>TITLE I—DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR</b>			
Bureau of Land Management .....	1,148,657	1,144,684	- 3,973
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service .....	1,637,494	1,608,065	- 29,429
National Park Service .....	2,696,590	2,711,564	+ 14,974
United States Geological Survey .....	1,097,844	1,104,340	+ 6,496
Minerals Management Service .....	180,620	181,520	+ 900
Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement .....	159,368	166,868	+ 7,500
Bureau of Indian Affairs .....	2,537,404	2,592,917	+ 55,513
Departmental Offices .....	504,881	504,899	+ 18
Department-wide Programs .....	1,077,240	1,082,097	+ 4,857
<b>Total, Title I—Department of the Interior .....</b>	<b>11,040,098</b>	<b>11,096,954</b>	<b>+ 56,856</b>
<b>TITLE II—ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY</b>			
Science and Technology .....	842,349	842,799	+ 450
Environmental Programs and Management .....	2,940,564	2,878,780	- 61,784
Office of Inspector General .....	44,791	44,791	.....
Building and Facilities .....	37,001	35,001	- 2,000
Hazardous Substance Superfund .....	1,308,541	1,308,541	.....
Leaking Underground Storage Tank Program .....	113,101	114,171	+ 1,070
Oil Spill Response .....	18,379	18,379	.....
State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	5,191,274	4,954,274	- 237,000
<b>Total, Title II—Environmental Protection Agency .....</b>	<b>10,486,000</b>	<b>10,156,736</b>	<b>- 329,264</b>
<b>TITLE III—RELATED AGENCIES</b>			
Department of Agriculture: Forest Service .....	5,226,612	5,297,658	+ 71,046
Department of Health and Human Services:			
Indian Health Service .....	4,034,625	4,034,625	.....
National Institutes of Health: National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences .....	79,212	79,212	.....
Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry .....	76,792	76,792	.....
Council on Environmental Quality and Office of Environmental Quality ..	3,159	3,159	.....
Chemical Safety and Hazard Investigation Board .....	10,547	11,195	+ 648
Office of Navajo and Hopi Indian Relocation .....	8,000	8,000	.....
Institute of American Indian and Alaska Native Culture and Arts Development .....	8,300	8,300	.....
Smithsonian Institution .....	759,161	759,395	+ 234
National Gallery of Art .....	165,245	165,245	.....
John F. Kennedy Center for the Performing Arts .....	39,947	39,947	.....
Woodrow Wilson International Center for Scholars .....	10,225	10,225	.....
National Endowment for the Arts .....	161,315	161,315	.....
National Endowment for the Humanities .....	161,315	161,315	.....
Commission of Fine Arts .....	2,294	2,294	.....
National Capital Arts and Cultural Affairs .....	10,000	9,500	- 500
Advisory Council on Historic Preservation .....	5,908	5,908	.....
National Capital Planning Commission .....	8,507	8,507	.....
United States Holocaust Memorial Museum .....	48,551	49,122	+ 571
Presidio Trust .....	17,230	17,230	.....
Eisenhower Memorial Commission .....	19,000	19,000	.....

[In thousands of dollars]

	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Committee recommendation compared with budget estimate
Total, Title III—Related Agencies .....	10,855,945	10,927,394	+ 71,449
Grand Total .....	32,382,043	32,154,084	− 207,959

## LAND AND WATER CONSERVATION FUND

The Committee is concerned that lands acquired with funds appropriated via the Land and Water Conservation Fund are being, or have been, made available for uses inconsistent with the recreation, conservation or public access for which they were purchased. Accordingly, the Committee directs the Secretary of the Interior and the Secretary of Agriculture to notify the Committee before any land use or management decision is made that will change the use of the land from their conservation or recreational use.

The following table displays appropriations from the Land and Water Conservation Fund.

[In thousands of dollars]

Agency/program	Fiscal year		Committee recommendation
	2009 enacted	2010 estimate <sup>1</sup>	
Federal Land Acquisition:			
Bureau of Land Management .....	14,775	25,029	28,650
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service .....	42,455	65,000	82,790
National Park Service .....	45,190	68,000	83,586
Forest Service .....	49,775	28,684	67,784
Subtotal, Federal Land Acquisition .....	152,195	186,713	262,810
National Park Service, State Assistance .....	20,000	30,000	35,000
Cooperative Endangered Species Conservation Fund <sup>2</sup> .....	54,694	65,693	54,694
Interior Appraisal Services .....	8,012	12,136	12,136
Forest Legacy .....	57,445	91,060	55,145
Total, Land and Water Conservation Fund .....	292,346	385,602	419,785

<sup>1</sup> 2010 estimate reflects only activities for which funds were derived from the LWCF in fiscal year 2009.

<sup>2</sup> CESCOF data only reflects funding for HCP land acquisition and species recovery land acquisition.

## REPROGRAMMING GUIDELINES

The following are the procedures governing reprogramming actions for programs and activities funded in the Interior, Environment, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act:

1. *Definition.*—“Reprogramming,” as defined in these procedures, includes the reallocation of funds from one budget activity to another. In cases where either the House or Senate Committee report displays an allocation of an appropriation below the activity level, that more detailed level shall be the basis for reprogramming. For construction accounts, a reprogramming constitutes the reallocation of funds from one construction project (identified in the justification or Committee report) to another. A reprogramming shall also consist of any significant departure from the program described in the agency’s budget justifications. This includes proposed reorganizations even without a change in funding.

2. *Guidelines for Reprogramming.*—(a) A reprogramming should be made only when an unforeseen situation arises; and then only if postponement of the project or the activity until the next appropriation year would result in actual loss or damage. Mere convenience or desire should not be factors for consideration.

(b) Any project or activity, which may be deferred through reprogramming, shall not later be accomplished by means of further reprogramming; but, instead, funds should again be sought for the deferred project or activity through the regular appropriations process.

(c) Reprogramming should not be employed to initiate new programs or to change allocations specifically denied, limited or increased by the Congress in the act or the report. In cases where unforeseen events or conditions are deemed to require changes, proposals shall be submitted in advance to the Committee, regardless of amounts involved, and be fully explained and justified.

(d) Reprogramming proposals submitted to the Committee for approval shall be considered approved 30 calendar days after receipt if the Committee has posed no objection. However, agencies will be expected to extend the approval deadline if specifically requested by either Committee.

(e) Proposed changes to estimated working capital fund bills and estimated overhead charges, deductions, reserves or holdbacks, as such estimates were presented in annual budget justifications, shall be submitted through the reprogramming process.

3. *Criteria and Exceptions.*—Any proposed reprogramming must be submitted to the Committee in writing prior to implementation if it exceeds \$500,000 annually or results in an increase or decrease of more than 10 percent annually in affected programs, with the following exceptions:

(a) With regard to the tribal priority allocations activity of the Bureau of Indian Affairs, Operations of Indian Programs account, there is no restriction on reprogrammings among the programs within this activity. However, the Bureau shall report on all reprogrammings made during the first 6 months of the fiscal year by no later than May 1 of each year, and shall provide a final report of all reprogrammings for the previous fiscal year by no later than November 1 of each year.

(b) With regard to the “Environmental Protection Agency, State and Tribal Assistance Grants” account, reprogramming requests associated with States and tribes applying for partnership grants do not need to be submitted to the Committee for approval should such grants exceed the normal reprogramming limitations.

4. *Quarterly Reports.*—(a) All reprogrammings shall be reported to the Committee quarterly and shall include cumulative totals.

(b) Any significant shifts of funding among object classifications also should be reported to the Committee.

5. *Administrative Overhead Accounts.*—For all appropriations where costs of overhead administrative expenses are funded in part from “assessments” of various budget activities within an appropriation, the assessments shall be shown in justifications under the discussion of administrative expenses.

6. *Contingency Accounts.*—For all appropriations where assessments are made against various budget activities or allocations for

contingencies the Committee expects a full explanation, as part of the budget justification, consistent with section 404 of this act. The explanation shall show the amount of the assessment, the activities assessed, and the purpose of the fund. The Committee expects reports each year detailing the use of these funds. In no case shall a fund be used to finance projects and activities disapproved or limited by Congress or to finance new permanent positions or to finance programs or activities that could be foreseen and included in the normal budget review process. Contingency funds shall not be used to initiate new programs.

7. *Report Language.*—Any limitation, directive, or earmarking contained in either the House or Senate report which is not contradicted by the other report nor specifically denied in the conference report shall be considered as having been approved by both Houses of Congress.

8. *Assessments.*—No assessments shall be levied against any program, budget activity, subactivity, or project funded by the Interior, Environment, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act unless such assessments and the basis therefore are presented to the Committees on Appropriations and are approved by such Committees, in compliance with these procedures.

9. *Land Acquisitions and Forest Legacy.*—Lands shall not be acquired for more than the approved appraised value (as addressed in section 301(3) of Public Law 91-646), unless such acquisitions are submitted to the Committees on Appropriations for approval in compliance with these procedures.

10. *Land Exchanges.*—Land exchanges, wherein the estimated value of the Federal lands to be exchanged is greater than \$500,000, shall not be consummated until the Committees on Appropriations have had a 30-day period in which to examine the proposed exchange.

11. *Appropriations Structure.*—The appropriation structure for any agency shall not be altered without advance approval of the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations.



TITLE I  
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR  
LAND AND WATER RESOURCES  
BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

The Bureau of Land Management [BLM] is charged with administering for multiple uses 256 million acres of public land concentrated in 12 Western States, as well as 700 million acres of federally owned sub-surface mineral rights. Minerals, timber, rangeland, fish and wildlife, wilderness and recreation are among the resources and activities managed by BLM.

Recent increases in energy production and recreation visits have placed an even greater stress on the Bureau’s multiple use mandate, but great strides are being made to address the needs of an ever-growing U.S. population.

In 2010, over 55 million visitors are expected to participate in recreational activities on public lands. This influx of visitors necessitates ongoing investments in the Bureau’s planning, recreation management, facilities construction and law enforcement programs.

In addition, the Bureau is tasked with managing programs involving the mineral industry, utility companies, ranchers, the timber industry, and the conservation and research communities. In 2010, it is estimated that on-shore public lands will generate \$4,600,000,000 in revenues from such resource uses as energy development, grazing, and timber production. The bulk of this amount, \$4,400,000,000, will come from energy development.

MANAGEMENT OF LAND AND RESOURCES

Appropriations, 2009 .....	\$890,194,000
Emergency supplementals, 2009 .....	125,000,000
Budget estimate, 2010 .....	975,351,000
House allowance .....	950,496,000
Committee recommendation .....	965,721,000

The Committee recommends a total appropriation of \$965,721,000 for the “Management of Land and Resources” account. This amount is \$75,527,000 above the equivalent fiscal year 2009 enacted level, and \$9,630,000 below the budget request.

All of the increases, totaling \$16,524,000, requested to offset rising fixed costs are provided in the amounts requested in the budget. The Committee supports the administration’s major initiatives and recommends increases of \$15,000,000 for the climate impacts initiative, \$16,100,000 for the renewable energy initiative, and \$5,000,000 for the Secretary’s 21st century youth conservation corps.

Other program changes are described in the following budget activity narratives. Detailed funding levels for each subactivity can be found in the table at the end of the report.

The Committee directs the Bureau to retain its current level of support for the National Conservation Training Center [NCTC], and directs that funds shall be available to NCTC within 60 days of enactment.

The following table provides a comparison of the budget estimate and the Committee's recommendation in the major programmatic areas funded by this account.

[In thousands of dollars]

	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change
Land Resources .....	248,192	250,542	+ 2,350
Wildlife and Fisheries .....	49,087	49,607	+ 520
Threatened and Endangered Species .....	22,112	22,612	+ 500
Recreation Management .....	67,692	68,392	+ 700
Energy and Minerals .....	110,689	89,689	- 21,000
Permit Processing Fund <sup>1</sup> .....		[21,000]	[ + 21,000]
Oil and Gas APD Fees .....	[45,500]	[45,500]	
Realty and Ownership Management .....	97,232	97,632	+ 400
Resource Protection and Maintenance .....	94,077	96,077	+ 2,000
Transportation and Facilities Management .....	73,155	74,555	+ 1,400
Land and Resources Information Systems .....	16,754	16,754	
Mining Law Administration <sup>2</sup> .....	36,696	36,696	
Workforce and Organizational Support .....	158,060	158,060	
Challenge Cost Share .....	9,500	10,000	+ 500
National Monuments and Conservation Areas .....	28,801	31,801	+ 3,000
<b>Total, Management of Land and Resources .....</b>	<b>975,351</b>	<b>965,721</b>	<b>- 9,630</b>

<sup>1</sup> Funding for the Permit Processing Fund, authorized by section 365 of the Energy Policy Act of 2005, is not included in the "Management of Land and Resources" account but is shown in this table to accurately reflect the cost of the energy and minerals program.

<sup>2</sup> Funding for Mining Law Administration is fully offset by fees.

**Land Resources.**—The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$250,542,000 for land resources. This amount is an increase of \$49,345,000 above the 2009 enacted appropriation and \$2,350,000 above the budget request. The recommendation includes increases of \$3,467,000 for fixed costs, \$15,000,000 to support the Department's climate change impacts initiative, \$2,500,000 for youth conservation activities, \$26,873,000 for wild horse and burro management, \$1,000,000 for BLM activities authorized by the Omnibus Public Land Management Act of 2009 (Public Law 111-11), and \$1,350,000 for general program increases. The general program increase is to be distributed as follows: \$350,000 for soil, water, and air management; \$700,000 for rangeland management; \$100,000 for forestry management; and \$200,000 for riparian management. The increase of \$1,000,000 mentioned above is recommended for cultural resources management to protect, inventory and monitor heritage, cultural, archaeological, historical, and paleontological resources of tribes on wilderness lands authorized in the Omnibus Public Land Management Act of 2009 (Public Law 111-11) such as the cultural resources protection plan and the recreation travel management plan in the Owyhee Public Land Management Initiative.

The Committee notes with approval that the BLM has retained the 2009 increase of \$7,500,000 for abandoned mine remediation in its 2010 request. The Department has acknowledged that it does

not have a comprehensive inventory of abandoned mines on public lands. Such an inventory is an essential prerequisite for an effective and cost efficient program to attain environmental and public safety around abandoned mine sites. The Committee directs the Secretary to provide a report on the status of this inventory within 120 days of enactment of this bill. The Committee expects a completed inventory to be submitted for review by September 30, 2010. The inventory should identify those mine sites that pose a clear threat to the environment or to human safety.

The Committee recognizes the need for a substantial budget increase of \$26,873,000 for the wild horse and burro program in 2010 and provides the requested level of \$67,486,000. However, the Committee notes that the costs for gathering and holding equines to control populations on public lands have risen beyond sustainable levels. The Committee directs the Bureau to (1) consider private proposals for long-term care of wild horses and burros; (2) create a bidding process among such proposals, and (3) prepare and publish a new comprehensive long-term plan and policy for management of wild horses and burros that involves consideration and development of proposals by non-governmental entities, by September 30, 2010.

The Committee encourages all Federal agencies that need and use horses to fulfill their responsibilities to first seek to acquire a wild horse from the Bureau of Land Management, and, prior to seeking another supplier for usable horses, document why the Bureau cannot meet the needs of the inquiring Federal agency. The Bureau is also encouraged to develop an expedited process for providing wild horses to local and State police forces.

*Wildlife and Fisheries.*—The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$49,607,000 for wildlife and fisheries activities. This amount is \$1,118,000 over the 2009 appropriation and \$520,000 over the request. The recommendation includes increases of \$743,000 for fixed costs and general program increases of \$250,000 for wildlife management and \$125,000 for fisheries management. The Committee does not agree to the proposed reduction of \$145,000 in National Fish and Wildlife Foundation grants funding.

*Threatened and Endangered Species.*—The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$22,612,000 for threatened and endangered species conservation, an increase of \$899,000 over the 2009 appropriation and \$500,000 above the request. The increases are \$399,000 for fixed costs, \$300,000 for redband trout and salmon habitat and restoration in Nevada, and a general program increase of \$200,000.

*Recreation Management.*—The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$68,392,000 for wilderness management and recreation resources management activities. This amount is \$4,654,000 above the 2009 appropriation and \$700,000 over the request. The recommendation includes the full \$2,500,000 for the Secretary's 21st century youth conservation corps initiative, \$1,454,000 as requested for fixed costs, and general program increases of \$200,000 for wilderness management and \$500,000 for recreation resources management.

*Energy and Minerals Management.*—The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$89,689,000 for oil, gas, coal, and other min-

erals management. This is \$9,724,000 below the 2009 enacted level and \$21,000,000 less than the request. However, by rejecting the administration's proposal to repeal portions of the Energy Policy Act of 2005, the Bureau will have an additional \$21,000,000 available so that activities supported by the request are fully funded. In addition to the Committee appropriation recommendation, the Bureau will receive \$45,500,000 in collections from fees for applications for permits to drill, an increase of \$9,100,000 over 2009 which is used to offset the appropriation. Changes from 2009 include an increase of \$2,058,000 for fixed costs, a decrease of \$4,600,000 for the Alaska legacy well remediation program, a decrease of \$1,000,000 for a completed core sample shipment project, and an increase of \$2,500,000 for production accountability improvements.

The Committee understands that the Bureau will not complete its analysis of the Internet oil and gas lease auction program before the end of fiscal year 2009 and subsequently will need time in 2010 to analyze and develop recommendations for implementation of a new program. The Committee requests that the Bureau provide a report on the results of the Internet oil and gas auction pilot, and recommendations on how to implement the program in fiscal year 2011.

*Realty and Ownership Management.*—The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$97,632,000 for public land realty and ownership management activities. This amount is \$17,567,000 above the 2009 appropriation and \$400,000 above the request. The Committee supports the requested changes from the enacted level: the full \$16,100,000 increase for the President's renewable energy initiative, an increase of \$1,817,000 as requested for fixed costs, and a requested decrease of \$750,000 for cadastral surveys. Recommended increases above the budget request are \$300,000 for the Utah Rural Government Geographic Information Systems Assistance Program and a general program increase of \$100,000.

The Committee understands that renewable energy will become a more significant source of power for the Nation and that the Department of the Interior and the Forest Service will play a prominent role in its development. However, the Committee is very concerned about the impacts these projects will have on the landscape, particularly those for wind and solar power. Proposed solar projects can each cover several square miles and the newest wind turbines are over 500 feet tall. Appropriate siting of these projects and cost-appropriate size limitations are critical to ensuring that the pristine landscapes and magnificent views of the country's public lands and coastlines are protected.

Accordingly, within 180 days of enactment, the Committee directs that the Department submit a joint report with the Forest Service on the criteria used for siting renewable energy projects, including the extent to which protection of scenic landscapes, ridgetops, and shorelines will be considered. The report should also provide a detailed strategic plan on how the Department and the Forest Service will coordinate the development of such projects particularly in areas where there is mixed ownership of Interior and Forest Service lands. The report should identify specifically what areas of the public lands and the Outer Continental Shelf will be considered for projects based on: (1) their potential for renewable

energy generation; (2) what additional transmission lines will be necessary to connect these new sources of power to the energy grid; (3) where these transmission lines will be placed; and (4) the methodology to be used to limit the size of solar troughs and photovoltaic facilities.

The report should also include an analysis of the useful life of renewable energy sites and provide an explanation of how the infrastructure will be removed from the public lands when it is no longer functional. The Committee believes that some mechanism, such as a bond put forth by the permittees, should be utilized by the Department and the Forest Service so that the Government does not have to pay for the removal of these large facilities after they are no longer viable.

The Committee strongly encourages the BLM to apply the necessary resources to complete landscape scale assessments by the end of fiscal year 2010 on the Mojave Basin and Range, Central Basin and Range, Sonoran Desert, and the Colorado Plateau. These assessments should, at a minimum, include spatial analyses of priority conservation areas, renewable energy potential, invasive species, and wildfires.

As the Department of the Interior and Forest Service proceed with the development of policies and the preparation of environmental documents and permitting of renewable energy projects, the Committee expects to be consulted with on a regular basis.

*Resource Protection and Maintenance.*—The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$96,077,000 for resource protection and maintenance. This is an increase of \$3,526,000 over the 2009 enacted appropriation and \$2,000,000 over the request. In addition to the requested \$1,526,000 increase for fixed costs, the Committee recommends an increase of \$1,000,000 for law enforcement. The Committee also recommends an increase of \$1,000,000 for travel and transportation plans. The agency shall allocate these funds to travel and transportation management plans authorized by law in the recently passed Omnibus Public Land Management Act of 2009, such as the Washington County comprehensive travel and transportation plan in Utah.

*Transportation and Facilities Maintenance.*—The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$74,555,000 for transportation and facilities maintenance. There is an increase of \$698,000 over the enacted 2009 appropriation for fixed costs as requested.

*Land and Resources Information Systems.*—The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$16,754,000 for land and resources information systems. There is an increase of \$173,000 over the enacted 2009 appropriation for fixed costs as requested.

*Mining Law Administration.*—The Committee recommends \$36,696,000 for mining law administration which is \$2,000,000 over the 2009 level and the same as the request. This amount is fully offset by collections from mining claims fees.

*Workforce Organization and Support.*—The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$158,060,000 for workforce organization and support as requested. There is an increase of \$3,166,000 over the enacted 2009 appropriation for fixed costs.

*Challenge Cost Share.*—The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$10,000,000 for challenge cost share partnership

projects. This amount includes a \$500,000 increase over the 2009 enacted appropriation and the request.

*National Monuments and National Conservation Areas.*—The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$31,801,000 for major units of the National Landscape Conservation System. This amount is an increase of \$3,605,000 over the 2009 enacted appropriation and \$3,000,000 over the requested level. There is a general program increase of \$3,000,000 and an increase of \$605,000 for fixed costs.

The Committee remains concerned about the lack of transparency in the BLM budget with regard to national trails. The Committee directs the Bureau to create a specific subactivity for the National Trails System within the National Monuments and National Conservation Areas activity. This subactivity should be included in the 2011 budget request with a clear explanation for any amounts that may be transferred from other activities and subactivities.

CONSTRUCTION

Appropriations, 2009 .....	\$6,590,000
Emergency supplementals, 2009 .....	180,000,000
Budget estimate, 2010 .....	6,590,000
House allowance .....	6,590,000
Committee recommendation .....	8,626,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$8,626,000 for construction and reconstruction of buildings, roads, trails, bridges and other facilities. This is an increase of \$2,036,000 over the budget request and the equivalent 2009 appropriation.

The Committee recommends funding all the construction projects in the request plus one ongoing project that was not included. The California National Historic Trail Interpretive Center in Nevada is nearing completion. The Committee has provided \$2,000,000 to cover the completion of all internal and external displays and exhibits, including the amphitheater.

The following table shows the Committee recommendations:

BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT CONSTRUCTION

State	Project	Committee recommendation
AK	Anchorage Field Office—Campbell Airstrip safety fencing .....	\$190,000
AZ	Gila District—Browning Ranch house preservation .....	124,000
AZ	Lake Havasu—Partner's Point water line .....	110,000
CA	California radio fencing and grounding .....	537,000
CA	Hollister Field Office—El Toro Creek parking project .....	1,209,000
CA	Barstow—Sawtooth campground and trail .....	541,000
CO	Grand Junction Field Office—Bridgeport access trail .....	176,000
ID	Salmon Field Office—Lemhi River road maintenance .....	1,588,000
ID	Salmon Field Office—Sharkey Hot Springs renovation .....	287,000
NV	California National Historic Trail—interpretive displays .....	2,000,000
UT	Salt Lake District—Five Mile Pass recreation site facility .....	362,000
UT	West Desert District—Knolls facilities .....	381,000
UT	Pelican Lake Recreation Site—facilities .....	697,000
	Subtotal, Projects .....	8,202,000
	Architectural and Engineering Services .....	424,000

BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT CONSTRUCTION—Continued

State	Project	Committee recommendation
	Total, BLM Construction .....	8,626,000

LAND ACQUISITION

Appropriations, 2009 .....	\$14,775,000
Budget estimate, 2010 .....	25,029,000
House allowance .....	26,529,000
Committee recommendation .....	28,650,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$28,650,000 for land acquisition, an increase of \$3,621,000 above the budget request and \$13,875,000 above the fiscal year 2009 enacted level.

The following table shows the Committee’s recommendations:

BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT LAND ACQUISITION

State	Project	Committee recommendation
CA	California Wilderness .....	\$1,500,000
CA	Johnson Canyon Area of Critical Environmental Concern .....	1,500,000
CA	King Range National Conservation Area .....	2,000,000
CA	Lacks Creek Area of Critical Environmental Concern .....	750,000
CA	Upper Sacramento River Area of Critical Environmental Concern .....	2,800,000
MT	Blackfoot River Special Recreation Management Area .....	4,500,000
MT	Meeteetse Spires Area of Critical Environmental Concern .....	1,500,000
NM	La Cienega Area of Critical Environmental Concern/El Camino Real de Tierra Adentro National Historic Trail .....	3,000,000
NM	Lesser Prairie-Chicken Area of Critical Environmental Concern .....	1,500,000
OR	Cascade-Siskiyou National Monument .....	1,000,000
OR	Sandy River/Oregon National Historic Trail .....	2,100,000
WY	Craig Thomas Little Mountain Special Management Area .....	2,000,000
	Subtotal, Line Item Projects .....	24,150,000
	Acquisition Management .....	2,000,000
	Inholdings, Emergencies, and Hardships .....	2,500,000
	Total, Land Acquisition .....	28,650,000

OREGON AND CALIFORNIA GRANT LANDS

Appropriations, 2009 .....	\$109,949,000
Budget estimate, 2010 .....	111,557,000
House allowance .....	111,557,000
Committee recommendation .....	111,557,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$111,557,000, an amount equal to the request and an increase of \$1,608,000 over the enacted level.

FOREST ECOSYSTEMS HEALTH AND RECOVERY

(REVOLVING FUND, SPECIAL ACCOUNT)

The Committee has retained bill language clarifying that the Federal share of salvage receipts to be deposited into this account shall be those funds remaining after payments to counties.

## RANGE IMPROVEMENTS

Appropriations, 2009 .....	\$10,000,000
Budget estimate, 2010 .....	10,000,000
House allowance .....	10,000,000
Committee recommendation .....	10,000,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$10,000,000 for range improvements, the same as the fiscal year 2009 enacted level and the budget request.

## SERVICE CHARGES, DEPOSITS, AND FORFEITURES

Appropriations, 2009 .....	\$33,821,000
Budget estimate, 2010 .....	31,255,000
House allowance .....	31,255,000
Committee recommendation .....	31,255,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$31,255,000 which is \$2,566,000 below the 2009 enacted level and the same as the budget request.

## MISCELLANEOUS TRUST FUNDS

Appropriations, 2009 .....	\$20,130,000
Budget estimate, 2010 .....	20,130,000
House allowance .....	20,130,000
Committee recommendation .....	20,130,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$20,130,000, the same as the fiscal year 2009 enacted level and the budget request.

## FISH AND WILDLIFE AND PARKS

## U.S. FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE

The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service is the principal Federal agency responsible for conserving, protecting and enhancing fish, wildlife and plants and their habitats. The Service manages the 96 million acre National Wildlife Refuge System, which encompasses 550 national wildlife refuges, thousands of small wetlands and other special management areas and 78,000 square miles of National Marine Monuments. It also operates 70 national fish hatcheries, 65 fish and wildlife management offices and 81 ecological services field stations. The Agency enforces Federal wildlife laws, administers the Endangered Species Act, manages migratory bird populations, restores nationally significant fisheries, conserves, and restores wildlife habitat such as wetlands, and helps foreign governments with their conservation efforts. It also oversees the Federal Assistance program, which distributes hundreds of millions of dollars in excise taxes on fishing and hunting equipment to State fish and wildlife agencies.

## RESOURCE MANAGEMENT

Appropriations, 2009 .....	\$1,140,962,000
Emergency supplementals, 2009 .....	165,000,000
Budget estimate, 2010 .....	1,218,206,000
House allowance .....	1,248,756,000
Committee recommendation .....	1,244,386,000



The Committee recommends a total appropriation of \$1,244,386,000 for the “Resource Management” account. This amount is \$103,424,000 above the equivalent fiscal year 2009 enacted level, and \$26,180,000 above the budget request.

Changes to the 2009 enacted level include the addition of \$19,404,000 to meet fixed cost increases and programmatic increases totaling \$84,020,000. The Committee supports the major initiatives requested by the administration and recommends that the climate change initiative be fully funded at \$42,000,000 and that \$13,000,000 be provided for youth and careers in nature. The internal transfer for literature research services is included as requested.

The following table provides a comparison of the budget estimate and the Committee’s recommendation in the major programmatic areas funded by this account.

	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change
Ecological Services .....	\$291,077,000	\$305,677,000	+ \$14,600,000
National Wildlife Refuge System .....	483,279,000	488,629,000	+ 5,350,000
Migratory Bird Management, Law Enforcement, and International Conservation .....	130,093,000	133,573,000	+ 3,480,000
Fisheries and Aquatic Restoration .....	140,695,000	143,695,000	+ 3,000,000
Climate Change and Science .....	20,000,000	20,000,000	.....
General Administration .....	154,062,000	152,812,000	- 1,250,000
Disposition of excess property .....	- 1,000,000	.....	+1,000,000
Total, Resource Management .....	1,218,206,000	1,244,386,000	+ 26,180,000

Several congressionally directed projects have been added to the budget request and are discussed in the activity report language below. Funding for these projects is to be distributed to the appropriate program and region before the Service’s standard distribution of funds. No congressionally directed project in this account may be funded, fully or in part, by reductions in other projects, programs, or regions.

*Ecological Services.*—The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$305,677,000 for the ecological services budget activity. This amount is \$29,407,000 above the 2009 enacted level, \$14,600,000 above the request, and includes increases of \$4,938,000 for fixed costs as included in the request and program increases totaling \$24,469,000.

Within ecological services, the Committee recommends \$174,657,000 for endangered species. This amount is \$16,684,000 above the 2009 appropriation and \$10,500,000 above the request. The recommendation includes \$2,989,000 for fixed cost increases, \$1,500,000 for polar bear consultations and recovery, \$1,500,000 for the President’s energy initiative, and \$395,000 for internal transfers, all of which were included in the budget request.

The Committee also recommends general program increases of \$1,000,000 for listing and \$1,000,000 for critical habitat, \$500,000 to increase monitoring of bats for white nose bat syndrome, \$350,000 for Lahontan cutthroat trout, and \$200,000 for additional wolf monitoring in the Western States. In addition to these increases, there are three other recommendations described in the following paragraphs: \$2,500,000 for endangered species consulta-

tions, \$1,000,000 for a newly authorized wolf livestock loss demonstration program, and \$3,000,000 for recovery of endangered birds in response to the State of the Birds report.

The Committee notes the importance of section 7 consultations on future development and therefore recommends a general program increase of \$2,500,000 for Consultations and HCPs to address deficiencies identified by the Government Accountability Office [GAO]. The GAO reported in May 2009 that Service biologists could not account for all required monitoring reports in 63 percent of the consultation files they investigated. The report states, "The lack of systematic means to track cumulative take for some species, and the resulting gap in knowledge of the species' status, exposes the Service to vulnerabilities, including the threat of litigation and unobserved declines in species. The Service has been developing various databases for more systematically tracking cumulative take, though their development largely depends on resources not yet available in the Service's budget."

The Committee recommends \$1,000,000 be provided for the Wolf Livestock Loss Demonstration Project authorized by the Omnibus Public Land Management Act of 2009. These funds will be used to provide grants to States and Indian tribes to assist livestock producers in undertaking proactive, non-lethal activities to reduce the risk of livestock loss due to predation by wolves and to compensate livestock producers for livestock losses due to such predation.

The Committee recommends an increase of \$3,000,000 for the Service to develop a comprehensive strategy, hire staff, and begin on the ground projects to recover endangered and threatened bird species with special emphasis on Hawaii. The Committee notes the publication of the multi-agency report, "The State of the Birds, United States of America, 2009", which provides a comprehensive overview of the crises and challenges confronting birds in every part of the country. The situation for native birds in Hawaii is especially dire. Of the 113 known unique species of Hawaiian birds, 71 have become extinct and 31 more are federally listed as endangered or threatened. Predator control and habitat preservation are critical to the survival of the remaining species.

The Committee recommends \$117,020,000 for habitat conservation, an increase of \$11,965,000 above the 2009 appropriation and \$3,600,000 above the request. The recommendation includes the requested increases of \$7,500,000 for climate change, \$275,000 for bald and golden eagle permitting, and \$1,640,000 for fixed costs and internal transfers. In addition to the increases in the request, the Committee restores \$350,000 for the Mississippi State Natural Resources Economic Enterprise Program and increases funding for the Hawaii invasive species program from the current year enacted level of \$350,000 to \$1,250,000. General program increases of \$500,000 for coastal conservation programs and \$250,000 for the national wetlands inventory are also recommended.

Two new congressional initiatives are recommended for habitat conservation. First, the Committee has provided \$750,000 to contract with the National Academy of Sciences to conduct studies in support of sustainable water and environmental management of the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta in California.

The second initiative is a new cooperative effort to control the spread of invasive milfoil in Maine lakes. In the partners for fish and wildlife program, \$500,000 is provided to begin a partnership with Saint Joseph's College of Maine to, among other things, develop a model mitigation program to remove and prevent aquatic invasive species infestation; to combat milfoil and help repair affected habitats in seven test bed lakes; and to protect the habitat of fish and other wildlife that are directly and indirectly affected by the devastating invasion of milfoil in those lake ecosystems.

The environmental contaminants program is funded at \$14,000,000, an increase of \$758,000 over 2009 and \$500,000 above the request for a general program increase.

*National Wildlife Refuge System.*—The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$488,629,000 for operations and maintenance of national wildlife refuges. This amount is \$25,770,000 above the 2009 enacted level and \$5,350,000 above the request.

The recommendation fully funds requested increases of \$12,000,000 for climate change delivery, \$2,000,000 for the Secretary's youth corps initiative, and \$6,420,000 for fixed costs and internal transfers. In addition to the requested increases, the Committee recommends general program increases of \$2,900,000 for wildlife and habitat management, \$750,000 for visitor services, and \$500,000 for refuge law enforcement.

The continual problem of invasive rat infestation on Palmyra Atoll National Wildlife Refuge must be solved in 2010. The Service demonstrated its ability to accomplish rat eradication on Rat Island, Alaska. The Committee provides \$1,200,000 in one-time project funding above the base funding for Palmyra for the complete eradication of rats on the atoll.

In addition to the increases described above, the Committee redirects \$3,000,000 from deferred refuge maintenance to refuge operations to be distributed as follows: \$1,500,000 to wildlife and habitat management, \$1,000,000 to visitor services, and \$500,000 to refuge law enforcement.

*Migratory Bird Management, Law Enforcement, and International Conservation.*—The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$133,573,000 for the migratory bird management, law enforcement, and international conservation programs of the Fish and Wildlife Service. This amount is \$6,856,000 above the 2009 enacted level and \$3,480,000 over the request.

The Committee recommends \$54,025,000 for migratory bird management, an increase of \$3,179,000 above the enacted 2009 appropriation and \$1,000,000 over the request. The recommendation includes the requested increases for fixed costs, bald and golden eagle permits, and youth conservation. The Committee also provides an increase of \$1,000,000 for approved migratory bird joint ventures.

The Committee recommends \$65,839,000 for law enforcement operations, an increase of \$3,172,000 above the enacted 2009 appropriation. The increase consists of \$2,000,000 to recruit and train new officers to fill vacancies resulting from mandatory retirement of career officers and \$1,199,000 for fixed cost increases less \$27,000 for the requested internal transfer.

Recommended funding for international programs is \$13,709,000, an increase of \$505,000 over the enacted level and \$480,000 over

the request. The increases are \$330,000 for international conservation, \$500,000 for international wildlife trade, and \$179,000 for fixed costs. The increases are partially offset by the \$504,000 in requested transfers. Total funding available for international programs is as follows: \$6,750,000 for international conservation; \$6,809,000 for international wildlife trade; and \$150,000 for the Caddo Lake Ramsar Center in Texas.

*Fisheries.*—The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$143,695,000 for fisheries and aquatic resource conservation. This amount is \$11,864,000 above the 2009 enacted level and \$3,000,000 over the request. The Committee endorses the \$2,000,000 Klamath Dam removal study, the \$2,000,000 national fish habitat increase, the \$2,200,000 increase for marine mammals, \$1,300,000 for youth and careers in nature, and \$1,919,000 for fixed costs as requested by the administration. The Committee does not agree to eliminate funding for freshwater mussel research and recovery and has restored \$500,000 for this program. In addition, the Committee provides \$2,000,000 for enhanced efforts to control the spread of and eradicate quagga and zebra mussels. The Committee also recommends a general program increase of \$500,000 for national fish hatchery operations.

The Committee strongly encourages the Department of Interior and the Forest Service to coordinate with local entities and to employ the resources necessary to prevent Quagga mussels and other aquatic invasive species from entering the Lake Tahoe ecosystem.

The current budget structure for the fisheries program consists of five budget subactivities which are, in the view of the Committee, too many for a program with a common overriding mission. The Committee recommends that funding for aquatic invasive species and marine mammals be included within the aquatic habitat and species conservation subactivity in future budget requests.

*Climate Change and Science Capability.*—The Committee recommends \$20,000,000 for this new activity as requested.

*General Administration.*—The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$152,812,000 for general administration and support for Service programs in 2010. This amount is \$9,527,000 above the 2009 enacted level and \$1,250,000 below the request. The recommendation includes the requested increases of \$3,951,000 for fixed costs, \$4,200,000 for the Secretary's Youth Initiative, \$401,000 for internal transfers, and \$225,000 for electronic personnel records. The Committee does not provide funds for the two proposed \$1,000,000 increases for youth hunting and fishing. The Committee also provides an additional \$750,000 for backlog maintenance needs at the National Conservation Training Center [NCTC]. The Committee recommends 2010 funding levels of \$40,485,000 for central office administration, \$43,340,000 for regional office administration, \$36,440,000 for servicewide bill paying, \$7,537,000 for the National Fish and Wildlife Foundation, and \$25,010,000 for NCTC.

The Service-wide proposal to sell excess property to offset current costs is not included in the Committee recommendation.

## CONSTRUCTION

Appropriations, 2009 .....	\$35,533,000
Emergency supplementals, 2009 .....	115,000,000
Budget estimate, 2010 .....	29,791,000
House allowance .....	21,139,000
Committee recommendation .....	39,741,000

The Committee recommends a total appropriation of \$39,741,000 for the Construction account. This amount is \$4,154,000 above the comparable 2009 appropriation and \$9,950,000 above the budget request.

The Committee recommends the following distribution of construction funds:

## FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE CONSTRUCTION

State	Project	Committee recommendation
National Wildlife Refuge Projects:		
CA	Don Edwards SF Bay NWR—salt pond restoration .....	\$4,000,000
GM	Guam NWR—species control fence .....	866,000
HI	Kilauea Point NWR—lighthouse repair .....	1,000,000
IN	Big Oaks NWR—Old Timbers Dam phase 1 .....	100,000
MN	Fergus Falls WMD—Stang Lake Dam (cc) .....	175,000
MS	Theodore Roosevelt NWR—visitor center and office .....	2,000,000
OK	Wichita Mountains WR—Lake Rush Dam (cc) .....	4,100,000
WA	Turnbull NWR—Lower Pine Lake Dam—design .....	250,000
WV	Canaan Valley NWR—trails .....	500,000
WV	Ohio River Islands NWR—erosion protection .....	800,000
	NWRS Green Energy projects .....	2,000,000
	NWRS Visitor facility enhancements .....	3,000,000
	Subtotal, Refuge Projects .....	18,791,000
National Fish Hatchery Projects:		
AZ	Willow Beach NFH—water treatment phase 1 .....	482,000
PA	Allegheny NFH—repair fish production and electrical systems (p&d) .....	1,500,000
WA	Quinalt NFH—replace electric fish barriers (p&d) .....	1,000,000
WV	White Sulphur Springs NFH—water supply system and raceways .....	1,500,000
WY	Jackson NFH—replace water supply (cc) .....	1,650,000
	NFHS Green Energy projects .....	600,000
	NFHS Visitor facility enhancements .....	400,000
	Subtotal, Hatchery Projects .....	7,132,000
Other Projects:		
NV	Nevada mountains large game water catchments .....	150,000
OK	Attwater Prairie Chicken captive breeding facility .....	2,652,000
	Subtotal, Other Projects .....	2,802,000
	Subtotal, line item project construction .....	28,725,000
	Bridge and Dam Safety .....	1,855,000
	Nationwide Engineering Services .....	9,161,000
	Total, Construction .....	39,741,000

## LAND ACQUISITION

Appropriations, 2009 .....	\$42,455,000
Budget estimate, 2010 .....	65,000,000
House allowance .....	67,250,000
Committee recommendation .....	82,790,000

The Committee recommends a total appropriation of \$82,790,000 for the land acquisition account. This amount is \$40,335,000 above the fiscal year 2009 level and \$17,790,000 above the budget request.

The Committee recommends the following projects for 2010:

FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE LAND ACQUISITION

State	Project	Committee recommendation
AK	Alaska Maritime National Wildlife Refuge .....	\$300,000
AK	Togiak National Wildlife Refuge .....	325,000
AK	Yukon Delta National Wildlife Refuge .....	365,000
AL	Bon Secour National Wildlife Refuge .....	500,000
AZ	Leslie Canyon National Wildlife Refuge .....	500,000
CA	Grasslands Wildlife Management District .....	1,000,000
CA	San Joaquin River National Wildlife Refuge .....	2,000,000
CT	Stewart McKinney National Wildlife Refuge .....	2,000,000
CT, MA, NH, VT	Silvio Conte National Wildlife Refuge .....	3,000,000
CT, NJ, NY, PA	Highlands Conservation Act—easements .....	1,500,000
DE	Prime Hook National Wildlife Refuge .....	1,000,000
FL	Crystal River National Wildlife Refuge .....	1,500,000
FL	St. Marks National Wildlife Refuge .....	500,000
GA	Bond Swamp National Wildlife Refuge .....	1,200,000
HI	James Campbell National Wildlife Refuge .....	7,400,000
IA	Driftless Area National Wildlife Refuge .....	450,000
IA, MN	Northern Tallgrass Prairie National Wildlife Refuge .....	500,000
IL	Cypress Creek National Wildlife Refuge .....	500,000
IL, IA, MN, WI	Upper Mississippi National Fish & Wildlife Refuge .....	1,000,000
IN	Patoka National Wildlife Refuge .....	1,150,000
KY	Clarks River National Wildlife Refuge .....	750,000
LA	Red River National Wildlife Refuge .....	1,000,000
LA	Upper Ouachita National Wildlife Refuge .....	500,000
ME	Maine Coastal Islands National Wildlife Refuge .....	1,000,000
ME	Rachel Carson National Wildlife Refuge .....	3,000,000
MD	Blackwater National Wildlife Refuge .....	2,000,000
MS	Panther Swamp National Wildlife Refuge .....	500,000
MO	Big Muddy National Fish & Wildlife Refuge .....	300,000
MT	Red Rock Lakes National Wildlife Refuge .....	500,000
MT	Rocky Mountain Front Conservation Area .....	3,750,000
ND	North Dakota Wildlife Management Area .....	500,000
ND, SD	Dakota Tallgrass Prairie Wildlife Management Area .....	1,000,000
NE	Rainwater Basin Wetlands Management District .....	500,000
NH	Lake Umbagog National Wildlife Refuge .....	1,000,000
NJ	Cape May National Wildlife Refuge .....	1,000,000
NJ	Edwin B. Forsythe National Wildlife Refuge .....	1,100,000
NJ	Wallkill National Wildlife Refuge .....	2,800,000
NM	Sevilleta National Wildlife Refuge .....	500,000
OR	Nestucca Bay National Wildlife Refuge .....	1,000,000
PA	Cherry Valley National Wildlife Refuge .....	1,000,000
RI	John H. Chafee National Wildlife Refuge .....	900,000
SC	Ernest F. Hollings ACE Basin National Wildlife Refuge .....	500,000
SC	Waccamaw National Wildlife Refuge .....	600,000
TN	Chickasaw National Wildlife Refuge .....	500,000
TX	Balcones Canyonlands National Wildlife Refuge .....	1,000,000
TX	Laguna Atascosa National Wildlife Refuge .....	500,000
TX	Lower Rio Grande Valley National Wildlife Refuge .....	1,000,000
TX	San Bernard National Wildlife Refuge .....	1,250,000
UT	Bear River Migratory Bird Refuge .....	1,300,000
VA	Back Bay National Wildlife Refuge .....	545,000
VA	James River National Wildlife Refuge .....	1,000,000
WA	Nisqually National Wildlife Refuge .....	500,000
WA	Turnbull National Wildlife Refuge .....	2,500,000
WA	Willapa National Wildlife Refuge .....	750,000

## FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE LAND ACQUISITION—Continued

State	Project	Committee recommendation
	Subtotal, Line Item Projects .....	63,235,000
	Acquisition Management .....	10,555,000
	User Pay Cost Share .....	2,000,000
	Exchanges .....	2,000,000
	Inholdings, Emergencies, and Hardships .....	5,000,000
	Total, Land Acquisition .....	82,790,000

## COOPERATIVE ENDANGERED SPECIES CONSERVATION FUND

Appropriations, 2009 .....	\$75,501,000
Budget estimate, 2010 .....	100,000,000
House allowance .....	100,000,000
Committee recommendation .....	85,001,000

The Committee recommends a total appropriation of \$85,001,000 for the cooperative endangered species conservation fund. This amount is \$9,500,000 above the fiscal year 2009 level.

