Preliminary Hearing Transcript

STENOGRAPHIC MINUTES Unrevised and Unedited Not for Quotation or Duplication

> HEARING ON GOVERNANCE AND FINANCIAL ACCOUNTABILITY OF RURAL ELECTRIC COOPERATIVES: THE PEDERNALES EXPERIENCE Thursday, June 26, 2008 House of Representatives, Committee on Oversight and Government Reform,

"This is a preliminary transcript of a Committee Hearing. It has not yet been subject to a review process to ensure that the statements within are appropriately attributed to the witness or member of Congress who made them, to determine whether there are any inconsistencies between the statements within and what was actually said at the proceeding, or to make any other corrections to ensure the accuracy of the record."

Committee Hearings

of the

U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES



OFFICE OF THE CLERK Office of Official Reporters 1 Court Reporting Services, Inc.

2 HG0178000

3 HEARING ON GOVERNANCE AND FINANCIAL

4 ACCOUNTABILITY OF RURAL ELECTRIC

5 COOPERATIVES: THE PEDERNALES EXPERIENCE

6 Thursday, June 26, 2008

7 House of Representatives,

8 Committee on Oversight and

9 Government Reform,

10 Washington, D.C.

The committee met, pursuant to call, at 10:12 a.m. in
room 2154, Rayburn House Office Building, the Honorable Henry
A. Waxman [chairman of the committee] presiding.

Present: Representatives Waxman, Towns, Cummings,
Kucinich, Clay, Watson, Braley, Cooper, Sarbanes, Welch,
Davis of Virginia, Burton, Souder, Duncan, Issa, Marchant,
Westmoreland, Foxx, Sali, and Jordan.

Staff Present: Phil Schiliro, Chief of Staff; Phil
Barnett, Staff Director and Chief Counsel; Karen Lightfoot,
Communications Director and Senior Policy Advisor; Greg

PAGE

PAGE

21	Dotson, Chief Environmental Counsel; David Rapallo, Chief
22	Investigative Counsel; John Wiliams, Deputy Chief
23	Investigative Counsel; Brian Cohen, Senior Investigator and
24	Policy Advisor; Jeff Baran, Counsel; Gilad Wilkenfeld,
25	Investigator; Caren Auchman, Press Assistant; Ella Hoffman,
26	Press Assistant; Leneal Scott, Information Systems Manager;
27	Rob Cobbs, Staff Assistant; Miriam Edelman, Staff Assistant;
28	Lawrence Halloran, Minority Staff Director; Jennifer
29	Safavian, Minority Chief Counsel for Oversight and
30	Investigations; Keith Ausbrook, Minority General Counsel; Ali
31	Ahmad, Minority Deputy Press Secretary; Larry Brady,
32	Minority Senior Investigator and Policy Advisor; Alex Cooper,
33	Minority Professional Staff Member; Adam Fromm, Minority
34	Professional Staff Member; Mary Pauline Jones, Minority Staff
35	Assistant; Patrick Lyden, Minority Parliamentarian and Member
36	Services Coordinator; and Brian McNicoll, Minority
37	Communications Director.

PAGE

3

38 Chairman WAXMAN. The Committee will come to order.
39 Today's hearing focuses on an important issue that has
40 received little attention: electric cooperatives and the
41 billions of dollars they control.

42 Electric cooperatives are unique structures that provide 43 electricity to millions of customers in rural and suburban 44 areas. They are nonprofit utilities that are owned by their 45 customers, and at least in theory are supposed to be 46 democratically controlled. Nationwide there are 930 co-ops 47 serving over 17 million customers.

What isn't widely known is that these co-ops control over \$30 billion in customers' equity. In many cases, even the consumers don't realize it is their equity and don't know how the co-ops are spending their money.

I want to thank my colleague and friend, Jim Cooper, for 52 53 bringing this issue to the Committee's attention. It is 54 exactly the kind of issue the Oversight Committee should be looking at, and from what we have already found this is an 55 56 area in strong need of accountability. In fact, two of the witnesses we wanted for this hearing have refused to attend. 57 They declined to appear voluntarily, and they have evaded 58 Federal marshals who tried to serve them with subpoenas. 59 The Federal marshals believe one of the witnesses is now hiding 60 61 in a remote New Mexico ranch.

62

Two witnesses essentially ran the Pedernales Electric

63 Cooperative in the Texas Hill country. This co-op has a
64 proud history, having been created in 1935 by a young
65 Congressman by the name of Lyndon Johnson. It is now the
66 largest co-op in the United States.

But Benny Fuelberg, the former Pedernales General
Manager, and Bud Burnett, the former Pedernales President,
aren't reflecting the co-op's proud history by refusing to
explain their apparent self-dealings.

