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## Summary

Leaders and Members of the Senate and the House of Representatives, the Vice President, individuals in positions on the Executive Schedule (EX), and federal justices and judges-all hereafter referred to as federal officials-are to receive an annual pay adjustment under the Ethics Reform Act of 1989, P.L. 101-194 (103 Stat. 1716, at 1769, 5 U.S.C. §5318 note). The percentage change in the wages and salaries for the private industry workers element of the Employment Cost Index (ECI), minus $0.5 \%$ (December indicator), provides the basis for the pay adjustment. In January 2010, the Vice President and federal officials paid on the EX schedule received a $1.5 \%$ salary increase. Members of Congress did not receive a pay adjustment in January 2010; Section 103 of Division J of P.L. 111-8, the Omnibus Appropriations Act for FY2009, enacted on March 11, 2009, denied the adjustment. Federal justices and judges also have not received a January 2010 pay adjustment. Section 140 of P.L. $97-92$, enacted on December 15, 1981, provides that any salary increase for justices and judges must be specifically authorized by Congress, and this authorization has not been provided for 2010.
The pay adjustment for federal officials required under the Ethics Reform Act of 1989 would be $0.9 \%$ in January 2011, the same as the January 2011 base pay adjustment required under the Federal Employees Pay Comparability Act of 1990, for federal civilian white-collar employees paid under the General Schedule (GS). P.L. 111-165, enacted on May 14, 2010, provides that Members of Congress will not receive a pay adjustment in FY2011. In addition, the Budget of the U.S. Government included President Barack Obama's order to freeze pay for senior political officials-the Vice President; individuals serving in EX positions or in positions whose rate of pay is fixed by statute at an EX level and serving at the pleasure of the President or other appointing official; a chief of mission or ambassador at large; a noncareer appointee in the Senior Executive Service; any employee whose rate of basic pay (including locality payments) is at or above EX level IV who serves at the pleasure of the appointing official; and senior White House staff with salaries of more than $\$ 100,000$. The budget also reiterated that the policy prohibiting political appointees from receiving bonuses continues.
Currently pending in the $111^{\text {th }}$ Congress is legislation that would adjust the pay of federal justices and judges. The Federal Judicial Fairness Act of 2009, S. 2725, as introduced, would repeal the provision of law that requires Congress to specifically authorize any salary increases for justices and judges and amend current law to provide that justices and judges would receive the same overall average percentage pay adjustment as is authorized each year for the GS, the pay schedule that covers federal white-collar civilian employees in pay grades GS-1 through GS-15.

EX pay rates provide limitations on maximum basic pay rates for members of the Senior Executive Service (SES), employees in senior-level (SL) and scientific and professional (ST) positions, and on basic pay, basic pay and locality pay combined, and total compensation for employees in General Schedule positions.

This report will be updated as events dictate.
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## Legislative, Executive, and Judicial Officials

A provision in the Ethics Reform Act of 1989 provides for an annual salary adjustment for leaders and Members of the Senate and House of Representatives, the Vice President, individuals in positions on the Executive Schedule (EX), and federal justices and judges. ${ }^{1}$ The adjustment is based on the percentage change in the wages and salaries (not seasonally adjusted) for the private industry workers element of the Employment Cost Index (ECI), minus 0.5\% (December indicator). ${ }^{2}$ It becomes effective at the same time as, and at a rate no greater than, the annual base pay rate adjustment for federal white-collar civilian employees under the General Schedule (GS). ${ }^{3}$ The adjustment cannot, however, be less than zero or greater than $5 \% .^{4}$ While this provision of the Ethics Reform Act sets the rate of the judicial pay adjustment, a 1981 law provides that any salary increase for justices and judges must be "specifically authorized by Act of Congress hereafter enacted." ${ }^{"}$

The legislative, executive, and judicial officials are all hereafter referred to as federal officials in this report.

## Pay Adjustments Since 1991

Since the enactment of the Ethics Reform Act, federal officials have received pay adjustments as follow:

[^0]
## 1991

Adjustment was 3.6\%. ${ }^{6}$ P.L. 101-520, Title III, §321, November 5, 1990; 104 Stat. 2254, at 2285, authorized the judicial pay adjustment.

## 1992

Adjustment was 3.5\%. P.L. 102-140, Title III, §305, October 28, 1991; 105 Stat. 782, at 810, authorized the judicial pay adjustment.

## 1993

Adjustment was 3.2\%. P.L. 102-395, Title III, §304, October 6, 1992; 106 Stat. 1828, at 1859, authorized the judicial pay adjustment.

## 1994

Projected adjustment was $2.1 \%$. Congress passed legislation freezing salaries for Members of Congress (P.L. 103-6, §7, March 4, 1993; 107 Stat. 33, at 35). Federal officials did not receive a pay adjustment because GS base pay was not adjusted (P.L. 103-123, §517B, §615, October 28, 1993; 107 Stat. 1226, at 1253-1254, 1261-1263).

## 1995

Projected adjustment was $2.6 \%$, but would have been limited to GS base pay adjustment of $2.0 \%$. Federal officials did not receive a pay adjustment (P.L. 103-329, §630(a)(2), September 30, 1994; 108 Stat. 2382, at 2424).

