

  
(Original Signature of Member)

111<sup>TH</sup> CONGRESS  
2<sup>D</sup> SESSION

**H. R. 5892**

To provide for the conservation and development of water and related resources, to authorize the Secretary of the Army to construct various projects for improvements to rivers and harbors of the United States, and for other purposes.

---

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Mr. OBERSTAR (for himself and Ms. EDDIE BERNICE JOHNSON of Texas) introduced the following bill; which was referred to the Committee on

---

**A BILL**

To provide for the conservation and development of water and related resources, to authorize the Secretary of the Army to construct various projects for improvements to rivers and harbors of the United States, and for other purposes.

1 *Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-*  
2 *tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,*

3 **SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE; TABLE OF CONTENTS.**

4 (a) SHORT TITLE.—This Act may be cited as the  
5 “Water Resources Development Act of 2010”.

1 (b) TABLE OF CONTENTS.—The table of contents for  
2 this Act is as follows:

- Sec. 1. Short title; table of contents.
- Sec. 2. Definition of Secretary.

TITLE I—WATER RESOURCES PROJECTS

- Sec. 1001. Project authorizations.
- Sec. 1002. Small projects for flood damage reduction.
- Sec. 1003. Small projects for emergency streambank protection.
- Sec. 1004. Small projects for navigation.
- Sec. 1005. Small projects for improvement of the quality of the environment.
- Sec. 1006. Small projects for aquatic ecosystem and estuary restoration.
- Sec. 1007. Small projects for shoreline protection.
- Sec. 1008. Small projects for aquatic plant control.

TITLE II—GENERAL PROVISIONS

- Sec. 2001. Credit for in-kind contributions.
- Sec. 2002. Fish and wildlife mitigation.
- Sec. 2003. Remote and subsistence harbors.
- Sec. 2004. Revision of project partnership agreement.
- Sec. 2005. Independent peer review.
- Sec. 2006. Safety assurance review.
- Sec. 2007. Funding for harbor maintenance programs.
- Sec. 2008. Funding to process permits.
- Sec. 2009. Project modifications for improvement of environment.
- Sec. 2010. Aquatic ecosystem and estuary restoration.
- Sec. 2011. Operation and maintenance of navigation and hydroelectric facilities.
- Sec. 2012. Repeal.
- Sec. 2013. Cost estimates for feasibility reports.
- Sec. 2014. Mitigation status report.

TITLE III—PROJECT-RELATED PROVISIONS

- Sec. 3001. Douglas Harbor, Juneau, Alaska.
- Sec. 3002. Nogales Wash and tributaries flood control project, Arizona.
- Sec. 3003. Rio de Flag, Arizona.
- Sec. 3004. Tres Rios, Arizona.
- Sec. 3005. Russian River project, Sonoma County, California.
- Sec. 3006. South Sacramento County streams, California.
- Sec. 3007. Chatfield Reservoir, Colorado.
- Sec. 3008. Rio Grande environmental management program, Colorado, New Mexico, and Texas.
- Sec. 3009. Potomac River, Washington, District of Columbia.
- Sec. 3010. Kissimmee River restoration, Florida.
- Sec. 3011. Ponce de Leon Inlet, Florida.
- Sec. 3012. Savannah Harbor expansion, Georgia.
- Sec. 3013. Chicago Sanitary and Ship Canal dispersal barriers project, Illinois.
- Sec. 3014. Lower Ohio River, Illinois and Kentucky.
- Sec. 3015. Wood River levee system reconstruction, Madison County, Illinois.
- Sec. 3016. Little Calumet River, Indiana.
- Sec. 3017. Rhodes Point Jetty, Smith Island, Maryland.
- Sec. 3018. Muddy River, Brookline and Boston, Massachusetts.

- Sec. 3019. Ada, Minnesota.
- Sec. 3020. Montevideo, Minnesota.
- Sec. 3021. Two Harbors, Minnesota.
- Sec. 3022. Blue River basin, Kansas City, Missouri.
- Sec. 3023. Lower Assunpink Creek, Trenton, New Jersey.
- Sec. 3024. Ocean Gate, Ocean County, New Jersey.
- Sec. 3025. Orchard Beach, Bronx, New York.
- Sec. 3026. Spring Creek, New York.
- Sec. 3027. Hocking River basin, Monday Creek, Ohio.
- Sec. 3028. Lower Columbia River and Tillamook Bay ecosystem restoration, Oregon and Washington.
- Sec. 3029. Corpus Christi Ship Channel, Corpus Christi, Texas.
- Sec. 3030. Dallas Floodway, Dallas, Texas.
- Sec. 3031. Houston-Galveston navigation channels, Texas.
- Sec. 3032. Project reauthorizations.
- Sec. 3033. Project deauthorizations.

## TITLE IV—STUDIES

- Sec. 4001. Hollis, Alaska.
- Sec. 4002. Bullard Wash, Goodyear, Arizona.
- Sec. 4003. Lower Santa Cruz River, Casa Grande, Arizona.
- Sec. 4004. Maricopa County, Arizona.
- Sec. 4005. Ouachita River, Ouachita, Union, and Ashley Counties, Arkansas.
- Sec. 4006. Oil Trough, Arkansas.
- Sec. 4007. Randolph County, Arkansas.
- Sec. 4008. Berkeley Marina, Berkeley, California.
- Sec. 4009. Chelsea Wetlands, Hercules, California.
- Sec. 4010. Colorado Lagoon and Alamitos Bay, Long Beach, California.
- Sec. 4011. Lodi Lake, Lodi, California.
- Sec. 4012. Oakland-Inner Harbor Tidal Canal, Oakland, California.
- Sec. 4013. Noyo Harbor District, Noyo, California.
- Sec. 4014. Port of San Francisco, San Francisco, California.
- Sec. 4015. Redwood City Navigation Channel, California.
- Sec. 4016. Rialto Channel and Cactus Channel, Rialto, California.
- Sec. 4017. Sacramento Regional Sanitation District, Sacramento, California.
- Sec. 4018. San Pablo Bay, Hercules, California.
- Sec. 4019. Stockton, California.
- Sec. 4020. Tijuana River environmental restoration, San Diego, California.
- Sec. 4021. Tijuana River wetlands restoration, San Diego County, California.
- Sec. 4022. Ventura River, Ventura County, California.
- Sec. 4023. Willowbrook, Los Angeles County, California.
- Sec. 4024. Fountain Spring watershed, Pueblo, Colorado.
- Sec. 4025. Ralston Creek, Arvada, Colorado.
- Sec. 4026. Holly Pond and Norotan River, Stamford, Connecticut.
- Sec. 4027. Housatonic River, New Milford, Connecticut.
- Sec. 4028. Long Island Sound and Mill River, Stamford, Connecticut.
- Sec. 4029. Meriden, Connecticut.
- Sec. 4030. South Cove, Old Saybrook, Connecticut.
- Sec. 4031. West River, New Haven Harbor, West Haven, Connecticut.
- Sec. 4032. Chesapeake Bay, Delaware, Maryland, and Virginia.
- Sec. 4033. Washington, District of Columbia.
- Sec. 4034. Lake County, Florida.
- Sec. 4035. Marion County, Florida.
- Sec. 4036. Miami, Florida.

- Sec. 4037. Oakland Park, Florida.
- Sec. 4038. Riviera Beach, Florida.
- Sec. 4039. South Daytona, Florida.
- Sec. 4040. Tampa, Florida.
- Sec. 4041. Peavine Creek, Decatur, Georgia.
- Sec. 4042. Richland Creek, Lawrenceville, Georgia.
- Sec. 4043. Study for water supply, Georgia.
- Sec. 4044. Suwannee Creek, Lawrenceville, Georgia.
- Sec. 4045. Agat and Merizo, Guam.
- Sec. 4046. Waiakea Stream and Palai Stream, Hilo, Hawaii.
- Sec. 4047. Waialua-Kaiaka watershed, Oahu, Hawaii.
- Sec. 4048. Albany Park, Chicago, Illinois.
- Sec. 4049. Carpenter Creek, Carpentersville, Illinois.
- Sec. 4050. Des Plaines River, Cook County, Illinois.
- Sec. 4051. Ferson-Otter Creek Dam, St. Charles, Illinois.
- Sec. 4052. Middle Mississippi River, Illinois and Missouri.
- Sec. 4053. North Branch of the Chicago River, Chicago, Illinois.
- Sec. 4054. River Park and Ronan Park, North Branch of the Chicago River, Chicago, Illinois.
- Sec. 4055. Thillens Park, North Branch of the Chicago River, Chicago, Illinois.
- Sec. 4056. Village of Skokie, Illinois.
- Sec. 4057. Bowman Creek, South Bend, Indiana.
- Sec. 4058. Lake Michigan watershed, Indiana.
- Sec. 4059. Burlington, Iowa.
- Sec. 4060. Beneficial use of dredged material, Louisiana and Mississippi.
- Sec. 4061. Jesuit Bend, Plaquemines Parish, Louisiana.
- Sec. 4062. LaBranche Wetlands, St. Charles and St. John Counties, Louisiana.
- Sec. 4063. Ruth Canal freshwater diversion, Vermilion, Louisiana.
- Sec. 4064. Anacostia River watershed, Prince George's County, Maryland.
- Sec. 4065. Chesapeake Bay Shoreline study, Maryland, Pennsylvania, and Virginia.
- Sec. 4066. Dredged material disposal, Baltimore Harbor, Maryland.
- Sec. 4067. Mid-Chesapeake Bay Island recreation and public access, Maryland.
- Sec. 4068. Capisic Brook, Portland, Maine.
- Sec. 4069. Fishing and Gooseberry Islands, Kittery, Maine.
- Sec. 4070. Southern Maine/New Hampshire dredged material disposal study, Maine and New Hampshire.
- Sec. 4071. Assabet, Charles, and Sudbury watersheds, Middlesex and Essex Counties, Massachusetts.
- Sec. 4072. Hoosic River watershed, North Adams, Massachusetts.
- Sec. 4073. Mystic River watershed, Massachusetts.
- Sec. 4074. Quequechan River, Fall River, Massachusetts.
- Sec. 4075. Clinton River, Clinton Township, Michigan.
- Sec. 4076. Hamilton Dam, Flint, Michigan.
- Sec. 4077. Upper Peninsula Flood Recovery, Michigan.
- Sec. 4078. Amory, Mississippi.
- Sec. 4079. Coastal Mississippi ecosystem restoration, Mississippi.
- Sec. 4080. Fulton, Mississippi.
- Sec. 4081. Gulfport, Mississippi.
- Sec. 4082. Lucedale, Mississippi.
- Sec. 4083. Magby Creek and Vernon Branch, Lowndes County, Mississippi.
- Sec. 4084. Blue River basin, Kansas City, Missouri.
- Sec. 4085. Little Blue River, Jackson County, Missouri.
- Sec. 4086. St. Louis, Missouri.
- Sec. 4087. Las Vegas Wash, Las Vegas, Nevada.

- Sec. 4088. New Hampshire.
- Sec. 4089. Piscataqua River, New Hampshire.
- Sec. 4090. Barnegat Bay watershed, Ocean and Monmouth Counties, New Jersey.
- Sec. 4091. Beverly, New Jersey.
- Sec. 4092. Borough of Pine Beach, New Jersey.
- Sec. 4093. Haddon Township, New Jersey.
- Sec. 4094. Rahway River watershed, New Jersey.
- Sec. 4095. Third River, Belleville, Bloomfield, and Nutley, New Jersey.
- Sec. 4096. Passaic River Channel, Nutley, New Jersey.
- Sec. 4097. Township of Ocean, New Jersey.
- Sec. 4098. Preakness Brook, Wayne, New Jersey.
- Sec. 4099. Dona Ana, New Mexico.
- Sec. 4100. Hidalgo County, New Mexico.
- Sec. 4101. Otero County, New Mexico.
- Sec. 4102. Valencia County, New Mexico.
- Sec. 4103. Glen Cove, New York.
- Sec. 4104. Hawtree basin, Hamilton Beach, New York.
- Sec. 4105. Kill van Kull, Port Richmond, Staten Island, New York.
- Sec. 4106. Mariners Marsh and Arlington Marsh, Staten Island, New York.
- Sec. 4107. New York, New York.
- Sec. 4108. Norton Basin Inlet, Far Rockaway, New York.
- Sec. 4109. Queens, New York.
- Sec. 4110. Rockaway Beach Seawall, Rockaway, New York.
- Sec. 4111. Roosevelt island, East River, New York, New York.
- Sec. 4112. Charlotte, North Carolina.
- Sec. 4113. Nantahala River, Swain, North Carolina.
- Sec. 4114. Missouri River and tributaries, South and Central North Dakota, North Dakota.
- Sec. 4115. Big Creek watershed, Ohio.
- Sec. 4116. Brandywine Creek watershed, Ohio.
- Sec. 4117. Carlisle Township, Lorain County, Ohio.
- Sec. 4118. Cuyahoga River watershed and Tuscarawas River watershed, Summit County, Ohio.
- Sec. 4119. Euclid Creek watershed, Ohio.
- Sec. 4120. Healy Creek, Brunswick, Ohio.
- Sec. 4121. Lower Maumee River, Toledo, Ohio.
- Sec. 4122. Ohio River, Ohio.
- Sec. 4123. Shaker Lakes, Shaker Heights and Cleveland Heights, Ohio.
- Sec. 4124. Stark County, Ohio.
- Sec. 4125. Tinkers Creek watershed, Ohio.
- Sec. 4126. Upper Tuscarawas River, Cuyahoga County, Ohio.
- Sec. 4127. West Creek watershed, Ohio.
- Sec. 4128. Yellow Creek and Short Creek, Jefferson County, Ohio.
- Sec. 4129. Ferry Creek Reservoir, Brookings, Oregon.
- Sec. 4130. Oregon Navigation Jetties and Breakwaters, Oregon.
- Sec. 4131. Port Orford, Oregon.
- Sec. 4132. Buhl Lake, Sharon, Pennsylvania.
- Sec. 4133. Delaware River and tributaries, Bucks County, Pennsylvania.
- Sec. 4134. Elk Creek, Meadville, Pennsylvania.
- Sec. 4135. Mill Creek, Erie, Pennsylvania.
- Sec. 4136. Susquehanna River, Pennsylvania.
- Sec. 4137. Western Pennsylvania flood damage reduction.
- Sec. 4138. Guayama, Puerto Rico.
- Sec. 4139. Rincon, Puerto Rico.

- Sec. 4140. Providence, Rhode Island.
- Sec. 4141. South Carolina.
- Sec. 4142. James River, South Dakota.
- Sec. 4143. Station Camp Creek, Gallatin, Tennessee.
- Sec. 4144. Brazos River, Texas.
- Sec. 4145. Hickory Creek, City of Balch Springs, Texas.
- Sec. 4146. Houston-Galveston Navigation Channels (Barbours Cut), Texas.
- Sec. 4147. Port of Galveston, Texas.
- Sec. 4148. Simsboro Aquifer, City of Balstrup, Texas.
- Sec. 4149. Navasota River watershed, Grimes County, Texas.
- Sec. 4150. Rio Grande basin, Texas.
- Sec. 4151. Roma, Texas.
- Sec. 4152. Cottonwood Heights, Utah.
- Sec. 4153. Emery Town, Utah.
- Sec. 4154. Big Sandy River reallocation study, Virginia and West Virginia.
- Sec. 4155. Buckroe and Grandview Beaches, Hampton, Virginia.
- Sec. 4156. Fort Monroe, Hampton, Virginia.
- Sec. 4157. Hampton, Virginia.
- Sec. 4158. James River watershed, Virginia.
- Sec. 4159. Elliott Bay, Seattle, Washington.
- Sec. 4160. Green River, Kent, Washington.
- Sec. 4161. Vancouver Lake watershed, Vancouver, Washington.
- Sec. 4162. Lake Michigan shoreline, City of Cudahy, Wisconsin.

#### TITLE V—MISCELLANEOUS

- Sec. 5001. Chesapeake Bay environmental restoration and protection program.
- Sec. 5002. Saint Lawrence Seaway.
- Sec. 5003. Watershed management.
- Sec. 5004. Comprehensive shoreline restoration.
- Sec. 5005. Northeast Coastal Region ecosystem restoration.
- Sec. 5006. Anacostia watershed, District of Columbia and Maryland.
- Sec. 5007. Egmont Key, Florida.
- Sec. 5008. Cambridge, Maryland.
- Sec. 5009. Hart-Miller Island, Maryland.
- Sec. 5010. Gallops Island, Boston, Massachusetts.
- Sec. 5011. Sharkey County, Mississippi.
- Sec. 5012. Sense of Congress on the promotion of General Michael J. Walsh to Major General, United States Army.

#### 1 **SEC. 2. DEFINITION OF SECRETARY.**

- 2       In this Act, the term “Secretary” means the Sec-  
3       retary of the Army.

1       **TITLE I—WATER RESOURCES**  
2                                   **PROJECTS**

3       **SEC. 1001. PROJECT AUTHORIZATIONS.**

4           Except as otherwise provided in this section, the fol-  
5       lowing projects for water resources development and con-  
6       servation and other purposes are authorized to be carried  
7       out by the Secretary substantially in accordance with the  
8       plans, and subject to the conditions, described in the re-  
9       spective reports designated in this section:

10                   (1) MID-CHESAPEAKE BAY ISLAND ECOSYSTEM  
11       RESTORATION PROJECT, CHESAPEAKE BAY, DOR-  
12       CHESTER COUNTY, MARYLAND.—The project for eco-  
13       system restoration, Mid-Chesapeake Bay Island Eco-  
14       system Restoration Project, Chesapeake Bay, Dor-  
15       chester County, Maryland: Report of the Chief of  
16       Engineers dated August 24, 2009, at a total cost of  
17       \$1,612,000,000, with an estimated Federal cost of  
18       \$1,045,000,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost  
19       of \$567,000,000.

20                   (2) MISSISSIPPI COASTAL IMPROVEMENTS PRO-  
21       GRAM, HANCOCK, HARRISON, AND JACKSON COUN-  
22       TIES, MISSISSIPPI.—The project for hurricane and  
23       storm damage reduction, Mississippi Coastal Im-  
24       provements Program, Hancock, Harrison, and Jack-  
25       son Counties, Mississippi: Report of the Chief of En-

1       gineers dated September 15, 2009, at a total cost of  
2       \$1,182,600,000, with an estimated Federal cost of  
3       \$746,750,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost of  
4       \$435,850,000.