71 There is compelling evidence that the Pedernales Co-op used its customers' private equity as a private piggy bank. 72 73 Mr. Fuelberg, Mr. Burnett, and the Pedernales board paid 74 themselves well. In 2007 Mr. Fuelberg received over \$1 75 million in salary, benefits, and bonuses. In just five years 76 Mr. Fuelberg and the board spent \$700,000 to stay in 77 five-star hotels like the Ritz Carlton and Four Seasons, dine 78 at expensive restaurants, and buy themselves fancy chocolates 79 and Celine Dion concert tickets. They also spent millions of dollars in an unsuccessful legal battle against their own 80 customers. 81

We will learn more about all of this from our witnesses, which include Pedernales Co-op members, two members of the Texas Legislature, and the newly hired General Manager of Pedernales. But the questions about the potential abuses of co-ops aren't limited to the Pedernales Co-op, and that brings us back to the \$30 billion in customer equity I

PAGE

PAGE

5

88 mentioned a few moments ago.

The Pedernales experience tells us we need to examine whether co-ops are being run in a truly democratic fashion, and we need to take a close look at whether there are adequate financial protections for the investments customers have in these entities.

94 The \$17 million co-op customers' equity investments are 95 worth an average of \$2,000 apiece, but there appears to be 96 little transparency and accountability for how co-ops use 97 these funds.

98 I know co-ops have done a tremendous amount of good for 99 millions of Americans, and I know it is unfair to suggest the 100 potential wrongdoing at the Pedernales Co-op is typical for 101 all co-ops. Congressman Cooper has done a real service by setting the right balance for these issues in a recent 102 103 article in the Harvard Journal on Legislation, and I ask 104 unanimous consent to include it in the hearing record. Without objection, that will be the order. 105

106 [The referenced information follows:]

107 ********* INSERT *********

108	Chairman WAXMAN. I am looking forward to the testimony
109	of our witnesses and learning more about this important
110	issue.
111	[Prepared statement of Chairman Waxman follows:]
112	******** INSERT ********

PAGE

7

113 Chairman WAXMAN. I now want to recognize for his opening 114 statement any Member who wishes to make an opening statement. 115 Mr. Sali? 116 Mr. SALI. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I want to thank you 117 for calling this important hearing about the governance and

financial accountability of rural electric cooperatives. We will hear here today that the Pedernales incident in Texas is indicative of the conduct of rural electric cooperatives across the Country. I anticipate we will hear remarks that most rural electric cooperatives are poorly managed and may need further regulation.

124 Certainly in Idaho--I would presume in many areas of the 125 Country--rural electric cooperatives serve a critical and 126 positive role in our communities, providing service to rural 127 areas at an affordable rate.

128 In the northern part of my District in Idaho, if you 129 will look at the map there, there are several electric cooperatives providing electricity to just over 100,000 130 131 residential and business consumers. These electric 132 cooperatives serve some of the most isolated, rural consumers 133 in our Nation. On average, the electric cooperatives in 134 Idaho serve six customers per mile of wire, in contrast to 135 the twenty customers per mile of wire for the investor-owned 136 utilities.

137

I have serious concerns if, by holding this hearing

138 today, this Committee is suggesting that we must impose more 139 stringent regulations on the rural electric cooperative 140 industry due to the mismanagement of one cooperative. Regulations already exist at the cooperative board level and 141 142 at the State level, and the cooperatives in northern Idaho 143 already have transparency policies in place where consumers 144 can review all financial data on a website. 145 Most cooperative consumers in Idaho receive a capital 146 credit refund. In the case of Clearwater Power--that is the green area on the map--General Manager Dave Hagen stated, 147 'Our consumers have received capital credit refunds since 148 1988 amounting to the total of \$5 million." 149 150 Additional regulations imposed at the Federal level will only increase the cost of electricity to our rural 151 152 communities and small businesses, which are already 153 struggling to get by as they struggle with high food prices 154 and high gas prices.

155 My constituents cannot afford higher electric bills with the cost of gasoline and food on the rise, as well. 156 New 157 regulations and higher utility bills are an unnecessary burden, especially for my constituents in north Idaho who 158 receive electricity from a cooperative because their per 159 160 capita income is just \$18,555, and they have an average household income of \$6,000 less than the other utility 161 162 consumers in my State. This would be a tremendous burden for

PAGE

163	them.
164	For these reasons, Mr. Chairman, I respectfully will
165	oppose any new regulatory burden that would increase the cost
166	of doing business for the rural electric cooperative
167	industry. I would ask my colleagues to do the same.
168	Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
169	[Prepared statement of Mr. Sali follows:]

170 ********* INSERT ********

PAGE

171 Chairman WAXMAN. Thank you, Mr. Sali. 172 Mr. Cooper? 173 Mr. COOPER. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 174 I really regret that we must have this hearing today. Ι 175 love electric co-ops and I don't want to see any of them 176 harmed. But I also love co-op customers. So far, I have not 177 introduced any legislation because my only goal is to return 178 I don't even want to draw attention co-ops to their roots. 179 to co-ops because I know how publicity shy they are. 180 My father helped start a rural electric cooperative and I have represented roughly 20 electric co-ops, or at least 181 their customers, and that is perhaps more than any other 182 183 Member. I started learning about electric co-ops almost two 184 185 decades ago when I first attended a co-op annual meeting. 186 For almost ten years I have been talking privately with 187 various co-op leaders, speaking at co-op conventions, both 188 State and national, to warn them about problems that even I 189 could see as a co-op observer. 190 I worked hard for many years to solve co-op problems 191 within the co-op family, but I was rebuffed at almost every

192 turn, so here we are today with one, the largest co-op in 193 America, in serious scandal; two, its former leaders hiding 194 from Federal marshals; and, three, loads of other co-op 195 problems bubbling publicly to the surface.

