## Goodling, Monica

From:	Goodling, Monica
Sent:	Saturday, March 03, 2007 3:31 PM
То:	Sampson, Kyle; Moschella, William; Scolinos, Tasia; Roehrkasse, Brian; Hertling, Richard; Elston, Michael (ODAG); Scott-Finan, Nancy; Seidel, Rebecca
Subject:	Updated USA documents - PUBLIC
Attachments:	TPS - US Attorney vacancy-appointment points.pdf; FACT SHEET - USA appointments.pdf; Examples of Difficult Transition Situations.pdf; USA prosecution only stats.pdf; WHY 120 DAYS IS NOT REALISTIC.doc; Griffin Talkers.doc; Griffin resume.doc

Attached please find updated documents in advance of this week's hearing. (These include the resignations in Nevada and New Mexico, where we elevated the First Assistant to the position of Acting U.S. Attorney under the Vacancy Reform Act; no additional resignations are expected before mid-March, when Chiara departs.) Please let me know if you have any questions. Thanks!













(33 KB)

TPS - US Attorney FACT SHEET - USA vacancy-appo...

Examples of appointments.... Difficult Transiti... only stats.pdf... NOT REALISTIC ....

USA prosecution WHY 120 DAYS IS Griffin Talkers.doc Griffin resume.doc (89 KB)

Tracking:

Recipient Sampson, Kyle Moschella, William Scolinos, Tasia Roehrkasse, Brian Hertling, Richard Elston, Michael (ODAG) Scott-Finan, Nancy Seidel, Rebecca

Read Read: 3/3/2007 3:58 PM Read: 3/3/2007 3:32 PM

Read: 3/3/2007 3:49 PM Read: 3/3/2007 3:33 PM Read: 3/3/2007 6:19 PM Read: 3/3/2007 3:36 PM

## TALKING POINTS: U.S. ATTORNEY NOMINATIONS AND INTERIM APPOINTMENTS BY THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

### Overview:

- In every single case, it is a goal of the Bush Administration to have a U.S. Attorney that is confirmed by the Senate. Use of the AG's appointment authority is in no way an attempt to circumvent the confirmation process. To the contrary, when a United States Attorney submits his or her resignation, the Administration has an obligation to ensure that someone is able to carry out the important function of leading a U.S. Attorney's office during the period when there is not a presidentially-nominated, senate-confirmed (PAS) U.S. Attorney. Whenever a U.S. Attorney vacancy arises, we consult with the home-state Senators about candidates for nomination.
- Our record since the AG-appointment authority was amended demonstrates we are committed to working with the Senate to nominate candidates for U.S. Attorney positions. Every single time that a United States Attorney vacancy has arisen, the President either has made a nomination or the Administration is working, in consultation with home-State Senators, to select candidates for nomination.
  - ✓ Specifically, since March 9, 2006 (when the AG's appointment authority was amended), the Administration has nominated 16 individuals to serve as U.S. Attorney (12 have been confirmed to date).

### U.S. Attorneys Serve at the Pleasure of the President:

- United States Attorneys are at the forefront of the Department of Justice's efforts. They are leading the charge to protect America from acts of terrorism; reduce violent crime, including gun crime and gang crime; enforce immigration laws; fight illegal drugs, especially methamphetamine; combat crimes that endanger children and families like child pornography, obscenity, and human trafficking; and ensure the integrity of the marketplace and of government by prosecuting corporate fraud and public corruption.
- The Attorney General and the Deputy Attorney General are responsible for evaluating the performance the United States Attorneys and ensuring that United States Attorneys are leading their offices effectively.
- United States Attorneys serve at the pleasure of the President. Thus, like other high-ranking Executive Branch officials, they may be removed for any reason or no reason. That on occasion in an organization as large as the Justice Department some United States Attorneys are removed, or are asked or encouraged to resign, should come as no surprise. United States Attorneys never are removed, or asked or encouraged to resign, in an effort to retaliate against them or interfere with or

inappropriately influence a particular investigation, criminal prosecution or civil case.

- Whenever a vacancy occurs, we act to fill it in compliance with our obligations under the Constitution, the laws of the United States, and in consultation with the home-state Senators. The Senators have raised concerns based on a misunderstanding of the facts surrounding the resignations of a handful of U.S. Attorneys, each of whom have been in office for their full four year term or more.
- The Attorney General and the Deputy Attorney General are responsible for evaluating the performance the U.S. Attorneys and ensuring that they are leading their offices effectively. However, U.S. Attorneys are never removed, or asked or encouraged to resign, in an effort to retaliate against them or interfere with or inappropriately influence a particular investigation, criminal prosecution or civil case.

#### The Administration Must Ensure an Effective Transition When Vacancies Occur:

- When a United States Attorney has submitted his or her resignation, the Administration has -- in every single case -- consulted with home-state Senators regarding candidates for the Presidential nomination and Senate confirmation. The Administration is committed to nominating a candidate for Senate consideration everywhere a vacancy arises, as evidenced by the fact that there have been 124 confirmations of new U.S. Attorneys since January 20, 2001.
- With 93 U.S. Attorney positions across the country, the Department often averages between 8-15 vacancies at any given time. Because of the important work conducted by these offices, and the need to ensure that the office is being managed effectively and appropriately, the Department uses a range of options to ensure continuity of operations.
- In some cases, the First Assistant U.S. Attorney is an appropriate choice. However, in other cases, the First Assistant may not be an appropriate option for reasons including that he or she: resigns or retires at the same time as the outgoing U.S. Attorney; indicates that he/she does not want to serve as Acting U.S. Attorney; has ongoing or completed OPR or IG matters in their file, which may make his/her elevation to the Acting role inappropriate; or is subject of an unfavorable recommendation by the outgoing U.S. Attorney or otherwise does not enjoy the confidence of those responsible for ensuring ongoing operations and an appropriate transition until such time as a new U.S. Attorney is nominated and confirmed by the Senate. In those cases, the Attorney General has appointed another individual to lead the office during the transition, often another senior manager from that office or an experienced attorney from within the Department.