The funds are distributed as follows: \$12,001,000 for endangered species conservation grants to States and territories; \$10,642,000 for habitat conservation planning grants; \$14,186,000 for species recovery land acquisition; \$40,508,000 for habitat conservation plan land acquisition; \$5,146,000 for the Idaho Salmon and Clearwater River Basins account established as part of the Nez Perce water rights settlement; and \$2,518,000 for program administration.

## NATIONAL WILDLIFE REFUGE FUND

Appropriations, 2009 .....	\$14,100,000
Budget estimate, 2010 .....	14,100,000
House allowance .....	14,100,000
Committee recommendation .....	14,500,000

The Committee recommends a total appropriation of \$14,500,000 for the national wildlife refuge fund. This amount is \$400,000 above the fiscal year 2009 level and the request. This account compensates counties for tax losses resulting from lands within county boundaries acquired, owned and managed by the Service.

## NORTH AMERICAN WETLANDS CONSERVATION FUND

Appropriations, 2009 .....	\$42,647,000
Budget estimate, 2010 .....	52,647,000
House allowance .....	52,647,000
Committee recommendation .....	45,147,000

The Committee recommends a total appropriation of \$45,147,000 for the North American wetlands conservation fund. This amount is \$2,500,000 above the fiscal year 2009 level and \$7,500,000 below the budget request. Of the total amount, \$1,806,000 is provided for program administration and support.

NEOTROPICAL MIGRATORY BIRD CONSERVATION FUND

Appropriations, 2009 .....	\$4,750,000
Budget estimate, 2010 .....	4,750,000
House allowance .....	5,250,000
Committee recommendation .....	5,000,000

The Committee recommends a total appropriation of \$5,000,000 for the neotropical migratory bird conservation fund. This amount is \$250,000 more than the 2009 appropriation and the budget request.

MULTINATIONAL SPECIES CONSERVATION FUND

Appropriations, 2009 .....	\$10,000,000
Budget estimate, 2010 .....	10,000,000
House allowance .....	11,500,000
Committee recommendation .....	11,500,000

The Committee recommends a total appropriation of \$11,500,000 for the multinational species conservation fund. This amount is \$1,500,000 above the fiscal year 2009 budget request. The total includes increases of \$500,000 for marine turtle conservation, \$500,000 for great ape conservation, and \$500,000 for rhinoceros and tiger conservation.

STATE AND TRIBAL WILDLIFE GRANTS

Appropriations, 2009 .....	\$75,000,000
Budget estimate, 2010 .....	115,000,000
House allowance .....	115,000,000
Committee recommendation .....	80,000,000

The Committee recommends a total appropriation of \$80,000,000 for State and tribal wildlife grants. This amount is \$5,000,000 above the fiscal year 2009 level and \$35,000,000 below the budget request.

The Committee does not agree to provide \$40,000,000 in additional funding for State climate change activities. The States have authority to proceed with their climate change activities within their share of the formula grants provided in this appropriation.

FEDERAL AID IN WILDLIFE RESTORATION

Appropriations, 2009 .....	.....
Budget estimate, 2010 .....	\$28,000,000
House allowance .....	.....
Committee recommendation .....	.....

The Committee does not create the new grants to States appropriation account for educating young hunters and anglers as requested by the administration. The Committee notes that increases totalling \$13,000,000 for youth programs and careers are provided in the Resource Management appropriation. Furthermore, over \$120,000,000 is available in 2010 for hunter and angler education programs through the Federal Aid in Wildlife Restoration and the Federal Aid in Sport Fish Restoration accounts. The Committee believes this is a sufficient amount and encourages the States to target generous amounts for youth education programs.



## NATIONAL PARK SERVICE

Since the creation of Yellowstone National Park in 1872, the National Park System has grown to encompass 390 sites spanning more than 84 million acres in 49 States, the District of Columbia, American Samoa, Guam, Puerto Rico, Saipan, and the Virgin Islands. The National Park Service, created in 1916, is charged with preserving these sites “unimpaired for the enjoyment of future generations.” The Service and its more than 20,000 employees also contribute to the protection of other historical, cultural and recreational resources through a variety of grant and technical assistance programs.

## OPERATION OF THE NATIONAL PARK SYSTEM

Appropriations, 2009 .....	\$2,131,529,000
Emergency supplementals, 2009 .....	146,000,000
Budget estimate, 2010 .....	2,266,016,000
House allowance .....	2,260,684,000
Committee recommendation .....	2,261,309,000

The Committee recommends a total appropriation of \$2,261,309,000 for the Operation of the National Park System account. This amount is \$129,780,000 above the fiscal year 2009 non-emergency level, and \$4,707,000 below the budget request. Within the increase, \$52,540,000 has been provided for base operational increases, while more than \$40,000,000 has been provided for full fixed costs. The following table provides a comparison of the budget estimate and the Committee’s recommendation in the major programmatic areas. Changes to the request are detailed following the table.

	Budget request	Committee recommendation	Change
Resource Stewardship .....	\$347,328,000	\$344,828,000	–\$2,500,000
Visitor Services .....	247,386,000	247,386,000	.....
Park Protection .....	368,698,000	368,698,000	.....
Facility Maintenance and Operations .....	705,220,000	703,013,000	–2,207,000
Park Support .....	441,854,000	441,854,000	.....
External Administrative Costs .....	155,530,000	155,530,000	.....
Total, Operation of the National Park System .....	2,266,016,000	2,261,309,000	–4,707,000

*Resource Stewardship.*—The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$344,828,000 for resource stewardship, a reduction of \$2,500,000 below the budget request. Changes to the request include a reduction of \$2,000,000 to the climate impacts initiative, leaving a total of \$8,000,000. While the Committee is fully supportive of the need to address the effects of climate change, the Service has not provided enough specific detail to justify the full expenditure of funds. As the appropriations process continues, the Committee welcomes the opportunity for further discussion with the Service. There is an additional reduction of \$500,000 to the ocean and coastal resource stewardship initiative, leaving a total of \$2,000,000. As proposed, the Service would expend \$1,500,000 in staff salaries to implement a \$1,000,000 program. The Committee strongly suggests the Service reevaluate that ratio.

*Visitor Services.*—The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$247,386,000 for visitor services. This amount is fully commensurate with the budget request, but includes the following change in how funding is allocated. The Committee directs that the interpretive renaissance plan be limited to \$375,000 to undertake the web learning component, and that \$1,000,000 be redirected toward the establishment of a pilot program for the teaching of American history and civics in the National Parks. The Service should work cooperatively with the Department of Education in developing curriculum and facilitate the process of bringing nationally renowned scholars to historically significant Park units to instruct students and teachers at the sites where many of the Nation's most important events occurred. The Committee expects to receive a report on the status of this pilot program within 90 days after the enactment of this act. Within the request, \$5,000,000 has been provided for the youth internship program, part of the department's 21st Century Youth Conservation Corps Initiative. The Committee applauds the Secretary's efforts to proactively reach out to high school and college-age youth and introduce those students to careers in cultural and natural resource management.

*Park Protection.*—The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$368,698,000 for park protection activities. This amount is fully commensurate with the budget request. Included in the request and the amount provided is \$102,647,000 for operations of the U.S. Park Police, an increase of \$5,000,000 over the fiscal year 2009 enacted level. This increase will allow the Service to achieve the target of 630 sworn officers, as well as implement the Inspector General recommendations on administrative reforms. In addition, the Committee notes that the budget request has retained \$3,300,000 in base funding provided in the fiscal year 2009 Interior appropriations bill for the Service's contribution of an expanded law enforcement effort to eradicate illegal drug operations on Service lands. Marijuana cultivation on public and tribal land in California and other Western States is significant. These illegal activities are being carried out by foreign drug trafficking organizations using armed, illegal aliens to grow and tend these gardens. This activity creates safety concerns for visitors, employees, and residents, and causes significant damage to natural resources. The Service is directed to report semi-annually on the progress being made in this area.

*Facility Maintenance and Operations.*—The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$703,013,000 for facility maintenance and operations, a reduction of \$2,207,000 below the budget request. The change to the request is a reduction of \$2,207,000 in facility maintenance for emergency storm damage preparedness. The Committee notes that an increase of \$1,000,000 for this activity is provided for under the Construction account, which is where this line item is properly carried.

*Park Support.*—The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$441,854,000 for park support activities. This amount is fully commensurate with the budget request.

*External Administrative Costs.*—The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$155,530,000 for external administrative costs. This amount is fully commensurate with the budget request.

*Mojave National Preserve Water Rights.*—The Committee is concerned with a 99-year lease agreement announced in September 2008 by Cadiz, Inc. and the Arizona & California Railroad Company, which purports to allow Cadiz to utilize a portion of the railroad's right-of-way for an underground water conveyance pipeline. The Committee is aware of a recent Federal court decision clarifying that rights of way such as this one granted under the 1875 General Railroad Right of Way Act are limited to uses for railroad purposes. *Home on the Range v. AT&T Corp.*, 386 F. Supp. 2d 999 (S.D. Ind. 2005) (rejecting 96 ID 439–445, M–3694 (1989)). Accordingly, the Committee believes that the conveyance of water is not within the scope of the easement granted to the Arizona & California Railroad Company, and has included bill language in section 109 directing the Department of the Interior to consider the decision and analysis in *Home on the Range* in determining whether the contract with Cadiz exceeds the rights granted to the Railroad under its Right of Way. The provision also retains language limiting funding for any proposal to approve specified rights-of-way or similar authorizations on the Mojave National Preserve or lands managed by the Needles Field Office of the Bureau of Land Management.

*Theodore Roosevelt National Park.*—The Committee recognizes that there is a significant elk overpopulation problem at the Theodore Roosevelt National Park in North Dakota. The Committee is nevertheless concerned that the National Park Service has spent years and hundreds of thousands of dollars considering a number of proposed solutions that are not protective of U.S. taxpayer dollars and are lacking in common sense. The Committee has therefore included language in section 119 which effectively directs the National Park Service to allow licensed North Dakota hunters to be deputized and to volunteer to cull the elk population in the Park. Each volunteer shall also be authorized to keep the meat of the elk he or she has culled. The approach has been used successfully at Grand Teton National Park and the Committee is therefore directing the Secretary of the Interior to follow the same common-sense approach to the elk overpopulation at the Theodore Roosevelt National Park. As at Grand Teton, each volunteer would be allowed to cull a single elk and to keep its meat, and the Secretary would have the discretion to select as many volunteers as necessary to sufficiently cull the elk overpopulation at the Park. Section 119 does not impinge on the authority of the National Park Service to test culled elk for chronic wasting disease, and the provision applies only to the Theodore Roosevelt National Park and to no other national parks.

*Point Reyes National Seashore.*—The Committee has included language in section 120 of the of the bill which directs the Secretary of the Interior to extend the existing authorization of Drake's Bay Oyster Company for a period of 10 years from November 30, 2012. The Committee understands that the National Academy of Sciences [NAS] is producing a report expected in fall 2009 that may address best management practices for oystering operations, control and eradication of tunicate *Didemnum*, and minimization of disturbance to marine mammals. The Committee directs the Service to provide a report, no later than 60 days after

the NAS study is made public, identifying any further research required to better manage the oystering maritime operations sustainably and economically within the Estero ecosystem. Further, the Committee directs the Service, within 60 days of enactment of this act, to prepare a report on the remaining 6,251 acres of potential wilderness (see November 18, 1999, page 63057 Notice of Designation of Potential Wilderness, Point Reyes National Seashore.)

*Sequoia National Park.*—The Committee is aware that the Department of the Interior has been negotiating the renewal terms of a special use permit for the Kaweah hydroelectric project inside Sequoia National Park. Initial proposals from the department would have resulted in a 2,545 percent fee increase to the operator. The Committee finds that situation unacceptable. As such, the Committee directs the department to continue its negotiations in an effort to reach a fair, cost-effective agreement for the terms of a 10-year special use permit.

*Paterson Great Falls National Historical Park.*—The Committee directs that \$250,000 shall be made available to undertake the preparation of the Paterson Great Falls NHP general management plan.

PARK PARTNERSHIP PROJECT GRANTS

Appropriations, 2009 .....	
Budget estimate, 2010 .....	\$25,000,000
House allowance .....	25,000,000
Committee recommendation .....	

The Committee has not recommended funding for Park Partnership Project Grants, as proposed in the budget request. While the Committee is aware that similar funding was provided in the fiscal year 2008 appropriation, two intervening factors have substantially altered the fiscal landscape. First, Congress recently provided the Service with \$735,000,000 through the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act. Clearly, not all of the funds provided in the Recovery Act will be, or could be, used for the type of projects that would otherwise be proposed through this appropriation, but a substantial amount of Recovery Act funding will be used for precisely this type of project. Secondly, the Committee notes that for the last 3 years, the Service has carried an average unobligated balance in the Recreation Fee program of approximately \$270,000,000 per year. Indeed, the current unobligated balance is \$255,000,000. The Committee believes that, before further funds are provided for park-specific projects, Recovery Act funds and Recreation Fee funds must be fully utilized.

NATIONAL RECREATION AND PRESERVATION

Appropriations, 2009 .....	\$59,684,000
Budget estimate, 2010 .....	53,908,000
House allowance .....	59,386,000
Committee recommendation .....	67,438,000

The Committee recommends a total appropriation of \$67,438,000 for the National Recreation and Preservation account. This amount is \$7,754,000 above the fiscal year 2009 level and \$13,530,000 above the budget request. The following table provides a comparison of the budget estimate and the Committee's recommendation in

the major programmatic areas. Changes to the request are detailed following the table.

	Budget request	Committee recommendation	Change
Recreation programs .....	\$591,000	\$591,000	.....
Natural programs .....	10,713,000	10,713,000	.....
Cultural programs .....	23,026,000	26,026,000	+ \$3,000,000
Environmental compliance .....	434,000	434,000	.....
Grants administration .....	1,753,000	1,753,000	.....
International park affairs .....	1,655,000	1,655,000	.....
Heritage Area partnerships .....	15,736,000	17,741,000	+ 2,005,000
Preserve America .....	.....	3,175,000	+ 3,175,000
Statutory or contractual aid .....	.....	5,350,000	+ 5,350,000
Total, National Recreation and Preservation .....	53,908,000	67,438,000	+ 13,530,000

*Cultural Programs.*—The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$26,026,000 for cultural programs, an increase of \$3,000,000 over the budget request. The increase is for additional grants as authorized by Public Law 109–441, the Japanese American Confinement Site grant program.

*Heritage Area Partnership Program.*—The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$17,741,000 for the heritage area program, an increase of \$2,005,000 over the budget request. This level of funding would allow the Service to continue funding established Heritage Areas at the current enacted level; provide base funding for those authorized Areas without an approved management plan; and provide a small increase for those Areas that have had their management plan approved during the past year.

*Preserve America.*—The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$3,175,000 for the Preserve America program. The Committee has provided this funding under the National Recreation and Preservation account instead of under the Historic Preservation Fund account as proposed. As noted in the request, the Preserve America program is focused on heritage tourism and the Committee believes that such activities are more properly accounted for under this heading.

*Statutory or Contractual Aid.*—The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$5,350,000 for the statutory or contractual aid program, an increase of \$5,350,000 above the budget request. These funds are allocated to the following organizations for the purpose of operating, managing and preserving resources as authorized by law. The Committee directs that funding for the Hudson-Champlain Quadricentennial be divided equally between the qualifying entities.

State	Project	Amount
CA	Angel Island Immigration Station (Public Law 109–119) .....	\$1,000,000
MD, VA	Chesapeake Bay Gateways (Public Law 107–308) .....	1,000,000
VT, NY	Hudson-Champlain Quadricentennial (Public Law 110–229) .....	750,000
NH	Lamprey Wild & Scenic River (Public Law 90–542) .....	200,000
HI	National Tropical Botanical Garden (Public Law 111–11) .....	500,000
HI	Native Hawaiian Culture & Arts program (Public Law 99–498) .....	500,000
DC	Sewall-Belmont House (Public Law 99–498) .....	1,000,000
CA	Yosemite schools (Public Law 109–131) .....	400,000
	Total .....	5,350,000

## HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND

Appropriations, 2009 .....	\$69,500,000
Emergency supplementals, 2009 .....	15,000,000
Budget estimate, 2010 .....	77,675,000
House allowance .....	91,675,000
Committee recommendation .....	74,500,000

The Committee recommends a total appropriation of \$74,500,000 for the Historic Preservation Fund account. This amount is \$5,000,000 above the fiscal year 2009 non-emergency level and \$3,175,000 below the budget request. The following table provides a comparison of the budget estimate and the Committee's recommendations in the major programmatic areas. Changes to the request are detailed below.

	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change
Grants to States .....	\$46,500,000	\$46,500,000	.....
Grants to tribes .....	8,000,000	8,000,000	.....
Save America's Treasures .....	20,000,000	20,000,000	.....
Preserve America .....	3,175,000	.....	-\$3,175,000
Total, Historic Preservation Fund .....	77,675,000	74,500,000	-3,175,000

*Save America's Treasures.*—As in past years, the Committee has been virtually overwhelmed with funding requests, both in terms of the number of requests submitted and the dollar value of the projects requested. The Committee believes that this attests to the widespread national support that this program has garnered. The fundamental purpose of Save America's Treasures grants is for the preservation and restoration of important heritage and historical resources. As such, the Committee recommends the following allocations be made:

State	Project	Amount
AL	Swayne Hall, Talladega .....	\$500,000
CA	Mission Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara .....	650,000
FL	Freedom Tower, Miami .....	500,000
IA	Des Moines Art Center, Des Moines .....	200,000
KS	Colonial Fox Theater, Pittsburg .....	500,000
MI	Big Sable Lighthouse, Luddington .....	100,000
MS	Madison County Courthouse .....	500,000
MS	Medgar Evers site, Jackson .....	250,000
NV	Lincoln County Courthouse, Pioche .....	200,000
NY	Strand Theater, Plattsburgh .....	200,000
NY	Richard Olmsted Complex, Buffalo .....	200,000
OR	Wallowa County Courthouse, Enterprise .....	200,000
RI	Warwick City Hall, Warwick .....	350,000
SD	State Theater, Sioux Falls .....	200,000
TN	Blount Mansion, Knoxville .....	250,000
WV	Capitol Theater, Wheeling .....	200,000
	Total .....	5,000,000

*Preserve America.*—The change to the request is a reduction of \$3,175,000 for Preserve America grants from the Historic Preservation Fund. Funding for this program has been included under the "National Recreation and Preservation" account.

## CONSTRUCTION

Appropriations, 2009 .....	\$233,158,000
Emergency supplementals, 2009 .....	589,000,000
Budget estimate, 2010 .....	205,991,000
House allowance .....	213,691,000
Committee recommendation .....	219,731,000

The Committee recommends a total appropriation of \$219,731,000 for the "Construction" account. This amount is \$13,427,000 below the fiscal year 2009 non-emergency level, and \$13,740,000 above the budget request. Changes to the request include an increase of \$315,000 for Special Resource Studies, which will allow the Service to undertake the many worthwhile studies recently authorized in Public Law 111-11, including the Tule Lake Segregation Center study. In addition, there is an increase of \$13,425,000 for line item construction. The following table provides details of the line item activity.

## NATIONAL PARK SERVICE CONSTRUCTION

State	Park	Committee recommendation
AK	Katmai National Park & Preserve (Brooks Camp) .....	\$6,471,000
AZ	Chiricahua National Monument (sewer system) .....	2,410,000
AZ	Grand Canyon National Park (employee housing) .....	16,890,000
CA	Golden Gate National Recreation Area (Alcatraz) .....	4,000,000
CA	Manzanar National Historic Site (barracks reconstruction) .....	900,000
CA	Point Reyes National Seashore (dune habitat) .....	2,803,000
CO	Mesa Verde National Park (curation center) .....	11,675,000
CO	Mesa Verde National Park (visitor center) .....	10,500,000
DC	George Washington Memorial Parkway (Roosevelt Mem.) .....	1,706,000
DC	Rock Creek Park (Meridian Hill) .....	3,844,000
FL	Everglades National Park (Mod. Waters) .....	4,200,000
GA	Fort Pulaski National Monument (Cockspur lighthouse) .....	1,577,000
IN	George Rogers Clark National Historical Park (floodwall) .....	3,600,000
MA	New Bedford Whaling National Historical Park (Bourne bldg) .....	1,500,000
MI	Keweenaw National Historical Park (Quincy stabilization) .....	1,000,000
MO	Harry S Truman National Historical Site (Noland House) .....	1,018,000
MT	Glacier National Park (Many Glaciers) .....	8,507,000
NC	Blue Ridge Parkway (retaining wall) .....	2,728,000
NJ, PA	Delaware Water Gap Nat'l Rec Area (hazardous structures) .....	2,234,000
PA	Delaware Water Gap Nat'l Rec Area (Childs Park) .....	3,048,000
PA	Flight 93 National Memorial (memorial) .....	725,000
TN	Great Smoky Mountains National Park (curation center) .....	1,500,000
TN	Great Smoky Mountains National Park (wastewater facilities) .....	1,940,000
UT	Utah Public Lands Artifacts Act (facilities) .....	1,000,000
WA	Olympic National Park (Elwha) .....	20,000,000
WV	Harpers Ferry National Historical Park (Allstadt; fire systems) .....	675,000
WV	New River Gorge National River (Camp Brookside) .....	625,000
WY	Grand Teton National Park (housing) .....	13,174,000
	Total .....	130,250,000

The funding for wastewater projects in the Great Smoky Mountains National Park shall be allocated as follows: \$1,600,000 for the Tremont project and \$340,000 for the Cosby project.

## LAND AND WATER CONSERVATION FUND

## (RESCISSION)

Appropriations, 2009 .....	-\$30,000,000
Budget estimate, 2010 .....	- 30,000,000
House allowance .....	- 30,000,000
Committee recommendation .....	- 30,000,000

The Committee recommends a rescission of \$30,000,000 in annual contract authority provided by 16 U.S.C. 460l-10a. This authority has not been used in recent years and there are no plans to use it in fiscal year 2010.

## LAND ACQUISITION AND STATE ASSISTANCE

Appropriations, 2009 .....	\$64,190,000
Budget estimate, 2010 .....	98,000,000
House allowance .....	113,222,000
Committee recommendation .....	118,586,000

The Committee recommends \$118,586,000 for land acquisition and State assistance, an increase of \$20,586,000 above the budget request and \$54,396,000 above the fiscal year 2009 enacted level.

The Committee recommends the following distribution of funds:

## NATIONAL PARK SERVICE LAND ACQUISITION AND STATE ASSISTANCE

State	Project	Committee recommendation
AL	Little River Canyon National Preserve .....	\$1,500,000
AR, OK	Fort Smith National Historic Site .....	362,000
AZ	Petrified Forest National Park .....	4,575,000
CA	Golden Gate National Recreation Area—Rancho Corral de Tierra .....	6,000,000
CA	Mojave National Preserve, Joshua Tree NP, Death Valley NP .....	1,000,000
CA	Santa Monica Mountains National Recreation Area .....	2,000,000
GA	Chattahoochee River National Recreation Area .....	3,100,000
KY	Cumberland Gap National Historical Park .....	1,150,000
MI	Sleeping Bear Dunes National Lakeshore .....	1,000,000
MO	Harry S Truman National Historic Site .....	1,300,000
MS	Natchez National Historical Park .....	264,000
Multi	Civil War Battlefield Preservation Grants .....	4,000,000
NC	Guilford Courthouse National Military Park .....	880,000
NC, VA	Blue Ridge Parkway .....	1,250,000
NH	Appalachian National Scenic Trail .....	1,375,000
OH	Cuyahoga Valley National Park .....	4,000,000
PA	Appalachian National Scenic Trail .....	1,820,000
SC	Congaree National Park .....	2,690,000
TN	Shiloh National Military Park .....	250,000
TX	Big Thicket National Preserve .....	5,000,000
TX	Fort Davis National Historic Site .....	500,000
TX	Palo Alto Battlefield National Historic Site .....	4,120,000
VA	Prince William Forest Park .....	425,000
VI	Virgin Islands National Park .....	3,250,000
VT	Appalachian National Scenic Trail .....	625,000
WA	Mt. Rainier National Park .....	2,150,000
WA	Olympic National Park .....	3,000,000
WA	San Juan Islands National Historic Park .....	6,000,000
WV	Gauley River National Recreation Area .....	500,000
WV	New River Gorge National River .....	500,000
	Subtotal, Line Item Projects .....	64,586,000
	Acquisition Management .....	10,000,000
	Emergencies and Hardship .....	3,000,000



## NATIONAL PARK SERVICE LAND ACQUISITION AND STATE ASSISTANCE—Continued

State	Project	Committee recommendation
	Inholdings and Exchanges .....	6,000,000
	Subtotal, Land Acquisition .....	83,586,000
	State Conservation Grants .....	32,000,000
	State Conservation Grant Administration .....	3,000,000
	Subtotal, State Assistance .....	35,000,000
	Total, Land Acquisition .....	118,586,000

The amount provided for the Virgin Islands National Park is to be divided \$2,250,000 for the Maho Bay project and \$1,000,000 for the Hawksnest Bay property.

## ENERGY AND MINERALS

## U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

Established in 1879, the U.S. Geological Survey [USGS] serves as the Earth and natural science research bureau for the Department of the Interior and is the only integrated natural resources research bureau in the Federal Government. The Survey conducts research, monitoring, and assessments to contribute to understanding America's lands, water, and biological resources. Its research and data products support the Department's resource and land management needs and also provide the water, biological, energy, and mineral resources information needed by other Federal, State, tribal, and local government agencies to guide planning, management, and regulatory programs. More than 9,000 scientists, technicians, and support staff of the USGS are located in nearly 400 offices in every State and in several foreign countries throughout the world. The USGS leverages its resources and expertise in partnership with more than 2,000 agencies of Federal, State, local, and tribal governments; the academic community; non-governmental organizations; and the private sector.

## SURVEYS, INVESTIGATIONS, AND RESEARCH

Appropriations, 2009 .....	\$1,043,803,000
Emergency supplementals, 2009 .....	140,000,000
Budget estimate, 2010 .....	1,097,844,000
House allowance .....	1,105,744,000
Committee recommendation .....	1,104,340,000

The Committee recommends \$1,104,340,000 for the U.S. Geological Survey for surveys, investigations and research, an increase of \$60,537,000 above the fiscal year 2009 non-emergency funding level and \$6,496,000 above the budget request.

*Geographic Research, Investigations, and Remote Sensing.*—The Committee recommends \$143,940,000 for geographic research, investigations and remote sensing activities, the same amount as the budget request. In agreement with the request, the Committee has accepted the administration's proposal to return the national geospatial program and funding of \$68,001,000 to this activity from

the enterprise information activity. Both the budget request and the Committee recommendation include a program increase above the current year enacted level of \$300,000 for a biofuels initiative.

*Geologic Hazards, Processes, and Research.*—The Committee recommends \$247,881,000 for geologic hazards, resources and processes, an increase of \$900,000 above the budget request. The Committee has included an amount of \$250,000 to initiate and support a cooperative partnership between the University of Hawaii-Manoa and the USGS Hawaii Volcano Observatory. The agreement is intended to formalize the collaborative relationship that has been established between the two entities for monitoring, hazards assessments and other research in an area of highly active volcanoes. An amount of \$650,000 has been included to continue the Nye County, Nevada minerals assessment project that was initiated last year. The budget request and the Committee's recommendation include increases above the enacted level of \$1,000,000 to expand extended continental shelf studies, and \$1,475,000 for wind, solar, geothermal, and biofuels research.

*Water Resources Investigations.*—The Committee recommends \$231,027,000 for water resources investigations, an increase of \$3,146,000 above the budget request. Additional funds are provided for the following: \$900,000 to continue the San Diego, California Formation mapping project; \$500,000 to continue United States-Mexico transboundary aquifer assessments; \$400,000 to continue the Survey's participation in the work of the Long-term Estuary Assessment Group, Louisiana; \$346,000 above the \$154,000 included in the budget proposal to provide a total of \$500,000 for monitoring activities and toxic studies in the Lake Champlain Basin; \$500,000 for a water resources assessment of Maryland's Coastal Plain and Piedmont aquifer systems; and \$500,000 to continue well monitoring and other water resources assessments in Hawaii. The budget request and the Committee's recommendation include increases above the enacted level of \$5,000,000 to enhance the National Streamgauge Network, and \$200,000 for research on the environmental effects of biofuels development.

*Biological Research.*—The Committee recommends an amount of \$202,724,000 for biological research, an increase of \$3,450,000 above the budget request. Changes to the budget request include programmatic increases of \$750,000 for general genetics and genomic research, \$600,000 for tropical ecosystems and watershed health research, and \$750,000 for the National Biological Information Infrastructure [NBII]. The increase in funding for the NBII is intended to support work in the highest priority areas of invasive species, pollinators, and protected area information. The NBII has sustained a series of budget reductions in recent years, which the Committee has attempted to partially address with this increase. Other increases above the request include \$1,000,000 to continue San Francisco, California Salt Ponds monitoring and research, and \$350,000 for the development and testing of protocols for monitoring invasive species, including zebra mussels, in the Columbia River Basin in collaboration with Washington State University and its partners. The budget request and the Committee's recommendation for biological research include the following increases above the current year enacted level: \$4,200,000 for Arctic ecosystems re-

search, \$5,000,000 to provide additional support for U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service climate change activities, \$1,025,000 to study the effects of renewable energy sources on ecosystems and wildlife populations, and \$2,000,000 for the Cooperative Research Units.

*Enterprise Information.*—The Committee recommends \$44,969,000 for enterprise information activities, a decrease of \$1,000,000 from the budget request. The Committee has provided \$1,000,000 for the 21st Century Youth Conservation Corps Initiative rather than the \$2,000,000 included in the budget proposal. In agreement with the budget request, the Committee has transferred \$68,001,000 from enterprise information to the geographic research, investigations and remote sensing activity where it is more appropriately housed.

*Global Climate Change.*—The Committee recommends \$58,177,000 for global climate change activities, the same amount as the budget request. Increases above the current year enacted level include \$5,000,000 for climate change science, \$5,000,000 for the National Climate Change and Wildlife Science Center, and \$7,000,000 to expand carbon sequestration research.

As part of its Global Climate Change agenda the committee encourages USGS to consider pursuing research on sensitive landscapes which can offer early indications that foreshadow additional evaluation needs associated with climate change impacts. In particular, additional monitoring and observation of aquifers such as those in the High Plains Grass Lands may be useful and provide early indications of climate change and its impact on rangelands, agricultural lands and vegetation.

*Science Support.*—The Committee recommends \$69,225,000 for bureau operations, the same amount as the budget request.

*Facilities.*—The Committee recommends \$106,397,000 for the Survey's facilities program, the same amount as the budget request.

#### MINERALS MANAGEMENT SERVICE

The Minerals Management Service oversees 1.76 billion acres of the Outer Continental Shelf [OCS], managing offshore energy and minerals while protecting the human, marine, and coastal environments through advanced science and technology research. The OCS provides 27 percent of oil and almost 14 percent of natural gas produced domestically, and sand used for coastal restoration. Also within MMS, the Minerals Revenue Management [MRM] program collects, accounts for, and disburses revenues from mineral leases on OCS, Federal, and American Indian lands. Through the work of MRM, MMS processes nearly \$1,000,000,000 mineral revenue transactions per month from more than 29,000 producing leases, and it manages and distributes, on average, over \$13,000,000,000 of mineral revenues collected annually.

Since its inception in 1982, MMS has collected and distributed more than \$200,000,000,000 in revenues from onshore and offshore lands. Over the past 5 years, disbursements have averaged over \$13,000,000,000 per year. The MMS distribution of mineral revenues to the U.S. Treasury is one of the Federal Government's greatest sources of non-tax income.

## ROYALTY AND OFFSHORE MINERALS MANAGEMENT

Appropriations, 2009 .....	\$157,373,000
Budget estimate, 2010 .....	174,317,000
House allowance .....	174,317,000
Committee recommendation .....	175,217,000

The Committee recommends \$175,217,000 for royalty and offshore minerals management, which is an increase of \$17,844,000 above the fiscal year 2009 enacted level and \$900,000 above the budget request. In addition, the Committee estimates \$166,730,000 in offsetting collections, which is an increase of \$20,000,000 above the previous year.

The following table provides a comparison of the budget estimate and the Committee's recommendation in the major programmatic areas.

	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change
Offshore energy and minerals management .....	\$195,974,000	\$196,874,000	+ \$900,000
Minerals revenue management .....	89,374,000	89,374,000	.....
General administration .....	55,699,000	55,699,000	.....
Use of receipts .....	- 166,730,000	- 166,730,000	.....
Total, royalty and offshore minerals management ....	174,317,000	175,217,000	+ 900,000

Changes to the 2009 enacted level include the addition of \$6,520,000 to meet fixed cost increases and programmatic changes totaling \$30,424,000. These increases are offset by the estimated increase in receipts of \$20,000,000 over 2009. The fixed cost and programmatic increases are applied to the subactivities identified in the budget request.

The program changes recommended by the Committee are the same as those included in the budget request with the addition of one ongoing program which the budget proposed for discontinuation. The Committee does not agree to eliminate funding for the Center for Marine Resources and Environment in Mississippi and provides \$900,000 in 2010.

The Committee recommendation provides full funding of the budget request for the following program increases: \$9,160,000 for the leasing and environmental program; \$1,100,000 for the resource evaluation program; \$2,300,000 for the regulatory program and \$15,640,000 for renewable energy.

## OIL SPILL RESEARCH

Appropriations, 2009 .....	\$6,303,000
Budget estimate, 2010 .....	6,303,000
House allowance .....	6,303,000
Committee recommendation .....	6,303,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$6,303,000 for oil spill research, which is equal to the fiscal year 2009 enacted level and the budget request.

## OFFICE OF SURFACE MINING RECLAMATION AND ENFORCEMENT

The Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement [OSM] was established in 1977 to oversee and carry out the requirements of the Surface Mining Control and Reclamation Act

[SMCRA] in concert with States and Indian tribes. OSM's primary objectives are to ensure coal mining activities are conducted in a manner that protects citizens and the environment during mining, ensure the land is properly reclaimed, and mitigate effects of past mining by reclaiming abandoned coal mines. OSM addresses its mission with a mix of grants to States and tribes to carry out their own regulatory and reclamation programs, and the administration of OSM's own regulatory and reclamation programs.

The Surface Mining Control and Reclamation Act Amendments of 2006 (Public Law 109-432) revised the mine reclamation fee distribution mechanism beginning in fiscal year 2008. State and tribal reclamation grants are now provided under mandatory appropriations instead of this bill.

REGULATION AND TECHNOLOGY

Appropriations, 2009 .....	\$120,156,000
Budget estimate, 2010 .....	127,180,000
House allowance .....	127,280,000
Committee recommendation .....	127,180,000

The Committee recommends a total appropriation of \$127,180,000 for the regulation and technology account. This amount is \$7,024,000 above the fiscal year 2009 level and the same as the budget request.

The Committee has included a \$5,778,000 increase for State programs and \$1,246,000 for fixed costs, as included in the request.

A comparison of the Committee recommendation and the budget estimate follows:

	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change
Environmental restoration .....	\$160,000	\$160,000	.....
Environmental protection .....	94,771,000	94,771,000	.....
Technology development and transfer .....	15,663,000	15,663,000	.....
Financial management .....	516,000	516,000	.....
Executive direction .....	16,070,000	16,070,000	.....
Subtotal, regulation and technology .....	127,180,000	127,180,000	.....
Civil penalties .....	100,000	100,000	.....
Total, regulation and technology .....	127,280,000	127,280,000	.....

ABANDONED MINE RECLAMATION FUND

Appropriations, 2009 .....	\$52,946,000
Budget estimate, 2010 .....	32,088,000
House allowance .....	32,088,000
Committee recommendation .....	39,588,000

The Committee recommends a total appropriation of \$39,588,000 for the abandoned mine reclamation fund. This amount is \$13,358,000 below the 2009 enacted level and \$7,500,000 over the budget request. There is an increase of \$642,000 for fixed costs.

The Committee rejects the proposal in the budget request to permanently discontinue funding for State emergency grants and Federal emergency projects. The SMCRA amendments did not provide a permanent source of funding for emergency programs, thus they must continue to be funded with discretionary appropriations.

However, the Committee is aware that the emergency abandoned mine land account has a large unobligated balance. Therefore, the Committee recommends a reduction of \$14,000,000 below the enacted level which should provide sufficient funding for fiscal year 2010.

The following table provides a comparison of the budget estimate and the Committee's recommendation in the major programmatic areas.

	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change
Environmental restoration .....	\$12,864,000	\$20,364,000	+\$7,500,000
Technology development and transfer .....	4,032,000	4,032,000	.....
Financial management .....	6,961,000	6,961,000	.....
Executive direction .....	8,231,000	8,231,000	.....
Total .....	32,088,000	39,588,000	+ 7,500,000

## INDIAN AFFAIRS

### BUREAU OF INDIAN AFFAIRS

The Bureau of Indian Affairs [BIA] was founded in 1824 to uphold a Government-to-government relationship between the Federal Government and tribal entities. The Federal Government retained trust responsibility for individual Indians and tribes as a result of formal treaties and agreements with Native Americans.

The Bureau provides services directly or through contracts, grants, or compacts to a population of 1.5 million American Indians and Alaska Natives who are members of 562 federally recognized Indian tribes in the lower 48 States and Alaska. Programs administered by the BIA and Tribes include an education system for almost 48,000 elementary and secondary students; 28 tribal colleges, universities and post secondary schools; social services; natural resource management on 56 million acres of trust land; economic development; law enforcement; administration of tribal courts; implementation of land and water claim settlements; replacement and repair of schools; repair and maintenance of roads and bridges; and repair of structural deficiencies on high hazard dams.

### OPERATION OF INDIAN PROGRAMS

#### (INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

Appropriations, 2009 .....	\$2,128,630,000
Emergency supplementals, 2009 .....	40,000,000
Budget estimate, 2010 .....	2,278,809,000
House allowance .....	2,300,099,000
Committee recommendation .....	2,309,322,000

The Committee recommends a total appropriation of \$2,309,322,000 for the "Operation of Indian Programs" account. This amount is \$180,692,000 above the fiscal year 2009 non-emergency level and \$30,513,000 above the budget request. The following table provides a comparison of the budget estimate and the Committee's recommendations in the major programmatic areas.

	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change
TRIBAL BUDGET SYSTEM			
Tribal Government .....	\$416,572,000	\$418,572,000	+\$2,000,000
Human Services .....	136,996,000	138,059,000	+ 1,063,000
Natural Resources Management .....	160,768,000	161,618,000	+ 850,000
Real Estate Services .....	152,493,000	152,493,000	.....
Education .....	796,300,000	797,900,000	+ 1,600,000
Public Safety and Justice .....	303,855,000	328,855,000	+ 25,000,000
Community and Economic Development .....	43,910,000	43,910,000	.....
Executive Direction and Administrative Services .....	267,915,000	267,915,000	.....
Total, Operation of Indian Programs .....	2,278,809,000	2,309,322,000	+ 30,513,000

*Tribal Government.*—The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$418,572,000 for tribal government support. This amount is \$2,000,000 above the budget request and includes an increase of that amount for contract support costs.

*Human Services.*—The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$138,059,000 for human services. This amount is \$1,063,000 above the budget request and includes an increase of that amount in the housing improvement program. The increase will restore the program to the fiscal year 2009 level, plus fixed costs.

*Natural Resources Management.*—The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$161,618,000 for natural resources management. This amount is \$850,000 above the budget request and includes an increase of that amount in the tribal management and development program, of which \$350,000 is for the Upper Columbia United Tribes to comply with Federal resource management mandates, and \$500,000 is for the Cheyenne River Sioux Tribe's prairie management program.

*Real Estate Services.*—The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$152,493,000 for real estate services. This amount is commensurate with the budget request.

*Education.*—The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$797,900,000 for education programs. This amount is \$1,600,000 above the budget request and includes an increase of that amount in post secondary programs, of which \$1,000,000 is a general program increase for Haskell and SIPI, the two Bureau-operated colleges, and \$600,000 is a general program increase for UTTC and NTC, the two tribally operated technical colleges. In both cases, the increases are to be divided proportionally between the respective schools. The Committee also notes that included in the overall appropriation for education programs is \$50,000,000 of postsecondary school forward funding as requested by the Bureau.

*Public Safety and Justice.*—The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$328,855,000 for public safety and justice. This amount is \$25,000,000 above the budget request, and represents half of the \$50,000,000 of additional funding the Committee is providing for increased law enforcement activities in Indian country. The Committee has provided language in the bill directing that these funds shall be for public safety and justice programs as authorized by the Emergency Fund for Indian Safety and Health, established by section 601 of Public Law 110-293. It is the Committee's intent that these funds shall be made available to the Bureau and would be allocated as follows: \$20,000,000 in law enforcement,

of which \$10,000,000 is for criminal investigations and police services; \$5,000,000 is for detention and corrections; \$1,000,000 is for law enforcement special initiatives; \$1,000,000 is for additional training classes at the Indian Police Academy; and \$3,000,000 is for program management. Additional changes include an increase of \$5,000,000 for tribal courts. The Committee is providing these funds to augment the Bureau's planned increases in police officers, detention and correctional center officers, and other costs associated with training officers and housing offenders.

The Committee notes that within the funds provided, \$500,000 is being made available as requested for the Indian Police Academy's outreach initiative. The Academy is working to establish satellite training programs in conjunction with universities, including United Tribes Technical College in Bismarck, North Dakota. The Committee recognizes the high crime rate in Indian country and the need for more Indian law enforcement personnel. Sadly, less than 3,000 tribal and BIA officers patrol more than 56 million acres of Indian land. The Indian Police Academy graduates only 80 new officers each year and has an annual attrition rate of 47 percent. The Committee applauds the Bureau for taking this important first step in establishing increased training opportunities in areas closer to the duty stations of the officers being trained, and directs BIA to provide a strategy and timeline for boosting Indian law enforcement training, including the possible addition of a second police academy in the Northern Great Plains.

*Community and Economic Development.*—The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$43,910,000 for community and economic development. This amount is commensurate with the budget request.

*Executive Direction and Administrative Services.*—The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$267,915,000 for executive direction and administrative services. This amount is commensurate with the budget request.

*Other Matters.*—The Committee is concerned with the rate of progress being made in addressing the management and funding deficiencies in the Wapato irrigation project, located near Yakima, Washington. The Committee notes a 2006 report by the Government Accountability Office which identified various concerns related to project management, deferred maintenance, and financial stability. The Committee directs the Bureau to report by January 1, 2010, on specific short term and long term actions necessary to alleviate these problems and a schedule for implementation.

CONSTRUCTION

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

Appropriations, 2009 .....	\$217,688,000
Emergency supplementals, 2009 .....	450,000,000
Budget estimate, 2010 .....	200,000,000
House allowance .....	200,000,000
Committee recommendation .....	225,000,000

The Committee recommends a total appropriation of \$225,000,000 for the "Construction" account. This amount is \$7,312,000 above the fiscal year 2009 non-emergency level and



\$25,000,000 above the budget request. The following table provides a comparison of the budget estimate and the Committee’s recommendations in the major programmatic areas.