5           (3) WEST ONSLOW BEACH AND NEW RIVER  
6       INLET (TOPSAIL BEACH), PENDER COUNTY, NORTH  
7       CAROLINA.—The project for hurricane and storm  
8       damage reduction, West Onslow Beach and New  
9       River Inlet (Topsail Beach), Pender County, North  
10      Carolina: Report of the Chief of Engineers dated  
11      September 28, 2009, at a total cost of \$32,131,000,  
12      with an estimated Federal cost of \$20,708,000 and  
13      an estimated non-Federal cost of \$11,423,000, and  
14      at an estimated total cost of \$113,904,000 for peri-  
15      odic beach nourishment over the 50-year life of the  
16      project, with an estimated Federal cost of  
17      \$56,952,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost of  
18      \$56,952,000.

19   **SEC. 1002. SMALL PROJECTS FOR FLOOD DAMAGE REDUC-**  
20                            **TION.**

21       The Secretary shall conduct a study for each of the  
22      following projects and, if the Secretary determines that  
23      a project is feasible, may carry out the project under sec-  
24      tion 205 of the Flood Control Act of 1948 (33 U.S.C.  
25      701s):



1           (1) DEL ROSA CHANNEL, SAN BERNADINO,  
2 CALIFORNIA.—Project for flood damage reduction,  
3 Del Rosa Channel, San Bernadino, California.

4           (2) LAGUNA CREEK, VACAVILLE, CALI-  
5 FORNIA.—Project for flood damage reduction, La-  
6 guna Creek, Vacaville, California.

7           (3) ULATIS CREEK, VACAVILLE, CALIFORNIA.—  
8 Project for flood damage reduction, Ulati Creek,  
9 Vacaville, California.

10          (4) SANDERSON GULCH, DENVER, COLORADO.—  
11 Project for flood damage reduction, Sanderson  
12 Gulch, Denver, Colorado.

13          (5) WILLOW CREEK, CREEDE, COLORADO.—  
14 Project for flood damage reduction, Willow Creek,  
15 Creede, Colorado.

16          (6) BIG ECON RIVER, ORANGE, FLORIDA.—  
17 Project for flood damage reduction, Big Econ River,  
18 Orange, Florida.

19          (7) BAY GALL CREEK, WARNER ROBBINS, GEOR-  
20 GIA.—Project for flood damage reduction, Bay Gall  
21 Creek, Warner Robbins, Georgia.

22          (8) DES PLAINES RIVER, PARK RIDGE, ILLI-  
23 NOIS.—Project for flood damage reduction, Des  
24 Plaines River, Park Ridge, Illinois.

1           (9) KISHWAUKEE RIVER, DEKALB, ILLINOIS.—  
2       Project for flood damage reduction, Kishwaukee  
3       River, DeKalb, Illinois.

4           (10) NAVAJO CREEK, PALOS HEIGHTS, ILLI-  
5       NOIS.—Project for flood damage reduction, Navajo  
6       Creek, Palos Heights, Illinois.

7           (11) STONY CREEK, OAK LAWN, ILLINOIS.—  
8       Project for flood damage reduction, Stony Creek,  
9       Oak Lawn, Illinois.

10          (12) VICINITY OF THE 71ST STREET DITCH,  
11       JUSTICE, ILLINOIS.—Project for flood damage re-  
12       duction, in the vicinity of the 71st Street Ditch, Jus-  
13       tice, Illinois.

14          (13) WEST BRANCH OF MILL CREEK, PALOS  
15       PARK, ILLINOIS.—Project for flood damage reduc-  
16       tion, West Branch of Mill Creek, Palos Park, Illi-  
17       nois.

18          (14) DRY RUN CREEK, WATERLOO, IOWA.—  
19       Project for flood damage reduction, Dry Run Creek,  
20       Waterloo, Iowa.

21          (15) POND MILL AND BLACK POND CREEK,  
22       LOUISVILLE, KENTUCKY.—Project for flood damage  
23       reduction, Pond Mill and Black Pond Creek, Louis-  
24       ville, Kentucky.

1           (16) BALTIMORE CITY, MARYLAND.—Project  
2           for flood damage reduction, Baltimore City, Mary-  
3           land, in the vicinity of Druid Hill Park.

4           (17) PINE TREE BROOK, AVON, MASSACHU-  
5           SETTS.—Project for flood damage reduction, Pine  
6           Tree Brook, Avon, Massachusetts.

7           (18) PINE TREE BROOK, MILTON, MASSACHU-  
8           SETTS.—Project for flood damage reduction, Pine  
9           Tree Brook, Milton, Massachusetts.

10          (19) HARDING CANAL SEAWALL, DETROIT,  
11          MICHIGAN.—Project for flood damage reduction,  
12          Harding Canal Seawall, Detroit, Michigan.

13          (20) BIG RIVER, JEFFERSON, MISSOURI.—  
14          Project for flood damage reduction, Big River, Jef-  
15          ferson, Missouri.

16          (21) SAW MILL RIVER BASIN, GREEHBURGH,  
17          NEW YORK.—Project for flood damage reduction,  
18          Saw Mill River basin, Greehburgh, New York.

19          (22) SPARKILL CREEK, ORANGETOWN, NEW  
20          YORK.—Project for flood damage reduction, Sparkill  
21          Creek, Orangetown, New York.

22          (23) INDEPENDENCE, OHIO.—Project for flood  
23          damage reduction, Independence, Ohio.

24          (24) VALLEY VIEW, OHIO.—Project for flood  
25          damage reduction, Valley View, Ohio.

1 (25) WINYEH BAY, GEORGETOWN, SOUTH CARO-  
2 LINA.—Project for flood damage reduction, Winyeh  
3 Bay, Georgetown, South Carolina.

4 (26) DEL RIO, VAL VERDE, TEXAS.—Project for  
5 flood damage reduction, Del Rio, Val Verde, Texas.

6 (27) CRAFORD BAY SEAWALL, PORTSMOUTH,  
7 VIRGINIA.—Project for flood damage reduction,  
8 Craford Bay Seawall, Portsmouth, Virginia.

9 (28) SOUTHERN BRANCH OF THE ELIZABETH  
10 RIVER, PORTSMOUTH, VIRGINIA.—Project for flood  
11 damage reduction, Southern Branch of the Elizabeth  
12 River, Portsmouth, Virginia.

13 (29) ROXBURY AND WESTPOINT TOWNSHIPS,  
14 WISCONSIN.—Project for flood damage reduction,  
15 Roxbury and Westpoint Townships, Wisconsin.

16 **SEC. 1003. SMALL PROJECTS FOR EMERGENCY**  
17 **STREAMBANK PROTECTION.**

18 The Secretary shall conduct a study for each of the  
19 following projects and, if the Secretary determines that  
20 a project is feasible, may carry out the project under sec-  
21 tion 14 of the Flood Control Act of 1946 (33 U.S.C.  
22 701r):

23 (1) NAKNEK RIVER, NAKNEK, ALASKA.—Project  
24 for emergency streambank protection, Naknek River,  
25 Naknek, Alaska.

1           (2) QUINNIPIAC RIVER, NEW HAVEN, CON-  
2           NECTICUT.—Project for emergency streambank pro-  
3           tection, Quinnipiac River, New Haven, Connecticut.

4           (3) BISCAYNE BAY, NORTH BAY VILLAGE,  
5           FLORIDA.—Project for emergency streambank pro-  
6           tection, Biscayne Bay, North Bay Village, Florida.

7           (4) BRONX RIVER, NEW YORK, NEW YORK.—  
8           Project for emergency streambank protection, Bronx  
9           River, New York, New York.

10          (5) OHIO RIVER, IRONTON, OHIO.—Project for  
11          emergency streambank protection, Ohio River, Iron-  
12          ton, Ohio.

13          (6) NEWPORT, RHODE ISLAND.—Project for  
14          emergency streambank protection, Newport, Rhode  
15          Island.

16          (7) TIVERTON, RHODE ISLAND.—Project for  
17          emergency streambank protection, Tiverton, Rhode  
18          Island.

19 **SEC. 1004. SMALL PROJECTS FOR NAVIGATION.**

20          The Secretary shall conduct a study for each of the  
21          following projects and, if the Secretary determines that  
22          a project is feasible, may carry out the project under sec-  
23          tion 107 of the River and Harbor Act of 1960 (33 U.S.C.  
24          577):

1           (1) DETROIT RIVER, WYANDOTTE, MICHIGAN.—  
2           Project for navigation, Detroit River, Wyandotte,  
3           Michigan.

4           (2) STOUTS CREEK, LACEY TOWNSHIP, NEW  
5           JERSEY.—Project for navigation, Stouts Creek,  
6           Lacey Township, New Jersey.

7           (3) BROWN'S RIVER, NASSAU COUNTY, NEW  
8           YORK.—Project for navigation, Brown's River, Nas-  
9           sau County, New York.

10           (4) DETROIT HARBOR, WISCONSIN.—Project for  
11           navigation, Detroit Harbor, Wisconsin.

12   **SEC. 1005. SMALL PROJECTS FOR IMPROVEMENT OF THE**  
13                                   **QUALITY OF THE ENVIRONMENT.**

14           The Secretary shall conduct a study for each of the  
15           following projects and, if the Secretary determines that  
16           a project is appropriate, may carry out the project under  
17           section 1135 of the Water Resources Development Act of  
18           1986 (33 U.S.C. 2309a):

19           (1) RHEEM CREEK, CONTRA COSTA COUNTY,  
20           CALIFORNIA.—Project for improvement of the qual-  
21           ity of the environment, Rheem Creek, Contra Costa  
22           County, California.

23           (2) RODEO CREEK, CONTRA COSTA COUNTY,  
24           CALIFORNIA.—Project for improvement of the qual-

1           ity of the environment, Rodeo Creek, Contra Costa  
2           County, California.

3   **SEC. 1006. SMALL PROJECTS FOR AQUATIC ECOSYSTEM**  
4                                   **AND ESTUARY RESTORATION.**

5           The Secretary shall conduct a study for each of the  
6 following projects and, if the Secretary determines that  
7 a project is appropriate, may carry out the project under  
8 section 206 of the Water Resources Development Act of  
9 1996 (33 U.S.C. 2330):

10           (1) EMERYVILLE HARBOR, EMERYVILLE, CALI-  
11           FORNIA.—Project for aquatic ecosystem and estuary  
12           restoration, Emeryville Harbor, Emeryville, Cali-  
13           fornia.

14           (2) LOS ANGELES RIVER, CUDAHY, CALI-  
15           FORNIA.—Project for aquatic ecosystem and estuary  
16           restoration, Los Angeles River, Cudahy, California.

17           (3) LAGUNA SALADA, PACIFICA, CALIFORNIA.—  
18           Project for aquatic ecosystem and estuary restora-  
19           tion, Laguna Salada, Pacifica, California.

20           (4) ANIMAS RIVER, LA PLATA, COLORADO.—  
21           Project for aquatic ecosystem and estuary restora-  
22           tion, Animas River, La Plata, Colorado.

23           (5) NORTH FORK OF THE GUNNISON RIVER,  
24           DELTA, COLORADO.—Project for aquatic ecosystem

1 and estuary restoration, North Fork of the Gunni-  
2 son River, Delta, Colorado.

3 (6) LINE AND CANE CREEKS, HENRY COUNTY,  
4 GEORGIA.—Project for aquatic ecosystem and estu-  
5 ary restoration, Line and Cane Creeks, Henry Coun-  
6 ty, Georgia.

7 (7) BREMME CREEK, DUPAGE, ILLINOIS.—  
8 Project for aquatic ecosystem and estuary restora-  
9 tion, Bremme Creek, DuPage, Illinois.

10 (8) BLACKBERRY CREEK, KENDALL, ILLI-  
11 NOIS.—Project for aquatic ecosystem and estuary  
12 restoration, Blackberry Creek, Kendall, Illinois.

13 (9) GOMPERS PARK, NORTH BRANCH CHICAGO  
14 RIVER, ILLINOIS.—Project for aquatic ecosystem and  
15 estuary restoration, Gompers Park, North Branch  
16 Chicago River, Illinois.

17 (10) KANKAKEE RIVER, WILL COUNTY, ILLI-  
18 NOIS.—Project for aquatic ecosystem and estuary  
19 restoration, Kankakee River, Will County, Illinois.

20 (11) PRAIRIE CREEK WATERSHED, WILL COUN-  
21 TY, ILLINOIS.—Project for aquatic ecosystem and es-  
22 tuary restoration, Prairie Creek Watershed, Will  
23 County, Illinois.

24 (12) WEST BRANCH OF THE DUPAGE RIVER,  
25 DUPAGE, ILLINOIS.—Project for aquatic ecosystem



1 and estuary restoration, West Branch of the  
2 DuPage River, DuPage, Illinois.

3 (13) LONG CREEK WATERSHED, CUMBERLAND,  
4 MAINE.—Project for aquatic ecosystem and estuary  
5 restoration, Long Creek Watershed, Cumberland,  
6 Maine.

7 (14) CABIN BRANCH WATERSHED, PRINCE  
8 GEORGE'S COUNTY, MARYLAND.—Project for aquatic  
9 ecosystem and estuary restoration, Cabin Branch  
10 Watershed, Prince George's County, Maryland.

11 (15) LITTLE PAINT BRANCH STREAM, PRINCE  
12 GEORGE'S COUNTY, MARYLAND.—Project for aquatic  
13 ecosystem and estuary restoration, Little Paint  
14 Branch Stream, Prince George's County, Maryland.

15 (16) LOWER BEAVERDAM CREEK, PRINCE  
16 GEORGE'S COUNTY, MARYLAND.—Project for aquatic  
17 ecosystem and estuary restoration, Lower  
18 Beaverdam Creek, Prince George's County, Mary-  
19 land.

20 (17) NORTHEAST ANACOSTIA RIVER, PRINCE  
21 GEORGE'S COUNTY, MARYLAND.—Project for aquatic  
22 ecosystem and estuary restoration, Northeast Ana-  
23 costia River, Prince George's County, Maryland.

24 (18) NORTHWEST ANACOSTIA RIVER, PRINCE  
25 GEORGE'S COUNTY, MARYLAND.—Project for aquatic

1 ecosystem and estuary restoration, Northwest Ana-  
2 costia River, Prince George's County, Maryland.

3 (19) ASSABET RIVER, MIDDLESEX AND  
4 WORCESTER, MASSACHUSETTS.—Project for aquatic  
5 ecosystem and estuary restoration, Assabet River,  
6 Middlesex and Worcester, Massachusetts.

7 (20) LEWIS BAY, YARMOUTH, MASSACHU-  
8 SETTS.—Project for aquatic ecosystem and estuary  
9 restoration, Lewis Bay, Yarmouth, Massachusetts.

10 (21) PIG'S EYE LAKE, ST. PAUL, MINNESOTA.—  
11 Project for aquatic ecosystem and estuary restora-  
12 tion, Pig's Eye Lake, St. Paul, Minnesota.

13 (22) BARNEGAT BAY, OCEAN COUNTY, NEW  
14 JERSEY.—Project for aquatic ecosystem and estuary  
15 restoration, Barnegat Bay, Ocean County, New Jer-  
16 sey.

17 (23) BRANCHPORT CREEK, OCEANPORT BOR-  
18 OUGH, NEW JERSEY.—Project for aquatic ecosystem  
19 and estuary restoration, Branchport Creek,  
20 Oceanport Borough, New Jersey.

21 (24) HACKENSACK RIVER, HUDSON COUNTY,  
22 NEW JERSEY.—Project for aquatic ecosystem and  
23 estuary restoration, Hackensack River, Hudson  
24 County, New Jersey.

1           (25) LAKE TOPANEMUS, FREEHOLD, NEW JER-  
2 SEY.—Project for aquatic ecosystem and estuary  
3 restoration, Lake Topanemus, Freehold, New Jer-  
4 sey.

5           (26) LAS CRUCES DAM, DONA ANA, NEW MEX-  
6 ICO.—Project for aquatic ecosystem and estuary res-  
7 toration, Las Cruces Dam, Dona Ana, New Mexico.

8           (27) PUGSLEY CREEK, CASTLE HILL, NEW  
9 YORK.—Project for aquatic ecosystem and estuary  
10 restoration, Pugsley Creek, Castle Hill, New York.

11           (28) OLENTANGY RIVER, FRANKLIN, OHIO.—  
12 Project for aquatic ecosystem and estuary restora-  
13 tion, Olentangy River, Franklin, Ohio.

14           (29) SCIOTO RIVER, FRANKLIN, OHIO.—Project  
15 for aquatic ecosystem and estuary restoration, Scioto  
16 River, Franklin, Ohio.

17           (30) WOONASQUATUCKET RIVER, PROVIDENCE,  
18 RHODE ISLAND.—Project for aquatic ecosystem and  
19 estuary restoration, Woonasquatucket River, Provi-  
20 dence, Rhode Island.

21           (31) CLAYTOR LAKE, PULASKI, VIRGINIA.—  
22 Project for aquatic ecosystem and estuary restora-  
23 tion, Claytor Lake, Pulaski, Virginia.

1 **SEC. 1007. SMALL PROJECTS FOR SHORELINE PROTEC-**  
2 **TION.**

3 The Secretary shall conduct a study for each of the  
4 following projects and, if the Secretary determines that  
5 a project is feasible, may carry out the project under sec-  
6 tion 3 of the Act entitled "An Act authorizing Federal  
7 participation in the cost of protecting the shores of pub-  
8 licly owned property", approved August 13, 1946 (33  
9 U.S.C. 426g):

10 (1) DEERFIELD BEACH, BROWARD COUNTY,  
11 FLORIDA.—Project for shoreline protection, Deer-  
12 field Beach, Broward County, Florida.

13 (2) BARNEGAT, OCEAN COUNTY, NEW JER-  
14 SEY.—Project for shoreline protection, Barnegat,  
15 Ocean County, New Jersey.

16 (3) MANHASSET BAY, PORT WASHINGTON, NEW  
17 YORK.—Project for shoreline protection, Manhasset  
18 Bay, Port Washington, New York.