#### The Administration Is Nominating Candidates for U.S. Attorney Positions:

- Since March 9, 2006, when the appointment authority was amended, the Administration has nominated 16 individuals for Senate consideration (12 have been confirmed to date).
- Since March 9, 2006, when the appointment authority was amended, 18 vacancies have been created. Of those 18 vacancies, the Administration nominated candidates to fill 6 of these positions (3 were confirmed to date), has interviewed candidates for 8 positions, and is waiting to receive names to set up interviews for the remaining positions all in consultation with home-state Senators.

# The 18 Vacancies Were Filled on an Interim Basis Using a Range of Authorities, in Order To Ensure an Effective and Smooth Transition:

- In 7 cases, the First Assistant was selected to lead the office and took over under the Vacancy Reform Act's provision at: 5 U.S.C. § 3345(a)(1). That authority is limited to 210 days, unless a nomination is made during that period.
- In 1 case, the First Assistant was selected to lead the office and took over under the Vacancy Reform Act's provision at: 5 U.S.C. § 3345(a)(1). However, the First Assistant took federal retirement a month later and the Department had to select another Department employee to serve as interim under AG appointment until such time as a nomination is submitted to the Senate.
- In 10 cases, the Department selected another Department employee to serve as interim under AG appointment until such time as a nomination is submitted to the Senate. In 1 of those 10 cases, the First Assistant had resigned at the same time as the U.S. Attorney, creating a need for an interim until such time as a nomination is submitted to the Senate.

### Amending the Statute Was Necessary:

- Last year's amendment to the Attorney General's appointment authority was necessary and appropriate.
- We are aware of no other federal agency where federal judges, members of a separate branch of government and not the head of the agency, appoint interim staff on behalf of the agency.
- Prior to the amendment, the Attorney General could appoint an interim United States Attorney for only 120 days; thereafter, the district court was authorized to appoint an interim United States Attorney. In cases where a Senate-confirmed United States Attorney could not be appointed within 120 days, the limitation on the Attorney General's appointment authority resulted in numerous, recurring problems.

- The statute was amended for several reasons:
  - 1) The previous provision was constitutionally-suspect in that it is inappropriate and inconsistent with sound separation of powers principles to vest federal courts with the authority to appoint a critical Executive Branch officer such as a United States Attorney;
  - 2) Some district courts recognizing the oddity of members of one branch of government appointing officers of another and the conflicts inherent in the appointment of an interim United States Attorney who would then have many matters before the court - refused to exercise the court appointment authority, thereby requiring the Attorney General to make successive, 120day appointments;
  - 3) Other district courts ignoring the oddity and the inherent conflicts sought to appoint as interim United States Attorney wholly unacceptable candidates who did not have the appropriate experience or the necessary clearances.
- Court appointments raise significant conflict questions. After being appointed by the court, the judicial appointee would have authority for litigating the entire federal criminal and civil docket for this period before the very district court to whom he was beholden for his appointment. Such an arrangement at a minimum gives rise to an appearance of potential conflict that undermines the performance of not just the Executive Branch, but also the Judicial one. Furthermore, prosecutorial authority should be exercised by the Executive Branch in a unified manner, with consistent application of criminal enforcement policy under the supervision of the Attorney General.
- Because the Administration is committed to having a Senate-confirmed United States Attorney in all districts, changing the law to restore the limitations on the Attorney General's appointment authority is unnecessary.

### **UNITED STATES ATTORNEYS' PROSECUTION STATISTICS**

### This Administration Has Demonstrated that It Values Prosecution Experience. Of the 124 Individuals President George W. Bush Has Nominated Who Have Been Confirmed by the Senate:

- 98 had prior experience as prosecutors (79 %)
  - 71 had prior experience as federal prosecutors (57 %)
  - 54 had prior experience as state or local prosecutors (44%)
- 104 had prior experience as prosecutors or government litigators on the civil side (84 %)

#### In Comparison, of President Clinton's 122 Nominees Who Were Confirmed by the Senate:

- 84 had prior experience as prosecutors (69 %)
  - 56 had prior experience as federal prosecutors (46 %)
  - 40 had prior experience as state or local prosecutors (33 %)
- 87 had prior experience as prosecutors or government litigators on the civil side (71 %)

### Since the Attorney General's Appointment Authority Was Amended on March 9, 2006, the Backgrounds of Our Nominees Has Not Changed. Of the 16 Nominees Since that Time:

- 14 of the 16 had prior experience as prosecutors (88%) a higher percentage than before.
  - 12 of the 16 had prior experience as federal prosecutors (75%) a higher percentage than before the change; 11 were career AUSAs or former career AUSAs and 1 had federal prosecution experience as an Assistant Attorney General of the Civil Rights Division
  - 4 of the 15 nominees had experience as state or local prosecutors (27%)

Those Chosen To Be Acting/Interim U.S. Attorneys since the Attorney General's Appointment Authority Was Amended on March 9, 2006, Have Continued To Be Highly Qualified. Of the 18 districts in which new vacancies have occurred, 19 acting and/or interim appointments have been made:

• 18 of the 19 had prior experience as federal prosecutors (95%)