## 1996

Projected adjustment was $2.3 \%$, but would have been limited to GS base pay adjustment of $2.0 \%$. Federal officials did not receive a pay adjustment (P.L. 104-52, §633, November 19, 1995; 109 Stat. 468, at 507).

## 1997

Projected adjustment was $2.3 \%$. Federal officials did not receive a pay adjustment (P.L. 104-208, §637, September 30, 1996; 110 Stat. 3009, at 3009-364).

[^1]
## 1998

Projected adjustment was $2.9 \%$. Adjustment was $2.3 \%$, the same as the GS base pay adjustment. P.L. 105-119, Title III, §306, November 26, 1997; 111 Stat. 2440, at 2493, authorized the judicial pay adjustment.

## 1999

Projected adjustment was $3.4 \%$, but would have been limited to GS base pay adjustment of $3.1 \%$. Federal officials did not receive a pay adjustment (P.L. 105-277, §621, October 21, 1998; 112 Stat. 2681, at 2681-518).

## 2000

Adjustment was 3.4\%. P.L. 106-113, Div. B, §1000(a)(1) [Title III, §304], November 29, 1999; 113 Stat. 1501, at 1535, 1501A-36-A37, authorized the judicial pay adjustment.

## 2001

Projected adjustment was $3.0 \%$. Adjustment was $2.7 \%$, the same as the GS base pay adjustment. P.L. 106-553, §1(a)(2) [Title III, §309], December 21, 2000; 114 Stat. 2762 at, 2762A-89, authorized the judicial pay adjustment.

## 2002

Adjustment was 3.4\%. P.L. 107-77, Title III, §305, November 28, 2001; 115 Stat. 748, at 783, authorized the judicial pay adjustment.

## 2003

Projected adjustment was $3.3 \%$. Adjustment was $3.1 \%$, the same as the GS base pay adjustment. P.L. 108-6, §1, February 13, 2003; 117 Stat. 10, authorized the judicial pay adjustment.

## 2004

Adjustment was $2.2 \%$. Adjusted temporarily at $1.5 \%$ pending enactment of P.L. 108-199. P.L. 108-167, §1, December 6, 2003; 117 Stat. 2031, authorized the judicial pay adjustment.

## 2005

Adjustment was 2.5\%. P.L. 108-447, §306, December 8, 2004; 118 Stat. 2809, at 2895, authorized the judicial pay adjustment.

## 2006

Adjustment was 1.9\%. P.L. 109-115, §405, November 30, 2005; 119 Stat. 2396, at 2470 authorized the judicial pay adjustment.

Projected adjustment was $2.0 \%$. Adjustment was $1.7 \%$, the same as the GS base pay adjustment. The Vice President and federal officials paid on the EX schedule received the $1.7 \%$ pay increase. Section 115 of P.L. 110-5 denied the Members a pay adjustment in 2007. ${ }^{7}$ Justices and judges did not receive a pay adjustment because Congress did not authorize it as required by law. S. 197, to provide the authorization, passed the Senate by unanimous consent on January 8, 2007, and was referred to the House Committee on the Judiciary, but no further action occurred. ${ }^{8}$

## 2008

Projected adjustment was $2.7 \%$. Adjustment was $2.5 \%$, the same as the GS base pay adjustment. P.L. 110-161, Division D, §305, December 26, 2007; 121 Stat. 1844 authorized the judicial pay adjustment.

## 2009

Adjustment was $2.8 \% .^{9}$ The adjustment for legislative and executive officials was provided in P.L. 110-329, the Consolidated Security, Disaster Assistance, and Continuing Appropriations Act, 2009 (H.R. 2638) enacted on September 30, 2008 (which provided funds for government operations from October 1, 2008, through March 6, 2009), and H.R. 1105, Omnibus Appropriations Act, 2009, passed by the House of Representatives on February 25, 2009, on a 245-178 (Roll No. 86) vote and the Senate on March 10, 2009, by voice vote, and signed by President Obama on March 11, 2009 (which provides funds for government operations through September 30, 2009). Division D, Title III, Section 310 of H.R. 1105 authorized the adjustment for justices and judges.

## 2010

Projected adjustment was $2.1 \% .^{10}$ Adjustment was $1.5 \%$, the same as the GS base pay adjustment. The Vice President and federal officials paid on the EX schedule received the $1.5 \%$ pay increase. Section 103 of Division J of P.L. 111-8 denied the Members a pay adjustment in 2010. ${ }^{11}$ Justices and judges have not received a pay adjustment because Congress did not authorize it as required by law. S. 1432, to provide the 2010 authorization, was reported to the Senate by the Committee on Appropriations (S.Rept. 111-43) on July 9, 2009, but no further action occurred.