PAGE

196 For much of the last ten years I didn't really know for 197 sure whether my co-op worries were justified, but then I saw 198 the outstanding reporting of Margaret Newkirk of the Atlanta 199 Journal Constitution and of Claudia Grisales of the Austin American Statesman chronicling the abuses of Georgia and 200 201 Texas co-ops. I also found that TVA, the Tennessee Valley 202 Authority, Inspectors General had been complaining about 203 Tennessee co-op misbehavior for a long time, but, due to co-op pressure, hiding the report from Congress and, as the 204 205 IG put it in writing, from shrill media attention. 206 I also stumbled upon the National Co-op Trade Association's own secret, password-protected website and 207 208 discovered that some of my worst fears about co-ops were 209 substantiated by the Trade Association, itself, and our ECA, 210 the same organization that had been stonewalling me. 211 That is when I decided to write a law review article 212 that the Chairman mentioned. If you have got to wash your 213 dirty laundry in public, you might as well get it cleaned. I want to make seven quick points: 214 215 Number one, if you think Pedernales is the only electric 216 co-op scandal in America, then you believe that there can 217 only be one cockroach. If such abuses can happen in the 218 largest co-op in the Country founded by a former U.S. 219 President within sight of the regulators in the State capital in Austin, Texas, then I think it can happen anywhere. 220

Co-ops serve portions of 47 States. They serve 75 221 percent of the land area of America, and I am thankful for 222 223 that. Overall, they have done a superb job. But we already 224 know of separate, unrelated, major co-op scandals outside of 225 Atlanta and Birmingham and Fort Worth. Is your State next? How could you even know unless you have seen the audited 226 227 co-op financials? Or are you willing just to take the co-op lobbyist's word for it? Our friends in the Texas Legislature 228 229 did that for too many years.

230 Point number two: co-ops don't have to be mired in 231 scandal to still have serious problems. It doesn't take a spike in temperature to have a sick patient. A chronic, 232 low-grade fever can be just as damaging. 233 The NRECA has been 234 issuing reports for over 30 years warning all co-ops in the 235 Country that they need to be refunding more money to 236 customers, because if they don't they risk losing their 237 tax-exempt status. For decades too many co-ops have turned a deaf ear to their own trade association on this and other 238 important issues involving their precious tax-exempt status. 239 240 Why would NRECA go to so much trouble and pay for such 241 expensive secret reports as this one that can only be found 242 on their password-protected website unless they were really 243 worried about an IRS crackdown under current law? 244 Ironically, much of this hearing will be spent just 245 reinforcing NRECA's own message to its own members.

246	Point number three: are co-op customers being treated
247	fairly today? Remember here that co-ops were founded under
248	Franklin Roosevelt's New Deal to be probably the most
249	pro-consumer organizations in America. Co-ops always brag
250	about the ``co-op difference.'' Yet, NRECA, itself, has
251	written that countless co-op customers, particularly in the
252	most rural areas, pay an extra \$220 a year. Why? Just so
253	that their own co-op can remain inefficient.
254	This is the NRECA book. According to the NRECA, itself,

255 if small co-ops simply merged with other co-ops they could 256 save their customers' two months of electricity bills a year. 257 Wouldn't it be nice to give customers a two-month holiday 258 from their light bills?

259 Point number four: private property rights. Co-op customers really do own their co-op. This isn't any 260 theoretical interest like taxpayers who may have an undivided 261 262 interest, say, in the Smithsonian Museum. Co-op customers 263 literally have or will have legal title in their own name to a piece of the thirty-plus billion dollars in co-op equity. 264 265 That is about as much stock as Amazon.com has. It averages 266 out to \$1,824 per customer, an amount comparable to the 267 economic stimulus checks that Congress voted for just a 268 couple of months ago. Here's the picture. Yet, how many co-op customers have ever been told exactly what is in their 269 270 co-op account? Have any? I have not found one yet except

PAGE

271 for one top power company executive who got all of his money 272 out every time he moved from one co-op to another.

273 Why can't regular co-op customers get this benefit? Or 274 is it reserved for VIPs? After all, internal co-op software 275 calculates individual ownership to the penny, yet co-ops 276 somehow run out of ink on the monthly bills before they 277 disclose your ownership stake.

278 All this leads me to conclude that this \$30 billion plus 279 may be the largest lost pool of capital in America. Ι estimate that co-ops could safely return between \$3 billion 280 and \$9 billion of customers' own money to them. 281 This money 282 could help millions of rural ratepayers today who are having 283 a hard time in a soft economy. And it is not a Government handout; this is just a return of the customers' own money. 284 285 Chairman WAXMAN. Mr. Cooper, thank you very much for 286 your opening statement. We will allow you to submit 287 additional information and material in the opening statement. 288 It is not fair, because you know more about co-ops than 289 anybody else on this Committee, so I am reluctant to invoke a 290 time limit on you, but I see other Members are seeking 291 recognition, as well.

