111TH CONGRESS 2D SESSION

H. R. 5892

To provide for the conservation and development of water and related resources, to authorize the Secretary of the Army to construct various projects for improvements to rivers and harbors of the United States, and for other purposes.

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

July 28, 2010

Mr. OBERSTAR (for himself and Ms. Eddie Bernice Johnson of Texas) introduced the following bill; which was referred to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure

A BILL

To provide for the conservation and development of water and related resources, to authorize the Secretary of the Army to construct various projects for improvements to rivers and harbors of the United States, and for other purposes.

- 1 Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-
- 2 tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,
- 3 SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE; TABLE OF CONTENTS.
- 4 (a) Short Title.—This Act may be cited as the
- 5 "Water Resources Development Act of 2010".
- 6 (b) Table of Contents.—The table of contents for
- 7 this Act is as follows:

- Sec. 1. Short title; table of contents.
- Sec. 2. Definition of Secretary.

TITLE I—WATER RESOURCES PROJECTS

- Sec. 1001. Project authorizations.
- Sec. 1002. Small projects for flood damage reduction.
- Sec. 1003. Small projects for emergency streambank protection.
- Sec. 1004. Small projects for navigation.
- Sec. 1005. Small projects for improvement of the quality of the environment.
- Sec. 1006. Small projects for aquatic ecosystem and estuary restoration.
- Sec. 1007. Small projects for shoreline protection.
- Sec. 1008. Small projects for aquatic plant control.

TITLE II—GENERAL PROVISIONS

- Sec. 2001. Credit for in-kind contributions.
- Sec. 2002. Fish and wildlife mitigation.
- Sec. 2003. Remote and subsistence harbors.
- Sec. 2004. Revision of project partnership agreement.
- Sec. 2005. Independent peer review.
- Sec. 2006. Safety assurance review.
- Sec. 2007. Funding for harbor maintenance programs.
- Sec. 2008. Funding to process permits.
- Sec. 2009. Project modifications for improvement of environment.
- Sec. 2010. Aquatic ecosystem and estuary restoration.
- Sec. 2011. Operation and maintenance of navigation and hydroelectric facilities.
- Sec. 2012. Repeal.
- Sec. 2013. Cost estimates for feasibility reports.
- Sec. 2014. Mitigation status report.

TITLE III—PROJECT-RELATED PROVISIONS

- Sec. 3001. Douglas Harbor, Juneau, Alaska.
- Sec. 3002. Nogales Wash and tributaries flood control project, Arizona.
- Sec. 3003. Rio de Flag, Arizona.
- Sec. 3004. Tres Rios, Arizona.
- Sec. 3005. Russian River project, Sonoma County, California.
- Sec. 3006. South Sacramento County streams, California.
- Sec. 3007. Chatfield Reservoir, Colorado.
- Sec. 3008. Rio Grande environmental management program, Colorado, New Mexico, and Texas.
- Sec. 3009. Potomac River, Washington, District of Columbia.
- Sec. 3010. Kissimmee River restoration, Florida.
- Sec. 3011. Ponce de Leon Inlet, Florida.
- Sec. 3012. Savannah Harbor expansion, Georgia.
- Sec. 3013. Chicago Sanitary and Ship Canal dispersal barriers project, Illinois.
- Sec. 3014. Lower Ohio River, Illinois and Kentucky.
- Sec. 3015. Wood River levee system reconstruction, Madison County, Illinois.
- Sec. 3016. Little Calumet River, Indiana.
- Sec. 3017. Rhodes Point Jetty, Smith Island, Maryland.
- Sec. 3018. Muddy River, Brookline and Boston, Massachusetts.
- Sec. 3019. Ada, Minnesota.
- Sec. 3020. Montevideo, Minnesota.
- Sec. 3021. Two Harbors, Minnesota.
- Sec. 3022. Blue River basin, Kansas City, Missouri.

- Sec. 3023. Lower Assunpink Creek, Trenton, New Jersey.
- Sec. 3024. Ocean Gate, Ocean County, New Jersey.
- Sec. 3025. Orchard Beach, Bronx, New York.
- Sec. 3026. Spring Creek, New York.
- Sec. 3027. Hocking River basin, Monday Creek, Ohio.
- Sec. 3028. Lower Columbia River and Tillamook Bay ecosystem restoration, Oregon and Washington.
- Sec. 3029. Corpus Christi Ship Channel, Corpus Christi, Texas.
- Sec. 3030. Dallas Floodway, Dallas, Texas.
- Sec. 3031. Houston-Galveston navigation channels, Texas.
- Sec. 3032. Project reauthorizations.
- Sec. 3033. Project deauthorizations.

TITLE IV—STUDIES

- Sec. 4001. Hollis, Alaska.
- Sec. 4002. Bullard Wash, Goodyear, Arizona.
- Sec. 4003. Lower Santa Cruz River, Casa Grande, Arizona.
- Sec. 4004. Maricopa County, Arizona.
- Sec. 4005. Ouachita River, Ouachita, Union, and Ashley Counties, Arkansas.
- Sec. 4006. Oil Trough, Arkansas.
- Sec. 4007. Randolph County, Arkansas.
- Sec. 4008. Berkeley Marina, Berkeley, California.
- Sec. 4009. Chelsea Wetlands, Hercules, California.
- Sec. 4010. Colorado Lagoon and Alamitos Bay, Long Beach, California.
- Sec. 4011. Lodi Lake, Lodi, California.
- Sec. 4012. Oakland-Inner Harbor Tidal Canal, Oakland, California.
- Sec. 4013. Noyo Harbor District, Noyo, California.
- Sec. 4014. Port of San Francisco, San Francisco, California.
- Sec. 4015. Redwood City Navigation Channel, California.
- Sec. 4016. Rialto Channel and Cactus Channel, Rialto, California.
- Sec. 4017. Sacramento Regional Sanitation District, Sacramento, California.
- Sec. 4018. San Pablo Bay, Hercules, California.
- Sec. 4019. Stockton, California.
- Sec. 4020. Tijuana River environmental restoration, San Diego, California.
- Sec. 4021. Tijuana River wetlands restoration, San Diego County, California.
- Sec. 4022. Ventura River, Ventura County, California.
- Sec. 4023. Willowbrook, Los Angeles County, California.
- Sec. 4024. Fountain Spring watershed, Pueblo, Colorado.
- Sec. 4025. Ralston Creek, Arvada, Colorado.
- Sec. 4026. Holly Pond and Norotan River, Stamford, Connecticut.
- Sec. 4027. Housatonic River, New Milford, Connecticut.
- Sec. 4028. Long Island Sound and Mill River, Stamford, Connecticut.
- Sec. 4029. Meriden, Connecticut.
- Sec. 4030. South Cove, Old Saybrook, Connecticut.
- Sec. 4031. West River, New Haven Harbor, West Haven, Connecticut.
- Sec. 4032. Chesapeake Bay, Delaware, Maryland, and Virginia.
- Sec. 4033. Washington, District of Columbia.
- Sec. 4034. Lake County, Florida.
- Sec. 4035. Marion County, Florida.
- Sec. 4036. Miami, Florida.
- Sec. 4037. Oakland Park, Florida.
- Sec. 4038. Riviera Beach, Florida.
- Sec. 4039. South Daytona, Florida.
- Sec. 4040. Tampa, Florida.

- Sec. 4041. Peavine Creek, Decatur, Georgia.
- Sec. 4042. Richland Creek, Lawrenceville, Georgia.
- Sec. 4043. Study for water supply, Georgia.
- Sec. 4044. Suwannee Creek, Lawrenceville, Georgia.
- Sec. 4045. Agat and Merizo, Guam.
- Sec. 4046. Waiakea Stream and Palai Stream, Hilo, Hawaii.
- Sec. 4047. Waialua-Kaiaka watershed, Oahu, Hawaii.
- Sec. 4048. Albany Park, Chicago, Illinois.
- Sec. 4049. Carpenter Creek, Carpentersville, Illinois.
- Sec. 4050. Des Plaines River, Cook County, Illinois.
- Sec. 4051. Ferson-Otter Creek Dam, St. Charles, Illinois.
- Sec. 4052. Middle Mississippi River, Illinois and Missouri.
- Sec. 4053. North Branch of the Chicago River, Chicago, Illinois.
- Sec. 4054. River Park and Ronan Park, North Branch of the Chicago River, Chicago, Illinois.
- Sec. 4055. Thillens Park, North Branch of the Chicago River, Chicago, Illinois.
- Sec. 4056. Village of Skokie, Illinois.
- Sec. 4057. Bowman Creek, South Bend, Indiana.
- Sec. 4058. Lake Michigan watershed, Indiana.
- Sec. 4059. Burlington, Iowa.
- Sec. 4060. Beneficial use of dredged material, Louisiana and Mississippi.
- Sec. 4061. Jesuit Bend, Plaquemines Parish, Louisiana.
- Sec. 4062. LaBranche Wetlands, St. Charles and St. John Counties, Louisiana.
- Sec. 4063. Ruth Canal freshwater diversion, Vermilion, Louisiana.
- Sec. 4064. Anacostia River watershed, Prince George's County, Maryland.
- Sec. 4065. Chesapeake Bay Shoreline study, Maryland, Pennsylvania, and Virginia.
- Sec. 4066. Dredged material disposal, Baltimore Harbor, Maryland.
- Sec. 4067. Mid-Chesapeake Bay Island recreation and public access, Maryland.
- Sec. 4068. Capisic Brook, Portland, Maine.
- Sec. 4069. Fishing and Gooseberry Islands, Kittery, Maine.
- Sec. 4070. Southern Maine/New Hampshire dredged material disposal study, Maine and New Hampshire.
- Sec. 4071. Assabet, Charles, and Sudbury watersheds, Middlesex and Essex Counties, Massachusetts.
- Sec. 4072. Hoosic River watershed, North Adams, Massachusetts.
- Sec. 4073. Mystic River watershed, Massachusetts.
- Sec. 4074. Quequechan River, Fall River, Massachusetts.
- Sec. 4075. Clinton River, Clinton Township, Michigan.
- Sec. 4076. Hamilton Dam, Flint, Michigan.
- Sec. 4077. Upper Peninsula Flood Recovery, Michigan.
- Sec. 4078. Amory, Mississippi.
- Sec. 4079. Coastal Mississippi ecosystem restoration, Mississippi.
- Sec. 4080. Fulton, Mississippi.
- Sec. 4081. Gulfport, Mississippi.
- Sec. 4082. Lucedale, Mississippi.
- Sec. 4083. Magby Creek and Vernon Branch, Lowndes County, Mississippi.
- Sec. 4084. Blue River basin, Kansas City, Missouri.
- Sec. 4085. Little Blue River, Jackson County, Missouri.
- Sec. 4086. St. Louis, Missouri.
- Sec. 4087. Las Vegas Wash, Las Vegas, Nevada.
- Sec. 4088. New Hampshire.
- Sec. 4089. Piscataqua River, New Hampshire.
- Sec. 4090. Barnegat Bay watershed, Ocean and Monmouth Counties, New Jersey.

- Sec. 4091. Beverly, New Jersey.
- Sec. 4092. Borough of Pine Beach, New Jersey.
- Sec. 4093. Haddon Township, New Jersey.
- Sec. 4094. Rahway River watershed, New Jersey.
- Sec. 4095. Third River, Belleville, Bloomfield, and Nutley, New Jersey.
- Sec. 4096. Passaic River Channel, Nutley, New Jersey.
- Sec. 4097. Township of Ocean, New Jersey.
- Sec. 4098. Preakness Brook, Wayne, New Jersey.
- Sec. 4099. Dona Ana, New Mexico.
- Sec. 4100. Hidalgo County, New Mexico.
- Sec. 4101. Otero County, New Mexico.
- Sec. 4102. Valencia County, New Mexico.
- Sec. 4103. Glen Cove, New York.
- Sec. 4104. Hawtree basin, Hamilton Beach, New York.
- Sec. 4105. Kill van Kull, Port Richmond, Staten Island, New York.
- Sec. 4106. Mariners Marsh and Arlington Marsh, Staten Island, New York.
- Sec. 4107. New York, New York.
- Sec. 4108. Norton Basin Inlet, Far Rockaway, New York.
- Sec. 4109. Queens, New York.
- Sec. 4110. Rockaway Beach Seawall, Rockaway, New York.
- Sec. 4111. Roosevelt island, East River, New York, New York.
- Sec. 4112. Charlotte, North Carolina.
- Sec. 4113. Nantahala River, Swain, North Carolina.
- Sec. 4114. Missouri River and tributaries, South and Central North Dakota, North Dakota.
- Sec. 4115. Big Creek watershed, Ohio.
- Sec. 4116. Brandywine Creek watershed, Ohio.
- Sec. 4117. Carlisle Township, Lorain County, Ohio.
- Sec. 4118. Cuyahoga River watershed and Tuscarawas River watershed, Summit County, Ohio.
- Sec. 4119. Euclid Creek watershed, Ohio.
- Sec. 4120. Healy Creek, Brunswick, Ohio.
- Sec. 4121. Lower Maumee River, Toledo, Ohio.
- Sec. 4122. Ohio River, Ohio.
- Sec. 4123. Shaker Lakes, Shaker Heights and Cleveland Heights, Ohio.
- Sec. 4124. Stark County, Ohio.
- Sec. 4125. Tinkers Creek watershed, Ohio.
- Sec. 4126. Upper Tuscarawas River, Cuyahoga County, Ohio.
- Sec. 4127. West Creek watershed, Ohio.
- Sec. 4128. Yellow Creek and Short Creek, Jefferson County, Ohio.
- Sec. 4129. Ferry Creek Reservoir, Brookings, Oregon.
- Sec. 4130. Oregon Navigation Jetties and Breakwaters, Oregon.
- Sec. 4131. Port Orford, Oregon.
- Sec. 4132. Buhl Lake, Sharon, Pennsylvania.
- Sec. 4133. Delaware River and tributaries, Bucks County, Pennsylvania.
- Sec. 4134. Elk Creek, Meadville, Pennsylvania.
- Sec. 4135. Mill Creek, Erie, Pennsylvania.
- Sec. 4136. Susquehanna River, Pennsylvania.
- Sec. 4137. Western Pennsylvania flood damage reduction.
- Sec. 4138. Guayama, Puerto Rico.
- Sec. 4139. Rincon, Puerto Rico.
- Sec. 4140. Providence, Rhode Island.
- Sec. 4141. South Carolina.
- Sec. 4142. James River, South Dakota.
- Sec. 4143. Station Camp Creek, Gallatin, Tennessee.

- Sec. 4144. Brazos River, Texas.
- Sec. 4145. Hickory Creek, City of Balch Springs, Texas.
- Sec. 4146. Houston-Galveston Navigation Channels (Barbours Cut), Texas.
- Sec. 4147. Port of Galveston, Texas.
- Sec. 4148. Simsboro Aquifer, City of Balstrop, Texas.
- Sec. 4149. Navasota River watershed, Grimes County, Texas.
- Sec. 4150. Rio Grande basin, Texas.
- Sec. 4151. Roma, Texas.
- Sec. 4152. Cottonwood Heights, Utah.
- Sec. 4153. Emery Town, Utah.
- Sec. 4154. Big Sandy River reallocation study, Virginia and West Virginia.
- Sec. 4155. Buckroe and Grandview Beaches, Hampton, Virginia.
- Sec. 4156. Fort Monroe, Hampton, Virginia.
- Sec. 4157. Hampton, Virginia.
- Sec. 4158. James River watershed, Virginia.
- Sec. 4159. Elliott Bay, Seattle, Washington.
- Sec. 4160. Green River, Kent, Washington.
- Sec. 4161. Vancouver Lake watershed, Vancouver, Washington.
- Sec. 4162. Lake Michigan shoreline, City of Cudahy, Wisconsin.

TITLE V—MISCELLANEOUS

- Sec. 5001. Chesapeake Bay environmental restoration and protection program.
- Sec. 5002. Saint Lawrence Seaway.
- Sec. 5003. Watershed management.
- Sec. 5004. Comprehensive shoreline restoration.
- Sec. 5005. Northeast Coastal Region ecosystem restoration.
- Sec. 5006. Anacostia watershed, District of Columbia and Maryland.
- Sec. 5007. Egmont Key, Florida.
- Sec. 5008. Cambridge, Maryland.
- Sec. 5009. Hart-Miller Island, Maryland.
- Sec. 5010. Gallops Island, Boston, Massachusetts.
- Sec. 5011. Sharkey County, Mississippi.
- Sec. 5012. Sense of Congress on the promotion of General Michael J. Walsh to Major General, United States Army.

1 SEC. 2. DEFINITION OF SECRETARY.

- In this Act, the term "Secretary" means the Sec-
- 3 retary of the Army.

4

TITLE I—WATER RESOURCES

5 **PROJECTS**

6 SEC. 1001. PROJECT AUTHORIZATIONS.

- 7 Except as otherwise provided in this section, the fol-
- 8 lowing projects for water resources development and con-
- 9 servation and other purposes are authorized to be carried

- 1 out by the Secretary substantially in accordance with the
- 2 plans, and subject to the conditions, described in the re-
- 3 spective reports designated in this section:
- 4 (1) Mid-chesapeake bay island ecosystem 5 RESTORATION PROJECT, CHESAPEAKE BAY, DOR-6 CHESTER COUNTY, MARYLAND.—The project for eco-7 system restoration, Mid-Chesapeake Bay Island Eco-8 system Restoration Project, Chesapeake Bay, Dor-9 chester County, Maryland: Report of the Chief of 10 Engineers dated August 24, 2009, at a total cost of 11 \$1,612,000,000, with an estimated Federal cost of 12 \$1,045,000,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost 13 of \$567,000,000.
 - (2) MISSISSIPPI COASTAL IMPROVEMENTS PROGRAM, HANCOCK, HARRISON, AND JACKSON COUNTIES, MISSISSIPPI.—The project for hurricane and storm damage reduction, Mississippi Coastal Improvements Program, Hancock, Harrison, and Jackson Counties, Mississippi: Report of the Chief of Engineers dated September 15, 2009, at a total cost of \$1,182,600,000, with an estimated Federal cost of \$746,750,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost of \$435,850,000.
- 24 (3) West onslow beach and new river 25 Inlet (topsail beach), pender county, north

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- 1 CAROLINA.—The project for hurricane and storm
- 2 damage reduction, West Onslow Beach and New
- 3 River Inlet (Topsail Beach), Pender County, North
- 4 Carolina: Report of the Chief of Engineers dated
- 5 September 28, 2009, at a total cost of \$32,131,000,
- 6 with an estimated Federal cost of \$20,708,000 and
- 7 an estimated non-Federal cost of \$11,423,000, and
- 8 at an estimated total cost of \$113,904,000 for peri-
- 9 odic beach nourishment over the 50-year life of the
- 10 project, with an estimated Federal cost of
- \$56,952,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost of
- \$56,952,000.
- 13 SEC. 1002. SMALL PROJECTS FOR FLOOD DAMAGE REDUC-
- 14 TION.
- 15 The Secretary shall conduct a study for each of the
- 16 following projects and, if the Secretary determines that
- 17 a project is feasible, may carry out the project under sec-
- 18 tion 205 of the Flood Control Act of 1948 (33 U.S.C.
- 19 701s):
- 20 (1) Del rosa channel, san bernadino,
- 21 California.—Project for flood damage reduction,
- Del Rosa Channel, San Bernadino, California.
- 23 (2) LAGUNA CREEK, VACAVILLE, CALI-
- 24 FORNIA.—Project for flood damage reduction, La-
- 25 guna Creek, Vacaville, California.