#### FACT SHEET: UNITED STATES ATTORNEY APPOINTMENTS

# NOMINATIONS AFTER AMENDMENT TO ATTORNEY GENERAL'S APPOINTMENT AUTHORITY

Since March 9, 2006, when the Congress amended the Attorney General's authority to appoint interim United States Attorneys, the President has nominated 16 individuals to serve as United States Attorney. The 16 nominations are:

- Erik Peterson Western District of Wisconsin;
- Charles Rosenberg Eastern District of Virginia;
- Thomas Anderson District of Vermont;
- Martin Jackley District of South Dakota;
- Alexander Acosta Southern District of Florida;
- Troy Eid District of Colorado;
- Phillip Green Southern District of Illinois;
- **George Holding** Eastern District of North Carolina;
- Sharon Potter Northern District of West Virginia;
- Brett Tolman District of Utah;
- Rodger Heaton Central District of Illinois;
- Deborah Rhodes Southern District of Alabama;
- Rachel Paulose District of Minnesota;
- John Wood Western District of Missouri;
- Rosa Rodriguez-Velez District of Puerto Rico; and
- Jeffrey Taylor District of Columbia.

All but Phillip Green, John Wood, Rosa Rodriguez-Velez, and Jeffrey Taylor have been confirmed by the Senate – 12 of 16 nominations.

# VACANCIES AFTER AMENDMENT TO ATTORNEY GENERAL'S APPOINTMENT AUTHORITY

Since March 9, 2006, there have been 18 new U.S. Attorney vacancies that have arisen. They have been filled as noted below.

For 7 of the 18 vacancies, the First Assistant United States Attorney (FAUSA) in the district was selected to lead the office in an acting capacity under the Vacancies Reform Act, *see* 5 U.S.C. § 3345(a)(1) (first assistant may serve in acting capacity for 210 days unless a nomination is made) until a nomination could be or can be submitted to the Senate. Those districts are:

• Central District of California – FAUSA George Cardona is acting United States Attorney

- Southern District of Illinois FAUSA Randy Massey is acting United States Attorney (a nomination was made last Congress for Phillip Green, but confirmation did not occur);
- Eastern District of North Carolina FAUSA George Holding served as acting United States Attorney (Holding was nominated and confirmed);
- Northern District of West Virginia FAUSA Rita Valdrini served as acting United States Attorney (Sharon Potter was nominated and confirmed);
- Southern District of Georgia FAUSA Edmund A. Booth, Jr. is acting USA;
- District of New Mexico FAUSA Larry Gomez is acting USA; and
- District of Nevada FAUSA Steven Myhre is acting USA.

For 1 vacancy, the Department first selected the First Assistant United States Attorney to lead the office in an acting capacity under the Vacancies Reform Act, but the First Assistant retired a month later. At that point, the Department selected another employee to serve as interim United States Attorney until a nomination could be submitted to the Senate, *see* 28 U.S.C. § 546(a) ("Attorney General may appoint a United States attorney for the district in which the office of United States attorney is vacant"). This district is:

• Northern District of Iowa – FAUSA Judi Whetstine was acting United States Attorney until she retired and Matt Dummermuth was appointed interim United States Attorney.

For 10 of the 18 vacancies, the Department selected another Department employee to serve as interim United States Attorney until a nomination could be submitted to the Senate, *see* 28 U.S.C. § 546(a) ("Attorney General may appoint a United States attorney for the district in which the office of United States attorney is vacant"). Those districts are:

- Eastern District of Virginia Pending nominee Chuck Rosenberg was appointed interim United States Attorney when incumbent United States Attorney resigned to be appointed Deputy Attorney General (Rosenberg was confirmed shortly thereafter);
- **Eastern District of Arkansas** Tim Griffin was appointed interim United States Attorney when incumbent United States Attorney resigned;
- **District of Columbia** Jeff Taylor was appointed interim United States Attorney when incumbent United States Attorney resigned to be appointed Assistant Attorney General for the National Security Division (Taylor has been nominated to fill the position permanently);
- **District of Nebraska** Joe Stecher was appointed interim United States Attorney when incumbent United States Attorney resigned to be appointed Chief Justice of Nebraska Supreme Court;
- Middle District of Tennessee Craig Morford was appointed interim United States Attorney when incumbent United States Attorney resigned;
- Western District of Missouri Brad Schlozman was appointed interim United States Attorney when incumbent United States Attorney and FAUSA resigned at the same time (John Wood was nominated);

- Western District of Washington Jeff Sullivan was appointed interim United States Attorney when incumbent United States Attorney resigned;
- **District of Arizona** Dan Knauss was appointed interim United States Attorney when incumbent United States Attorney resigned;
- Northern District of California Scott Schools was appointed interim United States Attorney when incumbent United States Attorney resigned; and
- Southern District of California Karen Hewitt was appointed interim United States Attorney when incumbent United States Attorney resigned.

#### ATTORNEY GENERAL APPOINTMENTS AFTER AMENDMENT TO ATTORNEY GENERAL'S APPOINTMENT AUTHORITY

The Attorney General has exercised the authority to appoint interim United States Attorneys a total of 14 times since the authority was amended in March 2006.

