

# CONGRESSIONAL BUDGET OFFICE COST ESTIMATE

January 26, 2010

# S. 678 Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention Reauthorization Act of 2009

As reported by the Senate Committee on the Judiciary on December 17, 2009

#### **SUMMARY**

S. 678 would authorize the appropriation of about \$4.3 billion over the 2011-2015 period, mostly for the Department of Justice (DOJ) to make grants to state and local governments for programs to reduce juvenile delinquency and improve the juvenile justice system. In addition, the bill would authorize the appropriation of such sums as necessary for each fiscal year over the 2011-2015 period for juvenile delinquency block grants and state challenge grants. The bill also would appropriate \$4.75 million annually over the 2011-2015 period from the DOJ Assets Forfeiture Fund for innovative crime and delinquency prevention programs and for a commission on public safety.

Assuming appropriation of the necessary amounts, CBO estimates that implementing S. 678 would have discretionary costs of about \$2.7 billion over the 2011-2015 period and another \$2.1 billion in subsequent years. In addition, we estimate that enacting the legislation would increase direct spending by \$19 million over the 2011-2015 period and by \$24 million over the 2011-2020 period. Enacting the bill would not affect revenues.

S. 678 contains no intergovernmental or private-sector mandates as defined in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act (UMRA) and would impose no costs on state, local, or tribal governments.

<sup>1.</sup> Different time periods are relevant for enforcing pay-as-you rules. CBO estimates that enacting S. 678 would increase direct spending by \$14 million over the 2010-2014 period, and by \$24 million over the 2010-2019 period.

#### ESTIMATED COST TO THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT

The estimated budgetary impact of S. 678 is shown in the following table. The costs of this legislation fall within budget functions 750 (administration of justice) and 800 (general government).

12 201 ECT TO A		2015	2011- 2015	2011 2020
		2015	2015	2020
ECT TO A		<u>.</u>		
	APPROPRIA	TION		
72 87	71 956	1,051	4,345	4,345
38 49	97 686	867	2,426	4,345
97 10	00 103	106	499	499
38 6	52 83	100	295	499
58 97	71 1,059	1,157	4,844	4,844
26 55	769	966	2,721	4,844
CT SPEN	DING			
5	5 5	5	24	24
3	5 5	5	19	24
	88 49 97 10 38 6 68 97 26 55 ECT SPENI	88 497 686  97 100 103 38 62 83  68 971 1,059 26 559 769  CCT SPENDING  5 5 5	88 497 686 867  97 100 103 106 38 62 83 100  68 971 1,059 1,157 26 559 769 966  CCT SPENDING  5 5 5 5 5	88 497 686 867 2,426  97 100 103 106 499 38 62 83 100 295  68 971 1,059 1,157 4,844 26 559 769 966 2,721  CCT SPENDING  5 5 5 5 5 24

## **BASIS OF ESTIMATE**

CBO assumes that S. 678 will be enacted during fiscal year 2010, that the authorized and estimated amounts will be appropriated near the start of each fiscal year and that spending will follow the historical spending patterns for those activities.

# **Spending Subject to Appropriation**

CBO estimates that S. 678 would authorize the appropriation of about \$4.8 billion over the 2011-2015 period for the juvenile justice and delinquency prevention programs covered by the bill. That total includes \$4.3 billion specified in the bill for various programs and an estimated \$500 million for juvenile justice block grants and state

challenge grants. CBO estimated the cost of the block grants and challenge grants by adjusting the amounts appropriated for those programs for 2010 (about \$91 million) for anticipated inflation.

S. 678 also would direct the Government Accountability Office to conduct an evaluation and audit of the activities of the DOJ Office of Juvenile Justice Delinquency and Prevention. We estimate that the evaluation and audit would cost about \$1 million.

# **Direct Spending**

For the first full fiscal year after the bill's enactment and for each of the subsequent four fiscal years, S. 678 would appropriate \$4.75 million from the Assets Forfeiture Fund for innovative crime and delinquency prevention programs and for a commission on public safety. CBO estimates that this provision would increase direct spending by about \$24 million over the 2011-2017 period.

## INTERGOVERMENTAL AND PRIVATE-SECTOR MANDATES

S. 678 contains no intergovernmental or private-sector mandates as defined in UMRA and would impose no costs on state, local, or tribal governments.

#### **ESTIMATE PREPARED BY:**

Federal Costs: Mark Grabowicz

Impact on State, Local, and Tribal Governments: Melissa Merrell

Impact on the Private Sector: Paige Piper/Bach

# **ESTIMATE APPROVED BY:**

Theresa Gullo

Deputy Assistant Director for Budget Analysis