1	(3) Ulatis creek, vacaville, california.—
2	Project for flood damage reduction, Ulatis Creek,
3	Vacaville, California.
4	(4) Sanderson Gulch, Denver, Colorado.—
5	Project for flood damage reduction, Sanderson
6	Gulch, Denver, Colorado.
7	(5) Willow Creek, Creede, Colorado.—
8	Project for flood damage reduction, Willow Creek,
9	Creede, Colorado.
10	(6) Big econ river, orange, florida.—
11	Project for flood damage reduction, Big Econ River,
12	Orange, Florida.
13	(7) Bay gall creek, warner robbins, geor-
14	GIA.—Project for flood damage reduction, Bay Gall
15	Creek, Warner Robbins, Georgia.
16	(8) Des plaines river, park ridge, illi-
17	NOIS.—Project for flood damage reduction, Des
18	Plaines River, Park Ridge, Illinois.
19	(9) Kishwaukee River, dekalb, illinois.—
20	Project for flood damage reduction, Kishwaukee
21	River, DeKalb, Illinois.
22	(10) Navajo creek, palos heights, illi-
23	NOIS.—Project for flood damage reduction, Navajo
24	Creek, Palos Heights, Illinois.

1	(11) STONY CREEK, OAK LAWN, ILLINOIS.—
2	Project for flood damage reduction, Stony Creek
3	Oak Lawn, Illinois.
4	(12) Vicinity of the 71st street ditch
5	JUSTICE, ILLINOIS.—Project for flood damage re-
6	duction, in the vicinity of the 71st Street Ditch, Jus-
7	tice, Illinois.
8	(13) West branch of mill creek, palos
9	PARK, ILLINOIS.—Project for flood damage reduc-
10	tion, West Branch of Mill Creek, Palos Park, Illi-
11	nois.
12	(14) Dry run creek, waterloo, iowa.—
13	Project for flood damage reduction, Dry Run Creek
14	Waterloo, Iowa.
15	(15) POND MILL AND BLACK POND CREEK
16	LOUISVILLE, KENTUCKY.—Project for flood damage
17	reduction, Pond Mill and Black Pond Creek, Louis-
18	ville, Kentucky.
19	(16) Baltimore City, Maryland.—Project
20	for flood damage reduction, Baltimore City, Mary-
21	land, in the vicinity of Druid Hill Park.
22	(17) PINE TREE BROOK, AVON, MASSACHU-
23	SETTS.—Project for flood damage reduction, Pine

 ${\it Tree \ Brook, \ Avon, \ Massachusetts.}$

1	(18) Pine tree brook, milton, massachu-
2	SETTS.—Project for flood damage reduction, Pine
3	Tree Brook, Milton, Massachusetts.
4	(19) Harding canal seawall, detroit
5	MICHIGAN.—Project for flood damage reduction
6	Harding Canal Seawall, Detroit, Michigan.
7	(20) Big river, jefferson, missouri.—
8	Project for flood damage reduction, Big River, Jef-
9	ferson, Missouri.
10	(21) SAW MILL RIVER BASIN, GREEHBURGH
11	NEW YORK.—Project for flood damage reduction
12	Saw Mill River basin, Greehburgh, New York.
13	(22) Sparkill creek, orangetown, new
14	YORK.—Project for flood damage reduction, Sparkill
15	Creek, Orangetown, New York.
16	(23) Independence, ohio.—Project for flood
17	damage reduction, Independence, Ohio.
18	(24) Valley view, ohio.—Project for flood
19	damage reduction, Valley View, Ohio.
20	(25) Winyeh bay, georgetown, south caro-
21	LINA.—Project for flood damage reduction, Winyel
22	Bay, Georgetown, South Carolina.
23	(26) Del Rio, val verde, texas.—Project for
24	flood damage reduction Del Rio Val Verde Texas

1	(27) Craford bay seawall, portsmouth,
2	VIRGINIA.—Project for flood damage reduction
3	Craford Bay Seawall, Portsmouth, Virginia.
4	(28) Southern branch of the elizabeth
5	RIVER, PORTSMOUTH, VIRGINIA.—Project for flood
6	damage reduction, Southern Branch of the Elizabeth
7	River, Portsmouth, Virginia.
8	(29) Roxbury and Westpoint Townships.
9	WISCONSIN.—Project for flood damage reduction
10	Roxbury and Westpoint Townships, Wisconsin.
11	SEC. 1003. SMALL PROJECTS FOR EMERGENCY
12	STREAMBANK PROTECTION.
12 13	STREAMBANK PROTECTION. The Secretary shall conduct a study for each of the
13	The Secretary shall conduct a study for each of the
13 14	The Secretary shall conduct a study for each of the following projects and, if the Secretary determines that
13 14 15 16	The Secretary shall conduct a study for each of the following projects and, if the Secretary determines that a project is feasible, may carry out the project under sec-
13 14 15 16	The Secretary shall conduct a study for each of the following projects and, if the Secretary determines that a project is feasible, may carry out the project under section 14 of the Flood Control Act of 1946 (33 U.S.C.
13 14 15 16	The Secretary shall conduct a study for each of the following projects and, if the Secretary determines that a project is feasible, may carry out the project under section 14 of the Flood Control Act of 1946 (33 U.S.C. 701r):
113 114 115 116 117	The Secretary shall conduct a study for each of the following projects and, if the Secretary determines that a project is feasible, may carry out the project under section 14 of the Flood Control Act of 1946 (33 U.S.C. 701r): (1) NAKNEK RIVER, NAKNEK, ALASKA.—Project
13 14 15 16 17 18	The Secretary shall conduct a study for each of the following projects and, if the Secretary determines that a project is feasible, may carry out the project under section 14 of the Flood Control Act of 1946 (33 U.S.C. 701r): (1) NAKNEK RIVER, NAKNEK, ALASKA.—Project for emergency streambank protection, Naknek River,
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	The Secretary shall conduct a study for each of the following projects and, if the Secretary determines that a project is feasible, may carry out the project under section 14 of the Flood Control Act of 1946 (33 U.S.C. 701r): (1) NAKNEK RIVER, NAKNEK, ALASKA.—Project for emergency streambank protection, Naknek River, Naknek, Alaska.

1	(3) BISCAYNE BAY, NORTH BAY VILLAGE
2	FLORIDA.—Project for emergency streambank pro-
3	tection, Biscayne Bay, North Bay Village, Florida.
4	(4) Bronx River, New York, New York.—
5	Project for emergency streambank protection, Bronz
6	River, New York, New York.
7	(5) Ohio river, ironton, ohio.—Project for
8	emergency streambank protection, Ohio River, Iron-
9	ton, Ohio.
10	(6) NewPort, Rhode Island.—Project for
11	emergency streambank protection, Newport, Rhode
12	Island.
13	(7) TIVERTON, RHODE ISLAND.—Project for
14	emergency streambank protection, Tiverton, Rhode
15	Island.
16	SEC. 1004. SMALL PROJECTS FOR NAVIGATION.
17	The Secretary shall conduct a study for each of the
18	following projects and, if the Secretary determines that
19	a project is feasible, may carry out the project under sec-
20	tion 107 of the River and Harbor Act of 1960 (33 U.S.C
21	577):
22	(1) Detroit river, wyandotte, michigan.—
23	Project for navigation, Detroit River, Wyandotte
24	Michigan.

1	(2) Stouts creek, lacey township, new
2	Jersey.—Project for navigation, Stouts Creek,
3	Lacey Township, New Jersey.
4	(3) Brown's river, nassau county, new
5	YORK.—Project for navigation, Brown's River, Nas-
6	sau County, New York.
7	(4) Detroit Harbor, Wisconsin.—Project for
8	navigation, Detroit Harbor, Wisconsin.
9	SEC. 1005. SMALL PROJECTS FOR IMPROVEMENT OF THE
10	QUALITY OF THE ENVIRONMENT.
11	The Secretary shall conduct a study for each of the
12	following projects and, if the Secretary determines that
13	a project is appropriate, may carry out the project under
14	section 1135 of the Water Resources Development Act of
15	1986 (33 U.S.C. 2309a):
16	(1) Rheem creek, contra costa county,
17	CALIFORNIA.—Project for improvement of the qual-
18	ity of the environment, Rheem Creek, Contra Costa
19	County, California.
20	(2) Rodeo creek, contra costa county,
21	CALIFORNIA.—Project for improvement of the qual-
22	ity of the environment, Rodeo Creek, Contra Costa
23	County, California.

1	SEC. 1006. SMALL PROJECTS FOR AQUATIC ECOSYSTEM
2	AND ESTUARY RESTORATION.
3	The Secretary shall conduct a study for each of the
4	following projects and, if the Secretary determines that
5	a project is appropriate, may carry out the project under
6	section 206 of the Water Resources Development Act of
7	1996 (33 U.S.C. 2330):
8	(1) Emeryville harbor, emeryville, cali-
9	FORNIA.—Project for aquatic ecosystem and estuary
10	restoration, Emeryville Harbor, Emeryville, Cali-
11	fornia.
12	(2) Los angeles river, cudahy, cali-
13	FORNIA.—Project for aquatic ecosystem and estuary
14	restoration, Los Angeles River, Cudahy, California.
15	(3) Laguna salada, pacifica, california.—
16	Project for aquatic ecosystem and estuary restora-
17	tion, Laguna Salada, Pacifica, California.
18	(4) Animas River, la plata, colorado.—
19	Project for aquatic ecosystem and estuary restora-
20	tion, Animas River, La Plata, Colorado.
21	(5) North fork of the Gunnison River,
22	DELTA, COLORADO.—Project for aquatic ecosystem
23	and estuary restoration, North Fork of the Gunni-
24	son River, Delta, Colorado.
25	(6) Line and cane creeks, henry county,
26	GEORGIA.—Project for aquatic ecosystem and estu-

- ary restoration, Line and Cane Creeks, Henry County, Georgia.
- 3 (7) Bremme Creek, Dupage, Illinois.—
 4 Project for aquatic ecosystem and estuary restora5 tion, Bremme Creek, Dupage, Illinois.
 - (8) Blackberry Creek, Kendall, Illinois.

 Nois.—Project for aquatic ecosystem and estuary restoration, Blackberry Creek, Kendall, Illinois.
 - (9) Gompers Park, North Branch Chicago River, Illinois.—Project for aquatic ecosystem and estuary restoration, Gompers Park, North Branch Chicago River, Illinois.
 - (10) Kankakee River, will county, illinois.—Project for aquatic ecosystem and estuary restoration, Kankakee River, Will County, Illinois.
 - (11) Prairie Creek Watershed, Will County, Illinois.—Project for aquatic ecosystem and estuary restoration, Prairie Creek Watershed, Will County, Illinois.
 - (12) West branch of the dupage river, dupage, illinois.—Project for aquatic ecosystem and estuary restoration, West Branch of the DuPage River, DuPage, Illinois.
- (13) Long Creek Watershed, Cumberland,
 Maine.—Project for aquatic ecosystem and estuary

- restoration, Long Creek Watershed, Cumberland,
 Maine.
- 3 (14) Cabin Branch Watershed, Prince 4 George's County, Maryland.—Project for aquatic 5 ecosystem and estuary restoration, Cabin Branch 6 Watershed, Prince George's County, Maryland.
 - (15) LITTLE PAINT BRANCH STREAM, PRINCE GEORGE'S COUNTY, MARYLAND.—Project for aquatic ecosystem and estuary restoration, Little Paint Branch Stream, Prince George's County, Maryland.
 - (16) Lower Beaverdam Creek, Prince George's County, Maryland.—Project for aquatic ecosystem and estuary restoration, Lower Beaverdam Creek, Prince George's County, Maryland.
 - (17) NORTHEAST ANACOSTIA RIVER, PRINCE GEORGE'S COUNTY, MARYLAND.—Project for aquatic ecosystem and estuary restoration, Northeast Anacostia River, Prince George's County, Maryland.
 - (18) NORTHWEST ANACOSTIA RIVER, PRINCE GEORGE'S COUNTY, MARYLAND.—Project for aquatic ecosystem and estuary restoration, Northwest Anacostia River, Prince George's County, Maryland.
- (19) Assabet River, MIDDLESEX AND
 WORCESTER, MASSACHUSETTS.—Project for aquatic

- ecosystem and estuary restoration, Assabet River,
 Middlesex and Worcester, Massachusetts.
 (20) Lewis Bay, Yarmouth, Massachusetts
 - (20) Lewis Bay, Yarmouth, Massachusetts.—Project for aquatic ecosystem and estuary restoration, Lewis Bay, Yarmouth, Massachusetts.
- 6 (21) Pig's Eye Lake, St. Paul, Minnesota.—
 7 Project for aquatic ecosystem and estuary restora8 tion, Pig's Eye Lake, St. Paul, Minnesota.
- 9 (22) Barnegat Bay, Ocean County, New 10 Jersey.—Project for aquatic ecosystem and estuary 11 restoration, Barnegat Bay, Ocean County, New Jer-12 sey.
- 13 (23) Branchport Creek, Oceanport Bor-14 Ough, New Jersey.—Project for aquatic ecosystem 15 and estuary restoration, Branchport Creek, 16 Oceanport Borough, New Jersey.
- 17 (24) Hackensack river, hudson county,
 18 New Jersey.—Project for aquatic ecosystem and
 19 estuary restoration, Hackensack River, Hudson
 20 County, New Jersey.
- 21 (25) Lake Topanemus, freehold, new Jer-22 sey.—Project for aquatic ecosystem and estuary 23 restoration, Lake Topanemus, Freehold, New Jer-24 sey.

1	(26) Las cruces dam, dona ana, new mex-
2	ICO.—Project for aquatic ecosystem and estuary res-
3	toration, Las Cruces Dam, Dona Ana, New Mexico.
4	(27) Pugsley creek, castle hill, new
5	YORK.—Project for aquatic ecosystem and estuary
6	restoration, Pugsley Creek, Castle Hill, New York.
7	(28) Olentangy River, Franklin, Ohio.—
8	Project for aquatic ecosystem and estuary restora-
9	tion, Olentangy River, Franklin, Ohio.
10	(29) Scioto River, Franklin, Ohio.—Project
11	for aquatic ecosystem and estuary restoration, Scioto
12	River, Franklin, Ohio.
13	(30) Woonasquatucket river, providence,
14	RHODE ISLAND.—Project for aquatic ecosystem and
15	estuary restoration, Woonasquatucket River, Provi-
16	dence, Rhode Island.
17	(31) Claytor Lake, pulaski, virginia.—
18	Project for aquatic ecosystem and estuary restora-
19	tion, Claytor Lake, Pulaski, Virginia.
20	SEC. 1007. SMALL PROJECTS FOR SHORELINE PROTEC-
21	TION.
22	The Secretary shall conduct a study for each of the
23	following projects and, if the Secretary determines that
24	a project is feasible, may carry out the project under sec-
25	tion 3 of the Act entitled "An Act authorizing Federal

- 1 participation in the cost of protecting the shores of pub-
- 2 licly owned property", approved August 13, 1946 (33)
- 3 U.S.C. 426g):
- 4 (1) Deerfield beach, broward county,
- 5 FLORIDA.—Project for shoreline protection, Deer-
- 6 field Beach, Broward County, Florida.
- 7 (2) Barnegat, ocean county, new jer-
- 8 SEY.—Project for shoreline protection, Barnegat,
- 9 Ocean County, New Jersey.
- 10 (3) Manhasset bay, port washington, new
- 11 YORK.—Project for shoreline protection, Manhasset
- Bay, Port Washington, New York.
- 13 SEC. 1008. SMALL PROJECTS FOR AQUATIC PLANT CON-
- 14 **TROL.**
- 15 (a) In General.—The Secretary is authorized to
- 16 carry out a project for aquatic nuisance plant control in
- 17 the Republican River basin, Colorado, under section 104
- 18 of the River and Harbor Act of 1958 (33 U.S.C. 610).
- 19 (b) Special Rule.—In carrying out the project
- 20 under subsection (a), the Secretary may control and eradi-
- 21 cate riverine nuisance plants.

22 TITLE II—GENERAL PROVISIONS

- 23 SEC. 2001. CREDIT FOR IN-KIND CONTRIBUTIONS.
- 24 (a) Limitation; Savings Provision.—Section
- 25 221(a)(4)(E) of the Flood Control Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C.