292

Mr. COOPER. Thank you.

293

-

[Prepared statement of Mr. Cooper follows:]

294 ********* INSERT *********

295	Chairman WAXMAN. Mr. Davis?
296	Mr. DAVIS OF VIRGINIA. Thank you.
297	Let me just thank Mr. Cooper for bringing this up to the
298	Committee's attention.

299 We are here because questions have been raised about the 300 vulnerability and even the relevance of a venerable business 301 model that helped modernize post-Depression rural America and 302 today serves over 41 million consumers in 47 States. Rural 303 electric cooperatives, member-owned power generation and 304 distribution companies, bring the power of economic development and growth to diverse communities who might 305 306 otherwise languish off the national grid.

307 But the apparent plundering of one large co-op, the
308 Pedernales in Texas, by entrenched directors and officers has
309 caused some to ask more broadly whether these tax-exempt,
310 Federally-subsidized organizations are governed
311 democratically, managed efficiently, regulated effectively,
312 or operated transparently enough to prevent self-dealing and
313 abuse.

314 In the Pedernales case, millions of dollars of capital 315 owned by co-op members was misspent on excessive compensation 316 packages, phantom employees, first-class travel, and luxury 317 hotel expenses. High-living insiders even paid \$2,000 for 318 Celine Dion tickets.

319 So it is fair to ask, as our Committee colleague,

PAGE 16

Representative Cooper, does, if this useful New Deal tool has become a potentially bad deal for taxpayers and customers. In this post-Enron Sarbanes-Oxley era of strengthened corporate governance accountability and transparency, it is worth asking what rural electric co-ops are doing to keep pace with regulatory standards and governance reforms in the increasingly complex and changing electric industry.

327 At the same time, there is little to suggest the abuses 328 uncovered at Pedernales are symptomatic of widespread fiscal 329 profligacy throughout the national network of 931 electric 330 co-ops. That critical infrastructure transmits power over 75 331 percent of the Nation's vast geography. At every juncture, 332 co-op member owners have the legal rights and powers under 333 State and corporation and utility regulation laws to police 334 or replace irresponsible directors and managers.

335 Eventually, Pedernales customers regained control of 336 their company and co-op democracy remains the most potent 337 safeguard against mismanagement and waste. But in the face 338 of global energy pressures and modern mandates to diversify 339 co-op activities for economic and social reasons, the quaint old ways of doing business that worked in the 1950s and 1960s 340 341 can begin to look a bit threadbare. Even newly expanded IRS 342 disclosure requirements for non-profits may not give co-op members, regulators, or taxpayers enough timely information 343 344 to prevent the next Pedernales from blooming in the crevices

PAGE

of a patchwork regulatory and oversight system. 345 346 So we need to know how safeguards can be strengthened 347 and how rural electric co-ops can continue to fulfill their 348 potential as stable, responsible drivers of economic 349 development and community growth. 350 We appreciate the testimony of our witnesses this 351 morning as we explore these important issue. 352 Thank you. 353 [Prepared statement of Mr. Davis of Virginia follows:]

354 ********* INSERT *********

355

PAGE

Chairman WAXMAN. Thank you, Mr. Davis.

Let me ask unanimous consent that all Members' opening 356 statements be inserted in the record. I will recognize 357 358 members who feel that they still want to say a few words of their opening statement before we actually begin. 359

360 I will go to this side. I think Mr. Clay came in first. Mr. CLAY. Thank you, Mr. Chair. I have a very brief 361 opening statement and I appreciate your holding this hearing. 362 While I represent an urban area, I am aware that there 363 are 47 rural electric co-ops in Missouri that serve nearly 364 1.5 million customers. The Quiver River Electric Cooperative 365 serves approximately 65,000 Missouri customers living close 366 to my District. Since 1976 Quiver has distributed \$51.5 367 million in capital credits to its members. In 2008 Quiver's 368 369 board of directors authorized a distribution of \$3.8 million 370 in refunds.

371 Quiver also conducts elections where the co-op's members select the board of directors. In 2007 the elections were 372 held in August and involved four of the twelve board members. 373 374 Finally, Quiver prepares an annual report that is available to its members. This report explains the financial 375 376 conditions of the co-op, as well as the assets that the co-op Based on this report and other information, the 377 owns. 378 members are notified about the co-op's activity. 379 The problems involving capital credits and board of

380 directors and general manager abuses that existed at the 381 Texas electric co-op have not occurred at Quiver. While 382 there are individual bad actors in every industry, I hope 383 that this is an isolated situation. 384 Mr. Chairman, I yield back my time.

385 [Prepared statement of Mr. Clay follows:]

386 ******** INSERT ********

PAGE

387 Chairman WAXMAN. Thank you, Mr. Clay. 388 Mr. Marchant? 389 Mr. MARCHANT. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I will be brief. 390 I would just like to bring greetings to Senator Fraser 391 and Representative Rose. It was my privilege when I was a 392 member of the Texas House to serve with both of them, and I 393 have the utmost confidence in their ability. Senator Fraser 394 has been involved in the co-op part of legislation for many 395 years, and I, myself, am a co-op customer. I do business 396 with three different co-ops. It is still my belief that the 397 governance of co-ops should stay at the local level and at 398 the State level. I believe the actions that the State took 399 to correct the Pedernales problem were appropriate, and I 400 believe that they have a handle on it. 401 I deeply appreciate you guys coming up today and 402 participating in the hearing, because I think in the long run 403 this will be a good day for the co-ops and a day where the 404 co-ops will be able to explain to the public and answer some 405 of these allegations.

