

## CONGRESSIONAL BUDGET OFFICE U.S. CONGRESS WASHINGTON, DC 20515

March 24, 2000

Honorable John R. Kasich Chairman Committee on the Budget U.S. House of Representatives Washington, DC 20515

Dear Mr. Chairman:

This is in response to your February 18 letter requesting information about CBO's analysis of the President's budget for fiscal year 2001. Specifically, you asked CBO to:

- Compare the President's budget proposal with each of the three variations of the CBO baseline;
- Compare estimates of discretionary spending already enacted for fiscal year 2000 with the President's request for 2001;
- Total the number of programs that would be increased or decreased under the President's proposals; and
- Provide a list of the major programs whose spending would be increased or decreased.

Table 1 shows projected budget authority and outlays for discretionary programs under the President's proposals and each of CBO's baseline variations. Table 2 shows the difference, in each year, between the President's proposals (as estimated by CBO) and each of the baseline variations. The President's request is significantly above the inflated variation for next year and slightly above most years thereafter and is substantially higher than either the freeze or capped variations. After adjusting for various timing shifts and other anomalies, CBO estimates that the President's budget would increase spending authority for discretionary programs by about 7 percent in 2001—from \$621 billion in 2000 to \$664 billion in 2001 (see Table 3).

Honorable John R. Kasich Page 2

Out of a total of about 900 discretionary accounts, the President has proposed to add to approximately 570 accounts, for an increase in spending authority of about \$63 billion. He has requested decreases in approximately 150 accounts for a reduction of \$20 billion. (The remaining 180 accounts would be held at their 2000 levels.) The net increase is \$43 billion. The largest proposed increases in absolute terms are for defense, housing, highways, and school renovation. The largest proposed reductions are for the census, foreign military financing, and Navy procurement accounts (see Table 4).

I hope this information is helpful to you. If you wish any further details, the CBO staff contact is Janet Airis.

Sincerely,

Dan L. Crippen Director

Attachments: Tables 1 - 4

cc: Honorable John M. Spratt Jr. Ranking Member

Table 1.
Estimates of Discretionary Spending Under the President's Budgetary Proposals and CBO's Baseline Projections (By fiscal year, in billions of dollars)

	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
CE	O's Estima	ite of Tot	al Discre	etionary	Spendin	g in the I	Presiden	t's Budg	jet		
Budget Authority											
Defense Nondefense	292 <u>281</u>	306 <u>318</u>	310 <u>320</u>	316 <u>323</u>	324 <u>330</u>	332 <u>337</u>	341 <u>346</u>	350 <u>355</u>	359 <u>364</u>	368 <u>373</u>	378 <u>383</u>
Nonderense	<u>201</u>	310	<u>320</u>	<u>323</u>	<u>330</u>	<u>337</u>	<u>540</u>	333	304	<u>373</u>	300
Total	573	625	629	639	654	670	687	705	723	741	76°
Γotal Outlays											
Defense	289	295	303	310	318	329	333	339	352	362	372
Nondefense	<u>322</u>	<u>340</u>	<u>353</u>	<u>360</u>	<u>366</u>	<u>373</u>	<u>381</u>	<u>390</u>	<u>399</u>	<u>408</u>	416
Total	611	635	656	670	684	701	714	729	752	770	788
CBO's Baseline Pro	jections As	suming	That Dis	cretiona	ry Spend	ling Gro	ws at the	Rate of	Inflation	After 20	00
Budget Authority											
Defense	290	298	305	313	320	328	336	344	352	361	369
Nondefense	<u>280</u>	<u>309</u>	<u>317</u>	<u>325</u>	<u>333</u>	<u>341</u>	<u>350</u>	<u>358</u>	<u>367</u>	<u>376</u>	<u>385</u>
Total	570	607	622	638	654	669	685	702	719	737	754
Total Outlays											
Defense	284	296	301	308	316	326	331	336	347	356	364
Nondefense	<u>320</u>	<u>340</u>	<u>349</u>	<u>359</u>	<u>366</u>	<u>374</u>	<u>382</u>	<u>391</u>	<u>399</u>	<u>409</u>	<u>418</u>
Total	603	635	650	667	682	700	714	727	747	764	782
CBO's Baseline Pro	ojections A	ssuming	That Dis	cretiona	ıry Spen	ding Is F	rozen at	the Leve	el Enacte	ed for 20	00
Budget Authority											
Defense	290	290	290	290	290	290	290	290	290	290	290
Nondefense	<u>280</u>	<u>296</u>									
Total	570	586	586	586	586	586	586	586	586	586	586
Total Outlays											
Defense	284	290	288	289	289	291	289	287	289	289	289
Nondefense	<u>320</u>	<u>335</u>	<u>338</u>	<u>340</u>	<u>335</u>	<u>334</u>	<u>334</u>	<u>333</u>	<u>332</u>	<u>332</u>	<u>332</u>
Total	603	625	627	628	623	625	622	620	621	621	62
CBO's Baseline Proje			at Discre						of the St	atutory (	Caps
Budget Authority	570	541	550	564	578	593	607	623	638	654	671
Outlays	603	579	571	585	600	615	630	646	662	679	699