In 2 of the 14 cases, the FAUSA had been serving as acting United States Attorney under the Vacancies Reform Act (VRA), but the VRA's 210-day period expired before a nomination could be made. Thereafter, the Attorney General appointed that same FAUSA to serve as interim United States Attorney. These districts include:

- District of Puerto Rico Rosa Rodriguez-Velez (Rodriguez-Velez has been nominated); and
- **Eastern District of Tennessee** Russ Dedrick

In 1 case, the FAUSA had been serving as acting United States Attorney under the VRA, but the VRA's 210-day period expired before a nomination could be made. Thereafter, the Attorney General appointed another Department employee to serve as interim United States Attorney until a nomination could be submitted to the Senate. That district is:

• District of Alaska – Nelson Cohen

In 1 case, the Department originally selected the First Assistant to serve as acting United States Attorney; however, she retired from federal service a month later. At that point, the Department selected another Department employee to serve as interim United States Attorney until a nomination could be submitted to the Senate. That district is:

• Northern District of Iowa – Matt Dummermuth

In the 10 remaining cases, the Department selected another Department employee to serve as interim United States Attorney until a nomination could be submitted to the Senate. Those districts are:

• Eastern District of Virginia – Pending nominee Chuck Rosenberg was appointed interim United States Attorney when incumbent United States Attorney

resigned to be appointed Deputy Attorney General (Rosenberg was confirmed shortly thereafter);

- Eastern District of Arkansas Tim Griffin was appointed interim United States Attorney when incumbent United States Attorney resigned;
- **District of Columbia** Jeff Taylor was appointed interim United States Attorney when incumbent United States Attorney resigned to be appointed Assistant Attorney General for the National Security Division;
- **District of Nebraska** Joe Stecher was appointed interim United States Attorney when incumbent United States Attorney resigned to be appointed Chief Justice of Nebraska Supreme Court;
- Middle District of Tennessee Craig Morford was appointed interim United States Attorney when incumbent United States Attorney resigned;
- Western District of Missouri Brad Schlozman was appointed interim United States Attorney when incumbent United States Attorney and FAUSA resigned at the same time (John Wood was nominated);
- Western District of Washington Jeff Sullivan was appointed interim United States Attorney when incumbent United States Attorney resigned;
- **District of Arizona** Dan Knauss was appointed interim United States Attorney when incumbent United States Attorney resigned;
- Northern District of California Scott Schools was appointed interim United States Attorney when incumbent United States Attorney resigned; and
- Southern District of California Karen Hewitt was appointed interim United States Attorney when incumbent United States Attorney resigned.

#### **Examples of Difficult Transition Situations**

Examples of Districts Where Judges Did Not Exercise Their Court Appointment (Making the Attorney General's Appointment Authority Essential To Keep the Position Filled until a Nominee Is Confirmed)

- 1. Southern District of Florida: In 2005, a vacancy occurred in the SDFL. The Attorney General appointed Assistant Attorney General of the Civil Rights Division, Alex Acosta, for 120 days. At the end of the term, the Court indicated that they had (years earlier) appointed an individual who later became controversial. As a result, the Court indicated that they would not make an appointment unless the Department turned over its internal employee files and FBI background reports, so that the court could review potential candidates' backgrounds. Because those materials are protected under federal law, the Department declined the request. The court then indicated it would not use its authority at all, and that the Attorney General should make multiple, successive appointments. While the selection, nomination, and confirmation of a new U.S. Attorney was underway, the Attorney General made three 120-day appointments of Mr. Acosta. Ultimately, he was selected, nominated, and confirmed to the position.
- 2. Eastern District of Oklahoma: In 2000-2001, a vacancy occurred in the EDOK. The court refused to exercise the court's authority to make appointments. As a result, the Attorney General appointed Shelly Sperling to three 120-day appointments before Sperling was nominated and confirmed by the Senate (he was appointed by the Attorney General to a fourth 120-day term while the nomination was pending).
- **3.** In the Western District of Virginia: In 2001, a vacancy occurred in the WDVA. The court declined to exercise its authority to make an appointment. As a result, the Attorney General made two successive 120-day appointments (two different individuals).

#### This problem is not new ...

4. The District of Massachusetts. In 1987, the Attorney General had appointed an interim U.S. Attorney while a nomination was pending before the Senate. The 120day period expired before the nomination had been reviewed and the court declined to exercise its authority. The Attorney General then made another 120-day appointment. The legitimacy of the second appointment was questioned and was reviewed the U.S. District Court for the District of Massachusetts. The Judge upheld the validity of the second 120-day appointment where the court had declined to make an appointment. See 671 F. Supp. 5 (D. Ma. 1987). Examples Where Judges Discussed Appointing or Attempted to Appoint Unacceptable Candidates:

1. Southern District of West Virginia: When a U.S. Attorney in the Southern District of West Virginia, David Faber, was confirmed to be a federal judge in 1987, the district went through a series of temporary appointments. Following the Attorney General's 120-day appointment of an individual named Michael Carey, the court appointed another individual as the U.S. Attorney. The court's appointee was not a DOJ-employee at the time and had not been subject of any background investigation. The court's appointee came into the office and started making inquiries into ongoing public integrity investigations, including investigations into Charleston Mayor Michael Roark and the Governor Arch Moore, both of whom were later tried and convicted of various federal charges. The First Assistant United States Attorney, knowing that the Department did not have the benefit of having a background examination on the appointee, believed that her inquiries into these sensitive cases were inappropriate and reported them to the Executive Office for United States Attorneys in Washington, D.C. The Department directed that the office remove the investigative files involving the Governor from the office for safeguarding. The Department further directed that the court's appointee be recused from certain criminal matters until a background examination was completed. During that time, the Reagan Administration sped up Michael Carey's nomination. Carey was confirmed and the court's appointee was replaced within two-three weeks of her original appointment.

#### 2. South Dakota:

In 2005, a vacancy arose in South Dakota. The First Assistant United States Attorney (FAUSA) was elevated to serve as acting United States Attorney under the Vacancies Reform Act (VRA) for 210 days. As that appointment neared an end without a nomination having yet been made, the Attorney General made an interim appointment of the FAUSA for a 120-day term. The Administration continued to work to identify a nominee; however, it eventually became clear that there would not be a nomination and confirmation prior to the expiration of the 120-day appointment.