406 Thank you.

407

_

07 [Prepared statement of Mr. Marchant follows:]

408

********* INSERT *********

409 Chairman WAXMAN. Thank you, Mr. Marchant.

410 Mr. Kucinich?

Mr. KUCINICH. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, for holding this
hearing, and thanks, Mr. Cooper, for bringing it to the
attention of the Committee.

We put this in the context of rural America, where you see the physical infrastructure of rural America fraying, the telecommunication infrastructure where people are paying more for less, water rights are under attack in rural areas. Now we see co-ops, in this case one that is under inspection, exploiting the resource of the members.

420 You have to remember, let's go back historically, why we 421 saw rural electrification and why these co-ops were created: 422 to make sure the people in rural areas had reliable access to electricity at a low cost, because the big energy companies 423 424 didn't want to spend the money and invest in infrastructure, didn't want to do that. So our mission as a Committee, I 425 426 hope, is going to be to find a way to not just call these 427 particular individuals to an accounting, as we should and 428 must, but to find a way to make sure that we protect the 429 philosophical underpinnings of rural electrification and of 430 rural co-ops so that people can have access to electricity at 431 a low cost, so that rural areas can find a way to survive in these very troubled economic times. 432

433 Thank you.

PAGE

434	[Prepared statement of Mr. Kucinich follows:]	
435	******** INSERT *******	

PAGE 23

436 Chairman WAXMAN. Thank you.

437 Mr. Duncan?

Mr. DUNCAN. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Thank you for
calling this hearing. It is very appropriate, considering
the scandal that has gone in in Texas with this largest co-op
in the U.S.

First of all I want to say that I have the greatest 442 respect for my colleague, Congressman Cooper, who is a good 443 444 friend of mine, and I salute him for raising these concerns and asking these guestions. He used to represent a very 445 rural District in Tennessee, and he has studied this issue 446 447 and these co-ops for many years and I have not, so he knows far more about this than I do. He and I now represent the 448 two fastest-growing areas in Tennessee. He represents the 449 450 Nashville area and I represent primarily an urban/suburban District in and around Knoxville. Only about 12 percent of 451 452 my constituents are served by co-ops, but overall I understand that about one-third of the people in Tennessee 453 are served by co-ops, so this is very important to Tennessee. 454 I am told that the average profit per member in 455 Tennessee, the annual profit is around \$82 per member for a 456 year. I also have learned that, under agreements with TVA, 457 since the Tennessee co-ops are supplying TVA power, that TVA 458 requires that, rather than rebate money, that these co-ops do 459 460 one of three things, are limited to three things: keeping

PAGE

461 rates low, paying down debt, or investing in the
462 infrastructure. They couldn't, I suppose, do all three in
463 any one year.

Those seem like good things to me. I haven't read any 464 465 articles about any co-ops in Tennessee doing anything even remotely close to what has happened in Texas, but there is 466 certainly nothing wrong about looking into this and making 467 sure that the customers or members are treated fairly and 468 469 honestly, and that co-ops any place are not making 470 investments in things that they should not be investing in. So I thank you for calling this hearing and for allowing me 471 472 to participate.

473

[Prepared statement of Mr. Duncan follows:]

474 ********* INSERT ********

475 Chairman WAXMAN. Thank you, Mr. Duncan.

476 Other Members who wish to make opening statements? Ms.477 Watson?

Ms. WATSON. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And thank you for
holding today's hearing where we will be examining the
managerial practices of Pedernales Electric Cooperative.

481 Co-ops were created during the era of President 482 Roosevelt's New Deal, and their purpose was to supply 483 millions of Americans who lived in under-developed, rural 484 communities with electricity.

485 Presently, the conditions of modern and rural areas are 486 not in the dire situation they experienced during the Great 487 Depression, but 70 years later 930 co-ops are still 488 responsible for providing electricity for the 17 million 489 Americans across the Country.

Pedernales has been providing reliable electrical
service to rural Texans for over 70 years; however, recently
there have been numerous allegations ranging from excessive
personal spending of co-op funds by board members, unearned
compensation for former board members, improper election
methods, non-beneficial investment practices, and numerous
IRS reporting infractions.

497 Even though this hearing is focusing mainly on the
498 questionable practices of Pedernales, it is important to find
499 out if the problems are widespread throughout the cooperative

500 industry.

Mr. Chairman, I hope that we will consider holding a 501 future hearing that will examine the nature of financial 502 practices of other cooperatives. In the end, what this 503 Committee desires to uncover is why Pedernales and board 504 members allegedly took advantage of other member customers, 505 506 how these practices were carried out with the use of company 507 funds, and if the practices of the board members could plausibly be repeated in other electric cooperatives around 508 509 the Country.