Table 2.

Differences Between CBO's Estimate of the President's Budget and CBO's Baseline Projections for Discretionary Spending (By fiscal year, in billions of dollars)

	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Difference Between CBO's Estimate of the President's Budget and the Inflated Baseline Variation											
Budget Authority											
Defense	2	8	5	3	3	4	5	6	7	7	9
Nondefense	<u>1</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>-2</u>	<u>-3</u>	<u>-4</u>	<u>-3</u>	<u>-3</u>	<u>-3</u>	<u>-3</u>	<u>-2</u>
Total	4	17	7	1	0	0	2	3	4	5	6
Total Outlays											
Defense	5	-1	2	2	2	2	2	3	5	7	8
Nondefense	<u>3</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>-1</u>	<u>-1</u>	<u>-1</u>	<u>-0</u>	<u>-1</u>	<u>-2</u>
Total	8	-1	6	3	3	1	1	2	5	6	6
Difference	Between CBO	's Estim	ate of the	e Presido	ent's Bu	dget and	the Free	ze Base	line Varia	ation	
Budget Authority											
Defense	2	16	20	26	34	42	51	60	69	78	88
Nondefense	<u>1</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>34</u>	<u>41</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>59</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>77</u>	<u>87</u>
Total	3	39	43	53	68	84	101	119	137	155	175
Total Outlays											
Defense	5	5	15	21	30	37	44	52	63	73	83
Nondefense	<u>3</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>39</u>	<u>48</u>	<u>57</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>76</u>	<u>84</u>
Total	8	10	29	42	61	76	92	109	131	149	167
Difference E	Between CBO	s Estima	ate of the	Preside	nt's Bud	lget and	the Cap	oed Base	eline Vari	iation	
Budget Authority	4	83	79	75	76	77	79	82	85	87	90
Outlays	8	56	85	85	84	87	84	83	90	92	89

SOURCE: Congressional Budget Office.

NOTE: Figures represent CBO's estimate of the President's budget minus the baseline projections.

Table 3.

Comparison of Discretionary Spending Enacted for 2000 with the President's Request for 2001 (By fiscal year, in billions of dollars)

	Defence Discretionery			efense	Total Discretionary		
	Defense Discretionary 2000 2001		Discretionary 2000 2001		2000 2001		
	Enacted	Request	Enacted	Request	Enacted	Request	
CBO's Estimate of Discretionary Spending							
(excluding obligation limitations)							
Budget authority	290	306	280	318	570	625	
Outlays	284	295	319	340	603	635	
Adjustments							
Advance appropriations							
Budget authority	0	0	14	0	14	0	
Outlays	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Normalizing pay dates and removing							
obligation delays							
Budget authority	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Outlays	2	-2	3	-3	6	-6	
Incorporating obligation limitations for transportation programs							
Obligation limitations	0	0	34	37	34	37	
Outlays	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Other <sup>a</sup>							
Budget authority	0	0	2	3	2	3	
Outlays	0	4	-1	4	-1	8	
CBO's Adjusted Estimate of Discretionary Spending (including obligation limitations) Budget authority or obligation							
limitations	290	306	331	358	621	664	
Outlays	286	296	322	341	608	637	
Memorandum: CBO Baseline (including obligation limitations) Inflated variation							
Budget authority or obligation							
limitations	290	298	314	344	604	642	
Outlays	284	296	320	340	603	635	
Freeze variation							
Budget authority or obligation			<b>.</b>				
limitations	290	290	314	331	604	621	
Outlays	284	290	320	335	603	625	