Near the expiration of the 120-day term, the Department contacted the court and requested that the FAUSA be allowed to serve under a court appointment. However, the court was not willing to re-appoint her. The Department proposed a solution to protect the court from appointing someone about whom they had reservations, which was for the court to refrain from making any appointment (as other district courts have sometimes done), which would allow the Attorney General to give the FAUSA a second successive, 120-day appointment.

The Chief Judge instead indicated that he was thinking about appointing a non-DOJ employee, someone without federal prosecution experience, who had not been the subject of a thorough background investigation and did not have the

necessary security clearances. The Department strongly indicated that it did not believe this was an appropriate individual to lead the office.

The Department then notified the court that the Attorney General intended to ask the FAUSA to resign her 120-day appointment early (without the expiration of the 120-day appointment, the Department did not believe the court's appointment authority was operational). The Department notified the court that since the Attorney General's authority was still in force, he would make a new appointment of another experienced career prosecutor. The Department believed that the Chief Judge indicated his support of this course of action and implemented this plan.

The FAUSA resigned her position as interim U.S. Attorney and the Attorney General appointed the new interim U.S. Attorney (Steve Mullins). A federal judge executed the oath and copies of the Attorney General's order and the press release were sent to the court for their information. There was no response for over 10 days, when a fax arrived stating that the court had also attempted to appoint the non-DOJ individual as the U.S. Attorney.

This created a situation were two individuals had seemingly been appointed by two different authorities. Defense attorneys indicated their intention to challenge ongoing investigations and cases. The Department attempted to negotiate a resolution to this very difficult situation, but was unsuccessful. Litigating the situation would have taken months, during which many of the criminal cases and investigations that were underway would have been thrown into confusion and litigation themselves.

Needing to resolve the matter for the sake of the ongoing criminal prosecutions and litigation, after it was clear that negotiations would resolve the matter, the White House Counsel notified the court's purported appointee that even if his court order was valid and effective, then the President was removing him from that office pursuant to Article II of the Constitution and 28 U.S.C. § 541(c). Shortly thereafter, Mr. Mullins resigned his Attorney General appointment and was recess appointed by President Bush to serve as the U.S. Attorney for the District of South Dakota. The Department continued to work with the home-state Senators and identified and nominated a new U.S. Attorney candidate, who was confirmed by the Senate in the summer of 2006.

3. Northern District of California: In 1998, a vacancy resulted in NDCA, a district suffering from numerous challenges. The district court shared the Department's concerns about the state of the office and discussed the possibility of appointing of a non-DOJ employee to take over. The Department found the potential appointment of a non-DOJ employee unacceptable. A confrontation was avoided by the Attorney General's appointment of an experienced prosecutor from Washington, D.C. (Robert Mueller), which occurred with the court's concurrence. Mueller served under an AG appointment for 120 days, after which the district court gave him a court appointment. Eight months later, President Clinton nominated Mueller to fill the position for the rest of his term.

#### WHY 120 DAYS IS NOT REALISTIC

- One hundred twenty days is not a realistic period of time to permit any Administration to solicit and wait for home-state political leaders to identify a list of potential candidates, provide the time needed to interview and select a candidate for background investigation, provide the FBI with adequate time to do the full-field background investigation, prepare and submit the nomination, and to be followed by the Senate's review and confirmation of a new U.S. Attorney.
  - The average number of days between the resignation of one Senateconfirmed U.S. Attorney and the President's nomination of a candidate for Senate consideration is 273 days (including 250 USAs during the Clinton Administration and George W. Bush Administration to date). Once nominated, the Senate has taken an additional period of time to review the nominations of the Administration's law enforcement officials.
  - The average number of days between the nomination of a new U.S. Attorney candidate and Senate confirmation has been 58 days for President George W. Bush's USA nominees (note - the majority were submitted to a Senate that was controlled by the same party as the President) and 81 days for President Bill Clinton's USA nominees (note - 70% of nominees were submitted in the first two years to a Senate controlled by the same party as the President, others were submitted in the later six years to a party that was not).
  - Simply adding the two averages of 273 and 58 days would mean a **combined** average of 331 days from resignation of one USA to confirmation of the next.
  - The substantial time period between resignation and nomination is often due to factors outside the Administration's control, such as: 1) the Administration is waiting for home-state political leaders to develop and transmit their list of names for the Administration to begin interviewing candidates; 2) the Administration is awaiting feedback from home-state Senators on the individual selected after the interviews to move forward into background; and 3) the Administration is waiting for the FBI to complete its full-field background review. (The FBI often uses 2-4 months to do the background investigation -- and sometimes needs additional time if they identify an issue that requires significant investigation.)