510 So I look forward to hearing from the panel, and 511 especially the testimony of Mr. Glenn English, the CEO of the 512 National Rural Electric Cooperative Association. I hope that 513 he can provide more insight on the co-op industry and give us 514 a general overview on the use of co-op funds in the industry 515 as a whole.

516 Mr. Chairman, I thank you. I yield back.517 [Prepared statement of Ms. Watson follows:]

518 ********* INSERT ********

PAGE

519 Chairman WAXMAN. Thank you very much, Ms. Watson. 520 Any other Members seek to make an opening statement? 521 Mr. Sarbanes?

Mr. SARBANES. Very quickly, Mr. Chairman, thank you. You know, we talk about expertise on the part of fellow Members of Congress, but Congressman Cooper's expertise in this area is so deep that he would easily be qualified by a judge in any court case as an expert witness, based on all of the research that he has done in his own personal and professional experience with co-ops.

A hearing like this predictably will produce a response among sort of three categories of actors. There will be those who have engaged in outrageous practices who had better start quickly figuring out how to fix the situation. There will be those who maybe could do better than they have done and ought to look at that. And then there will also be those who have acted in a responsible manner.

536 I don't have any electric cooperatives in my district. In Maryland we have one in southern Maryland called the 537 538 Southern Maryland Electric Cooperative, from my 539 understanding, one of the more responsible actors in this drama, but I would assume that the responsible folks would 540 step up within whatever the association is to make the case 541 that others need to clean up their act and improve their own 542 sort of self-regulation. But I think Mr. Cooper bringing 543

28

544 this issue to light as he has points us to examining whether 545 there ought to be more oversight and regulation from third 546 parties, including Government oversight. That will be part 547 of the discussion today, so I want to thank him for making 548 all of us look carefully at this issue.

549 I yield back my time.

550 [Prepared statement of Mr. Sarbanes follows:]

551 ******** INSERT *********

PAGE

552

Chairman WAXMAN. Mr. Welch?

Mr. WELCH. We have two excellent co-ops in Vermont of
that category that my colleague, Mr. Sarbanes, was just
talking about. They take the democratic duties seriously.
Service on the board is much a sacrifice; it is not a
bonanza. And they are doing tremendous work on alternative
energy, providing real leadership in the State. That is the
Vermont Electric Co-op and the Washington Electric Co-op.

560 Mr. Chairman, if there is no objection, I would like to 561 introduce their newsletters into the record.

562 Chairman WAXMAN. Without objection, they will be made a 563 part of the record.

564 Mr. WELCH. But those of us who support co-ops have the 565 major responsibility to root out when it is being abused, 566 because if we are going to allow there to be continued 567 support to do the good work, we have to make certain there is 568 no latitude to make this into a rip-off, and I really applaud 569 Congressman Cooper and would like to yield him at least part 570 of the balance of my time, Mr. Chairman. I know we are 571 short, but to finish a couple of points that he has, if that 572 is possible.

573 [Prepared statement of Mr. Welch and the newsletters 574 follow:]

575 ********* INSERT *********

576 Mr. COOPER. I thank my friend for yielding. 577 Two points that haven't been made so far: this year some giant energy companies in America are trying to take 578 advantage of co-ops' strong balance sheets and tax-exempt 579 580 barring authority to get co-ops to issue billions of dollars 581 worth of bonds for new power generation, particularly 582 coal-fired units. They want co-ops to generate more power, to increase pollution, and to issue these bonds. 583 The last time co-ops fell for such a sales pitch was in the 1970s and 584 585 1980s, and many co-ops went bankrupt as a result. I think 586 co-ops should make energy conservation their first priority, 587 and then, once they have helped reduce customer bills, focus 588 on other ventures.

Also, you all know that sunshine is the best disinfectant. Without full disclosure, co-op democracy is a sham. Did you know that in the official biography of the official lending arm to co-ops, the CFC, they state explicitly that it was formed to tell Wall Street how rich co-ops are so that NRECA can at the same time tell us how poor they are.

596 Did you know that the PAC associated with NRECA gives 597 almost as much money to Congress as Boeing Corporation? Why 598 are they spending all this money to defend motherhood and 599 apple pie organizations? Is it just a narcotic to make sure 600 that we, the watchdogs, stay asleep.

30

PAGE

PAGE

601 So thank you, Mr. Chairman. 602 You have the best staff on the Hill. Co-op customers get electricity today, but they don't have power and they are 603 604 being kept in the dark. 605 Chairman WAXMAN. Thank you very much, Mr. Cooper and Mr. 606 Welch. 607 Unless our witnesses want to yield the rest of their 608 time to Mr. Cooper, we are going to hear from you. 609 [Laughter.] 610 Chairman WAXMAN. I am pleased to welcome them to our 611 hearing today. 612 The first panel is going to focus on the Pedernales 613 Electric Cooperative. 614 The Honorable Troy Fraser is a member of the Texas 615 Senate and a member of the Pedernales Co-op. He chairs the 616 Texas Senate's Business and Commerce Committee and has 617 chaired a hearing on the co-op's business practices. 618 The Honorable Patrick Rose is a member of the Texas 619 House of Representatives. He also is a member of the Co-op 620 and has been investigating business practices at the 621 Pedernales. 622 Mr. John Watson is a member of the Pedernales Co-op. 623 Mr. Carlos Higgins is a member of the Pedernales Co-op 624 and recently ran for a position on its board of directors. 625 Mr. Juan Garza is the new General Manager of Pedernales.