19 **SEC. 1008. SMALL PROJECTS FOR AQUATIC PLANT CON-**  
20 **TROL.**

21 (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary is authorized to  
22 carry out a project for aquatic nuisance plant control in  
23 the Republican River basin, Colorado, under section 104  
24 of the River and Harbor Act of 1958 (33 U.S.C. 610).

1 (b) SPECIAL RULE.—In carrying out the project  
2 under subsection (a), the Secretary may control and eradi-  
3 cate riverine nuisance plants.

## 4 **TITLE II—GENERAL PROVISIONS**

### 5 **SEC. 2001. CREDIT FOR IN-KIND CONTRIBUTIONS.**

6 (a) LIMITATION; SAVINGS PROVISION.—Section  
7 221(a)(4)(E) of the Flood Control Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C.  
8 1962d-5b(a)(4)(E)) is amended by striking clause (ii) and  
9 inserting the following:

10 “(ii) LIMITATION.—In any case in  
11 which a specific provision of law provides  
12 for a non-Federal interest to receive credit  
13 toward the non-Federal share of the cost  
14 of a study for, or construction or operation  
15 and maintenance of, a water resources  
16 project, the Secretary shall apply—

17 “(I) the specific provision of law  
18 instead of this paragraph; or

19 “(II) at the request of the non-  
20 Federal interest, the specific provision  
21 of law and such provisions of this  
22 paragraph as the non-Federal interest  
23 may request.

1                   “(iii) SAVINGS PROVISION.—Nothing  
2                   in this subparagraph affects the applica-  
3                   bility of subsection (a)(4)(C).”.

4           (b) WATER RESOURCES PROJECT DEFINED.—Sec-  
5           tion 221(b) of such Act (42 U.S.C. 1962d–5b(b)) is  
6           amended—

7                   (1) by redesignating paragraphs (1) and (2) as  
8                   subparagraphs (A) and (B), respectively;

9                   (2) by moving subparagraphs (A) and (B) (as  
10                  so redesignated) 2 ems to the right;

11                  (3) by striking “(b)” and all that follows  
12                  through “The term” and inserting the following:

13                  “(b) DEFINITIONS.—In this section, the following  
14                  definitions apply:

15                         “(1) NON-FEDERAL INTEREST.—The term”;  
16                         and

17                         (4) by adding at the end the following:

18                         “(2) WATER RESOURCES PROJECT.—The term  
19                         ‘water resources project’ includes projects studied,  
20                         reviewed, designed, constructed, operated and main-  
21                         tained, or otherwise subject to Federal participation  
22                         under the authority of the civil works program of  
23                         the Secretary of the Army for the purposes of navi-  
24                         gation, flood damage reduction, ecosystem restora-  
25                         tion, hurricane and storm damage reduction, water

1 supply, recreation, hydroelectric power, fish and  
2 wildlife conservation, water quality, environmental  
3 infrastructure, resource protection and development,  
4 and related purposes.”.

5 (c) TECHNICAL CORRECTION.—Section 221(c) of  
6 such Act (42 U.S.C. 1962d-5b(c)) is amended by striking  
7 “enforcible” and inserting “enforceable”.

8 **SEC. 2002. FISH AND WILDLIFE MITIGATION.**

9 (a) MITIGATION PLANS AS PART OF PROJECT PRO-  
10 POSALS.—Section 906(d)(1) of the Water Resources De-  
11 velopment Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2283(d)(1)) is amend-  
12 ed—

13 (1) in the first sentence—

14 (A) by inserting “for damages to ecological  
15 resources, including terrestrial and aquatic re-  
16 sources, and” after “mitigate”;

17 (B) by inserting “ecological resources and”  
18 after “impact on”; and

19 (C) by inserting “without the implementa-  
20 tion of mitigation measures” before the period;  
21 and

22 (2) by inserting before the last sentence the fol-  
23 lowing: “If the Secretary determines that mitigation  
24 to in-kind conditions is not possible, the Secretary

1 shall identify in the report the basis for that deter-  
2 mination.”.

3 (b) MITIGATION REQUIREMENTS.—Section  
4 906(d)(3)(A) of such Act (33 U.S.C. 2283(d)(3)(A)) is  
5 amended by inserting “, at a minimum,” after “complies  
6 with”.

7 **SEC. 2003. REMOTE AND SUBSISTENCE HARBORS.**

8 Section 2006 of the Water Resources Development  
9 Act of 2007 (33 U.S.C. 2242) is amended by adding at  
10 the end the following:

11 “(c) APPLICABILITY.—This section shall apply to  
12 project studies that include—

13 “(1) a feasibility study, as defined in section  
14 105(d) of the Water Resources Development Act of  
15 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2215(d)); or

16 “(2) a detailed project report, as defined in  
17 such section 105(d) and carried out under section  
18 107(a) of the River and Harbor Act of 1960 (33  
19 U.S.C. 577(a)).”.

20 **SEC. 2004. REVISION OF PROJECT PARTNERSHIP AGREE-**  
21 **MENT.**

22 Section 2008(a) of the Water Resources Development  
23 Act of 2007 (33 U.S.C. 2340(a)) is amended by adding  
24 at the end the following: “This subsection shall apply with-  
25 out regard to whether the original partnership agreement



1 was entered into before, on, or after the date of enactment  
2 of this subsection.”.

3 **SEC. 2005. INDEPENDENT PEER REVIEW.**

4 (a) **TIMING OF PEER REVIEW.**—Section 2034(b) of  
5 the Water Resources Development Act of 2007 (33 U.S.C.  
6 2343(b)) is amended—

7 (1) by redesignating paragraph (3) as para-  
8 graph (4); and

9 (2) by inserting after paragraph (2) the fol-  
10 lowing:

11 “(3) **REASONS FOR TIMING.**—If the Chief of  
12 Engineers does not initiate a peer review for a  
13 project study at a time described in paragraph (2),  
14 the Chief shall make publicly available, including on  
15 the Internet, for each of such times the reasons for  
16 not conducting the review, and shall include the rea-  
17 sons in the decision document for the project  
18 study.”.

19 (b) **ESTABLISHMENT OF PANELS.**—Section  
20 2034(c)(4) of such Act (33 U.S.C. 2343(c)(4)) is amended  
21 to read as follows:

22 “(4) **CONGRESSIONAL AND PUBLIC NOTIFICA-**  
23 **TION.**—Upon identification of a project study for  
24 peer review under this section, but prior to initiation

1 of the review by the panel of experts, the Chief of  
2 Engineers shall—

3 “(A) notify the Committee on Environment  
4 and Public Works of the Senate and the Com-  
5 mittee on Transportation and Infrastructure of  
6 the House of Representatives of the review; and

7 “(B) make publicly available, including on  
8 the Internet, information on—

9 “(i) the dates scheduled for beginning  
10 and ending the review;

11 “(ii) the entity that has the contract  
12 for the review; and

13 “(iii) the names and qualifications of  
14 the panel of experts.”.

15 (c) RECOMMENDATIONS OF PANEL.—Section 2034(f)  
16 of such Act (33 U.S.C. 2343(f)) is amended by striking  
17 paragraph (2) and inserting the following:

18 “(2) PUBLIC AVAILABILITY AND TRANSMITTAL  
19 TO CONGRESS.—After receiving a report on a project  
20 study from a panel of experts under this section, the  
21 Chief of Engineers shall make available to the pub-  
22 lic, including on the Internet, and transmit to the  
23 Committee on Environment and Public Works of the  
24 Senate and the Committee on Transportation and  
25 Infrastructure of the House of Representatives—

1           “(A) a copy of the report within 3 days of  
2           receiving the report; and

3           “(B) a copy of any written response of the  
4           Chief of Engineers on recommendations con-  
5           tained in the report within 3 days of the date  
6           of the response.

7           “(3) INCLUSION IN PROJECT STUDY.—A report  
8           on a project study from a panel of experts under  
9           this section and the written response of the Chief of  
10          Engineers shall be included in the final decision doc-  
11          ument for the project study.”.

12   **SEC. 2006. SAFETY ASSURANCE REVIEW.**

13          Section 2035 of the Water Resources Development  
14   Act of 2007 (33 U.S.C. 2344) is amended by adding at  
15   the end the following:

16          “(i) NONAPPLICABILITY OF FACA.—The Federal  
17   Advisory Committee Act (5 U.S.C. App.) shall not apply  
18   to a safety assurance review conducted under this sec-  
19   tion.”.

20   **SEC. 2007. FUNDING FOR HARBOR MAINTENANCE PRO-**  
21                                   **GRAMS.**

22          (a) HARBOR MAINTENANCE TRUST FUND GUAR-  
23   ANTEE.—

24           (1) IN GENERAL.—The total budget resources  
25          made available from the Harbor Maintenance Trust

1 Fund each fiscal year pursuant to section 9505(c) of  
2 the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (relating to ex-  
3 penditures from the Harbor Maintenance Trust  
4 Fund) shall be equal to the level of receipts plus in-  
5 terest credited to the Harbor Maintenance Trust  
6 Fund for that fiscal year. Such amounts may be  
7 used only for harbor maintenance programs de-  
8 scribed in section 9505(c) of such Code.

9 (2) GUARANTEE.—No funds may be appro-  
10 priated for harbor maintenance programs described  
11 in such section unless the amount described in para-  
12 graph (1) has been provided.

13 (b) DEFINITIONS.—In this section, the following defi-  
14 nitions apply:

15 (1) TOTAL BUDGET RESOURCES.—The term  
16 “total budget resources” means the total amount  
17 made available by appropriations Acts from the Har-  
18 bor Maintenance Trust Fund for a fiscal year for  
19 making expenditures under section 9505(c) of the  
20 Internal Revenue Code of 1986.

21 (2) LEVEL OF RECEIPTS PLUS INTEREST.—The  
22 term “level of receipts plus interest” means the level  
23 of taxes and interest credited to the Harbor Mainte-  
24 nance Trust Fund under section 9505 of the Inter-  
25 nal Revenue Code of 1986 for a fiscal year as set

1       forth in the President’s budget baseline projection as  
2       defined in section 257 of the Balanced Budget and  
3       Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985 (Public Law  
4       99–177) for that fiscal year submitted pursuant to  
5       section 1105 of title 31, United States Code.

6       **SEC. 2008. FUNDING TO PROCESS PERMITS.**

7       Section 214 of the Water Resources Development Act  
8       of 2000 (33 U.S.C. 2201 note; 114 Stat. 2594; 119 Stat.  
9       2169; 120 Stat. 318; 120 Stat. 3197; 121 Stat. 1067; 123  
10      Stat. 3478) is amended—

11           (1) in subsection (a) by striking “permits under  
12      the jurisdiction” and inserting “permits of such enti-  
13      ties related to projects for a public purpose under  
14      the jurisdiction”;

15           (2) by redesignating subsection (c) as sub-  
16      section (e);

17           (3) by striking subsection (b) and inserting the  
18      following:

19      “(b) EFFECT ON PERMITTING.—

20           “(1) IN GENERAL.—In carrying out this sec-  
21      tion, the Secretary shall ensure that the use of funds  
22      accepted under subsection (a) will not impact impar-  
23      tial decision-making with respect to permits, either  
24      substantively or procedurally.

1           “(2) IMPARTIAL DECISIONMAKING.—In carrying  
2 out this section, the Secretary shall ensure that the  
3 evaluation of permits carried out using funds accept-  
4 ed under this section shall—

5           “(A) be reviewed by the District Com-  
6 mander of the Corps District in which the  
7 project or activity is located, unless the evalua-  
8 tion of the permit is initially conducted by the  
9 District Commander whereby the review shall  
10 be conducted by the Commander of the Corps  
11 Division in which the District is located; and

12           “(B) utilize the same procedures for deci-  
13 sions that would otherwise be required for the  
14 evaluation of permits for similar projects or ac-  
15 tivities not carried out using funds authorized  
16 under this section.

17           “(c) LIMITATION ON USE OF FUNDS.—None of the  
18 funds accepted under this section shall be used to carry  
19 out a review of the evaluation of permits required under  
20 subsection (b)(2)(A).

21           “(d) PUBLIC AVAILABILITY.—The Secretary shall en-  
22 sure that all final permit decisions carried out using funds  
23 authorized under this section are made available to the  
24 public, including on the Internet.”; and

1 (4) in subsection (e) (as redesignated), by strik-  
2 ing “2010” and inserting “2016”.

3 **SEC. 2009. PROJECT MODIFICATIONS FOR IMPROVEMENT**  
4 **OF ENVIRONMENT.**

5 Section 1135(d) of the Water Resources Development  
6 Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2309a(d)) is amended by striking  
7 “\$5,000,000” and inserting “\$10,000,000”.

8 **SEC. 2010. AQUATIC ECOSYSTEM AND ESTUARY RESTORA-**  
9 **TION.**

10 Section 206(d) of the Water Resources Development  
11 Act of 1996 (33 U.S.C. 2330(d)) is amended by striking  
12 “\$5,000,000” and inserting “\$10,000,000”.

13 **SEC. 2011. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE OF NAVIGATION**  
14 **AND HYDROELECTRIC FACILITIES.**

15 Section 314 of the Water Resources Development Act  
16 of 1990 (33 U.S.C. 2321) is amended to read as follows:

17 **“SEC. 314. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE OF NAVIGATION**  
18 **AND HYDROELECTRIC FACILITIES.**

19 “Activities currently performed by personnel under  
20 the direction of the Secretary in connection with the oper-  
21 ation and maintenance of navigation or hydroelectric  
22 power generating facilities, including all personnel under  
23 the direction of the Secretary in connection with the oper-  
24 ation and maintenance of navigational infrastructure such  
25 as floodgates, locks, and dams, at Corps of Engineers

1 water resources projects, are considered to be inherently  
2 governmental functions and not commercial activities.  
3 This section does not prohibit contracting out major main-  
4 tenance or other functions that are currently contracted  
5 out or studying services not directly connected with project  
6 maintenance and operations.”.

7 **SEC. 2012. REPEAL.**

8 Section 211 of the Water Resources Development Act  
9 of 2000 (31 U.S.C. 6505 note; 114 Stat. 2592) is re-  
10 pealed.

11 **SEC. 2013. COST ESTIMATES FOR FEASIBILITY REPORTS.**

12 Section 905(a) of the Water Resources Development  
13 Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2282) is amended by adding at  
14 the end the following:

15 “(5) COST ESTIMATES FOR FEASIBILITY RE-  
16 PORTS.—In preparing a feasibility report under this  
17 subsection, the Secretary shall include in the report,  
18 and any budget documents (including justification  
19 materials) submitted pursuant to section 1105(a) of  
20 title 31, United States Code, an accounting of the  
21 total cost of the recommended plan and an estimate  
22 of the Federal and non-Federal participation in the  
23 plan based on the following scenarios:



1           “(A) The cost of the project based on opti-  
2           mal levels of Federal funding for the rec-  
3           ommended plan.

4           “(B) The estimated cost of the project,  
5           based on a 50 percent increase in the period for  
6           implementation of the recommended plan.

7           “(C) The estimated cost of the project,  
8           based on a 100 percent increase in the period  
9           for implementation of the recommended plan.”.

10 **SEC. 2014. MITIGATION STATUS REPORT.**

11           Section 2036(b) of the Water Resources Development  
12 Act of 2007 (33 U.S.C. 2283a) is amended—

13           (1) by redesignating paragraph (3) as para-  
14           graph (4); and

15           (2) by inserting after paragraph (2) the fol-  
16           lowing:

17           “(3) INFORMATION INCLUDED.—In reporting  
18           the status of all projects included in the report, the  
19           Secretary shall—

20           “(A) use a uniform methodology for deter-  
21           mining the status of all projects included in the  
22           report;

23           “(B) use a methodology that describes  
24           both a qualitative and quantitative status for all  
25           projects in the report; and

1           “(C) provide specific dates for and partici-  
2           pants in the consultations required under sec-  
3           tion 906(d)(4)(B) of the Water Resources De-  
4           velopment Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C.  
5           2283(d)(4)(B)).”.

6           **TITLE III—PROJECT-RELATED**  
7           **PROVISIONS**

8           **SEC. 3001. DOUGLAS HARBOR, JUNEAU, ALASKA.**

9           The maximum amount of Federal funds that may be  
10          expended for the project for navigation, Douglas Harbor,  
11          Juneau, Alaska, being carried out under section 107 of  
12          the River and Harbor Act of 1960 (33 U.S.C. 577), shall  
13          be \$7,000,000.

14          **SEC. 3002. NOGALES WASH AND TRIBUTARIES FLOOD CON-**  
15          **TROL PROJECT, ARIZONA.**

16          The project for flood control, Nogales Wash and trib-  
17          utaries, Arizona, authorized by section 101(a)(4) of the  
18          Water Resources Development Act of 1990 (104 Stat.  
19          4606) and modified by section 303 of the Water Resources  
20          Development Act of 1996 (110 Stat. 3711), section 302  
21          of the Water Resources Development Act of 2000 (114  
22          Stat. 2600), and section 3008 of the Water Resources De-  
23          velopment Act of 2007 (121 Stat. 1107), is further modi-  
24          fied to authorize the Secretary to construct the project at  
25          a total cost of \$55,500,000, with an estimated Federal

1 cost of \$50,100,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost  
2 of \$5,400,000.

3 **SEC. 3003. RIO DE FLAG, ARIZONA.**

4 The project for flood damage reduction, Rio de Flag,  
5 Flagstaff, Arizona, authorized by section 101(b)(3) of the  
6 Water Resources Development Act of 2000 (114 Stat.  
7 2576) and modified by section 3007 of the Water Re-  
8 sources Development Act of 2007 (121 Stat. 1107), is fur-  
9 ther modified to authorize the Secretary to construct the  
10 project at a total cost of \$77,000,000, with an estimated  
11 Federal cost of \$50,000,000 and an estimated non-Fed-  
12 eral cost of \$27,000,000.

13 **SEC. 3004. TRES RIOS, ARIZONA.**

14 The project for ecosystem restoration, Tres Rios, Ari-  
15 zona, authorized by section 101(b)(4) of the Water Re-  
16 sources Development Act of 2000 (114 Stat. 2577), is  
17 modified to authorize the Secretary to construct the  
18 project at a total cost of \$230,000,000, with an estimated  
19 Federal cost of \$149,500,000 and an estimated non-Fed-  
20 eral cost of \$80,500,000.