## <u>TIMOTHY GRIFFIN AS INTERIM UNITED STATES ATTORNEY</u> <u>FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS</u>

- The Attorney General appointed Tim Griffin as the interim U.S. Attorney following the resignation of Bud Cummins, who resigned on Dec. 20, 2006. Since early in 2006, Mr. Cummins had been talking about leaving the Department to go into private practice for family reasons.
- Timothy Griffin is highly qualified to serve as the U.S. Attorney for the Eastern District of Arkansas.
- Mr. Griffin has significant experience as a federal prosecutor at both the Department of Justice and as a military prosecutor. At the time of his appointment, he was serving as a federal prosecutor in the Eastern District of Arkansas. Also, from 2001 to 2002, Mr. Griffin served at the Department of Justice as Special Assistant to the Assistant Attorney General for the Criminal Division and as a Special Assistant U.S. Attorney in the Eastern District of Arkansas in Little Rock. In this capacity, Mr. Griffin prosecuted a variety of federal cases with an emphasis on firearm and drug cases and organized the Eastern District's Project Safe Neighborhoods (PSN) initiative, the Bush Administration's effort to reduce firearm-related violence by promoting close cooperation between State and federal law enforcement, and served as the PSN coordinator.
- Prior to rejoining the Department in the fall of 2006, Mr. Griffin completed a year of active duty in the U.S. Army, and is in his tenth year as an officer in the U.S. Army Reserve, Judge Advocate General's Corps (JAG), holding the rank of Major. In September 2005, Mr. Griffin was mobilized to active duty to serve as an Army prosecutor at Fort Campbell, Ky. At Fort Campbell, he prosecuted 40 criminal cases, including U.S. v. Mikel, which drew national interest after Pvt. Mikel attempted to murder his platoon sergeant and fired upon his unit's early morning formation. Pvt. Mikel pleaded guilty to attempted murder and was sentenced to 25 years in prison.
- In May 2006, Tim was assigned to the 501st Special Troops Battalion, 101st Airborne Division and sent to serve in Iraq. From May through August 2006, he served as an Army JAG with the 101st Airborne Division in Mosul, Iraq, as a member of the 172d Stryker Brigade Combat Team Brigade Operational Law Team, for which he was awarded the Combat Action Badge and the Army Commendation Medal.
- Like many political appointees, Mr. Griffin has political experience as well. Prior to being called to active duty, Mr. Griffin served as Special Assistant to the President and Deputy Director of the Office of Political Affairs at the White House, following a stint at the Republican National Committee. Mr. Griffin has also served as Senior Counsel to the House Government Reform Committee, as an Associate Independent Counsel for *In Re: Housing and Urban Development Secretary Henry Cisneros*, and as an associate attorney with a New Orleans law firm.
- Mr. Griffin has very strong academic credentials. He graduated *cum laude* from Hendrix College in Conway, Ark., and received his law degree, *cum laude*, from Tulane Law School. He also attended graduate school at Pembroke College at Oxford University. Mr. Griffin was raised in Magnolia, Ark., and resides in Little Rock with his wife, Elizabeth.
- The Attorney General assured Senator Pryor that we are not circumventing the process by making an interim appointment and that the Administration intended to nominate Mr. Griffin. However, Senator Pryor refused to support Mr. Griffin if he was nominated. As a result of the lack of support shown by his home-state Senators, Mr. Griffin has withdrawn his name from consideration.

• While the Administration consults with the home-state Senators on a potential nomination, however, the Department must have someone lead the office – and we believe Mr. Griffin is well-qualified to serve in this interim role until such time as a new U.S. Attorney is nominated and confirmed.

## OAG000001511

÷

## EDUCATION

Tulane University Law School. New Orleans, Louisiana. Juris Doctor, *cum laude*, May 1994. <u>Cumulative G.P.A.</u>: 3.25/4.00; <u>Rank</u>: 80/319, Top 25%. Common law and civil law curricula. Legal Research and Writing grade: A.

- Senior Fellow, Legal Research and Writing Program. Taught first year law students legal research and writing.
- Volunteer, The New Orleans Free Tutoring Program, Inc.

Oxford University, Pembroke College. Oxford, England. Graduate School, British and European History, 1990-1991.

• Under-secretary and Treasurer, Oxford University Clay Pigeon Shooting Club.

Hendrix College. Conway, Arkansas. Bachelor of Arts in Economics and Business, cum laude, June 1990. <u>Cumulative</u> <u>G.P.A.</u>: Major 3.79/4.00, Overall 3.78/4.00; <u>Rank</u>: 22/210, Top 10%.

• Oxford Overseas Study Course, September 1988-May 1989, Oxford, England.

### LEGAL EXPERIENCE

<u>U.S. Attorney (Interim</u>). Eastern District of Arkansas, U.S. Department of Justice. Little Rock, Arkansas. December 2006-present.

• Served as a Special Assistant U.S. Attorney, Eastern District of Arkansas, September-December 2006.

<u>Trial Counsel</u>, U.S. Army JAG Corps. Criminal Law Branch, Office of the Staff Judge Advocate. Fort Campbell, Kentucky, September 2005-May 2006; August-September 2006.

- Successfully prosecuted <u>U.S. v. Mikel</u>, involving a soldier's attempted murder of his platoon sergeant.
- Provided legal advice to E Co., 1st and 3rd Brigade Combat Teams, 101st Airborne Division (Air Assault)(R)(P).
- Prosecuted 40 Army criminal cases at courts-martial and federal criminal cases as a <u>Special Assistant U.S. Attorney</u>, Western District of Kentucky and Middle District of Tennessee, and handled 90 administrative separations.

### Brigade Judge Advocate, U.S. Army Judge Advocate General's (JAG) Corps. Operation Iraqi Freedom. Task Force Band of Brothers. 501st STB, 101st Airborne Division (Air Assault). Mosul, Iraq, May-August 2006.

- Served on the Brigade Operational Law Team (BOLT), 172d Stryker Brigade Combat Team, FOB Marez, Iraq.
- Provided legal advice on various topics, including financial investigations, rules of engagement, and rule of law.

<u>Special Assistant to the Assistant Attorney General</u>. Criminal Division, U.S. Department of Justice. Washington, D.C. and Little Rock, Arkansas. March 2001-June 2002.