1	1962d-5b(a)(4)(E)) is amended by striking clause (ii) and
2	inserting the following:
3	"(ii) Limitation.—In any case in
4	which a specific provision of law provides
5	for a non-Federal interest to receive credit
6	toward the non-Federal share of the cost
7	of a study for, or construction or operation
8	and maintenance of, a water resources
9	project, the Secretary shall apply—
10	"(I) the specific provision of law
11	instead of this paragraph; or
12	"(II) at the request of the non-
13	Federal interest, the specific provision
14	of law and such provisions of this
15	paragraph as the non-Federal interest
16	may request.
17	"(iii) Savings provision.—Nothing
18	in this subparagraph affects the applica-
19	bility of subsection (a)(4)(C).".
20	(b) Water Resources Project Defined.—Sec-
21	tion 221(b) of such Act (42 U.S.C. 1962d–5b(b)) is
22	amended—
23	(1) by redesignating paragraphs (1) and (2) as
24	subparagraphs (A) and (B), respectively;

- 1 (2) by moving subparagraphs (A) and (B) (as 2 so redesignated) 2 ems to the right;
- 3 (3) by striking "(b)" and all that follows through "The term" and inserting the following: 4
- 5 "(b) DEFINITIONS.—In this section, the following 6 definitions apply:
- 7 "(1) Non-federal interest.—The term";
- 8 and
- 9 (4) by adding at the end the following:
- 10 "(2) Water resources project.—The term 11 'water resources project' includes projects studied, 12 reviewed, designed, constructed, operated and main-13 tained, or otherwise subject to Federal participation 14 under the authority of the civil works program of 15 the Secretary of the Army for the purposes of navi-16 gation, flood damage reduction, ecosystem restora-17 tion, hurricane and storm damage reduction, water 18 supply, recreation, hydroelectric power, fish and 19 wildlife conservation, water quality, environmental
- and related purposes.". 22 (c) Technical Correction.—Section 221(c) of

infrastructure, resource protection and development,

- 23 such Act (42 U.S.C. 1962d–5b(c)) is amended by striking
- "enforcible" and inserting "enforceable".

20

1 SEC. 2002. FISH AND WILDLIFE MITIGATION.

2	(a) MITIGATION PLANS AS PART OF PROJECT PRO-
3	Posals.—Section 906(d)(1) of the Water Resources De-
4	velopment Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2283(d)(1)) is amend-
5	ed—
6	(1) in the first sentence—
7	(A) by inserting "for damages to ecological
8	resources, including terrestrial and aquatic re-
9	sources, and" after "mitigate";
10	(B) by inserting "ecological resources and"
11	after "impact on"; and
12	(C) by inserting "without the implementa-
13	tion of mitigation measures" before the period;
14	and
15	(2) by inserting before the last sentence the fol-
16	lowing: "If the Secretary determines that mitigation
17	to in-kind conditions is not possible, the Secretary
18	shall identify in the report the basis for that deter-
19	mination.".
20	(b) MITIGATION REQUIREMENTS.—Section
21	906(d)(3)(A) of such Act (33 U.S.C. $2283(d)(3)(A)$) is
22	amended by inserting ", at a minimum," after "complies
23	with".

1 SEC. 2003. REMOTE AND SUBSISTENCE HARBORS.

- 2 Section 2006 of the Water Resources Development
- 3 Act of 2007 (33 U.S.C. 2242) is amended by adding at
- 4 the end the following:
- 5 "(c) APPLICABILITY.—This section shall apply to
- 6 project studies that include—
- 7 "(1) a feasibility study, as defined in section
- 8 105(d) of the Water Resources Development Act of
- 9 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2215(d)); or
- "(2) a detailed project report, as defined in
- such section 105(d) and carried out under section
- 12 107(a) of the River and Harbor Act of 1960 (33
- 13 U.S.C. 577(a)).".
- 14 SEC. 2004. REVISION OF PROJECT PARTNERSHIP AGREE-
- 15 MENT.
- 16 Section 2008(a) of the Water Resources Development
- 17 Act of 2007 (33 U.S.C. 2340(a)) is amended by adding
- 18 at the end the following: "This subsection shall apply with-
- 19 out regard to whether the original partnership agreement
- 20 was entered into before, on, or after the date of enactment
- 21 of this subsection.".
- 22 SEC. 2005. INDEPENDENT PEER REVIEW.
- 23 (a) Timing of Peer Review.—Section 2034(b) of
- 24 the Water Resources Development Act of 2007 (33 U.S.C.
- 25 2343(b)) is amended—

1	(1) by redesignating paragraph (3) as para-
2	graph (4); and
3	(2) by inserting after paragraph (2) the fol-
4	lowing:
5	"(3) Reasons for timing.—If the Chief of
6	Engineers does not initiate a peer review for a
7	project study at a time described in paragraph (2),
8	the Chief shall make publicly available, including on
9	the Internet, for each of such times the reasons for
10	not conducting the review, and shall include the rea-
11	sons in the decision document for the project
12	study.".
13	(b) Establishment of Panels.—Section
14	2034(c)(4) of such Act (33 U.S.C. 2343(c)(4)) is amended
15	to read as follows:
16	"(4) Congressional and public notifica-
17	TION.—Upon identification of a project study for
18	peer review under this section, but prior to initiation
19	of the review by the panel of experts, the Chief of
20	Engineers shall—
21	"(A) notify the Committee on Environment
22	and Public Works of the Senate and the Com-
23	mittee on Transportation and Infrastructure of
24	the House of Representatives of the review; and

1	"(B) make publicly available, including on
2	the Internet, information on—
3	"(i) the dates scheduled for beginning
4	and ending the review;
5	"(ii) the entity that has the contract
6	for the review; and
7	"(iii) the names and qualifications of
8	the panel of experts.".
9	(c) Recommendations of Panel.—Section 2034(f)
10	of such Act (33 U.S.C. 2343(f)) is amended by striking
11	paragraph (2) and inserting the following:
12	"(2) Public availability and transmittal
13	TO CONGRESS.—After receiving a report on a project
14	study from a panel of experts under this section, the
15	Chief of Engineers shall make available to the pub-
16	lic, including on the Internet, and transmit to the
17	Committee on Environment and Public Works of the
18	Senate and the Committee on Transportation and
19	Infrastructure of the House of Representatives—
20	"(A) a copy of the report within 3 days of
21	receiving the report; and
22	"(B) a copy of any written response of the
23	Chief of Engineers on recommendations con-
24	tained in the report within 3 days of the date
25	of the response.

- 1 "(3) Inclusion in project study.—A report 2 on a project study from a panel of experts under 3 this section and the written response of the Chief of 4 Engineers shall be included in the final decision doc-5 ument for the project study.". 6 SEC. 2006. SAFETY ASSURANCE REVIEW. 7 Section 2035 of the Water Resources Development 8 Act of 2007 (33 U.S.C. 2344) is amended by adding at the end the following: "(i) Nonapplicability of FACA.—The Federal 10 Advisory Committee Act (5 U.S.C. App.) shall not apply to a safety assurance review conducted under this sec-13 tion.". SEC. 2007. FUNDING FOR HARBOR MAINTENANCE PRO-15 GRAMS. 16 (a) Harbor Maintenance Trust Fund Guar-17 ANTEE.— 18 (1) In General.—The total budget resources
- made available from the Harbor Maintenance Trust
 Fund each fiscal year pursuant to section 9505(c) of
 the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (relating to expenditures from the Harbor Maintenance Trust
 Fund) shall be equal to the level of receipts plus interest credited to the Harbor Maintenance Trust
- Fund for that fiscal year. Such amounts may be

- used only for harbor maintenance programs described in section 9505(c) of such Code.
- 3 (2) GUARANTEE.—No funds may be appro-4 priated for harbor maintenance programs described 5 in such section unless the amount described in para-6 graph (1) has been provided.
- 7 (b) Definitions.—In this section, the following defi-8 nitions apply:
- 9 (1) TOTAL BUDGET RESOURCES.—The term
 10 "total budget resources" means the total amount
 11 made available by appropriations Acts from the Har12 bor Maintenance Trust Fund for a fiscal year for
 13 making expenditures under section 9505(c) of the
 14 Internal Revenue Code of 1986.
 - (2) Level of receipts plus interest" means the level of taxes and interest credited to the Harbor Maintenance Trust Fund under section 9505 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 for a fiscal year as set forth in the President's budget baseline projection as defined in section 257 of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985 (Public Law 99–177) for that fiscal year submitted pursuant to section 1105 of title 31, United States Code.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1 SEC. 2008. FUNDING TO PROCESS PERMITS.

2	Section 214 of the Water Resources Development Act
3	of 2000 (33 U.S.C. 2201 note; 114 Stat. 2594; 119 Stat.
4	2169; 120 Stat. 318; 120 Stat. 3197; 121 Stat. 1067; 123
5	Stat. 3478) is amended—
6	(1) in subsection (a) by striking "permits under
7	the jurisdiction" and inserting "permits of such enti-
8	ties related to projects for a public purpose under
9	the jurisdiction";
10	(2) by redesignating subsection (c) as sub-
11	section (e);
12	(3) by striking subsection (b) and inserting the
13	following:
14	"(b) Effect on Permitting.—
15	"(1) In general.—In carrying out this sec-
16	tion, the Secretary shall ensure that the use of funds
17	accepted under subsection (a) will not impact impar-
18	tial decision-making with respect to permits, either
19	substantively or procedurally.
20	"(2) Impartial decisionmaking.—In carrying
21	out this section, the Secretary shall ensure that the
22	evaluation of permits carried out using funds accept-
23	ed under this section shall—
24	"(A) be reviewed by the District Com-
25	mander of the Corps District in which the
26	project or activity is located, unless the evalua-

1	tion of the permit is initially conducted by the
2	District Commander whereby the review shall
3	be conducted by the Commander of the Corps
4	Division in which the District is located; and
5	"(B) utilize the same procedures for deci-
6	sions that would otherwise be required for the
7	evaluation of permits for similar projects or ac-
8	tivities not carried out using funds authorized
9	under this section.
10	"(c) Limitation on Use of Funds.—None of the
11	funds accepted under this section shall be used to carry
12	out a review of the evaluation of permits required under
13	subsection $(b)(2)(A)$.
14	"(d) Public Availability.—The Secretary shall en-
15	sure that all final permit decisions carried out using funds
16	authorized under this section are made available to the
17	public, including on the Internet."; and
18	(4) in subsection (e) (as redesignated), by strik-
19	ing "2010" and inserting "2016".
20	SEC. 2009. PROJECT MODIFICATIONS FOR IMPROVEMENT
21	OF ENVIRONMENT.
22	Section 1135(d) of the Water Resources Development
23	Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2309a(d)) is amended by striking
24	"\$5,000,000" and inserting "\$10,000,000".

1	SEC. 2010. AQUATIC ECOSYSTEM AND ESTUARY RESTORA-
2	TION.
3	Section 206(d) of the Water Resources Development
4	Act of 1996 (33 U.S.C. 2330(d)) is amended by striking
5	"\$5,000,000" and inserting "\$10,000,000".
6	SEC. 2011. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE OF NAVIGATION
7	AND HYDROELECTRIC FACILITIES.
8	Section 314 of the Water Resources Development Act
9	of 1990 (33 U.S.C. 2321) is amended to read as follows:
10	"SEC. 314. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE OF NAVIGATION
11	AND HYDROELECTRIC FACILITIES.
12	"Activities currently performed by personnel under
13	the direction of the Secretary in connection with the oper-
14	ation and maintenance of navigation or hydroelectric
15	power generating facilities, including all personnel under
16	the direction of the Secretary in connection with the oper-
17	ation and maintenance of navigational infrastructure such
18	as floodgates, locks, and dams, at Corps of Engineers
19	water resources projects, are considered to be inherently
20	governmental functions and not commercial activities.
21	This section does not prohibit contracting out major main-
22	tenance or other functions that are currently contracted
23	out or studying services not directly connected with project
24	maintenance and operations.".

SEC. 2012. REPEAL.

_	== 0, =0== ============================
2	Section 211 of the Water Resources Development Act
3	of 2000 (31 U.S.C. 6505 note; 114 Stat. 2592) is re-
4	pealed.
5	SEC. 2013. COST ESTIMATES FOR FEASIBILITY REPORTS.
6	Section 905(a) of the Water Resources Development
7	Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2282) is amended by adding at
8	the end the following:
9	"(5) Cost estimates for feasibility re-
10	PORTS.—In preparing a feasibility report under this
11	subsection, the Secretary shall include in the report,
12	and any budget documents (including justification
13	materials) submitted pursuant to section 1105(a) of
14	title 31, United States Code, an accounting of the
15	total cost of the recommended plan and an estimate
16	of the Federal and non-Federal participation in the
17	plan based on the following scenarios:
18	"(A) The cost of the project based on opti-
19	mal levels of Federal funding for the rec-
20	ommended plan.
21	"(B) The estimated cost of the project,
22	based on a 50 percent increase in the period for
23	implementation of the recommended plan.
24	"(C) The estimated cost of the project,
25	based on a 100 percent increase in the period
26	for implementation of the recommended plan.".

1	SEC. 2014. MITIGATION STATUS REPORT.
2	Section 2036(b) of the Water Resources Development
3	Act of 2007 (33 U.S.C. 2283a) is amended—
4	(1) by redesignating paragraph (3) as para-
5	graph (4); and
6	(2) by inserting after paragraph (2) the fol-
7	lowing:
8	"(3) Information included.—In reporting
9	the status of all projects included in the report, the
10	Secretary shall—
11	"(A) use a uniform methodology for deter-
12	mining the status of all projects included in the
13	report;
14	"(B) use a methodology that describes
15	both a qualitative and quantitative status for all
16	projects in the report; and
17	"(C) provide specific dates for and partici-
18	pants in the consultations required under sec-
19	tion 906(d)(4)(B) of the Water Resources De-
20	velopment Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C.
21	2283(d)(4)(B)).".
22	TITLE III—PROJECT-RELATED
23	PROVISIONS
24	SEC. 3001. DOUGLAS HARBOR, JUNEAU, ALASKA.
25	The maximum amount of Federal funds that may be
26	expended for the project for navigation, Douglas Harbor,

- 1 Juneau, Alaska, being carried out under section 107 of
- 2 the River and Harbor Act of 1960 (33 U.S.C. 577), shall
- 3 be \$7,000,000.
- 4 SEC. 3002. NOGALES WASH AND TRIBUTARIES FLOOD CON-
- 5 TROL PROJECT, ARIZONA.
- 6 The project for flood control, Nogales Wash and trib-
- 7 utaries, Arizona, authorized by section 101(a)(4) of the
- 8 Water Resources Development Act of 1990 (104 Stat.
- 9 4606) and modified by section 303 of the Water Resources
- 10 Development Act of 1996 (110 Stat. 3711), section 302
- 11 of the Water Resources Development Act of 2000 (114
- 12 Stat. 2600), and section 3008 of the Water Resources De-
- 13 velopment Act of 2007 (121 Stat. 1107), is further modi-
- 14 fied to authorize the Secretary to construct the project at
- 15 a total cost of \$55,500,000, with an estimated Federal
- 16 cost of \$50,100,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost
- 17 of \$5,400,000.
- 18 SEC. 3003. RIO DE FLAG, ARIZONA.
- 19 The project for flood damage reduction, Rio de Flag,
- 20 Flagstaff, Arizona, authorized by section 101(b)(3) of the
- 21 Water Resources Development Act of 2000 (114 Stat.
- 22 2576) and modified by section 3007 of the Water Re-
- 23 sources Development Act of 2007 (121 Stat. 1107), is fur-
- 24 ther modified to authorize the Secretary to construct the
- 25 project at a total cost of \$77,000,000, with an estimated

- 1 Federal cost of \$50,000,000 and an estimated non-Fed-
- 2 eral cost of \$27,000,000.
- 3 SEC. 3004. TRES RIOS, ARIZONA.
- 4 The project for ecosystem restoration, Tres Rios, Ari-
- 5 zona, authorized by section 101(b)(4) of the Water Re-
- 6 sources Development Act of 2000 (114 Stat. 2577), is
- 7 modified to authorize the Secretary to construct the
- 8 project at a total cost of \$230,000,000, with an estimated
- 9 Federal cost of \$149,500,000 and an estimated non-Fed-
- 10 eral cost of \$80,500,000.
- 11 SEC. 3005. RUSSIAN RIVER PROJECT, SONOMA COUNTY,
- 12 CALIFORNIA.
- 13 The project for flood control, water conservation, and
- 14 related purposes in the Russian River basin, California,
- 15 authorized by section 204 of the Flood Control Act of
- 16 1950 (64 Stat. 177), and the project for Russian River,
- 17 Dry Creek, California, authorized by section 203 of the
- 18 Flood Control Act of 1962 (76 Stat. 1192), are modified
- 19 as follows:
- 20 (1) The Secretary shall review the biological
- opinion on the water supply, flood control, and chan-
- 22 nel maintenance operations conducted by the Corps
- of Engineers, the Sonoma County Water Agency,
- and the Mendocino County Russian River Flood
- 25 Control District, as transmitted by the National

- 1 Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration on Sep-
- 2 tember 24, 2008.
- 3 (2) If the Secretary determines that the project
- 4 is feasible, the Secretary is authorized to construct
- 5 the project at a total cost of \$92,000,000, with an
- 6 estimated Federal cost of \$59,800,000 and an esti-
- 7 mated non-Federal cost of \$32,200,000.
- 8 SEC. 3006. SOUTH SACRAMENTO COUNTY STREAMS, CALI-
- 9 FORNIA.
- The project for flood control, environmental restora-
- 11 tion, and recreation, South Sacramento County streams,
- 12 California, authorized by section 101(a)(8) of the Water
- 13 Resources Development Act of 1999 (113 Stat. 275), is
- 14 modified to authorize the Secretary to construct the
- 15 project at a total cost of \$104,300,000, with an estimated
- 16 Federal cost of \$67,500,000 and an estimated non-Fed-
- 17 eral cost of \$36,800,000.
- 18 SEC. 3007. CHATFIELD RESERVOIR, COLORADO.
- 19 Section 116 of the Energy and Water Development
- 20 and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2009 (123 Stat.
- 21 608) is amended by striking "Colorado Department of
- 22 Natural Resources is authorized" and inserting "Colorado
- 23 Department of Natural Resources, or its assignee, is au-
- 24 thorized".