	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change
Education .....	\$112,994,000	\$112,994,000	.....
Public Safety and Justice .....	39,407,000	64,407,000	+ \$25,000,000
Resources Management .....	38,385,000	38,385,000	.....
General Administration .....	2,064,000	2,064,000	.....
Construction Program Management .....	7,150,000	7,150,000	.....
Total, Construction .....	200,000,000	225,000,000	+ 25,000,000

*Public Safety and Justice.*—The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$225,000,000. This amount is \$25,000,000 above the budget request, and represents half of the \$50,000,000 of additional funding the Committee is providing for increased law enforcement activities in Indian country. Changes to the request include an increase of \$20,000,000 in facilities improvement and repair, and an increase of \$5,000,000 in employee housing. The Committee believes that infrastructure investments are as important as increases in the number of police officers, and urges the Bureau to expedite the use of these construction dollars.

INDIAN LAND AND WATER CLAIMS SETTLEMENTS AND MISCELLANEOUS PAYMENTS TO INDIANS

Appropriations, 2009 .....	\$21,627,000
Budget estimate, 2010 .....	47,380,000
House allowance .....	47,380,000
Committee recommendation .....	47,380,000

The Committee recommends a total appropriation of \$47,380,000 for the “Indian Land and Water Claims Settlements” and “Miscellaneous Payments to Indians” account. This amount is \$25,753,000 above the fiscal year 2009 level and equal to the budget request.

INDIAN LAND CONSOLIDATION, BIA

Appropriations, 2009 .....	.....
Budget estimate, 2010 .....	\$3,000,000
House allowance .....	3,000,000
Committee recommendation .....	3,000,000

The Committee recommends a total appropriation of \$3,000,000 for the Indian Land Consolidation program. This amount is \$3,000,000 above the fiscal year 2009 enacted level and equal to the budget request.

INDIAN GUARANTEED LOAN PROGRAM ACCOUNT

Appropriations, 2009 .....	\$8,186,000
Emergency supplementals, 2009 .....	10,000,000
Budget estimate, 2010 .....	8,215,000
House allowance .....	8,215,000
Committee recommendation .....	8,215,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$8,215,000 for the Indian Guaranteed Loan Program account. This amount is

\$29,000 above the fiscal year 2009 non-emergency level and equal to the budget request.

DEPARTMENTAL OFFICES  
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY  
SALARIES AND EXPENSES

Appropriations, 2009 .....	\$107,264,000
Budget estimate, 2010 .....	118,836,000
House allowance .....	118,836,000
Committee recommendation .....	118,836,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$118,836,000 for the “Office of the Secretary” account. This amount is \$11,572,000 above the fiscal year 2009 enacted level, and equal to the budget request.

The Committee is concerned with the growing quagga mussel infestation in Western States and the Lower Colorado River, which poses a serious threat to Federal, State, and local natural resources and infrastructure. The Committee directs the Secretary to develop an Invasive Mussel Control Plan for both quagga and zebra mussels, and to provide the Committee a preliminary plan within 90 days and a final plan no later than 180 days following enactment of this act.

The Committee has provided bill language allowing the Secretary to make adjustments to prior year payments under the Payments In Lieu of Taxes program to account for corrected data that comes in from Federal agencies.

INSULAR AFFAIRS

The Office of Insular Affairs [OIA] was established on August 4, 1995 through Secretarial Order No. 3191, which also abolished the former Office of Territorial and International Affairs. OIA has administrative responsibility for coordinating Federal policy in the territories of American Samoa, Guam, the U.S. Virgin Islands, and the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands [CNMI], and oversight of Federal programs and funds in the freely associated states of the Federated States of Micronesia [FSM], the Republic of the Marshall Islands [RMI], and the Republic of Palau.

Following the expiration of the first Compact of Free Association in 2003, a new Compact of Free Association was negotiated between the United States and the states of FSM and RMI. Under the Compact, the status of free association recognizes each Freely Associated State as a sovereign state with the capacity to conduct foreign affairs consistent with the terms of the Compact. The Compact places full responsibility for defense with the United States. The Compact also provides grant funds and Federal program assistance, principally through the Department of the Interior.

ASSISTANCE TO TERRITORIES

Appropriations, 2009 .....	\$78,665,000
Budget estimate, 2010 .....	81,077,000
House allowance .....	83,995,000
Committee recommendation .....	81,095,000

The Committee recommends a total appropriation of \$81,095,000 for assistance to territories. This amount is \$2,430,000 above the fiscal year 2009 level and \$18,000 above the budget request.

The amounts recommended by the Committee for assistance to territories compared to the request are shown in the following table:

	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change
Territorial assistance:			
Office of Insular Affairs .....	\$9,280,000	\$9,280,000	.....
Technical assistance .....	11,000,000	11,018,000	+ \$18,000
Maintenance assistance fund .....	2,241,000	2,241,000	.....
Brown tree snake .....	2,631,000	2,631,000	.....
Insular management controls .....	1,453,000	1,453,000	.....
Coral reef initiative .....	1,000,000	1,000,000	.....
Waste and wastewater projects .....	1,000,000	1,000,000	.....
Guam infrastructure .....	2,000,000	2,000,000	.....
Subtotal, territorial assistance .....	30,605,000	30,623,000	+ 18,000
American Samoa: Operations grants .....	22,752,000	22,752,000	.....
Northern Mariana Islands: Covenant grants .....	27,720,000	27,720,000	.....
Total, assistance to territories .....	81,077,000	81,095,000	.....

*American Samoa Operations Grants/American Samoa Construction.*—The Committee recommends \$22,752,000 for operations grants to American Samoa, which is equal to the budget request and the 2009 level.

*CNMI/Covenant Grants.*—The Committee recommends \$27,720,000 for covenant grants, which is equal to the 2009 level and the request.

#### COMPACT OF FREE ASSOCIATION

Appropriations, 2009 .....	\$5,318,000
Budget estimate, 2010 .....	5,318,000
House allowance .....	5,318,000
Committee recommendation .....	5,318,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$5,318,000 for the “Compact of Free Association” account. This amount is the same as the 2009 appropriation and the budget request.

The Committee has included a general provision in this act to extend the eligibility of the Republic of Palau to receive Federal aid for 1 year while a new Compact of Free Association is negotiated in with the United States.

The amounts recommended by the Committee for the Compact of Free Association compared to the request are shown in the following table:

	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change
Compact of Free Association—Federal services .....	\$2,818,000	\$2,818,000	.....
Program grant assistance .....	2,000,000	2,000,000	.....
Enewetak support .....	500,000	500,000	.....
Total, Compact of Free Association .....	5,318,000	5,318,000	.....

## OFFICE OF THE SOLICITOR

## SALARIES AND EXPENSES

Appropriations, 2009 .....	\$62,050,000
Budget estimate, 2010 .....	65,076,000
House allowance .....	65,076,000
Committee recommendation .....	65,076,000

The Committee recommends a total appropriation of \$65,076,000 for the Office of the Solicitor. This amount is \$3,026,000 above the fiscal year 2009 level and equal to the budget request.

The increases are \$2,026,000 for fixed costs and \$1,000,000 to continue the modernization of the Office begun in 2008 at the direction of the Committee.

## OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

## SALARIES AND EXPENSES

Appropriations, 2009 .....	\$45,953,000
Emergency supplementals, 2009 .....	15,000,000
Budget estimate, 2010 .....	48,590,000
House allowance .....	48,590,000
Committee recommendation .....	48,590,000

The Committee recommends a total appropriation of \$48,590,000 for the Office of the Inspector General. This amount is \$2,637,000 above the non-emergency enacted level and the same as the request. The increase consists of \$1,437,000 for fixed costs and \$1,200,000 for technology updates and onshore minerals program oversight as requested by the administration.

## OFFICE OF SPECIAL TRUSTEE FOR AMERICAN INDIANS

The Office of the Special Trustee for American Indians holds responsibility for approximately 56 million acres of land, with over 10 million acres belonging to individual Indians and 46 millions acres held in trust for Indian tribes.

## FEDERAL TRUST PROGRAMS

## (INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

Appropriations, 2009 .....	\$181,648,000
Budget estimate, 2010 .....	185,984,000
House allowance .....	185,984,000
Committee recommendation .....	185,984,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$185,984,000 for the "Federal Trust Programs" account. This amount is \$4,336,000 above the fiscal year 2009 level and equal to the budget request. The Committee notes that the recommendation includes a total appropriation of \$56,536,000 for historical accounting activities.

## DEPARTMENT-WIDE PROGRAMS

## WILDLAND FIRE MANAGEMENT

(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

Appropriations, 2009 .....	\$859,453,000
Emergency supplementals, 2009 .....	65,000,000
Budget estimate, 2010 .....	974,780,000
House allowance .....	932,780,000
Committee recommendation .....	979,637,000

The Committee recommends a total appropriation of \$979,637,000 for wildland fire management. This amount is \$120,184,000 above the comparable fiscal year 2009 enacted level, and \$4,857,000 above the budget request. Changes to the 2009 enacted level include the addition of \$10,578,000 to meet fixed cost increases and programmatic increases totaling \$109,606,000 for wildfire suppression. Of the suppression increase, \$75,000,000 is to be held in a contingency reserve until all other suppression funds are exhausted.

The following table provides a comparison of the budget estimate and the Committee's recommendation in the major programmatic areas.

## WILDLAND FIRE MANAGEMENT

	Budget request	Committee recommendation	Change
Fire Preparedness .....	\$285,452,000	\$289,192,000	+\$3,740,000
Suppression .....	369,797,000	369,797,000	.....
Other Operations:			
Hazardous Fuels Reduction .....	205,089,000	206,206,000	+ 1,117,000
Burned Area Rehabilitation .....	20,305,000	20,305,000	.....
Rural Fire Assistance .....	7,000,000	7,000,000	.....
Fire Facilities .....	6,137,000	6,137,000	.....
Joint Fire Science .....	6,000,000	6,000,000	.....
Subtotal, Other Operations .....	244,531,000	245,648,000	+ 1,117,000
Suppression Contingency Reserve .....	75,000,000	75,000,000	.....
Total, Wildland Fire Management .....	974,780,000	979,637,000	+ 4,857,000

The Committee recommends funding fire preparedness at \$289,192,000, an increase of \$7,425,000 over the 2009 appropriation level. The increase is for fixed costs. The Committee does not agree to offset the base budget with \$3,740,000 in cuts as proposed in the budget request.

Wildland fire suppression is funded at the 10-year average cost of \$369,797,000, which is an increase of \$34,606,000 over the 2009 appropriation and the same as the request.

Hazardous fuels reduction is provided \$206,206,000, an increase of \$3,153,000 above the 2009 appropriation. The Committee does not agree to the proposed program cut of \$1,117,000.

Burned area rehabilitation is funded at the 2009 appropriation and budget request level of \$20,305,000.

The Committee recommends funding for fire facilities, joint fire science, and rural fire assistance at the request level.

The Committee continues to be disappointed that the Forest Service and Department of the Interior have failed to produce and deploy a fire preparedness planning tool to replace the systems that were in place until 2004. The Fire Program Analysis system has been repeatedly promoted by both agencies as the key to determining optimal staffing and budget levels for the past 5 years, yet the agencies have yet to produce a working system. It is imperative that both agencies expedite development of the system in order to justify further investment beyond the current fiscal year.

#### PAYMENTS IN LIEU OF TAXES

On October 3, 2008, Congress enacted the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008, Public Law 110-343, which authorized counties to receive their full PILT entitlement from 2008 through 2012. These funds are now available without further appropriation, and will amount to approximately \$382,000,000 for fiscal year 2010. The Committee has therefore not included discretionary funding in this account.

#### CENTRAL HAZARDOUS MATERIALS FUND

Appropriations, 2009 .....	\$10,148,000
Budget estimate, 2010 .....	10,175,000
House allowance .....	10,175,000
Committee recommendation .....	10,175,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$10,175,000 for the central hazardous materials fund, which is \$27,000 above the fiscal year 2009 enacted level, and equal to the budget request.

#### NATURAL RESOURCE DAMAGE ASSESSMENT AND RESTORATION

The mission of the natural resource damage assessment and restoration program is to restore natural resources injured as a result of oil spills or hazardous substance releases into the environment. As authorized in the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act [CERCLA], the Clean Water Act, and the Oil Pollution Act of 1990, injuries to natural resources that the Department of the Interior manages or controls are assessed and appropriate restoration projects are identified. Recoveries from potentially responsible parties, either through negotiated settlements or legal actions, are used to finance restoration of the injured resources. The Restoration Program Office coordinates the various technical, scientific, legal, and economic aspects of this work, as well as the various departmental bureaus and offices involved.

#### NATURAL RESOURCE DAMAGE ASSESSMENT FUND

Appropriations, 2009 .....	\$6,338,000
Budget estimate, 2010 .....	6,462,000
House allowance .....	6,462,000
Committee recommendation .....	6,462,000

The Committee recommends a total appropriation of \$6,462,000 for the natural resource damage assessment fund. This amount is \$124,000 above the fiscal year 2009 level and equal to the budget request. The increase is for fixed costs.

## WORKING CAPITAL FUND

Appropriations, 2009 .....	\$73,435,000
Budget estimate, 2010 .....	85,823,000
House allowance .....	75,823,000
Committee recommendation .....	85,823,000

The Committee recommends a total appropriation of \$85,823,000 for the Working Capital Fund. This amount is equal to the budget request and will allow the Department to remain on schedule with the deployment of the Financial and Business Management System.

## GENERAL PROVISIONS

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

The Committee has included in title I of the bill, "General Provisions, Department of the Interior", various legislative provisions affecting the Department. Several of these provisions have been carried in previous years and others are newly proposed this year. The provisions are:

SEC. 101. Provides Secretarial authority for the intra-bureau transfer of program funds for expenditures in cases of emergency when all other emergency funds are exhausted.

SEC. 102. Provides for the Department-wide expenditure or transfer of funds by the Secretary in the event of actual or potential emergencies including forest fires, range fires, earthquakes, floods, volcanic eruptions, storms, oil spills, grasshopper and Mormon cricket outbreaks, and surface mine reclamation emergencies.

SEC. 103. Provides for use of appropriated funds by the Secretary for contracts, rental cars and aircraft, certain library memberships, and certain telephone expenses.

SEC. 104. Provides for the transfer of unobligated balances from the Bureau of Indian Affairs or the Office of Special Trustee for American Indians for expenditure or transfer for Indian trust management activities. It has been modified to prohibit transfers for the payment of litigation costs.

SEC. 105. Permits the redistribution of tribal priority allocation and tribal base funds to alleviate funding inequities.

SEC. 106. Continues a provision permitting the conveyance of the Twin Cities Research Center for the benefit of the National Wildlife Refuge System in Minnesota.

SEC. 107. Allows the Secretary to use funds to pay private attorney fees and costs for employees and former employees of the Department for costs incurred as a result of *Cobell v. Salazar*.

SEC. 108. Authorizes the acquisition of lands for the purpose of operating and maintaining facilities that support visitors to Ellis, Governors, and Liberty Islands.

SEC. 109. Directs the Secretary of the Interior to make certain certifications with respect to existing rights-of-way. The section also retains a provision limiting funding for any proposal to approve specified rights-of-way or similar authorizations on the Mojave National Preserve or lands managed by the Needles Field Office of the Bureau of Land Management.

SEC. 110. Authorizes the Secretary to enter into cooperative agreements where such agreements are in the interest of the Department of the Interior.

SEC. 111. Provides the Department of the Interior with authority to collect civil and criminal penalties related to inspection, accounting, and payments for onshore and offshore solid mineral, geothermal, and alternative energy projects. This makes the penalties associated with coal, geothermal, and other mineral activities consistent with those applicable to oil and gas activities.

SEC. 112. Prohibits the use of funds to reduce the number of Axis and Fallow deer at Point Reyes National Seashore.

SEC. 113. Provides authority for the Minerals Management Service to receive offsetting collections for the costs of human and environmental safety inspections on off-shore oil and gas production facilities.

SEC. 114. Extends the authorization for certain school payments at Yosemite National Park.

SEC. 115. Amends the Northern Plains National Heritage Area Act by including a private property opt-out provision to clarify that private landowners will not have to include their land in the Northern Plains National Heritage Area in North Dakota.

SEC. 116. Authorizes the Secretary of the Interior to enter into a joint ticketing agreement at the U.S.S. Arizona Memorial in Hawaii with certain nonprofit entities for the convenience of visitors.

SEC. 117. Extends the authorization of certain payments to the Republic of Palau for fiscal year 2010.

SEC. 118. Amends legislation relating to the Fort Baker complex at Golden Gate National Recreation Area to allow for concurrent jurisdiction. This change will allow the National Park Service to enhance its law enforcement and fire protection services.

SEC. 119. Prohibits the use of fund to implement a plan to reduce the number of elk in Theodore Roosevelt National Park unless certain licensed residents are authorized to volunteer to cull elk and to retain and remove the carcass.

SEC. 120. Directs the Secretary of the Interior to extend for 10 years beyond November 30, 2012, a reservation of use and occupancy and associated special use permit at the Point Reyes National Seashore.

SEC. 121. Allows the Minerals Management Service to accept contributions to complete environmental clearances prior to energy exploration and production.

SEC. 122. Authorizes the National Park Service to undertake a special resource study of the Honouliuli internment camp site in Hawaii.



TITLE II  
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The Environmental Protection Agency [EPA] was created through Executive Reorganization Plan No. 3 of 1970, designed to consolidate certain Federal Government environmental activities into a single agency. The plan was submitted by the President to the Congress on July 8, 1970, and the Agency was established as an independent agency in the executive branch on December 2, 1970, by consolidating 15 components from 5 departments and independent agencies.

A description of EPA's pollution control programs by media follows:

*Air.*—The Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990 authorize a national program of air pollution research, regulation, prevention, and enforcement activities.

*Water Quality.*—The Federal Water Pollution Control Act, as amended, provides the framework for protection of the Nation's surface waters. The law recognizes that it is the primary responsibility of the States to prevent, reduce, and eliminate water pollution. The States determine the desired uses for their waters, set standards, identify current uses and, where uses are being impaired or threatened, develop plans for the protection or restoration of the designated use. They implement the plans through control programs such as permitting and enforcement, construction of municipal waste water treatment works, and nonpoint source control practices. The CWA also regulates discharge of dredge or fill material into waters of the United States, including wetlands.

*Drinking Water.*—The Safe Drinking Water Act of 1974, as amended in 1996, charges EPA with the responsibility of implementing a program to assure that the Nation's public drinking water supplies are free of contamination that may pose a human health risk, and to protect and prevent the endangerment of ground water resources which serve as drinking water supplies.

*Hazardous Waste.*—The Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976 mandated EPA to develop a regulatory program to protect human health and the environment from improper hazardous waste disposal practices. The RCRA Program manages hazardous wastes from generation through disposal.

EPA's responsibilities and authorities to manage hazardous waste were greatly expanded under the Hazardous and Solid Waste Amendments of 1984. Not only did the regulated universe of wastes and facilities dealing with hazardous waste increase significantly, but past mismanagement practices, in particular prior releases at inactive hazardous and solid waste management units,

were to be identified and corrective action taken. The 1984 amendments also authorized a regulatory and implementation program directed to owners and operators of underground storage tanks.

*Pesticides.*—The objective of the Pesticide Program is to protect the public health and the environment from unreasonable risks while permitting the use of necessary pest control approaches. This objective is pursued by EPA under the Food Quality Protection Act, the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act and the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act and the Pesticide Registration Improvement Act of 2003 through three principal means: (1) review of existing and new pesticide products; (2) enforcement of pesticide use rules; and (3) research and development to reinforce the ability to evaluate the risks and benefits of pesticides.

*Radiation.*—The radiation program's major emphasis is to minimize the exposure of persons to ionizing radiation, whether from naturally occurring sources, from medical or industrial applications, nuclear power sources, or weapons development.

*Toxic Substances.*—The Toxic Substances Control Act establishes a program to stimulate the development of adequate data on the effects of chemical substances on health and the environment, and institute control action for those chemicals which present an unreasonable risk of injury to health or the environment. The act's coverage affects more than 60,000 chemicals currently in commerce, and all new chemicals.

*Multimedia.*—Multimedia activities are designed to support programs where the problems, tools, and results are cross media and must be integrated to effect results. This integrated program encompasses the Agency's research, enforcement, and abatement activities.

*Superfund.*—The Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980 established a national program to protect public health and the environment from the threats posed by inactive hazardous waste sites and uncontrolled spills of hazardous substances. The original statute was amended by the Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act of 1986. Under these authorities, EPA manages a hazardous waste site cleanup program including emergency response and long-term remediation.

*Brownfields.*—The Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980 as amended by the Small Business Liability Relief and Brownfields Revitalization Act of 2002 establishes a national program to assess, cleanup, and provide support to States, Tribes, local communities, and other stakeholders to work together to reuse Brownfields.

*Leaking Underground Storage Tanks.*—The Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act of 1986 established the leaking underground storage tank [LUST] trust fund to conduct corrective actions for releases from leaking underground storage tanks that contain petroleum or other hazardous substances. EPA implements the LUST response program primarily through cooperative agreements with the States.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$10,196,736,000 for the Environmental Protection Agency, a decrease of \$299,264,000 from the re-

quest and increase of \$2,551,062,000 above the fiscal year 2009 enacted, non-emergency level. The Committee proposes to offset this amount by rescinding \$40,000,000 of prior-year balances and has included language to this effect in the Administrative Provisions section.

#### SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

Appropriations, 2009 .....	\$790,051,000
Budget estimate, 2010 .....	842,349,000
House allowance .....	849,649,000
Committee recommendation .....	842,799,000

#### PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

EPA's "Science and technology" account provides funding for the scientific knowledge and tools necessary to support decisions on preventing, regulating, and abating environmental pollution and to advance the base of understanding on environmental sciences. These efforts are conducted through contracts, grants, and cooperative agreements with universities, industries, other private commercial firms, nonprofit organizations, State and local governments, and Federal agencies, as well as through work performed at EPA's laboratories and various field stations and field offices. In addition, Hazardous Substance Superfund Trust Fund resources are transferred to this account directly from the Hazardous Substance Superfund.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$842,799,000 for science and technology programs, an increase of \$450,000 above the request and an increase of \$52,748,000 above the fiscal year 2009 enacted level.

Consistent with the budget request, the Committee recommends \$26,834,000 be paid from the Hazardous Substance Superfund account to fund ongoing research activities authorized by the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980, as amended.

The Committee's recommended changes to the budget request are detailed below.

*Homeland Security.*—The Committee has included \$18,726,000 for the Water Security Initiative program, a decrease of \$5,000,000 below the request. The Committee notes that this level represents a 25 percent increase in funding for the program over the fiscal year 2009 enacted level. The recommendation fully funds the remaining two Water Security utility pilot projects, bringing the total to five pilot projects, and provides a \$2,000,000 increase above the enacted level for related monitoring, evaluation and research activities.

*Research: Special Priorities.*—The Committee has not agreed to the request to terminate funds for special priorities and has included \$5,450,000 for extramural research grants to fund high-priority research by EPA partners on water quality and availability issues. These funds shall be awarded competitively. Priority should be given to partners that demonstrate a national scope for their programs.

*Air Toxics Research.*—The Committee continues to be concerned about the effects that toxic substances found in air pollution have on human health and the environment, including public health impacts such as asthma and other respiratory diseases. The Committee urges the Agency to expand its air toxics research portfolio and encourages the Office of Research and Development to consider collaborations with institutions such as the Mickey Leland National Urban Air Toxics Research Center to further this research, as authorized by the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990.

*Biocrude Research.*—The Committee encourages the Agency to work with its partners to research the potential of producing biocrude from wastewater treatment plants that allow the production of renewable fuels through traditional petroleum refining techniques.

*Health Effects of Fuel Efficiency and Emission Reduction Efforts.*—The Committee is aware that efforts to improve fuel efficiency and to reduce greenhouse gas emissions will, in turn, require careful evaluation for potential consequences for human health and the environment. The Committee encourages the EPA to work with extramural research partners and explore ways to strengthen human health research and assessment efforts related to alternative fuels and emission reduction technologies.

*Impacts of Climate Change and Renewable Energy on Coastal Environments.*—The Committee encourages the Agency to work in close collaboration with the U.S. Department of Energy and its science laboratories to ensure that there is appropriate coordination in addressing climate change and renewable energy challenges that impact the Nation’s waters and coastal environments.

ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAMS AND MANAGEMENT

Appropriations, 2009 .....	\$2,392,079,000
Budget estimate, 2010 .....	2,940,564,000
House allowance .....	3,022,054,000
Committee recommendation .....	2,878,780,000

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The Agency’s “Environmental programs and management” account includes the development of environmental standards; monitoring and surveillance of pollution conditions; direct Federal pollution control planning; technical assistance to pollution control agencies and organizations; preparation of environmental impact statements; enforcement and compliance assurance; and assistance to Federal agencies in complying with environmental standards and ensuring that their activities have minimal environmental impact. It provides personnel compensation, benefits, and travel and other administrative expenses for all agency programs except Hazardous Substance Superfund, LUST, Science and Technology, Oil Spill Response, and OIG.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$2,878,780,000 for environmental programs and management activities, a decrease of \$61,784,000 below the budget request and an increase of \$486,701,000 above

the fiscal year 2009 enacted level. Changes to the request are detailed below:

*Brownfields.*—The Committee’s recommendation includes \$23,904,000 for Brownfields program operations, a decrease of \$1,350,000 below the request. The Committee has not agreed to the budget request to provide additional overhead related to the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (Public Law 111–5). The Committee notes that it has already provided \$3,500,000 to the Agency for this purpose through that act.

*Climate Protection.*—The Committee strongly supports the administration’s efforts to increase climate protection programs and has provided the requested \$111,634,000 for these activities. In particular, the Committee appreciates full funding of \$17,005,000 to implement the Greenhouse Gas Reporting Rule, as required by Public Law 111–8. The Committee is aware that the Agency is working to finalize the rule but is likely to miss the statutory deadline of June 26, 2009 to promulgate the final rule. Data collected by this rule is essential to inform future climate policy, and the Committee directs the Agency to finalize the rule on a schedule that will ensure data collection can begin no later than January 1, 2010.

*Environmental Protection: Special Priorities.*—The Committee has included \$16,000,000 to fund high-priority environmental protection grants on a competitive basis. These funds shall be used for grants to organizations that fund technical assistance programs for water quality and availability issues, including helping water systems comply with Federal water quality standards. Priority shall be given to organizations whose activities demonstrate a national scope.

*Geographic Programs.*—The Committee has provided \$478,696,000 for Geographic Programs, a decrease of \$72,434,000 from the request. Changes to the budget request are as follows:

- \$400,000,000 for the Great Lakes Restoration Initiative, a decrease of \$75,000,000 below the request. The Committee has agreed to consolidate and expand funding for the Agency’s Great Lakes National Program Office and Great Lakes Legacy Act programs within this new program-project. Additional details are provided below.
- \$6,000,000 to continue competitive grants to restore the San Francisco Bay watershed, an increase of \$1,000,000 above the request.
- \$3,000,000 to continue Lake Champlain restoration activities, an increase of \$1,566,000 above the request.

*Information Exchange.*—The Committee has agreed to the budget request and provided \$131,825,000 for information exchange programs. As in prior years, the Committee directs the Agency to follow the statutory formula when allocating funds for environmental education activities.

*Legal/Science/Regulatory/Economic Review.*—The Committee’s recommendation provides \$126,231,000 for legal, science, regulatory and economic review programs, a general program decrease of \$2,000,000 from the request.

*Operations and Administration.*—The Committee recommends \$509,895,000 for operations and administration programs, a

\$2,000,000 decrease from the request. The Agency is directed to take this decrease from the Financial Systems Modernization Project [FSMP]. The Committee notes that this will bring the total funding available for the FSMP to \$19,500,000, a 38 percent increase above the enacted level.

*Great Lakes Restoration Initiative.*—To accomplish this initiative, the Committee has included bill language allowing EPA broad authority to transfer funding to or to establish interagency agreements with other Federal agencies, and to make grants to State and local governments, nonprofit organizations, and other relevant entities as appropriate. The Committee directs the Agency to maximize funding for on-the-ground restoration activities that achieve measurable results and to follow the recommendations of the Great Lakes Regional Collaboration Strategy. The Agency and its Federal partners are expected to limit overhead and to utilize non-Federal partners to accomplish restoration objectives where appropriate and cost-effective. EPA is also encouraged to work with non-Federal partners to determine appropriate matching requirements, including the use of in-kind matches.

The Agency is expected to work with non-Federal stakeholders to develop a process for them to provide advice, guidance, and recommendations to ensure that EPA selects restoration projects for future fiscal years that reflect the highest priority needs. The Committee further directs the Agency to provide annual reports, starting on March 1, 2010 and continuing each year thereafter, which detail yearly program accomplishments and compare specific funding levels allocated for participating Federal agencies from fiscal year to fiscal year. The Committee expects EPA and its Federal partners to use the funding increase recommended for fiscal year 2010 to supplement, not supplant, base funding levels for Great Lakes programs, when compared to fiscal year 2009.

*Chemical Facility Risk Management.*—The Committee is concerned by the findings of a recent Office of Inspector General report that the Agency has not inspected or audited more than half of the 493 chemical processing facilities identified by its Office of Emergency Management. In August 2008, an explosion at one such high-risk facility, the Bayer CropScience pesticide manufacturing plant in Institute, West Virginia, fatally injured two employees and threatened a storage tank containing highly toxic methyl isocyanate, endangering the lives of area residents. Per the Inspector General's recommendations, the Committee expects the Agency to take additional steps to ensure that all high-risk chemical facilities are inspected for risk management and process safety compliance. The Committee believes these steps should include the development of inspection requirements to further prioritize its facilities inspections, the development of a system to track the Agency's progress in completing the required inspections and the implementation of additional management controls to identify facilities with regulated chemicals that have not filed risk management plans. The Agency is directed to provide a report to the Committee no later than 90 days after enactment of this act outlining an action plan for improving its chemical facility risk management program, including current and future staffing and resource requirements.

*Mercury Monitoring.*—The Committee remains concerned about the incidence of mercury pollution and encourages the Agency to continue its work with the National Atmospheric Deposition Program to coordinate an atmospheric monitoring network for mercury that includes Federal and State agencies and tribes.

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

Appropriations, 2009 .....	\$44,791,000
Emergency supplementals, 2009 .....	20,000,000
Budget estimate, 2010 .....	44,791,000
House allowance .....	44,791,000
Committee recommendation .....	44,791,000

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The Office of Inspector General [OIG] provides audit, evaluation, and investigation products and advisory services to improve the performance and integrity of EPA programs and operations. The IG also holds the position of Inspector General for the Chemical Safety and Hazard Investigation Board.

Trust fund resources are transferred to this account directly from the Hazardous Substance Superfund.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee’s recommendation includes \$44,791,000 for the Office of the Inspector General, equal to the request. In addition, the Committee recommends a transfer of \$9,975,000 from the Hazardous Substances Superfund account equal to the request.

BUILDINGS AND FACILITIES

Appropriations, 2009 .....	\$35,001,000
Budget estimate, 2010 .....	37,001,000
House allowance .....	35,001,000
Committee recommendation .....	35,001,000

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The appropriation for buildings and facilities at EPA provides for the design and construction of EPA-owned facilities as well as for the repair, extension, alteration, and improvement of facilities utilized by the Agency. These funds correct unsafe conditions, protect health and safety of employees and Agency visitors, and prevent deterioration of structures and equipment.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee’s recommendation provides \$35,001,000 for the Agency’s buildings and facilities program instead of \$37,001,000 as proposed in the request. This amount is equal to the fiscal year 2009 enacted level.

HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCE SUPERFUND  
(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

Appropriations, 2009 .....	\$1,285,025,000
Emergency supplementals, 2009 .....	600,000,000
Budget estimate, 2010 .....	1,308,541,000
House allowance .....	1,306,541,000
Committee recommendation .....	1,308,541,000

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

On October 17, 1986, Congress amended the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980 [CERCLA] through the Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act of 1986 [SARA]. SARA reauthorized and expanded the Hazardous Substance Superfund to address the problems of uncontrolled hazardous waste sites and spills. Specifically, the legislation mandates that EPA: (1) provide emergency response to hazardous waste spills; (2) take emergency action at hazardous waste sites that pose an imminent hazard to public health or environmentally sensitive ecosystems; (3) engage in long-term planning, remedial design, and construction to clean up hazardous waste sites where no financially viable responsible party can be found; (4) take enforcement actions to require responsible private and Federal parties to clean up hazardous waste sites; and (5) take enforcement actions to recover costs where the fund has been used for cleanup. Due to the site-specific nature of the Agency's Superfund program, site-specific travel is not considered part of the overall travel ceiling set for the Superfund account.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$1,308,541,000 for Hazardous Substance Superfund programs, equal to the budget request and \$23,517,000 above the fiscal year 2009 enacted, non-emergency level.

*Libby Superfund Risk Assessment and Coordination.*—The Committee believes that as part of the recently declared public health emergency in Libby, Montana the Agency must develop a clear process for identifying long-term health risks caused by Superfund site contamination. The Committee is concerned that cleanup efforts to date have not adequately removed visible vermiculite and known public health risks. The Committee also recognizes that full community involvement is a critical component for long-term cleanup activities. To address these concerns, the Agency is directed to coordinate with the Department of Health and Human Services to identify the asbestos exposure risks associated with cleanup activities in Libby and their impact on long-term health care needs for the community. The Agency shall provide a report to the Committee within 180 days of enactment that details: (1) currently known health risks; (2) the process used to determine a baseline risk assessment for adults and children in the community; and (3) cleanup activities that are planned while a Record of Decision is being developed.

*Rialto-Colton Basin in California.*—The Committee continues to support the Agency's proposed listing of Rialto-Colton Basin in San



Bernardino County, California to the National Priorities List to remediate groundwater contamination, and is concerned about the delay in accomplishing this goal. The Agency is directed to report to the Committee within 60 days of enactment regarding the status of the proposed listing, the reasons for delay, and the timeline for issuing a final decision. The Committee also continues to encourage the Agency to issue water replacement orders against the parties responsible for trichloroethylene and perchlorate contamination of the groundwater basin to remain in effect until clean drinking water supplies are fully restored to the city of Rialto, city of Colton, West Valley Water District and the Fontana Water Company.

*Halaco Superfund Site.*—The Committee is concerned that two dilapidated structures located on the current Halaco Engineering Company Co. Superfund site in Oxnard, California, pose a significant and immediate risk to public health and safety. The Committee understands that the property’s owners have failed to comply with past orders to demolish or repair the buildings and notes that an EPA-retained structural engineer determined that the buildings are structurally unsafe and recommended that they be demolished as soon as possible. The Committee believes that the buildings must be demolished immediately and urges EPA to remove the buildings through an interim removal action while it completes required environmental analyses on the site.

LEAKING UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANK TRUST FUND

Appropriations, 2009 .....	\$112,577,000
Emergency supplementals, 2009 .....	200,000,000
Budget estimate, 2010 .....	113,101,000
House allowance .....	113,101,000
Committee recommendation .....	114,171,000

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The Superfund Amendments and Reauthorizations Act of 1986 [SARA] established the leaking underground storage tank [LUST] trust fund to conduct corrective actions for releases from leaking underground storage tanks containing petroleum and other hazardous substances. EPA implements the LUST program through State cooperative agreement grants which enable States to conduct corrective actions to protect human health and the environment, and through non-State entities including Indian tribes under section 8001 of RCRA. The trust fund is also used to enforce responsible parties to finance corrective actions and to recover expended funds used to clean up abandoned tanks.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee’s recommendation provides \$114,171,000 for leaking underground storage tank program activities, an increase of \$1,070,000 above the budget request. The increase shall be used to restore leaking underground storage tank grants authorized by the Energy Policy Act of 2005 to the fiscal year 2009 enacted level.

## OIL SPILL RESPONSE

Appropriations, 2009 .....	\$17,687,000
Budget estimate, 2010 .....	18,379,000
House allowance .....	18,379,000
Committee recommendation .....	18,379,000

## PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

This appropriation, authorized by the Federal Water Pollution Control Act of 1987 and amended by the Oil Pollution Act of 1990, provides funds to prepare for and prevent releases of oil and other petroleum products into navigable waterways. EPA is also reimbursed for incident specific response costs through the Oil Spill Liability Trust Fund, which pursuant to law is managed by the United States Coast Guard. EPA is responsible for: directing all cleanup and removal activities posing a threat to public health and the environment; conducting site inspections, including compelling responsible parties to undertake cleanup actions; reviewing containment plans at facilities; reviewing area contingency plans; pursuing cost recovery of fund-financed cleanups; and conducting research of oil cleanup techniques. Funds for this appropriation are provided through the Oil Spill Liability Trust Fund which is composed of fees and collections made through provisions of the Oil Pollution Act of 1990, the Comprehensive Oil Pollution Liability and Compensation Act, the Deepwater Port Act of 1974, the Outer Continental Shelf Lands Act Amendments of 1978, and the Federal Water Pollution Control Act as amended.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$18,379,000 for oil spill response and prevention activities, equal to the request.

## STATE AND TRIBAL ASSISTANCE GRANTS

Appropriations, 2009 .....	\$2,968,464,000
Emergency supplementals, 2009 .....	6,400,000,000
Budget estimate, 2010 .....	5,191,274,000
House allowance .....	5,215,446,000
Committee recommendation .....	4,954,274,000

## PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The "State and tribal assistance grants" account funds grants to support the State revolving fund programs; State, tribal, regional, and local environmental programs; and special projects to address critical water and waste water treatment needs.

Included in this account are funds for the following infrastructure grant programs: Clean Water and Drinking Water State Revolving Funds; United States-Mexico Border Program; Alaska Native villages; and Brownfield State and Tribal Response program grants authorized by CERCLA section 128(a).

It also contains the following categorical environmental grants, State/tribal program grants, and assistance and capacity building grants: (1) air resource assistance to State, regional, local, and tribal governments (secs. 105 and 103 of the Clean Air Act); (2) radon State and tribal grants; (3) water pollution control agency resource

supplementation (sec. 106 of the FWPCA); (4) BEACHS Protection grants (sec. 406 of FWPCA as amended); (5) nonpoint source (sec. 319 of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act); (6) wetlands State program development; (7) water quality cooperative agreements (sec. 104(b)(3) of FWPCA); (8) targeted watershed grants; (9) wastewater operator training grants; (10) public water system supervision; (11) underground injection control; (12) drinking water program State homeland security coordination grants; (13) hazardous waste financial assistance; (14) Brownfields activities authorized by CERCLA section 104(k); (15) underground storage tanks; (16) pesticides program implementation; (17) lead grants; (18) toxic substances compliance; (19) pesticides enforcement; (20) the Environmental Information Exchange Network; (21) pollution prevention; (22) sector program; and (23) Indians general assistance grants.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$4,954,274,000 for State and tribal assistance grants, a decrease of \$237,000,000 below the request and an increase of \$1,985,810,000 above the fiscal year 2009 enacted, non-emergency level.

*Infrastructure Assistance.*—The Committee’s recommendation includes a total of \$3,843,000,000 for infrastructure improvement programs, a decrease of \$237,000,000 below the request and \$1,969,391,000 above the enacted, non-emergency level.

The Committee’s recommendation includes \$2,100,000,000 for the Clean Water State Revolving Fund program, a decrease of \$300,000,000 from the request. That amount provides a 204 percent increase for the program compared to the fiscal year 2009 enacted, non-emergency level. The Committee also provides \$1,387,000,000 for the Drinking Water State Revolving Fund program, a decrease of \$113,000,000 below the request. These funding levels would bring the total amount appropriated to States for water and sewer improvements to more than \$11,000,000,000 over the past 2 fiscal years.

The Committee has also included language proposed by the administration to modify a number of provisions relating to the Clean and Drinking Water State Revolving Fund programs, including: (1) increasing the percentage of funds set aside for grants to Tribes from each Revolving Fund program to 2 percent; (2) increasing the percentage of funds set aside from each Revolving Fund program for grants to U.S. territories to 1.5 percent; and (3) requiring 20 percent of the funds provided for the Revolving Funds be targeted to green infrastructure, water and energy efficiency and other environmentally innovative projects.

