

# CONGRESSIONAL BUDGET OFFICE COST ESTIMATE

June 29, 2010

# H.R. 4973 National Wildlife Refuge Volunteer Improvement Act of 2010

As ordered reported by the House Committee on Natural Resources on June 16, 2010

#### **SUMMARY**

H.R. 4973 would authorize the appropriation of \$2 million annually over the 2011-2014 period to the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) for volunteer and partnership programs. Under those programs, the USFWS coordinates the activities of local volunteers, nonprofit organizations, state or local agencies, and other entities that support wildlife refuges.

Assuming appropriation of the authorized amounts, CBO estimates that implementing H.R. 4973 would cost \$8 million over the 2011-2015 period. Enacting the bill would not affect direct spending or revenues; therefore, pay-as-you-go procedures would not apply.

H.R. 4973 contains no intergovernmental or private-sector mandates as defined in the Unfunded Mandates Reform (UMRA) and would impose no costs on state, local, or tribal governments.

#### ESTIMATED COST TO THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT

The estimated budgetary impact of H.R. 4973 is shown in the following table. The costs of this legislation fall within budget function 300 (natural resources and environment).

		By Fiscal Year, in Millions of Dollars				
	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2011- 2015
CHANGES IN SPENDING SUBJECT TO APPROPRIATION						
Authorization Level Estimated Outlays	2 2	2 2	2 2	2 2	0	8 8

#### **BASIS OF ESTIMATE**

For this estimate, CBO assumes that H.R. 4973 will be enacted near the end of fiscal year 2010 and that the authorized amounts will be appropriated for each year. Estimated outlays are based on historical spending patterns for the program.

Authorization for USFWS's volunteer and partnership programs expired at the end of fiscal year 2009. In recent years, the agency has received annual funding of about \$2 million, the authorized amount.

## PAY-AS-YOU-GO CONSIDERATIONS: None.

## INTERGOVERNMENTAL AND PRIVATE-SECTOR IMPACT

H.R. 4973 contains no intergovernmental or private-sector mandates as defined in UMRA and would impose no costs on state, local, or tribal governments.

#### **ESTIMATE PREPARED BY:**

Federal Costs: Martin von Gnechten

Impact on State, Local, and Tribal Governments: Melissa Merrell

Impact on the Private Sector: Amy Petz

#### **ESTIMATE APPROVED BY:**

Peter H. Fontaine Assistant Director for Budget Analysis