- 1 SEC. 3008. RIO GRANDE ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT
- 2 PROGRAM, COLORADO, NEW MEXICO, AND
- 3 TEXAS.
- 4 Section 5056(f) of the Water Resources Development
- 5 Act of 2007 (121 Stat. 1213) is amended by striking
- 6 "2011" and inserting "2015".
- 7 SEC. 3009. POTOMAC RIVER, WASHINGTON, DISTRICT OF
- 8 COLUMBIA.
- 9 The project for flood control, Potomac River, Wash-
- 10 ington, District of Columbia, authorized by section 5 of
- 11 the Act of June 22, 1936 (chapter 688; 49 Stat. 1574)
- 12 and modified by section 301(a)(4) of the Water Resources
- 13 Development Act of 1996 (110 Stat. 3707) and section
- 14 309 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1999
- 15 (113 Stat. 301), is further modified to authorize the Sec-
- 16 retary to construct the project at a Federal cost of
- 17 \$8,100,000, in accordance with the post authorization
- 18 change report dated June 29, 1998.
- 19 SEC. 3010. KISSIMMEE RIVER RESTORATION, FLORIDA.
- The project for ecosystem restoration, Kissimmee
- 21 River Restoration, Florida, authorized by section 101(8)
- 22 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1992 (106
- 23 Stat. 4802), is modified to authorize the Secretary to con-
- 24 struct the project at a total cost of \$852,000,000, with
- 25 an estimated Federal cost of \$426,000,000 and an esti-
- 26 mated non-Federal cost of \$426,000,000.

1 SEC. 3011. PONCE DE LEON INLET, FLORIDA.

- 2 The project for navigation and related purposes,
- 3 Ponce de Leon Inlet, Volusia County, Florida, authorized
- 4 by section 101(b)(8) of the Water Resources Development
- 5 Act of 1999 (113 Stat. 279), is modified to authorize the
- 6 Secretary to construct the project at a total cost of
- 7 \$15,000,000, with an estimated Federal cost of
- 8 \$8,500,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost of
- 9 \$6,500,000.

10 SEC. 3012. SAVANNAH HARBOR EXPANSION, GEORGIA.

- 11 The project for navigation, Savannah Harbor expan-
- 12 sion, Georgia, authorized by section 101(b)(9) of the
- 13 Water Resources Development Act of 1999 (113 Stat.
- 14 279), is modified to authorize the Secretary to construct
- 15 the project at a total cost of \$675,000,000, with an esti-
- 16 mated Federal cost of \$405,000,000 and an estimated
- 17 non-Federal cost of \$270,000,000.

18 SEC. 3013. CHICAGO SANITARY AND SHIP CANAL DIS-

- 19 PERSAL BARRIERS PROJECT, ILLINOIS.
- 20 (a) AUTHORIZATION.—Section 3061(b)(1) of the
- 21 Water Resources Development Act of 2007 (121 Stat.
- 22 1121) is amended—
- 23 (1) by striking subparagraph (A) and inserting
- the following:
- 25 "(A) upgrade and make permanent Barrier
- I in its current location or at an alternative lo-

1	cation, as determined appropriate by the Sec-
2	retary;";
3	(2) in subparagraph (B) by striking "June 14,
4	2005" and inserting "November 21, 2003, as
5	amended on July 14, 2005";
6	(3) by redesignating subparagraphs (C), (D),
7	and (E) as subparagraphs (D), (E) and (F), respec-
8	tively;
9	(4) by inserting after subparagraph (B) the fol-
10	lowing:
11	"(C) acquire real estate interests necessary
12	for the construction, operation, and mainte-
13	nance of Barrier I and Barrier II;";
14	(5) by striking "and" at the end of subpara-
15	graph (E) (as redesignated by paragraph (3) of this
16	subsection);
17	(6) by striking the period at the end of sub-
18	paragraph (F) (as redesignated by paragraph (3) of
19	this subsection) and inserting "; and; and
20	(7) by adding at the end the following:
21	"(G) construct additional barriers or other
22	fish deterrents at other locations in the vicinity
23	of the Chicago Area Waterway System, if deter-
24	mined appropriate by the Secretary.".

- 1 (b) Use of Credit.—Section 3061(b)(2) of such Act
- 2 (121 Stat. 1121) is amended by striking "paragraph
- 3 (1)(E)" and inserting "paragraph (1)(F)".
- 4 (c) Feasibility Study.—Section 3061(d) of such
- 5 Act (121 Stat. 1121) is amended by adding the end the
- 6 following: "The study shall include a fully developed anal-
- 7 ysis of an alternative for hydrologic separation between
- 8 the Great Lakes and the Mississippi River basins. The hy-
- 9 drologic separation alternative shall include identification
- 10 of measures to prevent the transfer of aquatic nuisance
- 11 species between the Great Lakes and the Mississippi River
- 12 basins through surface water.".
- 13 SEC. 3014. LOWER OHIO RIVER, ILLINOIS AND KENTUCKY.
- 14 The project for navigation, Lower Ohio River, Locks
- 15 and Dams 52 and 53, Illinois and Kentucky, authorized
- 16 by section 3(a)(6) of the Water Resources Development
- 17 Act of 1988 (102 Stat. 4013), is modified to authorize
- 18 the Secretary to construct the project at a total cost of
- 19 \$1,991,000,000.
- 20 SEC. 3015. WOOD RIVER LEVEE SYSTEM RECONSTRUCTION,
- 21 MADISON COUNTY, ILLINOIS.
- The project for flood damage reduction, Wood River
- 23 Levee System Reconstruction, Madison County, Illinois,
- 24 authorized by section 1001(20) of the Water Resources
- 25 Development Act of 2007 (121 Stat. 1053), is modified

- 1 to authorize the Secretary to construct the project at a
- 2 total cost of \$120,000,000, with an estimated Federal cost
- 3 of \$78,000,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost of
- 4 \$42,000,000.

5 SEC. 3016. LITTLE CALUMET RIVER, INDIANA.

- 6 The project for flood control, Little Calumet River,
- 7 Indiana, authorized by section 401(a) of the Water Re-
- 8 sources Development Act of 1996 (100 Stat. 4115) and
- 9 modified by section 127 of the Energy and Water Appro-
- 10 priations Act, 2006 (119 Stat. 2259), is further modified
- 11 to authorize the Secretary to construct the project at a
- 12 total cost of \$275,000,000, with an estimated Federal cost
- 13 of \$206,000,000, and an estimated non-Federal cost of
- 14 \$69,000,000.
- 15 SEC. 3017. RHODES POINT JETTY, SMITH ISLAND, MARY-
- 16 LAND.
- 17 The maximum amount of Federal funds that may be
- 18 expended for the project for navigation, Rhodes Point
- 19 Jetty, Smith Island, Maryland, being carried out under
- 20 section 107 of the River and Harbor Act of 1960 (33
- 21 U.S.C. 577), shall be \$7,000,000.
- 22 SEC. 3018. MUDDY RIVER, BROOKLINE AND BOSTON, MAS-
- 23 SACHUSETTS.
- 24 Section 522 of the Water Resources Development Act
- 25 of 2000 (114 Stat. 2656) is amended by striking "draft

- 1 evaluation report of the New England District Engineer
- 2 entitled 'Phase I Muddy River Master Plan', dated June
- 3 2000" and inserting "Final Decision Document and Envi-
- 4 ronmental Assessment Report of the New England Dis-
- 5 trict Engineer entitled 'Muddy River Flood Control and
- 6 Ecosystem Restoration, Boston and Brookline, Massachu-
- 7 setts', dated September 2003, at a total cost of
- 8 \$79,200,000".

9 SEC. 3019. ADA, MINNESOTA.

- The maximum amount of Federal funds that may be
- 11 expended for the project for flood damage reduction, Wild
- 12 Rice River, Ada, Minnesota, being carried out under sec-
- 13 tion 205 of the Flood Control Act of 1948 (33 U.S.C.
- 14 701s), shall be \$10,600,000.

15 SEC. 3020. MONTEVIDEO, MINNESOTA.

- The maximum amount of Federal funds that may be
- 17 expended for the project for flood damage reduction, Mon-
- 18 tevideo, Minnesota, being carried out under section 205
- 19 of the Flood Control Act of 1948 (33 U.S.C. 701s), shall
- 20 be \$10,000,000.

21 SEC. 3021. TWO HARBORS, MINNESOTA.

- Section 3101(b) of the Water Resources Development
- 23 Act of 2007 (121 Stat. 1133) is amended by striking
- 24 "\$7,000,000" and inserting "\$14,000,000".

1 SEC. 3022. BLUE RIVER BASIN, KANSAS CITY, MISSOURI.

- 2 The project for flood control, Blue River basin, Kan-
- 3 sas City, Missouri, authorized by section 101(a)(18) of the
- 4 Water Resources Development Act of 1996 (110 Stat.
- 5 3665), is modified to authorize the Secretary to construct
- 6 the project at a total cost of \$45,500,000, with an esti-
- 7 mated Federal cost of \$34,125,000 and an estimated non-
- 8 Federal cost of \$11,375,000.
- 9 SEC. 3023. LOWER ASSUNPINK CREEK, TRENTON, NEW JER-
- 10 **SEY.**
- 11 The maximum amount of Federal funds that may be
- 12 expended for the project for improvement of the quality
- 13 of the environment, Lower Assunpink Creek, Trenton,
- 14 New Jersey, being carried out under section 1135 of the
- 15 Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C.
- 16 2309a), shall be \$10,000,000.
- 17 SEC. 3024. OCEAN GATE, OCEAN COUNTY, NEW JERSEY.
- 18 The maximum amount of Federal funds that may be
- 19 expended for the project for emergency streambank pro-
- 20 tection, Ocean Gate, Ocean County, New Jersey, being
- 21 carried out under section 14 of the Flood Control Act of
- 22 1946 (33 U.S.C. 701r), shall be \$4,500,000.
- 23 SEC. 3025. ORCHARD BEACH, BRONX, NEW YORK.
- 24 Section 554 of the Water Resources Development Act
- 25 of 1996 (110 Stat. 3781), as amended by section 3122
- 26 of the Water Resources Development Act of 2007 (121

- 1 Stat. 1139), is further amended by striking
- 2 "\$20,000,000" and inserting "\$27,000,000".
- 3 SEC. 3026. SPRING CREEK, NEW YORK.
- 4 The maximum amount of Federal funds that may be
- 5 expended for the project for improvement of the quality
- 6 of the environment, Spring Creek, New York, being car-
- 7 ried out under section 1135 of the Water Resources Devel-
- 8 opment Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2309a), shall be
- 9 \$6,000,000.
- 10 SEC. 3027. HOCKING RIVER BASIN, MONDAY CREEK, OHIO.
- Section 1001(37)(B)(iii) of the Water Resources De-
- 12 velopment Act of 2007 (121 Stat. 1055) is amended by
- 13 striking "\$1,270,000" and inserting "\$12,000,000".
- 14 SEC. 3028. LOWER COLUMBIA RIVER AND TILLAMOOK BAY
- 15 ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, OREGON AND
- 16 WASHINGTON.
- 17 Section 536(g) of the Water Resources Development
- 18 Act of 2000 (114 Stat. 2662) is amended by striking
- 19 "\$30,000,000" and inserting "\$45,000,000".
- 20 SEC. 3029. CORPUS CHRISTI SHIP CHANNEL, CORPUS
- 21 CHRISTI, TEXAS.
- The project for navigation and ecosystem restoration,
- 23 Corpus Christi Ship Channel, Texas, authorized by section
- 24 1001(40) of the Water Resources Development Act of
- 25 2007 (121 Stat. 1056) is modified to authorize the Sec-

- 1 retary to construct the project at a total cost of
- 2 \$447,604,000, with an estimated Federal cost of
- 3 \$183,827,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost of
- 4 \$263,777,000.

5 SEC. 3030. DALLAS FLOODWAY, DALLAS, TEXAS.

- 6 The project for flood control, Trinity River and tribu-
- 7 taries, Texas, authorized by section 2 of the Act entitled
- 8 "An Act authorizing the construction, repair, and preser-
- 9 vation of certain public works on rivers and harbors, and
- 10 for other purposes", approved March 2, 1945, and modi-
- 11 fied by section 5141 of the Water Resources Development
- 12 Act of 2007 (121 Stat. 1253), is further modified to au-
- 13 thorize the Secretary to construct the project at a total
- 14 cost of \$882,000,000, with an estimated Federal cost of
- 15 \$573,300,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost of
- 16 \$308,700,000.

17 SEC. 3031. HOUSTON-GALVESTON NAVIGATION CHANNELS,

- 18 TEXAS.
- 19 The project for navigation and environmental restora-
- 20 tion, Houston-Galveston Navigation Channels, Texas, au-
- 21 thorized by section 101(a)(30) of the Water Resources De-
- 22 velopment Act of 1996 (110 Stat. 3666), is modified to
- 23 authorize the Secretary to extend the boundaries of the
- 24 Galveston channel approximately 2600 feet beyond Pier

- 1 38, if the Secretary determines that the extension is fea-
- 2 sible.

3 SEC. 3032. PROJECT REAUTHORIZATIONS.

- 4 The following project may be carried out by the Sec-
- 5 retary and no construction on any such project may be
- 6 initiated until the Secretary determines that the project
- 7 is feasible: The Vincennes, Indiana portion of the project
- 8 for flood control, Wabash River basin, Illinois and Indi-
- 9 ana, authorized by section 10 of the Flood Control Act
- 10 of 1946 (60 Stat. 649) and deauthorized by section 1002
- 11 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (100
- 12 Stat. 4209).

13 SEC. 3033. PROJECT DEAUTHORIZATIONS.

- 14 (a) In General.—The following projects are not au-
- 15 thorized after the date of enactment of this Act:
- 16 (1) Potomac river, washington channel,
- 17 DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA.—The portion of the project
- for navigation, Potomac River, Washington Channel,
- 19 District of Columbia, authorized by the Act of Au-
- 20 gust 30, 1935 (chapter 831; 49 Stat. 1028), begin-
- 21 ning at Washington Harbor Channel Geometry Cen-
- terline of the 400-foot-wide main navigational ship
- channel, Centerline Station No. 103+73.12, coordi-
- 24 nates North 441,948.20, East 1,303,969.30, as stat-
- ed and depicted on the Condition Survey Anacostia,

1 Virginia, Washington and Magazine Bar Shoal 2 Channels, Washington, D.C., Sheet 6 of 6, prepared 3 by the United States Army Corps of Engineers, Bal-4 timore district, July 2007; thence departing the 5 aforementioned centerline traveling the following 6 courses and distances: N. 40 degrees 10 minutes 45 7 seconds E., 200.00 feet to a point, on the outline of 8 said 400-foot-wide channel thence binding on said 9 outline the following three courses and distances: S. 10 49 degrees 49 minutes 15 seconds E., 1,507.86 feet 11 to a point, thence; S. 29 degrees 44 minutes 42 sec-12 onds E., 2,083.17 feet to a point, thence; S. 11 de-13 grees 27 minutes 04 seconds E., 363.00 feet to a 14 point, thence; S. 78 degrees 32 minutes 56 seconds 15 W., 200.00 feet to a point binding on the centerline 16 of the 400-foot-wide main navigational channel at 17 computed Centerline Station No. 65+54.31, coordi-18 nates North 438,923.9874, East 1,306,159.9738, 19 thence; continuing with the aforementioned center-20 line the following courses and distances: N. 11 de-21 grees 27 minutes 04 seconds W., 330.80 feet to a 22 point, Centerline Station No. 68+85.10, thence; N. 23 29 degrees 44 minutes 42 seconds W., 2,015.56 feet 24 to a point, Centerline Station No. 89+00.67, thence;

- N. 49 degrees 49 minutes 15 seconds W., 1,472.26
 feet to the point of beginning.
- (2) CHICAGO HARBOR, ILLINOIS.—The portion of the project for navigation, Chicago Harbor, au-thorized by the River and Harbor Acts of March 3, 1899 and March 2, 1919, beginning at the south-west corner of Metropolitan Sanitary District of Greater Chicago sluice gate that abuts the north wall of the Chicago River Lock thence running north for approximately 290 feet, thence running east ap-proximately 1,000 feet, thence running south ap-proximately 290 feet, thence running west approxi-mately 1,000 feet to the point of origin.
 - (3) IPSWICH RIVER, MASSACHUSETTS.—The portion of the project for navigation, Ipswich River, Massachusetts, adopted by the Rivers and Harbors Act of August 5, 1886, consisting of a 4-foot channel located at the entrance to the inner harbor at Ipswich Harbor, lying northwesterly of a line commencing at: N3,074,938.09, E837,154.87, thence running easterly approximately 60 feet to a point with coordinates N3,074,972.62, E837,203.93.
 - (4) Menemsha creek, massachusetts.—The portion of the project for navigation, Menemsha Creek, Massachusetts, authorized by the River and

1 Harbor Act of 1945, consisting of the following 2 areas—

(A) beginning at a point, N129,112.54, E1,566,926.30, running north 52 degrees 12 minutes 55.9 seconds east 208.68 feet to a point N129,240.39, E1,567,091.22, running south 77 degrees 28 minutes 13.7 seconds east 170.0 feet to point N129,203.51, E1,567,257.17, running south 37 degrees 25 minutes 45.4 seconds east 101.04 feet to a point N129,123.28, E1,567,318.58, running north 77 degrees 28 minutes 13.7 seconds west 223.32feet to a point N129,171.72, E 1,567,100.58, running south 52 degrees 12 minutes 55.9 seconds west 174.00 feet to a point N129,065.12, E1,566,963.06, running north 37 degrees 47 minutes 04.1 seconds west 60.00 feet to the point of origin, and

(B) beginning at a point, N128,895.78, E1,566,940.39, thence running north 52 degrees 31 minutes 25.8 seconds east 135.91 feet to a point N128,978.47, E1,567,048.25, thence running south 77 degrees 28 minutes 13.7 seconds east 80.63 feet to a point N128,960.98, E1,567,126.96, thence running south 37 degrees 28 minutes 13.7 seconds east 80.63 feet to a point N128,960.98,

