

**T&I Committee Transparency and Accountability Information by State under
the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (P.L. 111-5) (Recovery Act)
Submissions Received by T&I Committee (Data Reported as of April 30, 2010)**

**Percentage of Allocated Funds Associated with Project Stages
Clean Water State Revolving Fund**

| | Out to Bid | Under Contract | Underway | Average* | Average Rank |
|----------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------------------|
| Alabama | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 1 |
| Alaska | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 1 |
| Arizona | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 1 |
| Arkansas | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 1 |
| California | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 1 |
| Colorado | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 1 |
| Florida | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 1 |
| Georgia | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 1 |
| Indiana | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 1 |
| Maine | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 1 |
| Maryland | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 1 |
| Massachusetts | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 1 |
| Michigan | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 1 |
| Minnesota | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 1 |
| Mississippi | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 1 |
| Missouri | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 1 |
| Montana | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 1 |
| Nebraska | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 1 |
| New Hampshire | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 1 |
| North Dakota | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 1 |
| Oklahoma | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 1 |
| Oregon | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 1 |
| South Carolina | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 1 |
| Texas | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 1 |
| Vermont | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 1 |
| Virginia | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 1 |
| Washington | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 1 |
| West Virginia | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 1 |
| Illinois | 100.0% | 100.0% | 99.7% | 99.9% | 29 |
| New York | 100.0% | 100.0% | 99.7% | 99.9% | 29 |
| North Carolina | 100.0% | 100.0% | 99.4% | 99.7% | 31 |
| Pennsylvania | 100.0% | 100.0% | 99.1% | 99.6% | 32 |
| Kentucky | 100.0% | 100.0% | 99.0% | 99.5% | 33 |
| Utah | 100.0% | 100.0% | 97.3% | 98.6% | 34 |
| Wisconsin | 100.0% | 100.0% | 97.0% | 98.5% | 35 |
| Tennessee | 100.0% | 100.0% | 95.9% | 97.9% | 36 |
| Ohio | 100.0% | 100.0% | 93.9% | 97.0% | 37 |
| Wyoming | 100.0% | 100.0% | 93.6% | 96.8% | 38 |
| Rhode Island | 100.0% | 100.0% | 92.9% | 96.5% | 39 |
| Iowa | 100.0% | 100.0% | 89.8% | 94.9% | 40 |
| Kansas | 100.0% | 100.0% | 88.7% | 94.4% | 41 |
| New Jersey | 100.0% | 100.0% | 84.7% | 92.3% | 42 |
| Hawaii | 100.0% | 100.0% | 83.0% | 91.5% | 43 |
| Idaho | 100.0% | 100.0% | 80.8% | 90.4% | 44 |
| New Mexico | 100.0% | 100.0% | 73.9% | 86.9% | 45 |
| Connecticut | 100.0% | 100.0% | 65.5% | 82.7% | 46 |
| Louisiana | 100.0% | 100.0% | 61.1% | 80.5% | 47 |
| Nevada | 100.0% | 100.0% | 58.6% | 79.3% | 48 |
| District of Columbia | 100.0% | 100.0% | 53.8% | 76.9% | 49 |
| Delaware | 100.0% | 100.0% | 50.9% | 75.4% | 50 |
| South Dakota | 100.0% | 100.0% | 40.9% | 70.5% | 51 |
| National | 100.0% | 100.0% | 96.2% | 98.1% | |

* To calculate averages, the Committee gave one-fourth weight to the percentage of allocated funds associated with projects out to bid, one-fourth weight to the percentage of allocated funds associated with projects under contract, and one-half weight to the percentage of allocated funds associated with projects underway.