In addition, the Committee’s recommendation includes \$150,000,000 to fund targeted infrastructure assistance grants not included in the budget request. These grants shall require a local match of 45 percent of the total project cost unless EPA grants a hardship waiver. Funds shall be distributed as follows:

State	Project description	Amount
AK	City of Kodiak for water and sewer improvements .....	\$300,000
AK	City of Buckland for construction of a piped water and sewer system .....	500,000
AK	City of Homer for planning and design of a new drinking water system .....	500,000

State	Project description	Amount
AK	City of Soldotna for a water and wastewater improvements project .....	500,000
AK	Municipality of Skagway for a wastewater treatment facility expansion project .....	300,000
AL	Fayette County for the construction of a drinking water reservoir .....	6,000,000
AL	City of Brewton for a wastewater improvements project .....	300,000
AR	City of Forrest City for water infrastructure improvements .....	300,000
AR	City of Dardanelle for water treatment plant expansion .....	300,000
AR	Fort Chaffee Redevelopment Authority for water system improvements .....	300,000
AR	City of Warren for water infrastructure improvements .....	300,000
AZ	City of Safford for water infrastructure improvements .....	300,000
CA	City of Rialto for Inland Empire groundwater remediation and drinking water system improvements .....	300,000
CA	City of East Palo Alto for the East Palo Alto water supply improvement project .....	1,000,000
CA	City of Eureka for the Martin Slough intercepter project .....	1,000,000
CA	City of Santa Monica for the Santa Monica water system reliability project .....	1,250,000
CA	Municipal Water District of Orange County for water supply improvements .....	1,000,000
CA	Shasta County for Elk Trail Water System Improvements .....	1,000,000
CA	City of Westminster for Stormwater System improvements .....	1,000,000
CO	City of Monte Vista for wastewater facility consolidation .....	300,000
CO	City of Rifle for drinking water infrastructure improvements .....	300,000
CT	Town of East Lyme for drinking water system improvements .....	300,000
CT	City of Norwich for wastewater treatment facility improvements .....	300,000
DE	New Castle County for Turkey Run intercepter improvements .....	300,000
DE	Sussex County Council for the Johnson's Corner wastewater improvement project .....	300,000
FL	St. Johns River Water Management District for the East-Central Florida Integrated Water Resources Project .....	300,000
FL	City of Tampa for reclaimed water expansion project .....	300,000
GA	Metropolitan North Georgia Water Planning District for watershed management and wastewater treatment projects .....	300,000
GA	City of Rome for construction of a new drinking water transmission main .....	300,000
HI	Maui County for Kaa Force main replacement .....	1,000,000
HI	Hawaii County for the Kapulea drinking water source development project .....	739,750
HI	County of Kauai for the Waimea Wastewater Treatment Plant expansion project .....	1,000,000
HI	Hawaii County for the Hawaii Ocean View Estates drinking water source development project .....	220,000
HI	Maui County for infrastructure improvements at the Kamole Water Treatment Plant .....	1,000,000
IA	City of Ottumwa for wastewater and stormwater infrastructure improvements .....	300,000
IA	City of Boone for wastewater and stormwater infrastructure improvements .....	300,000
IA	City of Clinton for construction of a new wastewater treatment facility .....	300,000
IA	City of Keokuk for a stormwater and sewer separation project .....	300,000
ID	Granite Reeder Water and Sewer District for construction of a sewage collection system .....	300,000
ID	City of American Falls for construction of a wastewater treatment facility .....	300,000
IL	City of Peoria for sewer and stormwater improvements .....	300,000
IL	Will County for Ridgewood water and wastewater infrastructure improvements .....	300,000
IL	City of Decatur for water infrastructure improvements .....	250,000
IL	City of Lexington for water infrastructure improvements .....	100,000
IL	Macoupin County for water infrastructure improvements .....	250,000
IL	City of Quincy for drinking water system improvement (reallocate fiscal year 2009 project) .....	300,000
IN	City of Tipton for drinking water and wastewater infrastructure upgrades project .....	300,000
KS	City of Buhler for construction of an adsorption media drinking water treatment facility .....	600,000
KS	City of Russell for replacement of cast iron drinking water lines .....	400,000
KS	City of Junction City for construction of a drinking water project .....	250,000
KS	City of Marion for construction of a wastewater project .....	150,000
KS	Pottawatomie County for construction of a main pump wastewater station .....	400,000
KS	City of Iola for drinking water and wastewater pipe improvements project .....	300,000
KY	City of Vine Grove for construction of additional sewer lines .....	840,000
KY	City of Burgin for upgrades to the drinking water distribution system .....	340,000
KY	Fleming County for a sewer collection expansion project .....	620,000
KY	City of Eubank for a water line replacement project .....	200,000
KY	City of Franklin for a sewer line replacement project .....	100,000
LA	City of Lake Charles for wastewater system improvements .....	300,000
LA	City of Baton Rouge for East Baton Rouge Parish wastewater system improvements .....	300,000
LA	Lafayette Utilities System for drinking water and wastewater line relocations and upgrades project .....	300,000
LA	City of Grambling for drinking water system improvements .....	300,000
MA	Cities of New Bedford and Fall River for combined sewer overflow abatement in Bristol County .....	300,000

State	Project description	Amount
MA	City of Marlborough for infrastructure upgrades at the Westerly Wastewater Treatment Facility .....	300,000
MD	City of Frostburg for combined sewer overflow improvements .....	300,000
MD, DC, VA	Washington Suburban Sanitary Commission, MD; Washington Area Sewer Authority; Fairfax County Public Works Department, VA .....	1,200,000
ME	City of Portland for a combined sewer overflow and storm water runoff improvements project .....	1,250,000
ME	Limestone Water and Sewer District for design and construction of new wastewater pipes and pumping stations .....	550,000
ME	Town of Machias for a sewer extension construction project .....	300,000
MI	Oakland/Macomb County Drain Drainage District for interceptor improvements .....	300,000
MI	City of Port Huron for combined sewer overflow improvements .....	300,000
MN	City of St. Cloud for water infrastructure improvements .....	300,000
MN	City of Faribault for wastewater infrastructure improvements .....	150,000
MO	City of Lee's Summit for a wastewater infrastructure improvements project .....	1,500,000
MO	City of New Haven for consolidation and replacement of wastewater pump stations .....	300,000
MS	Leflore County Board of Supervisors for a stormwater project .....	143,000
MS	Mississippi Band of Choctaw Indians for rehabilitation of wastewater pump stations .....	380,000
MS	City of Batesville for design and construction of wastewater improvements projects .....	275,000
MS	Tunica County Utility District for construction of a wastewater treatment facility .....	400,000
MS	Hinds County Board of Supervisors for planning and design of a centralized wastewater system .....	300,000
MS	City of Pearl for rehabilitation of wastewater gravity mains .....	277,000
MS	City of Ridgeland for construction of a new potable water well .....	200,000
MS	City of Carthage for a wastewater improvements and rehabilitation project .....	275,000
MT	Crow Tribe in Crow Agency for wastewater infrastructure improvements .....	300,000
MT	City of Bozeman for water treatment facility improvements .....	500,000
MT	Butte-Silver Bow Consolidated Government for drinking water improvements for the City of Butte .....	500,000
MT	City of Missoula for wastewater facility improvements .....	200,000
NC	Town of Ahoskie for wastewater system improvements .....	300,000
NC	Greenville Utilities Commission for construction of a wastewater pumping station .....	300,000
ND	City of Valley City for drinking water system improvements .....	400,000
ND	City of Washburn for drinking water treatment facility upgrades .....	400,000
ND	Stutsman Rural Water District, Stutsman County for drinking water system improvements .....	400,000
NE	City of Plattsmouth for combined sewer overflow improvements .....	1,200,000
NH	City of Nashua for combined sewer overflow improvements .....	300,000
NH	City of Berlin for replacement and upgrades of water lines and mains .....	450,000
NH	City of Manchester for the Phase II combined sewer overflow abatement program .....	450,000
NH	City of Keene for a wastewater treatment facility upgrades project .....	300,000
NH	Conway Village Fire District for water and wastewater treatment extension project .....	300,000
NH	Town of Winchester for a wastewater treatment facility upgrades project .....	300,000
NJ	City of New Brunswick for water pumping station improvements .....	300,000
NJ	City of Orange Township for drinking water system improvements .....	300,000
NJ	City of Hackensack for the Clay Street area combined sewer overflow improvement project .....	300,000
NJ	City of Perth Amboy for drinking water infrastructure improvements .....	300,000
NM	City of Portales for wastewater treatment plant improvements .....	300,000
NM	City of Carlsbad for a water reuse project .....	300,000
NV	Las Vegas Paiute Tribe for water infrastructure improvements .....	550,000
NV	City of Carson City for the Marlette-Hobart water system improvements .....	350,000
NV	City of Boulder City for water infrastructure improvements .....	290,000
NV	City of Fernley for a wastewater infrastructure project .....	300,000
NY	Nassau County for Bay Park STP outfall project .....	300,000
NY	Saratoga Hospital in Saratoga, NY for water supply improvements .....	300,000
OH	City of Fremont for combined sewer overflow improvements .....	500,000
OH	Belmont County Commissioners for construction of sanitary sewer system .....	400,000
OH	Knox County for construction of wastewater collection and treatment system .....	400,000
OH	City of Fostoria for the planning, design and construction of a new sanitary pump station and force main .....	500,000
OK	City of Enid for planning, design and construction of a wastewater treatment plant .....	300,000
OR	Umatilla County for Milton-Freewater stormwater system improvements .....	300,000
OR	City of Vernonia wastewater system improvements .....	300,000
PA	Allegheny County Sanitary Authority for the Three Rivers Wet Weather Demonstration Program .....	225,000
PA	Westmoreland County Industrial Development Corporation for wastewater infrastructure replacement .....	300,000

State	Project description	Amount
PA	Chester County Economic Development Council for the Upper Worthington Infrastructure Improvement Project .....	225,000
PA	City of Reading for expansion of wastewater infrastructure .....	225,000
PA	York City Sewer Authority for wastewater infrastructure .....	225,000
RI	City of Cranston for wastewater infrastructure .....	400,000
RI	Town of North Providence for storm water infrastructure improvements .....	400,000
RI	City of East Providence for drinking water infrastructure improvements .....	400,000
RI	City of Newport for water infrastructure improvements .....	300,000
SC	Laurens Commission of Public Works for construction of a pump station, water lines and water tank .....	300,000
SD	City of Elk Point for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements .....	400,000
SD	City of Lead for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements .....	400,000
SD	City of Rapid City for wastewater infrastructure improvements .....	300,000
SD	Brant Lake Sanitary District for wastewater infrastructure improvements .....	400,000
TN	City of Tusculum for planning, design and construction of a wastewater treatment facility and collection system .....	500,000
TN	Henry County for construction of a drinking water system .....	500,000
TN	Dickson County Water Authority for construction of a drinking water system .....	250,000
TN	Campbell County for construction of a connection between utility districts and a drinking water system .....	500,000
TN	Hancock County for a drinking water extension project .....	500,000
TN	City of Harrogate for sewer collection system improvements .....	300,000
TX	City of Nacogdoches for construction of two detention ponds .....	500,000
TX	City of Temple for construction of a wastewater main line and wastewater interceptor .....	300,000
TX	City of Lufkin for design and construction of drinking water infrastructure, storage and treatment capacity .....	400,000
TX	City of Beaumont for a sewer line rehabilitation project .....	400,000
TX	City of Lubbock for a treated drinking water pipeline project .....	200,000
TX	City of Round Rock for planning, design and construction of a regional water supply system .....	300,000
UT	City of Taylorsville for stormwater infrastructure improvements and upgrades .....	500,000
UT	Draper City for construction of a culinary reservoir .....	500,000
UT	City of Lindon for channel improvements in a stormwater detention and management area .....	500,000
UT	Clearfield City for a drinking water and wastewater improvements project .....	300,000
UT	South Salt Lake City for a waterline replacement project .....	300,000
VA	Caroline County for the Dawn Community Decentralized Wastewater System project .....	300,000
VA	Town of Onancock for wastewater treatment system improvements .....	300,000
VT	Village of Waterbury for wastewater system improvements .....	825,000
VT	Town of Guilford for drinking water system improvements .....	375,000
VT	Ferrisburgh Fire District #1 for water infrastructure improvements .....	300,000
WA	Whatcom County for stormwater system improvements .....	300,000
WA	City of Puyallap for wastewater pump and main force upgrades .....	500,000
WA	Cowlitz Public Utility District in Cowlitz County for replacement of wastewater infrastructure ..	400,000
WI	City of Janesville for wastewater treatment plant improvements .....	400,000
WI	Milwaukee Metropolitan Sewerage District for the replacement of a central sewer system .....	400,000
WI	City of Waukesha Water Utility for drinking water system improvements .....	400,000
WV	Ohio River Valley Sanitation Commission of organic detection system improvements .....	1,200,000
WV	Town of Moorefield for wastewater treatment facility upgrades .....	2,500,000
WV	Marshall County Sewerage District for wastewater infrastructure improvements .....	800,000

The Committee's recommendation includes an increase of \$5,000,000 above the request for the Alaska Native Villages infrastructure assistance program, for a total of \$15,000,000.

The Committee has provided \$101,000,000 for Brownfields project grants. The increase of \$1,000,000 above the request shall be used to expand the Technical Assistance to Brownfields Community program. The Committee also concurs with the budget request and has provided \$60,000,000 for the Diesel Emission Reduction Act grant program.

The Committee recommends an increase of \$20,000,000 above the request to fund targeted airshed grants to reduce air pollution in the Nation's most polluted air districts. Of these funds, \$10,000,000 shall be divided equally between the San Joaquin Air

Pollution Control District and South Coast Air Quality Management District in California. These funds shall be used to continue emission reduction activities in the transportation, agriculture, and ports sectors and shall be matched on at least a one-to-one basis. The remaining \$10,000,000 shall be used for grants distributed on a competitive basis to nonattainment areas that EPA determines are ranked as the top five most polluted areas relative to annual ozone or particulate matter<sub>2.5</sub> standards. To determine these areas, the Agency shall use the most recent design values calculated from validated air quality data. The Committee notes that these funds are available for emission reduction activities deemed necessary for compliance with national ambient air quality standards and included in a State Implementation Plan submitted to EPA.

*Categorical Grants.*—The Committee recommends \$1,111,274,000 for categorical grants, equal to the request.

*State and Local Air Quality Grants.*—The Committee has not agreed to the request to change the authorities under which State and local air district grants are administered from section 103 to section 105 of the Clean Air Act, which require additional State and local matching funds.

*Rescission.*—The Committee is aware that the Agency has large unobligated and unliquidated balances of prior-year State and Tribal Assistance Grants funds, including those identified by the Office of the Inspector General in its May 1, 2009 report. The Committee believes the Agency should make every effort to reduce these balances and, accordingly, has included bill language in the Administrative Provisions section increasing the amount recommended for rescission to \$40,000,000. Consistent with prior years, the Committee directs the Agency to take its first amounts from unobligated balances from the title II construction grants program, unobligated prior-year balances from State categorical grants and balances for special projects appropriated in fiscal year 2003 or earlier which have not been obligated on an approved grants as of the date of enactment of this bill. The Committee has also agreed to include language proposed in the budget request prohibiting the Agency from rescinding amounts designated by Congress as emergency funding.

#### ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS

##### (INCLUDING RESCISSION OF FUNDS)

As in prior years, the Committee has retained language, as proposed by the administration, relating to tribal programs and pesticide registration service fees. The Committee has included language relating to rescission of prior-year funds as part of the State and Tribal Assistance Grants appropriation rather than carrying the language as an administrative provision, as proposed in the budget request.

As noted previously, the Committee has included modified bill language relating the Great Lakes Restoration Initiative that allows EPA to: (1) to transfer up to half of funds appropriated for the initiative through the Environmental Programs and Management account to other Federal agencies to facilitate restoration projects; (2) establish interagency agreements with other Federal agencies to

facilitate restoration projects; and (3) to make grants to State and local government, nonprofit organizations and other relevant entities as appropriate.



TITLE III  
 RELATED AGENCIES  
 DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE  
 FOREST SERVICE  
 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$5,297,658,000 for the Forest Service, an increase of \$71,046,000 above the request and an increase of \$551,864,000 above the fiscal year 2009 enacted, non-emergency level.

Changes to the budget request are detailed below.

FOREST AND RANGELAND RESEARCH

Appropriations, 2009 .....	\$296,380,000
Budget estimate, 2010 .....	300,612,000
House allowance .....	308,612,000
Committee recommendation .....	307,012,000

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

Forest and rangeland research and development carries out basic and applied scientific research to provide information and solutions to sustain the Nation's forests and rangelands. Research scientists collaborate with industry, non-governmental organizations, colleges and universities, State foresters, and other governmental agencies. The research program works on a number of issues that are critical to the mission of the Forest Service including fire and fuels; invasive species; forest inventory and analysis; vegetation and protection; wildlife and fish habitat; soil, water, and air resources; valuation of forests and grasslands; urban forests and the urban-wildland interface. Research is conducted at six research stations, the Forest Products Laboratory, and the International Institute of Tropical Forestry located in Puerto Rico, with approximately 500 scientists and 67 sites located throughout the United States.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee's recommendation includes \$307,012,000 for forest and rangeland research programs, an increase of \$6,400,000 above the request and \$10,632,000 above the fiscal year 2009 enacted level.

*Base Program.*—The Committee's recommendation includes \$240,073,000 for base research activities, an increase of \$400,000 above the request.

The Committee directs the increase of \$400,000 above the request to fund the Center for Bottomlands Hardwood Research in Mississippi, for a total of \$800,000. The Committee has agreed to

the budget request to allocate \$2,100,000 for the Northeastern States Research Cooperative program, including \$900,000 each for the programs in the States of New Hampshire and Vermont and \$300,000 for the program in the State of Maine.

*Forest Inventory and Analysis [FIA].*—The Committee recommends a \$5,000,000 general program increase above the request for the Forest Inventory and Analysis program. The Committee understands that this increase will enable the Service to add Hawaii, New Mexico, and Nevada to the national inventory and to establish intensive monitoring sites for the Service’s experimental forests and other high-priority research sites across the Nation. The Committee notes that the expansion of the Service’s FIA program will provide essential land use and ecosystem data required by the Service and other Federal and non-Federal partners to inform climate change decisions. Within 90 days of enactment, the Service is directed to provide a report detailing proposed FIA program accomplishments, including sites that have been selected for additional monitoring and the criteria used to select those sites.

*Other.*—The Committee has not agreed to rescind \$1,000,000 of prior-year balances, as proposed in the budget request.

STATE AND PRIVATE FORESTRY

Appropriations, 2009 .....	\$265,861,000
Budget estimate, 2010 .....	306,111,000
House allowance .....	307,486,000
Committee recommendation .....	276,946,000

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

State and private forestry programs provide technical and financial assistance to landowners and resource managers to help sustain the Nation’s urban and rural forests and protect communities and the environment from wildland fires. Through a coordinated effort in management, protection, conservation education, and resource use, State and private forestry programs facilitate sound stewardship across lands of all ownerships on a landscape scale, while maintaining the flexibility for individual forest landowners to pursue their own objectives.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee’s request includes \$276,946,000 for State and private forestry programs, a decrease of \$29,165,000 below the request and an increase of \$11,085,000 above the fiscal year 2009 enacted level. Except where noted, increases above the budget request should be used to fund fixed costs.

	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change
Forest health management .....	\$101,105,000	\$102,855,000	+\$1,750,000
Cooperative fire protection .....	42,147,000	42,147,000	.....
Cooperative forestry .....	153,791,000	122,876,000	–30,915,000
International forestry .....	9,068,000	9,068,000	.....
Total, State and private forestry .....	306,111,000	276,946,000	–29,165,000

*Forest Health Management.*—The Committee has recommended \$102,855,000 for forest health management activities. The recommendation includes \$55,282,000 for forest health activities on Federal lands, equal to the request, and \$47,573,000 for cooperative forest health activities on State and private lands, an increase of \$1,750,000 above the request. The Service is directed to use \$750,000 of the increase for cooperative forest health programs to restore funding for gypsy moth eradication and suppression activities to the enacted level. The remaining \$1,000,000 shall be used to increase cooperative programs to combat emerald ash borer infestation. The Committee urges the Service to use a portion of the increase to help the State of Wisconsin increase its capacity for emerald ash borer monitoring and control programs.

*Cooperative Fire Protection.*—The Committee has provided \$42,147,000 for cooperative fire protection programs, equal to the request. The recommendation includes \$35,147,000 for State fire assistance and \$7,000,000 for volunteer fire assistance, equal to the request.

*Cooperative Forestry.*—The Committee recommends \$122,876,000 for cooperative forestry activities, a decrease of \$30,915,000 below the request.

The Committee concurs with the budget request and has provided \$28,369,000 for forest stewardship programs.

*Forest Legacy.*—The Committee recommends \$55,145,000 for the forest legacy program, an increase of \$5,700,000 above the 2009 appropriation and \$35,915,000 below the request. The Committee recommends the following distribution of funds:

## FOREST SERVICE FOREST LEGACY PROGRAM

State	Project	Committee recommendation
AR	Maumelle Water Excellence .....	\$1,790,000
CO	Snow Mountain Ranch .....	2,500,000
DE	Green Horizons .....	4,000,000
GA	Murff Tract—Rayonier Forest .....	3,500,000
ID	McArthur Lake Wildlife Corridor .....	3,345,000
KY	Pope Creek/Putnam Knob .....	1,000,000
ME	Katahdin Forest Expansion .....	3,700,000
MN	Koochiching .....	3,000,000
MT	Blackfoot River Murray-Douglas Creek .....	2,900,000
NH	Cardigan Highlands .....	2,400,000
NJ	Musconetcong & Rockaway River Watershed .....	1,000,000
NM	Vallecitos High Country .....	1,650,000
OH	Vinton Furnace Experimental Forest .....	1,610,000
OR	South Eugene Hills—Ridgeline Trail .....	1,000,000
SC	Belfast—Piedmont of South Carolina .....	3,250,000
TN	North Cumberland/Emory River Tract .....	4,160,000
UT	Dry Lakes Ranch .....	1,400,000
VT	Eden Forest .....	2,200,000
VA	Chowan River Headwaters .....	2,240,000
WI	Chippewa Flowage .....	1,500,000
	Subtotal, Line Item Projects .....	48,145,000
	Administration .....	6,000,000
	Community Forest Program .....	1,000,000
	Total, Forest Legacy .....	55,145,000

*Urban and Community Forestry.*—The Committee provides \$29,327,000 for urban and community forestry programs, equal to the request.

The Committee is encouraged by the work done within the Urban and Community Forestry program to develop a framework to inform policymakers across agencies at the national, regional, and local levels on impacts, including environmental degradation, of people and infrastructure on their natural environment. The Committee believes it is critical to integrate human system and ecosystems data so that policymakers can make better decisions and policies that affect the human health and the environment. The Committee expects the Service to continue supporting the development of this framework to encompass human systems such as transit, energy and water infrastructure, beginning with a particular focus on the water-scarce Southwest region.

*Economic Action Program.*—The Committee has not agreed to the request to terminate the Economic Action program and recommends an increase of \$5,000,000 to fund the following targeted projects: \$300,000 to the Missouri Forest Foundation to fund biomass-to-energy activities; \$200,000 to the Utah Department of Agriculture to fund biomass utilization activities; \$2,500,000 to the Service's Region 5 headquarters to continue infrastructure assistance grants for small forest products businesses in California; \$500,000 to the State of Vermont to fund forest products business development grants and technical assistance; and \$500,000 to the Calaveras [CA] Healthy Impact Products Solutions consortium to fund a biomass utilization initiative. The additional \$1,000,000 above the request is also provided to the Service's Wood Education and Resource Center in Princeton, West Virginia, for technical assistance and business development activities, for a total of \$1,900,000.

*Forest Resource Inventory and Analysis.*—The Committee's recommendation includes \$5,035,000 for forest resource inventory and analysis cooperative programs, equal to the request.

*International Program.*—The Committee has provided \$9,068,000 for the International Forestry program, equal to the request.

#### NATIONAL FOREST SYSTEM

(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

Appropriations, 2009 .....	\$1,509,805,000
Budget estimate, 2010 .....	1,506,564,000
House allowance .....	1,564,801,000
Committee recommendation .....	1,556,329,000

#### PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The National Forest System [NFS] provides for the planning, assessment, and conservation of ecosystems while delivering multiple public services and uses. Within the NFS, there are 155 national forests and 20 national grasslands located in 43 States, as well as Puerto Rico and the Virgin Islands, managed under multiple-use and sustained yield principles. The natural resources of timber, minerals, range, wildlife, outdoor recreation, watershed, and soil

are managed to best meet the needs of the Nation without impairing the productivity of the land or damaging the environment.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee's recommendation includes \$1,556,329,000 for national forest system operations, an increase of \$49,765,000 above the request and \$46,524,000 above the fiscal year 2009 enacted level. Except where noted, increases above the budget request should be used to fund fixed costs.

The distributions of the Committee's recommendations are as follows:

	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change
Land management planning .....	\$45,518,000	\$45,518,000	.....
Inventory and monitoring .....	168,695,000	169,695,000	+ \$1,000,000
Recreation, heritage, and wilderness .....	280,117,000	290,117,000	+ 10,000,000
Wildlife and fish habitat management .....	141,471,000	142,971,000	+ 1,500,000
Grazing management .....	49,949,000	50,714,000	+ 765,000
Forest Products .....	328,959,000	338,959,000	+ 10,000,000
Vegetation and watershed management .....	182,286,000	188,786,000	+ 6,500,000
Minerals and geology management .....	86,650,000	86,650,000	.....
Land ownership management .....	94,372,000	94,372,000	.....
Law enforcement operations .....	135,047,000	145,047,000	+ 10,000,000
Valles Caldera National Preserve .....	3,500,000	3,500,000	.....
Subtotal, National Forest System .....	1,516,564,000	1,556,329,000	+ 39,765,000
Rescission .....	- 10,000,000	.....	+ 10,000,000
Total, National Forest System .....	1,506,564,000	1,556,329,000	+ 49,765,000

*Land Management Planning.*—The Committee has provided \$45,518,000 for land management planning, equal to the request.

*Inventory and Monitoring.*—The Committee's recommendation includes \$169,695,000 for inventory and monitoring programs, an increase of \$1,000,000 above the request.

*Recreation, Heritage, and Wilderness.*—The Committee has provided \$290,117,000 for recreation, heritage and wilderness programs, an increase of \$10,000,000 above the request. The Committee directs the Service to use the increase to restore proposed reductions to recreation operations activities and to fund ongoing travel management planning requirements.

The Committee believes that the Forest Service must continue to show progress toward meeting its travel management regulatory requirements, including its requirements to conduct a science-based analysis of the roads system, identify unneeded roads, and comply with appropriate criteria to designate roads and trails, as defined by 36 CFR 212.5 and 212.55. Within 60 days of enactment, the Service is directed to provide a report to the Committee outlining the process that it will use, by region, to ensure compliance with these requirements, including a timeline for implementation.

The Committee also believes that management of heritage resources on national forests, including compliance with the National Historic Preservation Act, must be a greater priority for the Service. To that end, the Committee is encouraged that the budget request contains a 6 percent increase in funding to better manage heritage resources. The Committee directs the Service to provide a

report no later than September 30, 2010 detailing heritage resource program accomplishments and funding allocations for each region and each national forest for fiscal years 2009 and 2010.

*Wildlife and Fish Habitat Management.*—The Committee has provided \$142,971,000 for wildlife and fish habitat management programs, an increase of \$1,500,000 above the request.

The Committee strongly encourages the Department of Interior and the Forest Service to coordinate with local entities and to employ the resources necessary to prevent Quagga mussels and other aquatic invasive species from entering the Lake Tahoe ecosystem.

*Grazing Management.*—The Committee's recommendation includes \$50,714,000 for grazing management programs, an increase of \$765,000 above the budget request.

*Forest Products.*—The Committee has provided \$338,959,000 for forest products programs, a general program increase of \$10,000,000 above the budget request. The Committee concurs with the budget request to fund activities related to the Northwest Forest Plan based on the agency's capacity to fund projects. The Committee directs the Service to use its general program increase to implement timber sales and stewardship contracts in regions where recently closed and at-risk mills could benefit. The Committee is concerned that recent mill closures in forested rural areas have diminished the Service's ability to undertake hazardous fuels reduction work on national forest lands. Forest products infrastructure is an essential tool for restoring the Nation's forests and combating catastrophic fire, and the Forest Service should make every effort to sustain this infrastructure where possible. Within the increase provided, the Service is also directed to provide an additional \$1,250,000 to fund timber pipeline development for the Tongass National Forest in Alaska, for a total of \$2,500,000.

*Vegetation and Watershed Management.*—The Committee's recommendation includes \$188,786,000 for vegetation and watershed management activities, an increase of \$6,500,000 above the budget request. Of the increase provided, \$1,500,000 is directed to fully fund fixed costs and \$5,000,000 is directed to expand efforts to fund cleanup activities associated with marijuana eradication on national forest lands.

The Committee is very concerned that the Service is not making enough progress toward addressing the backlog of restoration needs caused by increased marijuana eradication operations. The Service is directed to develop a targeted plan for this funding increase that will demonstrate an "economy of scale" approach to clean up several eradication sites at once. The plan should be developed in concert with the Service's law enforcement staff, other Federal agencies and non-Federal stakeholders. The Service shall report to the Committee regarding proposed allocations prior to allocating these funds to the field.

The Committee supports the budget request of \$350,000 for leafy spurge eradication on the Dakota Prairie Grasslands.

*Minerals and Geology Management.*—The Committee has provided \$86,650,000 for minerals and geology programs, equal to the budget request.

*Land Ownership Management.*—The Committee has included \$94,372,000 for land ownership management programs, equal to the budget request.

*Law Enforcement Operations.*—The Committee has included \$145,047,000 for law enforcement operations, an increase of \$10,000,000 above the request. The increase provided above the request shall be used to expand the Service's efforts to expand marijuana eradication activities on national forest lands, including defraying aviation and support costs associated with interagency drug eradication operations. The Committee expects the Service to allocate this increase to areas with the greatest amounts of drug eradication activity. The Service is directed to maintain funding for forest counterdrug operations at their fiscal year 2009 levels unless the Committee is notified in writing of a need to reallocate funds based on increased drug activity on other forests.

*Valles Caldera National Preserve.*—The Committee recommends \$3,500,000 for operations of the Valles Caldera National Preserve in New Mexico, equal to the request.

*Land Between the Lakes.*—The Committee concurs with the budget request and provides \$8,200,000 to support operations at the Land Between the Lakes National Recreation Area.

*Rescission.*—The Committee has not agreed to the \$10,000,000 rescission of prior-year National Forest System appropriations balances proposed in the request.

*Other.*—The Committee is concerned by reports of employment and workplace safety violations related to the employment of foreign guest workers, known as piñeros, by contractors operating on national forest lands. By March 1, 2010, the Service is directed to provide a report to the Committee detailing planned and actual monitoring, investigation and enforcement activities, including information regarding violations of employment or safety standards, for fiscal years 2009 and 2010. The Service is also directed to make this report available to the general public on its website.

*Bill Language.*—The Committee has included language to allow the Service to continue to transfer funds to the Bureau of Land Management for certain activities related to wild horses and burros and cadastral surveys, as requested.

#### CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT AND MAINTENANCE

##### (INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

Appropriations, 2009 .....	\$495,393,000
Emergency supplementals, 2009 .....	650,000,000
Budget estimate, 2010 .....	556,962,000
House allowance .....	560,637,000
Committee recommendation .....	513,418,000

The Committee's recommendation provides \$513,418,000 for capital improvement and maintenance programs, a decrease of \$43,544,000 below the request and an increase of \$18,025,000 above the fiscal year 2009 enacted, non-emergency level. As in previous years, the Committee proposes to offset these funds with an \$18,000,000 scoring credit related to the Roads and Trails Fund. The Committee agrees to the following distribution of funds:

	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change
Facilities .....	\$130,740,000	\$135,575,000	+\$4,835,000
Roads .....	235,000,000	236,521,000	+ 1,521,000
Trails .....	82,081,000	82,181,000	+ 100,000
Infrastructure improvement .....	9,141,000	9,141,000	.....
Legacy Road Remediation .....	50,000,000	50,000,000	.....
Protecting National Forests .....	50,000,000	.....	– 50,000,000
Total, Capital Improvement and Maintenance .....	556,962,000	513,418,000	– 43,544,000

The Committee's recommendation does not include funding for the administration's proposed \$50,000,000 "Protecting National Forests" initiative. Though the Committee recognizes the importance of addressing the Service's deferred maintenance backlog, it also understands that the Service has not yet developed a priority list of eligible projects or specific criteria to select future projects. Given the lack of a concrete plan for this funding, the Committee believes that the Service would be better served investing this increase in other, underfunded operating programs that also protect the health and vitality of the national forests. Therefore, the Committee has transferred the \$50,000,000 funding increase to other critical programs within the National Forest System and Wildland Fire Management appropriations.

The Committee urges the Service to develop specific criteria for this major construction initiative, including a proposed priority list, and to resubmit the initiative for consideration as part of future budget requests.

*Facilities.*—The Committee's recommendation includes \$135,575,000 for facilities maintenance and construction, an increase of \$4,835,000 above the request.

Changes to the request include the following: a \$595,000 increase for the Monongahela National Forest (West Virginia); an increase of \$1,840,000 to continue construction of a research facility in Hawaii, for a total of \$2,500,000; \$500,000 to complete construction of a work station on the Cherokee National Forest (Tennessee); and \$1,900,000 to relocate the Northern Great Plains Fire Dispatch Center on the Black Hills National Forest (South Dakota).

*Roads.*—The Committee has provided \$236,521,000 for road maintenance and improvement, an increase of \$1,521,000 above the request. The increase is provided for road improvements on the Monongahela National Forest (West Virginia).

*Trails.*—The Committee's recommendation includes \$82,181,000 for trails maintenance and construction, an increase of \$100,000 above the request. The increase is provided for trail improvements on the Reno-to-Tahoe Rim Trail in Nevada. Within funds provided, the Service shall continue to fund national scenic and historic trails at no less than the fiscal year 2009 enacted level.

*Legacy Roads Initiative.*—The Committee has included \$50,000,000 for the Legacy Roads initiative, equal to the budget request.

*Other.*—As noted previously, the Committee has continued bill language from prior years regarding the treatment of receipts from the Road and Trails Fund.



## LAND ACQUISITION

Appropriations, 2009 .....	\$49,775,000
Budget estimate, 2010 .....	28,684,000
House allowance .....	36,782,000
Committee recommendation .....	67,784,000

The Committee recommends \$67,784,000 for land acquisition, which is \$18,009,000 above the fiscal year 2009 enacted level and \$39,100,000 above the budget request.

The Committee recommends the following distribution of funds:

## FOREST SERVICE LAND ACQUISITION

State	Project	Committee recommendation
CA	Angeles National Forest .....	\$1,750,000
CA	Humboldt-Toiyabe National Forest .....	2,400,000
CA	Los Padres National Forest .....	1,500,000
CA	San Bernardino National Forest .....	500,000
CA	Tahoe and El Dorado National Forests .....	1,000,000
CO	Uncompahgre National Forest .....	1,375,000
GA	Chattahoochee-Oconee National Forest .....	1,200,000
IN	Hoosier National Forest .....	825,000
KY	Daniel Boone National Forest .....	900,000
MI	Ottawa National Forest .....	2,800,000
MN	Chippewa and Superior National Forests .....	2,100,000
MO	Mark Twain National Forest .....	500,000
MT	Gallatin and Custer National Forests .....	2,000,000
MT	Helena National Forest .....	1,000,000
MT	Lewis and Clark National Forest .....	2,000,000
NH	White Mountain National Forest .....	434,000
NM	Gila National Forest .....	3,000,000
OR	Hells Canyon National Recreation Area .....	2,000,000
OR	Siskiyou National Forest .....	360,000
PA	Allegheny National Forest .....	500,000
SC	Francis Marion National Forest .....	1,650,000
SD	Black Hills National Forest .....	1,640,000
TN	Cherokee National Forest .....	6,000,000
UT	Bonneville Shoreline Trail .....	1,500,000
UT	Dixie National Forest .....	2,500,000
UT	Uinta/Wasatch-Cache National Forests .....	1,500,000
VT	Green Mountain National Forest .....	2,250,000
WA	Mt. Baker-Snoqualmie National Forest .....	1,700,000
WA	Mt. Baker-Snoqualmie/Wenatchee National Forests .....	2,625,000
WV	Monongahela National Forest .....	3,150,000
WI	Chequamegon-Nicolet National Forest .....	2,125,000
	Subtotal, Line Item Projects .....	54,784,000
	Acquisition Management .....	9,000,000
	Equalization .....	1,000,000
	Inholdings .....	3,000,000
	Total, Land Acquisition .....	67,784,000

## ACQUISITION OF LANDS FOR NATIONAL FORESTS, SPECIAL ACTS

Appropriations, 2009 .....	\$1,050,000
Budget estimate, 2010 .....	1,050,000
House allowance .....	1,050,000
Committee recommendation .....	1,050,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$1,050,000, which is equal to the budget request. These funds are derived from receipts at certain forests.

ACQUISITION OF LANDS TO COMPLETE LAND EXCHANGES

Appropriations, 2009 .....	\$250,000
Budget estimate, 2010 .....	250,000
House allowance .....	250,000
Committee recommendation .....	250,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$250,000, which is equal to the budget request. This amount is derived from funds deposited by State, county, and municipal governments or public school authorities pursuant to the Act of December 4, 1967, as amended (16 U.S.C. 484a).

RANGE BETTERMENT FUND

Appropriations, 2009 .....	\$3,600,000
Budget estimate, 2010 .....	3,600,000
House allowance .....	3,600,000
Committee recommendation .....	3,600,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$3,600,000, equal to the request. This amount is for range rehabilitation, protection, and improvement, and is derived from fees received for livestock grazing on national forests pursuant to section 401(b)(1) of Public Law 94-579, as amended.

GIFTS, DONATIONS AND BEQUESTS FOR FOREST AND RANGELAND  
RESEARCH

Appropriations, 2009 .....	\$50,000
Budget estimate, 2010 .....	50,000
House allowance .....	50,000
Committee recommendation .....	50,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$50,000, which is equal to the budget request. This amount is derived from the fund established under 16 U.S.C. 1643(b).

MANAGEMENT OF NATIONAL FOREST LANDS FOR SUBSISTENCE USES  
SUBSISTENCE MANAGEMENT, FOREST SERVICE

Appropriations, 2009 .....	\$5,000,000
Budget estimate, 2010 .....	2,582,000
House allowance .....	2,582,000
Committee recommendation .....	2,582,000

The Committee's recommendation includes \$2,582,000 for subsistence management activities on national forest lands in the State of Alaska, which is equal to the budget request.

WILDLAND FIRE MANAGEMENT  
(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

Appropriations, 2009 .....	\$2,131,630,000
Emergency supplementals, 2009 .....	700,000,000
Budget estimate, 2010 .....	2,520,147,000
House allowance .....	2,370,288,000
Committee recommendation .....	2,586,637,000

## PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

Wildland Fire Management provides funding for fire management including preparedness and fire suppression operations on National Forest System and adjacent lands, and also supports the National Fire Plan. The program seeks to protect life, property, and natural resources on the 192 million acres of National Forest System lands, as well as on an additional 20 million acres of adjacent State and private lands. The program recognizes that wildfire is a critical natural process that must be integrated into land and resource management plans and activities on a landscape scale across agency boundaries.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee's recommendation includes \$2,586,637,000 for wildland fire management programs, an increase of \$455,007,000 above the fiscal year 2009 enacted, non-emergency level and an increase of \$66,490,000 above the request.

*Preparedness.*—The Committee's recommendation includes \$690,000,000 for fire preparedness activities, an increase of \$15,000,000 above the request. The increase shall be used to fund fixed costs and to continue firefighter retention initiatives for high-risk areas initiated by Public Law 110–329. The Committee expects that future budget requests will include the resources necessary to maintain these important retention initiatives.

As in prior years, the Service is directed to analyze proposed preparedness resource levels and to adjust resources between preparedness and suppression as necessary to ensure that the Service maintains readiness commensurate with fiscal year 2008 levels. The Service is directed to notify the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations in writing prior to the decision to shift resources between the two programs, and is further directed to re-evaluate future budget requests to ensure that preparedness requests actually reflect expected costs.

*Fire Suppression.*—The Committee has fully funded the 10-year fire suppression average of \$1,128,505,000, as requested. The Committee has also agreed to provide an additional \$282,000,000 in contingency fire appropriations as proposed by the request. However, the Committee believes that providing these funds through a separate appropriations account, as requested by the administration, would create an unnecessary administrative burden. Therefore, the Committee has merged these funds with the Wildland Fire Management account. These funds should only be made available once all other funds available for fire suppression activities have been exhausted.

*Other Operations.*—The Committee recommends \$486,132,000 for other fire operations programs, an increase of \$51,490,000 above the request.

The Committee has provided \$350,285,000 for hazardous fuels reduction activities, an increase of \$35,000,000 above the request and \$22,199,000 above the fiscal year 2009 enacted, non-emergency level.

Of the increase provided, the Committee directs the Service to use \$30,000,000 to restore and expand hazardous fuels reduction

activities. The Committee expects the Service to use \$10,000,000 of this increase to begin implementation of projects authorized by the Forest Landscape Restoration Act (Public Law 111–11). The Service is directed to provide a report to the Committee by March 1, 2010 that details the projects selected for implementation, estimated project costs, and the criteria used for their selection. The remaining \$20,000,000 shall be used to support fuels reduction and restoration activities on lands at the highest risk of catastrophic wildfire due to fuel loads and population density. When selecting projects, the Service is also urged to give priority to projects that foster community collaboration and support local forest products or biomass utilization infrastructure.

The Committee's recommendation provides an additional \$5,000,000 increase above the request for biomass utilization grants, for a total of \$10,000,000. Within fuels reduction programs, the Committee supports the budget request of \$2,000,000 for the Southwest Ecological Research Institutes, including \$1,500,000 for the institute located at Northern Arizona University.

The Committee's recommendation includes \$11,500,000 for rehabilitation activities, an increase of \$2,500,000 above the request and equal to the enacted level. The Committee supports the budget request of \$23,917,000 for fire plan research and development programs and \$8,000,000 for joint fire science activities conducted with the Department of the Interior.

The Committee recommendation also provides \$17,252,000 for forest health programs on Federal lands, an increase of \$2,812,000 above the request. Cooperative forest health programs are funded at \$9,928,000, an increase of \$2,928,000 above the request. These increases restore both programs to their fiscal year 2009 enacted levels.

The Committee recommends \$56,250,000 for State fire assistance programs, an increase of \$6,250,000 above the request, with the increase allocated as follows:

- \$4,000,000 to increase the budget request for the South Lake Tahoe Public Utility District to fund water system improvements by local utility districts to enhance firefighting capability, for a total of \$5,000,000;
- \$2,000,000 to increase the budget request for fire risk reduction activities by California Fire Safe Council chapters, including activities in the Lake Tahoe Basin, for a total of \$5,000,000; and
- \$250,000 for the City of Reno for protection of the city's wildland-urban interface.

The Committee recommends \$9,000,000 for volunteer fire assistance programs, an increase of \$2,000,000 above the request and equal to the enacted level.

*Quincy Library Group [QLG].*—The Committee is very concerned that the Service has been unable to meet the annual fuels reduction treatment goals required by the Herger-Feinstein Quincy Library Group Act, which prescribes 40,000 to 60,000 acres of treatments each year for the Plumas and Lassen National Forests and the Sierraville Ranger District of the Tahoe National Forest. These treatments are essential to minimize the risk of stand-replacing wildfires which the area has suffered repeatedly, including the

2007 Moonlight Fire, which threatened communities and burned 65,000 acres on the Plumas National Forest.

The Committee is aware that the Service has preliminary treatment targets for the QLG area of approximately 18,000 acres in fiscal year 2009, including 3,000 acres on the Plumas National Forest, and approximately 21,000 acres in fiscal year 2010. The Committee is also aware that additional acre targets for future projects may be agreed upon by stakeholders. The Committee expects the Service to meet or exceed these preliminary targets and directs the Service to provide a report to the Committee within 60 days of enactment detailing the actual number of acres treated in fiscal year 2009 and the updated number of acres planned for treatment in fiscal year 2010, including the number of additional acres agreed to as part of the collaborative process.

*Aviation Strategy.*—The Committee is concerned that the Service's fleet of P-2V and P-3 firefighting air tankers continues to age and notes that the number of air tankers currently available to the Service—18—is a 60 percent reduction compared to the number of aircraft available in 2002. With many of the Service's fleet of air tankers nearing the end of their available flight hours, it is imperative that the Service complete its longstanding work to develop a comprehensive aviation strategy, including recommendations for the replacement for its air tanker fleet. The Committee directs the Service to complete its work on the aviation strategy and provide a copy, including detailed cost estimates relating to its recommendations, to the Committee within 60 days of enactment.

*Bill Language.*—The Committee supports the budget request for the Community Forest Restoration Act and has retained language to allow the program to use appropriate State and private forestry authorities as necessary.

#### ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS

##### (INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

The Committee has continued many of the same administrative provisions included in prior year appropriations acts.

The Committee has modified language authorizing the Secretary to transfer funds from other program accounts to fund wildfire suppression and related activities if the Secretary determines that all available fire suppression funds will be obligated within 30 days. The Committee directs the Service to take its first transfers from unobligated balances, if available, from the Knutsen-Vandenberg Trust Fund and other permanent and trust fund accounts, and to exhaust available balances, as appropriate, from permanent and trust fund accounts before the Service transfers funds from other discretionary accounts.

The Committee has modified language relating to limitations on assessments from the U.S. Department of Agriculture to authorize the assessment of up to \$15,500,000 for the implementation of a proposed Department-wide financial system.

The Committee's recommendation includes amounts requested by the administration to provide up to \$2,000,000 for the National Forest Foundation and \$2,650,000 for the National Fish and Wildlife Foundation for partnership activities that benefit national for-

ests. These funds are subject to a one-to-one matching requirement. The Committee has agreed to limit amounts available to the National Forest Foundation for administrative purposes, consistent with the budget request.

The recommendation does not include proposed limitations to rural community technical assistance, as proposed in the request.

The Committee has agreed to increase the Service’s cap on deferred maintenance assessments to \$55,000,000 and has included requested technical changes. The Committee has also agreed to extend authorities concerning the availability of funds for educational benefits for dependents of agency personnel serving in Puerto Rico, as requested.

Language has been continued authorizing up to \$5,000,000 for youth conservation and service corps projects, including up to \$2,500,000 for fuels and fire risk reduction projects authorized by the Public Lands Corps Healthy Forest Restoration Act of 2005 (Public Law 109–154).

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

INDIAN HEALTH SERVICE

The Indian Health Service [IHS] is the agency within the Department of Health and Human Services that has responsibility for providing Federal health services to approximately 1.9 million American Indians and Alaska Natives. The provision of health services to tribes grew out of the special relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes that was established in 1787, based on Article I, Section 8 of the Constitution, and given form and substance by numerous treaties, laws, Supreme Court decisions, and Executive orders that followed. Most notable among these is the Snyder Act of 1921, which provides the basic authority for most Indian health services provided by the Federal Government to Native Americans and Alaska Natives.

IHS services are provided directly and through tribally contracted and operated health programs in over 600 healthcare facilities located throughout the United States, primarily in rural and isolated areas. Health care is also purchased from more than 9,000 private providers annually. The Federal system consists of 31 hospitals, 50 health centers, and 31 health stations. In addition, 34 urban Indian health projects provide a variety of health and referral services.

Through Public Law 93–638, the Indian Self-Determination and Education Act, as amended, American Indian tribes and Alaska Native corporations contract and compact to administer more than one half of all IHS resources, including 15 hospitals, 254 health centers, 112 health stations, and 166 Alaska village clinics.