SOURCE: Congressional Budget Office.

a. Adjustments remove proposed mandatory offsets, proposed user fees, reclassifications, effects of proposed supplemental appropriations for fiscal year 2000, rescissions of prior-year budget authority, and payment shifts across fiscal years.

Table 4.
Large Increases and Decreases in the President's Request for Fiscal Year 2001
Compared with Levels Enacted for 2000

	Budget A			
	2000 Enacted	2001 Request	Difference	Percentage Change
Inci	reases			
Shipbuilding and conversion, Navy	6.9	12.3	5.4	77
Housing certificate fund	11.4	14.1	2.7	24
Overseas contingency operations transfer fund	1.7	4.1	2.4	139
Operation and maintenance, Air Force	20.3	22.3	2.0	10
Federal-aid highways (Obligation limitation)	27.5	29.3	1.8	7
School renovation program account	0	1.3	1.3	new
Aircraft procurement, Air Force	8.3	9.5	1.2	15
RDT&E, Defensewide	9.2	10.2	1.1	12
Veterans' medical care	19.5	20.6	1.1	6
Missile procurement, Air Force	2.1	3.1	1.0	49
Children and families services programs	6.7	7.7	0.9	14
School improvement programs	3.0	3.9	0.9	30
Student financial assistance	9.4	10.3	0.9	9
Payments to states for the child care and				
development block grant	1.2	2.0	8.0	69
Operation and maintenance, Navy	22.5	23.3	8.0	3
Federal buildings fund	*	0.7	8.0	n.a.
Community-oriented policing services	0.6	1.3	0.7	124
Corps of Engineers, operation and maintenance	1.1	1.8	0.7	63
Trust fund share of FAA operations	5.9	6.6	0.7	12
Federal prison systems, salaries and expenses	3.1	3.5	0.4	14
Dec	reases			
Periodic censuses and programs	4.6	0.5	-4.1	-88
Foreign military financing program	4.8	3.5	-1.3	-26
Other procurement, Navy	4.3	3.3	-0.9	-22
Aircraft procurement, Navy	8.5	8.0	-0.6	-7
EPA, State and tribal assistance grants	3.4	2.9	-0.5	-16
RDT&E, Navy	9.0	8.5	-0.5	-6
RDT&E, Air Force	14.2	13.7	-0.5	-4
Economic support fund	2.8	2.3	-0.5	-17
Payments of arrearages to the United Nations and other				
international organizations	0.4	0	-0.4	-100
National defense sealift fund	0.7	0.4	-0.3	-45
AID, Sustainable development assistance	1.2	0	-0.3	-22
Military construction, Air Force	8.0	0.5	-0.3	-33
HHS, general departmental management	8.0	0.5	-0.2	-30
Military construction, Air National Guard	0.3	0.1	-0.2	-81
Working capital fund, Army	1.1	0.9	-0.2	-17
Health resources and services	4.6	4.4	-0.2	-4
Military construction, Army National Guard	0.2	0.1	-0.2	-75
SBA, salaries and expenses	0.3	0.2	-0.2	-49
Military construction, Navy	0.9	0.8	-0.1	-16
Military construction, Army	1.0	0.9	-0.1	-13

SOURCE: Congressional Budget Office.

NOTES: RDT&E = research, development, test, and evaluation; n.a. = not applicable; FAA = Federal Aviation Administration; EPA = Environmental Protection Agency; AID = Agency for International Development; HHS = Department of Health and Human Services; SBA = Small Business Administration.

<sup>\* =</sup> less than -\$50 million.