[^2]
## 2011

Projected adjustment would be $0.9 \% .^{12}$ This is the same as the January 2011 base pay adjustment required under the Federal Employees Pay Comparability Act of 1990 for federal civilian whitecollar employees paid under the GS. ${ }^{13}$ P.L. 111-165, enacted on May 14, 2010, provides that Members of Congress will not receive a pay adjustment in FY2011. ${ }^{14}$ In addition, the Budget of the U.S. Government included President Barack Obama's order to freeze pay for senior political officials-the Vice President; individuals serving in EX positions or in positions whose rate of pay is fixed by statute at an EX level and serving at the pleasure of the President or other appointing official; a chief of mission or ambassador at large; a noncareer appointee in the Senior Executive Service; any employee whose rate of basic pay (including locality payments) is at or above EX level IV who serves at the pleasure of the appointing official; and senior White House staff with salaries of more than $\$ 100,000$. The budget also reiterated that the policy prohibiting political appointees from receiving bonuses continues. ${ }^{15}$

Table 1, below, shows the salaries for federal officials from January 2004 to January 2010.

## Table I. Legislative, Executive, and Judicial Salaries, January 2004 to January 2010

| Position | January <br> $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | January <br> $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | January <br> $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | January <br> $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | January <br> $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | January <br> $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | January <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Legislative Branch |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vice President <br> of the United <br> States <br> (President of <br> the Senate) | $\$ 203,000$ | $\$ 208,100$ | $\$ 212,100$ | $\$ 215,700$ | $\$ 221,100$ | $\$ 227,300$ | $\$ 230,700$ |
| Speaker of the <br> House of <br> Representatives | 203,000 | 208,100 | 212,100 | 212,100 | 217,400 | 223,500 | 223,500 |
| President Pro <br> Tempore of the <br> Senate | 175,700 | 180,100 | 183,500 | 183,500 | 188,100 | 193,400 | 193,400 |
| Majority and <br> Minority | 175,700 | 180,100 | 183,500 | 183,500 | 188,100 | 193,400 | 193,400 |
| Leaders- <br> House and |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^3]| Position | $\begin{gathered} \text { January } \\ 2004 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { January } \\ & 2005 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { January } \\ 2006 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { January } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { January } \\ 2008 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { January } \\ 2009 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { January } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Senate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Senators, <br> Representatives, Resident Commissioner of Puerto Rico, and Delegates | 158,100 | 162,100 | 165,200 | 165,200 | 169,300 | 174,000 | 174,000 |
| Comptroller General of the United States | 158,100 | 162,100 | 165,200 | 168,000 | 172,200 | 177,000 | 179,700 |
| Deputy Comptroller General | 145,600 | 149,200 | 152,000 | 154,600 | 158,500 | 162,900 | 165,300 |
| Librarian of Congress | 158,100 | 162,100 | 165,200 | 168,000 | 172,200 | 177,000 | 179,700 |
| Deputy Librarian | 145,600 | 149,200 | 152,000 | 154,600 | 158,500 | 162,900 | 165,300 |
| Register of Copyrights | 145,600 | 149,200 | 152,000 | 154,600 | 158,500 | 162,900 | 165,300 |
| Director, <br> Congressional Research Service | 145,600 | 149,200 | 152,000 | 154,600 | 158,500 | 162,900 | 165,300 |
| Public Printer | 158,100 | 162,100 | 165,200 | 168,000 | 172,200 | 177,000 | 179,700 |
| Deputy Public Printer | 145,600 | 149,200 | 152,000 | 154,600 | 158,500 | 162,900 | 165,300 |
| Director, <br> Congressional Budget Office | 156,600 | 160,600 | Deputy Director serving as Acting Director on Deputy Salary | 163,700 | 167,800 | 172,500 | 172,500 |
| Deputy <br> Director, <br> Congressional <br> Budget Office | 155,600 | 159,600 | 162,700 | 162,700 | 166,800 | 171,500 | 171,500 |
| Architect of the Capitol | 156,600 | 160,600 | 163,700 | 163,700 | 167,800 | 172,500 | 172,500 |
| Executive Branch |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| President of the United States | \$400,000 | \$400,000 | \$400,000 | \$400,000 | \$400,000 | \$400,000 | \$400,000 |
| Vice President of the United States | 203,000 | 208,100 | 212,100 | 215,700 | 221,100 | 227,300 | 230,700 |
| Executive <br> Schedule (EX) | 175,700 | 180,100 | 183,500 | 186,600 | 191,300 | 196,700 | 199,700 |


| Position | $\begin{gathered} \text { January } \\ 2004 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { January } \\ 2005 \end{gathered}$ | January <br> 2006 | $\begin{gathered} \text { January } \\ 2007 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { January } \\ 2008 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { January } \\ 2009 \end{gathered}$ | January 2010 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Level I: Cabinetlevel officials |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EX Level II: Deputy secretaries of departments, secretaries of military departments, and heads of major agencies | 158,100 | 162,100 | 165,200 | 168,000 | 172,200 | 177,000 | 179,700 |
| EX Level III: Under secretaries of departments and heads of middle-level agencies | 145,600 | 149,200 | 152,000 | 154,600 | 158,500 | 162,900 | 165,300 |
| EX Level IV: <br> Assistant secretaries and general counsels of departments, heads of smaller agencies, members of certain boards and commissions | 136,900 | 140,300 | 143,000 | 145,400 | 149,000 | 153,200 | 155,500 |
| EX Level V: <br> Administrators, commissioners, directors, and members of boards, commissions, or units of agencies | 128,200 | 131,400 | 133,900 | 136,200 | 139,600 | 143,500 | 145,700 |
| Judicial Branch |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chief Justice of the United States | \$203,000 | \$208,100 | \$212,100 | \$212,100 | \$217,400 | \$223,500 | \$223,500 |
| Associate Justices of the Supreme Court | 194,300 | 199,200 | 203,000 | 203,000 | 208,100 | 213,900 | 213,900 |
| Judges, U.S. Courts of Appeal | 167,600 | 171,800 | 175,100 | 175,100 | 179,500 | 184,500 | 184,500 |
| Judges, U.S. <br> Court of Appeals for the Armed Services | 167,600 | 171,800 | 175,100 | 175,100 | 179,500 | 184,500 | 184,500 |