PAGE 32

Before he started at Pedernales in February of 2008 he was
the General Manager of a publicly-owned Austin Energy.
I want to thank all of you for traveling to be with us
today.

630 I would like to note again the absence of two invited 631 witnesses, Mr. Bennie Fuelberg was a long-time General Manager of Pedernales. He is not present today because he is 632 633 evading service of the Committee's subpoena. His attorney 634 advised Committee staff that he would assert his Fifth 635 Amendment right against self-incrimination if he did appear. 636 Mr. Bud Burnett was a long-time President of Pedernales. 637 He is also evading service of the Committee's subpoena. His 638 attorney advised Committee staff that he would assert his 639 Fifth Amendment right against self-incrimination if he did 640 appear.

641 They don't have to assert it. They are not here.
642 We are pleased to have you with us. It is the practice
643 of this Committee that all witnesses who testify do so under
644 oath. I would like to ask, if you would, to please stand and
645 raise your right hand.

646 [Witnesses sworn.]

647 Chairman WAXMAN. The record will indicate that each of 648 the witnesses answered in the affirmative.

649 Your prepared statements will be in the record in its650 entirety. What I would like to ask each of you to do is to

33

651 limit your oral presentation to around five minutes. We will 652 have a clock that will indicate green, and then the last 653 minute will turn yellow, and then when the time is up it will 654 turn red. If you see red on the clock, we would welcome you 655 to summarize your testimony.

656

Mr. Fraser, why don't we start with you?

PAGE

34

657	STATEMENTS OF THE HONORABLE TROY FRASER, CHAIR, BUSINESS AND
658	COMMERCE COMMITTEE, TEXAS SENATE; THE HONORABLE PATRICK ROSE,
659	TEXAS HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES; JOHN WATSON, MEMBER OF
660	PEDERNALES ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE; CARLOS HIGGINS, MEMBER OF
661	PEDERNALES ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE; AND JUAN GARZA, CURRENT
662	GENERAL MANAGER OF PEDERNALES ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE

663 STATEMENT OF TROY FRASER

Mr. FRASER. Mr. Chairman, I am extremely honored to be
here in your Committee today. Also other Members, thank you
for being here, especially Representative Marchant. He
served with distinction in Texas, and we are very proud of
Mr. Marchant and the service he has given to the great State
of Texas. Thank you for being here.

670 Members, I currently serve as chairman of the Texas
671 Committee on Business and Commerce. That gives me oversight
672 over the electric industry. I also, along with
673 Representative Rose, am a member of the Pedernales Electric
674 Cooperative.

I would like to emphasize, first of all, that I have
been and continue to be a strong supporter of the rural
electric cooperatives. These cooperatives brought

PAGE 35

678 electricity to many parts of Texas and the Nation that no one679 else wanted to serve.

I also believe that the beauty of the electric co-op system is that co-ops are designed so that member owners can determine how best to run the system through the election of board of directors. If members don't like the policies that are being set by the board of directors, they can and they should vote them out of office.

In 1995 the Texas Legislature allowed cooperative to opt out of retail rate regulation by majority vote of the members, and a vast majority of the 66 distribution co-ops did that, but the wires and the transmission lines continue to be regulated by the State of Texas and the Public Utilities Commission.

I want to be clear that I believe that the best way to control a cooperative is through the democratic participation of members; however, the members of Pedernales Electric Cooperative over the last year have raised many concerns that they did not have a voice in their cooperative. Many of these customers are also mine and Representative Rose's constituents.

Late last spring the constituents began contacting the
office to complain about the closed nature of the board of
directors. Specifically, concerns were raised over the
nomination and election process, the lack of transparency by

703 the board of directors and senior management by prohibiting 704 members from even attending board meetings or accessing 705 cooperative information, the failure of the cooperative to 706 return excess profits by paying capital credits, and the 707 extreme levels of compensation and benefits received by board 708 members and senior management.

The Total State of the cooperative of Pedernales members filed a civil lawsuit against the cooperative and the board of directors, making the same claims I just mentioned. Basically, these members were suing themselves over perceived wrongdoings of the cooperative and the board. A settlement to the lawsuit has been reached, but it is currently under appeal.

This lawsuit, the watchful eye of the media, and the legislative scrutiny by Representative Rose and myself have led also to an ongoing criminal investigation that is being led by the District Attorney but with the assistance of the Texas Attorney General's office.

The formula of the second state of the seco

First of all, as was mentioned, Mr. Chairman, the
President of the board not only received the perks of being a
board member; he also paid himself \$190,000 a year annually

PAGE

728 as an employee, making him eligible for retirement benefits, 729 but he also had no real duties or also a severe lack of 730 knowledge of what was going on in the co-op. He currently today, after leaving, is receiving \$10,000 per month in 731 732 retirement benefits, and we just discovered in IRS filings 733 that, as he was leaving, he was paid an additional \$600,000 retirement package that they had voted in in 2001, again 734 735 without our knowledge.