INDIAN HEALTH SERVICES

Appropriations, 2009 .....	\$3,190,956,000
Emergency supplementals, 2009 .....	85,000,000
Budget estimate, 2010 .....	3,639,868,000
House allowance .....	3,657,618,000
Committee recommendation .....	3,639,868,000

The Committee recommends \$3,639,868,000 for Indian health services, an increase of \$448,912,000 above the fiscal year 2009 non-emergency funding level and the same amount as the budget request. Programmatic increases include \$117,000,000 for contract health services; \$104,418,000 for contract support costs; \$5,595,000 for new tribes funding; \$45,543,000 for the Indian Health Care Improvement Fund; \$2,500,000 for the Service's chronic care initiative; \$800,000 for health promotion/disease prevention activities; \$2,854,000 for Indian health professions; \$2,000,000 for direct operations; and \$16,251,000 for health information technology requirements. An amount of \$151,951,000 is provided to cover the uncontrollable cost increases of pay and benefits; medical and non-medical inflation; population growth; and the staffing of new facilities. Within the amount provided for contract health services, \$48,000,000 is included for the Catastrophic Health Emergency Fund, an increase of \$17,000,000 above the current year enacted level. New tribes funding is based on projections for the Mashpee Wampanoag Tribe and the Tuscarora Tribe. The Urban Indian Health program is proposed to be funded at \$38,139,000 in fiscal year 2010, a 5 percent increase above the current year enacted level. Within the Indian Health Professions activity, the Committee notes that funds are continued for the Recruitment/Retention of American Indians into Nursing program; the Indians into Psychology program; and the Indians into Medicine program. The Committee encourages the Service to continue to provide such technical assistance as may be necessary for the newly formed Indian Health Board of Nevada to strengthen and expand its ability to advocate on behalf of Nevada's 27 Indian tribes and communities. Language has been included in the bill in title IV, general provisions, regarding the delivery of healthcare services in Alaska.

#### INDIAN HEALTH FACILITIES

Appropriations, 2009 .....	\$390,168,000
Emergency supplementals, 2009 .....	415,000,000
Budget estimate, 2010 .....	394,757,000
House allowance .....	394,757,000
Committee recommendation .....	394,757,000

The Committee recommends \$394,757,000 for Indian health facilities, an increase of \$4,589,000 above the fiscal year 2009 non-emergency funding level and the same amount as the budget request. Increases include an amount of \$14,780,000 to meet escalating fixed costs such as pay and benefits, and \$575,000 for facilities and environmental health support. Health facilities construction is funded at \$29,234,000 and provides support for three ongoing projects: the Barrow, Alaska hospital; the Kayenta, Arizona health center; and the San Carlos, Arizona health center. In the fiscal year 2009 bill, the Service was instructed to prepare a new solicitation for both the Joint Venture Construction Program and the Small Ambulatory Grants Program. The Committee understands that the initial phase of this process is underway with a request to tribal leaders to inform the Service of their intent to apply for either program by July 1, 2009.

## NATIONAL INSTITUTES OF HEALTH

## NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH SCIENCES

Appropriations, 2009 .....	\$78,074,000
Budget estimate, 2010 .....	79,212,000
House allowance .....	79,212,000
Committee recommendation .....	79,212,000

## PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The National Institute of Health Sciences, an agency within the National Institutes of Health, was authorized in section 311(a) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980, as amended, to conduct multidisciplinary research and training activities associated with the Nation's Hazardous Substance Superfund program, and in section 126(g) of the Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act of 1968, to conduct training and education of workers who are or may be engaged in activities related to hazardous waste removal or containment or emergency response.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$79,212,000 for the operations of the "National Institute of Health Environmental Health Sciences" account. This amount is an increase of \$1,138,000 above the fiscal year 2009 level and equal to the budget request.

## AGENCY FOR TOXIC SUBSTANCES AND DISEASE REGISTRY

## TOXIC SUBSTANCES AND ENVIRONMENTAL PUBLIC HEALTH

Appropriations, 2009 .....	\$74,039,000
Budget estimate, 2010 .....	76,792,000
House allowance .....	76,792,000
Committee recommendation .....	76,792,000

## PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry [ATSDR], an agency of the Public Health Service, was created in section 104(i) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980. The ATSDR's primary mission is to conduct surveys and screening programs to determine relationships between exposure to toxic substances and illness. Other activities include the maintenance and annual update of a list of hazardous substances most commonly found at Superfund sites, the preparation of toxicological profiles on each such hazardous substance, consultations on health issues relating to exposure to hazardous or toxic substances, and the development and implementation of certain research activities related to ATSDR's mission.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends a total appropriation of \$76,792,000 for the operations of the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry account. This amount is \$2,753,000 above the fiscal year 2009 level and equal to the budget request.



## OTHER RELATED AGENCIES

## EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY AND OFFICE OF  
ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

Appropriations, 2009 .....	\$2,703,000
Budget estimate, 2010 .....	3,159,000
House allowance .....	3,159,000
Committee recommendation .....	3,159,000

## PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The Council on Environmental Quality [CEQ] and the Office of Environmental Quality [OEQ] were established by the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 [NEPA] and the Environmental Quality Improvement Act of 1970, respectively. The Council serves as a source of environmental expertise and policy analysis for the White House, Executive Office of the President, and other Federal agencies. CEQ promulgates regulations binding on all Federal agencies to implement the procedural provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act and resolves interagency environmental disputes informally and through issuance of findings and recommendations.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$3,159,000 for the operations of Council on Environmental Quality and Office of Environmental Quality account. This amount is \$456,000 above the fiscal year 2009 level and equal to the budget request.

*Interagency Action Plan on Appalachian Surface Coal Mining.*— On June 11, 2009, the Department of the Interior, the Environmental Protection Agency, and the Army Corps of Engineers announced the signing of a memorandum of understanding designed to address the impacts of surface coal mining in the Appalachian region of the United States. As proposed, these Federal agencies will implement an Interagency Action Plan that will coordinate the development of both short-term and long-term actions intended to “diversify and strengthen the Appalachian regional economy and promote the health and welfare of Appalachian communities.” The Plan also calls for a “special focus on stimulating clean enterprise and green jobs development, encouraging better coordination among existing Federal efforts, and supporting innovative new ideas and initiatives.” The Committee is encouraged that the Plan calls for public meetings throughout Appalachia that will garner “robust public comment” on a proposal that may very well have wide ranging and unforeseen consequences for both the economic and social environments of the communities involved. However, the Committee also believes it is essential for Members of Congress to fully understand the various elements of the Plan. To that end, the Committee directs the Council on Environmental Quality to begin a series of congressional briefings, beginning no later than 60 days after enactment of this act and occurring no less than every 6 months thereafter, which will provide pertinent and meaningful information on how the administration intends to achieve its goals.

These briefings should include, at minimum, detailed information on the proposed actions to be taken, what alternatives may exist to the proposed actions, anticipated timelines for implementation of these actions, the estimated economic costs involved in implementing the actions, and updates on the status and outcomes of the scheduled public meetings. The Committee would appreciate the Council ensuring the participation of all relevant executive agencies, including the Appalachian Regional Commission, in these briefings.

#### CHEMICAL SAFETY AND HAZARD INVESTIGATION BOARD

##### SALARIES AND EXPENSES

Appropriations, 2009 .....	\$10,199,000
Budget estimate, 2010 .....	10,547,000
House allowance .....	10,547,000
Committee recommendation .....	11,195,000

##### PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The Chemical Safety and Hazard Investigation Board was authorized by the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990 to investigate accidental releases of certain chemicals substances resulting in, or that may cause, serious injury, death, substantial property damage, or serious adverse effects on human health. It became operational in fiscal year 1998.

##### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$11,195,000 for salaries and expenses of the Chemical Safety and Hazard Investigation Board. This amount is \$996,000 above the fiscal year 2009 level and \$648,000 above the budget request. With the additional funds provided, the Committee directs that the Board evaluate and report on how best to eliminate the remaining industrial storage of methy isocyanate, the substance involved in the Bhopal chemical disaster and in a fatal accident at Bayer CropScience in Institute, West Virginia, in 2008, as requested by the chairmen of the House Committee on Energy and Commerce and the Senate Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

#### OFFICE OF NAVAJO AND HOPI INDIAN RELOCATION

##### SALARIES AND EXPENSES

Appropriations, 2009 .....	\$7,530,000
Budget estimate, 2010 .....	8,000,000
House allowance .....	8,000,000
Committee recommendation .....	8,000,000

##### PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The Office of Navajo and Hopi Indian Relocation was established by Public Law 93-531. The Office is charged with planning and conducting relocation activities associated with the settlement of land disputes between the Navajo Nation and Hopi Tribe.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$8,000,000, which is \$470,000 above the fiscal year 2009 enacted level and equal to the budget request.

INSTITUTE OF AMERICAN INDIAN AND ALASKA NATIVE CULTURE AND ARTS DEVELOPMENT

PAYMENT TO THE INSTITUTE

Appropriations, 2009 .....	\$7,900,000
Budget estimate, 2010 .....	8,300,000
House allowance .....	8,300,000
Committee recommendation .....	8,300,000

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The Institute of American Indian and Alaska Native Culture and Arts Development [IAIA] was originally founded in 1962 as a Bureau of Indian Affairs [BIA] high school. The Institute was moved out of the BIA in 1988 to become a federally chartered 4-year college governed by a board of trustees appointed by the President of the United States and confirmed by the Senate. Its mission is to serve as the national center of research, training, language and scholarship for Native Americans and Alaska Natives through the dedicated study, creative application, preservation, and care of Native cultures and arts. In addition to its academic programs, IAIA houses the National Collection of Contemporary Indian Art and carries the designation as the National Repository for Native Languages. IAIA's operations are funded by direct Federal support and a diversified private sector approach to foundations, corporations, tribes, and individual donors.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$8,300,000 for the Institute of American Indian and Alaska Native Culture and Arts Development, an increase of \$400,000 above the fiscal year 2009 enacted level and the same amount as the budget request. Additional funds are provided for the Institute to expand its faculty and meet facilities maintenance and information technology needs.

SMITHSONIAN INSTITUTION

Congress established the Smithsonian Institution in 1846 to administer a large bequest left to the United States by James Smithson, an English scientist, for the purpose of establishing in Washington, DC, an institution “. . . for the increase and diffusion of knowledge among men.” The act establishing the Smithsonian provided for the administration of the trust, independent of the Government itself, by a Board of Regents and a Secretary, who were given broad discretion in the use of these funds. The board was to be composed of both private citizens and members of all three branches of the Federal Government in order to ensure “the wise and faithful use” of the Institution’s funds. The trust funds were permanently loaned to the U.S. Treasury to be maintained in a separate account, with the interest from that money used for the

operation of the Institution. Construction of the Smithsonian Castle was completed in 1855 and collections that the Government had accepted on behalf of the Institution were moved into the building. Today, the Smithsonian Institution is the world's largest museum and research complex, housing approximately 144 million objects and specimens, and receiving an estimated 25 million visitors annually.

Its facilities include 19 museums and galleries, including the National Zoo, 10 science centers, and other facilities—most located in or near Washington, DC, with others in Massachusetts, New York, Florida, Arizona, Hawaii, and the Republic of Panama. The Smithsonian's growth will continue for the foreseeable future with the design and construction of a National Museum of African American History and Culture, authorized by Congress in 2003 and scheduled to open to the public in 2015.

#### SALARIES AND EXPENSES

Appropriations, 2009 .....	\$593,400,000
Budget estimate, 2010 .....	634,161,000
House allowance .....	634,161,000
Committee recommendation .....	634,161,000

The Committee recommends \$634,161,000 for salaries and expenses of the Smithsonian Institution, an increase of \$40,761,000 above the fiscal year 2009 enacted level and the same amount as the budget request. Within this total, \$26,011,000 is included to meet the fixed cost increases associated with pay and benefits, utilities, rent and other operational requirements. Program increases totaling \$14,750,000 are included to address additional needs for facilities services, collections care, scientific research, information technology and governance support. The Committee expects the Smithsonian to continue to submit quarterly reports of funding re-directions that are below the reprogramming threshold.

#### FACILITIES CAPITAL

Appropriations, 2009 .....	\$123,000,000
Emergency supplementals, 2009 .....	25,000,000
Budget estimate, 2010 .....	125,000,000
House allowance .....	140,000,000
Committee recommendation .....	125,000,000

The Committee recommends \$125,000,000 for the Smithsonian Institution's facilities capital program, an increase of \$2,000,000 above the fiscal year 2009 non-emergency funding level and the same amount as the budget request. Of this total \$89,300,000 is provided for revitalization efforts and \$35,700,000 is provided for facilities planning and design. Projects to be addressed with these funds in fiscal year 2010 include: \$20,000,000 for design of the National Museum of African American History and Culture; \$12,600,000 for replacement of the roof at the Arts and Industries Building; \$16,300,000 for continued revitalization of the National Museum of Natural History; and \$16,000,000 for major repairs and renewal of facilities at the National Zoological Park.

## LEGACY FUND

(INCLUDING RESCISSION OF FUNDS)

Appropriations, 2009 .....	\$15,000,000
Budget estimate, 2010 .....	
House allowance .....	
Committee recommendation .....	30,000,000
Rescission of prior year funds .....	-29,766,000

The Committee recommends \$30,000,000 for the Legacy Fund in fiscal year 2010. The administration's budget request did not propose to include an appropriation for this account. The Committee has included language in the bill to target the Legacy Fund specifically to the development of a public-private partnership that will facilitate the reopening of the Arts and Industries Building. The current 1:1 private matching requirement has been expanded to include major in-kind donations that contribute to the redesign and purpose of the new building, as well as privately funded endowments intended for the care and refurbishment of the permanent exhibits therein. The Committee has made the foregoing adjustments in consultation with the Smithsonian Institution and with the understanding that these changes will better support the Institution's private fundraising efforts. The Committee has rescinded a total unobligated balance of \$29,766,000 from Legacy Fund appropriations for fiscal years 2008 and 2009 and reappropriated these dollars under the revised guidelines.

## NATIONAL GALLERY OF ART

The National Gallery of Art was created in 1937 by a joint resolution of Congress accepting Andrew W. Mellon's gift to the Nation of his art collection. The generosity of the Mellon family also provided the funds to construct the Gallery's two landmark buildings, the West Building, designed by Alexander Pope and completed in 1941, and the East Building, designed by I.M. Pei and completed in 1978. In 1999, an outdoor sculpture garden was created with funding from the Cafritz Foundation. Today, these two buildings and the Sculpture Garden form a museum complex that houses one of the world's premier art collections. Since the Gallery's founding, Federal funds have been appropriated to ensure the operation, maintenance, protection, and care of its collection. Private contributions are used by the Gallery for art acquisition and conservation, scholarly and scientific research, exhibitions, and educational outreach programs.

## SALARIES AND EXPENSES

Appropriations, 2009 .....	\$105,388,000
Budget estimate, 2010 .....	108,986,000
House allowance .....	110,746,000
Committee recommendation .....	110,746,000

The Committee recommends \$110,746,000 for salaries and expenses of the National Gallery of Art, an increase of \$5,358,000 above the fiscal year 2009 enacted level and \$1,760,000 above the budget request. The Committee does not agree with the administration's proposal to reduce special exhibitions funding by \$1,760,000 and has restored that amount to the "Salaries and Ex-

penses” account. These funds are used for exhibit installation and supplies, shipping contracts, security and other contract services that are required for mounting successful temporary exhibitions at the Gallery. The Committee sees no reason why these activities would not be considered a part of the Federal Government’s commitment to funding the operations of the Gallery. The table at the back of this report displays the Committee’s proposed distribution of funds among the Gallery’s various activities.

#### REPAIR, RESTORATION, AND RENOVATION OF BUILDINGS

Appropriations, 2009 .....	\$17,368,000
Budget estimate, 2010 .....	56,259,000
House allowance .....	56,259,000
Committee recommendation .....	54,499,000

The Committee recommends \$54,499,000 for the repair, restoration, and renovation of facilities at the National Gallery of Art, an increase of \$37,131,000 above the fiscal year 2009 enacted level and a decrease of \$1,760,000 below the budget request.

The significant increase above the current year enacted level is due to the immediate need to repair the East Building’s stone facade. This project, in total, is estimated to cost \$85,000,000 and will require the removal and reinstallation of all 16,200 marble panels. Language is included in the bill allowing for the expenditure of up to \$40,000,000 for this project in the coming fiscal year and authority has been provided for the issuance of a single procurement for the full scope of the project.

#### JOHN F. KENNEDY CENTER FOR THE PERFORMING ARTS

Originally established in 1958 as the National Cultural Center, an independently administered bureau of the Smithsonian Institution, the John F. Kennedy Center for the Performing Arts was designated as a living memorial to President Kennedy in 1964. The Kennedy Center building was constructed with a combination of private contributions, Federal matching funds and long-term revenue bonds held by the U.S. Department of the Treasury. Since 1972, Congress has provided funds for the operations and repair of the presidential monument, initially through the National Park Service and since 1995 to the Kennedy Center Board of Trustees. Approximately 87 percent of the Center’s total annual operating budget is derived from non-appropriated funds such as ticket sales, auxiliary income, investment income and private contributions to support performing arts programming and administrative activities.

#### OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE

Appropriations, 2009 .....	\$21,300,000
Budget estimate, 2010 .....	22,500,000
House allowance .....	25,000,000
Committee recommendation .....	22,500,000

The Committee recommends \$22,500,000 for operations and maintenance of the John F. Kennedy Center for the Performing Arts, an increase of \$1,200,000 above the fiscal year 2009 enacted level and the same amount as the budget request. Additional fund-

ing is provided to assist the Kennedy Center in meeting its fixed cost increases for pay, benefits, utilities and facilities maintenance contracts.

#### CAPITAL REPAIR AND RESTORATION

Appropriations, 2009 .....	\$15,064,000
Budget estimate, 2010 .....	17,447,000
House allowance .....	17,447,000
Committee recommendation .....	17,447,000

The Committee recommends \$17,447,000 for the Kennedy Center's capital repair and restoration program, an increase of \$2,383,000 above the fiscal year 2009 enacted level and the same amount as the budget request.

#### WOODROW WILSON INTERNATIONAL CENTER FOR SCHOLARS

##### SALARIES AND EXPENSES

Appropriations, 2009 .....	\$10,000,000
Budget estimate, 2010 .....	10,225,000
House allowance .....	12,225,000
Committee recommendation .....	10,225,000

##### PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The Woodrow Wilson International Center for Scholars is the living national memorial to President Wilson established by Congress in 1968 and headquartered in Washington, DC. Its mission is to commemorate the ideals and concerns of the former president by providing a link between the world of ideas and the world of policy; and by fostering research, study, discussion, and collaboration among a full spectrum of individuals concerned with policy and scholarship in national and world affairs. The Woodrow Wilson Center is a nonpartisan institution that is supported by a combination of public and private funds.

##### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$10,225,000 for salaries and expenses of the Woodrow Wilson International Center for Scholars, an increase of \$225,000 above the fiscal year 2009 enacted level and the same amount as the budget request. The additional amount is provided to the Center to address fixed cost increases and maintain key programs at their current levels.

#### NATIONAL FOUNDATION ON THE ARTS AND THE HUMANITIES

##### NATIONAL ENDOWMENT FOR THE ARTS

Established in 1965 as an independent agency of the Federal Government, the National Endowment for the Arts [NEA] is the official arts organization of the United States Government. The Endowment is the largest annual funder of the arts in the United States, helping to create regional theater, opera, ballet, symphony orchestras, museums and other arts organizations that Americans now enjoy. Since its founding, the National Endowment for the Arts has awarded more than 120,000 grants that have brought the arts to Americans in communities both large and small. The NEA,

through its competitive, peer-reviewed grants process, uses the majority of its annual operating funds to award grants to non-profit organizations for arts education, arts outreach, artistic excellence and partnership agreements. In addition to those activities, State and jurisdictional arts agencies are awarded 40 percent of the Endowment's funds.

#### GRANTS AND ADMINISTRATION

Appropriations, 2009 .....	\$155,000,000
Emergency supplementals, 2009 .....	50,000,000
Budget estimate, 2010 .....	161,315,000
House allowance .....	170,000,000
Committee recommendation .....	161,315,000

The Committee recommends \$161,315,000 for the National Endowment for the Arts, an increase of \$6,315,000 above the fiscal year 2009 non-emergency funding level and the same amount as the budget request. The Committee has agreed with the proposal to reinstate four seats on the National Council on the Arts and has included language in title IV, general provisions, of the bill to effect that change. The table at the back of the report displays the distribution of funds among the agency's various activities.

#### NATIONAL ENDOWMENT FOR THE HUMANITIES

The National Endowment for the Humanities [NEH] was established by the 1965 National Foundation on the Arts and Humanities Act as an independent Federal agency of the United States Government dedicated to supporting research, education, preservation, and public programs in the humanities. Today, it is the largest funder of humanities programs in the Nation. NEH's long-standing tradition of a peer-reviewed competitive grant process is designed to ensure that the most meritorious projects are funded. Typically, NEH grants are used to support cultural institutions, such as museums, archives, libraries, colleges, universities, public television and radio, and individual scholars. The NEH, through its State-Federal partnership, also provides grants to State humanities councils in all 50 States and the 6 territories.

#### GRANTS AND ADMINISTRATION

Appropriations, 2009 .....	\$155,000,000
Budget estimate, 2010 .....	161,315,000
House allowance .....	170,000,000
Committee recommendation .....	161,315,000

The Committee recommends \$161,315,000 for grants and administration of the National Endowment for the Humanities, an increase of \$6,315,000 above the fiscal year 2009 enacted level and the same amount as the budget request. Administration of the National Capital Arts and Cultural Affairs program is continued through the Commission of Fine Arts rather than transferred to the National Endowment for the Humanities, as proposed in the budget request. The table at the back of the report displays the distribution of funds among the Endowment's various activities.



## COMMISSION OF FINE ARTS

The Commission of Fine Arts was established in 1910 to fill the need for a permanent agency whose members would be qualified to make available to the Government expert opinion on questions of art and architecture. The Commission's mission, as design proposals are brought before it, is to safeguard and improve the appearance and symbolic significance of the city as a capital. The Commission provides knowledgeable advice on matters pertaining to architecture, landscape architecture, sculpture, painting, and the decorative arts to all branches and departments of the Federal and District of Columbia governments when such matters affect the National Capital. The Commission also must approve of the site and design of all commemorative works and memorials erected in the District. The Commission advises on the design of circulating and commemorative coinage and must approve the siting and design for national memorials, both in the United States and on foreign soil, in accordance with the American Battle Monuments Act and the Commemorative Works Act. The Commission also administers the National Capital Arts and Cultural Affairs program, which was created by Congress to assist nonprofit cultural entities in Washington, DC.

## SALARIES AND EXPENSES

Appropriations, 2009 .....	\$2,234,000
Budget estimate, 2010 .....	2,294,000
House allowance .....	2,294,000
Committee recommendation .....	2,294,000

The Committee recommends \$2,294,000 for the Commission of Fine Arts, an increase of \$60,000 above the fiscal year 2009 enacted level and the same amount as the budget request. Additional funds are provided to meet the uncontrollable cost increases of pay, benefits, and other escalating operational expenses. In agreement with the request, the Committee has included language in the bill providing the Commission with the authority to accept material gifts pertaining to the history and design of the national capital for purposes of artistic display, study and education.

## NATIONAL CAPITAL ARTS AND CULTURAL AFFAIRS

The National Capital Arts and Cultural Affairs program was established by Public Law 99-190 to provide grants for general operating support to District of Columbia nonprofit arts and other cultural organizations. In fiscal year 1988, administrative responsibility for the program was transferred from the National Endowment for the Humanities to the Commission of Fine Arts. Currently, this program helps support 24 nationally renowned organizations in the Nation's Capital by providing funding for operating expenses, jobs, exhibits, and performances that might not have been possible otherwise.

## GRANTS AND ADMINISTRATION

Appropriations, 2009 .....	\$9,500,000
Budget estimate, 2010 .....	<sup>1</sup> 10,000,000
House allowance .....	10,000,000
Committee recommendation .....	9,500,000

<sup>1</sup>Proposed to be funded through the NEH in the administration's fiscal year 2010 budget request.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$9,500,000 for the National Capital Arts and Cultural Affairs program, a decrease of \$500,000 from the budget request and the same amount as the current year enacted level. The Committee does not support the administration's proposal to return the administrative functions of this program to the National Endowment for the Humanities, where it was formerly housed, nor does it support the proposed changes in the structure of the program. The economic downturn of the past year has left many nonprofit arts and cultural institutions struggling financially. In the Committee's view, there is no compelling reason at this time to consider major changes to the program that might add to the fiscal uncertainty faced by these organizations.

## ADVISORY COUNCIL ON HISTORIC PRESERVATION

Appropriations, 2009 .....	\$5,948,000
Budget estimate, 2010 .....	5,908,000
House allowance .....	5,908,000
Committee recommendation .....	5,908,000

## PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 established the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation as an independent Federal agency. The Council's mission is to promote the preservation, enhancement, and productive use of our Nation's historic resources, and advise the President and Congress on national historic preservation policy. It also provides a forum for discussion of Federal activities, programs, and policies that affect historic properties. One of the principal responsibilities of the Council is to implement Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act, which requires that the Council be given an opportunity to comment on the impacts of projects or actions undertaken by other Federal agencies on sites or structures eligible for inclusion in the National Register of Historic Places.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$5,908,000 for the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation, which is an increase of \$410,000 over the fiscal year 2009 enacted level, and the same as the budget request.

## NATIONAL CAPITAL PLANNING COMMISSION

## SALARIES AND EXPENSES

Appropriations, 2009 .....	\$8,328,000
Budget estimate, 2010 .....	8,507,000
House allowance .....	8,507,000
Committee recommendation .....	8,507,000

## PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The National Capital Planning Commission [NCPC] was established in 1924 as a park planning agency. Two years later, the Agency's role was expanded to include comprehensive planning. The National Capital Planning Act of 1952 designated the NCPC as the central planning agency for the Federal Government in the National Capital Region. Major functions and responsibilities include comprehensive planning for the Nation's Capital; an annual assessment of all proposed Federal capital improvements in the national capital region; the review of proposed Federal development projects; and representation of the Federal interest in local and regional planning initiatives.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$8,507,000 for salaries and expenses of the National Capital Planning Commission, an increase of \$179,000 above the fiscal year 2009 enacted level and the same amount as the budget request. Additional funds are provided to assist the Commission in meeting the uncontrollable cost increases associated with salaries, benefits, and operations.

## UNITED STATES HOLOCAUST MEMORIAL MUSEUM

## HOLOCAUST MEMORIAL MUSEUM

Appropriations, 2009 .....	\$47,260,000
Budget estimate, 2010 .....	48,551,000
House allowance .....	48,551,000
Committee recommendation .....	49,122,000

## PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The United States Holocaust Memorial Museum was created by Congress in 1980 through Public Law 96-388 with the mandate to operate and maintain a permanent living memorial museum to the victims of the Holocaust; provide appropriate ways for the Nation to commemorate the victims of the Holocaust through the annual national civic observances known as the Days of Remembrance; and carry out the recommendations of the President's Commission on the Holocaust. The building that houses the museum was constructed with private funds and opened to the public in 1993. Since that time, the museum has attracted four to five times the number of expected visitors and has been highly successful in its fundraising efforts. Private contributions comprise nearly 50 percent of the museum's annual operating budget.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$49,122,000 for the U.S. Holocaust Memorial Museum, an increase of \$1,862,000 above the fiscal year 2009 enacted level and \$571,000 above the budget request. The additional amount is provided to restore exhibition development funds to the current year enacted level of \$1,264,000. The Committee notes that Federal support for this activity has remained at this same level for more than a decade, while the costs of labor and building materials have escalated considerably. The Committee does not agree to a proposed reduction that would further diminish the museum's purchasing power.

Following the recent tragic shooting at the Holocaust Museum, immediate action has been taken by officials to implement additional security measures. Support beyond that identified in the current budget request will be required, however, to sustain these changes in fiscal year 2010. In response to this need, the Committee has included an amount of \$550,000 above the budget request to fund the costs associated with an increase in the museum's guard force, as well as the purchase additional equipment.

## PRESIDIO TRUST

## PRESIDIO TRUST FUND

Appropriations, 2009 .....	\$17,450,000
Budget estimate, 2010 .....	17,230,000
House allowance .....	23,200,000
Committee recommendation .....	17,230,000

## PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

Upon deciding that the U.S. Army would end its 148-year presence at the Presidio, Congress created the Presidio Trust as part of the Omnibus Parks and Public Lands Management Act of 1996. The Trust's mission is to preserve and enhance the natural, cultural, scenic, and recreational resources of the Presidio for public use in perpetuity, and to achieve long-term financial sustainability through rental, lease, and other revenues derived from the use of Presidio buildings and spaces. The Trust manages the interior 80 percent of Presidio lands (known as Area B), including most buildings and infrastructure. The National Park Service manages coastal areas (known as Area A) as part of the Golden Gate National Recreation Area. Appropriations for the Trust are expected to decline from year to year, and to cease entirely at or before the end of fiscal year 2012.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$17,230,000 for the Presidio Trust, a decrease of \$220,000 from the fiscal year 2009 enacted level and the same as the budget request.

## DWIGHT D. EISENHOWER MEMORIAL COMMISSION

## SALARIES AND EXPENSES

Appropriations, 2009 .....	\$2,000,000
Budget estimate, 2010 .....	3,000,000
House allowance .....	2,000,000
Committee recommendation .....	3,000,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$3,000,000. This amount is \$1,000,000 above the fiscal year 2009 level, and the same amount as the budget request.

## CAPITAL CONSTRUCTION

Appropriations, 2009 .....	
Budget estimate, 2010 .....	\$16,000,000
House allowance .....	10,000,000
Committee recommendation .....	16,000,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$16,000,000 for the "Capital Construction" account, the same amount as the budget request.

TITLE IV  
GENERAL PROVISIONS

The Committee has recommended inclusion of several general provisions in the bill including the following:

SEC. 401. Provides that contracts which provide consulting services be a matter of public record and available for public review, except where otherwise provided by law.

SEC. 402. Provides that appropriations available in the bill shall not be used to produce literature or otherwise promote public support of a legislative proposal on which legislative action is not complete. The provision has been modified to make clear that it does not restrict authorized communications with Congress.

SEC. 403. Provides that appropriations made available in this bill cannot be used to provide a cook, chauffeur, or other personal servants.

SEC. 404. Retains language carried in prior years with regard to assessments for Government-wide, departmental, agency, or bureau functions.

SEC. 405. Limits the actions of the Forest Service and the Bureau of Land Management with regard to the sale of giant sequoia trees to a manner consistent with such sales as were conducted in fiscal year 2008.

SEC. 406. Retains mining patent moratorium carried in previous years.

SEC. 407. Continues a provision regarding the payment of contract support costs.

SEC. 408. Continues a provision providing that the Secretary of Agriculture shall not be considered in violation of certain provisions of the Forest and Rangeland Renewable Resources Planning Act solely because more than 15 years have passed without revision of a forest plan, provided that the Secretary is working in good faith to complete the plan revision within available funds.

SEC. 409. Prohibits oil, natural gas, and mining related activities within current national monument boundaries, except where such activities are allowed under the presidential proclamation establishing the monument.

SEC. 410. Provides the Secretary of Agriculture and the Secretary of the Interior the authority to enter into reciprocal agreements with foreign nations concerning the personal liability of firefighters.

SEC. 411. Allows the Secretary of Agriculture and the Secretary of the Interior to consider local contractors when awarding contracts for certain activities on public lands.

SEC. 412. Continues language prohibiting Federal funds being spent for competitive sourcing and related activities at the Forest Service.

SEC. 413. Restricts funding appropriated for acquisition of land or interests in land from being used for declarations of taking or complaints in condemnation.

SEC. 414. Provides \$8,000,000 to continue environmental remediation activities at the Hunter's Point Naval Shipyard in San Francisco, California and authorizes the transfer of funds from the Environmental Protection Agency to the Department of the Navy.

SEC. 415. Extends certain authorities allowing the Forest Service and Bureau of Land Management to renew grazing permits or leases.

SEC. 416. Continues a long standing requirement in Alaska that IHS funds be made available only to regional Alaska Native health organizations and those Native entities with contracts or compacts with the Service entered into prior to May 1, 2006, in order to make the most cost effective use possible of scarce IHS funds for provision of health services.

SEC. 417. Addresses timber sales involving Alaska western red cedar. Mills which process western red cedar in the Pacific Northwest have an insufficient supply of western red cedar, and the national forest in southeast Alaska sometimes has a surplus. This provision continues a program by which Alaska's surplus western red cedar is made available preferentially to U.S. domestic mills outside Alaska, prior to export abroad.

SEC. 418. Colorado Cooperative Conservation Authority. Extends the ability of the State of Colorado to work cooperatively on Forest Service lands until fiscal year 2011.

SEC. 419. Expands the National Council on the Arts membership from 14 to 18.

SEC. 420. Prohibits EPA from regulating certain livestock emissions under the Clean Air Act.

SEC. 421. Prohibits EPA from requiring reporting of greenhouse gas emissions from manure management systems emitting less than 25,000 tons of carbon dioxide equivalent per year.

SEC. 422. Provides for funding in the amounts specified in the table titled "Congressionally Directed Spending Items" included in the Committee report accompanying this act.

COMPLIANCE WITH PARAGRAPH 7, RULE XVI OF THE  
STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE

Rule XVI, paragraph 7 requires that every report on a general appropriation bill filed by the Committee must identify each recommended amendment which proposes an item of appropriation which is not made to carry out the provisions of an existing law, a treaty stipulation, or an act or resolution previously passed by the Senate during that session.

Those items are as follows:

- Sums provided to the Bureau of Land Management for management of lands and resources, land acquisition, construction and maintenance, and loans to States.
- Sums provided to the Bureau of Land Management to inventory, manage, and improve rangelands for domestic livestock grazing pursuant to Public Law 95–514, the Public Rangeland Improvement Act of 1978.
- \$204,964,000 for the endangered species program, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.
- Sums provided to the Fish and Wildlife service for coastal wetlands planning, protection, and restoration.
- Sums provided for the Yukon River Restoration and Enhancement Fund, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, pursuant to the Fisheries Act of 1995.
- Sums provided to the Fish and Wildlife Service for the conservation and protection of marine mammals pursuant to Public Law 103–238, the Marine Mammal Protection Act Amendments of 1994.
- Sums provided for Great Lakes Fish and Wildlife Restoration grants.
- Sums provided to the Fish and Wildlife Service pursuant to the Klamath River Basin Fishery Resources Restoration Act; Fisheries Restoration Irrigation Mitigation Act; and the National Fish and Wildlife Foundation Establishment Act.
- Sums provided to the National Park Service for acquisition of lands and interests in the San Juan Island National Historical Park.
- Sums provided to the U.S. Geological Survey for the National Earthquake Hazards Reduction Program.
- Sums provided to the Bureau of Indian Affairs pursuant to The Tribal Colleges or Universities Assistance Act of 1978; The Indian Tribal Justice Act; Indian Child Protection and Family Violence Act; and The No Child Left Behind Act.
- \$1,308,591,000 for the hazardous substances Superfund.
- \$15,000,000 for State and tribal assistance grants: Alaska Native Villages.
- \$2,100,000,000 for State and tribal assistance grants: Clean Water SRF.



- \$1,387,000,000 for State and tribal assistance grants: Drinking Water SRF.
- Sums provided pursuant to the Clean Air Act, Radon Abatement Act, Clean Water Act, BEACH Act, Safe Drinking Water Act, Solid Waste Disposal Act [RCRA], Toxic Substances Control Act, Pollution Prevention Act, and the Indian Environmental General Assistance Program Act.
- \$2,000,000 for matching funds for projects of the National Forest Foundation, U.S. Forest Service.
- \$161,315,000 for the National Endowment for the Arts.
- \$161,315,000 for the National Endowment for the Humanities.

COMPLIANCE WITH PARAGRAPH 7(c), RULE XXVI, OF THE  
STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE

Pursuant to paragraph 7(c) of rule XXVI, on June 25, 2009, the Committee ordered reported en bloc H.R. 2847, making appropriations for the Departments of Commerce and Justice, and science, and related agencies for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2010, and subject to its receipt from the House, H.R. 2996, making appropriations for the Department of the Interior, environment and related agencies for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2009, with each subject to amendment and consistent with the budget allocations, and authorized the chairman of the committee or the chairman of the subcommittee to offer the text of the Senate-reported bill as a committee amendment in the nature of a substitute to the House companion measure, by a recorded vote of 30-0, a quorum being present. The vote was as follows:

Yeas	Nays
Chairman Inouye	
Mr. Byrd	
Mr. Leahy	
Mr. Harkin	
Ms. Mikulski	
Mr. Kohl	
Mrs. Murray	
Mr. Dorgan	
Mrs. Feinstein	
Mr. Durbin	
Mr. Johnson	
Ms. Landrieu	
Mr. Reed	
Mr. Lautenberg	
Mr. Nelson	
Mr. Pryor	
Mr. Tester	
Mr. Specter	
Mr. Cochran	
Mr. Bond	
Mr. McConnell	
Mr. Shelby	
Mr. Gregg	
Mr. Bennett	
Mrs. Hutchison	

Mr. Brownback  
 Mr. Alexander  
 Ms. Collins  
 Mr. Voinovich  
 Ms. Murkowski

COMPLIANCE WITH PARAGRAPH 12, RULE XXVI OF THE  
 STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE

Paragraph 12 of rule XXVI requires that Committee reports on a bill or joint resolution repealing or amending any statute or part of any statute include “(a) the text of the statute or part thereof which is proposed to be repealed; and (b) a comparative print of that part of the bill or joint resolution making the amendment and of the statute or part thereof proposed to be amended, showing by stricken-through type and italics, parallel columns, or other appropriate typographical devices the omissions and insertions which would be made by the bill or joint resolution if enacted in the form recommended by the committee.”

In compliance with this rule, the following changes in existing law proposed to be made by the bill are shown as follows: existing law to be omitted is enclosed in black brackets; new matter is printed in italic; and existing law in which no change is proposed is shown in roman.

**TITLE 20—EDUCATION**

**CHAPTER 26—SUPPORT AND SCHOLARSHIP IN HUMANITIES AND ARTS; MUSEUM SERVICES**

SUBCHAPTER I—NATIONAL FOUNDATION ON THE ARTS AND THE HUMANITIES

**§ 955. National Council on the Arts**

(a) \* \* \*

\* \* \* \* \*

**(b) Appointment and composition of Council**

(1) The Council shall be composed of members as follows:

(A) \* \* \*

\* \* \* \* \*

(C) **[14]** *18* members appointed by the President, by and with the advice and consent of the Senate, who shall be selected—

\* \* \* \* \*

**(d) Meetings of Council; quorum; written records**

(1) The Council shall meet at the call of the Chairperson but not less often than twice during each calendar year. **[Eight]** *Ten* members of the Council shall constitute a quorum. All policy meetings of the Council shall be open to the public.

**TITLE 43—PUBLIC LANDS**

**CHAPTER 31—DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**

**§ 1473. Acceptance of contributions from private and public sources by Mineral Management Service**

In fiscal year 1987 and thereafter, the Minerals Management Service is authorized to accept land, buildings, equipment and other contributions, from public and private sources, which shall be available for the purposes provided for in this account, including, **[in fiscal years 2008 and 2009 only]** *in fiscal years 2008, 2009, and 2010 only*, contributions of money and services to conduct work in support of the orderly exploration and development of Outer Continental Shelf resources, including but not limited to, preparation of environmental documents such as impact statements and assessments, studies, and related research.

---

**DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 1999,  
PUBLIC LAW 106-113**

APPENDIX C—H.R. 3423

TITLE I—DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

GENERAL PROVISIONS, DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

SEC. 120. All properties administered by the National Park Service at Fort Baker, Golden Gate National Recreation Area, and leases, concessions, permits and other agreements associated with those properties, hereafter shall be exempt from all taxes and special assessments, except sales tax, by the State of California and its political subdivisions, including the County of Marin and the City of Sausalito. **[Such areas of Fort Baker shall remain under exclusive Federal jurisdiction.]**

---

**DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR AND RELATED AGEN-  
CIES APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2001, PUBLIC LAW 106-291**

TITLE III—GENERAL PROVISIONS

SEC. 331. FEDERAL AND STATE COOPERATIVE WATERSHED RESTORATION AND PROTECTION IN COLORADO. (a) USE OF COLORADO STATE FOREST SERVICE.—Until **[September 30, 2009]** *September 30, 2014*, the Secretary of Agriculture, via cooperative agreement or contract (including sole source contract) as appropriate, may permit the Colorado State Forest Service to perform watershed restoration and protection services on National Forest System lands in the State of Colorado when similar and complementary watershed restoration and protection services are being performed by the State Forest Service on adjacent State or private lands. The types of services that may be extended to National Forest System lands include treatment of insect infected trees, reduction of hazardous fuels, and other activities to restore or improve watersheds or fish and wildlife habitat across ownership boundaries.

**DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR AND RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2004, PUBLIC LAW 108-108**

## TITLE III—GENERAL PROVISIONS

SEC. 325. A grazing permit or lease issued by the Secretary of the Interior or a grazing permit issued by the Secretary of Agriculture where National Forest System lands are involved that expires, is transferred, or waived during **[fiscal years 2004–2008]** *fiscal year 2010* shall be renewed under section 402 of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976, as amended (43 U.S.C. 1752), section 19 of the Granger-Thye Act, as amended (16 U.S.C. 5801), title III of the Bankhead-Jones Farm Tenant Act (7 U.S.C. 1010 et seq.), or, if applicable, section 510 of the California Desert Protection Act (16 U.S.C. 410aaa–50). The terms and conditions contained in the expired, transferred, or waived permit or lease shall continue in effect under the renewed permit or lease until such time as the Secretary of the Interior or Secretary of Agriculture as appropriate completes processing of such permit or lease in compliance with all applicable laws and regulations, at which time such permit or lease may be canceled, suspended or modified, in whole or in part, to meet the requirements of such applicable laws and regulations. Nothing in this section shall be deemed to alter the statutory authority of the Secretary of the Interior or the Secretary of Agriculture: *Provided*, That where National Forest System lands are involved and the Secretary of Agriculture has renewed an expired or waived grazing permit prior to fiscal year 2004, the terms and conditions of the renewed grazing permit shall remain in effect until such time as the Secretary of Agriculture completes processing of the renewed permit in compliance with all applicable laws and regulations or until the expiration of the renewed permit, whichever comes first. Upon completion of the processing, the permit may be canceled, suspended or modified, in whole or in part, to meet the requirements of applicable laws and regulations: *Provided further*, That beginning in November 2004, and every year thereafter, the Secretaries of the Interior and Agriculture shall report to Congress the extent to which they are completing analysis required under applicable laws prior to the expiration of grazing permits, and beginning in May 2004, and every two years thereafter, the Secretaries shall provide Congress recommendations for legislative provisions necessary to ensure all permit renewals are completed in a timely manner. The legislative recommendations provided shall be consistent with the funding levels requested in the Secretaries' budget proposals: *Provided further*, That notwithstanding section 504 of the Rescissions Act (109 Stat. 212), the Secretaries in their sole discretion determine the priority and timing for completing required environmental analysis of grazing allotments based on the environmental significance of the allotments and funding available to the Secretaries for this purpose: *Provided further*, That any Federal lands included within the boundary of Lake Roosevelt National Recreation Area, as designated by the Secretary of the Interior on April 5, 1990 (Lake Roosevelt Cooperative Management Agreement), that were utilized as of March 31, 1997, for grazing purposes pursuant to a permit issued by the National Park Service, the person or persons so uti-

lizing such lands as of March 31, 1997, shall be entitled to renew said permit under such terms and conditions as the Secretary may prescribe, for the lifetime of the permittee or 20 years, whichever is less.

---

**YOSEMITE NATIONAL PARK PAYMENTS, RANCHO CORRAL DE TIERRA GOLDEN GATE NATIONAL RECREATION AREA, AND REDWOOD NATIONAL PARK BOUNDARY ADJUSTMENTS, 2005, PUBLIC LAW 109-131**

**TITLE I—YOSEMITE NATIONAL PARK AUTHORIZED PAYMENTS**

**SEC. 101. PAYMENTS FOR EDUCATIONAL SERVICES.**

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—(1) For fiscal years 2006 through **[2009] 2013**, the Secretary of the Interior may provide funds to the Bass Lake Joint Union Elementary School District and the Mariposa Unified School District in the State of California for educational services to students—

---

**CONSOLIDATED APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2008, PUBLIC LAW 110-161**

**DIVISION F—DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR, ENVIRONMENT, AND RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2008**

**TITLE I**

**DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**

**DEPARTMENT-WIDE PROGRAMS**

**CENTRAL HAZARDOUS MATERIALS FUND**

For necessary expenses of the Department of the Interior and any of its component offices and bureaus for the remedial action, including associated activities, of hazardous waste substances, pollutants, or contaminants pursuant to the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act, as amended (42 U.S.C. 9601 et seq.), \$9,954,000, to remain available until expended: *Provided*, That hereafter, notwithstanding 31 U.S.C. 3302, sums recovered from or paid by a party **[in advance of or as reimbursement for remedial action or response activities conducted by the Department pursuant to section 107 or 113(f) of such Act]** *including any fines or penalties*, shall be credited to this account, to be available until expended without further appropriation: *Provided further*, That hereafter such sums recovered from or paid by any party are not limited to monetary payments and may include stocks, bonds or other personal or real property, which may be retained, liquidated, or otherwise disposed of by the Secretary and which shall be credited to this account.