| Position | $\begin{gathered} \text { January } \\ 2004 \end{gathered}$ | January 2005 | $\begin{gathered} \text { January } \\ 2006 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { January } \\ 2007 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { January } \\ 2008 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { January } \\ 2009 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { January } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Judges, U.S. District Courts | 158,100 | 162,100 | 165,200 | 165,200 | 169,300 | 174,000 | 174,000 |
| Judges, United States Court of Federal Claims | 158,100 | 162,100 | 165,200 | 165,200 | 169,300 | 174,000 | 174,000 |
| Judges, United States Court of International Trade | 158,100 | 162,100 | 165,200 | 165,200 | 169,300 | 174,000 | 174,000 |
| Judges, Tax Court of the United States | 158,100 | 162,100 | 165,200 | 165,200 | 169,300 | 174,000 | 174,000 |
| Judges, U.S. <br> Court of <br> Appeals for <br> Veterans Claims | 158,100 | 162,100 | 165,200 | 165,200 | 169,300 | 174,000 | 174,000 |
| Bankruptcy Judges | 145,500 | 149,132 | 151,984 | 151,984 | 155,756 | 160,080 | 160,080 |
| Magistrate Judges | 145,500 | 149,132 | 151,984 | 151,984 | 155,756 | 160,080 | 160,080 |
| Director, Administrative Office of U.S. Courts | 158,100 | 162,100 | 165,200 | 165,200 | 169,300 | 174,000 | 174,000 |
| Deputy <br> Director, <br> Administrative <br> Office of U.S. <br> Courts | 145,500 | 149,132 | 151,984 | 151,984 | 155,756 | 160,080 | 160,080 |
| Director, Federal Judicial Center | 158,100 | 162,100 | 165,200 | 165,200 | 169,300 | 174,000 | 174,000 |
| Deputy <br> Director, <br> Federal Judicial <br> Center | 145,500 | 149,132 | 151,984 | 151,984 | 155,756 | 160,080 | 160,080 |
| Counselor to the Chief Justice | $\begin{aligned} & \text { up to } \\ & \text { I } 58,100 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { up to } \\ & 162,100 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { up to } \\ & 165,200 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { up to } \\ & \text { I65,200 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { up to } \\ & \text { I69,300 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { up to } \\ & \text { I74,000 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { up to } \\ & 174,000 \end{aligned}$ |
| Circuit <br> Executives | 145,600 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { up to } \\ & 162,100 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { up to } \\ & \text { I65,200 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { up to } \\ & 165,200 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { up to } \\ 169,300 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { up to } \\ & \text { I74,000 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { up to } \\ & 174,000 \end{aligned}$ |

Notes: Section II5 of P.L. I I0-5 denied a pay adjustment in 2007 to the Speaker of the House of Representatives, the President pro tempore of the Senate, the majority and minority leaders of the House and Senate, and the Senators, Representatives, Resident Commissioner of Puerto Rico, and Delegates. (P.L. IIO-5, §II5, February I5, 2007, I2I Stat. 8, at I2; 2 U.S.C. §3I note.) Section 103 of Division J of P.L. III-8 denied a pay adjustment in 2010 to the Speaker of the House of Representatives, the President pro tempore of the Senate, the majority and minority leaders of the House and Senate, and the Senators, Representatives, Resident Commissioner of Puerto Rico, and Delegates. (P.L. III-8, §I03, Division J, March II, 2009, I23 Stat. 524, at 988; 2 U.S.C. §3I note.) Ida A. Brudnick, Analyst on the Congress, Government and Finance Division (7-6460), provided the information on Member of Congress pay. See also, CRS Report 97-IOII, Salaries of Members of

Congress: Recent Actions and Historical Tables, by Ida A. Brudnick and CRS Report 97-6I5, Salaries of Members of Congress: Congressional Votes, 1990-20I0, by Ida A. Brudnick.