21 **SEC. 3005. RUSSIAN RIVER PROJECT, SONOMA COUNTY,**  
22 **CALIFORNIA.**

23 The project for flood control, water conservation, and  
24 related purposes in the Russian River basin, California,  
25 authorized by section 204 of the Flood Control Act of

1 1950 (64 Stat. 177), and the project for Russian River,  
2 Dry Creek, California, authorized by section 203 of the  
3 Flood Control Act of 1962 (76 Stat. 1192), are modified  
4 as follows:

5 (1) The Secretary shall review the biological  
6 opinion on the water supply, flood control, and chan-  
7 nel maintenance operations conducted by the Corps  
8 of Engineers, the Sonoma County Water Agency,  
9 and the Mendocino County Russian River Flood  
10 Control District, as transmitted by the National  
11 Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration on Sep-  
12 tember 24, 2008.

13 (2) If the Secretary determines that the project  
14 is feasible, the Secretary is authorized to construct  
15 the project at a total cost of \$92,000,000, with an  
16 estimated Federal cost of \$59,800,000 and an esti-  
17 mated non-Federal cost of \$32,200,000.

18 **SEC. 3006. SOUTH SACRAMENTO COUNTY STREAMS, CALI-**  
19 **FORNIA.**

20 The project for flood control, environmental restora-  
21 tion, and recreation, South Sacramento County streams,  
22 California, authorized by section 101(a)(8) of the Water  
23 Resources Development Act of 1999 (113 Stat. 275), is  
24 modified to authorize the Secretary to construct the  
25 project at a total cost of \$104,300,000, with an estimated

1 Federal cost of \$67,500,000 and an estimated non-Fed-  
2 eral cost of \$36,800,000.

3 **SEC. 3007. CHATFIELD RESERVOIR, COLORADO.**

4 Section 116 of the Energy and Water Development  
5 and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2009 (123 Stat.  
6 608) is amended by striking “Colorado Department of  
7 Natural Resources is authorized” and inserting “Colorado  
8 Department of Natural Resources, or its assignee, is au-  
9 thorized”.

10 **SEC. 3008. RIO GRANDE ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT**  
11 **PROGRAM, COLORADO, NEW MEXICO, AND**  
12 **TEXAS.**

13 Section 5056(f) of the Water Resources Development  
14 Act of 2007 (121 Stat. 1213) is amended by striking  
15 “2011” and inserting “2015”.

16 **SEC. 3009. POTOMAC RIVER, WASHINGTON, DISTRICT OF**  
17 **COLUMBIA.**

18 The project for flood control, Potomac River, Wash-  
19 ington, District of Columbia, authorized by section 5 of  
20 the Act of June 22, 1936 (chapter 688; 49 Stat. 1574)  
21 and modified by section 301(a)(4) of the Water Resources  
22 Development Act of 1996 (110 Stat. 3707) and section  
23 309 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1999  
24 (113 Stat. 301), is further modified to authorize the Sec-  
25 retary to construct the project at a Federal cost of

1 \$8,100,000, in accordance with the post authorization  
2 change report dated June 29, 1998.

3 **SEC. 3010. KISSIMMEE RIVER RESTORATION, FLORIDA.**

4 The project for ecosystem restoration, Kissimmee  
5 River Restoration, Florida, authorized by section 101(8)  
6 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1992 (106  
7 Stat. 4802), is modified to authorize the Secretary to con-  
8 struct the project at a total cost of \$852,000,000, with  
9 an estimated Federal cost of \$426,000,000 and an esti-  
10 mated non-Federal cost of \$426,000,000.

11 **SEC. 3011. PONCE DE LEON INLET, FLORIDA.**

12 The project for navigation and related purposes,  
13 Ponce de Leon Inlet, Volusia County, Florida, authorized  
14 by section 101(b)(8) of the Water Resources Development  
15 Act of 1999 (113 Stat. 279), is modified to authorize the  
16 Secretary to construct the project at a total cost of  
17 \$15,000,000, with an estimated Federal cost of  
18 \$8,500,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost of  
19 \$6,500,000.

20 **SEC. 3012. SAVANNAH HARBOR EXPANSION, GEORGIA.**

21 The project for navigation, Savannah Harbor expan-  
22 sion, Georgia, authorized by section 101(b)(9) of the  
23 Water Resources Development Act of 1999 (113 Stat.  
24 279), is modified to authorize the Secretary to construct  
25 the project at a total cost of \$675,000,000, with an esti-

1 mated Federal cost of \$405,000,000 and an estimated  
2 non-Federal cost of \$270,000,000.

3 **SEC. 3013. CHICAGO SANITARY AND SHIP CANAL DIS-**  
4 **PERSAL BARRIERS PROJECT, ILLINOIS.**

5 (a) AUTHORIZATION.—Section 3061(b)(1) of the  
6 Water Resources Development Act of 2007 (121 Stat.  
7 1121) is amended—

8 (1) by striking subparagraph (A) and inserting  
9 the following:

10 “(A) upgrade and make permanent Barrier  
11 I in its current location or at an alternative lo-  
12 cation, as determined appropriate by the Sec-  
13 retary;”;

14 (2) in subparagraph (B) by striking “June 14,  
15 2005” and inserting “November 21, 2003, as  
16 amended on July 14, 2005”;

17 (3) by redesignating subparagraphs (C), (D),  
18 and (E) as subparagraphs (D), (E) and (F), respec-  
19 tively;

20 (4) by inserting after subparagraph (B) the fol-  
21 lowing:

22 “(C) acquire real estate interests necessary  
23 for the construction, operation, and mainte-  
24 nance of Barrier I and Barrier II;”;

1           (5) by striking “and” at the end of subpara-  
2           graph (E) (as redesignated by paragraph (3) of this  
3           subsection);

4           (6) by striking the period at the end of sub-  
5           paragraph (F) (as redesignated by paragraph (3) of  
6           this subsection) and inserting “; and”; and

7           (7) by adding at the end the following:

8                   “(G) construct additional barriers or other  
9                   fish deterrents at other locations in the vicinity  
10                  of the Chicago Area Waterway System, if deter-  
11                  mined appropriate by the Secretary.”.

12          (b) USE OF CREDIT.—Section 3061(b)(2) of such Act  
13          (121 Stat. 1121) is amended by striking “paragraph  
14          (1)(E)” and inserting “paragraph (1)(F)”.

15          (c) FEASIBILITY STUDY.—Section 3061(d) of such  
16          Act (121 Stat. 1121) is amended by adding the end the  
17          following: “The study shall include a fully developed anal-  
18          ysis of an alternative for hydrologic separation between  
19          the Great Lakes and the Mississippi River basins. The hy-  
20          drologic separation alternative shall include identification  
21          of measures to prevent the transfer of aquatic nuisance  
22          species between the Great Lakes and the Mississippi River  
23          basins through surface water.”.



1 **SEC. 3014. LOWER OHIO RIVER, ILLINOIS AND KENTUCKY.**

2 The project for navigation, Lower Ohio River, Locks  
3 and Dams 52 and 53, Illinois and Kentucky, authorized  
4 by section 3(a)(6) of the Water Resources Development  
5 Act of 1988 (102 Stat. 4013), is modified to authorize  
6 the Secretary to construct the project at a total cost of  
7 \$1,991,000,000.

8 **SEC. 3015. WOOD RIVER LEVEE SYSTEM RECONSTRUCTION,**  
9 **MADISON COUNTY, ILLINOIS.**

10 The project for flood damage reduction, Wood River  
11 Levee System Reconstruction, Madison County, Illinois,  
12 authorized by section 1001(20) of the Water Resources  
13 Development Act of 2007 (121 Stat. 1053), is modified  
14 to authorize the Secretary to construct the project at a  
15 total cost of \$120,000,000, with an estimated Federal cost  
16 of \$78,000,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost of  
17 \$42,000,000.

18 **SEC. 3016. LITTLE CALUMET RIVER, INDIANA.**

19 The project for flood control, Little Calumet River,  
20 Indiana, authorized by section 401(a) of the Water Re-  
21 sources Development Act of 1996 (100 Stat. 4115) and  
22 modified by section 127 of the Energy and Water Appro-  
23 priations Act, 2006 (119 Stat. 2259), is further modified  
24 to authorize the Secretary to construct the project at a  
25 total cost of \$275,000,000, with an estimated Federal cost

1 of \$206,000,000, and an estimated non-Federal cost of  
2 \$69,000,000.

3 **SEC. 3017. RHODES POINT JETTY, SMITH ISLAND, MARY-**  
4 **LAND.**

5 The maximum amount of Federal funds that may be  
6 expended for the project for navigation, Rhodes Point  
7 Jetty, Smith Island, Maryland, being carried out under  
8 section 107 of the River and Harbor Act of 1960 (33  
9 U.S.C. 577), shall be \$7,000,000.

10 **SEC. 3018. MUDDY RIVER, BROOKLINE AND BOSTON, MAS-**  
11 **SACHUSETTS.**

12 Section 522 of the Water Resources Development Act  
13 of 2000 (114 Stat. 2656) is amended by striking “draft  
14 evaluation report of the New England District Engineer  
15 entitled ‘Phase I Muddy River Master Plan’, dated June  
16 2000” and inserting “Final Decision Document and Envi-  
17 ronmental Assessment Report of the New England Dis-  
18 trict Engineer entitled ‘Muddy River Flood Control and  
19 Ecosystem Restoration, Boston and Brookline, Massachu-  
20 setts’, dated September 2003, at a total cost of  
21 \$79,200,000”.

22 **SEC. 3019. ADA, MINNESOTA.**

23 The maximum amount of Federal funds that may be  
24 expended for the project for flood damage reduction, Wild  
25 Rice River, Ada, Minnesota, being carried out under sec-

1 tion 205 of the Flood Control Act of 1948 (33 U.S.C.  
2 701s), shall be \$10,600,000.

3 **SEC. 3020. MONTEVIDEO, MINNESOTA.**

4 The maximum amount of Federal funds that may be  
5 expended for the project for flood damage reduction, Mon-  
6 tevideo, Minnesota, being carried out under section 205  
7 of the Flood Control Act of 1948 (33 U.S.C. 701s), shall  
8 be \$10,000,000.

9 **SEC. 3021. TWO HARBORS, MINNESOTA.**

10 Section 3101(b) of the Water Resources Development  
11 Act of 2007 (121 Stat. 1133) is amended by striking  
12 "\$7,000,000" and inserting "\$14,000,000".

13 **SEC. 3022. BLUE RIVER BASIN, KANSAS CITY, MISSOURI.**

14 The project for flood control, Blue River basin, Kan-  
15 sas City, Missouri, authorized by section 101(a)(18) of the  
16 Water Resources Development Act of 1996 (110 Stat.  
17 3665), is modified to authorize the Secretary to construct  
18 the project at a total cost of \$45,500,000, with an esti-  
19 mated Federal cost of \$34,125,000 and an estimated non-  
20 Federal cost of \$11,375,000.

21 **SEC. 3023. LOWER ASSUNPINK CREEK, TRENTON, NEW JER-**  
22 **SEY.**

23 The maximum amount of Federal funds that may be  
24 expended for the project for improvement of the quality  
25 of the environment, Lower Assunpink Creek, Trenton,

1 New Jersey, being carried out under section 1135 of the  
2 Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C.  
3 2309a), shall be \$10,000,000.

4 **SEC. 3024. OCEAN GATE, OCEAN COUNTY, NEW JERSEY.**

5 The maximum amount of Federal funds that may be  
6 expended for the project for emergency streambank pro-  
7 tection, Ocean Gate, Ocean County, New Jersey, being  
8 carried out under section 14 of the Flood Control Act of  
9 1946 (33 U.S.C. 701r), shall be \$4,500,000.

10 **SEC. 3025. ORCHARD BEACH, BRONX, NEW YORK.**

11 Section 554 of the Water Resources Development Act  
12 of 1996 (110 Stat. 3781), as amended by section 3122  
13 of the Water Resources Development Act of 2007 (121  
14 Stat. 1139), is further amended by striking  
15 "\$20,000,000" and inserting "\$27,000,000".

16 **SEC. 3026. SPRING CREEK, NEW YORK.**

17 The maximum amount of Federal funds that may be  
18 expended for the project for improvement of the quality  
19 of the environment, Spring Creek, New York, being car-  
20 ried out under section 1135 of the Water Resources Devel-  
21 opment Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2309a), shall be  
22 \$6,000,000.

1 **SEC. 3027. HOCKING RIVER BASIN, MONDAY CREEK, OHIO.**

2 Section 1001(37)(B)(iii) of the Water Resources De-  
3 velopment Act of 2007 (121 Stat. 1055) is amended by  
4 striking “\$1,270,000” and inserting “\$12,000,000”.

5 **SEC. 3028. LOWER COLUMBIA RIVER AND TILLAMOOK BAY**  
6 **ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, OREGON AND**  
7 **WASHINGTON.**

8 Section 536(g) of the Water Resources Development  
9 Act of 2000 (114 Stat. 2662) is amended by striking  
10 “\$30,000,000” and inserting “\$45,000,000”.

11 **SEC. 3029. CORPUS CHRISTI SHIP CHANNEL, CORPUS**  
12 **CHRISTI, TEXAS.**

13 The project for navigation and ecosystem restoration,  
14 Corpus Christi Ship Channel, Texas, authorized by section  
15 1001(40) of the Water Resources Development Act of  
16 2007 (121 Stat. 1056) is modified to authorize the Sec-  
17 retary to construct the project at a total cost of  
18 \$447,604,000, with an estimated Federal cost of  
19 \$183,827,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost of  
20 \$263,777,000.

21 **SEC. 3030. DALLAS FLOODWAY, DALLAS, TEXAS.**

22 The project for flood control, Trinity River and tribu-  
23 taries, Texas, authorized by section 2 of the Act entitled  
24 “An Act authorizing the construction, repair, and preser-  
25 vation of certain public works on rivers and harbors, and  
26 for other purposes”, approved March 2, 1945, and modi-

1 fied by section 5141 of the Water Resources Development  
2 Act of 2007 (121 Stat. 1253), is further modified to au-  
3 thorize the Secretary to construct the project at a total  
4 cost of \$882,000,000, with an estimated Federal cost of  
5 \$573,300,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost of  
6 \$308,700,000.

7 **SEC. 3031. HOUSTON-GALVESTON NAVIGATION CHANNELS,**  
8 **TEXAS.**

9 The project for navigation and environmental restora-  
10 tion, Houston-Galveston Navigation Channels, Texas, au-  
11 thorized by section 101(a)(30) of the Water Resources De-  
12 velopment Act of 1996 (110 Stat. 3666), is modified to  
13 authorize the Secretary to extend the boundaries of the  
14 Galveston channel approximately 2600 feet beyond Pier  
15 38, if the Secretary determines that the extension is fea-  
16 sible.

17 **SEC. 3032. PROJECT REAUTHORIZATIONS.**

18 The following project may be carried out by the Sec-  
19 retary and no construction on any such project may be  
20 initiated until the Secretary determines that the project  
21 is feasible: The Vincennes, Indiana portion of the project  
22 for flood control, Wabash River basin, Illinois and Indi-  
23 ana, authorized by section 10 of the Flood Control Act  
24 of 1946 (60 Stat. 649) and deauthorized by section 1002

1 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (100  
2 Stat. 4209).

3 **SEC. 3033. PROJECT DEAUTHORIZATIONS.**

4 (a) IN GENERAL.—The following projects are not au-  
5 thorized after the date of enactment of this Act:

6 (1) POTOMAC RIVER, WASHINGTON CHANNEL,  
7 DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA.—The portion of the project  
8 for navigation, Potomac River, Washington Channel,  
9 District of Columbia, authorized by the Act of Au-  
10 gust 30, 1935 (chapter 831; 49 Stat. 1028), begin-  
11 ning at Washington Harbor Channel Geometry Cen-  
12 terline of the 400-foot-wide main navigational ship  
13 channel, Centerline Station No. 103+73.12, coordi-  
14 nates North 441,948.20, East 1,303,969.30, as stat-  
15 ed and depicted on the Condition Survey Anacostia,  
16 Virginia, Washington and Magazine Bar Shoal  
17 Channels, Washington, D.C., Sheet 6 of 6, prepared  
18 by the United States Army Corps of Engineers, Bal-  
19 timore district, July 2007; thence departing the  
20 aforementioned centerline traveling the following  
21 courses and distances: N. 40 degrees 10 minutes 45  
22 seconds E., 200.00 feet to a point, on the outline of  
23 said 400-foot-wide channel thence binding on said  
24 outline the following three courses and distances: S.  
25 49 degrees 49 minutes 15 seconds E., 1,507.86 feet

1 to a point, thence; S. 29 degrees 44 minutes 42 sec-  
2 onds E., 2,083.17 feet to a point, thence; S. 11 de-  
3 grees 27 minutes 04 seconds E., 363.00 feet to a  
4 point, thence; S. 78 degrees 32 minutes 56 seconds  
5 W., 200.00 feet to a point binding on the centerline  
6 of the 400-foot-wide main navigational channel at  
7 computed Centerline Station No. 65+54.31, coordi-  
8 nates North 438,923.9874, East 1,306,159.9738,  
9 thence; continuing with the aforementioned center-  
10 line the following courses and distances: N. 11 de-  
11 grees 27 minutes 04 seconds W., 330.80 feet to a  
12 point, Centerline Station No. 68+85.10, thence; N.  
13 29 degrees 44 minutes 42 seconds W., 2,015.56 feet  
14 to a point, Centerline Station No. 89+00.67, thence;  
15 N. 49 degrees 49 minutes 15 seconds W., 1,472.26  
16 feet to the point of beginning.

17 (2) CHICAGO HARBOR, ILLINOIS.—The portion  
18 of the project for navigation, Chicago Harbor, au-  
19 thorized by the River and Harbor Acts of March 3,  
20 1899 and March 2, 1919, beginning at the south-  
21 west corner of Metropolitan Sanitary District of  
22 Greater Chicago sluice gate that abuts the north  
23 wall of the Chicago River Lock thence running north  
24 for approximately 290 feet, thence running east ap-  
25 proximately 1,000 feet, thence running south ap-



1       proximately 290 feet, thence running west approxi-  
2       mately 1,000 feet to the point of origin.