- Tracked issues for Assistant Attorney General Michael Chertoff and worked with the Office of International Affairs (OIA) on matters involving extradition, provisional arrest and mutual legal assistance treaties (MLATs).
- Prosecuted federal firearm and drug cases and served as the coordinator for Project Safe Neighborhoods, a strategy to reduce firearm-related violence through cooperation between state and federal law enforcement, as a <u>Special Assistant U.S. Attorney</u>, Eastern District of Arkansas, in Little Rock, September 2001-June 2002.

<u>Senior Investigative Counsel</u>. Committee on Government Reform, U.S. House of Representatives. Washington, D.C. January 1997-February 1998; June 1998-September 1999.

- Developed hearing series entitled "National Problems, Local Solutions: Federalism at Work" to highlight innovative and successful reforms at the state and local levels, including: "Fighting Crime in the Trenches," featuring New York City Mayor Rudolph Giuliani, and "Tax Reform in the States."
- Pursuant to the Committee's campaign finance investigation, interviewed Johnny Chung and played key role in hearing detailing his illegal political contributions; organized, supervised and conducted the financial investigation of individuals and entities; interviewed witnesses; drafted subpoenas; and briefed Speaker of the House Newt Gingrich.

# <u>Associate Independent Counsel</u>. U.S. Office of Independent Counsel David M. Barrett. In re: Henry G. Cisneros, Secretary of Housing and Urban Development (HUD). Washington, D.C. September 1995-January 1997.

- Interviewed numerous witnesses with the F.B.I. and supervised the execution of a search warrant.
- Drafted subpoenas and pleadings and questioned witnesses before a federal grand jury.

Associate Attorney. General Litigation Section. Jones, Walker, Waechter, Poitevent, Carrere & Denegre, L.L.P. New Orleans, Louisiana. September 1994-September 1995.

• Drafted legal memoranda and pleadings and conducted depositions.

#### ADDITIONAL WORK EXPERIENCE

<u>Special Assistant to the President and Deputy Director</u>. Office of Political Affairs, The White House. Washington, D.C. April-September 2005. On military leave after mobilization to active duty, September 2005-September 2006.

- Advised President George W. Bush and Vice-President Richard B. Cheney.
- Organized and coordinated support for the President's agenda.

<u>Research Director and Deputy Communications Director</u>. 2004 Presidential Campaign, Republican National Committee (RNC). Washington, D.C. June 2002-December 2004.

- Briefed Vice-President Richard B. Cheney and other Bush-Cheney 2004 (BC04) and RNC senior staff.
- Managed RNC Research, the primary research resource for BC04, with over 25 staff.
- Worked daily with BC04 senior staff on campaign and press strategy, ad development and debate preparation.

## <u>Deputy Research Director</u>. 2000 Presidential Campaign, Republican National Committee (RNC). Washington, D.C. September 1999-February 2001.

- Managed RNC Research, the primary research resource for Bush-Cheney 2000 (BC00), with over 30 staff.
- Served as legal advisor in Volusia and Brevard Counties for BC00 Florida Recount Team.

Campaign Manager. Betty Dickey for Attorney General. Pine Bluff, Arkansas. February 1998-May 1998.

#### SUMMARY OF MILITARY SERVICE

Major. JAG Corps, U.S. Army Reserve. Commissioned First Lieutenant, June 1996.

- Served on active duty in Mosul, Iraq with the 101<sup>st</sup> Airborne Division (Air Assault), and at Fort Campbell, Kentucky, September 2005-September 2006.
- Authorized to wear 101st Airborne Division (Air Assault) "Screaming Eagle" combat patch.
- <u>Medals, Ribbons and Badges</u>: Army Commendation Medal with Five Oak Leaf Clusters; Army Achievement Medal with Four Oak Leaf Clusters; Army Reserve Components Achievement Medal with Two Oak Leaf Clusters; National Defense Service Medal; Iraq Campaign Medal; Global War on Terrorism Service Medal; Armed Forces Reserve Medal with Bronze Hourglass and "M" Devices; Army Service Ribbon; and Army Reserve Overseas Training Ribbon with "3" Device; and Combat Action Badge.

#### **ACTIVITIES AND ASSOCIATIONS**

Arkansas Bar Association. Little Rock, Arkansas. <u>Member</u>, 1995-present. Annual Meeting Subcommittee on Technology, 2002. Admitted to Arkansas Bar, April 26, 1995.

Friends of Central Arkansas Libraries (FOCAL). Little Rock, Arkansas. Life Member.

Florence Crittenton Services, Inc. Little Rock, Arkansas. Member, Board of Directors, 2001-2002.

Louisiana State Bar Association. New Orleans, Louisiana. Member. Admitted October 7, 1994. Currently inactive.

The Oxford Union Society. Oxford, England. Member, 1990-present.

Pulaski County Bar Association. Little Rock, Arkansas. <u>Member</u>, 2001-2002. <u>Co-chair</u>, Law School Liaison Committee, \* 2001-2002.

Reserve Officers Association. Washington, D.C. Life Member.

#### Cases Filed/Defendants in Cases Filed - Sorted Highest to Lowest

Fiscal Year 2007 - First Quarter Actual Data

#### 8 U.S.C 1324\*\*

	Cases Filed		Defendants Filed
Texas, Southern	151	Texas, Southern	214
California, Southern	141	California, Southern	168
Texas, Western	84	Arizona	160
· Arizona	77	Texas, Western	157
New Mexico	23	New Mexico	40

#### 8 U.S.C 1325\*\*\*

California, Southern	80	California, Southern	80
Texas, Southern	9	Texas, Southern	9
Arizona	6	Arizona	7
Texas, Western	4	Texas, Western	4
New Mexico	3	New Mexico	3

#### 8 U.S.C 1326\*\*\*\*

Texas, Southern	613	Texas, Southern	612
Arizona	458	Arizona	464
Texas, Western	396	Texas, Western	396
New Mexico	321	New Mexico	321
California, Southern	83	California, Southern	83

\*Caseload data extracted from the United States Attorneys' Case Management System.