The salaries for the Director and Deputy Director of the Congressional Budget Office (CBO) also were not adjusted in January 2007 and January 2010, because Member of Congress pay was not adjusted. By statute (2 U.S.C. $\S 60 \mathrm{I}(\mathrm{a})(5)(\mathrm{A})(\mathrm{B}))$, the annual rate of compensation for the Director of CBO is equal to the lower of the highest annual rate of compensation of any officer of the Senate or the highest annual rate of compensation of any officer of the House. The annual rate of compensation for the Deputy Director of CBO is $\$ 1,000$ less than that of the Director. The Deputy Director continued as Acting Director, at the Deputy salary, until January I8, 2007, when the CBO Director assumed office. (Information provided to CRS by CBO staff by telephone and electronic mail on November 19, 2007, by electronic mail on January 23, 2008, and by electronic mail on March 24, 2009.) Speaker of the House, Nancy Pelosi, and House Committee on the Budget Chairman, Representative John Spratt, Jr., announced on December 30, 2008, that Douglas W. Elmendorf would be the new Director of CBO. On January 23, 2009, the Speaker of the House and the President pro tempore of the Senate, Senator Robert Byrd, appointed Dr. Elmendorf as the CBO Director. ${ }^{16}$

The salary for the Architect of the Capitol also was not adjusted in January 2007 and January 2010, because Member of Congress pay was not adjusted. By statute (2 U.S.C. §I802), the annual rate of compensation for the Architect is equal to the lesser of the annual salary for the Sergeant at Arms of the House of Representatives or the Sergeant at Arms and Doorkeeper of the Senate.

Salaries for the Comptroller General of the United States (3I U.S.C. §703(f)(I)), the Deputy Comptroller General (3I U.S.C. §703(f)(2)), the Librarian of Congress (2 U.S.C. §I36a-2(I)), the Deputy Librarian (2 U.S.C. §I36a-2(2)), the Register of Copyrights (I7 U.S.C. §70I (f)), the Director of the Congressional Research Service (2 U.S.C. §I66(c)(I)), the Public Printer (44 U.S.C. §303), and the Deputy Public Printer (44 U.S.C. §303) are tied to the Executive Schedule by law. For an analysis of congressional staff salaries which are tied to Member of Congress pay rates, see CRS Memorandum, Effect of Congressional Pay Freeze on Officer and Staff Salaries, by Ida A. Brudnick (available to Members of Congress and their staff from the author).
The President's current salary became effective at noon on January 20, 200 I, and was established by the Treasury and General Government Appropriations Act, 2000, P.L. I06-58, Title VI, §644, September 29, I999; II3 Stat. 430, at 478; 3 U.S.C. §I02. For a discussion of the President's salary, see CRS Report RS201 I5, President of the United States: Compensation, by Barbara L. Schwemle.

The salary for the Director of the Administrative Office (AO) of U.S. Courts is the same as that of U.S. District Court Judges ( 28 U.S.C. §603). The salary for the Deputy Director of the AO is $92 \%$ of the AO Director's salary (28 U.S.C. §603). The salary for the Director of the Federal Judicial Center (FJC) is the same as the AO Director's salary ( 28 U.S.C. §626). The salary for the Deputy Director of the FJC is the same as the Deputy AO Director's salary (28 U.S.C. §626). The salary for the Administrative Assistant to the Chief Justice cannot exceed the AO Director's salary ( 28 U.S.C. §677). Salaries for Circuit Executives are established by the Judicial Conference and may not exceed EX Level IV (28 U.S.C. §332(f)(I)). The salaries for Circuit Executives included in this report were provided to CRS by the AO by electronic mail on January 29, 2008, March II, 2009, and January 25, 2010.

Total compensation for Circuit Executives, the AO Director, and the Deputy AO Director may be up to the Vice President's salary. (Homeland Security Act of 2002, P.L. I07-296, Title XIII, §I322, November 25, 2002; Il6 Stat. 2I 35, at 2297-2298; 5 U.S.C. §5307(d)). This provision also applies to employees paid under 28 U.S.C. §604 which authorizes the AO Director to set compensation for clerks of court, deputies, librarians, criers, messengers, law clerks, secretaries, stenographers, clerical assistants, and other employees of the courts whose compensation is not otherwise fixed by law. Performance appraisal systems for employees, "as designed and applied," must make "meaningful distinctions based on relative performance." The AO Director is responsible for any regulations (which must be consistent with the Office of Personnel Management and Office of Management and Budget regulations for members of the SES and SL and ST employees), certifications, or other measures necessary to implement the provision. Certification is for a period of two calendar years, but may be terminated at any time upon a finding of nonconformance with applicable requirements. Judicial conference policy limits the total compensation of judicial executives to the salary for a U.S. District Court judge.

Justices and judges did not receive a pay adjustment in 2007, and have not received a pay adjustment in 2010, because it was not authorized by Congress. S. 197, to provide the 2007 authorization, passed the Senate by unanimous consent on January 8, 2007, and was referred to the House Committee on the Judiciary, but no
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#### Abstract

further action occurred. S. 1432, to provide the 2010 authorization, was reported to the Senate by the Committee on Appropriations (S.Rept. III-43) on July 9, 2009, but no further action occurred.

Under Article I, Section 6 of the Constitution of the United States, "No Senator or Representative shall, during the Time for which he was elected, be appointed to any civil Office under the Authority of the United States, which shall have been created, or the Emoluments whereof shall have been encreased during such time.... "This is commonly referred to as the Emoluments Clause. P.L. IIO-455 (S.J.Res. 46) enacted on December 19, 2008, provides that the Secretary of State's salary in 2009 will be $\$ 186,600$ (the salary in effect on January I, 2007). S.J.Res. 3, as passed by the Senate on January 6, 2009, and the House of Representatives on January 7, 2009, provides that the Secretary of the Interior's salary in 2009 will be $\$ 180,100$, the salary in effect on January I, 2005.