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 1 grees 25 minutes 32.9 seconds east 70.67 feet 2 to a point N128,904.86, E1,567,169.91, thence 3 running north 73 degrees 59 minutes 15.6 sec-4 onds west 139.90 feet to a point N128,943.45, 5 E 1,567,035.44, thence running south 52 de-6 grees 31 minutes 25.8 seconds west 103.96 feet 7 to a point N128,880.20, E1,566,952.94, thence 8 running north 38 degrees 50 minutes 43.8 sec-9 onds west 20.01 feet to the point of origin.
- 10 BLOCK ISLAND HARBOR OF REFUGE, 11 RHODE ISLAND.—The portion of the project for 12 navigation, Block Island Harbor of Refuge, Rhode 13 Island, adopted by the Rivers and Harbors Act of 14 July 11, 1870, consisting of the cut-stone break-15 water lining the west side of the Inner Basin, begin-16 ning at a point, N32,179.55, E312,625.53, thence 17 running northerly approximately 76.59 feet to a 18 point with coordinates N326,655.92, E312,631.32, 19 thence running northerly approximately 206.81 feet 20 with coordinates N32,858.33, to a point 21 E312,673.74, thence running easterly approximately 22 109.00 feet to a point with coordinates N32,832.15, 23 E312,779.54.
- 24 (b) Additional Deauthorizations.—The fol-25 lowing projects are not authorized after the date of enact-

- 1 ment of this Act, except with respect to any portion of
- 2 such a project that has been completed before such date
- 3 or is under construction on such date:
- (1) The project for flood protection and related purposes, Cache River basin, Arkansas and Missouri, authorized by section 204 of the Flood Control Act of 1950 (64 Stat. 172).
- 8 (2) The Lower White River, Big Creek and 9 tributaries, Arkansas, element of the project for 10 flood control and improvement of the Lower Mis-11 sissippi River, authorized by section 1 of the Act of 12 May 15, 1928 (45 Stat. 534), and modified by sec-
- 12 ... 204 c.l. Fl. 1 C. + 1 A + 6.1067 (70 C) +
- tion 204 of the Flood Control Act of 1965 (79 Stat.
- 14 1076).
- 15 (3) The project for navigation, Noyo River and
- Harbor, California, authorized by section 101 of the
- 17 River and Harbor Act of 1962 (76 Stat. 1176) and
- modified by section 146 of the Water Resources De-
- 19 velopment Act of 1976 (90 Stat. 2931).
- 20 (4) The project for navigation, Red River Wa-
- 21 terway, Shreveport, Louisiana, to Dangerfield,
- Texas, authorized by the River and Harbor Act of
- 23 1968 (82 Stat. 731).
- 24 (5) The project for flood control, Hocking River
- at Logan, Ohio, authorized by section 401(a) of the

- Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (100
 Stat. 4122).
- 3 (6) The Shipyard River Upper Channel and 4 Upper Turning basin elements of the project for 5 navigation, Charleston Harbor, South Carolina, au-6 thorized by section 202(a) of the Water Resources 7 Development Act of 1986 (100 Stat. 4096).
 - (7) The environmental enhancements element of the project for flood control, Nonconnah Creek and Johns Creek, Tennessee and Mississippi, authorized by section 401(a) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (100 Stat. 4124).
 - (8) The recreation element of the project for flood control, Nonconnah Creek and Johns Creek, Tennessee and Mississippi, authorized by section 401(a) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (100 Stat. 4124).
 - (9) The project for flood protection, Santa Barbara County Coastal Streams and tributaries in the area of Goleta, California, authorized by section 201 of the Flood Control Act of 1970 (84 Stat. 1826) and modified by section 102(b) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4804).
 - (10) The project for flood control, Harris Fork Creek, Tennessee and Kentucky, authorized by sec-

- tion 102 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1976 (90 Stat. 2921).
- 3 (11) The project for flood control, Buena Vista,
- 4 Virginia, authorized by section 101(a)(24) of the
- 5 Water Resources Development Act of 1990 (104
- 6 Stat. 4610) and modified by section 118(f) of the
- 7 Water Resources Development Act of 1992 (106)
- 8 Stat. 4824).

9

TITLE IV—STUDIES

- 10 SEC. 4001. HOLLIS, ALASKA.
- 11 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the
- 12 feasibility of carrying out a project for navigational im-
- 13 provements, Hollis, Alaska.
- 14 SEC. 4002. BULLARD WASH, GOODYEAR, ARIZONA.
- 15 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the
- 16 feasibility of carrying out a project for flood damage re-
- 17 duction for Bullard Wash, Goodyear, Arizona.
- 18 SEC. 4003. LOWER SANTA CRUZ RIVER, CASA GRANDE, ARI-
- 19 **ZONA.**
- The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the
- 21 feasibility of carrying out projects for flood damage reduc-
- 22 tion and related water resource purposes for the Lower
- 23 Santa Cruz River study area, Casa Grande, Arizona.

SEC. 4004. MARICOPA COUNTY, ARIZONA.

- 2 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the
- 3 feasibility of carrying out a project for flood damage re-
- 4 duction, environmental restoration, recreation, and related
- 5 water resource purposes, including nonstructural solu-
- 6 tions, for Maricopa County, Arizona.
- 7 SEC. 4005. OUACHITA RIVER, OUACHITA, UNION, AND ASH-
- 8 LEY COUNTIES, ARKANSAS.
- 9 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the
- 10 feasibility of carrying out a project for navigation, flood
- 11 damage reduction, environmental restoration, bank sta-
- 12 bilization, and related water resource purposes for the
- 13 Ouachita River, Ouachita, Union, and Ashley Counties,
- 14 Arkansas.
- 15 SEC. 4006. OIL TROUGH, ARKANSAS.
- 16 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the
- 17 feasibility of carrying out a project for flood damage re-
- 18 duction for Oil Trough, Arkansas.
- 19 SEC. 4007. RANDOLPH COUNTY, ARKANSAS.
- The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the
- 21 feasibility of carrying out a project for flood damage re-
- 22 duction for Randolph County, Arkansas.
- 23 SEC. 4008. BERKELEY MARINA, BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA.
- 24 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the
- 25 feasibility of carrying out a project for navigational im-
- 26 provements for Berkeley Marina, Berkeley, California.

1 SEC. 4009. CHELSEA WETLANDS, HERCULES, CALIFORNIA.

- 2 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the
- 3 feasibility of carrying out a project for environmental res-
- 4 toration and flood damage reduction for Chelsea Wet-
- 5 lands, Hercules, California.

6 SEC. 4010. COLORADO LAGOON AND ALAMITOS BAY, LONG

- 7 BEACH, CALIFORNIA.
- 8 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the
- 9 feasibility of carrying out a project for environmental res-
- 10 toration between Colorado Lagoon and Alamitos Bay,
- 11 Long Beach, California.
- 12 SEC. 4011. LODI LAKE, LODI, CALIFORNIA.
- 13 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the
- 14 feasibility of carrying out a project for flood damage re-
- 15 duction and streambank stabilization for Lodi Lake, Lodi,
- 16 California.
- 17 SEC. 4012. OAKLAND-INNER HARBOR TIDAL CANAL, OAK-
- 18 LAND, CALIFORNIA.
- 19 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the
- 20 feasibility of carrying out a project for navigation improve-
- 21 ments for the Oakland-Inner Harbor Tidal Canal, Oak-
- 22 land, California.
- 23 SEC. 4013. NOYO HARBOR DISTRICT, NOYO, CALIFORNIA.
- The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the
- 25 feasibility of carrying out a project for navigational im-

- 1 provements and dredge material disposal for Noyo Harbor
- 2 District, Noyo, California.
- 3 SEC. 4014. PORT OF SAN FRANCISCO, SAN FRANCISCO,
- 4 CALIFORNIA.
- 5 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the
- 6 feasibility of carrying out a project for navigational im-
- 7 provements, flood damage reduction, shoreline protection,
- 8 environmental restoration, and related water resource pur-
- 9 poses for Port of San Francisco, San Francisco, Cali-
- 10 fornia.
- 11 SEC. 4015. REDWOOD CITY NAVIGATION CHANNEL, CALI-
- 12 FORNIA.
- 13 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the
- 14 feasibility of carrying out a project for navigational im-
- 15 provements and dredge material disposal for Redwood
- 16 City Navigation Channel, California.
- 17 SEC. 4016. RIALTO CHANNEL AND CACTUS CHANNEL, RI-
- 18 ALTO, CALIFORNIA.
- 19 The Secretary shall conduct a watershed study to de-
- 20 termine the feasibility of carrying out a project for flood
- 21 damage reduction for Rialto Channel and Cactus Channel,
- 22 Rialto, California.

1	SEC. 4017. SACRAMENTO REGIONAL SANITATION DISTRICT,
2	SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA.
3	The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the
4	feasibility of carrying out projects for flood damage reduc-
5	tion in the Sacramento Regional Sanitation District, Sac-
6	ramento, California.
7	SEC. 4018. SAN PABLO BAY, HERCULES, CALIFORNIA.
8	The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the
9	feasibility of carrying out a project for navigational im-
10	provements for San Pablo Bay, Hercules, California.
11	SEC. 4019. STOCKTON, CALIFORNIA.
12	The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the
13	feasibility of carrying out projects for navigation channel
14	deepening for Stockton, California.
	deepening for Stockton, California. SEC. 4020. TIJUANA RIVER ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORA-
141516	,
15 16	SEC. 4020. TIJUANA RIVER ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORA-
15 16 17	SEC. 4020. TIJUANA RIVER ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORA- TION, SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.
15 16 17	SEC. 4020. TIJUANA RIVER ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORA- TION, SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA. The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the
15 16 17 18	SEC. 4020. TIJUANA RIVER ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORA- TION, SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA. The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the feasibility of carrying out projects for flood damage reduc-
15 16 17 18	SEC. 4020. TIJUANA RIVER ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORA- TION, SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA. The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the feasibility of carrying out projects for flood damage reduction, environmental restoration, water supply, water qual-
115 116 117 118 119 220	SEC. 4020. TIJUANA RIVER ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORA- TION, SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA. The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the feasibility of carrying out projects for flood damage reduction, environmental restoration, water supply, water quality, recreation, and other water-related issues including
115 116 117 118 119 220 221	SEC. 4020. TIJUANA RIVER ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORA- TION, SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA. The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the feasibility of carrying out projects for flood damage reduction, environmental restoration, water supply, water quality, recreation, and other water-related issues including the impacts of water flows from Mexico for the Tijuana
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	SEC. 4020. TIJUANA RIVER ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORA- TION, SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA. The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the feasibility of carrying out projects for flood damage reduction, environmental restoration, water supply, water quality, recreation, and other water-related issues including the impacts of water flows from Mexico for the Tijuana River basin, San Diego, California.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	SEC. 4020. TIJUANA RIVER ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORA- TION, SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA. The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the feasibility of carrying out projects for flood damage reduction, environmental restoration, water supply, water quality, recreation, and other water-related issues including the impacts of water flows from Mexico for the Tijuana River basin, San Diego, California. SEC. 4021. TIJUANA RIVER WETLANDS RESTORATION, SAN

- 1 toration and wetland restoration along the Tijuana River,
- 2 San Diego County, California.
- 3 SEC. 4022. VENTURA RIVER, VENTURA COUNTY, CALI-
- 4 FORNIA.
- 5 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the
- 6 feasibility of carrying out a project for flood damage re-
- 7 duction for Ventura River, Ventura County, California.
- 8 SEC. 4023. WILLOWBROOK, LOS ANGELES COUNTY, CALI-
- 9 FORNIA.
- The Secretary shall conduct a watershed study to de-
- 11 termine the feasibility of carrying out a project for envi-
- 12 ronmental restoration for Willowbrook, Los Angeles Coun-
- 13 ty, California.
- 14 SEC. 4024. FOUNTAIN SPRING WATERSHED, PUEBLO, COLO-
- 15 RADO.
- 16 The Secretary shall conduct a sediment impact anal-
- 17 ysis study to determine the sediment transport parameters
- 18 for Fountain Spring watershed, Pueblo, Colorado.
- 19 SEC. 4025. RALSTON CREEK, ARVADA, COLORADO.
- The Secretary shall conduct a watershed study to de-
- 21 termine the feasibility of carrying out a project for flood
- 22 damage reduction for Ralston Creek, Arvada, Colorado.

1	SEC. 4026.	HOLLY POND	AND NOROTAN	RIVER, STAMFORD,
---	------------	-------------------	-------------	------------------

- 2 **CONNECTICUT.**
- 3 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the
- 4 feasibility of carrying out projects for environmental res-
- 5 toration for Holly Pond and Norotan River, Stamford,
- 6 Connecticut.
- 7 SEC. 4027. HOUSATONIC RIVER, NEW MILFORD, CON-
- 8 NECTICUT.
- 9 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the
- 10 feasibility of carrying out a project for flood damage re-
- 11 duction along the Housatonic River, New Milford, Con-
- 12 necticut.
- 13 SEC. 4028. LONG ISLAND SOUND AND MILL RIVER, STAM-
- 14 FORD, CONNECTICUT.
- 15 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the
- 16 feasibility of carrying out a project for navigational im-
- 17 provements for Long Island Sound and Mill River, Stam-
- 18 ford, Connecticut.
- 19 SEC. 4029. MERIDEN, CONNECTICUT.
- The Secretary shall conduct a watershed study to de-
- 21 termine the feasibility of carrying out a project for flood
- 22 damage reduction for Meriden, Connecticut.
- 23 SEC. 4030. SOUTH COVE, OLD SAYBROOK, CONNECTICUT.
- 24 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the
- 25 feasibility of carrying out a project for environmental res-
- 26 toration for the South Cove, Old Saybrook, Connecticut.

- 1 SEC. 4031. WEST RIVER, NEW HAVEN HARBOR, WEST
- 2 HAVEN, CONNECTICUT.
- 3 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the
- 4 feasibility of carrying out a project for shoreline protec-
- 5 tion, storm damage reduction, including a review of bulk-
- 6 head condition for West River, New Haven Harbor, West
- 7 Haven, Connecticut.
- 8 SEC. 4032. CHESAPEAKE BAY, DELAWARE, MARYLAND, AND
- 9 **VIRGINIA.**
- The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the
- 11 feasibility of carrying out projects for enhanced public ac-
- 12 cess and recreational opportunities on Army Corps of En-
- 13 gineers projects in the Chesapeake Bay, Delaware, Mary-
- 14 land, and Virginia.
- 15 SEC. 4033. WASHINGTON, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA.
- 16 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the
- 17 feasibility of carrying out a project for flood damage re-
- 18 duction, including green technologies, for Washington,
- 19 District of Columbia.
- 20 SEC. 4034. LAKE COUNTY, FLORIDA.
- 21 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the
- 22 feasibility of carrying out a project for flood damage re-
- 23 duction and environmental protection, Lake County, Flor-
- 24 ida.

1 SEC. 4035. MARION COUNTY, FLORIDA.

- 2 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the
- 3 feasibility of carrying out a project for water supply, Mar-
- 4 ion County, Florida.
- 5 SEC. 4036. MIAMI, FLORIDA.
- 6 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the
- 7 feasibility of carrying out a project for flood damage re-
- 8 duction for Miami, Florida.
- 9 SEC. 4037. OAKLAND PARK, FLORIDA.
- The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the
- 11 feasibility of carrying out a project for flood damage re-
- 12 duction for Oakland Park, Florida.
- 13 SEC. 4038. RIVIERA BEACH, FLORIDA.
- 14 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the
- 15 feasibility of carrying out a project for hurricane and
- 16 storm damage reduction and shoreline protection for Riv-
- 17 iera Beach, Florida.
- 18 SEC. 4039. SOUTH DAYTONA, FLORIDA.
- 19 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the
- 20 feasibility of carrying out a project for flood damage re-
- 21 duction, environmental restoration, and related water re-
- 22 source purposes for South Daytona, Florida.
- 23 SEC. 4040. TAMPA, FLORIDA.
- 24 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the
- 25 feasibility of carrying out a project for flood damage re-

- 1 duction and environmental restoration for Tampa, Flor-
- 2 ida.
- 3 SEC. 4041. PEAVINE CREEK, DECATUR, GEORGIA.
- 4 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the
- 5 feasibility of carrying out a project for flood damage re-
- 6 duction and environmental restoration, recreation, and re-
- 7 lated water resource purposes for Peavine Creek, Decatur,
- 8 Georgia.
- 9 SEC. 4042. RICHLAND CREEK, LAWRENCEVILLE, GEORGIA.
- The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the
- 11 feasibility of carrying out a project for environmental res-
- 12 toration for Richland Creek, Lawrenceville, Georgia.
- 13 SEC. 4043. STUDY FOR WATER SUPPLY, GEORGIA.
- 14 (a) In General.—The Secretary shall conduct a
- 15 study of municipal and industrial water supply for the
- 16 State of Georgia.
- 17 (b) Study Components.—In conducting the study,
- 18 the Secretary shall review—
- 19 (1) currently available water supplies;
- 20 (2) expected future demand for potable water;
- 21 (3) current water uses, including per capita use
- 22 rates;
- 23 (4) opportunities to augment existing supplies,
- 24 including through increased conservation and im-
- proved efficiencies;

1	(5) the effect of water supply policies and uses
2	on the environment;
3	(6) the effect of water supply policies on the
4	economy;
5	(7) the effect of water supply policies and uses
6	on upstream and downstream uses;
7	(8) the impacts of water supply policies on
8	threatened and endangered species; and
9	(9) the impacts of consumptive uses on
10	instream uses.
11	(e) Timing.—The Secretary shall complete the study
12	not later than 2 years following the first obligation of
13	funds for the study.
14	SEC. 4044. SUWANNEE CREEK, LAWRENCEVILLE, GEORGIA.
15	The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the
16	feasibility of carrying out a project for environmental res-
17	toration for Suwannee Creek, Lawrenceville, Georgia.
18	SEC. 4045. AGAT AND MERIZO, GUAM.
19	The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the
20	feasibility of carrying out a project for storm damage re-
21	duction and shoreline protection for Agat and Merizo,

22 Guam.

4								
1	SEC.	4046.	WAIAKEA	STREAM	AND	PALAI	STREAM.	HILO

- 2 HAWAII.
- 3 (a) In General.—The Secretary shall conduct a
- 4 study to determine the feasibility of carrying out a project
- 5 for flood damage reduction along Waiakea Stream and
- 6 Palai Stream, Hilo, Hawaii.
- 7 (b) Prior Work.—In carrying out the study, the
- 8 Secretary shall utilize, to the extent practicable, any work
- 9 undertaken in the formulation of a project for flood dam-
- 10 age reduction, Waiakea Stream and Palai Stream, Hilo,
- 11 Hawaii, initiated under section 205 of the Flood Control
- 12 Act of 1948 (33 U.S.C. 701s).
- 13 SEC. 4047. WAIALUA-KAIAKA WATERSHED, OAHU, HAWAII.
- 14 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the
- 15 feasibility of carrying out a project for flood damage re-
- 16 duction, environmental restoration, water supply, and re-
- 17 lated water resource purposes for the Waialua-Kaiaka wa-
- 18 tershed, Oahu, Hawaii.
- 19 SEC. 4048. ALBANY PARK, CHICAGO, ILLINOIS.
- The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the
- 21 feasibility of carrying out a project for flood damage re-
- 22 duction for Albany Park, Chicago, Illinois.
- 23 SEC. 4049. CARPENTER CREEK, CARPENTERSVILLE, ILLI-
- NOIS.
- The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the
- 26 feasibility of carrying out a project for flood damage re-

- 1 duction and stream bank stabilization for Carpenter
- 2 Creek, Carpentersville, Illinois.
- 3 SEC. 4050. DES PLAINES RIVER, COOK COUNTY, ILLINOIS.
- 4 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the
- 5 feasibility of carrying out a project for flood damage re-
- 6 duction and stream bank stabilization for the Des Plaines
- 7 River, Cook County, Illinois.
- 8 SEC. 4051. FERSON-OTTER CREEK DAM, ST. CHARLES, ILLI-
- 9 NOIS.
- The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the
- 11 feasibility of carrying out a project for flood damage re-
- 12 duction and stream bank stabilization for Ferson-Otter
- 13 Creek Dam, St. Charles, Illinois.
- 14 SEC. 4052. MIDDLE MISSISSIPPI RIVER, ILLINOIS AND MIS-
- 15 SOURI.
- 16 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the
- 17 feasibility of developing a program for environmental res-
- 18 toration for the Middle Mississippi River, Illinois and Mis-
- 19 souri.
- 20 SEC. 4053. NORTH BRANCH OF THE CHICAGO RIVER, CHI-
- 21 CAGO, ILLINOIS.
- The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the
- 23 feasibility of carrying out a project for environmental res-
- 24 toration and related water resource purposes for the North
- 25 Branch of the Chicago River, Chicago, Illinois.