3           (3) IPSWICH RIVER, MASSACHUSETTS.—The  
4       portion of the project for navigation, Ipswich River,  
5       Massachusetts, adopted by the Rivers and Harbors  
6       Act of August 5, 1886, consisting of a 4-foot chan-  
7       nel located at the entrance to the inner harbor at  
8       Ipswich Harbor, lying northwesterly of a line com-  
9       mencing at: N3,074,938.09, E837,154.87, thence  
10      running easterly approximately 60 feet to a point  
11      with coordinates N3,074,972.62, E837,203.93.

12           (4) MENEMSHA CREEK, MASSACHUSETTS.—The  
13      portion of the project for navigation, Menemsha  
14      Creek, Massachusetts, authorized by the River and  
15      Harbor Act of 1945, consisting of the following  
16      areas—

17           (A) beginning at a point, N129,112.54,  
18           E1,566,926.30, running north 52 degrees 12  
19           minutes 55.9 seconds east 208.68 feet to a  
20           point N129,240.39, E1,567,091.22, running  
21           south 77 degrees 28 minutes 13.7 seconds east  
22           170.0 feet to a point N129,203.51,  
23           E1,567,257.17, running south 37 degrees 25  
24           minutes 45.4 seconds east 101.04 feet to a  
25           point N129,123.28, E1,567,318.58, running

1 north 77 degrees 28 minutes 13.7 seconds west  
2 223.32 feet to a point N129,171.72, E  
3 1,567,100.58, running south 52 degrees 12  
4 minutes 55.9 seconds west 174.00 feet to a  
5 point N129,065.12, E1,566,963.06, running  
6 north 37 degrees 47 minutes 04.1 seconds west  
7 60.00 feet to the point of origin, and

8 (B) beginning at a point, N128,895.78,  
9 E1,566,940.39, thence running north 52 de-  
10 grees 31 minutes 25.8 seconds east 135.91 feet  
11 to a point N128,978.47, E1,567,048.25, thence  
12 running south 77 degrees 28 minutes 13.7 sec-  
13 onds east 80.63 feet to a point N128,960.98,  
14 E1,567,126.96, thence running south 37 de-  
15 grees 25 minutes 32.9 seconds east 70.67 feet  
16 to a point N128,904.86, E1,567,169.91, thence  
17 running north 73 degrees 59 minutes 15.6 sec-  
18 onds west 139.90 feet to a point N128,943.45,  
19 E 1,567,035.44, thence running south 52 de-  
20 grees 31 minutes 25.8 seconds west 103.96 feet  
21 to a point N128,880.20, E1,566,952.94, thence  
22 running north 38 degrees 50 minutes 43.8 sec-  
23 onds west 20.01 feet to the point of origin.

24 (5) BLOCK ISLAND HARBOR OF REFUGE,  
25 RHODE ISLAND.—The portion of the project for

1 navigation, Block Island Harbor of Refuge, Rhode  
2 Island, adopted by the Rivers and Harbors Act of  
3 July 11, 1870, consisting of the cut-stone break-  
4 water lining the west side of the Inner Basin, begin-  
5 ning at a point, N32,179.55, E312,625.53, thence  
6 running northerly approximately 76.59 feet to a  
7 point with coordinates N326,655.92, E312,631.32,  
8 thence running northerly approximately 206.81 feet  
9 to a point with coordinates N32,858.33,  
10 E312,673.74, thence running easterly approximately  
11 109.00 feet to a point with coordinates N32,832.15,  
12 E312,779.54.

13 (b) ADDITIONAL DEAUTHORIZATIONS.—The fol-  
14 lowing projects are not authorized after the date of enact-  
15 ment of this Act, except with respect to any portion of  
16 such a project that has been completed before such date  
17 or is under construction on such date:

18 (1) The project for flood protection and related  
19 purposes, Cache River basin, Arkansas and Mis-  
20 souri, authorized by section 204 of the Flood Con-  
21 trol Act of 1950 (64 Stat. 172).

22 (2) The Lower White River, Big Creek and  
23 tributaries, Arkansas, element of the project for  
24 flood control and improvement of the Lower Mis-  
25 sissippi River, authorized by section 1 of the Act of

1 May 15, 1928 (45 Stat. 534), and modified by sec-  
2 tion 204 of the Flood Control Act of 1965 (79 Stat.  
3 1076).

4 (3) The project for navigation, Noyo River and  
5 Harbor, California, authorized by section 101 of the  
6 River and Harbor Act of 1962 (76 Stat. 1176) and  
7 modified by section 146 of the Water Resources De-  
8 velopment Act of 1976 (90 Stat. 2931).

9 (4) The project for navigation, Red River Wa-  
10 terway, Shreveport, Louisiana, to Dangerfield,  
11 Texas, authorized by the River and Harbor Act of  
12 1968 (82 Stat. 731).

13 (5) The project for flood control, Hocking River  
14 at Logan, Ohio, authorized by section 401(a) of the  
15 Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (100  
16 Stat. 4122).

17 (6) The Shipyard River Upper Channel and  
18 Upper Turning basin elements of the project for  
19 navigation, Charleston Harbor, South Carolina, au-  
20 thorized by section 202(a) of the Water Resources  
21 Development Act of 1986 (100 Stat. 4096).

22 (7) The environmental enhancements element of  
23 the project for flood control, Nonconnah Creek and  
24 Johns Creek, Tennessee and Mississippi, authorized

1 by section 401(a) of the Water Resources Develop-  
2 ment Act of 1986 (100 Stat. 4124).

3 (8) The recreation element of the project for  
4 flood control, Nonconnah Creek and Johns Creek,  
5 Tennessee and Mississippi, authorized by section  
6 401(a) of the Water Resources Development Act of  
7 1986 (100 Stat. 4124).

8 (9) The project for flood protection, Santa Bar-  
9 bara County Coastal Streams and tributaries in the  
10 area of Goleta, California, authorized by section 201  
11 of the Flood Control Act of 1970 (84 Stat. 1826)  
12 and modified by section 102(b) of the Water Re-  
13 sources Development Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4804).

14 (10) The project for flood control, Harris Fork  
15 Creek, Tennessee and Kentucky, authorized by sec-  
16 tion 102 of the Water Resources Development Act  
17 of 1976 (90 Stat. 2921).

18 (11) The project for flood control, Buena Vista,  
19 Virginia, authorized by section 101(a)(24) of the  
20 Water Resources Development Act of 1990 (104  
21 Stat. 4610) and modified by section 118(f) of the  
22 Water Resources Development Act of 1992 (106  
23 Stat. 4824).

1                   **TITLE IV—STUDIES**

2   **SEC. 4001. HOLLIS, ALASKA.**

3           The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
4 feasibility of carrying out a project for navigational im-  
5 provements, Hollis, Alaska.

6   **SEC. 4002. BULLARD WASH, GOODYEAR, ARIZONA.**

7           The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
8 feasibility of carrying out a project for flood damage re-  
9 duction for Bullard Wash, Goodyear, Arizona.

10 **SEC. 4003. LOWER SANTA CRUZ RIVER, CASA GRANDE, ARI-**  
11                   **ZONA.**

12           The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
13 feasibility of carrying out projects for flood damage reduc-  
14 tion and related water resource purposes for the Lower  
15 Santa Cruz River study area, Casa Grande, Arizona.

16 **SEC. 4004. MARICOPA COUNTY, ARIZONA.**

17           The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
18 feasibility of carrying out a project for flood damage re-  
19 duction, environmental restoration, recreation, and related  
20 water resource purposes, including nonstructural solu-  
21 tions, for Maricopa County, Arizona.

22 **SEC. 4005. OUACHITA RIVER, OUACHITA, UNION, AND ASH-**  
23                   **LEY COUNTIES, ARKANSAS.**

24           The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
25 feasibility of carrying out a project for navigation, flood

1 damage reduction, environmental restoration, bank sta-  
2 bilization, and related water resource purposes for the  
3 Ouachita River, Ouachita, Union, and Ashley Counties,  
4 Arkansas.

5 **SEC. 4006. OIL TROUGH, ARKANSAS.**

6 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
7 feasibility of carrying out a project for flood damage re-  
8 duction for Oil Trough, Arkansas.

9 **SEC. 4007. RANDOLPH COUNTY, ARKANSAS.**

10 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
11 feasibility of carrying out a project for flood damage re-  
12 duction for Randolph County, Arkansas.

13 **SEC. 4008. BERKELEY MARINA, BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA.**

14 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
15 feasibility of carrying out a project for navigational im-  
16 provements for Berkeley Marina, Berkeley, California.

17 **SEC. 4009. CHELSEA WETLANDS, HERCULES, CALIFORNIA.**

18 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
19 feasibility of carrying out a project for environmental res-  
20 toration and flood damage reduction for Chelsea Wet-  
21 lands, Hercules, California.

22 **SEC. 4010. COLORADO LAGOON AND ALAMITOS BAY, LONG**  
23 **BEACH, CALIFORNIA.**

24 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
25 feasibility of carrying out a project for environmental res-

1 toration between Colorado Lagoon and Alamitos Bay,  
2 Long Beach, California.

3 **SEC. 4011. LODI LAKE, LODI, CALIFORNIA.**

4 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
5 feasibility of carrying out a project for flood damage re-  
6 duction and streambank stabilization for Lodi Lake, Lodi,  
7 California.

8 **SEC. 4012. OAKLAND-INNER HARBOR TIDAL CANAL, OAK-**  
9 **LAND, CALIFORNIA.**

10 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
11 feasibility of carrying out a project for navigation improve-  
12 ments for the Oakland-Inner Harbor Tidal Canal, Oak-  
13 land, California.

14 **SEC. 4013. NOYO HARBOR DISTRICT, NOYO, CALIFORNIA.**

15 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
16 feasibility of carrying out a project for navigational im-  
17 provements and dredge material disposal for Noyo Harbor  
18 District, Noyo, California.

19 **SEC. 4014. PORT OF SAN FRANCISCO, SAN FRANCISCO,**  
20 **CALIFORNIA.**

21 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
22 feasibility of carrying out a project for navigational im-  
23 provements, flood damage reduction, shoreline protection,  
24 environmental restoration, and related water resource pur-



1 poses for Port of San Francisco, San Francisco, Cali-  
2 fornia.

3 **SEC. 4015. REDWOOD CITY NAVIGATION CHANNEL, CALI-**  
4 **FORNIA.**

5 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
6 feasibility of carrying out a project for navigational im-  
7 provements and dredge material disposal for Redwood  
8 City Navigation Channel, California.

9 **SEC. 4016. RIALTO CHANNEL AND CACTUS CHANNEL, RI-**  
10 **ALTO, CALIFORNIA.**

11 The Secretary shall conduct a watershed study to de-  
12 termine the feasibility of carrying out a project for flood  
13 damage reduction for Rialto Channel and Cactus Channel,  
14 Rialto, California.

15 **SEC. 4017. SACRAMENTO REGIONAL SANITATION DISTRICT,**  
16 **SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA.**

17 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
18 feasibility of carrying out projects for flood damage reduc-  
19 tion in the Sacramento Regional Sanitation District, Sac-  
20 ramento, California.

21 **SEC. 4018. SAN PABLO BAY, HERCULES, CALIFORNIA.**

22 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
23 feasibility of carrying out a project for navigational im-  
24 provements for San Pablo Bay, Hercules, California.

1 **SEC. 4019. STOCKTON, CALIFORNIA.**

2       The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
3 feasibility of carrying out projects for navigation channel  
4 deepening for Stockton, California.

5 **SEC. 4020. TIJUANA RIVER ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORA-**  
6                   **TION, SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.**

7       The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
8 feasibility of carrying out projects for flood damage reduc-  
9 tion, environmental restoration, water supply, water qual-  
10 ity, recreation, and other water-related issues including  
11 the impacts of water flows from Mexico for the Tijuana  
12 River basin, San Diego, California.

13 **SEC. 4021. TIJUANA RIVER WETLANDS RESTORATION, SAN**  
14                   **DIEGO COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.**

15       The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
16 feasibility of carrying out a project for environmental res-  
17 toration and wetland restoration along the Tijuana River,  
18 San Diego County, California.

19 **SEC. 4022. VENTURA RIVER, VENTURA COUNTY, CALI-**  
20                   **FORNIA.**

21       The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
22 feasibility of carrying out a project for flood damage re-  
23 duction for Ventura River, Ventura County, California.

1 **SEC. 4023. WILLOWBROOK, LOS ANGELES COUNTY, CALI-**  
2 **FORNIA.**

3 The Secretary shall conduct a watershed study to de-  
4 termine the feasibility of carrying out a project for envi-  
5 ronmental restoration for Willowbrook, Los Angeles Coun-  
6 ty, California.

7 **SEC. 4024. FOUNTAIN SPRING WATERSHED, PUEBLO, COLO-**  
8 **RADO.**

9 The Secretary shall conduct a sediment impact anal-  
10 ysis study to determine the sediment transport parameters  
11 for Fountain Spring watershed, Pueblo, Colorado.

12 **SEC. 4025. RALSTON CREEK, ARVADA, COLORADO.**

13 The Secretary shall conduct a watershed study to de-  
14 termine the feasibility of carrying out a project for flood  
15 damage reduction for Ralston Creek, Arvada, Colorado.

16 **SEC. 4026. HOLLY POND AND NOROTAN RIVER, STAMFORD,**  
17 **CONNECTICUT.**

18 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
19 feasibility of carrying out projects for environmental res-  
20 toration for Holly Pond and Norotan River, Stamford,  
21 Connecticut.

22 **SEC. 4027. HOUSATONIC RIVER, NEW MILFORD, CON-**  
23 **NECTICUT.**

24 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
25 feasibility of carrying out a project for flood damage re-

1 duction along the Housatonic River, New Milford, Con-  
2 necticut.

3 **SEC. 4028. LONG ISLAND SOUND AND MILL RIVER, STAM-**  
4 **FORD, CONNECTICUT.**

5 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
6 feasibility of carrying out a project for navigational im-  
7 provements for Long Island Sound and Mill River, Stam-  
8 ford, Connecticut.

9 **SEC. 4029. MERIDEN, CONNECTICUT.**

10 The Secretary shall conduct a watershed study to de-  
11 termine the feasibility of carrying out a project for flood  
12 damage reduction for Meriden, Connecticut.

13 **SEC. 4030. SOUTH COVE, OLD SAYBROOK, CONNECTICUT.**

14 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
15 feasibility of carrying out a project for environmental res-  
16 toration for the South Cove, Old Saybrook, Connecticut.

17 **SEC. 4031. WEST RIVER, NEW HAVEN HARBOR, WEST**  
18 **HAVEN, CONNECTICUT.**

19 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
20 feasibility of carrying out a project for shoreline protec-  
21 tion, storm damage reduction, including a review of bulk-  
22 head condition for West River, New Haven Harbor, West  
23 Haven, Connecticut.

1 **SEC. 4032. CHESAPEAKE BAY, DELAWARE, MARYLAND, AND**  
2 **VIRGINIA.**

3 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
4 feasibility of carrying out projects for enhanced public ac-  
5 cess and recreational opportunities on Army Corps of En-  
6 gineers projects in the Chesapeake Bay, Delaware, Mary-  
7 land, and Virginia.

8 **SEC. 4033. WASHINGTON, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA.**

9 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
10 feasibility of carrying out a project for flood damage re-  
11 duction, including green technologies, for Washington,  
12 District of Columbia.

13 **SEC. 4034. LAKE COUNTY, FLORIDA.**

14 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
15 feasibility of carrying out a project for flood damage re-  
16 duction and environmental protection, Lake County, Flor-  
17 ida.

18 **SEC. 4035. MARION COUNTY, FLORIDA.**

19 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
20 feasibility of carrying out a project for water supply, Mar-  
21 ion County, Florida.

22 **SEC. 4036. MIAMI, FLORIDA.**

23 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
24 feasibility of carrying out a project for flood damage re-  
25 duction for Miami, Florida.

1 **SEC. 4037. OAKLAND PARK, FLORIDA.**

2 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
3 feasibility of carrying out a project for flood damage re-  
4 duction for Oakland Park, Florida.

5 **SEC. 4038. RIVIERA BEACH, FLORIDA.**

6 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
7 feasibility of carrying out a project for hurricane and  
8 storm damage reduction and shoreline protection for Riv-  
9 iera Beach, Florida.

10 **SEC. 4039. SOUTH DAYTONA, FLORIDA.**

11 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
12 feasibility of carrying out a project for flood damage re-  
13 duction, environmental restoration, and related water re-  
14 source purposes for South Daytona, Florida.

15 **SEC. 4040. TAMPA, FLORIDA.**

16 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
17 feasibility of carrying out a project for flood damage re-  
18 duction and environmental restoration for Tampa, Flor-  
19 ida.

20 **SEC. 4041. PEAVINE CREEK, DECATUR, GEORGIA.**

21 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
22 feasibility of carrying out a project for flood damage re-  
23 duction and environmental restoration, recreation, and re-  
24 lated water resource purposes for Peavine Creek, Decatur,  
25 Georgia.

1 **SEC. 4042. RICHLAND CREEK, LAWRENCEVILLE, GEORGIA.**

2 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
3 feasibility of carrying out a project for environmental res-  
4 toration for Richland Creek, Lawrenceville, Georgia.

5 **SEC. 4043. STUDY FOR WATER SUPPLY, GEORGIA.**

6 (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall conduct a  
7 study of municipal and industrial water supply for the  
8 State of Georgia.

9 (b) STUDY COMPONENTS.—In conducting the study,  
10 the Secretary shall review—

- 11 (1) currently available water supplies;
- 12 (2) expected future demand for potable water;
- 13 (3) current water uses, including per capita use  
14 rates;
- 15 (4) opportunities to augment existing supplies,  
16 including through increased conservation and im-  
17 proved efficiencies;
- 18 (5) the effect of water supply policies and uses  
19 on the environment;
- 20 (6) the effect of water supply policies on the  
21 economy;
- 22 (7) the effect of water supply policies and uses  
23 on upstream and downstream uses;
- 24 (8) the impacts of water supply policies on  
25 threatened and endangered species; and

1 (9) the impacts of consumptive uses on  
 2 instream uses.

3 (e) TIMING.—The Secretary shall complete the study  
 4 not later than 2 years following the first obligation of  
 5 funds for the study.