## Judicial Pay Bill in the $111^{\text {th }}$ Congress

The Federal Judicial Fairness Act of 2009, S. 2725, is currently pending in the $111^{\text {th }}$ Congress. Introduced by Senator Dianne Feinstein on November 3, 2009, and referred to the Senate Committee on the Judiciary, the bill would repeal the provision of law, codified at 28 U.S.C. §461 note, that requires Congress to specifically authorize any salary increases for justices and judges. It also would amend 28 U.S.C. $\S 461$ (a) to provide that justices and judges would receive the same overall average percentage pay adjustment as is authorized each year for the GS, the pay schedule that covers federal white-collar civilian employees in pay grades GS-1 through GS-15.

## Senior Executive Service and Certain Senior-Level Positions

Maximum basic pay rates for members of the Senior Executive Service (SES) and certain seniorlevel positions are tied to the Executive Schedule. An individual in an SES position (1) directs the work of an organizational unit; (2) is held accountable for the success of one or more specific programs or projects; (3) monitors progress toward organizational goals and periodically evaluates and makes appropriate adjustments to such goals; (4) supervises the work of employees other than personal assistants; or (5) otherwise exercises important policy-making, policydetermining, or other executive functions. ${ }^{17}$ Salaries for members of the SES are determined annually by agency heads "under a rigorous performance management system," and range from the minimum rate of basic pay for a senior level (SL) employee ( $120 \%$ of the minimum basic pay rate for GS-15; $\$ 119,554$, as of January 2010) to either EX Level III ( $\$ 165,300$, as of January 2010), in agencies whose performance appraisal systems have not been certified by the Office of Personnel Management (OPM) as making "meaningful distinctions based on relative performance," or EX Level II (\$179,700, as of January 2010), in agencies whose performance appraisal systems have been so certified. ${ }^{18}$ Total compensation ${ }^{19}$ for members of the SES in

[^5]agencies whose performance appraisal systems "as designed and applied" have been certified by OPM may be up to the Vice President's salary ( $\$ 230,700$, as of January 2010) ${ }^{20}$ and up to EX Level I ( $\$ 199,700$, as of January 2010) in agencies whose performance appraisal systems have not been so certified. ${ }^{21}$ Table 2, below, shows January 2004 through January 2010 salaries for the SES.

Table 2. Senior Executive Service (SES) Pay

| Minimum | Maximum | Performance appraisal system status |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Effective January 2004 |  |  |
| \$104,927 | \$145,600 | Agencies without a certified performance appraisal system |
| \$104,927 | \$158,100 | Agencies with a certified performance appraisal system |
| Effective January 2005 |  |  |
| \$107,550 | \$149,200 | Agencies without a certified performance appraisal system |
| \$107,550 | \$162,100 | Agencies with a certified performance appraisal system |
| Effective January 2006 |  |  |
| \$109,808 | \$152,000 | Agencies without a certified performance appraisal system |
| \$109,808 | \$165,200 | Agencies with a certified performance appraisal system |
| Effective January 2007 |  |  |
| \$111,676 | \$154,600 | Agencies without a certified performance appraisal system |
| \$111,676 | \$168,000 | Agencies with a certified performance appraisal system |
| Effective January 2008 |  |  |
| \$114,468 | \$158,500 | Agencies without a certified performance appraisal system |
| \$114,468 | \$172,200 | Agencies with a certified performance appraisal system |
| Effective January 2009 |  |  |
| \$117,787 | \$162,900 | Agencies without a certified performance appraisal system |
| \$117,787 | \$177,000 | Agencies with a certified performance appraisal system |
| Effective January 2010 |  |  |
| \$119,554 | \$165,300 | Agencies without a certified performance appraisal system |
| \$119,554 | \$179,700 | Agencies with a certified performance appraisal system |
| (...continued) |  |  |
| ${ }^{19}$ The term total compensation as used in this report refers to the aggregate of allowances, differentials, bonuses, awards, or other similar cash payments, and basic pay. It does not include advance payments, payments to missing employees, or back pay. The term also does not include travel and transportation allowances, except for recruitment, relocation, and retention bonuses, supervisory differentials, and expenses to obtain professional credentials, or allowances, generally, except for foreign area post differentials and danger pay, nonforeign area post differentials, and physicians comparability allowances. (5 U.S.C. §5307(a)). |  |  |
| ${ }^{20}$ Homeland Security Act of 2002, P.L. 107-296, Title XIII, §1322, November 25, 2002; 116 Stat. 2135, at 2297-2298; 5 U.S.C. $\S 5307$ (d) provided for OPM certification of agency performance appraisal systems with the concurrence of the Office of Management and Budget (OMB). See footnote 188 for the regulations implementing the provision. An agency's certification is for a period of two calendar years, but may be terminated at any time upon a finding that the agency has not conformed with applicable requirements.${ }^{21} 5 \text { U.S.C. } \S 5307(\mathrm{a})(1) .$ |  |  |