\*\*Includes data on any and all criminal cases/defendants where 8 U.S.C. 1324 (Bringing in and Harboring Certain Aliens) was brought as any charge against a defendant.

\*\*\*Includes data on any and all criminal cases/defendants where 8 U.S.C. 1325 (Entry of Alien at Improper Time or Place, Misrepresentation and Concealment of Facts)

was brought as any charge against a defendant.

\*\*\*\*Includes data on any and all criminal cases/defendants where 8 U.S.C. 1326 (Reentry of Deported Aliens) was brought as any charge against a defendant.

Arizona	8 U.S.C. 1324***		United States AttorneysCriminal Caseload Statistics* Percent Change in Cases and Defendants Filed 8 U.S.C. 1325****	ar caseroad Statistics" id Defendants Filed 8 U.S.C. 1325****		8 U.S.C. 1326****
	Derrent Channe in	Percent Chance in	Derrent Change in			
	Cases	Defendants	Cases	Defendants		Cases
Fiscal Year (FY)	Filed	Filed	Filed	Filed		Filed
FY 2002	4.12%	5.44%	9.09%	8.33%		6.34%
FY 2003	1.56%	4.18%	8.33%	61.54%		17.43%
FY 2004	82.69%	88.97%	7.69%	-9.52%		-5.98%
FY 2005	-20.00%	-23.34%	157.14%	94.74%		-21.03%
FY 2006**	-8.95%	-5.31%	263.89%	294.59%		9.05%
						·
		8 U.S.C. 1324***	8 U.S.C	8 U.S.C. 1325****		8 U.S.C. 1326*****
	Percent Change in	Percent Change in	Percent Change in	Percent Change in	inge in	ange in Percent Change in
Fiscal Year (FY)	Cases	Filed	Cases	Defendants	Its	rts Cases Filed
FY 2002	-1.93%	11.85%	24.95%	24.18%		-30,96%
FY 2003	25.84%	10.18%	34.21%	34.16%		7.33%
FY 2004	10.94%	11.28%	1.50%	1.38%		5.12%
FY 2005	-2.62%	-7.97%	46.59%	-46.48%		47.25%
FY 2006**	53.51%	64.62%	-15.96%	-16.14%	-	
New Mexico	8 U.S.C	8 U.S.C. 1324***	8 U.S.C	8 U.S.C. 1325****		8 U.S.C. 1326*****
	Percent Change in	Percent Change in	Percent Change in	Percent Change in	ange in	Perce
Fiscal Year (FY)	Filed	Filed	Filed	Filed		d Filed
FY 2002	81.40%	89.91%	82.54%	88.89%	%	9% 75.52%
FY 2003	-17.31%	-18.84%	7.83%	4.20%	%	% 1B.12%
FY 2004	-11.63%	-1.19%	-78.76%	-78.76%	%	
FY 2005	-2.63%	-12.65%	1.27%	10.13%	6	6 26.84%
			-58 75%	-63.22%	*	% 6.91%

OAG000001515

. . .

Southern District of Texas					
8 U.S.C. 1324***	24***	8 U.S.C. 1325****	1325****	8 U.S.C. 1326****	1326****
Percent Change in	Percent Change in	Percent Change in	Percent Change in	Percent Change in	Percent Change in
Cases	Defendants	Cases	Defendants	Cases	Defendants
Fiscal Year (FY) Filed	Filed	Filed	Filed	Filed	Filed
FY 2002 10.38%	8.90%	-24.14%	-23.40%	29.36%	29.46%
FY 2003 38.53%	30.35%	60.61%	55.64%	26.37%	25.18%
FY 2004 16.72%	17.49%	53.07%	52.34%	24.54%	24.39%
FY 2005 18.16%	18.07%	12.17%	11.35%	18.76%	19.20%
FY 2006** 1.08%	3.48%	-83.52%	-83.06%	-1.00%	-1.00%
Western District of Texas	·				
8 U.S.C. 1324*** Percent Change in Perc	24*** Percent Change in	8 U.S.C. 1325**** Percent Change in Perc	1325**** Percent Change in	8 U.S.C. 1326***** Percent Change in Perce	1326***** Percent Change in
Percent Change in Cases	Percent Change in Defendants	Percent Change in Cases	Percent Change in Defendants	Percent Change in Cases	Percent Change in Defendants
Fiscal Year (FY) Filed	Filed	Filed	Filed	Filed	Filed
FY 2002 -14.84%	-11.25%	-10.78%	-6.60%	-3.44%	-3.17%
	0.00%	12.09%	20.20%	32.42%	32.94%
	0.86%	50.00%	33.61%	9.93%	9.38%
	41.43%	16.99%	14.47%	24.28%	23.97%
FY 2006** 5.18%	20.00%	-22.91%	-22.53%	-2.07%	-1.97%
	•				

\*\*\*Includes data on any and all criminal cases/defendants where 8 U.S.C. 1324 (Bringing in and Harboring Certain Aliens) was brought as any charge against a defendant.

\*\*\*\*Includes data on any and all criminal cases/defendants where 8 U.S.C. 1325 (Entry of Alien at Improper Time or Place, Misrepresentation and Concealment of Facts) was brought as any charge against a defendant.

\*\*\*\*\* Includes data on any and all criminal cases/defendants where 8 U.S.C. 1326 (Reentry of Deported Aliens) was brought as any charge against a defendant.