1	SEC. 4054. RIVER PARK AND RONAN PARK, NORTH BRANCH
2	OF THE CHICAGO RIVER, CHICAGO, ILLINOIS.
3	The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the
4	feasibility of carrying out a project for environmental res-
5	toration and shoreline protection for River Park and
6	Ronan Park, North Branch of the Chicago River, Chicago,
7	Illinois.
8	SEC. 4055. THILLENS PARK, NORTH BRANCH OF THE CHI-
9	CAGO RIVER, CHICAGO, ILLINOIS.
10	The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the
11	feasibility of carrying out a project for flood damage re-
12	duction, environmental restoration, and shoreline protec-
13	tion for Thillens Park, North Branch of the Chicago
14	River, Chicago, Illinois.
15	SEC. 4056. VILLAGE OF SKOKIE, ILLINOIS.
16	The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the
17	feasibility of carrying out a project for flood damage re-
18	duction for the Village of Skokie, Illinois.
19	SEC. 4057. BOWMAN CREEK, SOUTH BEND, INDIANA.
20	The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the
21	feasibility of carrying out a project for environmental res-
22	toration for Bowman Creek, South Bend, Indiana.
23	SEC. 4058. LAKE MICHIGAN WATERSHED, INDIANA.
24	The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the

25 feasibility of carrying out projects for flood damage reduc-

- 1 tion, and related water resource purposes for the Lake
- 2 Michigan watershed, Indiana.
- 3 SEC. 4059. BURLINGTON, IOWA.
- 4 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the
- 5 feasibility of carrying out a project for flood damage re-
- 6 duction and stream bank stabilization for Burlington,
- 7 Iowa.
- 8 SEC. 4060. BENEFICIAL USE OF DREDGED MATERIAL, LOU-
- 9 ISIANA AND MISSISSIPPI.
- The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the
- 11 feasibility of utilizing the Federal hopper dredge Wheeler,
- 12 as part of routine testing and use under its ready reserve
- 13 status pursuant to section 3 of the Act of August 11, 1888
- 14 (33 U.S.C. 622(c); 110 Stat. 3705), for support of
- 15 projects for the beneficial reuse of material dredged from
- 16 federally maintained waterways at the following locations:
- 17 (1) Projects in connection with the comprehen-
- sive plan for protecting, preserving, and restoring
- the coastal Louisiana ecosystem, pursuant to section
- 20 7002 of the Water Resources Development Act of
- 21 2007 (121 Stat. 1270).
- 22 (2) Projects in connection with the project for
- 23 hurricane and storm damage reduction, Mississippi
- 24 Coastal Improvements Program, Hancock, Harrison,

- and Jackson Counties, Mississippi, authorized by
- 2 section 1001 of this Act.
- 3 SEC. 4061. JESUIT BEND, PLAQUEMINES PARISH, LOU-
- 4 ISIANA.
- 5 (a) In General.—The Secretary shall conduct a
- 6 study to determine the feasibility of carrying out a project
- 7 for flood damage reduction for Jesuit Bend, Plaquemines
- 8 Parish, Louisiana.
- 9 (b) Use of Local Report.—In carrying out the
- 10 study, the Secretary may include elements of the report
- 11 prepared by the non-Federal interest for Jesuit Bend,
- 12 Plaquemines Parish, Louisiana, if the Secretary deter-
- 13 mines that such elements are feasible.
- 14 SEC. 4062. LABRANCHE WETLANDS, ST. CHARLES AND ST.
- 15 JOHN COUNTIES, LOUISIANA.
- 16 (a) In General.—The Secretary shall conduct a
- 17 study to determine the feasibility of modifying the project
- 18 for flood control and improvement of the Lower Mis-
- 19 sissippi River, Bonnet Carre Spillway, authorized by sec-
- 20 tion 1 of the Act of May 15, 1928 (45 Stat. 534), to add
- 21 environmental restoration as a project purpose.
- 22 (b) Review.—In carrying out the study, the Sec-
- 23 retary shall review operational and structural changes to
- 24 the project to restore the LaBranche Wetlands, St.
- 25 Charles and St. John Counties, Louisiana.

1	SEC. 4063. RUTH CANAL FRESHWATER DIVERSION,
2	VERMILION, LOUISIANA.
3	The Secretary shall conduct a study of the project
4	for the improvement of Bayou Teche and the Vermilion
5	River, Louisiana, authorized by section 3 of the Flood
6	Control Act of August 18, 1941 (55 Stat. 641), and the
7	project for flood protection in the Teche-Vermilion basins,
8	Louisiana, authorized by section 203 of the Flood Control
9	Act of 1966 (80 Stat. 1420), to determine the feasibility
10	of carrying out a project for environmental restoration and
11	water supply, Ruth Canal, Vermilion, Louisiana.
12	SEC. 4064. ANACOSTIA RIVER WATERSHED, PRINCE
13	GEORGE'S COUNTY, MARYLAND.
14	The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the
15	feasibility of carrying out a project for flood damage re-
16	duction for the Anacostia River watershed, Prince
17	George's County, Maryland.
18	SEC. 4065. CHESAPEAKE BAY SHORELINE STUDY, MARY-
19	LAND, PENNSYLVANIA, AND VIRGINIA.
20	In carrying out the study for the Chesapeake Bay
21	Shoreline, Maryland, Pennsylvania, and Virginia, being
22	carried out under the Committee Resolution of the Com-
23	mittee on Environment and Public Works of the United
24	States Senate, adopted May 23, 2001, the Secretary shall
25	determine the feasibility of carrying out projects on feder-
26	ally owned property for shoreline protection, environ-

- 1 mental restoration, and improvement of water quality of
- 2 the Chesapeake Bay.
- 3 SEC. 4066. DREDGED MATERIAL DISPOSAL, BALTIMORE
- 4 HARBOR, MARYLAND.
- 5 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the
- 6 feasibility of carrying out a project for navigational im-
- 7 provements and dredged material disposal at Cox Creek
- 8 Dredged Material Disposal Site for Baltimore Harbor,
- 9 Maryland.
- 10 SEC. 4067. MID-CHESAPEAKE BAY ISLAND RECREATION
- 11 AND PUBLIC ACCESS, MARYLAND.
- 12 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the
- 13 feasibility of modifying the Mid-Chesapeake Bay Island
- 14 project for enhanced public access and recreational oppor-
- 15 tunities on Mid-Chesapeake Bay Island, Maryland, as au-
- 16 thorized by section 1001 of this Act.
- 17 SEC. 4068. CAPISIC BROOK, PORTLAND, MAINE.
- 18 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the
- 19 feasibility of carrying out projects for environmental res-
- 20 toration, flood damage reduction, and stormwater man-
- 21 agement for Capisic Brook, Portland, Maine.
- 22 SEC. 4069. FISHING AND GOOSEBERRY ISLANDS, KITTERY,
- 23 MAINE.
- The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the
- 25 feasibility of carrying out projects for storm damage re-

- 1 duction and shoreline protection for Fishing and Goose-
- 2 berry Islands, Kittery, Maine.
- 3 SEC. 4070. SOUTHERN MAINE/NEW HAMPSHIRE DREDGED
- 4 MATERIAL DISPOSAL STUDY, MAINE AND
- 5 NEW HAMPSHIRE.
- 6 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the
- 7 feasibility of carrying out a project for navigational im-
- 8 provements and dredge material disposal for southern
- 9 Maine and New Hampshire.
- 10 SEC. 4071. ASSABET, CHARLES, AND SUDBURY WATER-
- 11 SHEDS, MIDDLESEX AND ESSEX COUNTIES,
- 12 MASSACHUSETTS.
- 13 The Secretary shall conduct a comprehensive water-
- 14 shed study to determine the feasibility of carrying out a
- 15 project for flood damage reduction, environmental restora-
- 16 tion, and related water resource purposes, Assabet,
- 17 Charles, and Sudbury watersheds, Middlesex and Essex
- 18 Counties, Massachusetts.
- 19 SEC. 4072. HOOSIC RIVER WATERSHED, NORTH ADAMS,
- 20 MASSACHUSETTS.
- The Secretary shall conduct a comprehensive water-
- 22 shed study to determine the feasibility of carrying out
- 23 projects for flood damage reduction, environmental res-
- 24 toration, and related water resource purposes for Hoosic
- 25 River watershed, North Adams, Massachusetts.

1 SEC. 4073. MYSTIC RIVER WATERSHED, MASSACHUSETTS.

- 2 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the
- 3 feasibility of carrying out a project for environmental res-
- 4 toration for the Mystic River watershed, Massachusetts.
- 5 SEC. 4074. QUEQUECHAN RIVER, FALL RIVER, MASSACHU-
- 6 SETTS.
- 7 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the
- 8 feasibility of carrying out a project for environmental res-
- 9 toration, recreation, and related water resource purposes
- 10 for the Quequechan River, Fall River, Massachusetts.
- 11 SEC. 4075. CLINTON RIVER, CLINTON TOWNSHIP, MICHI-
- 12 **GAN.**
- 13 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the
- 14 feasibility of carrying out projects for flood damage reduc-
- 15 tion, environmental restoration, and related water re-
- 16 source purposes for Clinton River, Clinton Township,
- 17 Michigan.
- 18 SEC. 4076. HAMILTON DAM, FLINT, MICHIGAN.
- 19 In carrying out the review under the authority of sec-
- 20 tion 216 of the Flood Control Act of 1970 (84 Stat. 1830)
- 21 of the project for flood control, Flint River, Michigan, au-
- 22 thorized by section 203 of the Flood Control Act of 1958
- 23 (72 Stat. 311), the Secretary shall include a review of
- 24 Hamilton Dam, Flint, Michigan.

- GAN.
- 3 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the
- 4 feasibility of carrying out projects for flood damage reduc-
- 5 tion and related water resource purposes for Upper Penin-
- 6 sula Flood Recovery, Michigan.
- 7 SEC. 4078. AMORY, MISSISSIPPI.
- 8 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the
- 9 feasibility of carrying out a project for flood damage re-
- 10 duction for Amory, Mississippi.
- 11 SEC. 4079. COASTAL MISSISSIPPI ECOSYSTEM RESTORA-
- 12 TION, MISSISSIPPI.
- 13 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the
- 14 feasibility of carrying out projects for environmental res-
- 15 toration and related water resource purposes for coastal
- 16 Mississippi.
- 17 SEC. 4080. FULTON, MISSISSIPPI.
- 18 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the
- 19 feasibility of carrying out a project for flood damage re-
- 20 duction for Fulton, Mississippi.
- 21 SEC. 4081. GULFPORT, MISSISSIPPI.
- The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the
- 23 feasibility of carrying out a project for navigational im-
- 24 provements, Gulfport, Mississippi.

1 SEC. 4082. LUCEDALE, MISSISSIPPI.

- 2 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the
- 3 feasibility of carrying out a project for flood damage re-
- 4 duction, water supply, recreation, and related water re-
- 5 source purposes for Lucedale, Mississippi.

6 SEC. 4083. MAGBY CREEK AND VERNON BRANCH, LOWNDES

- 7 COUNTY, MISSISSIPPI.
- 8 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the
- 9 feasibility of carrying out a project for flood damage re-
- 10 duction for Magby Creek and Vernon Branch in Lowndes
- 11 County, Mississippi.
- 12 SEC. 4084. BLUE RIVER BASIN, KANSAS CITY, MISSOURI.
- 13 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the
- 14 feasibility of modifying the project for flood protection and
- 15 other purposes in the Blue River basin, vicinity of Kansas
- 16 City, Missouri and Kansas, authorized by section 201 of
- 17 the Flood Control Act of 1970 (80 Stat. 1409), to include
- 18 additional flood damage reduction, environmental restora-
- 19 tion, and recreational measures, Kansas City, Missouri.
- 20 SEC. 4085. LITTLE BLUE RIVER, JACKSON COUNTY, MIS-
- 21 **SOURI.**
- The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the
- 23 feasibility of carrying out a project for stream bank sta-
- 24 bilization for Little Blue River, Jackson County, Missouri.

SEC. 4086. ST. LOUIS, MISSOURI.

- 2 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the
- 3 feasibility of carrying out a project for flood damage re-
- 4 duction, especially examining the floodwall pump station,
- 5 for St. Louis, Missouri.

6 SEC. 4087. LAS VEGAS WASH, LAS VEGAS, NEVADA.

- 7 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the
- 8 feasibility of carrying out a project for flood damage re-
- 9 duction for Las Vegas Wash, Las Vegas, Nevada.

10 SEC. 4088. NEW HAMPSHIRE.

- 11 The Secretary, in collaboration with all relevant Fed-
- 12 eral and non-Federal entities, including State and local
- 13 governments, nonprofit organizations, academia, and the
- 14 general public, shall conduct a comprehensive watershed
- 15 study of all watersheds in New Hampshire for water qual-
- 16 ity, habitat degradation, environmental restoration, water
- 17 supply, and potential impacts of climate change for New
- 18 Hampshire.

19 SEC. 4089. PISCATAQUA RIVER, NEW HAMPSHIRE.

- The Secretary shall conduct a study to evaluate sedi-
- 21 ment and nutrient pollution in the Piscataqua River sys-
- 22 tem to determine the feasibility of carrying out a project
- 23 for environmental restoration and water quality for the
- 24 Piscataqua River, New Hampshire.

1 SEC. 4090. BARNEGAT BAY WATERSHED, OCEAN AND MON-

- 2 **MOUTH COUNTIES, NEW JERSEY.**
- 3 The Secretary shall conduct a comprehensive water-
- 4 shed study to determine the feasibility of carrying out
- 5 projects for flood damage reduction, shoreline protection,
- 6 environmental restoration, and related water resource pur-
- 7 poses for Barnegat Bay watershed, Ocean and Monmouth
- 8 Counties, New Jersey.
- 9 SEC. 4091. BEVERLY, NEW JERSEY.
- The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the
- 11 feasibility of carrying out a project for shoreline protec-
- 12 tion, including consideration of a gabion wall, for Beverly,
- 13 New Jersey.
- 14 SEC. 4092. BOROUGH OF PINE BEACH, NEW JERSEY.
- 15 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the
- 16 feasibility of carrying out a project for shoreline protec-
- 17 tion, including consideration of floating wave attenuators
- 18 off shore, for Borough of Pine Beach, New Jersey.
- 19 SEC. 4093. HADDON TOWNSHIP, NEW JERSEY.
- The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the
- 21 feasibility of carrying out a project for flood damage re-
- 22 duction for Haddon Township, New Jersey.
- 23 SEC. 4094. RAHWAY RIVER WATERSHED, NEW JERSEY.
- 24 The Secretary shall conduct a comprehensive water-
- 25 shed study to determine the feasibility of carrying out
- 26 projects for flood damage reduction, environmental res-

- 1 toration, and related water resource purposes for Rahway
- 2 River watershed, New Jersey.
- 3 SEC. 4095. THIRD RIVER, BELLEVILLE, BLOOMFIELD, AND
- 4 NUTLEY, NEW JERSEY.
- 5 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the
- 6 feasibility of carrying out projects for flood damage reduc-
- 7 tion for Third River, Belleville, Bloomfield, and Nutley,
- 8 New Jersey.
- 9 SEC. 4096. PASSAIC RIVER CHANNEL, NUTLEY, NEW JER-
- 10 **SEY.**
- 11 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the
- 12 feasibility of carrying out a project for navigation, environ-
- 13 mental restoration, and recreation for the Passaic River
- 14 Channel, Nutley, New Jersey.
- 15 SEC. 4097. TOWNSHIP OF OCEAN, NEW JERSEY.
- 16 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the
- 17 feasibility of carrying out a project for storm damage re-
- 18 duction and shoreline protection for the Township of
- 19 Ocean, New Jersey.
- 20 SEC. 4098. PREAKNESS BROOK, WAYNE, NEW JERSEY.
- The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the
- 22 feasibility of carrying out a project for flood damage re-
- 23 duction for Preakness Brook, Wayne, New Jersey.