OMNIBUS PUBLIC LAND MANAGEMENT ACT OF 2009,  
PUBLIC LAW 111-11

TITLE VIII—NATIONAL HERITAGE AREAS

Subtitle A—Designation of National Heritage  
Areas

SEC. 8004. NORTHERN PLAINS NATIONAL HERITAGE AREA, NORTH DA-  
KOTA.

(a) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

\* \* \* \* \*

(f) \* \* \*

\* \* \* \* \*

(g) REQUIREMENTS FOR INCLUSION OF PRIVATE PROPERTY IN  
HERITAGE AREA.—

(1) NOTIFICATION AND CONSENT REQUIREMENT.—No pri-  
vately owned property shall be preserved, conserved, or pro-  
moted by the management plan for the Heritage Area until the  
later of the date on which—

(A) the management entity of the Heritage Area sub-  
mits to the owner of the private property a written notifica-  
tion of the proposed preservation, conservation, or pro-  
motion; and

(B) the owner of the private property provides to the  
management entity written consent for the preservation,  
conservation, or promotion.

(2) LANDOWNER WITHDRAWAL.—Private property included  
within the boundary of the Heritage Area shall immediately be  
withdrawn from the Heritage Area if the owner of the property  
submits a written notice to the management entity.

[(g)] (h) EVALUATION; REPORT.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 3 years before the date on  
which authority for Federal funding terminates for the Herit-  
age Area under [subsection (i)] subsection (j), the Secretary  
shall—

\* \* \* \* \*

[(h)] (i) \* \* \*

\* \* \* \* \*

[(i)] (j) \* \* \*

## BUDGETARY IMPACT OF BILL

PREPARED IN CONSULTATION WITH THE CONGRESSIONAL BUDGET OFFICE PURSUANT TO SEC.  
308(a), PUBLIC LAW 93-344, AS AMENDED

[In millions of dollars]

	Budget authority		Outlays	
	Committee allocation	Amount of bill	Committee allocation	Amount of bill
Comparison of amounts in the bill with Committee allocations to its subcommittees of amounts in the budget resolution for 2010: Subcommittee on the Interior, environment, and related agencies:				
Mandatory .....	442	442	443	<sup>1</sup> 443
Discretionary .....	32,100	32,100	34,278	<sup>1</sup> 34,273
Projection of outlays associated with the recommendation:				
2010 .....				<sup>2</sup> 20,151
2011 .....				6,983
2012 .....				2,884
2013 .....				1,092
2014 and future years .....				745
Financial assistance to State and local governments for 2010 .....	NA	8,106	NA	2,811

<sup>1</sup> Includes outlays from prior-year budget authority.

<sup>2</sup> Excludes outlays from prior-year budget authority.

NA: Not applicable.

### DISCLOSURE OF CONGRESSIONALLY DIRECTED SPENDING ITEMS

The Constitution vests in the Congress the power of the purse. The Committee believes strongly that Congress should make the decisions on how to allocate the people's money.

As defined in Rule XLIV of the Standing Rules of the Senate, the term "congressionally directed spending item" means a provision or report language included primarily at the request of a Senator, providing, authorizing, or recommending a specific amount of discretionary budget authority, credit authority, or other spending authority for a contract, loan, loan guarantee, grant, loan authority, or other expenditure with or to an entity, or targeted to a specific State, locality or congressional district, other than through a statutory or administrative, formula-driven, or competitive award process.

For each item, a Member is required to provide a certification that neither the Member nor the Senator's immediate family has a pecuniary interest in such congressionally directed spending item. Such certifications are available to the public on the website of the Senate Committee on Appropriations ([www.appropriations.senate.gov/senators.cfm](http://www.appropriations.senate.gov/senators.cfm)).

Following is a list of congressionally directed spending items included in the Senate recommendation discussed in this report, along with the name of each Senator who submitted a request to the Committee of jurisdiction for each item so identified. Neither the Committee recommendation nor this report contains any limited tax benefits or limited tariff benefits as defined in rule XLIV.

CONGRESSIONALLY DIRECTED SPENDING ITEMS

Agency	Account	State	Project	Amount	Requesting Senator(s)
Bureau of Land Management	Management of Land and Resources	NV	Redband Trout and Salmon habitat assessment and restoration	\$300,000	Reid
Bureau of Land Management	Management of Land and Resources	UT	Utah Rural Cadastral Data Program	\$300,000	Bennett
Bureau of Land Management	Construction	NV	California National Historic Trail Interpretive Center	\$2,000,000	Reid
Bureau of Land Management	Land Acquisition	CA	California Wilderness	\$1,000,000	Feinstein
Bureau of Land Management	Land Acquisition	CA	Johnson Canyon ACEC	\$1,500,000	Feinstein
Fish and Wildlife Service	Resource Management	CA	National Academy of Sciences California Delta Study	\$750,000	Feinstein
Fish and Wildlife Service	Resource Management	CA	Hawaii invasive species management	\$1,250,000	Feinstein
Fish and Wildlife Service	Resource Management	HI	Painyva Atoll NWR rat eradication	\$1,200,000	Akaka; Inouye
Fish and Wildlife Service	Resource Management	ME	Maine lakes invasive species/habitat restoration	\$500,000	Collins; Snowe
Fish and Wildlife Service	Resource Management	MS	Mississippi State Natural Resources Economic Enterprise Program	\$350,000	Cochran; Wicker
Fish and Wildlife Service	Resource Management	NV	Lahontan Cutthroat Trout	\$350,000	Reid
Fish and Wildlife Service	Resource Management	TX	Caddo Lake Institute of Texas	\$150,000	Hutchison
Fish and Wildlife Service	Resource Management	WV	National Conservation Training Center	\$750,000	Byrd
Fish and Wildlife Service	Construction	CA	Don Edwards SF Bay NWR	\$4,000,000	Feinstein
Fish and Wildlife Service	Construction	HI	Kilauea Point NWR	\$1,000,000	Inouye
Fish and Wildlife Service	Construction	MS	Theodore Roosevelt NWR	\$2,000,000	Cochran; Wicker
Fish and Wildlife Service	Construction	NV	Nevada water catchments	\$150,000	Reid
Fish and Wildlife Service	Construction	WV	Canaan Valley NWR	\$500,000	Byrd
Fish and Wildlife Service	Construction	WV	Ohio River Islands NWR	\$800,000	Byrd
Fish and Wildlife Service	Construction	WV	White Sulphur Springs NFH	\$1,500,000	Byrd
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	CT	Stewart McKinney National Wildlife Refuge	\$2,000,000	Dodd; Lieberman
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	CT, MA, VT, NH	Silvio Conte National Wildlife Refuge	\$750,000	Dodd; Gregg; Kennedy; Kerry; Leahy; Lieberman; Shaheen
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	FL	Crystal River National Wildlife Refuge	\$1,500,000	Martinez; Nelson; Bill
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	HI	James Campbell National Wildlife Refuge	\$6,900,000	Akaka; Inouye
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	IA	Driftless Area National Wildlife Refuge	\$450,000	Harkin
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	KY	Clarks River NWR	\$750,000	McConnell
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	LA	Red River National Wildlife Refuge	\$500,000	Landreu
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	ME	Maine Coastal Islands National Wildlife Refuge	\$1,000,000	Collins; Snowe
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	NE	Rainwater Basin Wetlands Management District	\$500,000	Nelson; Ben
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	NH	Lake Umbagog National Wildlife Refuge	\$1,000,000	Gregg; Shaheen
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	NJ	Walkill National Wildlife Refuge	\$2,800,000	Lautenberg; Menendez
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	PA	Cherry Valley National Wildlife Refuge	\$500,000	Casey; Specter



Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	RI	John H. Charfee National Wildlife Refuge	\$900,000	Reed; Whitehouse
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	UT	Bear River Migratory Bird Refuge	\$800,000	Bennett; Hatch
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	WA	Turnbull National Wildlife Refuge	\$2,500,000	Murray
National Park Service	National Recreation & Preservation	CA	Angel Island Immigration Station, Public Law 109-119	\$1,000,000	Boxer; Feinstein
National Park Service	National Recreation & Preservation	CA	Yosemite National Park schools, Public Law 109-131	\$400,000	Feinstein
National Park Service	National Recreation & Preservation	DC	Sewall Belmont House	\$1,000,000	Landrieu
National Park Service	National Recreation & Preservation	HI	Native Hawaiian Culture & Arts Program, Public Law 99-498	\$500,000	Akaka; Inouye
National Park Service	National Recreation & Preservation	HI	National Tropical Botanical Garden, Public Law 111-11	\$500,000	Inouye
National Park Service	National Recreation & Preservation	MD, VA	Chesapeake Bay Gateways	\$1,000,000	Cardin; Mikulski
National Park Service	National Recreation & Preservation	NH	Lamprey Wild & Scenic River, Public Law 90-542	\$200,000	Gregg; Shaheen
National Park Service	National Recreation & Preservation	VT, NY	Hudson-Fulton-Champlain Quadrecentennial, Public Law 110-729	\$750,000	Leahy
National Park Service	Historic Preservation Fund	AL	Swayne Hall, Talladega, AL	\$500,000	Sessions
National Park Service	Historic Preservation Fund	CA	Mission Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara	\$650,000	Feinstein
National Park Service	Historic Preservation Fund	FL	Freedom Tower, Miami, FL	\$500,000	Martinez
National Park Service	Historic Preservation Fund	IA	Des Moines Art Center, Des Moines	\$200,000	Harkin
National Park Service	Historic Preservation Fund	KS	Colonial Fox Theater, Pittsburg	\$500,000	Brownback; Roberts
National Park Service	Historic Preservation Fund	MI	Big Sable Lighthouse, Luddington	\$100,000	Levin; Stabenow
National Park Service	Historic Preservation Fund	MS	Madison County Courthouse	\$500,000	Cochran; Wicker
National Park Service	Historic Preservation Fund	MS	Medgar Evers site, Jackson	\$250,000	Cochran
National Park Service	Historic Preservation Fund	NV	Lincoln County Courthouse, Pioche	\$200,000	Reid
National Park Service	Historic Preservation Fund	NY	Strand Theater, Plattsburgh	\$200,000	Gillibrand; Schumer
National Park Service	Historic Preservation Fund	NY	Richard Olmsted Complex, Buffalo	\$200,000	Gillibrand; Schumer
National Park Service	Historic Preservation Fund	OR	Wallowa County Courthouse, Enterprise	\$200,000	Merkley; Wyden
National Park Service	Historic Preservation Fund	RI	Warwick City Hall, Warwick	\$350,000	Reed; Whitehouse
National Park Service	Historic Preservation Fund	SD	State Theater, Sioux Falls	\$200,000	Johnson
National Park Service	Historic Preservation Fund	TN	Blount Mansion, Knoxville	\$250,000	Alexander
National Park Service	Historic Preservation Fund	WV	Capitol Theater, Wheeling	\$200,000	Byrd
National Park Service	Construction	CA	Golden Gate National Recreation Area (Alcatraz)	\$4,000,000	Feinstein
National Park Service	Construction	CA	Manzanar National Historical Site	\$900,000	Feinstein
National Park Service	Construction	MA	New Bedford Whaling National Historical Park (Bourne bldg.)	\$1,500,000	Kennedy; Kerry
National Park Service	Construction	MI	Keweenaw National Historical Park (Quincy Smelting Works)	\$1,000,000	Levin
National Park Service	Construction	PA	Flight 93 National Memorial	\$725,000	Specter
National Park Service	Construction	TN	Great Smoky Mountains National Park (curatorial facility)	\$1,500,000	Alexander

CONGRESSIONALLY DIRECTED SPENDING ITEMS—Continued

Agency	Account	State	Project	Amount	Requesting Senator(s)
National Park Service	Construction	TN	Great Smoky Mountains National Park (Tremont/Crosby water).	\$1,940,000	Alexander; Corker
National Park Service	Construction	UT	Utah Public Lands Artifact Preservation Act, Public Law 107-329.	\$1,000,000	Bennett; Hatch
National Park Service	Construction	WV	Harpers Ferry National Historical Park	\$675,000	Byrd
National Park Service	Construction	WV	New River Gorge National River	\$625,000	Byrd
National Park Service	Land Acquisition	AL	Little River Canyon National Preserve	\$22,000	Sessions
National Park Service	Land Acquisition	CA	Golden Gate National Recreation Area—Rancho Corral de Tierra.	\$1,000,000	Feinstein
National Park Service	Land Acquisition	CA	Mojave National Preserve, Joshua Tree NP, Death Valley NP.	\$1,000,000	Feinstein
National Park Service	Land Acquisition	CA	Santa Monica Mountains National Recreation Area	\$2,000,000	Feinstein
National Park Service	Land Acquisition	KY	Cumberland Gap National Historical Park	\$1,150,000	McConnell
National Park Service	Land Acquisition	MI	Sleeping Bear Dunes National Lakeshore	\$1,000,000	Levin; Stabenow
National Park Service	Land Acquisition	NH	Appalachian National Scenic Trail	\$1,375,000	Gregg; Shaheen
National Park Service	Land Acquisition	OH	Cuyahoga Valley National Park	\$4,000,000	Brown; Voivovich
National Park Service	Land Acquisition	PA	Appalachian National Scenic Trail	\$1,820,000	Specter
National Park Service	Land Acquisition	SC	Congaree National Park	\$1,370,000	Graham
National Park Service	Land Acquisition	TN	Shiloh National Military Park	\$250,000	Alexander; Corker
National Park Service	Land Acquisition	TX	Fort Davis National Historic Site	\$500,000	Hutchison
National Park Service	Land Acquisition	VT	Appalachian National Scenic Trail	\$625,000	Leahy
National Park Service	Land Acquisition	WV	Gauley River National Recreation Area	\$500,000	Byrd
National Park Service	Land Acquisition	WV	New River Gorge National River	\$500,000	Byrd
U.S. Geological Survey	Surveys, Investigations & Research	CA	San Diego formation mapping	\$900,000	Feinstein
U.S. Geological Survey	Surveys, Investigations & Research	CA	San Francisco Bay Salt Ponds restoration monitoring/research.	\$1,000,000	Feinstein
U.S. Geological Survey	Surveys, Investigations & Research	HI	Volcano research/monitoring partnership UH-Manoa/HVO.	\$250,000	Inouye
U.S. Geological Survey	Surveys, Investigations & Research	HI	Water resources monitoring, investigations and research.	\$500,000	Inouye; Akaka
U.S. Geological Survey	Surveys, Investigations & Research	LA	Long Term Estuary Assessment Group support	\$400,000	Landrieu
U.S. Geological Survey	Surveys, Investigations & Research	MD	Coastal plain & fractured rock study	\$500,000	Cardin; Mukulski
U.S. Geological Survey	Surveys, Investigations & Research	NM	United States-Mexico transboundary aquifer assessment.	\$500,000	Bingaman
U.S. Geological Survey	Surveys, Investigations & Research	NV	Nye County minerals assessment project	\$650,000	Reid

U.S. Geological Survey .....	Surveys, Investigations & Research .....	VT .....	Lake Champlain Basin streamflow monitoring/toxic studies.	\$346,000	Leahy
U.S. Geological Survey .....	Surveys, Investigations & Research .....	WA .....	Columbia River Basin, design/test monitoring protozoa-invasive species.	\$350,000	Murray
Minerals Management Service .....	Royalty and Offshore Minerals Management.	MS .....	Center for Marine Resources and Environmental Technology.	\$900,000	Cochran; Wicker
Bureau of Indian Affairs .....	Operation of Indian Programs .....	Multi .....	Upper Columbia United Tribes, resource management program.	\$350,000	Cantwell; Crapo; Murray; Risch
Bureau of Indian Affairs .....	Operation of Indian Programs .....	ND .....	United Tribes Technical College .....	\$400,000	Conrad; Dorgan
Bureau of Indian Affairs .....	Operation of Indian Programs .....	NM .....	Navajo Technical College .....	\$200,000	Bingaman; Udall, Tom
Bureau of Indian Affairs .....	Operation of Indian Programs .....	SD .....	Cheyenne River Sioux Tribe, prairie management program.	\$500,000	Johnson
Environmental Protection Agency .....	Environmental Programs and Management.	CA .....	Increase budget request for San Francisco Bay competitive grant program.	\$1,000,000	Feinstein
Environmental Protection Agency .....	Environmental Programs and Management.	VT .....	Increase budget request for Lake Champlain environmental improvement program.	\$1,566,000	Leahy
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	AK .....	City of Kodiak for water and sewer improvements .....	\$300,000	Begich
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	AK .....	City of Buckland for construction of a piped water and sewer system.	\$500,000	Begich; Murkowski
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	AK .....	Increase budget request for the Alaska Native Villages water infrastructure program.	\$5,000,000	Murkowski
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	AK .....	City of Homer for planning and design of a new drinking water system.	\$500,000	Murkowski
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	AK .....	City of Soldotna for a water and wastewater improvements project.	\$500,000	Begich; Murkowski
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	AK .....	Municipality of Skagway for a wastewater treatment facility expansion project.	\$300,000	Begich; Murkowski
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	AL .....	Fayette County for the construction of a drinking water reservoir.	\$6,000,000	Shelby
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	AL .....	City of Brewton for a wastewater improvements project.	\$300,000	Sessions
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	AR .....	City of Forrest City for water infrastructure improvements.	\$300,000	Lincoln; Pryor
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	AR .....	City of Dardanelle for water treatment plant expansion.	\$300,000	Lincoln; Pryor
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	AR .....	Fort Chaffee Redevelopment Authority for water system improvements.	\$300,000	Lincoln; Pryor
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	AR .....	City of Warren for water infrastructure improvements ..	\$300,000	Lincoln; Pryor
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	AZ .....	City of Safford for water infrastructure improvements ..	\$300,000	Kyl

CONGRESSIONALLY DIRECTED SPENDING ITEMS—Continued

Agency	Account	State	Project	Amount	Requesting Senator(s)
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	CA .....	City of Rialto for Inland Empire groundwater remediation and drinking water system improvements.	\$300,000	Boxer
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	CA .....	City of East Palo Alto for the East Palo Alto water supply improvement project.	\$1,000,000	Feinstein
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	CA .....	City of Eureka for the Martin Slough interceptor project.	\$1,000,000	Boxer; Feinstein
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	CA .....	City of Santa Monica for the Santa Monica water system reliability project.	\$1,250,000	Feinstein
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	CA .....	Municipal Water District of Orange County for water supply improvements.	\$1,000,000	Boxer; Feinstein
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	CA .....	Shasta County for Elk Trail Water System Improvements.	\$1,000,000	Feinstein
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	CA .....	City of Westminster for Stormwater System Improvements.	\$1,000,000	Feinstein
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	CA .....	Emissions Reduction Grants to the South Coast Air Quality Management District and San Joaquin Air Pollution Control District.	\$10,000,000	Boxer; Feinstein
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	CO .....	City of Monte Vista for wastewater facility consolidation.	\$300,000	Bennet
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	CO .....	City of Rifle for drinking water infrastructure improvements.	\$300,000	Udall; Mark
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	CT .....	Town of East Lyme for drinking water system improvements.	\$300,000	Dodd
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	CT .....	City of Norwich for wastewater treatment facility improvements.	\$300,000	Dodd; Lieberman
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	DE .....	New Castle County for Turkey Run interceptor improvements.	\$300,000	Carper; Kaufman
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	DE .....	Sussex County Council for the Johnson's Corner wastewater improvement project.	\$300,000	Carper; Kaufman
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	FL .....	St. Johns River Water Management District for the East-Central Florida Integrated Water Resources Project.	\$300,000	Martinez; Nelson; Bill
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	FL .....	City of Tampa for reclaimed water expansion project ...	\$300,000	Martinez; Nelson; Bill
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	GA .....	Metropolitan North Georgia Water Planning District for watershed management and wastewater treatment projects.	\$300,000	Chambliss

Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	GA .....	City of Rome for construction of a new drinking water transmission main.	\$300,000	Isakson
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	HI .....	Maui County for Kaa Force main replacement .....	\$1,000,000	Inouye
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	HI .....	Hawaii County for the Kapulena drinking water source development project.	\$739,750	Inouye
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	HI .....	County of Kauai for the Waimea Wastewater Treatment Plant expansion project.	\$1,000,000	Inouye
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	HI .....	Hawaii County for the Hawaii Ocean View Estates drinking water source development project.	\$220,000	Inouye
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	HI .....	Maui County for infrastructure improvements at the Kamole Water Treatment Plant.	\$1,000,000	Inouye
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	IA .....	City of Ottumwa for wastewater and stormwater infrastructure improvements.	\$300,000	Harkin
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	IA .....	City of Boone for wastewater and stormwater infrastructure improvements.	\$300,000	Grassley; Harkin
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	IA .....	City of Clinton for construction of a new wastewater treatment facility.	\$300,000	Grassley; Harkin
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	IA .....	City of Keokuk for a stormwater and sewer separation project.	\$300,000	Grassley; Harkin
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	ID .....	Granite Reeder Water and Sewer District for construction of a sewage collection system.	\$300,000	Crapo; Risch
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	ID .....	City of American Falls for construction of a wastewater treatment facility.	\$300,000	Crapo; Risch
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	IL .....	City of Peoria for sewer and stormwater improvements.	\$300,000	Burriss
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	IL .....	Will County for Ridgewood water and wastewater infrastructure improvements.	\$300,000	Burriss; Durbin
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	IL .....	City of Decatur for water infrastructure improvements.	\$250,000	Durbin
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	IL .....	City of Lexington for water infrastructure improvements.	\$100,000	Durbin
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	IL .....	Macoupin County for water infrastructure improvements.	\$250,000	Durbin
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	IL .....	City of Quincy for drinking water system (reallocate by 2009 project).	\$300,000	Durbin
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	IN .....	City of Tipton for drinking water and wastewater infrastructure upgrades project.	\$300,000	Lugar
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	KS .....	City of Butler for construction of an adsorption media drinking water treatment facility.	\$600,000	Brownback

CONGRESSIONALLY DIRECTED SPENDING ITEMS—Continued

Agency	Account	State	Project	Amount	Requesting Senator(s)
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	KS .....	City of Russell for replacement of cast iron drinking water lines.	\$400,000	Brownback
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	KS .....	City of Junction City for construction of a drinking water project.	\$250,000	Brownback; Roberts
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	KS .....	City of Marion for construction of a wastewater project.	\$150,000	Brownback
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	KS .....	Pottawatomie County for construction of a main pump wastewater station.	\$400,000	Brownback
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	KS .....	City of Iola for drinking water and wastewater pipe improvements project.	\$300,000	Roberts
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	KY .....	City of Vine Grove for construction of additional sewer lines.	\$840,000	McConnell
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	KY .....	City of Burgin for upgrades to the drinking water distribution system.	\$340,000	McConnell
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	KY .....	Fleming County for a sewer collection expansion project.	\$620,000	Bunning; McConnell
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	KY .....	City of Eubank for a water line replacement project ....	\$200,000	Bunning
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	KY .....	City of Franklin for a sewer line replacement project ...	\$100,000	Bunning
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	LA .....	City of Lake Charles for wastewater system improvements.	\$300,000	Landrieu
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	LA .....	City of Baton Rouge for East Baton Rouge Parish wastewater system improvements.	\$300,000	Landrieu; Vitter
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	LA .....	Lafayette Utilities System for drinking water and wastewater line relocations and upgrades project.	\$300,000	Landrieu; Vitter
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	LA .....	City of Grambling for drinking water system improvements.	\$300,000	Landrieu
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	MA .....	Cities of New Bedford and Fall River for combined sewer overflow abatement in Bristol County.	\$300,000	Kennedy; Kerry
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	MA .....	City of Marlborough for infrastructure upgrades at the Westerly Wastewater Treatment Facility.	\$300,000	Kennedy; Kerry
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	MD .....	City of Frostburg for combined sewer overflow improvements.	\$300,000	Mikulski
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	MD, DC, VA	Washington Suburban Sanitary Commission, MD; Washington Area Sewer Authority; Fairfax County Public Works Department, VA.	\$1,200,000	Cardin; Mikulski; Warner

Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	ME .....	City of Portland for a combined sewer overflow and storm water runoff improvements project.	\$1,250,000	Collins
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	ME .....	Limestone Water and Sewer District for design and construction of new wastewater pipes and pumping stations.	\$550,000	Collins; Snowe
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	ME .....	Town of Machias for a sewer extension construction project.	\$300,000	Snowe
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	MI .....	Oakland/Macomb County Drain Drainage District for intercepter improvements.	\$300,000	Levin; Stabenow
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	MI .....	City of Port Huron for combined sewer overflow improvements.	\$300,000	Levin; Stabenow
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	MN .....	City of St. Cloud for water infrastructure improvements.	\$300,000	Klobuchar
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	MN .....	City of Faribault for wastewater infrastructure improvements.	\$150,000	Klobuchar
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	MO .....	City of Lee's Summit for a wastewater infrastructure improvements project.	\$1,500,000	Bond
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	MO .....	City of New Haven for consolidation and replacement of wastewater pump stations.	\$300,000	Bond
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	MS .....	Leflore County Board of Supervisors for a stormwater project.	\$143,000	Cochran
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	MS .....	Mississippi Band of Choctaw Indians for rehabilitation of wastewater pump stations.	\$380,000	Cochran; Wicker
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	MS .....	City of Batesville for design and construction of wastewater improvements projects.	\$275,000	Cochran
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	MS .....	Tunica County Utility District for construction of a wastewater treatment facility.	\$400,000	Cochran; Wicker
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	MS .....	Hinds County Board of Supervisors for planning and design of a centralized wastewater system.	\$300,000	Cochran; Wicker
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	MS .....	City of Pearl for rehabilitation of wastewater gravity mains.	\$277,000	Cochran
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	MS .....	City of Ridgeland for construction of a new potable water well.	\$200,000	Cochran; Wicker
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	MS .....	City of Carthage for a wastewater improvements and rehabilitation project.	\$275,000	Cochran; Wicker
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	MT .....	Crow Tribe in Crow Agency for wastewater infrastructure improvements.	\$300,000	Baucus
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	MT .....	City of Bozeman for water treatment facility improvements.	\$500,000	Baucus; Tester

CONGRESSIONALLY DIRECTED SPENDING ITEMS—Continued

Agency	Account	State	Project	Amount	Requesting Senator(s)
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	MT .....	Butte-Silver Bow Consolidated Government for drinking water improvements for the City of Butte.	\$500,000	Baucus; Tester
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	MT .....	City of Missoula for wastewater facility improvements.	\$200,000	Tester
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	NC .....	Town of Ahsokie for wastewater system improvements.	\$300,000	Hagan
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	NC .....	Greenville Utilities Commission for construction of a wastewater pumping station.	\$300,000	Burr; Hagan
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	ND .....	City of Valley City for drinking water system improvements.	\$400,000	Conrad; Dorgan
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	ND .....	City of Washburn for drinking water treatment facility upgrades.	\$400,000	Conrad; Dorgan
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	ND .....	Stutsman Rural Water District, Stutsman County for drinking water system improvements.	\$400,000	Conrad; Dorgan
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	NE .....	City of Plattsmouth for combined sewer overflow improvements.	\$1,200,000	Nelson, Ben
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	NH .....	City of Nashua for combined sewer overflow improvements.	\$300,000	Shaheen
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	NH .....	City of Berlin for replacement and upgrades of water lines and mains.	\$450,000	Gregg
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	NH .....	City of Manchester for the Phase II combined sewer overflow abatement program.	\$450,000	Gregg
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	NH .....	City of Keene for a wastewater treatment facility upgrades project.	\$300,000	Gregg; Shaheen
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	NH .....	Conway Village Fire District for water and wastewater treatment extension project.	\$300,000	Gregg
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	NH .....	Town of Winchester for a wastewater treatment facility upgrades project.	\$300,000	Gregg
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	NJ .....	City of New Brunswick for water pumping station improvements.	\$300,000	Lautenberg; Menendez
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	NJ .....	City of Orange Township for drinking water system improvements.	\$300,000	Lautenberg; Menendez
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	NJ .....	City of Hackensack for the Clay Street area combined sewer overflow improvement project.	\$300,000	Lautenberg; Menendez
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	NJ .....	City of Perth Amboy for drinking water infrastructure improvements.	\$300,000	Lautenberg; Menendez



Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	NM .....	City of Portales for wastewater treatment plant improvements.	\$300,000	Bingaman, Udall, Tom
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	NM .....	City of Carlsbad for a water reuse project .....	\$300,000	Bingaman; Udall, Tom
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	NV .....	Las Vegas Paiute Tribe for water infrastructure improvements.	\$550,000	Reid
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	NV .....	City of Carson City for the Mariette-Hobart water system improvements.	\$350,000	Ensign; Reid
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	NV .....	City of Boulder City for water infrastructure improvements.	\$290,000	Reid
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	NV .....	City of Fernley for a wastewater infrastructure project.	\$300,000	Ensign, Reid
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	NY .....	Nassau County for Bay Park STP outfall project .....	\$300,000	Gillibrand; Schumer
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	NY .....	Saratoga Hospital in Saratoga, NY for water supply improvements.	\$300,000	Schumer
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	OH .....	City of Fremont for combined sewer overflow improvements.	\$500,000	Brown; Voivovich
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	OH .....	Belmont County Commissioners for construction of sanitary sewer system.	\$400,000	Voivovich
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	OH .....	Knox County for construction of wastewater collection and treatment system.	\$400,000	Voivovich
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	OH .....	City of Fostoria for the planning, design and construction of a new sanitary pump station and force main.	\$500,000	Voivovich
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	OK .....	City of Enid for planning, design and construction of a wastewater treatment plant.	\$300,000	Inhofe
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	OR .....	Umatilla County for Milton-Freewater stormwater system improvements.	\$300,000	Merkley; Wyden
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	OR .....	City of Vernonia wastewater system improvements .....	\$300,000	Merkley; Wyden
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	PA .....	Allegheny County Sanitary Authority for the Three Rivers Wet Weather Demonstration Program.	\$225,000	Casey, Specter
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	PA .....	Westmoreland County Industrial Development Corporation for wastewater infrastructure replacement.	\$300,000	Casey, Specter
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	PA .....	Chester County Economic Development Council for the Upper Worthington Infrastructure Improvement Project.	\$225,000	Specter
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	PA .....	City of Reading for expansion of wastewater infrastructure.	\$225,000	Casey, Specter
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	PA .....	York City Sewer Authority for wastewater infrastructure.	\$225,000	Casey, Specter
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	RI .....	City of Cranston for wastewater infrastructure .....	\$400,000	Reed; Whitehouse

CONGRESSIONALLY DIRECTED SPENDING ITEMS—Continued

Agency	Account	State	Project	Amount	Requesting Senator(s)
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	RI .....	Town of North Providence for storm water infrastructure improvements.	\$400,000	Reed; Whitehouse
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	RI .....	City of East Providence for drinking water infrastructure improvements.	\$400,000	Reed; Whitehouse
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	RI .....	City of Newport for water infrastructure improvements.	\$300,000	Reed; Whitehouse
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	SC .....	Laurens Commission of Public Works for construction of a pump station, water lines and water tank.	\$300,000	Graham
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	SD .....	City of Elk Point for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements.	\$400,000	Johnson
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	SD .....	City of Lead for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements.	\$400,000	Johnson
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	SD .....	City of Rapid City for wastewater infrastructure improvements.	\$300,000	Johnson; Thune
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	SD .....	Brant Lake Sanitary District for wastewater infrastructure improvements.	\$400,000	Johnson
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	TN .....	City of Tusculum for planning, design and construction of a wastewater treatment facility and collection system.	\$500,000	Alexander; Corker
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	TN .....	Henry County for construction of a drinking water system.	\$500,000	Alexander; Corker
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	TN .....	Dickson County Water Authority for construction of a drinking water system.	\$250,000	Alexander
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	TN .....	Campbell County for construction of a connection between utility districts and a drinking water system.	\$500,000	Alexander
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	TN .....	Hancock County for a drinking water extension project.	\$500,000	Alexander; Corker
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	TN .....	City of Harrogate for sewer collection system improvements.	\$300,000	Corker
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	TX .....	City of Naogdoches for construction of two detention ponds.	\$500,000	Hutchison
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	TX .....	City of Temple for construction of a wastewater main line and wastewater interceptor.	\$300,000	Hutchison
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	TX .....	City of Lufkin for design and construction of drinking water infrastructure, storage and treatment capacity.	\$400,000	Cornyn; Hutchison

Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	TX .....	City of Beaumont for a sewer line rehabilitation project.	\$400,000	Hutchison
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	TX .....	City of Lubbock for a treated drinking water pipeline project.	\$200,000	Conryn; Hutchison
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	TX .....	City of Round Rock for planning, design and construction of a regional water supply system.	\$300,000	Conryn
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	UT .....	City of Taylorville for stormwater infrastructure improvements and upgrades.	\$500,000	Bennett; Hatch
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	UT .....	Draper City for construction of a culinary reservoir .....	\$500,000	Bennett
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	UT .....	City of London for channel improvements in a stormwater detention and management area.	\$500,000	Bennett; Hatch
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	UT .....	Clearfield City for a drinking water and wastewater improvements project.	\$300,000	Bennett; Hatch
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	UT .....	South Salt Lake City for a waterline replacement project.	\$300,000	Bennett; Hatch
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	VA .....	Caroline County for the Dawn Community Decentralized Wastewater System project.	\$300,000	Warner; Webb
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	VA .....	Town of Onancock for wastewater treatment system improvements.	\$300,000	Warner; Webb
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	VT .....	Village of Waterbury for wastewater system improvements.	\$825,000	Leahy; Sanders
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	VT .....	Town of Guilford for drinking water system improvements.	\$375,000	Leahy
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	VT .....	Ferrisburgh Fire District #1 for water infrastructure improvements.	\$300,000	Sanders
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	WA .....	Whatcom County for stormwater system improvements.	\$300,000	Cantwell
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	WA .....	City of Puyallup for wastewater pump and main force upgrades.	\$500,000	Murray
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	WA .....	Cowlitz Public Utility District in Cowlitz County for replacement of wastewater infrastructure.	\$400,000	Murray
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	WI .....	City of Janesville for wastewater treatment plant improvements.	\$400,000	Kohl
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	WI .....	Milwaukee Metropolitan Sewerage District for the replacement of a central sewer system.	\$400,000	Kohl
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	WI .....	City of Waukesha Water Utility for drinking water system improvements.	\$400,000	Kohl
Environmental Protection Agency .....	State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	WV .....	Ohio River Valley Sanitation Commission of organic detection system improvements.	\$1,200,000	Brown; Byrd

CONGRESSIONALLY DIRECTED SPENDING ITEMS—Continued

Agency	Account	State	Project	Amount	Requesting Senator(s)
Environmental Protection Agency	State and Tribal Assistance Grants	WV	Town of Moorefield for wastewater treatment facility upgrades.	\$2,500,000	Byrd
Environmental Protection Agency	State and Tribal Assistance Grants	WV	Marshall County Sewerage District for wastewater infrastructure improvements.	\$800,000	Byrd
Environmental Protection Agency	Other	CA	Hunters Point Naval Shipyard environmental cleanup	\$8,000,000	Feinstein
U.S. Forest Service	Forest and Rangeland Research	MS	Increase budget request for the Center for Bottomlands Hardwood Research.	\$400,000	Cochran
U.S. Forest Service	National Forest System	AK	Increase budget request for the Tongass National Forest timber pipeline program.	\$1,250,000	Begich; Murkowski
U.S. Forest Service	Capital Improvement and Maintenance—Facilities	HI	Increase budget request for construction of a Forest Service research facility in Hawaii.	\$1,840,000	Akaka; Inouye
U.S. Forest Service	Capital Improvement and Maintenance—Trails	NV		\$100,000	Reid
U.S. Forest Service	Capital Improvement and Maintenance—Facilities	SD	Relocation of the Northern Great Plains Interagency Dispatch Center on the Black Hills National Forest.	\$1,900,000	Johnson
U.S. Forest Service	Capital Improvement and Maintenance—Facilities	TN	Complete construction of a Cherokee National Forest work center.	\$500,000	Alexander
U.S. Forest Service	Capital Improvement and Maintenance—Facilities	WV	Facilities improvements on the Monongahela National Forest, WV.	\$595,000	Byrd
U.S. Forest Service	Capital Improvement and Maintenance—Roads	WV	Road improvements for the Monongahela National Forest.	\$1,521,000	Byrd
U.S. Forest Service	Land Acquisition	CA	Angeles National Forest	\$750,000	Feinstein
U.S. Forest Service	Land Acquisition	CA	Humboldt-Toiyabe National Forest	\$2,400,000	Feinstein
U.S. Forest Service	Land Acquisition	CA	Los Padres National Forest	\$500,000	Feinstein
U.S. Forest Service	Land Acquisition	CO	Uncompahgre National Forest	\$1,375,000	Bennet; Udall, Mark
U.S. Forest Service	Land Acquisition	GA	Chattahoochee-Oconee National Forest	\$200,000	Chambliss
U.S. Forest Service	Land Acquisition	IN	Hoosier National Forest	\$575,000	Lugar
U.S. Forest Service	Land Acquisition	KY	Daniel Boone National Forest	\$900,000	McConnell
U.S. Forest Service	Land Acquisition	MI	Ottawa National Forest	\$1,300,000	Levin; Stabenow
U.S. Forest Service	Land Acquisition	MI	Chippewa and Superior National Forests	\$1,350,000	Klobuchar
U.S. Forest Service	Land Acquisition	MT	Gallatin and Custer National Forests	\$1,000,000	Tester; Baucus
U.S. Forest Service	Land Acquisition	MT	Lewis and Clark National Forest	\$2,000,000	Tester; Baucus
U.S. Forest Service	Land Acquisition	NM	Gila National Forest	\$2,000,000	Bingaman; Udall, Tom
U.S. Forest Service	Land Acquisition	OR	Hells Canyon National Recreation Area	\$500,000	Wyden; Merkley
U.S. Forest Service	Land Acquisition	OR	Siskiyou National Forest	\$360,000	Wyden; Merkley
U.S. Forest Service	Land Acquisition	SC	Francis Marion National Forest	\$1,650,000	Graham

U.S. Forest Service .....	Land Acquisition .....	SD .....	Black Hills National Forest .....	\$640,000	Johnson
U.S. Forest Service .....	Land Acquisition .....	TN .....	Cherokee National Forest .....	\$3,000,000	Alexander; Burr; Corker
U.S. Forest Service .....	Land Acquisition .....	UT .....	Bonneville Shoreline Trail .....	\$1,500,000	Bennett; Hatch
U.S. Forest Service .....	Land Acquisition .....	UT .....	Dixie National Forest .....	\$2,500,000	Bennett; Hatch
U.S. Forest Service .....	Land Acquisition .....	VT .....	Green Mountain National Forest .....	\$2,000,000	Leahy
U.S. Forest Service .....	Land Acquisition .....	WA .....	Mt. Baker-Snoqualmie National Forest—Wild Sky Wilderness .....	\$1,700,000	Murray
U.S. Forest Service .....	Land Acquisition .....	WA .....	Mt. Baker-Snoqualmie/Wenatchee National Forests—Cascades Ecosystem .....	\$1,625,000	Murray
U.S. Forest Service .....	Land Acquisition .....	WI .....	Chequamegon-Nicolet National Forest .....	\$1,125,000	Kohl
U.S. Forest Service .....	Land Acquisition .....	WV .....	Monongahela National Forest .....	\$3,150,000	Byrd
U.S. Forest Service .....	Wildland Fire Management .....	CA .....	Increase budget request for California Fire Safe Councils .....	\$2,000,000	Feinstein
U.S. Forest Service .....	Wildland Fire Management .....	CA .....	Increase budget request for the Lake Tahoe Community Fire Protection Project .....	\$4,000,000	Boxer; Feinstein
U.S. Forest Service .....	Wildland Fire Management .....	NV .....	City of Reno to fund firefighting equipment for the wildland-urban interface .....	\$250,000	Reid
U.S. Forest Service .....	Economic Action Program .....	CA .....	Region 5, USFS for small forest products infrastructure assistance grants .....	\$2,500,000	Feinstein
U.S. Forest Service .....	Economic Action Program .....	CA .....	Calaveras (CA) Healthy Impact Product Solutions for a biomass utilization initiative .....	\$500,000	Feinstein
U.S. Forest Service .....	Economic Action Program .....	MO .....	Missouri Forest Foundation for biomass demonstration project .....	\$300,000	Bond
U.S. Forest Service .....	Economic Action Program .....	UT .....	Utah Department of Agriculture for a fuels-for-schools biomass utilization project .....	\$200,000	Bennett
U.S. Forest Service .....	Economic Action Program .....	VT .....	State of Vermont for the Vermont Wood Products Collaborative .....	\$500,000	Leahy
U.S. Forest Service .....	Economic Action Program .....	WV .....	Increase budget request for the Wood Education and Resource Center in Princeton .....	\$1,000,000	Byrd

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR FISCAL YEAR 2009 AND BUDGET ESTIMATES AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR FISCAL YEAR 2010  
 [In thousands of dollars]

Item	2009 appropriation	Budget estimate	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Senate Committee recommendation compared with		
					2009 appropriation	Budget estimate	House allowance
TITLE I—DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR							
BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT							
Management of Lands and Resources							
Land Resources:							
Soil, water and air management .....	40,568	58,621	58,621	58,971	+ 18,403	+ 350	+ 350
Range management .....	71,881	73,493	73,493	74,193	+ 2,312	+ 700	+ 700
Forestry management .....	10,242	10,443	10,443	10,543	+ 301	+ 100	+ 100
Riparian management .....	22,127	22,518	22,518	22,718	+ 591	+ 200	+ 200
Cultural resources management .....	15,766	15,631	15,631	16,631	+ 865	+ 1,000	+ 1,000
Wild horse and burro management .....	40,613	67,486	60,486	67,486	+ 26,873	.....	+ 7,000
Subtotal .....	201,197	248,192	241,192	250,542	+ 49,345	+ 2,350	+ 9,350
Wildlife and Fisheries:							
Wildlife management .....	35,074	35,447	36,592	35,842	+ 768	+ 395	- 750
Fisheries management .....	13,415	13,640	13,640	13,765	+ 350	+ 125	+ 125
Subtotal .....	48,489	49,087	50,232	49,607	+ 1,118	+ 520	- 625
Threatened and endangered species .....	21,713	22,112	22,112	22,612	+ 899	+ 500	+ 500
Recreation Management:							
Wilderness management .....	17,881	18,221	18,221	18,421	+ 540	+ 200	+ 200
Recreation resources management .....	45,857	49,471	49,471	49,971	+ 4,114	+ 500	+ 500
Subtotal .....	63,738	67,692	67,692	68,392	+ 4,654	+ 700	+ 700
Energy and Minerals:							
Oil and gas .....	79,478	90,336	69,336	69,336	- 10,142	- 21,000	.....
Oil and gas permit processing fund .....	36,400	45,500	45,500	45,500	+ 9,100	.....	.....