6 **SEC. 4044. SUWANNEE CREEK, LAWRENCEVILLE, GEORGIA.**

7 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
 8 feasibility of carrying out a project for environmental res-  
 9 toration for Suwannee Creek, Lawrenceville, Georgia.

10 **SEC. 4045. AGAT AND MERIZO, GUAM.**

11 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
 12 feasibility of carrying out a project for storm damage re-  
 13 duction and shoreline protection for Agat and Merizo,  
 14 Guam.

15 **SEC. 4046. WAIAKEA STREAM AND PALAI STREAM, HILO,**

16 **HAWAII.**

17 (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall conduct a  
 18 study to determine the feasibility of carrying out a project  
 19 for flood damage reduction along Waiakea Stream and  
 20 Palai Stream, Hilo, Hawaii.

21 (b) PRIOR WORK.—In carrying out the study, the  
 22 Secretary shall utilize, to the extent practicable, any work  
 23 undertaken in the formulation of a project for flood dam-  
 24 age reduction, Waiakea Stream and Palai Stream, Hilo,



1 Hawaii, initiated under section 205 of the Flood Control  
2 Act of 1948 (33 U.S.C. 701s).

3 **SEC. 4047. WAIALUA-KAIAKA WATERSHED, OAHU, HAWAII.**

4 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
5 feasibility of carrying out a project for flood damage re-  
6 duction, environmental restoration, water supply, and re-  
7 lated water resource purposes for the Waialua-Kaiaka wa-  
8 tershed, Oahu, Hawaii.

9 **SEC. 4048. ALBANY PARK, CHICAGO, ILLINOIS.**

10 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
11 feasibility of carrying out a project for flood damage re-  
12 duction for Albany Park, Chicago, Illinois.

13 **SEC. 4049. CARPENTER CREEK, CARPENTERSVILLE, ILLI-**  
14 **NOIS.**

15 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
16 feasibility of carrying out a project for flood damage re-  
17 duction and stream bank stabilization for Carpenter  
18 Creek, Carpentersville, Illinois.

19 **SEC. 4050. DES PLAINES RIVER, COOK COUNTY, ILLINOIS.**

20 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
21 feasibility of carrying out a project for flood damage re-  
22 duction and stream bank stabilization for the Des Plaines  
23 River, Cook County, Illinois.

1 **SEC. 4051. FERSON-OTTER CREEK DAM, ST. CHARLES, ILLI-**  
2 **NOIS.**

3 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
4 feasibility of carrying out a project for flood damage re-  
5 duction and stream bank stabilization for Ferson-Otter  
6 Creek Dam, St. Charles, Illinois.

7 **SEC. 4052. MIDDLE MISSISSIPPI RIVER, ILLINOIS AND MIS-**  
8 **SOURI.**

9 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
10 feasibility of developing a program for environmental res-  
11 toration for the Middle Mississippi River, Illinois and Mis-  
12 souri.

13 **SEC. 4053. NORTH BRANCH OF THE CHICAGO RIVER, CHI-**  
14 **CAGO, ILLINOIS.**

15 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
16 feasibility of carrying out a project for environmental res-  
17 toration and related water resource purposes for the North  
18 Branch of the Chicago River, Chicago, Illinois.

19 **SEC. 4054. RIVER PARK AND RONAN PARK, NORTH BRANCH**  
20 **OF THE CHICAGO RIVER, CHICAGO, ILLINOIS.**

21 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
22 feasibility of carrying out a project for environmental res-  
23 toration and shoreline protection for River Park and  
24 Ronan Park, North Branch of the Chicago River, Chicago,  
25 Illinois.

1 **SEC. 4055. THILLENS PARK, NORTH BRANCH OF THE CHI-**  
2 **CAGO RIVER, CHICAGO, ILLINOIS.**

3 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
4 feasibility of carrying out a project for flood damage re-  
5 duction, environmental restoration, and shoreline protec-  
6 tion for Thillens Park, North Branch of the Chicago  
7 River, Chicago, Illinois.

8 **SEC. 4056. VILLAGE OF SKOKIE, ILLINOIS.**

9 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
10 feasibility of carrying out a project for flood damage re-  
11 duction for the Village of Skokie, Illinois.

12 **SEC. 4057. BOWMAN CREEK, SOUTH BEND, INDIANA.**

13 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
14 feasibility of carrying out a project for environmental res-  
15 toration for Bowman Creek, South Bend, Indiana.

16 **SEC. 4058. LAKE MICHIGAN WATERSHED, INDIANA.**

17 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
18 feasibility of carrying out projects for flood damage reduc-  
19 tion, and related water resource purposes for the Lake  
20 Michigan watershed, Indiana.

21 **SEC. 4059. BURLINGTON, IOWA.**

22 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
23 feasibility of carrying out a project for flood damage re-  
24 duction and stream bank stabilization for Burlington,  
25 Iowa.

1 **SEC. 4060. BENEFICIAL USE OF DREDGED MATERIAL, LOU-**  
2 **ISIANA AND MISSISSIPPI.**

3 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
4 feasibility of utilizing the Federal hopper dredge Wheeler,  
5 as part of routine testing and use under its ready reserve  
6 status pursuant to section 3 of the Act of August 11, 1888  
7 (33 U.S.C. 622(c); 110 Stat. 3705), for support of  
8 projects for the beneficial reuse of material dredged from  
9 federally maintained waterways at the following locations:

10 (1) Projects in connection with the comprehen-  
11 sive plan for protecting, preserving, and restoring  
12 the coastal Louisiana ecosystem, pursuant to section  
13 7002 of the Water Resources Development Act of  
14 2007 (121 Stat. 1270).

15 (2) Projects in connection with the project for  
16 hurricane and storm damage reduction, Mississippi  
17 Coastal Improvements Program, Hancock, Harrison,  
18 and Jackson Counties, Mississippi, authorized by  
19 section 1001 of this Act.

20 **SEC. 4061. JESUIT BEND, PLAQUEMINES PARISH, LOU-**  
21 **ISIANA.**

22 (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall conduct a  
23 study to determine the feasibility of carrying out a project  
24 for flood damage reduction for Jesuit Bend, Plaquemines  
25 Parish, Louisiana.

1 (b) USE OF LOCAL REPORT.—In carrying out the  
2 study, the Secretary may include elements of the report  
3 prepared by the non-Federal interest for Jesuit Bend,  
4 Plaquemines Parish, Louisiana, if the Secretary deter-  
5 mines that such elements are feasible.

6 **SEC. 4062. LABRANCHE WETLANDS, ST. CHARLES AND ST.**  
7 **JOHN COUNTIES, LOUISIANA.**

8 (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall conduct a  
9 study to determine the feasibility of modifying the project  
10 for flood control and improvement of the Lower Mis-  
11 sissippi River, Bonnet Carre Spillway, authorized by sec-  
12 tion 1 of the Act of May 15, 1928 (45 Stat. 534), to add  
13 environmental restoration as a project purpose.

14 (b) REVIEW.—In carrying out the study, the Sec-  
15 retary shall review operational and structural changes to  
16 the project to restore the LaBranche Wetlands, St.  
17 Charles and St. John Counties, Louisiana.

18 **SEC. 4063. RUTH CANAL FRESHWATER DIVERSION,**  
19 **VERMILION, LOUISIANA.**

20 The Secretary shall conduct a study of the project  
21 for the improvement of Bayou Teche and the Vermilion  
22 River, Louisiana, authorized by section 3 of the Flood  
23 Control Act of August 18, 1941 (55 Stat. 641), and the  
24 project for flood protection in the Teche-Vermilion basins,  
25 Louisiana, authorized by section 203 of the Flood Control

1 Act of 1966 (80 Stat. 1420), to determine the feasibility  
2 of carrying out a project for environmental restoration and  
3 water supply, Ruth Canal, Vermilion, Louisiana.

4 **SEC. 4064. ANACOSTIA RIVER WATERSHED, PRINCE**  
5 **GEORGE'S COUNTY, MARYLAND.**

6 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
7 feasibility of carrying out a project for flood damage re-  
8 duction for the Anacostia River watershed, Prince  
9 George's County, Maryland.

10 **SEC. 4065. CHESAPEAKE BAY SHORELINE STUDY, MARY-**  
11 **LAND, PENNSYLVANIA, AND VIRGINIA.**

12 In carrying out the study for the Chesapeake Bay  
13 Shoreline, Maryland, Pennsylvania, and Virginia, being  
14 carried out under the Committee Resolution of the Com-  
15 mittee on Environment and Public Works of the United  
16 States Senate, adopted May 23, 2001, the Secretary shall  
17 determine the feasibility of carrying out projects on feder-  
18 ally owned property for shoreline protection, environ-  
19 mental restoration, and improvement of water quality of  
20 the Chesapeake Bay.

21 **SEC. 4066. DREDGED MATERIAL DISPOSAL, BALTIMORE**  
22 **HARBOR, MARYLAND.**

23 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
24 feasibility of carrying out a project for navigational im-  
25 provements and dredged material disposal at Cox Creek

1 Dredged Material Disposal Site for Baltimore Harbor,  
2 Maryland.

3 **SEC. 4067. MID-CHESAPEAKE BAY ISLAND RECREATION**  
4 **AND PUBLIC ACCESS, MARYLAND.**

5 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
6 feasibility of modifying the Mid-Chesapeake Bay Island  
7 project for enhanced public access and recreational oppor-  
8 tunities on Mid-Chesapeake Bay Island, Maryland, as au-  
9 thorized by section 1001 of this Act.

10 **SEC. 4068. CAPISIC BROOK, PORTLAND, MAINE.**

11 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
12 feasibility of carrying out projects for environmental res-  
13 toration, flood damage reduction, and stormwater man-  
14 agement for Capisic Brook, Portland, Maine.

15 **SEC. 4069. FISHING AND GOOSEBERRY ISLANDS, KITTERY,**  
16 **MAINE.**

17 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
18 feasibility of carrying out projects for storm damage re-  
19 duction and shoreline protection for Fishing and Goose-  
20 berry Islands, Kittery, Maine.

21 **SEC. 4070. SOUTHERN MAINE/NEW HAMPSHIRE DREDGED**  
22 **MATERIAL DISPOSAL STUDY, MAINE AND**  
23 **NEW HAMPSHIRE.**

24 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
25 feasibility of carrying out a project for navigational im-

1 provements and dredge material disposal for southern  
2 Maine and New Hampshire.

3 **SEC. 4071. ASSABET, CHARLES, AND SUDBURY WATER-**  
4 **SHEDS, MIDDLESEX AND ESSEX COUNTIES,**  
5 **MASSACHUSETTS.**

6 The Secretary shall conduct a comprehensive water-  
7 shed study to determine the feasibility of carrying out a  
8 project for flood damage reduction, environmental restora-  
9 tion, and related water resource purposes, Assabet,  
10 Charles, and Sudbury watersheds, Middlesex and Essex  
11 Counties, Massachusetts.

12 **SEC. 4072. HOOSIC RIVER WATERSHED, NORTH ADAMS,**  
13 **MASSACHUSETTS.**

14 The Secretary shall conduct a comprehensive water-  
15 shed study to determine the feasibility of carrying out  
16 projects for flood damage reduction, environmental res-  
17 toration, and related water resource purposes for Hoosic  
18 River watershed, North Adams, Massachusetts.

19 **SEC. 4073. MYSTIC RIVER WATERSHED, MASSACHUSETTS.**

20 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
21 feasibility of carrying out a project for environmental res-  
22 toration for the Mystic River watershed, Massachusetts.



1 **SEC. 4074. QUEQUECHAN RIVER, FALL RIVER, MASSACHU-**  
2 **SETTS.**

3 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
4 feasibility of carrying out a project for environmental res-  
5 toration, recreation, and related water resource purposes  
6 for the Quequechan River, Fall River, Massachusetts.

7 **SEC. 4075. CLINTON RIVER, CLINTON TOWNSHIP, MICH-**  
8 **GAN.**

9 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
10 feasibility of carrying out projects for flood damage reduc-  
11 tion, environmental restoration, and related water re-  
12 source purposes for Clinton River, Clinton Township,  
13 Michigan.

14 **SEC. 4076. HAMILTON DAM, FLINT, MICHIGAN.**

15 In carrying out the review under the authority of sec-  
16 tion 216 of the Flood Control Act of 1970 (84 Stat. 1830)  
17 of the project for flood control, Flint River, Michigan, au-  
18 thorized by section 203 of the Flood Control Act of 1958  
19 (72 Stat. 311), the Secretary shall include a review of  
20 Hamilton Dam, Flint, Michigan.

21 **SEC. 4077. UPPER PENINSULA FLOOD RECOVERY, MICH-**  
22 **GAN.**

23 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
24 feasibility of carrying out projects for flood damage reduc-  
25 tion and related water resource purposes for Upper Penin-  
26 sula Flood Recovery, Michigan.

1 **SEC. 4078. AMORY, MISSISSIPPI.**

2 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
3 feasibility of carrying out a project for flood damage re-  
4 duction for Amory, Mississippi.

5 **SEC. 4079. COASTAL MISSISSIPPI ECOSYSTEM RESTORA-**  
6 **TION, MISSISSIPPI.**

7 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
8 feasibility of carrying out projects for environmental res-  
9 toration and related water resource purposes for coastal  
10 Mississippi.

11 **SEC. 4080. FULTON, MISSISSIPPI.**

12 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
13 feasibility of carrying out a project for flood damage re-  
14 duction for Fulton, Mississippi.

15 **SEC. 4081. GULFPORT, MISSISSIPPI.**

16 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
17 feasibility of carrying out a project for navigational im-  
18 provements, Gulfport, Mississippi.

19 **SEC. 4082. LUCEDALE, MISSISSIPPI.**

20 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
21 feasibility of carrying out a project for flood damage re-  
22 duction, water supply, recreation, and related water re-  
23 source purposes for Lucedale, Mississippi.

1 **SEC. 4083. MAGBY CREEK AND VERNON BRANCH, LOWNDES**  
2 **COUNTY, MISSISSIPPI.**

3 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
4 feasibility of carrying out a project for flood damage re-  
5 duction for Magby Creek and Vernon Branch in Lowndes  
6 County, Mississippi.

7 **SEC. 4084. BLUE RIVER BASIN, KANSAS CITY, MISSOURI.**

8 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
9 feasibility of modifying the project for flood protection and  
10 other purposes in the Blue River basin, vicinity of Kansas  
11 City, Missouri and Kansas, authorized by section 201 of  
12 the Flood Control Act of 1970 (80 Stat. 1409), to include  
13 additional flood damage reduction, environmental restora-  
14 tion, and recreational measures, Kansas City, Missouri.

15 **SEC. 4085. LITTLE BLUE RIVER, JACKSON COUNTY, MIS-**  
16 **SOURI.**

17 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
18 feasibility of carrying out a project for stream bank sta-  
19 bilization for Little Blue River, Jackson County, Missouri.

20 **SEC. 4086. ST. LOUIS, MISSOURI.**

21 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
22 feasibility of carrying out a project for flood damage re-  
23 duction, especially examining the floodwall pump station,  
24 for St. Louis, Missouri.

1 **SEC. 4087. LAS VEGAS WASH, LAS VEGAS, NEVADA.**

2 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
3 feasibility of carrying out a project for flood damage re-  
4 duction for Las Vegas Wash, Las Vegas, Nevada.

5 **SEC. 4088. NEW HAMPSHIRE.**

6 The Secretary, in collaboration with all relevant Fed-  
7 eral and non-Federal entities, including State and local  
8 governments, nonprofit organizations, academia, and the  
9 general public, shall conduct a comprehensive watershed  
10 study of all watersheds in New Hampshire for water qual-  
11 ity, habitat degradation, environmental restoration, water  
12 supply, and potential impacts of climate change for New  
13 Hampshire.

14 **SEC. 4089. PISCATAQUA RIVER, NEW HAMPSHIRE.**

15 The Secretary shall conduct a study to evaluate sedi-  
16 ment and nutrient pollution in the Piscataqua River sys-  
17 tem to determine the feasibility of carrying out a project  
18 for environmental restoration and water quality for the  
19 Piscataqua River, New Hampshire.

20 **SEC. 4090. BARNEGAT BAY WATERSHED, OCEAN AND MON-**  
21 **MOUTH COUNTIES, NEW JERSEY.**

22 The Secretary shall conduct a comprehensive water-  
23 shed study to determine the feasibility of carrying out  
24 projects for flood damage reduction, shoreline protection,  
25 environmental restoration, and related water resource pur-

1 poses for Barnegat Bay watershed, Ocean and Monmouth  
2 Counties, New Jersey.

3 **SEC. 4091. BEVERLY, NEW JERSEY.**

4 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
5 feasibility of carrying out a project for shoreline protec-  
6 tion, including consideration of a gabion wall, for Beverly,  
7 New Jersey.

8 **SEC. 4092. BOROUGH OF PINE BEACH, NEW JERSEY.**

9 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
10 feasibility of carrying out a project for shoreline protec-  
11 tion, including consideration of floating wave attenuators  
12 off shore, for Borough of Pine Beach, New Jersey.

13 **SEC. 4093. HADDON TOWNSHIP, NEW JERSEY.**

14 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
15 feasibility of carrying out a project for flood damage re-  
16 duction for Haddon Township, New Jersey.

17 **SEC. 4094. RAHWAY RIVER WATERSHED, NEW JERSEY.**

18 The Secretary shall conduct a comprehensive water-  
19 shed study to determine the feasibility of carrying out  
20 projects for flood damage reduction, environmental res-  
21 toration, and related water resource purposes for Rahway  
22 River watershed, New Jersey.

1 **SEC. 4095. THIRD RIVER, BELLEVILLE, BLOOMFIELD, AND**  
2 **NUTLEY, NEW JERSEY.**

3 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
4 feasibility of carrying out projects for flood damage reduc-  
5 tion for Third River, Belleville, Bloomfield, and Nutley,  
6 New Jersey.

7 **SEC. 4096. PASSAIC RIVER CHANNEL, NUTLEY, NEW JER-**  
8 **SEY.**

9 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
10 feasibility of carrying out a project for navigation, environ-  
11 mental restoration, and recreation for the Passaic River  
12 Channel, Nutley, New Jersey.

