

July 27, 2010

Honorable John M. Spratt Jr. Chairman Committee on the Budget U.S. House of Representatives Washington, DC 20515

Dear Mr. Chairman:

Based on a preliminary review of an amendment in the nature of a substitute to H.R. 3534, the Consolidated Land, Energy, and Aquatic Resources Act of 2010, as posted on the Web site of the House Committee on Rules on July 26, 2010, CBO estimates that enacting this legislation would increase direct spending by \$20.5 billion over the 2011-2020 period and would increase revenues by \$22.2 billion over the same period.

In total, CBO estimates that enacting H.R. 3534 would reduce future deficits by \$5.3 billion over the 2011-2015 period and \$1.7 billion over the 2011-2020 period (see enclosed table). Because enacting the legislation would affect direct spending and revenues, pay-as-you-go procedures apply. CBO has not completed an estimate of the legislation's impact on discretionary spending.

If you wish further details on this estimate, we would be pleased to provide them. The CBO staff contacts are Kathleen Gramp and Jeff LaFave.

Sincerely,

Douglas W. Elmendorf

Douglas W. Elmendy

Enclosure

cc: Honorable Paul Ryan Ranking Member Honorable John M. Spratt Jr. Page 2

Honorable Nick J. Rahall II Chairman Committee on Natural Resources

Honorable Doc Hastings Ranking Member

Honorable James L. Oberstar Chairman Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure

Honorable John L. Mica Ranking Republican Member

Honorable Henry A. Waxman Chairman Committee on Energy and Commerce

Honorable Joe Barton Ranking Member CBO ESTIMATE OF DIRECT SPENDING AND REVENUE EFFECTS OF AN AMENDMENT IN THE NATURE OF A SUBSTITUTE TO H.R. 3534, THE CONSOLIDATED LAND, ENERGY, AND AQUATIC RESOURCES ACT OF 2010, AS POSTED ON THE WEB SITE OF THE HOUSE COMMITTEE ON RULES ON JULY 26, 2010

	By Fiscal Year, in Millions of Dollars											
	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2011- 2015	2011- 2020
	(CHANG	GES IN	DIREC	T SPE	NDING						
Title II – Federal Oil and Gas Development												
Spending of OCS Revenues												
Estimated Budget Authority	1,050	1,050	1,050	1,050	1,050	1,050	1,050	1,050	1,050	1,050	5,250	10,500
Estimated Outlays	60	173	488	795	998	1,047	1,047	1,047	1,047	1,047	2,514	7,749
Certification Requirements												
Estimated Budget Authority	20	40	35	30	25	20	15	10	5	5	150	205
Estimated Outlays	20	40	35	30	25	20	15	10	5	5	150	205
Eligibility for New Leases												
Estimated Budget Authority	125	25	-50	-125	-150	-200	-250	-325	-425	-625	-175	-2.000
Estimated Outlays	125	25	-50	-125	-150	-200	-250	-325	-425	-625		-2,000
Title VIII – Litigation and Other Costs Stemming from Conservation Fee												
Estimated Budget Authority	0	35	35	25	15	2,850	2,840	2,840	2,840	2,840	110	14,320
Estimated Outlays	0	35	35	25	15	2,850	2,840	2,840	2,840	2,840		14,320
Other Provisions												
Estimated Budget Authority	13	37	32	25	25	25	16	16	25	21	132	235
Estimated Outlays	13	37	32	25	25	25	16	16	25	21	132	235
Total Changes												
Estimated Budget Authority	1,208	1,187	1,102	1,005	965	3,745	3,671	3,591	3,495	3,291	5,467	23,260
Estimated Outlays	218	310	540	750	913	3,742	3,668	3,588	3,492	3,288		20,509
		СН	ANGE	S IN RE	EVENU	ES						
Title VIII – Conservation Fee												
Estimated Revenues	185	1,895	1,950	2,000	2,045	2,725	2,780	2,830	2,885	2,945	8,075	22,240
		NET II	МРАСТ	ON T	HE DEI	FICIT						
FROM	I CHAN		_			_	REVE	NUES				
Net Increase or Decrease (-)												
in the Deficit	33	-1,585	-1,410	-1,250	-1,132	1,017	888	758	607	343	-5,344	-1,731
		•	•	•	•	•					•	-