	(21,000)		(21,000)	(21,000)	(21,000)		(+ 21,000)	
(Pilot offices, sec. 365, permit processing fund) .....								
Subtotal, Oil and gas, including permit processing fund .....	115,878	135,836	114,836	114,836		-1,042	-21,000	
Oil and gas offsetting permit processing fees .....	-36,400	-45,500	-45,500	-45,500		-9,100		
Coal management .....	9,533	9,739	9,739	9,739		+206		
Other mineral resources .....	10,402	10,614	10,614	10,614		+212		
Subtotal, Energy and Minerals .....	99,413	110,689	89,689	89,689		-9,724	-21,000	
Realty and Ownership Management:								
Alaska conveyance .....	33,382	34,109	34,109	34,109		+727		
Cadastral survey .....	12,904	12,463	12,463	12,863		-41	+400	
Land and realty management .....	33,779	50,660	50,660	50,660		+16,881		+400
Subtotal .....	80,065	97,232	97,232	97,632		+17,567	+400	+400
Resource Protection and Maintenance:								
Resource management planning .....	48,132	48,961	48,961	49,961		+1,829	+1,000	+1,000
Resource protection and law enforcement .....	27,525	27,957	27,957	28,957		+1,432	+1,000	+1,000
Hazardous materials management .....	16,894	17,159	17,159	17,159		+265		
Subtotal .....	92,551	94,077	94,077	96,077		+3,526	+2,000	+2,000
Transportation and Facilities Maintenance:								
Operations .....	5,984	6,067	6,067	6,067		+83		
Annual maintenance .....	31,388	32,003	32,003	32,003		+615		
Deferred maintenance .....	36,485	35,085	35,085	36,485		-1,400	+1,400	+1,400
Subtotal .....	73,857	73,155	73,155	74,555		+698	+1,400	+1,400
Land and resources information systems .....	16,581	16,754	16,754	16,754		+173		
Mining Law Administration:								
Administration .....	34,696	36,696	36,696	36,696		+2,000		
Offsetting fees .....	-34,696	-36,696	-36,696	-36,696		-2,000		
Workforce and Organizational Support:								
Information systems operations .....	15,204	15,406	15,406	15,406		+202		
Administrative support .....	50,118	51,377	51,377	51,377		+1,259		
Bureauwide fixed costs .....	89,572	91,277	91,277	91,277		+1,705		
Subtotal .....	154,894	158,060	158,060	158,060		+3,166		

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR FISCAL YEAR 2009 AND BUDGET ESTIMATES AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR FISCAL YEAR 2010—Continued  
 (In thousands of dollars)

Item	2009 appropriation	Budget estimate	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Senate Committee recommendation compared with		
					2009 appropriation	Budget estimate	House allowance
Challenge cost share .....	9,500	9,500	9,500	10,000	+500	+500	+500
National Monuments and Conservation Areas .....	28,196	28,801	30,801	31,801	+3,605	+3,000	+1,000
(National Landscape Conservation System, total program) .....	(66,705)	(72,135)	(74,135)	(75,135)	(+8,430)	(+3,000)	(+1,000)
Emergency appropriations (Public Law 111-5) .....	125,000				-125,000		
Total, Management of Lands and Resources .....	1,015,194	975,351	950,496	965,721	-49,473	-9,630	+15,225
Non-emergency .....	(890,194)	(975,351)	(950,496)	(965,721)	(+75,527)	(-9,630)	(+15,225)
Emergency .....	(125,000)				(-125,000)		
Construction							
Emergency appropriations (Public Law 111-5) .....	6,590	6,590	6,590	8,626	+2,036	+2,036	+2,036
Land Acquisition	180,000				-180,000		
Land Acquisition:							
Acquisitions .....	11,425	21,650	22,150	24,150	+12,725	+2,500	+2,000
Emergencies, hardships and inholdings .....	1,500	1,500	2,500	2,500	+1,000	+1,000	
Acquisition management .....	1,850	1,879	1,879	2,000	+150	+121	+121
Total, Land Acquisition .....	14,775	25,029	26,529	28,650	+13,875	+3,621	+2,121
Oregon and California Grant Lands							
Western Oregon resources management .....	95,611	97,052	97,052	97,052	+1,441		
Western Oregon information and resource data systems .....	2,152	2,153	2,153	2,153	+1		
Western Oregon transportation & facilities maintenance .....	11,053	11,202	11,202	11,202	+149		
Western Oregon construction and acquisition .....	313	317	317	317	+4		
Western Oregon National Monument .....	820	833	833	833	+13		
Total, Oregon and California Grant Lands .....	109,949	111,557	111,557	111,557	+1,608		



Range Improvements									
Improvements to public lands .....	7,873	7,873	7,873	7,873	7,873	7,873	7,873	7,873	.....
Farm Tenant Act lands .....	1,527	1,527	1,527	1,527	1,527	1,527	1,527	1,527	.....
Administrative expenses .....	600	600	600	600	600	600	600	600	.....
<b>Total, Range Improvements .....</b>	<b>10,000</b>	<b>10,000</b>	<b>10,000</b>	<b>10,000</b>	<b>10,000</b>	<b>10,000</b>	<b>10,000</b>	<b>10,000</b>	<b>.....</b>
Service Charges, Deposits, and Forfeitures									
Rights-of-way processing .....	19,906	17,340	17,340	17,340	17,340	17,340	17,340	-2,566	.....
Energy and minerals cost recovery .....	2,900	2,900	2,900	2,900	2,900	2,900	2,900	.....	.....
Recreation cost recovery .....	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	.....	.....
Adopt-a-horse program .....	375	375	375	375	375	375	375	.....	.....
Repair of damaged lands .....	5,500	5,500	5,500	5,500	5,500	5,500	5,500	.....	.....
Cost recoverable realty cases .....	840	840	840	840	840	840	840	.....	.....
Timber purchaser expenses .....	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	.....	.....
Commercial film and photography fees .....	200	200	200	200	200	200	200	.....	.....
Copy fees .....	3,000	3,000	3,000	3,000	3,000	3,000	3,000	.....	.....
<b>Subtotal (gross) .....</b>	<b>33,821</b>	<b>31,255</b>	<b>31,255</b>	<b>31,255</b>	<b>31,255</b>	<b>31,255</b>	<b>31,255</b>	<b>-2,566</b>	<b>.....</b>
Offsetting fees .....	-33,821	-31,255	-31,255	-31,255	-31,255	-31,255	-31,255	+2,566	.....
<b>Total, Service Charges, Deposits &amp; Forfeitures .....</b>	<b>.....</b>	<b>.....</b>	<b>.....</b>	<b>.....</b>	<b>.....</b>	<b>.....</b>	<b>.....</b>	<b>.....</b>	<b>.....</b>
Miscellaneous Trust Funds and Permanent Operating Funds									
Current appropriations .....	20,130	20,130	20,130	20,130	20,130	20,130	20,130	.....	.....
Payment from proceeds, sale of water .....	-46	-46	-46	-46	-46	-46	-46	+46	.....
Naval oil shale reserves, mineral leasing receipts .....	-12,996	-12,996	-12,996	-12,996	-12,996	-12,996	-12,996	+12,996	.....
<b>TOTAL, BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT .....</b>	<b>1,343,596</b>	<b>1,148,657</b>	<b>1,125,302</b>	<b>1,144,684</b>	<b>1,144,684</b>	<b>1,144,684</b>	<b>1,144,684</b>	<b>-198,912</b>	<b>+19,382</b>
Non-emergency .....	(1,038,642)	(1,148,657)	(1,125,302)	(1,144,684)	(1,144,684)	(1,144,684)	(1,144,684)	(+106,042)	(+19,382)
Emergency .....	(305,000)	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	(-305,000)	.....
UNITED STATES FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE									
Resource Management									
Ecological Services:									
Endangered species:									
Candidate conservation .....	10,670	10,592	11,592	11,842	11,592	11,842	11,842	+1,172	+250

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR FISCAL YEAR 2009 AND BUDGET ESTIMATES AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL  
FOR FISCAL YEAR 2010—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Item	2009 appropriation	Budget estimate	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Senate Committee recommendation compared with (+ or -)		
					2009 appropriation	Budget estimate	House allowance
Listing:							
Critical habitat .....	10,458	10,632	10,632	11,632	+ 1,174	+ 1,000	+ 1,000
Listing .....	8,808	9,471	9,971	10,471	+ 1,663	+ 1,000	+ 500
Subtotal .....	19,266	20,103	20,603	22,103	+ 2,837	+ 2,000	+ 1,500
Consultation .....	53,462	56,863	56,863	59,363	+ 5,901	+ 2,500	+ 2,500
Recovery .....	74,575	76,599	77,449	81,349	+ 6,774	+ 4,750	+ 3,900
Subtotal, Endangered species .....	157,973	164,157	166,507	174,657	+ 16,684	+ 10,500	+ 8,150
Habitat conservation:							
Partners for fish and wildlife .....	52,943	57,841	58,341	59,941	+ 6,998	+ 2,100	+ 1,600
Project planning .....	32,048	35,235	35,235	35,985	+ 3,937	+ 750	+ 750
Coastal programs .....	14,736	14,946	16,146	15,446	+ 710	+ 500	- 700
National wetlands inventory .....	5,328	5,398	5,398	5,648	+ 320	+ 250	+ 250
Subtotal, Habitat conservation .....	105,055	113,420	115,120	117,020	+ 11,965	+ 3,600	+ 1,900
Environmental contaminants .....	13,242	13,500	13,500	14,000	+ 758	+ 500	+ 500
Subtotal, Ecological Services .....	276,270	291,077	295,127	305,677	+ 29,407	+ 14,600	+ 10,550
National Wildlife Refuge System:							
Refuge operations:							
Wildlife and habitat management .....	199,859	214,778	230,778	220,378	+ 20,519	+ 5,600	- 10,400
Refuge visitor services .....	75,571	78,973	79,973	80,723	+ 5,152	+ 1,750	+ 750
Refuge law enforcement .....	36,089	36,684	36,684	37,684	+ 1,595	+ 1,000	- 1,000
Conservation planning .....	11,789	12,021	13,021	12,021	+ 232	.....	- 1,000

Subtotal .....	323,308	342,456	362,456	350,806	+ 27,498	+ 8,350	- 11,650
Refuge maintenance .....	139,551	140,823	140,823	137,823	- 1,728	- 3,000	- 3,000
Subtotal, National Wildlife Refuge System .....	462,859	483,279	503,279	488,629	+ 25,770	+ 5,350	- 14,650
Migratory Birds, Law Enforcement & International Conservation:							
Migratory bird management .....	50,846	53,025	53,525	54,025	+ 3,179	+ 1,000	+ 500
Law enforcement operations and maintenance .....	62,667	63,839	64,839	65,839	+ 3,172	+ 2,000	+ 1,000
International affairs .....	13,204	13,229	15,229	13,709	+ 505	+ 480	- 1,520
Subtotal .....	126,717	130,093	133,593	133,573	+ 6,856	+ 3,480	- 20
Fisheries:							
National fish hatchery system operations .....	48,649	50,271	52,271	51,271	+ 2,622	+ 1,000	- 1,000
Maintenance and equipment .....	19,048	18,367	18,367	18,367	- 681		
Aquatic habitat and species conservation .....	55,411	60,198	61,498	60,198	+ 4,787		- 1,300
Aquatic invasive species .....	5,352	6,244	6,244	8,244	+ 2,892	+ 2,000	+ 2,000
Marine mammals .....	3,371	5,615	5,815	5,615	+ 2,244		- 200
Subtotal .....	131,831	140,695	144,195	143,695	+ 11,864	+ 3,000	- 500
Climate Change Adaptive Science Capacity:							
Climate Change Planning .....		10,000	10,000	10,000	+ 10,000		
Climate Change Adaptive Science Capacity .....		10,000	10,000	10,000	+ 10,000		
Subtotal .....		20,000	20,000	20,000	+ 20,000		
General Administration:							
Highly Pathogenic Avian Flu .....							
Central office administration .....	39,652	40,485	40,485	40,485	+ 833		
Regional office administration .....	42,305	43,340	43,340	43,340	+ 1,035		
Service-wide operational support .....	34,620	36,440	36,440	36,440	+ 1,820		
National Fish and Wildlife Foundation .....	7,537	8,537	9,037	7,537		- 1,000	- 1,500
National Conservation Training Center .....	19,171	25,260	24,260	25,010	+ 5,839	- 250	+ 750
International Affairs .....							
Subtotal .....	143,285	154,062	153,562	152,812	+ 9,527	- 1,250	- 750
Disposition of excess property—operational savings .....		- 1,000	- 1,000			+ 1,000	+ 1,000
Emergency appropriations (Public Law 111-5) .....	165,000						
Total, Resource Management .....	1,305,962	1,218,206	1,248,756	1,244,386	- 61,576	+ 26,180	- 4,370

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR FISCAL YEAR 2009 AND BUDGET ESTIMATES AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR FISCAL YEAR 2010—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Item	2009 appropriation	Budget estimate	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Senate Committee recommendation compared with		
					2009 appropriation	Budget estimate	House allowance
Non-emergency	(1,140,962)	(1,218,206)	(1,248,756)	(1,244,386)	(+ 103,424)	(- 4,370)	
Emergency	(165,000)				(- 165,000)		
Construction							
Construction and rehabilitation:							
Line item construction	25,267	18,775	10,123	28,725	+ 3,458	+ 18,602	
Bridge and dam safety	1,350	1,855	1,855	1,855	+ 505		
Nationwide engineering services	8,970	9,161	9,161	9,161	+ 191		
Subtotal	35,587	29,791	21,139	39,741	+ 4,154	+ 18,602	
Anadromous fish program (cancellation of balances)	-54				+ 54		
Emergency appropriations (Public Law 111-5)	115,000				- 115,000		
Total, Construction	150,533	29,791	21,139	39,741	- 110,792	+ 18,602	
Non-emergency	(35,587)	(29,791)	(21,139)	(39,741)	(+ 4,154)	(+ 18,602)	
Emergency	(115,000)				(- 115,000)		
Land Acquisition							
Fish and Wildlife Service:							
Acquisitions—Federal refuge lands	28,315	45,445	49,695	63,235	+ 34,920	+ 13,540	
Inholdings/emergencies and hardships	3,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	+ 2,000		
Exchanges	1,500	2,000	2,000	2,000	+ 500		
Acquisition management	8,140	10,555	10,555	10,555	+ 2,415		
Cost Allocation Methodology	1,500	2,000	2,000	2,000	+ 500		
Total, Land Acquisition	42,455	65,000	69,250	82,790	+ 40,335	+ 13,540	
Cooperative Endangered Species Conservation Fund							
Grants to States	10,001	14,001	14,001	12,001	+ 2,000	- 2,000	

HCP planning grants	7,642	12,642	10,642	+ 3,000	- 2,000	- 2,000
Snake River Water Rights Act of 2004	5,146	5,146	5,146			
Administration	2,518	2,518	2,518			
(Subtotal, Cooperative ES fund grants & admin)	(25,307)	(34,307)	(30,307)	(+ 5,000)	(- 4,000)	(- 4,000)
Species recovery land acquisition	14,186	29,685	14,186		- 15,499	- 15,499
HCP land acquisition	40,508	36,008	40,508		+ 4,500	+ 4,500
(Subtotal, Cooperative ES fund, land acquisition)	(54,694)	(65,693)	(54,694)		(- 10,999)	(- 10,999)
Total (gross)	80,001	100,000	85,001	+ 5,000	- 14,999	- 14,999
Cancellation of prior-year balances	- 4,500			+ 4,500		
Total, Cooperative Endangered Species Conservation Fund	75,501	100,000	85,001	+ 9,500	- 14,999	- 14,999
National Wildlife Refuge Fund						
Payments in lieu of taxes	14,100	14,100	14,500	+ 400	+ 400	+ 400
North American Wetlands Conservation Fund						
Wetlands conservation	40,941	50,540	43,341	+ 2,400	- 7,199	- 7,199
Administration	1,706	2,107	1,806	+ 100	- 301	- 301
Total, North American Wetlands Conservation Fund	42,647	52,647	45,147	+ 2,500	- 7,500	- 7,500
Neotropical Migratory Bird Conservation Fund						
Migratory bird grants	4,750	4,750	5,000	+ 250	+ 250	- 250
Multinational Species Conservation Fund						
African elephant conservation	2,000	2,000	2,000			- 250
Rhinoceros and tiger conservation	2,500	3,000	3,000	+ 500	+ 500	
Asian elephant conservation	2,000	2,250	2,000			- 250
Great ape conservation	2,000	2,250	2,500	+ 500	+ 500	+ 250
Marine turtle conservation	1,500	1,750	2,000	+ 500	+ 500	+ 250
Total, Multinational Species Conservation Fund	10,000	11,500	11,500	+ 1,500	+ 1,500	
State and tribal wildlife grants	75,000	115,000	80,000	+ 5,000	- 35,000	- 35,000
Federal Aid in Wildlife Restoration						
Federal Aid in Wildlife Restoration	28,000				- 28,000	

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR FISCAL YEAR 2009 AND BUDGET ESTIMATES AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR FISCAL YEAR 2010—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Item	2009 appropriation	Budget estimate	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Senate Committee recommendation compared with		
					2009 appropriation	Budget estimate	House allowance
Wildlife Conservation and Appreciation Fund							
Cancellation of prior-year funds .....	-497				+497		
TOTAL, U.S. FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE .....	1,720,451	1,637,494	1,637,642	1,608,065	-112,386	-29,429	-29,577
Non-emergency .....	(1,445,502)	(1,637,494)	(1,637,642)	(1,608,065)	(+162,563)	(-29,429)	(-29,577)
Emergency .....	(280,000)				(-280,000)		
NATIONAL PARK SERVICE							
Operation of the National Park System							
Park Management:							
Resource stewardship .....	315,886	347,328	346,078	344,828	+28,942	-2,500	-1,250
Visitor services .....	226,249	247,386	246,511	247,386	+21,137		+875
Park protection .....	346,417	368,698	368,698	368,698	+22,281		
Facility operations and maintenance .....	677,699	705,220	702,013	703,013	+25,314	-2,207	+1,000
Park support .....	417,223	441,854	441,854	441,854	+24,631		
Subtotal, Park Management .....	1,983,474	2,110,486	2,105,154	2,105,779	+122,305	-4,707	+625
External administrative costs .....	148,055	155,530	155,530	155,530	+7,475		
Emergency appropriations (Public Law 111-5) .....	146,000				-146,000		
Total, Operation of the National Park System .....	2,277,529	2,266,016	2,260,684	2,261,309	-16,220	-4,707	+625
Non-emergency .....	(2,131,529)	(2,266,016)	(2,260,684)	(2,261,309)	(+129,780)	(-4,707)	(+625)
Emergency .....	(146,000)				(-146,000)		
Park Partnership Project Grants							
Signature projects matching program .....		25,000	25,000			-25,000	-25,000

National Recreation and Preservation									
Recreation programs .....	575	591	591	591	591	+ 16			
Natural programs .....	10,008	10,713	10,713	10,713	10,713	+ 705			
Cultural programs .....	22,655	23,026	24,526	26,026	26,026	+ 3,371	+ 3,000		+ 1,500
International park affairs .....	1,625	1,655	1,655	1,655	1,655	+ 30			
Environmental and compliance review .....	423	434	434	434	434	+ 11			
Grant administration .....	3,096	1,753	1,753	1,753	1,753	- 1,343			
Heritage Partnership Programs .....	15,702	17,814	17,741	17,741	17,741	+ 2,039	+ 2,005		- 73
Preserve America .....					3,175	+ 3,175	+ 3,175		+ 3,175
Statutory or Contractual Aid .....	5,600	1,900	1,900	5,350	5,350	- 250	+ 5,350		+ 3,450
<b>Total, National Recreation and Preservation .....</b>	<b>59,684</b>	<b>53,908</b>	<b>59,386</b>	<b>67,438</b>	<b>67,438</b>	<b>+ 7,754</b>	<b>+ 13,530</b>		<b>+ 8,052</b>
Historic Preservation Fund									
State historic preservation offices .....	42,500	46,500	46,500	46,500	46,500	+ 4,000			
Tribal grants .....	7,000	8,000	8,000	8,000	8,000	+ 1,000			
Save America's Treasures .....	20,000	20,000	31,000	20,000	20,000				- 11,000
Preserve America .....		3,175	6,175				- 3,175		- 6,175
Emergency appropriations (Public Law 111-5) .....	15,000					- 15,000			
<b>Total (gross) .....</b>	<b>84,500</b>	<b>77,675</b>	<b>91,675</b>	<b>74,500</b>	<b>74,500</b>	<b>- 10,000</b>	<b>- 3,175</b>		<b>- 17,175</b>
Cancellation of prior-year balances .....	- 516					+ 516			
Rescissions .....									
<b>Total, Historic Preservation Fund .....</b>	<b>83,984</b>	<b>77,675</b>	<b>91,675</b>	<b>74,500</b>	<b>74,500</b>	<b>- 9,484</b>	<b>- 3,175</b>		<b>- 17,175</b>
Non-emergency .....	(69,500)	(77,675)	(91,675)	(74,500)	(74,500)	(+ 5,000)	(- 3,175)		(- 17,175)
Emergency .....	(15,000)					(- 15,000)			
General Program:									
Construction									
Line item construction and maintenance .....	149,223	116,825	132,163	130,250	130,250	- 18,973	+ 13,425		- 1,913
Emergency and unscheduled .....	2,975	3,975	3,975	3,975	3,975	+ 1,000			
Housing .....	6,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	- 1,000			
Dam safety .....	2,500	2,500	2,500	2,500	2,500				
Equipment replacement .....	14,516	14,516	14,516	14,516	14,516				
Planning, construction .....	10,100	10,117	10,117	10,117	10,117	+ 17			
Construction program management .....	34,552	38,535	38,397	38,535	38,535	+ 3,983			+ 138
General management plans .....	13,292	14,523	14,523	14,838	14,838	+ 1,546	+ 315		+ 315

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR FISCAL YEAR 2009 AND BUDGET ESTIMATES AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR FISCAL YEAR 2010—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Item	2009 appropriation	Budget estimate	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Senate Committee recommendation compared with		
					2009 appropriation	Budget estimate	House allowance
Use of Prior Year balances .....			- 7,500				+ 7,500
Subtotal .....	233,158	205,991	215,691	219,731	- 13,427	+ 13,740	+ 6,040
Emergency appropriations (Public Law 111-5) .....	589,000				- 589,000		
Cancellation of Federal infrastructure improvement balances .....	- 637				+ 637		
Total, Construction .....	821,521	205,991	213,691	219,731	- 601,790	+ 13,740	+ 6,040
Non-emergency .....	(233,158)	(205,991)	(213,691)	(219,731)	(- 13,427)	(+ 13,740)	(+ 6,040)
Emergency .....	(589,000)				(- 589,000)		
Land and Water Conservation Fund (rescission of contract authority) .....	- 30,000	- 30,000	- 30,000	- 30,000			
Land Acquisition and State Assistance .....							
Assistance to States:							
State conservation grants .....	19,000	27,200	37,200	32,000	+ 13,000	+ 4,800	- 5,200
Administrative expenses .....	1,000	2,800	2,800	3,000	+ 2,000	+ 200	+ 200
Subtotal .....	20,000	30,000	40,000	35,000	+ 15,000	+ 5,000	- 5,000
Cancellation of prior-year State assistance balances .....	- 1,000				+ 1,000		
Subtotal (including cancellation of funds) .....	19,000	30,000	40,000	35,000	+ 16,000	+ 5,000	- 5,000
National Park Service:							
Acquisitions .....	30,940	49,527	55,749	64,586	+ 33,646	+ 15,059	+ 8,837
Emergencies and hardships .....	2,500	3,000	3,000	3,000	+ 500		
Acquisition management .....	9,250	9,473	9,473	10,000	+ 750	+ 527	+ 527
Inholdings .....	2,500	6,000	5,000	6,000	+ 3,500		+ 1,000
Subtotal .....	45,190	68,000	73,222	83,586	+ 38,396	+ 15,586	+ 10,364



Total, Land Acquisition and State Assistance .....	64,190	98,000	113,222	118,586	+ 54,396	+ 20,586	+ 5,364
Urban Parks and Recreation .....	- 1,300				+ 1,300		
Cancellation of prior-year balances .....							
TOTAL, NATIONAL PARK SERVICE .....	3,275,608	2,696,590	2,733,658	2,711,564	- 564,044	+ 14,974	- 22,094
Non-emergency .....	(2,525,608)	(2,696,590)	(2,733,658)	(2,711,564)	(+ 185,956)	(+ 14,974)	(- 22,094)
Emergency appropriations .....	(750,000)				(- 750,000)		
UNITED STATES GEOLOGICAL SURVEY .....							
Surveys, Investigations, and Research .....							
Geographic Research, Investigations, & Remote Sensing: .....							
Land remote sensing .....	61,718	62,057	63,707	62,057	+ 339		- 1,650
Geographic analysis and monitoring .....	10,598	11,135	11,135	11,135	+ 537		
National geospatial program .....	69,816	70,748	70,748	70,748	+ 932		
Subtotal .....	142,132	143,940	145,590	143,940	+ 1,808		- 1,650
Geologic Hazards, Resource and Processes: .....							
Geologic hazards assessments .....	90,585	91,263	92,513	91,513	+ 928	+ 250	- 1,000
Geologic landscape and coastal assessments .....	72,381	74,351	74,351	74,351	+ 1,970		
Geologic resource assessments .....	79,176	81,367	81,367	82,017	+ 2,841	+ 650	+ 650
Subtotal .....	242,142	246,981	248,231	247,881	+ 5,739	+ 900	- 350
Water Resources Investigations: .....							
Hydrologic monitoring, assessments and research: .....							
Ground water resources program .....	9,008	8,234	8,814	9,134	+ 126	+ 900	+ 320
National water quality assessment .....	65,056	66,507	66,507	66,507	+ 1,451		
Toxic substances hydrology .....	10,767	11,084	11,084	11,084	+ 317		
Hydrologic research and development .....	13,421	12,222	13,422	13,122	- 299	+ 900	- 300
National streamflow information program .....	22,406	21,732	21,732	21,732	+ 5,326		
Hydrologic networks and analysis .....	30,128	30,041	30,041	31,387	+ 1,259	+ 1,346	+ 1,346
Subtotal .....	150,786	155,820	157,600	158,966	+ 8,180	+ 3,146	+ 1,366
Federal-State program .....	64,078	65,561	65,561	65,561	+ 1,483		
Water resources research institutes .....	6,500	6,500	6,500	6,500			
Subtotal, Water Resources Investigations .....	221,364	227,881	229,661	231,027	+ 9,663	+ 3,146	+ 1,366

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR FISCAL YEAR 2009 AND BUDGET ESTIMATES AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR FISCAL YEAR 2010—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Item	2009 appropriation	Budget estimate	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Senate Committee recommendation compared with		
					2009 appropriation	Budget estimate	House allowance
<b>Biological Research:</b>							
Biological research and monitoring .....	146,416	157,765	158,985	160,465	+14,049	+2,700	+1,480
Biological information management and delivery .....	21,965	22,196	24,196	22,946	+981	+750	-1,250
Cooperative research units .....	16,949	19,313	19,313	19,313	+2,364		
Subtotal .....	185,330	199,274	202,494	202,724	+17,394	+3,450	+230
<b>Enterprise Information:</b>							
Enterprise information security and technology .....	25,176	26,263	26,263	26,263	+1,087		
Enterprise information resources .....	17,478	19,706	19,706	18,706	+1,228	-1,000	-1,000
Subtotal .....	42,654	45,969	45,969	44,969	+2,315	-1,000	-1,000
<b>Science support</b> .....	67,430	69,225	69,225	69,225	+1,795		
Facilities .....	102,123	106,397	106,397	106,397	+4,274		
Global climate change research .....	40,628	58,177	58,177	58,177	+17,549		
(National Global Warming & Wildlife Science Center) .....	(10,000)	(15,000)	(15,000)	(15,000)	(+5,000)		
(Climate Change Science, total program) .....	(45,452)	(67,452)	(67,452)	(67,452)	(+22,000)		
Emergency appropriations (Public Law 111-5) .....	140,000				-140,000		
<b>TOTAL UNITED STATES GEOLOGICAL SURVEY</b> .....	1,183,803	1,097,844	1,105,744	1,104,340	-79,463	+6,496	-1,404
Non-emergency .....	(1,043,803)	(1,097,844)	(1,105,744)	(1,104,340)	(+60,537)	(+6,496)	(-1,404)
Emergency .....	(140,000)				(-140,000)		
<b>MINERALS MANAGEMENT SERVICE</b>							
Royalty and Offshore Minerals Management							
Offshore Energy and Minerals Management:							
Renewable energy .....	54,963	21,413	21,413	21,413	+21,413		
Leasing and environmental program .....	33,698	59,461	59,461	59,461	+4,498		
Resource evaluation .....		34,385	34,385	35,285	+1,587	+900	+900

Regulatory program .....	57,268	60,261	60,261	60,261	+ 2,993	.....	.....
Information management program .....	20,270	20,454	20,454	20,454	+ 184	.....	.....
Subtotal .....	166,199	195,974	195,974	196,874	+ 30,675	+ 900	+ 900
Royalty Management:							
Compliance and asset management .....	47,965	50,940	50,940	50,940	+ 2,975	.....	.....
Revenue and operations .....	38,719	38,434	38,434	38,434	- 285	.....	.....
Subtotal .....	86,684	89,374	89,374	89,374	+ 2,690	.....	.....
General Administration:							
Executive direction .....	2,741	2,818	2,818	2,818	+ 77	.....	.....
Policy and management improvement .....	4,236	4,328	4,328	4,328	+ 92	.....	.....
Administrative operations .....	17,654	20,029	20,029	20,029	+ 2,375	.....	.....
General support services .....	26,589	28,524	28,524	28,524	+ 1,935	.....	.....
Subtotal .....	51,220	55,699	55,699	55,699	+ 4,479	.....	.....
Total (gross) .....	304,103	341,047	341,047	341,947	+ 37,844	+ 900	+ 900
Subtotal (gross) .....	304,103	341,047	341,047	341,947	+ 37,844	+ 900	+ 900
Use of receipts and cost recovery fees .....	- 146,730	- 166,730	- 166,730	- 166,730	- 20,000	.....	.....
Total, Royalty and Offshore Minerals Management. ....	157,373	174,317	174,317	175,217	+ 17,844	+ 900	+ 900
Oil Spill Research							
Oil spill research .....	6,303	6,303	6,303	6,303	.....	.....	.....
Total, Minerals Management Service							
Administrative Provisions							
Total, Minerals Management Service	163,676	180,620	180,620	181,520	+ 17,844	+ 900	+ 900
State royalty administrative cost deduction .....	- 47,000	.....	- 49,000	- 45,000	+ 2,000	- 45,000	+ 4,000
TOTAL, MINERALS MANAGEMENT SERVICE .....	116,676	180,620	131,620	136,520	+ 19,844	- 44,100	+ 4,900
OFFICE OF SURFACE MINING RECLAMATION AND ENFORCEMENT							
Regulation and Technology							
Environmental restoration .....	159	160	160	160	+ 1	.....	.....

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR FISCAL YEAR 2009 AND BUDGET ESTIMATES AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR FISCAL YEAR 2010—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Item	2009 appropriation	Budget estimate	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Senate Committee recommendation compared with (+ or -)		
					2009 appropriation	Budget estimate	House allowance
Environmental protection .....	88,425	94,771	94,771	94,771	+ 6,346	.....	.....
Technology development and transfer .....	15,386	15,663	15,663	15,663	+ 277	.....	.....
Financial management .....	510	516	516	516	+ 6	.....	.....
Executive direction .....	15,676	16,070	16,070	16,070	+ 394	.....	.....
Subtotal .....	120,156	127,180	127,180	127,180	+ 7,024	.....	.....
Civil penalties .....	100	100	100	100	.....	.....	.....
Total, Regulation and Technology .....	120,256	127,280	127,280	127,280	+ 7,024	.....	.....
Abandoned Mine Reclamation Fund							
Environmental restoration .....	34,123	12,864	12,864	20,364	- 13,759	+ 7,500	+ 7,500
Technology development and transfer .....	3,970	4,032	4,032	4,032	+ 62	.....	.....
Financial management .....	6,836	6,961	6,961	6,961	+ 125	.....	.....
Executive direction .....	8,017	8,231	8,231	8,231	+ 214	.....	.....
Subtotal .....	52,946	32,088	32,088	39,588	- 13,358	+ 7,500	+ 7,500
Rescission of prior year balances .....	- 8,500	.....	.....	.....	+ 8,500	.....	.....
Total, Abandoned Mine Reclamation Fund .....	44,446	32,088	32,088	39,588	- 4,858	+ 7,500	+ 7,500
TOTAL, OFFICE OF SURFACE MINING RECLAMATION AND ENFORCEMENT .....	164,702	159,368	159,368	166,868	+ 2,166	+ 7,500	+ 7,500

BUREAU OF INDIAN AFFAIRS											
Operation of Indian Programs											
Tribal Budget System											
<b>Tribal Government:</b>											
Aid to Tribal government .....	33,195	33,195	33,195	33,195	-401						
Consolidated Tribal government program .....	68,933	71,659	71,659	71,659	+2,726						
Self governance compacts .....	144,397	147,762	147,762	147,762	+3,365						
Contract support .....	147,294	152,794	159,084	154,794	+7,500				+2,000		-4,290
Indian self determination fund .....		2,000	2,000	2,000	+2,000						
New Tribes .....	311	311	311	311							
Tribal government program oversight .....	8,000	8,851	8,851	8,851	+851						
<b>Subtotal</b> .....	<b>402,531</b>	<b>416,572</b>	<b>422,862</b>	<b>418,572</b>	<b>+16,041</b>				<b>+2,000</b>		<b>-4,290</b>
<b>Human Services:</b>											
Social services .....	33,538	33,766	33,766	33,766	+228						
Welfare assistance .....	74,915	74,915	74,915	74,915							
Indian child welfare act .....	10,798	11,143	11,143	11,143	+345						
Housing improvement program .....	13,614	12,620	12,620	13,683	+69				+1,063		+1,063
Human services Tribal design .....	444	455	455	455	+11						
Human services program oversight .....	4,139	4,097	4,097	4,097	-42						
<b>Subtotal</b> .....	<b>137,448</b>	<b>136,996</b>	<b>136,996</b>	<b>138,059</b>	<b>+611</b>				<b>+1,063</b>		<b>+1,063</b>
<b>Trust—Natural Resources Management:</b>											
Natural resources, general .....	4,454	4,641	4,641	4,641	+187						
Irrigation operations and maintenance .....	11,922	11,970	11,970	11,970	+48						
Rights protection implementation .....	18,250	18,451	30,451	18,451	+201						-12,000
Tribal management/development program .....	5,679	4,786	4,786	5,636	-43				+850		+850
Endangered species .....	1,234	1,249	1,249	1,249	+15						
Integrated resource information program .....	2,130	2,130	2,130	2,130							
Agriculture and range .....	24,363	28,912	28,912	28,912	+4,549						
Forestry .....	43,203	43,854	43,854	43,854	+651						
Water resources .....	10,018	10,084	10,084	10,084	+66						
Fish, wildlife and parks .....	7,429	9,410	11,410	9,410	+1,981						-2,000
Minerals and mining .....	12,474	18,622	18,622	18,622	+6,148						
Resource management program oversight .....	6,554	6,659	6,659	6,659	+105						
<b>Subtotal</b> .....	<b>147,710</b>	<b>160,768</b>	<b>174,768</b>	<b>161,618</b>	<b>+13,908</b>				<b>+850</b>		<b>-13,150</b>

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR FISCAL YEAR 2009 AND BUDGET ESTIMATES AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR FISCAL YEAR 2010—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Item	2009 appropriation	Budget estimate	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Senate Committee recommendation compared with		
					2009 appropriation	Budget estimate	House allowance
Trust—Real Estate Services .....	150,087	152,493	152,493	152,493	+ 2,406	.....	.....
Subtotal, Trust—Real Estate Services .....	150,087	152,493	152,493	152,493	+ 2,406	.....	.....
Education:							
Elementary and secondary programs (forward funded) .....	499,470	516,702	518,702	516,702	+ 17,232	.....	- 2,000
Post secondary programs (forward funded) .....	.....	50,000	50,000	50,000	+ 50,000	.....	.....
Subtotal, forward funded education .....	499,470	566,702	568,702	566,702	+ 67,232	.....	- 2,000
Elementary and secondary programs .....	75,126	77,379	77,379	77,379	+ 2,253	.....	.....
Post secondary programs .....	115,272	125,691	123,691	127,291	+ 12,019	.....	+ 3,600
Education management .....	26,285	26,528	26,528	26,528	+ 243	.....	.....
Subtotal, Education .....	716,153	796,300	796,300	797,900	+ 81,747	.....	+ 1,600
Public Safety and Justice:							
Law enforcement .....	255,077	283,152	283,152	303,152	+ 48,075	.....	+ 20,000
Tribal courts .....	14,508	19,704	19,704	24,704	+ 10,196	.....	+ 5,000
Fire protection .....	1,200	999	999	999	- 201	.....	.....
Subtotal .....	270,785	303,855	303,855	328,855	+ 58,070	.....	+ 25,000
Community and Economic Development:							
Job placement and training .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Economic development .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Road maintenance .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Community development oversight .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Community and Economic Development .....	43,589	43,910	44,910	43,910	+ 321	.....	- 1,000
Subtotal, Community and Economic Development .....	43,589	43,910	44,910	43,910	+ 321	.....	- 1,000

Executive Direction and Administrative Services .....	260,327	267,915	267,915	267,915	+ 7,588	.....	.....
Emergency appropriations (Public Law 111-5) .....	40,000	.....	.....	.....	- 40,000	.....	.....
<b>Total, Operation of Indian Programs .....</b>	<b>2,168,630</b>	<b>2,278,809</b>	<b>2,300,099</b>	<b>2,309,322</b>	<b>+ 140,692</b>	<b>+ 30,513</b>	<b>+ 9,223</b>
Non-emergency .....	(2,128,630)	(2,278,809)	(2,300,099)	(2,309,322)	(+ 180,692)	(+ 30,513)	(+ 9,223)
Emergency .....	(40,000)	.....	.....	.....	(- 40,000)	.....	.....
<b>Construction</b>							
Education .....	128,837	112,994	112,994	112,994	- 15,843	.....	.....
Public safety and justice .....	39,399	39,407	39,407	64,407	+ 25,008	+ 25,000	+ 25,000
Resources management .....	40,306	38,385	38,385	38,385	- 1,921	.....	.....
General administration .....	2,060	2,064	2,064	2,064	+ 4	.....	.....
Construction management .....	7,086	7,150	7,150	7,150	+ 64	.....	.....
Emergency appropriations (Public Law 111-5) .....	450,000	.....	.....	.....	- 450,000	.....	.....
<b>Total, Construction .....</b>	<b>667,688</b>	<b>200,000</b>	<b>200,000</b>	<b>225,000</b>	<b>- 442,688</b>	<b>+ 25,000</b>	<b>+ 25,000</b>
Non-emergency .....	(217,688)	(200,000)	(200,000)	(225,000)	(+ 7,312)	(+ 25,000)	(+ 25,000)
Emergency .....	(450,000)	.....	.....	.....	(- 450,000)	.....	.....
<b>Indian Land and Water Claim Settlements and Miscellaneous Payments to Indians</b>							
White Earth Land Settlement Act (Admin) .....	625	625	625	625	.....	.....	.....
Hoopa-Yurok settlement fund .....	250	250	250	250	.....	.....	.....
Pyramid Lake water rights settlement .....	142	142	142	142	.....	.....	.....
Nez Perce/Snake River .....	15,210	15,463	15,463	15,463	+ 253	.....	.....
Navajo Water Resources Development Trust Fund .....	.....	6,000	6,000	6,000	+ 6,000	.....	.....
Duck Valley Water Rights Settlement .....	.....	12,000	12,000	12,000	+ 12,000	.....	.....
Puget Sound regional shellfish settlement .....	3,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	+ 2,000	.....	.....
Pueblo of Isleta settlement .....	2,400	2,400	2,400	2,400	.....	.....	.....
Soboba Band/Luiseno Indian Settlement .....	.....	5,500	5,500	5,500	+ 5,500	.....	.....
<b>Total, Miscellaneous Payments to Indians .....</b>	<b>21,627</b>	<b>47,380</b>	<b>47,380</b>	<b>47,380</b>	<b>+ 25,753</b>	.....	.....
Indian Guaranteed Loan Program Account .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Indian guaranteed loan program account .....	8,186	8,215	8,215	8,215	+ 29	.....	.....
Emergency appropriations (Public Law 111-5) .....	10,000	.....	.....	.....	- 10,000	.....	.....
<b>Subtotal .....</b>	<b>18,186</b>	<b>8,215</b>	<b>8,215</b>	<b>8,215</b>	<b>- 9,971</b>	.....	.....

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR FISCAL YEAR 2009 AND BUDGET ESTIMATES AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR FISCAL YEAR 2010—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Item	2009 appropriation	Budget estimate	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Senate Committee recommendation compared with		
					2009 appropriation	Budget estimate	House allowance
Indian Land Consolidation Account							
Indian land consolidation account .....		3,000	3,000	3,000	+ 3,000		
TOTAL BUREAU OF INDIAN AFFAIRS .....	2,876,131	2,537,404	2,558,694	2,592,917	- 283,214	+ 55,513	+ 34,223
Non-emergency .....	(2,376,131)	(2,537,404)	(2,558,694)	(2,592,917)	(+ 216,786)	(+ 55,513)	(+ 34,223)
Emergency .....	(500,000)				(- 500,000)		
DEPARTMENTAL OFFICES							
Office of the Secretary							
Departmental direction .....	14,292	14,678	14,678	14,678	+ 386		
Management and coordination .....	32,526	33,503	33,503	33,503	+ 977		
Hearings and appeals .....	7,658	7,868	7,868	7,868	+ 210		
Central services .....	41,976	47,851	47,851	47,851	+ 5,875		
Bureau of Mines workers compensation/unemployment .....	623	599	599	599	- 24		
Indian Arts and Crafts Board .....	1,177	1,201	1,201	1,201	+ 24		
Appraisal services .....	8,012	12,136	12,136	12,136	+ 4,124		
National Museum of American Latino Commission .....	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000			
Total (gross) .....	107,264	118,836	118,836	118,836	+ 11,572		
Federal Subsistence Management Account (cancellation of balances) .....	- 108				+ 108		
Total, Office of the Secretary .....	107,156	118,836	118,836	118,836	+ 11,680		
Insular Affairs							
Assistance to Territories							
Territorial Assistance:							
Office of Insular Affairs .....	8,850	9,280	9,280	9,280	+ 430		



Technical assistance .....	11,018	11,000	14,102	11,018	.....	+ 18	- 3,084
Maintenance assistance fund .....	2,241	2,241	2,241	2,241	.....	.....	.....
Brown tree snake .....	2,631	2,631	3,000	2,631	.....	.....	- 369
Insular management controls .....	1,453	1,453	.....	1,453	.....	.....	+ 1,453
Coral reef initiative .....	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	.....	.....	.....
Water and wastewater projects .....	1,000	1,000	1,900	1,000	.....	.....	- 900
Guam infrastructure .....	1,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	+ 2,000	.....	.....
Subtotal, Territorial Assistance .....	28,193	30,605	33,523	30,623	+ 2,430	+ 18	- 2,900
American Samoa:							
Operations grants .....	22,752	22,752	22,752	22,752	.....	.....	.....
Northern Marianas:							
Covenant grants .....	27,720	27,720	27,720	27,720	.....	.....	.....
Subtotal, discretionary (mandatory) .....	22,752 (27,720)	22,752 (27,720)	22,752 (27,720)	22,752 (27,720)	.....	.....	.....
Total, Assistance to Territories .....	78,665	81,077	83,995	81,095	+ 2,430	+ 18	- 2,900
Compact of Free Association							
Compact of Free Association—Federal services .....	2,818	2,818	2,818	2,818	.....	.....	.....
Mandatory payments—program grant assistance .....	2,000	2,000	.....	.....	- 2,000	- 2,000	.....
Discretionary payments—program grant assistance .....	.....	.....	2,000	2,000	+ 2,000	+ 2,000	.....
Enewetak support .....	500	500	500	500	.....	.....	.....
Total, Compact of Free Association .....	5,318	5,318	5,318	5,318	.....	.....	- 2,000
Total, Insular Affairs .....	83,983	86,395	89,313	86,413	+ 2,430	+ 18	- 4,900
Office of the Solicitor							
Legal services .....	45,938	47,255	47,255	47,255	+ 1,317	.....	.....
General administration .....	14,966	16,635	16,635	16,635	+ 1,669	.....	.....
Ethics .....	1,146	1,186	1,186	1,186	+ 40	.....	.....
Total, Office of the Solicitor .....	62,050	65,076	65,076	65,076	+ 3,026	.....	.....
Office of Inspector General							
Audit and investigations .....	36,773	38,866	38,866	38,866	+ 2,093	.....	.....
Administrative services and information management .....	9,180	9,724	9,724	9,724	+ 544	.....	.....