13 **SEC. 4097. TOWNSHIP OF OCEAN, NEW JERSEY.**

14 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
15 feasibility of carrying out a project for storm damage re-  
16 duction and shoreline protection for the Township of  
17 Ocean, New Jersey.

18 **SEC. 4098. PREAKNESS BROOK, WAYNE, NEW JERSEY.**

19 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
20 feasibility of carrying out a project for flood damage re-  
21 duction for Preakness Brook, Wayne, New Jersey.

22 **SEC. 4099. DONA ANA, NEW MEXICO.**

23 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
24 feasibility of adding hydropower to existing irrigation ca-  
25 nals for Dona Ana, New Mexico.

1 **SEC. 4100. HIDALGO COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.**

2 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
3 feasibility of carrying out a project for flood damage re-  
4 duction for Hidalgo County, New Mexico.

5 **SEC. 4101. OTERO COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.**

6 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
7 feasibility of carrying out a project for flood damage re-  
8 duction for Otero County, New Mexico.

9 **SEC. 4102. VALENCIA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.**

10 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
11 feasibility of carrying out a project for flood damage re-  
12 duction for Valencia County, New Mexico.

13 **SEC. 4103. GLEN COVE, NEW YORK.**

14 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
15 feasibility of carrying out a project for storm damage re-  
16 duction and environmental restoration for Glen Cove, New  
17 York.

18 **SEC. 4104. HAWTREE BASIN, HAMILTON BEACH, NEW YORK.**

19 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
20 feasibility of carrying out projects for storm damage re-  
21 duction, shoreline protection, and environmental restora-  
22 tion for Hawtree basin, Hamilton Beach, New York.

23 **SEC. 4105. KILL VAN KULL, PORT RICHMOND, STATEN IS-**  
24 **LAND, NEW YORK.**

25 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
26 feasibility of carrying out projects for storm damage re-

1 duction, shoreline protection, and environmental restora-  
2 tion for Kill Van Kull, Port Richmond, Staten Island, New  
3 York.

4 **SEC. 4106. MARINERS MARSH AND ARLINGTON MARSH,**  
5 **STATEN ISLAND, NEW YORK.**

6 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
7 feasibility of carrying out a project for environmental res-  
8 toration for Mariners Marsh and Arlington Marsh, Staten  
9 Island, New York.

10 **SEC. 4107. NEW YORK, NEW YORK.**

11 (a) INVENTORY AND ASSESSMENT OF BULKHEADS  
12 AND SEAWALLS.—

13 (1) INVENTORY.—The Secretary shall conduct  
14 an inventory of bulkheads and seawalls constructed  
15 around the city of New York, New York.

16 (2) ASSESSMENT OF REHABILITATION  
17 NEEDS.—In conducting the inventory required under  
18 paragraph (1), the Secretary shall assess the condi-  
19 tion of the bulkheads and seawalls and the need for  
20 rehabilitation or modification of the bulkheads and  
21 seawalls.

22 (b) REPORT TO CONGRESS.—Not later than 2 years  
23 after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall  
24 transmit to Congress a report containing the inventory  
25 and assessment required by subsection (a).



1 (c) INTERIM ACTIONS.—If the Secretary determines  
2 that a bulkhead or seawall referred to in subsection (a)  
3 presents an imminent and substantial risk to public safety,  
4 the Secretary may carry out measures to prevent or miti-  
5 gate that risk.

6 (d) FEDERAL SHARE.—The Federal share of the cost  
7 of assistance provided under this section shall be 65 per-  
8 cent.

9 (e) COORDINATION.—In carrying out this section, the  
10 Secretary shall coordinate with the appropriate officials of  
11 the city of New York and the State of New York.

12 (f) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There is  
13 authorized to be appropriated to carry out this section  
14 \$7,000,000, to remain available until expended.

15 **SEC. 4108. NORTON BASIN INLET, FAR ROCKAWAY, NEW**  
16 **YORK.**

17 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
18 feasibility of carrying out projects for storm damage re-  
19 duction and shoreline protection for Norton Basin Inlet,  
20 Far Rockaway, New York.

21 **SEC. 4109. QUEENS, NEW YORK.**

22 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
23 feasibility of carrying out a project for storm damage re-  
24 duction and shoreline protection, Queens, New York, be-  
25 tween 116th and 156th Streets.

1 **SEC. 4110. ROCKAWAY BEACH SEAWALL, ROCKAWAY, NEW**  
2 **YORK.**

3 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
4 feasibility of carrying out projects for storm damage re-  
5 duction and shoreline protection for Rockaway Beach Sea-  
6 wall, Rockaway, New York.

7 **SEC. 4111. ROOSEVELT ISLAND, EAST RIVER, NEW YORK,**  
8 **NEW YORK.**

9 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
10 feasibility of carrying out projects for flood damage reduc-  
11 tion and shoreline protection for Roosevelt Island, East  
12 River, New York, New York.

13 **SEC. 4112. CHARLOTTE, NORTH CAROLINA.**

14 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
15 feasibility of carrying out projects for environmental res-  
16 toration in support of the Surface Water Improvement  
17 and Management Initiative for Charlotte, North Carolina.

18 **SEC. 4113. NANTAHALA RIVER, SWAIN, NORTH CAROLINA.**

19 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
20 feasibility of carrying out a project for environmental res-  
21 toration, recreation, and related water resource purposes,  
22 Nantahala River, Swain, North Carolina.

23 **SEC. 4114. MISSOURI RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES, SOUTH AND**  
24 **CENTRAL NORTH DAKOTA, NORTH DAKOTA.**

25 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
26 feasibility of carrying out a project for flood damage re-

1 duction for the Missouri River and tributaries, South and  
2 Central North Dakota, North Dakota.

3 **SEC. 4115. BIG CREEK WATERSHED, OHIO.**

4 The Secretary shall conduct a watershed study to de-  
5 termine the feasibility of carrying out projects for flood  
6 damage reduction and environmental restoration for Big  
7 Creek watershed, Ohio.

8 **SEC. 4116. BRANDYWINE CREEK WATERSHED, OHIO.**

9 The Secretary shall conduct a comprehensive water-  
10 shed study to determine the feasibility of carrying out  
11 projects for flood damage reduction and environmental  
12 restoration for Brandywine Creek watershed, Ohio.

13 **SEC. 4117. CARLISLE TOWNSHIP, LORAIN COUNTY, OHIO.**

14 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
15 feasibility of carrying out a project for flood damage re-  
16 duction for Carlisle Township, Lorain County, Ohio.

17 **SEC. 4118. CUYAHOGA RIVER WATERSHED AND**  
18 **TUSCARAWAS RIVER WATERSHED, SUMMIT**  
19 **COUNTY, OHIO.**

20 The Secretary shall conduct a comprehensive water-  
21 shed study to determine the feasibility of carrying out a  
22 project for flood damage reduction, environmental restora-  
23 tion, and related water resource purposes, Cuyahoga River  
24 watershed and Tuscarawas River watershed, Summit  
25 County, Ohio.

1 **SEC. 4119. EUCLID CREEK WATERSHED, OHIO.**

2 The Secretary shall conduct a watershed study to de-  
3 termine the feasibility of carrying out projects for flood  
4 damage reduction and environmental restoration for Eu-  
5 clid Creek watershed, Ohio.

6 **SEC. 4120. HEALY CREEK, BRUNSWICK, OHIO.**

7 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
8 feasibility of carrying out a project for environmental res-  
9 toration, streambank erosion, and sedimentation control  
10 for Healy Creek, Brunswick, Ohio.

11 **SEC. 4121. LOWER MAUMEE RIVER, TOLEDO, OHIO.**

12 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
13 feasibility of carrying out a project for flood damage re-  
14 duction for the Lower Maumee River, Toledo, Ohio.

15 **SEC. 4122. OHIO RIVER, OHIO.**

16 Section 4070 of the Water Resources Development  
17 Act of 2007 (121 Stat. 1183) is amended by striking  
18 "Ohio River" and inserting "Ohio River and tributaries".

19 **SEC. 4123. SHAKER LAKES, SHAKER HEIGHTS AND CLEVE-**  
20 **LAND HEIGHTS, OHIO.**

21 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
22 feasibility of carrying out a project for environmental res-  
23 toration for Shaker Lakes, Shaker Heights and Cleveland  
24 Heights, Ohio.

1 **SEC. 4124. STARK COUNTY, OHIO.**

2 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
3 feasibility of carrying out a project for flood damage re-  
4 duction and environmental restoration for Stark County,  
5 Ohio.

6 **SEC. 4125. TINKERS CREEK WATERSHED, OHIO.**

7 The Secretary shall conduct a watershed study to de-  
8 termine the feasibility of carrying out projects for flood  
9 damage reduction and environmental restoration for Tin-  
10 kers Creek watershed, Ohio.

11 **SEC. 4126. UPPER TUSCARAWAS RIVER, CUYAHOGA COUN-**  
12 **TY, OHIO.**

13 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
14 feasibility of carrying out a project for flood damage re-  
15 duction for the Upper Tuscarawas River, Cuyahoga Coun-  
16 ty, Ohio.

17 **SEC. 4127. WEST CREEK WATERSHED, OHIO.**

18 The Secretary shall conduct a watershed study to de-  
19 termine the feasibility of carrying out projects for flood  
20 damage reduction and environmental restoration for West  
21 Creek watershed, Ohio.

22 **SEC. 4128. YELLOW CREEK AND SHORT CREEK, JEFFERSON**  
23 **COUNTY, OHIO.**

24 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
25 feasibility of carrying out a project for flood damage re-

1 duction and environmental restoration for Yellow Creek  
2 and Short Creek, Jefferson County, Ohio.

3 **SEC. 4129. FERRY CREEK RESERVOIR, BROOKINGS, OR-**  
4 **EGON.**

5 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
6 feasibility of carrying out a project for environmental res-  
7 toration for Ferry Creek Reservoir, Brookings, Oregon.

8 **SEC. 4130. OREGON NAVIGATION JETTIES AND BREAK-**  
9 **WATERS, OREGON.**

10 (a) INVENTORY AND ASSESSMENT OF NAVIGATION  
11 JETTIES AND BREAKWATERS.—

12 (1) INVENTORY.—The Secretary shall conduct  
13 an inventory of federally constructed navigation jet-  
14 ties and breakwaters in the State of Oregon.

15 (2) ASSESSMENT OF REHABILITATION  
16 NEEDS.—In conducting the inventory required under  
17 paragraph (1), the Secretary shall assess the condi-  
18 tion of the navigation jetties and breakwaters and  
19 the need for rehabilitation or modification of the jet-  
20 ties and breakwaters.

21 (b) REPORT TO CONGRESS.—Not later than 2 years  
22 after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall  
23 transmit to Congress a report containing the inventory  
24 and assessment required by subsection (a).

1 (c) INTERIM ACTIONS.—If the Secretary determines  
2 that a jetty or breakwater referred to in subsection (a)  
3 presents an imminent and substantial risk to public safety,  
4 the Secretary may carry out measures to prevent or miti-  
5 gate that risk.

6 (d) FEDERAL SHARE.—The Federal share of the cost  
7 of assistance provided under this section shall be 65 per-  
8 cent.

9 (e) COORDINATION.—In carrying out this section, the  
10 Secretary shall coordinate with the appropriate officials of  
11 the State of Oregon.

12 (f) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There is  
13 authorized to be appropriated to carry out this section  
14 \$7,000,000, to remain available until expended.

15 **SEC. 4131. PORT ORFORD, OREGON.**

16 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
17 feasibility of carrying out a project for navigational im-  
18 provements with examination of navigational breakwaters  
19 for Port Orford, Oregon.

20 **SEC. 4132. BUHL LAKE, SHARON, PENNSYLVANIA.**

21 (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall conduct a  
22 study to determine the feasibility of carrying out a multi-  
23 purpose project for flood damage reduction and environ-  
24 mental restoration for Buhl Lake, Sharon, Pennsylvania.

1 (b) PRIOR WORK.—In carrying out the study, the  
2 Secretary shall utilize, to the extent practicable, any work  
3 undertaken in the formulation of a project for environ-  
4 mental restoration, Buhl Lake, Sharon, Pennsylvania, ini-  
5 tiated under section 206 of the Water Resources Develop-  
6 ment Act of 1996 (33 U.S.C. 2330; 110 Stat. 3679).

7 **SEC. 4133. DELAWARE RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES, BUCKS**  
8 **COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA.**

9 The Secretary shall conduct a comprehensive water-  
10 shed study to determine the feasibility of carrying out  
11 projects for flood damage reduction and environmental  
12 restoration for the Delaware River and tributaries, Bucks  
13 County, Pennsylvania.

14 **SEC. 4134. ELK CREEK, MEADVILLE, PENNSYLVANIA.**

15 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
16 feasibility of carrying out a project for environmental res-  
17 toration and water quality for Elk Creek, Meadville, Penn-  
18 sylvania.

19 **SEC. 4135. MILL CREEK, ERIE, PENNSYLVANIA.**

20 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
21 feasibility of carrying out a project for flood damage re-  
22 duction, focusing on the Mill Creek Drift Catcher, for Mill  
23 Creek, Erie, Pennsylvania.



1 **SEC. 4136. SUSQUEHANNA RIVER, PENNSYLVANIA.**

2 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
3 feasibility of carrying out a project for environmental res-  
4 toration for the Susquehanna River, Pennsylvania.

5 **SEC. 4137. WESTERN PENNSYLVANIA FLOOD DAMAGE RE-**  
6 **DUCTION.**

7 Section 4077 of the Water Resources Development  
8 Act of 2007 (121 Stat. 1184) is amended—

9 (1) in subsection (a), by striking “Mahoning  
10 River basin, Pennsylvania” and inserting “Mahoning  
11 River basin, Pennsylvania, the Monongahela River  
12 basin, Pennsylvania,”; and

13 (2) in subsection (b), by striking “Shaler Town-  
14 ship” and inserting “Shaler Township, Hampton  
15 Township, Harmar Township,”.

16 **SEC. 4138. GUAYAMA, PUERTO RICO.**

17 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
18 feasibility of carrying out a project for hurricane and  
19 storm damage reduction for Guayama, Puerto Rico.

20 **SEC. 4139. RINCON, PUERTO RICO.**

21 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
22 feasibility of carrying out a project for flood damage re-  
23 duction and shoreline protection for the Municipality of  
24 Rincon, Puerto Rico.

1 **SEC. 4140. PROVIDENCE, RHODE ISLAND.**

2 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
3 feasibility of carrying out projects for flood damage reduc-  
4 tion and related water resource purposes for the rivers in  
5 Providence, Rhode Island.

6 **SEC. 4141. SOUTH CAROLINA.**

7 The Secretary, in collaboration with all relevant Fed-  
8 eral and non-Federal entities, including State and local  
9 governments, nonprofit organizations, academia, and the  
10 general public, shall conduct comprehensive watershed  
11 studies of all 8 watersheds in South Carolina for water  
12 quality, habitat condition, environmental restoration,  
13 water supply, and the potential impacts of climate change  
14 for South Carolina.

15 **SEC. 4142. JAMES RIVER, SOUTH DAKOTA.**

16 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
17 feasibility of modifying the project for channel restoration  
18 and improvements on the James River, South Dakota, au-  
19 thorized by section 401(b) of the Water Resources Devel-  
20 opment Act of 1986 (100 Stat. 4128) to add ecosystem  
21 restoration and watershed improvements as project pur-  
22 poses.

23 **SEC. 4143. STATION CAMP CREEK, GALLATIN, TENNESSEE.**

24 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
25 feasibility of carrying out a project for environmental res-  
26 toration for Station Camp Creek, Gallatin, Tennessee.

1 **SEC. 4144. BRAZOS RIVER, TEXAS.**

2 The Secretary shall conduct a study assessing the  
3 long-term impacts of water use, withdrawal, recirculation,  
4 and downstream impacts on the Whitney Lake Reservoir,  
5 Texas.

6 **SEC. 4145. HICKORY CREEK, CITY OF BALCH SPRINGS,**  
7 **TEXAS.**

8 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
9 feasibility of carrying out a project for flood damage re-  
10 duction for Hickory Creek, City of Balch Springs, Texas.

11 **SEC. 4146. HOUSTON-GALVESTON NAVIGATION CHANNELS**  
12 **(BARBOURS CUT), TEXAS.**

13 The Secretary shall conduct a study of the feasibility  
14 of modifying the Barboours Cut element of the project for  
15 navigation and environmental restoration, Houston-Gal-  
16 veston Navigation Channels, Texas, authorized by section  
17 101(a)(30) of the Water Resources Development Act of  
18 1996 (110 Stat. 3666), to a depth of 45 feet.

19 **SEC. 4147. PORT OF GALVESTON, TEXAS.**

20 The Secretary shall conduct a study of the feasibility  
21 of carrying out a project for dredged material disposal in  
22 the vicinity of the project for navigation and environ-  
23 mental restoration, Houston-Galveston Navigation Chan-  
24 nels, Texas, authorized by section 101(a)(30) of the Water  
25 Resources Development Act of 1996 (110 Stat. 3666).

1 **SEC. 4148. SIMSBORO AQUIFER, CITY OF BALSTROP, TEXAS.**

2 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
3 feasibility of utilizing the Simsboro Aquifer for water sup-  
4 ply for the City of Balstrop, Texas.

5 **SEC. 4149. NAVASOTA RIVER WATERSHED, GRIMES COUN-**  
6 **TY, TEXAS.**

7 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
8 feasibility of carrying out a project for flood damage re-  
9 duction, environmental restoration, and related water re-  
10 source purposes for the Navasota River watershed, Grimes  
11 County, Texas.

12 **SEC. 4150. RIO GRANDE BASIN, TEXAS.**

13 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
14 feasibility of carrying out a project for flood damage re-  
15 duction, environmental restoration, and water supply for  
16 the Rio Grande basin, Texas.

17 **SEC. 4151. ROMA, TEXAS.**

18 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
19 feasibility of carrying out a project for flood damage re-  
20 duction for Roma, Texas.

21 **SEC. 4152. COTTONWOOD HEIGHTS, UTAH.**

22 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
23 feasibility of carrying out a project for streambank sta-  
24 bilization for Cottonwood Heights, Utah.