1 SEC. 4099. DONA ANA, NEW MEXICO.

- 2 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the
- 3 feasibility of adding hydropower to existing irrigation ca-
- 4 nals for Dona Ana, New Mexico.
- 5 SEC. 4100. HIDALGO COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.
- 6 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the
- 7 feasibility of carrying out a project for flood damage re-
- 8 duction for Hidalgo County, New Mexico.
- 9 SEC. 4101. OTERO COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.
- The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the
- 11 feasibility of carrying out a project for flood damage re-
- 12 duction for Otero County, New Mexico.
- 13 SEC. 4102. VALENCIA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.
- 14 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the
- 15 feasibility of carrying out a project for flood damage re-
- 16 duction for Valencia County, New Mexico.
- 17 SEC. 4103. GLEN COVE, NEW YORK.
- 18 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the
- 19 feasibility of carrying out a project for storm damage re-
- 20 duction and environmental restoration for Glen Cove, New
- 21 York.
- 22 SEC. 4104. HAWTREE BASIN, HAMILTON BEACH, NEW YORK.
- The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the
- 24 feasibility of carrying out projects for storm damage re-
- 25 duction, shoreline protection, and environmental restora-
- 26 tion for Hawtree basin, Hamilton Beach, New York.

1	SEC. 4105. KILL VAN KULL, PORT RICHMOND, STATEN IS
2	LAND, NEW YORK.
3	The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the
4	feasibility of carrying out projects for storm damage re-
5	duction, shoreline protection, and environmental restora-
6	tion for Kill Van Kull, Port Richmond, Staten Island, New
7	York.
8	SEC. 4106. MARINERS MARSH AND ARLINGTON MARSH
9	STATEN ISLAND, NEW YORK.
10	The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the
11	feasibility of carrying out a project for environmental res-
12	toration for Mariners Marsh and Arlington Marsh, Staten
13	Island, New York.
14	SEC. 4107. NEW YORK, NEW YORK.
15	(a) Inventory and Assessment of Bulkheads
16	AND SEAWALLS.—
17	(1) Inventory.—The Secretary shall conduct
18	an inventory of bulkheads and seawalls constructed
19	around the city of New York, New York.
20	(2) Assessment of Rehabilitation
21	NEEDS.—In conducting the inventory required under
22	paragraph (1), the Secretary shall assess the condi-
23	tion of the bulkheads and seawalls and the need for
24	rehabilitation or modification of the bulkheads and
25	seawalls.

- 1 (b) Report to Congress.—Not later than 2 years
- 2 after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall
- 3 transmit to Congress a report containing the inventory
- 4 and assessment required by subsection (a).
- 5 (c) Interim Actions.—If the Secretary determines
- 6 that a bulkhead or seawall referred to in subsection (a)
- 7 presents an imminent and substantial risk to public safety,
- 8 the Secretary may carry out measures to prevent or miti-
- 9 gate that risk.
- 10 (d) Federal Share.—The Federal share of the cost
- 11 of assistance provided under this section shall be 65 per-
- 12 cent.
- (e) Coordination.—In carrying out this section, the
- 14 Secretary shall coordinate with the appropriate officials of
- 15 the city of New York and the State of New York.
- 16 (f) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There is
- 17 authorized to be appropriated to carry out this section
- 18 \$7,000,000, to remain available until expended.
- 19 SEC. 4108. NORTON BASIN INLET, FAR ROCKAWAY, NEW
- 20 YORK.
- The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the
- 22 feasibility of carrying out projects for storm damage re-
- 23 duction and shoreline protection for Norton Basin Inlet,
- 24 Far Rockaway, New York.

1 SEC. 4109. QUEENS, NEW YORK.

- 2 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the
- 3 feasibility of carrying out a project for storm damage re-
- 4 duction and shoreline protection, Queens, New York, be-
- 5 tween 116th and 156th Streets.
- 6 SEC. 4110. ROCKAWAY BEACH SEAWALL, ROCKAWAY, NEW
- 7 YORK.
- 8 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the
- 9 feasibility of carrying out projects for storm damage re-
- 10 duction and shoreline protection for Rockaway Beach Sea-
- 11 wall, Rockaway, New York.
- 12 SEC. 4111. ROOSEVELT ISLAND, EAST RIVER, NEW YORK,
- 13 NEW YORK.
- 14 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the
- 15 feasibility of carrying out projects for flood damage reduc-
- 16 tion and shoreline protection for Roosevelt Island, East
- 17 River, New York, New York.
- 18 SEC. 4112. CHARLOTTE, NORTH CAROLINA.
- 19 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the
- 20 feasibility of carrying out projects for environmental res-
- 21 toration in support of the Surface Water Improvement
- 22 and Management Initiative for Charlotte, North Carolina.
- 23 SEC. 4113. NANTAHALA RIVER, SWAIN, NORTH CAROLINA.
- The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the
- 25 feasibility of carrying out a project for environmental res-

- 1 toration, recreation, and related water resource purposes,
- 2 Nantahala River, Swain, North Carolina.
- 3 SEC. 4114. MISSOURI RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES, SOUTH AND
- 4 CENTRAL NORTH DAKOTA, NORTH DAKOTA.
- 5 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the
- 6 feasibility of carrying out a project for flood damage re-
- 7 duction for the Missouri River and tributaries, South and
- 8 Central North Dakota, North Dakota.
- 9 SEC. 4115. BIG CREEK WATERSHED, OHIO.
- The Secretary shall conduct a watershed study to de-
- 11 termine the feasibility of carrying out projects for flood
- 12 damage reduction and environmental restoration for Big
- 13 Creek watershed, Ohio.
- 14 SEC. 4116. BRANDYWINE CREEK WATERSHED, OHIO.
- 15 The Secretary shall conduct a comprehensive water-
- 16 shed study to determine the feasibility of carrying out
- 17 projects for flood damage reduction and environmental
- 18 restoration for Brandywine Creek watershed, Ohio.
- 19 SEC. 4117. CARLISLE TOWNSHIP, LORAIN COUNTY, OHIO.
- The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the
- 21 feasibility of carrying out a project for flood damage re-
- 22 duction for Carlisle Township, Lorain County, Ohio.

- 1 SEC. 4118. CUYAHOGA RIVER WATERSHED AND
- 2 TUSCARAWAS RIVER WATERSHED, SUMMIT
- 3 county, ohio.
- 4 The Secretary shall conduct a comprehensive water-
- 5 shed study to determine the feasibility of carrying out a
- 6 project for flood damage reduction, environmental restora-
- 7 tion, and related water resource purposes, Cuyahoga River
- 8 watershed and Tuscarawas River watershed, Summit
- 9 County, Ohio.
- 10 SEC. 4119. EUCLID CREEK WATERSHED, OHIO.
- 11 The Secretary shall conduct a watershed study to de-
- 12 termine the feasibility of carrying out projects for flood
- 13 damage reduction and environmental restoration for Eu-
- 14 clid Creek watershed, Ohio.
- 15 SEC. 4120. HEALY CREEK, BRUNSWICK, OHIO.
- 16 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the
- 17 feasibility of carrying out a project for environmental res-
- 18 toration, streambank erosion, and sedimentation control
- 19 for Healy Creek, Brunswick, Ohio.
- 20 SEC. 4121. LOWER MAUMEE RIVER, TOLEDO, OHIO.
- The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the
- 22 feasibility of carrying out a project for flood damage re-
- 23 duction for the Lower Maumee River, Toledo, Ohio.

1 SEC. 4122. OHIO RIVER, OHIO.

- 2 Section 4070 of the Water Resources Development
- 3 Act of 2007 (121 Stat. 1183) is amended by striking
- 4 "Ohio River" and inserting "Ohio River and tributaries".
- 5 SEC. 4123. SHAKER LAKES, SHAKER HEIGHTS AND CLEVE-
- 6 LAND HEIGHTS, OHIO.
- 7 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the
- 8 feasibility of carrying out a project for environmental res-
- 9 toration for Shaker Lakes, Shaker Heights and Cleveland
- 10 Heights, Ohio.
- 11 SEC. 4124. STARK COUNTY, OHIO.
- 12 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the
- 13 feasibility of carrying out a project for flood damage re-
- 14 duction and environmental restoration for Stark County,
- 15 Ohio.
- 16 SEC. 4125. TINKERS CREEK WATERSHED, OHIO.
- 17 The Secretary shall conduct a watershed study to de-
- 18 termine the feasibility of carrying out projects for flood
- 19 damage reduction and environmental restoration for Tin-
- 20 kers Creek watershed, Ohio.
- 21 SEC. 4126. UPPER TUSCARAWAS RIVER, CUYAHOGA COUN-
- 22 ту, оню.
- The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the
- 24 feasibility of carrying out a project for flood damage re-
- 25 duction for the Upper Tuscarawas River, Cuyahoga Coun-
- 26 ty, Ohio.

1	SEC. 4127. WEST CREEK WATERSHED, OHIO.
2	The Secretary shall conduct a watershed study to de-
3	termine the feasibility of carrying out projects for flood
4	damage reduction and environmental restoration for West
5	Creek watershed, Ohio.
6	SEC. 4128. YELLOW CREEK AND SHORT CREEK, JEFFERSON
7	COUNTY, OHIO.
8	The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the
9	feasibility of carrying out a project for flood damage re-
10	duction and environmental restoration for Yellow Creek
11	and Short Creek, Jefferson County, Ohio.
12	SEC. 4129. FERRY CREEK RESERVOIR, BROOKINGS, OR-
13	EGON.
14	The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the
15	feasibility of carrying out a project for environmental res-
16	toration for Ferry Creek Reservoir, Brookings, Oregon.
17	
-,	SEC. 4130. OREGON NAVIGATION JETTIES AND BREAK-
18	SEC. 4130. OREGON NAVIGATION JETTIES AND BREAK- WATERS, OREGON.
18	WATERS, OREGON.
18 19	waters, oregon. (a) Inventory and Assessment of Navigation
18 19 20	waters, oregon. (a) Inventory and Assessment of Navigation Jetties and Breakwaters.—
18 19 20 21	waters, oregon. (a) Inventory and Assessment of Navigation Jetties and Breakwaters.— (1) Inventory.—The Secretary shall conduct
18 19 20 21 22	waters, oregon. (a) Inventory and Assessment of Navigation Jetties and Breakwaters.— (1) Inventory.—The Secretary shall conduct an inventory of federally constructed navigation jet-

paragraph (1), the Secretary shall assess the condi-

26

- 1 tion of the navigation jetties and breakwaters and
- 2 the need for rehabilitation or modification of the jet-
- 3 ties and breakwaters.
- 4 (b) Report to Congress.—Not later than 2 years
- 5 after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall
- 6 transmit to Congress a report containing the inventory
- 7 and assessment required by subsection (a).
- 8 (c) Interim Actions.—If the Secretary determines
- 9 that a jetty or breakwater referred to in subsection (a)
- 10 presents an imminent and substantial risk to public safety,
- 11 the Secretary may carry out measures to prevent or miti-
- 12 gate that risk.
- 13 (d) Federal Share.—The Federal share of the cost
- 14 of assistance provided under this section shall be 65 per-
- 15 cent.
- 16 (e) COORDINATION.—In carrying out this section, the
- 17 Secretary shall coordinate with the appropriate officials of
- 18 the State of Oregon.
- 19 (f) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There is
- 20 authorized to be appropriated to carry out this section
- 21 \$7,000,000, to remain available until expended.
- 22 SEC. 4131. PORT ORFORD, OREGON.
- The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the
- 24 feasibility of carrying out a project for navigational im-

- 1 provements with examination of navigational breakwaters
- 2 for Port Orford, Oregon.
- 3 SEC. 4132. BUHL LAKE, SHARON, PENNSYLVANIA.
- 4 (a) In General.—The Secretary shall conduct a
- 5 study to determine the feasibility of carrying out a multi-
- 6 purpose project for flood damage reduction and environ-
- 7 mental restoration for Buhl Lake, Sharon, Pennsylvania.
- 8 (b) Prior Work.—In carrying out the study, the
- 9 Secretary shall utilize, to the extent practicable, any work
- 10 undertaken in the formulation of a project for environ-
- 11 mental restoration, Buhl Lake, Sharon, Pennsylvania, ini-
- 12 tiated under section 206 of the Water Resources Develop-
- 13 ment Act of 1996 (33 U.S.C. 2330; 110 Stat. 3679).
- 14 SEC. 4133. DELAWARE RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES, BUCKS
- 15 COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA.
- 16 The Secretary shall conduct a comprehensive water-
- 17 shed study to determine the feasibility of carrying out
- 18 projects for flood damage reduction and environmental
- 19 restoration for the Delaware River and tributaries, Bucks
- 20 County, Pennsylvania.
- 21 SEC. 4134. ELK CREEK, MEADVILLE, PENNSYLVANIA.
- The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the
- 23 feasibility of carrying out a project for environmental res-
- 24 toration and water quality for Elk Creek, Meadville, Penn-
- 25 sylvania.

1 SEC. 4135. MILL CREEK, ERIE, PENNSYLVANIA.

- 2 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the
- 3 feasibility of carrying out a project for flood damage re-
- 4 duction, focusing on the Mill Creek Drift Catcher, for Mill
- 5 Creek, Erie, Pennsylvania.
- 6 SEC. 4136. SUSQUEHANNA RIVER, PENNSYLVANIA.
- 7 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the
- 8 feasibility of carrying out a project for environmental res-
- 9 toration for the Susquehanna River, Pennsylvania.
- 10 SEC. 4137. WESTERN PENNSYLVANIA FLOOD DAMAGE RE-
- 11 **DUCTION.**
- 12 Section 4077 of the Water Resources Development
- 13 Act of 2007 (121 Stat. 1184) is amended—
- 14 (1) in subsection (a), by striking "Mahoning
- River basin, Pennsylvania" and inserting "Mahoning
- 16 River basin, Pennsylvania, the Monongahela River
- basin, Pennsylvania,"; and
- 18 (2) in subsection (b), by striking "Shaler Town-
- ship" and inserting "Shaler Township, Hampton
- Township, Harmar Township,".
- 21 SEC. 4138. GUAYAMA, PUERTO RICO.
- The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the
- 23 feasibility of carrying out a project for hurricane and
- 24 storm damage reduction for Guayama, Puerto Rico.

1 SEC. 4139. RINCON, PUERTO RICO.

- 2 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the
- 3 feasibility of carrying out a project for flood damage re-
- 4 duction and shoreline protection for the Municipality of
- 5 Rincon, Puerto Rico.

6 SEC. 4140. PROVIDENCE, RHODE ISLAND.

- 7 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the
- 8 feasibility of carrying out projects for flood damage reduc-
- 9 tion and related water resource purposes for the rivers in
- 10 Providence, Rhode Island.

11 SEC. 4141. SOUTH CAROLINA.

- 12 The Secretary, in collaboration with all relevant Fed-
- 13 eral and non-Federal entities, including State and local
- 14 governments, nonprofit organizations, academia, and the
- 15 general public, shall conduct comprehensive watershed
- 16 studies of all 8 watersheds in South Carolina for water
- 17 quality, habitat condition, environmental restoration,
- 18 water supply, and the potential impacts of climate change
- 19 for South Carolina.

20 SEC. 4142. JAMES RIVER, SOUTH DAKOTA.

- The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the
- 22 feasibility of modifying the project for channel restoration
- 23 and improvements on the James River, South Dakota, au-
- 24 thorized by section 401(b) of the Water Resources Devel-
- 25 opment Act of 1986 (100 Stat. 4128) to add ecosystem

- 1 restoration and watershed improvements as project pur-
- 2 poses.
- 3 SEC. 4143. STATION CAMP CREEK, GALLATIN, TENNESSEE.
- 4 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the
- 5 feasibility of carrying out a project for environmental res-
- 6 toration for Station Camp Creek, Gallatin, Tennessee.
- 7 SEC. 4144. BRAZOS RIVER, TEXAS.
- 8 The Secretary shall conduct a study assessing the
- 9 long-term impacts of water use, withdrawal, recirculation,
- 10 and downstream impacts on the Whitney Lake Reservoir,
- 11 Texas.
- 12 SEC. 4145. HICKORY CREEK, CITY OF BALCH SPRINGS,
- TEXAS.
- 14 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the
- 15 feasibility of carrying out a project for flood damage re-
- 16 duction for Hickory Creek, City of Balch Springs, Texas.
- 17 SEC. 4146. HOUSTON-GALVESTON NAVIGATION CHANNELS
- 18 (BARBOURS CUT), TEXAS.
- 19 The Secretary shall conduct a study of the feasibility
- 20 of modifying the Barbours Cut element of the project for
- 21 navigation and environmental restoration, Houston-Gal-
- 22 veston Navigation Channels, Texas, authorized by section
- 23 101(a)(30) of the Water Resources Development Act of
- 24 1996 (110 Stat. 3666), to a depth of 45 feet.

1 SEC. 4147. PORT OF GALVESTON, TEXAS.

- 2 The Secretary shall conduct a study of the feasibility
- 3 of carrying out a project for dredged material disposal in
- 4 the vicinity of the project for navigation and environ-
- 5 mental restoration, Houston-Galveston Navigation Chan-
- 6 nels, Texas, authorized by section 101(a)(30) of the Water
- 7 Resources Development Act of 1996 (110 Stat. 3666).
- 8 SEC. 4148. SIMSBORO AQUIFER, CITY OF BALSTROP, TEXAS.
- 9 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the
- 10 feasibility of utilizing the Simsboro Aquifer for water sup-
- 11 ply for the City of Balstrop, Texas.
- 12 SEC. 4149. NAVASOTA RIVER WATERSHED, GRIMES COUN-
- 13 TY, TEXAS.
- 14 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the
- 15 feasibility of carrying out a project for flood damage re-
- 16 duction, environmental restoration, and related water re-
- 17 source purposes for the Navasota River watershed, Grimes
- 18 County, Texas.
- 19 SEC. 4150. RIO GRANDE BASIN, TEXAS.
- The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the
- 21 feasibility of carrying out a project for flood damage re-
- 22 duction, environmental restoration, and water supply for
- 23 the Rio Grande basin, Texas.

SEC. 4151. ROMA, TEXAS.