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR FISCAL YEAR 2009 AND BUDGET ESTIMATES AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL  
FOR FISCAL YEAR 2010—Continued

(In thousands of dollars)

Item	2009 appropriation	Budget estimate	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Senate Committee recommendation compared with		
					2009 appropriation	Budget estimate	House allowance
Emergency appropriations (Public Law 111-5)	15,000				-15,000		
Total, Office of Inspector General	60,953	48,590	48,590	48,590	-12,363		
Non-emergency	(45,953)	(48,590)	(48,590)	(48,590)	(-2,637)		
Emergency	(15,000)				(-15,000)		
Office of Special Trustee for American Indians <sup>1</sup>							
Federal Trust Programs							
Program operations, support, and improvements	179,485	183,728	183,728	183,728	+4,243		
(Office of Historical Accounting)	(56,445)	(56,536)	(56,536)	(56,536)	(+91)		
Executive direction	2,163	2,256	2,256	2,256	+93		
Total, Federal Trust programs	181,648	185,984	185,984	185,984	+4,336		
Total, Office of Special Trustee for American Indians	181,648	185,984	185,984	185,984	+4,336		
TOTAL, DEPARTMENTAL OFFICES	495,790	504,881	507,799	504,899	+9,109	+18	-2,900
Non-emergency	(480,898)	(504,881)	(507,799)	(504,899)	(+24,001)	(+18)	(-2,900)
Emergency	(15,000)				(-15,000)		
DEPARTMENT-WIDE PROGRAMS							
Wildland Fire Management							
Fire Operations:							
Preparedness	281,767	285,452	290,452	289,192	+7,425	+3,740	-1,260
Fire suppression operations	335,191	369,797	369,797	369,797	+34,606		
Wildland fire suppression contingency reserve fund		75,000	75,000	75,000	+75,000		
Subtotal, Fire Operations	616,958	730,249	735,249	733,989	+117,031	+3,740	-1,260



COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR FISCAL YEAR 2009 AND BUDGET ESTIMATES AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR FISCAL YEAR 2010—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Item	2009 appropriation	Budget estimate	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Senate Committee recommendation compared with		
					2009 appropriation	Budget estimate	House allowance
TITLE II—ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY							
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY							
Science and Technology							
Air toxics and quality .....	105,132	122,256	122,256	122,256			
(EISA/Renewable Fuels Rule) .....	(8,000)	(21,327)	(21,300)	(21,327)			(+ 27)
Climate protection program .....	16,828	18,975	20,575	18,975			- 1,600
Enforcement .....	15,087	15,946	15,946	15,946			
Homeland security .....	63,718	71,332	66,332	66,332			
(Water Security Initiative) .....	(14,982)	(23,726)	(18,726)	(18,726)			
(Decontamination) .....	(26,407)	(25,430)	(25,430)	(25,430)			
(Laboratory preparedness & response) .....	(494)	(500)	(500)	(500)			
(Safe buildings) .....	(1,976)	(2,000)	(2,000)	(2,000)			
Indoor air .....	1,120	1,157	1,157	1,157			
IT/Data management/Security .....	3,969	4,073	4,073	4,073			
Operations and administration .....	73,835	72,882	72,882	72,882			
(Rent) .....	(34,521)	(33,947)	(33,947)	(33,947)			
(Utilities) .....	(18,547)	(19,177)	(19,177)	(19,177)			
(Security) .....	(11,989)	(10,260)	(10,260)	(10,260)			
Pesticide licensing .....	5,671	6,463	6,463	6,463			
Research: Clean air .....	98,427	104,073	104,073	104,073			
(Research: Global change) .....	(17,886)	(20,909)	(20,909)	(20,909)			
Research: Clean water .....	106,164	110,363	110,363	110,363			
Research: Priorities .....	5,450	5,700	5,700	5,450			
(Research: Human health and ecosystems) .....	229,403	245,381	250,381	245,381			- 250
(Research: Computational toxicology) .....	(15,156)	(19,602)	(19,602)	(19,602)			- 5,000
(Research: Endocrine disruptor) .....	(11,486)	(11,442)	(11,442)	(11,442)			
(Research: Fellowship) .....	(9,651)	(10,894)	(10,894)	(10,894)			
Research: Land protection .....	13,586	13,782	13,782	13,782			
					2009 appropriation	Budget estimate	House allowance
					+ 17,124		
					(+ 13,327)		
					+ 2,147		
					+ 859		
					+ 2,614		
					(+ 3,744)		
					(- 977)		
					(+ 6)		
					(+ 24)		
					+ 37		
					+ 104		
					- 953		
					(- 574)		
					(+ 630)		
					(- 1,729)		
					+ 792		
					+ 5,646		
					(+ 3,023)		
					+ 4,199		
						+ 5,450	
					+ 15,978		
					(+ 4,446)		
					(- 44)		
					(+ 1,243)		
					+ 196		

Research: Sustainability .....	21,157	24,107	24,107	24,107	24,107	+ 2,950	.....	.....	.....
Research: Pesticides and toxics .....	26,949	27,839	27,839	27,839	27,839	+ 890	.....	.....	.....
Water: Human health protection .....	3,555	3,720	3,720	3,720	3,720	+ 165	.....	.....	.....
(Transfer from Superfund) .....	(26,417)	(26,834)	(26,834)	(26,834)	(26,834)	(+ 417)	.....	.....	.....
<b>Total, Science and Technology .....</b>	<b>790,051</b>	<b>842,349</b>	<b>849,649</b>	<b>842,799</b>	<b>842,799</b>	<b>+ 52,748</b>	<b>+ 450</b>	<b>- 6,850</b>	<b>.....</b>
<b>Environmental Programs and Management</b>									
Air toxics and quality .....	195,151	203,265	203,265	203,265	203,265	+ 8,114	.....	.....	.....
Brownfields .....	22,957	25,254	24,579	23,904	23,904	+ 947	- 1,350	- 675	.....
Climate protection program .....	94,271	111,634	111,634	111,634	111,634	+ 17,363	.....	.....	.....
(Energy star) .....	(49,735)	(50,748)	(50,748)	(50,748)	(50,748)	(+ 1,013)	.....	.....	.....
(Methane to markets) .....	(4,498)	(4,582)	(4,582)	(4,582)	(4,582)	(+ 84)	.....	.....	.....
(Greenhouse gas reporting registry) .....	(6,388)	(17,005)	(17,005)	(17,005)	(17,005)	(+ 10,617)	.....	.....	.....
Compliance .....	128,826	136,631	134,631	136,631	136,631	+ 7,805	.....	+ 2,000	.....
Enforcement .....	209,157	223,943	223,943	223,943	223,943	+ 14,786	.....	.....	.....
(Environmental justice) .....	(6,993)	(7,203)	(7,203)	(7,203)	(7,203)	(+ 210)	.....	.....	.....
Environmental protection: Priorities .....	17,450	.....	16,950	16,000	16,000	- 1,450	+ 16,000	- 950	.....
<b>Geographic programs:</b>									
Great Lakes Restoration Initiative .....	.....	475,000	475,000	400,000	400,000	+ 400,000	- 75,000	- 75,000	.....
Chesapeake Bay .....	31,001	35,139	50,000	35,139	35,139	+ 4,138	.....	- 14,861	.....
Great Lakes <sup>2</sup> .....	23,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	- 23,000	.....	.....	.....
San Francisco Bay .....	5,000	5,000	15,000	6,000	6,000	+ 1,000	+ 1,000	- 9,000	.....
Puget Sound .....	20,000	20,000	50,000	20,000	20,000	.....	.....	- 30,000	.....
Long Island Sound .....	3,000	3,000	15,000	3,000	3,000	.....	.....	- 12,000	.....
Gulf of Mexico .....	4,578	4,638	10,000	4,638	4,638	+ 60	.....	- 5,362	.....
Lake Champlain .....	3,000	1,434	5,000	3,000	3,000	.....	+ 1,566	- 2,000	.....
Lake Pontchartrain .....	978	978	3,000	978	978	.....	.....	- 2,022	.....
CARE (Community Action for a Renewed Environment) .....	2,000	2,448	2,448	2,448	2,448	+ 448	.....	.....	.....
Other geographic activities .....	3,402	3,493	3,493	3,493	3,493	+ 91	.....	.....	.....
<b>Subtotal .....</b>	<b>95,959</b>	<b>551,130</b>	<b>628,941</b>	<b>478,696</b>	<b>478,696</b>	<b>+ 382,737</b>	<b>- 72,434</b>	<b>- 150,245</b>	<b>.....</b>
Homeland security .....	23,406	23,901	23,901	23,901	23,901	+ 495	.....	.....	.....
(Decontamination) .....	(3,378)	(3,542)	(3,443)	(3,542)	(3,542)	(+ 164)	.....	(+ 99)	.....
Indoor air .....	25,895	26,649	26,649	26,649	26,649	+ 754	.....	.....	.....
Information exchange/Outreach .....	126,343	131,825	131,472	131,825	131,825	+ 5,482	.....	+ 353	.....
(Children and other sensitive populations Agency coordination) .....	(6,071)	(6,071)	(7,015)	(6,515)	(6,515)	(+ 444)	.....	(- 500)	.....
(Environmental education) .....	(8,979)	(9,038)	(10,038)	(9,038)	(9,038)	(+ 59)	.....	(- 1,000)	.....
International programs .....	19,664	20,349	20,349	20,349	20,349	+ 685	.....	.....	.....

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR FISCAL YEAR 2009 AND BUDGET ESTIMATES AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR FISCAL YEAR 2010—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Item	2009 appropriation	Budget estimate	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Senate Committee recommendation compared with		
					2009 appropriation	Budget estimate	House allowance
(Mexico Border)		(5,047)	(5,047)	(5,047)	(-514)		
IT/Data management/Security	99,025	109,320	104,320	109,320	+10,295	+5,000	+5,000
Legal/Science/Regulatory/Economic review	118,123	128,231	123,788	126,231	+8,108	+2,443	+2,443
Operations and administration	479,197	511,895	501,895	509,895	+30,698	+8,000	+8,000
(Rent)	(160,366)	(162,040)	(157,040)	(162,040)	(+1,674)	(+5,000)	(+5,000)
(Utilities)	(10,973)	(13,514)	(13,514)	(13,514)	(+2,541)		
(Security)	(25,676)	(27,997)	(27,997)	(27,997)	(+2,321)		
Pesticide licensing	116,061	119,187	119,187	119,187	+3,126		
Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA)	116,891	122,131	122,131	122,131	+5,240		
Toxics risk review and prevention	93,259	102,903	102,903	102,903	+9,644		
(HPV/VCCPEP)	(12,531)	(20,555)		(20,555)	(+8,024)		
(Endocrine disruptors)	(8,498)	(8,659)	(8,659)	(8,659)	(+161)		
Underground storage tanks (LUST/UST)	11,946	12,451	12,451	12,451	+505		
Water: Ecosystems.							
Great Lakes Legacy Act <sup>2</sup>	37,000				-37,000		
National estuary program/Coastal waterways	26,557	26,967	38,167	26,967	+410		-11,200
Wetlands	22,539	23,336	23,336	23,336	+797		
Subtotal	86,096	50,303	61,503	50,303	-35,793		-11,200
Water: Human health protection	101,585	105,726	105,726	105,726	+4,141		
Water quality protection	210,817	223,836	221,836	223,836	+13,019		+2,000
Subtotal, Environmental Programs and Management	2,392,079	2,940,564	3,022,054	2,878,780	+486,701		-143,274
Total, Environmental Programs and Management	2,392,079	2,940,564	3,022,054	2,878,780	+486,701		-143,274
Office of Inspector General							
Audits, evaluations, and investigations	44,791	44,791	44,791	44,791			
Emergency appropriations (Public Law 111-5)	20,000				-20,000		
(Transfer from Superfund)	(9,975)	(9,975)	(9,975)	(9,975)			



COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR FISCAL YEAR 2009 AND BUDGET ESTIMATES AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR FISCAL YEAR 2010—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Item	2009 appropriation	Budget estimate	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Senate Committee recommendation compared with		
					2009 appropriation	Budget estimate	House allowance
Subtotal .....	847,358	856,412	856,412	856,412	+ 9,054	.....	.....
Emergency appropriations (Public Law 111-5) .....	600,000	.....	.....	.....	-600,000	.....	.....
Total, Hazardous Substance Superfund .....	1,885,024	1,308,541	1,306,541	1,308,541	-576,483	.....	+ 2,000
Non-emergency .....	(1,285,024)	(1,308,541)	(1,306,541)	(1,308,541)	(+ 23,517)	.....	(+ 2,000)
Emergency .....	(600,000)	.....	.....	.....	(-600,000)	.....	.....
(Superfund transfer to Inspector General) .....	(-9,975)	(-9,975)	(-9,975)	(-9,975)	.....	.....	.....
(Superfund transfer to Science and Technology) .....	(-26,417)	(-26,834)	(-26,834)	(-26,834)	(-417)	.....	.....
Leaking Underground Storage Tank Trust Fund (LUST)							
Compliance .....	817	788	788	788	-29	.....	.....
IT/Data management/Security .....	162	162	162	162	.....	.....	.....
Operations and administration .....	2,057	2,190	2,190	2,190	+ 133	.....	.....
(Rent) .....	(696)	(696)	(696)	(696)	.....	.....	.....
Research: Land protection .....	475	484	484	484	+ 9	.....	.....
Underground storage tanks (LUST/UST) .....	109,066	109,477	109,477	110,547	+ 1,481	.....	+ 1,070
(LUST/UST) .....	(11,105)	(11,855)	(11,855)	(11,855)	(+ 750)	.....	.....
(LUST Cooperative agreements) .....	(62,461)	(63,192)	(63,192)	(63,192)	(+ 731)	.....	.....
(Energy Policy Act grants) .....	(35,500)	(34,430)	(34,430)	(35,500)	.....	.....	(+ 1,070)
Emergency appropriations (Public Law 111-5) .....	200,000	.....	.....	.....	-200,000	.....	.....
Total, Leaking Underground Storage Tank Trust Fund .....	312,577	113,101	113,101	114,171	-198,406	+ 1,070	+ 1,070
Non-emergency .....	(112,577)	(113,101)	(113,101)	(114,171)	(+ 1,594)	(+ 1,070)	(+ 1,070)
Emergency .....	(200,000)	.....	.....	.....	(-200,000)	.....	.....
Oil Spill Response							
Compliance .....	277	317	317	317	+ 40	.....	.....
Enforcement .....	2,117	2,406	2,406	2,406	+ 289	.....	.....
IT/Data management/Security .....	24	24	24	24	.....	.....	.....



Oil .....	13,953	14,397	14,397	14,397	14,397	14,397	444	.....	.....
Operations and administration .....	596	498	498	498	498	498	-98	.....	.....
(Rent) .....	(538)	(438)	(438)	(438)	(438)	(438)	(-100)	.....	.....
Research: Land protection .....	720	737	737	737	737	737	+17	.....	.....
Total, Oil Spill Response .....	17,687	18,379	18,379	18,379	18,379	18,379	+692	.....	.....
Pesticide registration fund (current law) .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Pesticide registration fees (current law) .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
State and Tribal Assistance Grants (STAG) .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Clean water state revolving fund (SRF) .....	689,080	2,400,000	2,307,000	2,307,000	2,100,000	2,100,000	+1,410,920	-300,000	-207,000
Drinking water state revolving fund (SRF) .....	829,029	1,500,000	1,443,000	1,443,000	1,387,000	1,387,000	+557,971	-113,000	-56,000
STAG infrastructure grants .....	145,000	.....	160,000	160,000	150,000	150,000	+5,000	+150,000	-10,000
Alaska Native villages .....	18,500	10,000	10,000	10,000	15,000	15,000	-3,500	+5,000	+5,000
Brownfields projects .....	97,000	100,000	100,000	100,000	101,000	101,000	+4,000	+1,000	+1,000
Diesel emissions grants (Energy Policy Act) .....	60,000	60,000	60,000	60,000	60,000	60,000	.....	.....	.....
Targeted airshed grants .....	15,000	.....	.....	.....	20,000	20,000	+5,000	+20,000	+20,000
Mexico border .....	20,000	10,000	20,000	20,000	10,000	10,000	-10,000	.....	-10,000
Subtotal, Infrastructure Assistance Grants .....	1,873,609	4,080,000	4,100,000	4,100,000	3,843,000	3,843,000	+1,969,391	-237,000	-257,000
Categorical grants:	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Beaches protection .....	9,900	9,900	9,900	9,900	9,900	9,900	.....	.....	.....
Brownfields .....	49,495	49,495	49,495	49,495	49,495	49,495	.....	.....	.....
Environmental information .....	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	.....	.....	.....
Hazardous waste financial assistance .....	101,346	106,346	103,346	103,346	106,346	106,346	+5,000	.....	+3,000
Homeland security .....	4,950	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	-4,950	.....	.....
Lead .....	13,564	14,564	13,564	13,564	14,564	14,564	+1,000	.....	+1,000
Climate change grants to local governments .....	10,000	.....	10,000	10,000	.....	.....	-10,000	.....	-10,000
Nonpoint source (sec. 319) .....	200,857	200,857	200,857	200,857	200,857	200,857	.....	.....	.....
Pesticides enforcement .....	18,711	18,711	18,711	18,711	18,711	18,711	.....	.....	.....
Pesticides program implementation .....	12,970	13,520	13,520	13,520	13,520	13,520	+550	.....	.....
Pollution control (sec. 106) .....	218,495	229,264	229,264	229,264	229,264	229,264	+10,769	.....	.....
(Water quality monitoring) .....	(18,500)	(18,500)	(18,500)	(18,500)	(18,500)	(18,500)	.....	.....	.....
Pollution prevention .....	4,940	4,940	4,940	4,940	4,940	4,940	.....	.....	.....
Public water system supervision .....	99,100	105,700	105,700	105,700	105,700	105,700	+6,600	.....	.....
Radon .....	8,074	8,074	8,074	8,074	8,074	8,074	.....	.....	.....
Sector program .....	1,828	1,828	1,828	1,828	1,828	1,828	.....	.....	.....
State and local air quality management .....	224,080	226,580	226,580	226,580	226,580	226,580	+2,500	.....	+1,828
Toxic substances compliance .....	5,099	5,099	5,099	5,099	5,099	5,099	.....	.....	.....

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR FISCAL YEAR 2009 AND BUDGET ESTIMATES AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR FISCAL YEAR 2010—Continued  
 (In thousands of dollars)

Item	2009 appropriation	Budget estimate	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Senate Committee recommendation compared with		
					2009 appropriation	Budget estimate	House allowance
Tribal air quality management .....	13,300	13,300	13,300	13,300			
Tribal general assistance program .....	57,925	62,875	62,875	62,875			
Underground injection control (UIC) .....	10,891	10,891	10,891	10,891			
Underground storage tanks .....	2,500	2,500	2,500	2,500			
Wetlands program development .....	16,830	16,830	16,830	16,830			
Subtotal, Categorical grants .....	1,094,855	1,111,274	1,115,446	1,111,274	+ 16,419		- 4,172
Emergency appropriations (Public Law 111-5) .....	6,400,000				- 6,400,000		
Total, State and Tribal Assistance Grants .....	9,368,464	5,191,274	5,215,446	4,954,274	- 4,414,190	- 237,000	- 261,172
Non-emergency .....	(2,968,464)	(5,191,274)	(5,215,446)	(4,954,274)	(+ 1,985,810)	(- 237,000)	(- 261,172)
Emergency .....	(6,400,000)				(- 6,400,000)		
Rescission .....	- 10,000	- 10,000	- 142,000	- 40,000	- 30,000	- 30,000	+ 102,000
TOTAL, TITLE II, ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY .....	14,855,674	10,486,000	10,460,962	10,156,736	- 4,698,938	- 329,264	- 304,226
Appropriations .....	(7,645,674)	(10,496,000)	(10,602,962)	(10,196,736)	(+ 2,551,062)	(- 299,264)	(- 406,226)
Emergency appropriations .....	(7,220,000)				(- 7,220,000)		
Rescissions .....	(- 10,000)	(- 10,000)	(- 142,000)	(- 40,000)	(- 30,000)	(- 30,000)	(+ 102,000)
(Total discretionary excluding emergencies) .....	(7,635,674)	(10,486,000)	(10,460,962)	(10,156,736)	(+ 2,521,062)	(- 329,264)	(- 304,226)
TITLE III—RELATED AGENCIES							
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE							
FOREST SERVICE							
Forest and Rangeland Research							
Forest inventory and analysis .....	60,770	61,939	61,939	66,939	+ 6,169	+ 5,000	+ 5,000
Research and development programs .....	235,610	239,673	246,673	240,073	+ 4,463	+ 400	- 6,600
(Global Climate Change Science) .....	(26,857)	(26,857)	(31,857)	(26,857)			(- 5,000)



COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR FISCAL YEAR 2009 AND BUDGET ESTIMATES AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR FISCAL YEAR 2010—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Item	2009 appropriation	Budget estimate	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Senate Committee recommendation compared with		
					2009 appropriation	Budget estimate	House allowance
Minerals and geology management .....	85,470	86,650	87,830	86,650	+ 1,180	- 1,180	
Landownership management .....	93,299	94,372	96,841	94,372	+ 1,073	- 2,469	
Law enforcement operations .....	135,500	135,047	137,776	145,047	+ 9,547	+ 7,271	
Valles Caldera National Preserve .....	4,000	3,500	3,500	3,500	- 500		
Rescission .....	- 5,000	- 10,000			+ 5,000		
Total, National Forest System .....	1,509,805	1,506,564	1,564,801	1,556,329	+ 46,524	- 8,472	
Capital Improvement and Maintenance							
Facilities:							
Maintenance .....	70,851	86,134	86,134	86,134	+ 15,283		
Construction .....	55,602	44,606	45,081	49,441	- 6,161	+ 4,360	
Subtotal, Facilities .....	126,453	130,740	131,215	135,575	+ 9,122	+ 4,835	
Roads:							
Maintenance .....	162,500	166,885	166,885	166,885	+ 4,385		
Construction .....	66,325	68,115	68,115	69,636	+ 3,311	+ 1,521	
Subtotal, Roads .....	228,825	235,000	235,000	236,521	+ 7,696	+ 1,521	
Trails:							
Maintenance .....	49,100	61,746	63,746	61,846	+ 12,746	- 1,900	
Construction .....	3,1915	20,335	21,535	20,335	- 11,580	- 1,200	
Subtotal, Trails .....	81,015	82,081	85,281	82,181	+ 1,166	- 3,100	
Deferred Maintenance .....	9,100	9,141	9,141	9,141	+ 41		
Legacy road remediation .....	50,000	50,000	100,000	50,000		- 50,000	
Protecting National Forests Initiative .....		50,000				- 50,000	

Subtotal, Capital Improvement and Maintenance .....	495,393	556,962	560,637	513,418	+ 18,025	- 43,544	- 47,219
Subtotal, Capital improvement and maintenance .....	495,393	556,962	560,637	513,418	+ 18,025	- 43,544	- 47,219
Deferral of road and trail fund payment .....	- 13,000	.....	- 18,000	- 18,000	- 5,000	- 18,000	.....
Emergency appropriations (Public Law 111-5) .....	650,000	.....	.....	.....	- 650,000	.....	.....
Total, Capital improvement and maintenance .....	1,132,393	556,962	542,637	495,418	- 636,975	- 61,544	- 47,219
Non-emergency .....	(482,393)	(556,962)	(542,637)	(495,418)	(+ 13,025)	(- 61,544)	(- 47,219)
Emergency .....	(650,000)	.....	.....	.....	(- 650,000)	.....	.....
Land Acquisition .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Forest Service:	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Acquisitions .....	39,275	21,684	26,782	55,784	+ 16,509	+ 34,100	+ 29,002
Acquisition management .....	8,000	7,000	7,000	9,000	+ 1,000	+ 2,000	+ 2,000
Cash equalization .....	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	.....	+ 1,000	.....
Critical inholdings/wilderness protection .....	1,500	.....	2,000	2,000	+ 500	+ 2,000	.....
Total, Land Acquisition .....	49,775	28,684	36,782	67,784	+ 18,009	+ 39,100	+ 31,002
Acquisition of lands for national forests, special acts .....	1,050	1,050	1,050	1,050	.....	.....	.....
Acquisition of lands to complete land exchanges .....	250	250	250	250	.....	.....	.....
Range betterment fund .....	3,600	3,600	3,600	3,600	.....	.....	.....
Gifts, donations and bequests for forest and rangeland research .....	50	50	50	50	.....	.....	.....
Management of national forest lands for subsistence uses .....	5,000	2,582	2,582	2,582	- 2,418	.....	.....
Wildland Fire Management .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Fire operations:	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Preparedness .....	675,000	675,000	693,000	690,000	+ 15,000	+ 15,000	- 3,000
Fire suppression operations .....	993,947	1,128,505	1,128,505	1,128,505	+ 134,558	.....	.....
Wildland fire suppression contingency reserve fund .....	.....	282,000	282,000	282,000	+ 282,000	.....	.....
Subtotal .....	1,668,947	2,085,505	2,103,505	2,100,505	+ 431,558	+ 15,000	- 3,000
Other operations:	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Hazardous fuels .....	328,086	315,285	378,086	350,285	+ 22,199	+ 35,000	- 27,801
Rehabilitation .....	11,500	9,000	11,600	11,500	.....	+ 2,500	- 100
Fire plan research and development .....	23,917	23,917	23,917	23,917	.....	.....	.....
Joint fire sciences program .....	8,000	8,000	8,000	8,000	.....	.....	.....
Forest health management (Federal lands) .....	17,252	14,440	24,252	17,252	.....	+ 2,812	- 7,000
Forest health management (co-op lands) .....	9,928	7,000	12,928	9,928	.....	+ 2,928	- 3,000
State fire assistance .....	55,000	50,000	80,000	56,250	+ 1,250	+ 6,250	- 23,750

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR FISCAL YEAR 2009 AND BUDGET ESTIMATES AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR FISCAL YEAR 2010—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Item	2009 appropriation	Budget estimate	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Senate Committee recommendation compared with (+ or -)		
					2009 appropriation	Budget estimate	House allowance
Volunteer fire assistance .....	9,000	7,000	10,000	9,000		+2,000	-1,000
Subtotal .....	462,683	434,642	548,783	486,132	+23,449	+51,490	-62,651
Emergency appropriations .....	700,000				-700,000		
Total, Wildland Fire Management .....	2,831,630	2,520,147	2,652,288	2,586,637	-244,993	+66,490	-65,651
Non-emergency .....	(2,131,630)	(2,520,147)	(2,652,288)	(2,586,637)	(+455,007)	(+66,490)	(-65,651)
Emergency .....	(700,000)				(-700,000)		
Total, Wildland fire management with contingency .....	2,831,630	2,520,147	2,652,288	2,586,637	-244,993	+66,490	-65,651
Total, Forest Service without Wildland Fire Mgmt .....	3,264,164	2,706,465	2,767,850	2,711,021	-553,143	+4,556	-56,829
Non-emergency .....	(2,614,164)	(2,706,465)	(2,767,850)	(2,711,021)	(+96,857)	(+4,556)	(-56,829)
Emergency .....	(650,000)				(-650,000)		
Subtotal, Forest Service w/out Wildland Fire Mgmt .....	3,264,164	2,706,465	2,767,850	2,711,021	-553,143	+4,556	-56,829
TOTAL FOREST SERVICE .....	6,095,794	5,226,612	5,420,138	5,297,658	-798,136	+71,046	-122,480
Non-emergency .....	(4,745,794)	(5,226,612)	(5,420,138)	(5,297,658)	(+551,864)	(+71,046)	(-122,480)
Emergency .....	(1,350,000)				(-1,350,000)		
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES							
INDIAN HEALTH SERVICE							
Indian Health Services							
Clinical Services:							
IHS and tribal health delivery:							
Hospital and health clinic programs .....	1,597,777	1,751,883	1,754,383	1,751,883	+154,106		-2,500
(Indian Healthcare Improvement Fund) .....	(15,000)	(45,343)	(45,543)	(45,543)	(+30,543)		

(Domestic Violence Prevention Initiative)	(7,500)	(7,500)	(10,000)	(7,500)	(7,500)	(+ 13,751)	(- 2,500)
(Health Information Technology)	(2,500)	(16,251)	(16,251)	(16,251)	(16,251)	+ 9,448	- 1,250
Dental health program	141,936	151,384	152,634	151,384	151,384	+ 5,038	
Mental health program	67,748	72,786	72,786	72,786	72,786	+ 10,640	
Alcohol and substance abuse program	183,769	194,409	194,409	194,409	194,409		
(Methamphetamine treatment and prevention)	(16,391)	(16,391)	(16,391)	(16,391)	(16,391)		
Contract care	634,477	779,347	779,347	779,347	779,347	+ 144,870	
(Catastrophic health emergency fund)	(31,000)	(48,000)	(48,000)	(48,000)	(48,000)	(+ 17,000)	
Subtotal	2,625,707	2,949,809	2,953,559	2,949,809	2,949,809	+ 324,102	- 3,750
Preventive Health:							
Public health nursing	59,885	64,071	64,071	64,071	64,071	+ 4,186	
Health education	15,723	16,682	16,682	16,682	16,682	+ 959	
Community health representatives program	57,796	61,628	61,628	61,628	61,628	+ 3,832	
Immunization (Alaska)	1,823	1,934	1,934	1,934	1,934	+ 111	
Subtotal	135,227	144,315	144,315	144,315	144,315	+ 9,088	
Urban health program	36,189	38,139	43,139	38,139	38,139	+ 1,950	- 5,000
Indian health professions	37,500	40,743	40,743	40,743	40,743	+ 3,243	
Tribal management	2,586	2,586	2,586	2,586	2,586		
Direct operations	65,345	68,720	68,720	68,720	68,720	+ 3,375	
Self-governance	6,004	6,066	6,066	6,066	6,066	+ 62	
Contract support costs	282,398	389,490	398,490	389,490	389,490	+ 107,092	- 9,000
Emergency appropriations (Public Law 111-5)	85,000					- 85,000	
Total, Indian Health Services	3,275,956	3,639,868	3,657,618	3,639,868	3,639,868	+ 363,912	- 17,750
Non-emergency	(3,190,956)	(3,639,868)	(3,657,618)	(3,639,868)	(3,639,868)	(+ 448,912)	(- 17,750)
Emergency	(85,000)					(- 85,000)	
(Non-contract services)	(2,641,479)	(2,860,521)	(2,878,271)	(2,860,521)	(2,860,521)	(+ 219,042)	(- 17,750)
(Contract care)	(634,477)	(779,347)	(779,347)	(779,347)	(779,347)	(+ 144,870)	
Indian Health Facilities							
Maintenance and improvement	53,915	53,915	53,915	53,915	53,915		
Sanitation facilities	95,857	95,857	95,857	95,857	95,857		
Construction facilities	40,000	29,234	29,234	29,234	29,234	- 10,766	
Facilities and environmental health support	178,329	193,087	193,087	193,087	193,087	+ 14,758	
Equipment	22,067	22,664	22,664	22,664	22,664	+ 597	
Emergency appropriations (Public Law 111-5)	415,000					- 415,000	

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR FISCAL YEAR 2009 AND BUDGET ESTIMATES AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL  
FOR FISCAL YEAR 2010—Continued  
[In thousands of dollars]

Item	2009 appropriation	Budget estimate	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Senate Committee recommendation compared with		
					2009 appropriation	Budget estimate	House allowance
Total, Indian Health Facilities .....	805,168	394,757	394,757	394,757	-410,411	.....	.....
Non-emergency .....	(390,168)	(394,757)	(394,757)	(394,757)	(+4,588)	.....	.....
Emergency .....	(415,000)	.....	.....	.....	(-415,000)	.....	.....
TOTAL, INDIAN HEALTH SERVICE .....	4,081,124	4,034,625	4,052,375	4,034,625	-46,499	.....	-17,750
Non-emergency .....	(3,581,124)	(4,034,625)	(4,052,375)	(4,034,625)	(+453,501)	.....	(-17,750)
Emergency .....	(500,000)	.....	.....	.....	(-500,000)	.....	.....
NATIONAL INSTITUTES OF HEALTH							
National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences .....	78,074	79,212	79,212	79,212	+1,138	.....	.....
AGENCY FOR TOXIC SUBSTANCES AND DISEASE REGISTRY							
Toxic substances and environmental public health .....	74,039	76,792	76,792	76,792	+2,753	.....	.....
TOTAL, DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES .....	4,233,237	4,190,629	4,208,379	4,190,629	-42,608	.....	-17,750
Non-emergency .....	(3,733,237)	(4,190,629)	(4,208,379)	(4,190,629)	(+457,392)	.....	(-17,750)
Emergency .....	(500,000)	.....	.....	.....	(-500,000)	.....	.....
OTHER RELATED AGENCIES							
EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT							
Council on Environmental Quality and Office of Environmental Quality .....	2,703	3,159	3,159	3,159	+456	.....	.....
CHEMICAL SAFETY AND HAZARD INVESTIGATION BOARD							
Salaries and expenses .....	10,199	10,547	10,547	11,195	+996	+648	+648
(Transfer to EPA, IG) .....	(300)	(150)	(150)	.....	.....	.....	.....
OFFICE OF NAVAJO AND HOPI INDIAN RELOCATION							
Salaries and expenses .....	7,530	8,000	8,000	8,000	+470	.....	.....



INSTITUTE OF AMERICAN INDIAN AND ALASKA NATIVE CULTURE AND ARTS DEVELOPMENT		7,900	8,300	8,300	8,300	+ 400	.....
Payment to the Institute .....							
SMITHSONIAN INSTITUTION							
Salaries and Expenses							
Museum and Research Centers.							
Anacostia Community Museum .....	1,994	2,048	2,048	2,048		+ 54	.....
Center for Folklife and Cultural Heritage .....	2,219	2,282	2,282	2,282		+ 63	.....
National Museum of African American History & Culture .....	12,329	12,167	12,167	12,167		- 162	.....
National Museum of American History .....	22,037	22,209	22,209	22,209			.....
National Museum of the American Indian .....	31,996	32,870	32,870	32,870		+ 874	.....
Archives of American Art .....	1,784	1,858	1,858	1,858		+ 74	.....
Arthur M. Sackler Gallery/Freer Gallery of Art .....	5,906	6,113	6,113	6,113		+ 207	.....
Cooper-Hewitt, National Design Museum .....	3,937	4,103	4,103	4,103		+ 166	.....
Hirshhorn Museum & Sculpture Garden .....	4,272	4,412	4,412	4,412		+ 140	.....
National Museum of African Art .....	4,392	4,504	4,504	4,504		+ 112	.....
National Portrait Gallery .....	5,651	5,867	5,867	5,867		+ 216	.....
Smithsonian American Art Museum .....	8,789	9,145	9,145	9,145		+ 356	.....
National Air and Space Museum .....	17,474	18,119	18,119	18,119		+ 645	.....
National Museum of Natural History .....	46,138	47,728	47,728	47,728		+ 1,590	.....
National Zoological Park .....	22,437	23,190	23,190	23,190		+ 753	.....
Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory .....	23,612	24,323	24,323	24,323		+ 711	.....
Museum Conservation Institute .....	3,031	3,119	3,119	3,119		+ 88	.....
Smithsonian Environmental Research Center .....	3,443	3,596	3,596	3,596		+ 153	.....
Smithsonian Tropical Research Institute .....	12,611	14,546	14,546	14,546		+ 1,935	.....
Subtotal .....	234,052	242,199	242,199	242,199		+ 8,147	.....
Program Support and Outreach.							
Outreach .....	9,720	9,969	9,969	9,969		+ 249	.....
Communications .....	2,161	2,328	2,328	2,328		+ 167	.....
Institution-wide programs .....	7,839	8,839	8,839	8,839		+ 1,000	.....
Office of Exhibits Central .....	2,872	2,982	2,982	2,982		+ 110	.....
Major scientific instrumentation .....	3,822	3,822	3,822	3,822			.....
Museum Support Center .....	1,800	1,858	1,858	1,858		+ 58	.....
Smithsonian Institution Archives .....	1,968	2,064	2,064	2,064		+ 96	.....
Smithsonian Institution Libraries .....	9,624	10,008	10,008	10,008		+ 384	.....
Subtotal .....	39,806	41,870	41,870	41,870		+ 2,064	.....

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR FISCAL YEAR 2009 AND BUDGET ESTIMATES AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR FISCAL YEAR 2010—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Item	2009 appropriation	Budget estimate	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Senate Committee recommendation compared with		
					2009 appropriation	Budget estimate	House allowance
Administration .....	69,229	76,494	76,494	76,494	+ 7,265	.....	.....
Facilities services:							
Facilities maintenance .....	67,646	72,935	72,935	72,935	+ 5,289	.....	.....
Facilities operations, security and support .....	180,245	198,087	198,087	198,087	+ 17,842	.....	.....
Subtotal .....	247,891	271,022	271,022	271,022	+ 23,131	.....	.....
Inspector General .....	2,422	2,576	2,576	2,576	+ 154	.....	.....
Total, Salaries and Expenses .....	593,400	634,161	634,161	634,161	+ 40,761	.....	.....
Facilities Capital							
Revitalization .....	104,500	89,300	104,300	89,300	- 15,200	.....	- 15,000
Facilities planning and design .....	18,500	35,700	35,700	35,700	+ 17,200	.....	.....
Emergency appropriations (Public Law 111-5) .....	25,000	.....	.....	.....	- 25,000	.....	.....
Total, Facilities Capital .....	148,000	125,000	140,000	125,000	- 23,000	.....	- 15,000
Non-emergency .....	(123,000)	(125,000)	(140,000)	(125,000)	(+ 2,000)	.....	(- 15,000)
Emergency .....	(25,000)	.....	.....	.....	(- 25,000)	.....	.....
Legacy Fund							
Legacy Fund .....	15,000	.....	.....	30,000	+ 15,000	.....	+ 30,000
(Rescission of prior year balances) .....	.....	.....	.....	- 29,766	- 29,766	.....	- 29,766
Total, Legacy Fund .....	15,000	.....	.....	234	- 14,766	.....	+ 234
TOTAL, SMITHSONIAN INSTITUTION .....	756,400	759,161	774,161	759,395	+ 2,995	.....	- 14,766
Non-emergency .....	(731,400)	(759,161)	(774,161)	(789,161)	(+ 57,761)	.....	(+ 15,000)
Emergency .....	(25,000)	.....	.....	.....	(- 25,000)	.....	.....

NATIONAL GALLERY OF ART						
Salaries and Expenses						
Care and utilization of art collections .....	34,734	35,142	36,902	36,902	+ 2,168	+ 1,760
Operation and maintenance of buildings and grounds .....	28,643	29,267	29,267	29,267	+ 624	.....
Protection of buildings, grounds and contents .....	22,252	23,776	23,776	23,776	+ 1,524	.....
General administration .....	19,759	20,801	20,801	20,801	+ 1,042	.....
Total, Salaries and Expenses .....	105,388	108,986	110,746	110,746	+ 5,358	+ 1,760
Repair, Restoration and Renovation of Buildings						
Base program .....	17,368	56,259	56,259	54,499	+ 37,131	- 1,760
TOTAL, NATIONAL GALLERY OF ART .....	122,756	165,245	167,005	165,245	+ 42,489	- 1,760
JOHN F. KENNEDY CENTER FOR THE PERFORMING ARTS						
Operations and maintenance .....	21,300	22,500	25,000	22,500	+ 1,200	- 2,500
Capital repair and restoration .....	15,064	17,447	17,447	17,447	+ 2,383	.....
TOTAL, JOHN F. KENNEDY CENTER FOR THE PERFORMING ARTS .....	36,364	39,947	42,447	39,947	+ 3,583	- 2,500
WOODROW WILSON INTERNATIONAL CENTER FOR SCHOLARS						
Salaries and expenses .....	10,000	10,225	12,225	10,225	+ 225	- 2,000
NATIONAL FOUNDATION ON THE ARTS AND THE HUMANITIES						
National Endowment for the Arts						
Grants and Administration						
Grants:						
Direct grants .....	54,100	59,524	64,735	59,524	+ 5,424	- 5,211
Challenge America grants .....	9,800	10,000	10,000	10,000	+ 200	.....
National Initiative: American Masterpieces .....	13,300	10,000	10,000	10,000	- 3,300	.....
State partnerships:						
State and regional .....	42,000	41,724	44,000	41,724	- 276	- 2,276
Underserved set-aside .....	9,000	11,292	12,490	11,292	+ 2,292	- 1,198
Subtotal .....	51,000	53,016	56,490	53,016	+ 2,016	- 3,474

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR FISCAL YEAR 2009 AND BUDGET ESTIMATES AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR FISCAL YEAR 2010—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Item	2009 appropriation	Budget estimate	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Senate Committee recommendation compared with		
					2009 appropriation	Budget estimate	House allowance
Subtotal, Grants .....	128,200	132,540	141,225	132,540	+ 4,340	.....	- 8,685
Program support .....	1,750	1,850	1,850	1,850	+ 100	.....	.....
Administration .....	25,050	26,925	26,925	26,925	+ 1,875	.....	.....
Emergency appropriations (Public Law 111-5) .....	50,000	.....	.....	.....	- 50,000	.....	.....
Total, Arts .....	205,000	161,315	170,000	161,315	- 43,685	.....	- 8,685
Non-emergency .....	(155,000)	(161,315)	(170,000)	(161,315)	(+ 6,315)	.....	(- 8,685)
Emergency .....	(50,000)	.....	.....	.....	(- 50,000)	.....	.....
National Endowment for the Humanities							
Grants and Administration							
Grants:							
Federal/State partnership .....	35,000	38,515	41,124	38,515	+ 3,515	.....	- 2,609
Preservation and access .....	16,000	16,250	17,442	16,250	+ 250	.....	- 1,192
Public programs .....	14,500	14,750	15,942	14,750	+ 250	.....	- 1,192
Research programs .....	14,500	16,000	17,277	16,000	+ 1,500	.....	- 1,277
Education programs .....	14,500	14,750	16,027	14,750	+ 250	.....	- 1,277
Program development .....	400	750	750	750	+ 350	.....	.....
We the People Initiative grants .....	15,800	14,500	14,500	14,500	- 1,300	.....	.....
Digital Humanities Initiatives .....	4,000	4,000	5,138	4,000	.....	.....	- 1,138
Subtotal, Grants .....	114,700	119,515	128,200	119,515	+ 4,815	.....	- 8,685
Matching Grants:							
Treasury funds .....	5,000	4,800	4,800	4,800	- 200	.....	.....
Challenge grants .....	9,300	9,500	9,500	9,500	+ 200	.....	.....
Subtotal, Matching grants .....	14,300	14,300	14,300	14,300	.....	.....	.....



COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR FISCAL YEAR 2009 AND BUDGET ESTIMATES AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR FISCAL YEAR 2010—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Item	2009 appropriation	Budget estimate	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Senate Committee recommendation compared with		
					2009 appropriation	Budget estimate	House allowance
<b>TITLE IV—GENERAL PROVISIONS</b>							
Forest Service Marina fees .....	1,000				-1,000		
Ultra-deepwater research deferral .....			-50,000				+50,000
Coal bonus bids, payment structure .....			-207,000				+207,000
Geothermal energy receipts .....			-15,000				+15,000
EPA Hunter's Point Remediation .....	8,000			8,000		+8,000	+8,000
Compact payments, Palau .....				10,000		+10,000	+10,000
<b>TOTAL, TITLE IV, GENERAL PROVISIONS .....</b>	<b>9,000</b>		<b>-272,000</b>	<b>18,000</b>	<b>+9,000</b>	<b>+18,000</b>	<b>+290,000</b>
<b>GRAND TOTAL .....</b>	<b>38,790,958</b>	<b>32,382,043</b>	<b>32,353,850</b>	<b>32,154,634</b>	<b>-6,636,324</b>	<b>-227,409</b>	<b>-202,216</b>
Appropriations .....	27,653,116	32,433,043	32,525,850	32,254,400	+4,601,284	-178,643	-274,450
Emergency appropriations .....	11,200,000				-11,200,000		
Rescissions .....	-62,158	-51,000	-172,000	-99,766	-37,608	-48,766	+72,234
Discretionary total .....	27,829,108	32,325,193	32,302,000	32,099,784	+4,270,676	-225,409	-203,216

<sup>1</sup> Indian Land Consolidation is requested in the Bureau of Indian Affairs in fiscal year 2010.  
<sup>2</sup> Funding moved to Great Lakes Initiative in 2010.  
<sup>3</sup> Requested in National Endowment for the Humanities in fiscal year 2010. President's request.