1 **SEC. 4153. EMERY TOWN, UTAH.**

2 The Secretary shall conduct a comprehensive study  
3 of existing water supply resources for Emery Town, Utah.

4 **SEC. 4154. BIG SANDY RIVER REALLOCATION STUDY, VIR-**  
5 **GINIA AND WEST VIRGINIA.**

6 The Secretary shall conduct a comprehensive water-  
7 shed study to determine the feasibility of reallocating  
8 water storage at 6 reservoirs to optimize benefits for mul-  
9 tiple-purpose use in the Big Sandy River watershed, Vir-  
10 ginia and West Virginia.

11 **SEC. 4155. BUCKROE AND GRANDVIEW BEACHES, HAMP-**  
12 **TON, VIRGINIA.**

13 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
14 feasibility of carrying out a project for shoreline protection  
15 for Buckroe and Grandview Beaches, Hampton, Virginia.

16 **SEC. 4156. FORT MONROE, HAMPTON, VIRGINIA.**

17 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
18 feasibility of carrying out a project for hurricane and  
19 storm damage reduction, including offshore breakwaters,  
20 for Fort Monroe, Hampton, Virginia.

21 **SEC. 4157. HAMPTON, VIRGINIA.**

22 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
23 feasibility of carrying out projects for hurricane and storm  
24 damage reduction and shoreline protection for Hampton,  
25 Virginia.

1 **SEC. 4158. JAMES RIVER WATERSHED, VIRGINIA.**

2 The Secretary shall conduct a comprehensive water-  
3 shed study to determine the water resource needs, includ-  
4 ing current and projected future needs, for the James  
5 River watershed, Virginia.

6 **SEC. 4159. ELLIOTT BAY, SEATTLE, WASHINGTON.**

7 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
8 feasibility of carrying out a project for navigation channel  
9 deepening for Elliott Bay, Seattle, Washington.

10 **SEC. 4160. GREEN RIVER, KENT, WASHINGTON.**

11 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
12 feasibility of carrying out a project for flood damage re-  
13 duction for the Green River, Kent, Washington.

14 **SEC. 4161. VANCOUVER LAKE WATERSHED, VANCOUVER,**  
15 **WASHINGTON.**

16 The Secretary shall conduct a comprehensive water-  
17 shed study to determine the feasibility of carrying out  
18 projects for environmental quality and environmental res-  
19 toration, especially related to salmon and steelhead recov-  
20 ery issues, for the Vancouver Lake watershed, Vancouver,  
21 Washington.

22 **SEC. 4162. LAKE MICHIGAN SHORELINE, CITY OF CUDAHY,**  
23 **WISCONSIN.**

24 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
25 feasibility of carrying out a project for shoreline protection

1 for the Lake Michigan shoreline, City of Cudahy, Wis-  
2 consin.

### 3 **TITLE V—MISCELLANEOUS**

#### 4 **SEC. 5001. CHESAPEAKE BAY ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORA-** 5 **TION AND PROTECTION PROGRAM.**

6 (a) IN GENERAL.—Section 510 of the Water Re-  
7 sources Development Act of 1996 (110 Stat. 3759; 121  
8 Stat. 1202) is amended—

9 (1) in subsection (a)(1) by striking “pilot”;

10 (2) in subsection (d(2)) by adding at the end  
11 the following:

12 “(C) IN-KIND SERVICES.—In accordance  
13 with section 221 of the Flood Control Act of  
14 1970 (42 U.S.C. 1962d–5b), the non-Federal  
15 interest may provide any portion of the non-  
16 Federal share of the costs of the project carried  
17 out under this section in the form of in-kind  
18 services and materials.

19 “(D) TREATMENT OF CERTAIN FUNDS.—  
20 In accordance with section 2007 of the Water  
21 Resources Development Act of 2007 (33 U.S.C.  
22 2222), funds provided by a Federal department  
23 or agency other than the Corps of Engineers  
24 for a project carried out under this section shall

1           be credited towards the non-Federal share of  
2           the cost of project.”

3           (3) by redesignating subsections (e), (f), (g),  
4           (h), and (i) as subsections (f), (g), (h), (i), and (j),  
5           respectively;

6           (4) by inserting after subsection (d) the fol-  
7           lowing:

8           “(e) COST LIMITATION.—Not more than  
9           \$10,000,000 in Federal funds may be allotted under this  
10          section for a project at any single locality.”;

11          (5) by striking subsection (g) (as redesignated  
12          by paragraph (3) of this subsection) and inserting  
13          the following:

14          “(g) PROJECTS.—The Secretary may carry out  
15          projects under this section in the Chesapeake Bay water-  
16          shed, with the goal of carrying out projects in each of the  
17          States of Delaware, New York, Maryland, Pennsylvania,  
18          Virginia, and West Virginia and the District of Colum-  
19          bia.”; and

20          (6) in subsection (j) (as redesignated by para-  
21          graph (3) of this subsection) by striking  
22          “\$40,000,000” and inserting “\$50,000,000”.

23          (b) RESTORATION OF CHESAPEAKE BAY ECO-  
24          SYSTEM.—



1           (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 2 years after  
2           the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary  
3           shall develop at Federal expense and submit to Con-  
4           gress a comprehensive plan to prioritize projects  
5           within the Chesapeake Bay watershed, including  
6           projects in the Anacostia, Elizabeth, James, Pa-  
7           tapsco, Patuxent, Potomac, Rappahannock, Susque-  
8           hanna, and York River basins.

9           (2) REQUIREMENTS.—The Secretary shall en-  
10          sure that the plan developed under paragraph (1)—

11                 (A) focuses on integrating existing and po-  
12                 tential future work of the Corps of Engineers;

13                 (B) is developed in consultation with the  
14                 Chesapeake Bay Program maintained under  
15                 section 117 of the Federal Water Pollution  
16                 Control Act (33 U.S.C. 1267)); and

17                 (C) encompasses all actions of the Corps of  
18                 Engineers that are necessary to assist in the  
19                 implementation of the goals of the Chesapeake  
20                 Bay Agreement, as defined in section 117 of  
21                 the Federal Water Pollution Control Act (33  
22                 U.S.C. 1267)).

23          (3) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—  
24          There is authorized to be appropriated to carry out  
25          this subsection \$1,000,000.

1 **SEC. 5002. SAINT LAWRENCE SEAWAY.**

2 Section 5015(a) of the Water Resources Development  
3 Act of 2007 (121 Stat. 1196) is amended by striking  
4 “\$134,650,000” and inserting “\$185,638,028”.

5 **SEC. 5003. WATERSHED MANAGEMENT.**

6 Section 5002(d) of the Water Resources Development  
7 Act of 2007 (121 Stat. 1190) is amended—

8 (1) in paragraph (9) by striking “Esopus,  
9 Plattekill, and Rondout Creeks” and inserting  
10 “Esopus, Rondout, and Wallkill watersheds”; and

11 (2) by adding at the end the following:

12 “(19) San Gabriel River watershed, California.

13 “(20) South Platte River watershed, Colorado.

14 “(21) Loxahatchee River watershed, Jupiter,  
15 Florida.

16 “(22) Hudson River watershed, Orange,  
17 Dutchess, and Ulster Counties, New York.

18 “(23) Muskingum River basin, Ohio.”

19 **SEC. 5004. COMPREHENSIVE SHORELINE RESTORATION.**

20 (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary may participate in  
21 the ecosystem restoration, navigation, flood damage reduc-  
22 tion, and emergency streambank protection components of  
23 projects at the locations described in subsection (b) if the  
24 Secretary determines that such component is feasible.

25 (b) PROJECT LOCATIONS.—The locations referred to  
26 in subsection (a) are as follows:

- 1 (1) Miller Knox Shoreline, Richmond, Cali-
- 2 fornia.
- 3 (2) Mississippi River, Davenport, Iowa.
- 4 (3) Lake Michigan (in the vicinity of the former
- 5 USX Site), Chicago, Illinois.
- 6 (4) Pond and Mill Creek, Louisville, Kentucky.
- 7 (5) Massachusetts Bay (in the vicinity of
- 8 Georges Island), Boston, Massachusetts.
- 9 (6) Mississippi River (in the vicinity of the
- 10 lower St. Anthony Falls), Minneapolis, Minnesota.
- 11 (7) Brush Creek, Kansas City, Missouri.
- 12 (8) Mississippi River, Kimmswick, Missouri.
- 13 (9) Delaware River, Trenton, New Jersey.
- 14 (10) East River, New York, New York.
- 15 (11) Upper New York Bay, Staten Island, New
- 16 York.
- 17 (12) Abbott's Creek, Lexington, North Caro-
- 18 lina.
- 19 (13) Ohio River, Belpre, Ohio.
- 20 (14) Schuylkill River, Philadelphia, Pennsyl-
- 21 vania.
- 22 (15) Ohio, Allegheny, and Monongahela Rivers,
- 23 Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania.
- 24 (16) Ohio River, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania.

1 (17) Fields Point, Narragansett Bay, Provi-  
2 dence, Rhode Island.

3 (18) Congaree River, Columbia, South Carolina.

4 (19) Wolf Creek Harbor, Mississippi River,  
5 Tennessee.

6 (20) Ruston Way Seawall, Commencement Bay,  
7 Tacoma, Washington.

8 (21) Lower Yahara River, McFarland, Wis-  
9 consin.

10 (e) COST LIMITATION.—Not more than \$5,000,000  
11 in Federal funds may be allotted under this section for  
12 a project at any single locality.

13 (d) RECREATION.—The Secretary may include rec-  
14 reational components as part of a project carried out  
15 under this section.

16 (e) FUNDING.—There is authorized to be appro-  
17 priated to carry out this section \$25,000,000 for each fis-  
18 cal years 2011 through 2016.

19 **SEC. 5005. NORTHEAST COASTAL REGION ECOSYSTEM RES-**  
20 **TORATION.**

21 (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall plan, design,  
22 and construct projects for aquatic ecosystem restoration  
23 within the coastal waters of the Northeastern United  
24 States from Virginia to Maine, including associated bays,  
25 estuaries, and critical riverine areas.

1 (b) GENERAL COASTAL MANAGEMENT PLAN.—

2 (1) ASSESSMENT.—The Secretary, in coordina-  
3 tion with the Administrator of the Environmental  
4 Protection Agency, the heads of other appropriate  
5 Federal agencies, the Governors of the coastal  
6 States from Virginia to Maine, nonprofit organiza-  
7 tions, and other interested parties, shall assess the  
8 needs regarding, and opportunities for, aquatic eco-  
9 system restoration within the coastal waters of the  
10 Northeastern United States.

11 (2) PLAN.—The Secretary shall develop a gen-  
12 eral coastal management plan based on the assess-  
13 ment carried out under paragraph (1), maximizing  
14 the use of existing plans and investigations. The  
15 Secretary shall include in the plan the following:

16 (A) An inventory and evaluation of coastal  
17 habitats.

18 (B) Identification of aquatic resources in  
19 need of improvement.

20 (C) Identification and prioritization of po-  
21 tential aquatic habitat restoration projects.

22 (D) Identification of geographical and eco-  
23 logical areas of concern, including—

24 (i) finfish habitats;

- 1 (ii) diadromous fisheries migratory
- 2 corridors;
- 3 (iii) shellfish habitats;
- 4 (iv) submerged aquatic vegetation;
- 5 (v) wetlands; and
- 6 (vi) beach dune complexes and other
- 7 similar habitats.

8 (c) ELIGIBLE PROJECTS.—The Secretary may carry  
9 out an aquatic ecosystem restoration project under this  
10 section if the project—

11 (1) is consistent with the management plan de-  
12 veloped under subsection (b); and

13 (2) provides for—

14 (A) the restoration of degraded aquatic  
15 habitat (including coastal, saltmarsh, benthic,  
16 and riverine habitat);

17 (B) the restoration of geographical or eco-  
18 logical areas of concern, including the restora-  
19 tion of natural river and stream characteristics;

20 (C) the improvement of water quality; or

21 (D) other projects or activities determined  
22 to be appropriate by the Secretary.

23 (d) COST SHARING.—

1           (1) MANAGEMENT PLAN.—The management  
2     plan developed under subsection (b) shall be com-  
3     pleted at Federal expense.

4           (2) RESTORATION PROJECTS.—The non-Fed-  
5     eral share of the cost of a project carried out under  
6     this section shall be 35 percent.

7           (e) COST LIMITATION.—Not more than \$10,000,000  
8     in Federal funds may be allocated under this section for  
9     an eligible project.

10          (f) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There is  
11     authorized to be appropriated to carry out this section  
12     \$25,000,000 for fiscal year 2011 and each fiscal year  
13     thereafter, including funds for the completion of the man-  
14     agement plan.

15     **SEC. 5006. ANACOSTIA WATERSHED, DISTRICT OF COLUM-**  
16   **BIA AND MARYLAND.**

17          (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary may participate in  
18     the ecosystem restoration, navigation, flood damage reduc-  
19     tion, emergency streambank protection, and aquatic plant  
20     control components of the Anacostia River Watershed  
21     Restoration Plan, developed pursuant to section 5060 of  
22     the Water Resources Development Act of 2007 (121 Stat.  
23     1215), if the Secretary determines that such component  
24     is feasible.

1 (b) CONSULTATION.—In carrying out this section,  
2 the Secretary shall consult with the Anacostia Watershed  
3 Restoration Partnership.

4 (c) FEDERAL LANDS.—In carrying out a project  
5 component under subsection (a), the Secretary shall waive  
6 any cost share to be provided by non-Federal interests for  
7 any portion of the project component that benefits feder-  
8 ally owned property.

9 (d) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There is  
10 authorized to be appropriated to carry out this section  
11 \$25,000,000. Such sums shall remain available until ex-  
12 pended.

13 **SEC. 5007. EGMONT KEY, FLORIDA.**

14 The Secretary shall accept funds from the Director  
15 of the United States Fish and Wildlife Service to carry  
16 out those portions of the project for shoreline stabilization,  
17 Egmont Key, Florida, carried out under section 3 of the  
18 Act entitled “An Act authorizing Federal participation in  
19 the cost of protecting the shores of publicly owned prop-  
20 erty”, approved August 13, 1946 (33 U.S.C. 426g), that  
21 benefit federally owned property.

22 **SEC. 5008. CAMBRIDGE, MARYLAND.**

23 The Secretary is authorized to carry out projects for  
24 environmental protection and restoration at the  
25 Blackwater Wildlife Refuge, Cambridge, Maryland. In car-



1 rying out such projects, the Secretary shall accept funds  
2 from the Director of the United States Fish and Wildlife  
3 Service.

4 **SEC. 5009. HART-MILLER ISLAND, MARYLAND.**

5 After the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary  
6 may not consider the use or expansion of Hart-Miller Is-  
7 land, Maryland, in any dredged material management  
8 plan.

9 **SEC. 5010. GALLOPS ISLAND, BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS.**

10 The Secretary is authorized to carry out a project for  
11 the environmental remediation of Gallops Island, Boston,  
12 Massachusetts. In carrying out such project, the Secretary  
13 shall accept funds from the Director of the National Park  
14 Service.

15 **SEC. 5011. SHARKEY COUNTY, MISSISSIPPI.**

16 Funding for the operation and maintenance of the  
17 multiagency wildlife and environmental interpretative and  
18 education center, authorized by section 145(f) of Division  
19 H of Public Law 108–199 (118 Stat. 443), shall be pro-  
20 vided by the Secretary of the Interior.

21 **SEC. 5012. SENSE OF CONGRESS ON THE PROMOTION OF**

22 **GENERAL MICHAEL J. WALSH TO MAJOR**  
23 **GENERAL, UNITED STATES ARMY.**

24 (a) FINDINGS.—Congress finds the following:

1 (1) Brigadier General Michael J. Walsh has  
2 had a distinguished 30-year career with the United  
3 States Army Corps of Engineers, including as—

4 (A) District Commander of the San Fran-  
5 cisco District, San Francisco, California, from  
6 1994 to 1996;

7 (B) District Commander of the Sac-  
8 ramento District, Sacramento, California, from  
9 1998 to 2001;

10 (C) Executive Director of Civil Works,  
11 Corps Headquarters, Washington, District of  
12 Columbia, from 2001 to 2003;

13 (D) Chief of Staff, Corps Headquarters,  
14 Washington, District of Columbia, from 2003  
15 to 2004;

16 (E) Commander of the South Atlantic Di-  
17 vision, Atlanta, Georgia, from 2004 to 2006;

18 (F) Commander for the Corps Gulf Region  
19 Division, Baghdad, Iraq, from 2006 to 2008;  
20 and

21 (G) Commander of the Mississippi Valley  
22 Division, Vicksburg, Mississippi, from 2008 to  
23 2010.

24 (2) General Walsh has held a wide variety of  
25 Army command and staff assignments, including—

1 (A) project management officer for Engi-  
2 neer Branch, Supreme Headquarters, Allied  
3 Powers, Europe (SHAPE);

4 (B) Environmental Task Force Leader,  
5 Fort Stewart, Georgia;

6 (C) Executive Officer, 92nd Engineer Bat-  
7 talion, Fort Stewart, Georgia, and Saudi Ara-  
8 bia;

9 (D) Project Engineer and Assistant Area  
10 Engineer, Baltimore District;

11 (E) Construction Officer, 18th Engineer  
12 Brigade, Darmstadt, Germany; and

13 (F) Commander, Company B, 94th Engi-  
14 neer Battalion, Darmstadt, Germany.

15 (3) General Walsh has received several awards  
16 of the United States Army, including 2 Bronze  
17 Stars, 4 Legions of Merit, and numerous lesser  
18 awards.

19 (4) On October 27, 2010, the Committee on  
20 Armed Services of the Senate unanimously approved  
21 the nomination of General Walsh to the rank of  
22 Major General, United States Army.

23 (5) General Walsh's nomination was unreason-  
24 ably delayed on the floor of the Senate for 7 months.

1           (6) On May 19, 2010, the nomination of Gen-  
2           eral Walsh to Major General of the United States  
3           Army was confirmed by the United States Senate by  
4           unanimous consent.

5           (7) On June 2, 2010, Brigadier General Walsh  
6           was formally promoted to the rank of Major Gen-  
7           eral.

8           (b) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the Sense of Con-  
9           gress that General Walsh should be congratulated for his  
10          promotion to the rank of Major General, United States  
11          Army, and should be commended for his duty and dedica-  
12          tion to the United States, to the United States Army, and  
13          to the Corps of Engineers.