The minimum rate of basic pay for certain senior-level positions-positions classified above GS15 (SL pay schedule) and scientific or professional positions (ST pay schedule)-is $120 \%$ of the minimum rate of basic pay for GS-15 (\$119,554, as of January 2010). ${ }^{22}$ SL and ST employees in agencies whose performance appraisal systems have been certified by OPM as making meaningful distinctions in performance, may receive basic pay up to Level II of the Executive Schedule ( $\$ 179,700$, as of January 2010). In agencies whose performance appraisal systems have not been so certified by OPM, SL and ST employees may receive basic pay up to Level III of the Executive Schedule ( $\$ 165,300$, as of January 2010). SL and ST employees no longer receive locality pay. ${ }^{23}$ Total compensation for SL and ST employees in agencies whose performance appraisal systems "as designed and applied" have been certified by OPM may be up to the Vice President's salary ( $\$ 230,700$, as of January 2010) ${ }^{24}$ and up to EX Level I (\$199,700, as of January 2010) in agencies whose performance appraisal systems have not been so certified. ${ }^{25}$

Table 3, below, shows January 2004 through January 2009 salaries for SL and ST employees in the Washington, DC, and "Rest of the United States" locality pay areas ${ }^{26}$ and nationwide for January 2010.

Table 3. Pay for Senior-Level (SL) and Scientific and Professional (ST) Employees

| Washington, DC Pay Area |  | "Rest of the United States" Pay Area |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Minimum | Maximum | Minimum | Maximum |
| Effective January 2004 |  |  |  |
| Basic Pay |  | Basic Pay |  |
| \$104,927 | \$136,900 | \$104,927 | \$136,900 |
| With Locality Pay Adjustment |  | With Locality Pay Adjustment |  |
| \$120,278 | \$145,600 | \$116,364 | \$145,600 |
| Effective January 2005 |  |  |  |
| Basic Pay |  | Basic Pay |  |
| \$107,550 | \$140,300 | \$107,550 | \$140,300 |
| With Locality Pay Adjustment |  | With Locality Pay Adjustment |  |
| \$124,736 | \$149,200 | \$120,155 | \$149,200 |
| Effective January 2006 |  |  |  |

[^6]| Basic Pay |  | Basic Pay |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$109,808 | \$143,000 | \$109,808 | \$143,000 |
| With Locality Pay Adjustment |  | With Locality Pay Adjustment |  |
| \$129,024 | \$152,000 | \$123,556 | \$152,000 |
| Effective January 2007 |  |  |  |
| Basic Pay |  | Basic Pay |  |
| \$111,676 | \$145,400 | \$111,676 | \$145,400 |
| With Locality Pay Adjustment |  | With Locality Pay Adjustment |  |
| \$132,437 | \$154,600 | \$125,792 | \$154,600 |
| Effective January 2008 |  |  |  |
| Basic Pay |  | Basic Pay |  |
| \$114,468 | \$149,000 | \$114,468 | \$149,000 |
| With Locality Pay Adjustment |  | With Locality Pay Adjustment |  |
| \$138,380 | \$158,500 | \$129,555 | \$158,500 |
| Effective January 2009 |  |  |  |
| Basic Pay |  | Basic Pay |  |
| \$117,787 | \$153,200 | \$117,787 | \$153,200 |
| With Locality Pay Adjustments |  | With Locality Pay Adjustment |  |
| \$144,996 | \$162,900 | \$134,1 12 | \$162,900 |
| Nationwide |  |  |  |
| Effective January 2010 |  |  |  |
| Basic Pay |  |  |  |
| Minimum | Maximum | Performance Appraisal Status |  |
| \$119,554 | \$165,300 | Agencies without a certified pe |  |
| \$119,554 | \$179,700 | Agencies with a certified perfo |  |

Note: The Washington, DC, locality pay area is officially named the Washington-Baltimore-Northern Virginia, DC-MD-VA-WV, Combined Statistical Area (CSA), plus the Hagerstown-Martinsburg, MD-WV, Metropolitan Statistical Area, the York-Hanover-Gettysburg, PA, CSA, and King George County, VA.

## General Schedule GS-15 Positions

The GS is the basic pay schedule for federal white-collar employees. It is divided into grades of difficulty and responsibility of work. There are 15 grades and 10 steps within each grade. The duties attached to positions at each grade are stated in statute at 5 U.S.C. §5104. Those for a GS15 , the top level of the schedule, are these:
(A) to perform, under general administrative direction, with very wide latitude for the exercise of independent judgment, work of outstanding difficulty and responsibility along special technical, supervisory, or administrative lines which has demonstrated leadership and exceptional attainments;
(B) to serve as head of a major organization within a bureau involving work of comparable level;
(C) to plan and direct or to plan and execute specialized programs of marked difficulty, responsibility, and national significance, along professional, scientific, technical, administrative, fiscal, or other lines, requiring extended training and experience which has demonstrated leadership and unusual attainments in professional, scientific, or technical research, practice, or administration, or in administrative, fiscal, or other specialized activities; or
(D) to perform consulting or other professional, scientific, technical, administrative, fiscal, or other specialized work of equal importance, difficulty, and responsibility, and requiring comparable qualifications. ${ }^{27}$