- 2 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the
- 3 feasibility of carrying out a project for flood damage re-
- 4 duction for Roma, Texas.
- 5 SEC. 4152. COTTONWOOD HEIGHTS, UTAH.
- 6 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the
- 7 feasibility of carrying out a project for streambank sta-
- 8 bilization for Cottonwood Heights, Utah.
- 9 SEC. 4153. EMERY TOWN, UTAH.
- The Secretary shall conduct a comprehensive study
- 11 of existing water supply resources for Emery Town, Utah.
- 12 SEC. 4154. BIG SANDY RIVER REALLOCATION STUDY, VIR-
- 13 GINIA AND WEST VIRGINIA.
- 14 The Secretary shall conduct a comprehensive water-
- 15 shed study to determine the feasibility of reallocating
- 16 water storage at 6 reservoirs to optimize benefits for mul-
- 17 tiple-purpose use in the Big Sandy River watershed, Vir-
- 18 ginia and West Virginia.
- 19 SEC. 4155. BUCKROE AND GRANDVIEW BEACHES, HAMP-
- TON, VIRGINIA.
- The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the
- 22 feasibility of carrying out a project for shoreline protection
- 23 for Buckroe and Grandview Beaches, Hampton, Virginia.
- 24 SEC. 4156. FORT MONROE, HAMPTON, VIRGINIA.
- The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the
- 26 feasibility of carrying out a project for hurricane and

- 1 storm damage reduction, including offshore breakwaters,
- 2 for Fort Monroe, Hampton, Virginia.
- 3 SEC. 4157. HAMPTON, VIRGINIA.
- 4 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the
- 5 feasibility of carrying out projects for hurricane and storm
- 6 damage reduction and shoreline protection for Hampton,
- 7 Virginia.
- 8 SEC. 4158. JAMES RIVER WATERSHED, VIRGINIA.
- 9 The Secretary shall conduct a comprehensive water-
- 10 shed study to determine the water resource needs, includ-
- 11 ing current and projected future needs, for the James
- 12 River watershed, Virginia.
- 13 SEC. 4159. ELLIOTT BAY, SEATTLE, WASHINGTON.
- 14 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the
- 15 feasibility of carrying out a project for navigation channel
- 16 deepening for Elliott Bay, Seattle, Washington.
- 17 SEC. 4160. GREEN RIVER, KENT, WASHINGTON.
- 18 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the
- 19 feasibility of carrying out a project for flood damage re-
- 20 duction for the Green River, Kent, Washington.
- 21 SEC. 4161. VANCOUVER LAKE WATERSHED, VANCOUVER,
- 22 **WASHINGTON.**
- The Secretary shall conduct a comprehensive water-
- 24 shed study to determine the feasibility of carrying out
- 25 projects for environmental quality and environmental res-

1	toration, especially related to salmon and steelhead recov-
2	ery issues, for the Vancouver Lake watershed, Vancouver,
3	Washington.
4	SEC. 4162. LAKE MICHIGAN SHORELINE, CITY OF CUDAHY,
5	WISCONSIN.
6	The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the
7	feasibility of carrying out a project for shoreline protection
8	for the Lake Michigan shoreline, City of Cudahy, Wis-
9	consin.
10	TITLE V—MISCELLANEOUS
11	SEC. 5001. CHESAPEAKE BAY ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORA-
12	TION AND PROTECTION PROGRAM.
13	(a) In General.—Section 510 of the Water Re-
14	sources Development Act of 1996 (110 Stat. 3759; 121
15	Stat. 1202) is amended—
16	(1) in subsection (a)(1) by striking "pilot";
17	(2) in subsection $(d(2))$ by adding at the end
18	the following:
19	"(C) In-kind services.—In accordance
20	with section 221 of the Flood Control Act of
21	1970 (42 U.S.C. 1962d–5b), the non-Federal
22	interest may provide any portion of the non-
23	Federal share of the costs of the project carried
24	out under this section in the form of in-kind
25	services and materials

1 "(D) Treatment of certain funds.— 2 In accordance with section 2007 of the Water Resources Development Act of 2007 (33 U.S.C. 3 4 2222), funds provided by a Federal department 5 or agency other than the Corps of Engineers 6 for a project carried out under this section shall 7 be credited towards the non-Federal share of 8 the cost of project." 9 (3) by redesignating subsections (e), (f), (g), 10 (h), and (i) as subsections (f), (g), (h), (i), and (j), 11 respectively; 12 (4) by inserting after subsection (d) the fol-13 lowing: 14 LIMITATION.—Not "(e) Cost more than 15 \$10,000,000 in Federal funds may be allotted under this section for a project at any single locality."; 16 17 (5) by striking subsection (g) (as redesignated 18 by paragraph (3) of this subsection) and inserting 19 the following: "(g) Projects.—The Secretary may carry out 20 21 projects under this section in the Chesapeake Bay water-22 shed, with the goal of carrying out projects in each of the 23 States of Delaware, New York, Maryland, Pennsylvania, Virginia, and West Virginia and the District of Columbia."; and 25

1	(6) in subsection (j) (as redesignated by para-
2	graph (3) of this subsection) by striking
3	"\$40,000,000" and inserting "\$50,000,000".
4	(b) RESTORATION OF CHESAPEAKE BAY Eco-
5	SYSTEM.—
6	(1) In general.—Not later than 2 years after
7	the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary
8	shall develop at Federal expense and submit to Con-
9	gress a comprehensive plan to prioritize projects
10	within the Chesapeake Bay watershed, including
11	projects in the Anacostia, Elizabeth, James, Pa-
12	tapsco, Patuxent, Potomac, Rappahannock, Susque-
13	hanna, and York River basins.
14	(2) REQUIREMENTS.—The Secretary shall en-
15	sure that the plan developed under paragraph (1)—
16	(A) focuses on integrating existing and po-
17	tential future work of the Corps of Engineers;
18	(B) is developed in consultation with the
19	Chesapeake Bay Program maintained under
20	section 117 of the Federal Water Pollution
21	Control Act (33 U.S.C. 1267)); and
22	(C) encompasses all actions of the Corps of
23	Engineers that are necessary to assist in the
24	implementation of the goals of the Chesapeake
25	Bay Agreement, as defined in section 117 of

1	the Federal Water Pollution Control Act (33
2	U.S.C. 1267)).
3	(3) Authorization of appropriations.—
4	There is authorized to be appropriated to carry out
5	this subsection \$1,000,000.
6	SEC. 5002. SAINT LAWRENCE SEAWAY.
7	Section 5015(a) of the Water Resources Development
8	Act of 2007 (121 Stat. 1196) is amended by striking
9	"\$134,650,000" and inserting "\$185,638,028".
10	SEC. 5003. WATERSHED MANAGEMENT.
11	Section 5002(d) of the Water Resources Development
12	Act of 2007 (121 Stat. 1190) is amended—
13	(1) in paragraph (9) by striking "Esopus,
14	Plattekill, and Rondout Creeks" and inserting
15	"Esopus, Rondout, and Wallkill watersheds"; and
16	(2) by adding at the end the following:
17	"(19) San Gabriel River watershed, California.
18	"(20) South Platte River watershed, Colorado.
19	"(21) Loxahatchee River watershed, Jupiter,
20	Florida.
21	"(22) Hudson River watershed, Orange,
22	Dutchess, and Ulster Counties, New York.
23	"(23) Muskingum River basin, Ohio.".

1 SEC. 5004. COMPREHENSIVE SHORELINE RESTORATION.

2	(a) In General.—The Secretary may participate in
3	the ecosystem restoration, navigation, flood damage reduc-

- 4 tion, and emergency streambank protection components of
- 5 projects at the locations described in subsection (b) if the
- 6 Secretary determines that such component is feasible.
- 7 (b) Project Locations.—The locations referred to
- 8 in subsection (a) are as follows:
- 9 (1) Miller Knox Shoreline, Richmond, Cali-10 fornia.
- 11 (2) Mississippi River, Davenport, Iowa.
- 12 (3) Lake Michigan (in the vicinity of the former
- 13 USX Site), Chicago, Illinois.
- 14 (4) Pond and Mill Creek, Louisville, Kentucky.
- (5) Massachusetts Bay (in the vicinity of
 Georges Island), Boston, Massachusetts.
- 17 (6) Mississippi River (in the vicinity of the lower St. Anthony Falls), Minneapolis, Minnesota.
- 19 (7) Brush Creek, Kansas City, Missouri.
- 20 (8) Mississippi River, Kimmswick, Missouri.
- 21 (9) Delaware River, Trenton, New Jersey.
- 22 (10) East River, New York, New York.
- 23 (11) Upper New York Bay, Staten Island, New
- 24 York.
- 25 (12) Abbott's Creek, Lexington, North Caro-
- lina.

1 (13) Ohio River, Belpre, Ohio. 2 (14) Schuylkill River, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. 3 4 (15) Ohio, Allegheny, and Monongahela Rivers, 5 Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania. 6 (16) Ohio River, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania. 7 (17) Fields Point, Narragansett Bay, Provi-8 dence, Rhode Island. 9 (18) Congaree River, Columbia, South Carolina. 10 (19) Wolf Creek Harbor, Mississippi River, 11 Tennessee. 12 (20) Ruston Way Seawall, Commencement Bay, 13 Tacoma, Washington. 14 (21) Lower Yahara River, McFarland, Wis-15 consin. (c) Cost Limitation.—Not more than \$5,000,000 16 in Federal funds may be allotted under this section for 18 a project at any single locality. 19 (d) Recreation.—The Secretary may include recreational components as part of a project carried out 21 under this section. 22 (e) Funding.—There is authorized to be appro-

priated to carry out this section \$25,000,000 for each fis-

cal years 2011 through 2016.

23

1	SEC. 5005. NORTHEAST COASTAL REGION ECOSYSTEM RES-
2	TORATION.
3	(a) In General.—The Secretary shall plan, design,
4	and construct projects for aquatic ecosystem restoration
5	within the coastal waters of the Northeastern United
6	States from Virginia to Maine, including associated bays,
7	estuaries, and critical riverine areas.
8	(b) General Coastal Management Plan.—
9	(1) Assessment.—The Secretary, in coordina-
10	tion with the Administrator of the Environmental
11	Protection Agency, the heads of other appropriate
12	Federal agencies, the Governors of the coastal
13	States from Virginia to Maine, nonprofit organiza-
14	tions, and other interested parties, shall assess the
15	needs regarding, and opportunities for, aquatic eco-
16	system restoration within the coastal waters of the
17	Northeastern United States.
18	(2) Plan.—The Secretary shall develop a gen-
19	eral coastal management plan based on the assess-
20	ment carried out under paragraph (1), maximizing
21	the use of existing plans and investigations. The
22	Secretary shall include in the plan the following:
23	(A) An inventory and evaluation of coastal
24	habitats.
25	(B) Identification of aquatic resources in
26	need of improvement.

1	(C) Identification and prioritization of po-
2	tential aquatic habitat restoration projects.
3	(D) Identification of geographical and eco-
4	logical areas of concern, including—
5	(i) finfish habitats;
6	(ii) diadromous fisheries migratory
7	corridors;
8	(iii) shellfish habitats;
9	(iv) submerged aquatic vegetation;
10	(v) wetlands; and
11	(vi) beach dune complexes and other
12	similar habitats.
13	(c) Eligible Projects.—The Secretary may carry
14	out an aquatic ecosystem restoration project under this
15	section if the project—
16	(1) is consistent with the management plan de-
17	veloped under subsection (b); and
18	(2) provides for—
19	(A) the restoration of degraded aquatic
20	habitat (including coastal, saltmarsh, benthic,
21	and riverine habitat);
22	(B) the restoration of geographical or eco-
23	logical areas of concern, including the restora-
24	tion of natural river and stream characteristics;
25	(C) the improvement of water quality; or

1	(D) other projects or activities determined
2	to be appropriate by the Secretary.
3	(d) Cost Sharing.—
4	(1) Management Plan.—The management
5	plan developed under subsection (b) shall be com-
6	pleted at Federal expense.
7	(2) RESTORATION PROJECTS.—The non-Fed-
8	eral share of the cost of a project carried out under
9	this section shall be 35 percent.
10	(e) Cost Limitation.—Not more than \$10,000,000
11	in Federal funds may be allocated under this section for
12	an eligible project.
13	(f) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There is
14	authorized to be appropriated to carry out this section
15	\$25,000,000 for fiscal year 2011 and each fiscal year
16	thereafter, including funds for the completion of the man-
17	agement plan.
18	SEC. 5006. ANACOSTIA WATERSHED, DISTRICT OF COLUM-
19	BIA AND MARYLAND.
20	(a) In General.—The Secretary may participate in
21	the ecosystem restoration, navigation, flood damage reduc-
22	tion, emergency streambank protection, and aquatic plant
23	control components of the Anacostia River Watershed
24	Restoration Plan, developed pursuant to section 5060 of
25	the Water Resources Development Act of 2007 (121 Stat.

- 1 1215), if the Secretary determines that such component
- 2 is feasible.
- 3 (b) Consultation.—In carrying out this section,
- 4 the Secretary shall consult with the Anacostia Watershed
- 5 Restoration Partnership.
- 6 (c) Federal Lands.—In carrying out a project
- 7 component under subsection (a), the Secretary shall waive
- 8 any cost share to be provided by non-Federal interests for
- 9 any portion of the project component that benefits feder-
- 10 ally owned property.
- 11 (d) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There is
- 12 authorized to be appropriated to carry out this section
- 13 \$25,000,000. Such sums shall remain available until ex-
- 14 pended.
- 15 SEC. 5007. EGMONT KEY, FLORIDA.
- 16 The Secretary shall accept funds from the Director
- 17 of the United States Fish and Wildlife Service to carry
- 18 out those portions of the project for shoreline stabilization,
- 19 Egmont Key, Florida, carried out under section 3 of the
- 20 Act entitled "An Act authorizing Federal participation in
- 21 the cost of protecting the shores of publicly owned prop-
- 22 erty", approved August 13, 1946 (33 U.S.C. 426g), that
- 23 benefit federally owned property.

1 SEC. 5008. CAMBRIDGE, MARYLAND.

- 2 The Secretary is authorized to carry out projects for
- 3 environmental protection and restoration at the
- 4 Blackwater Wildlife Refuge, Cambridge, Maryland. In car-
- 5 rying out such projects, the Secretary shall accept funds
- 6 from the Director of the United States Fish and Wildlife
- 7 Service.

8 SEC. 5009. HART-MILLER ISLAND, MARYLAND.

- 9 After the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary
- 10 may not consider the use or expansion of Hart-Miller Is-
- 11 land, Maryland, in any dredged material management
- 12 plan.

13 SEC. 5010. GALLOPS ISLAND, BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS.

- 14 The Secretary is authorized to carry out a project for
- 15 the environmental remediation of Gallops Island, Boston,
- 16 Massachusetts. In carrying out such project, the Secretary
- 17 shall accept funds from the Director of the National Park
- 18 Service.

19 SEC. 5011. SHARKEY COUNTY, MISSISSIPPI.

- Funding for the operation and maintenance of the
- 21 multiagency wildlife and environmental interpretative and
- 22 education center, authorized by section 145(f) of Division
- 23 H of Public Law 108–199 (118 Stat. 443), shall be pro-
- 24 vided by the Secretary of the Interior.

1	SEC. 5012. SENSE OF CONGRESS ON THE PROMOTION OF
2	GENERAL MICHAEL J. WALSH TO MAJOR
3	GENERAL, UNITED STATES ARMY.
4	(a) FINDINGS.—Congress finds the following:
5	(1) Brigadier General Michael J. Walsh has
6	had a distinguished 30-year career with the United
7	States Army Corps of Engineers, including as—
8	(A) District Commander of the San Fran-
9	cisco District, San Francisco, California, from
10	1994 to 1996;
11	(B) District Commander of the Sac-
12	ramento District, Sacramento, California, from
13	1998 to 2001;
14	(C) Executive Director of Civil Works,
15	Corps Headquarters, Washington, District of
16	Columbia, from 2001 to 2003;
17	(D) Chief of Staff, Corps Headquarters,
18	Washington, District of Columbia, from 2003
19	to 2004;
20	(E) Commander of the South Atlantic Di-
21	vision, Atlanta, Georgia, from 2004 to 2006;
22	(F) Commander for the Corps Gulf Region
23	Division, Baghdad, Iraq, from 2006 to 2008;
24	and

1	(G) Commander of the Mississippi Valley
2	Division, Vicksburg, Mississippi, from 2008 to
3	2010.
4	(2) General Walsh has held a wide variety of
5	Army command and staff assignments, including—
6	(A) project management officer for Engi-
7	neer Branch, Supreme Headquarters, Allied
8	Powers, Europe (SHAPE);
9	(B) Environmental Task Force Leader,
10	Fort Stewart, Georgia;
11	(C) Executive Officer, 92nd Engineer Bat-
12	talion, Fort Stewart, Georgia, and Saudi Ara-
13	bia;
14	(D) Project Engineer and Assistant Area
15	Engineer, Baltimore District;
16	(E) Construction Officer, 18th Engineer
17	Brigade, Darmstadt, Germany; and
18	(F) Commander, Company B, 94th Engi-
19	neer Battalion, Darmstadt, Germany.
20	(3) General Walsh has received several awards
21	of the United States Army, including 2 Bronze
22	Stars, 4 Legions of Merit, and numerous lesser
23	awards.
24	(4) On October 27, 2009, the Committee on
25	Armed Services of the Senate unanimously approved

1	the nomination of General Walsh to the rank of
2	Major General, United States Army.
3	(5) General Walsh's nomination was unreason-
4	ably delayed on the floor of the Senate for 7 months.
5	(6) On May 19, 2010, the nomination of Gen-
6	eral Walsh to Major General of the United States
7	Army was confirmed by the United States Senate by
8	unanimous consent.
9	(7) On June 2, 2010, Brigadier General Walsh
10	was formally promoted to the rank of Major Gen-
11	eral.
12	(b) Sense of Congress.—It is the Sense of Con-
13	gress that General Walsh should be congratulated for his
14	promotion to the rank of Major General, United States

 \bigcirc

16 tion to the United States, to the United States Army, and

Army, and should be commended for his duty and dedica-

17 to the Corps of Engineers.