GS employees receive an annual adjustment to basic pay and a locality-based comparability payment. EX pay rates provide limitations on GS pay. Basic pay cannot exceed EX Level V ( $\$ 145,700$, as of January 2010); basic pay and locality pay combined cannot exceed EX Level IV ( $\$ 155,500$, as of January 2010); and total compensation cannot exceed EX Level I (\$199,700, as of January 2010)..$^{28}$ GS-15 employees at the upper end of that pay grade in 18 locality pay areas are currently affected by the EX Level IV cap on basic pay and locality pay combined as follows:

- Employees at step 10 in the Dallas-Fort Worth, TX; Denver-Aurora-Boulder, CO; Miami-Fort Lauderdale-Pompano Beach, FL; Minneapolis-St. Paul-St. Cloud, MN-WI; Philadelphia-Camden-Vineland, PA-NJ-DE-MD; Portland-VancouverBeaverton, OR-WA; Sacramento- Arden-Arcade- Yuba City, CA-NV; and Seattle-Tacoma-Olympia, WA, locality pay areas.
- Employees at steps 9 and 10 in the Boston-Worcester-Manchester, MA-NH-RIME; Chicago-Naperville-Michigan City, IL-IN-WI; Detroit-Warren-Flint, MI; Hartford-West Hartford-Willimantic, CT-MA; San Diego-Carlsbad-San Marcos, CA; and Washington-Baltimore-Northern Virginia, DC-MD-VA-WV-PA, locality pay areas.
- Employees at steps 8, 9, and 10 in the Houston-Baytown-Huntsville, TX; Los Angeles-Long Beach-Riverside, CA; and New York-Newark-Bridgeport, NY-NJ-CT-PA, locality pay areas.
- Employees at steps 6, 7, 8, 9, and 10 in the San Jose-San Francisco-Oakland, CA, locality pay area. ${ }^{29}$

Table 4, below, shows January 2004 through January 2010 salaries for employees at GS grade 15 in the Washington, DC, and "Rest of the United States" locality pay areas. ${ }^{30}$

[^7]Table 4. Pay for General Schedule Grade GS-I5

| Washington, DC, Pay Area |  | "Rest of the United States" Pay Area |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Minimum (Step I) | Maximum (Step 10) | Minimum (Step I) | Maximum (Step 10) |
| Effective January 2004 |  |  |  |
| Basic Pay |  | Basic Pay |  |
| \$87,439 | \$113,674 | \$87,439 | \$113,674 |
| With Locality Pay Adjustment |  | With Locality Pay Adjustment |  |
| \$100,231 | \$130,305 | \$96,970 \$126,064 |  |
| Effective January 2005 |  |  |  |
| Basic Pay |  | Basic Pay |  |
| \$89,625 | \$116,517 | $\$ 89,625 \quad \$ 116,517$ |  |
| With Locality Pay Adjustment |  | With Locality Pay Adjustment |  |
| \$103,947 | \$135,136 | \$100,129 | \$130,173 |
| Effective January 2006 |  |  |  |
| Basic Pay |  | Basic Pay |  |
| \$91,507 | \$118,957 | \$91,507 | \$118,957 |
| With Locality Pay Adjustment |  | With Locality Pay Adjustment |  |
| \$107,521 | \$139,774 | \$102,964 | \$133,850 |
| Effective January 2007 |  |  |  |
| Basic Pay |  | Basic Pay |  |
| \$93,063 | \$120,981 | \$93,063 | \$120,981 |
| With Locality Pay Adjustment |  | With Locality Pay Adjustment |  |
| \$110,363 | \$143,471 | \$104,826 | \$136,273 |
| Effective January 2008 |  |  |  |
| Basic Pay |  | Basic Pay |  |
| \$95,390 | \$124,010 | \$95,390 | \$124,010 |
| With Locality Pay Adjustment |  | With Locality Pay Adjustment |  |
| \$115,317 | \$149,000 | \$107,962 | \$140,355 |
| Effective January 2009 |  |  |  |
| Basic Pay |  | Basic Pay |  |
| \$98,156 | \$127,604 | \$98,156 | \$127,604 |
| With Locality Pay Adjustments |  | With Locality Pay Adjustment |  |
| \$120,830 | \$153,200 | \$111,760 | \$145,290 |
| Effective January 2010 |  |  |  |
| Basic Pay |  | Basic Pay |  |
| \$99,628 | \$129,517 | \$99,628 | \$129,517 |
| With Locality Pay Adjustments |  | With Locality Pay | nent |


| Washington, DC, Pay Area |  | "Rest of the United States" Pay Area |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Minimum (Step I) | Maximum (Step 10) | Minimum (Step I) | Maximum (Step I0) |
| $\$ 123,758$ | $\$ 155,500$ | $\$ 113,735$ | $\$ 147,857$ |

Note: The Washington, DC, locality pay area is officially named the Washington-Baltimore-Northern Virginia, DC-MD-VA-WV, Combined Statistical Area (CSA), plus the Hagerstown-Martinsburg, MD-WV, Metropolitan Statistical Area, the York-Hanover-Gettysburg, PA, CSA, and King George County, VA.
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