The General Manager, Bennie Fuelberg, was being paid (337) \$390,000 annual salary. In addition, the board secretly (38) voted to give him an additional \$2 million in deferred (39) compensation over a five-year period, and then they gave him (30) another \$375,000 what they called a signing bonus, in order (31) to sign the \$2 million bonus contract.

Last year Bennie Fuelberg, his last year at the company, made \$1.4 million. None of this additional pay was disclosed to the members. It is also alleged that the board and management falsified the 990 report to the IRS and all reports prior to 2006 by not reporting the General Manager's total compensation and bonuses.

748 We know the PEC board had paid themselves excessive 749 salaries totaling over \$1 million per year. All board 750 members, including non-voting members, were given free 751 lifetime health insurance for themselves and dependents. 752 They received free \$3,000 physicals for the members and

PAGE

753 spouses at the Cooper Health Clinic Spa in Dallas. The board 754 also created policies that, when you left the board, you 755 would become eligible for \$1,500 per month retirement as an 756 emeritus status and free lifetime insurance for not only the 757 members but all dependents.

The board, senior management, and their spouses and girlfriends traveled first-class to destinations all over the world. They stayed at luxury hotels, as you said, Ritz Carlton, the Four Seasons, and the like, when traveling on Cooperative business, with no approval process.

Mr. Chairman, as you said, we have identified \$700,000
in credit card bills that were paid without any approval
process of whether those expenses were legitimate cooperative
business.

767 Additionally, almost all cooperative expenditures were
768 not competitively bid, and the value of those expenditures is
769 not known and is currently under audit.

770 Compounding these abuses, board meetings were not 771 publicized or open to members. Members could not know or 772 attend meetings. I personally attempted to attend a board 773 meeting on January 3rd, 2008, and I was denied entrance into 774 the board meeting.

775 I could go on, but the fact that Pedernales Electric, if
776 they had had an open election process, probably these abuses
777 would not have occurred. Texas removed regulatory oversight

PAGE

39

778	over cooperatives in 1999 because we thought it was
779	redundant. We thought the members could determine how to run
780	the cooperative through the election process. If the members
781	were unhappy, they should be able to vote them out of office.
782	The failures to have true and honest elections at
783	Pedernales is the reason the Senate Committee on Business and
784	Commerce is currently looking at all electric cooperatives to
785	make sure that what happened at Pedernales is not happening
786	in other parts of the State with those 66 co-ops.
787	There have been reforms this year at Pedernales. Juan
788	Garza is going to outline the things that have happened this
789	year. We just had an election where five new members were
790	elected.
791	I will conclude with that and I will open up for
792	questions after the rest of the testimony.
793	Thank you.
794	[Prepared statement of Mr. Fraser follows:]
705	

795 ********* INSERT *********

797 Mr. Rose?	796	Cha:	irman	WAXMAN.	Thank	you	very	much,	Mr.	Fraser.	
	797	Mr.	Rose	?							
	·										
	•										
					·						
										. .	
											•

798 STATEMENT OF PATRICK ROSE

Mr. ROSE. Mr. Chairman, Mr. Marchant, it is a pleasure
to be with you. I am sorry that we have to be with you
today.

802 Since 2003 I have represented Johnson City and the 803 Pedernales Electric Cooperative headquarters in the Texas 804 House of Representatives. It is impossible to represent this District and not recognize PEC's rich history and 805 foundational role in central Texas. As an elected official 806 representing thousands of members and employees of this 807 808 organization, it is my duty to ensure its long-term success, 809 and that is why I am here before you today.

As the co-op navigates these turbulent times, I am committed to reforms that strike the balance between statutory oversight and local control. PEC members need and deserve a co-op that is open and transparent. We can do that with the right reforms at the State level, and Senator Fraser and I, working closely together over this last year and as we approach next session, are committed to do just that.

With the cost of energy continuing to rise at an alarming rate, our constituents rely on us to guarantee that the price we pay for gas at the pump and for the electricity we use to heat and cool our homes is reasonable and fair. We

41

PAGE 42

821 must provide those we represent the security of knowing that 822 they are not paying unwarranted prices for basic necessities, 823 and when we find that those we have entrusted to deliver 824 these essential services have wasted PEC members' resources 825 for their own gain, it is the role of government to step in 826 and fix this problem.

827 Bloated overhead, lavish expense accounts, full-time 828 employees who never showed up to work all were common 829 practice at the old PEC. The PEC board and senior management 830 have clearly taken advantage of its employees and members. 831 PEC employees are doing their job, and customers have 832 excellent service at a cost that is considerably lower than 833 investor-owned utilities in the State of Texas. We must end 834 the PEC board's and senior management's high salaries and 835 lavish spending in order to protect ratepayers in our co-op. 836 We need to implement laws that regulate co-op boards and at 837 the same time protect customers from high electricity costs. 838 I believe that statutory changes are the only way to 839 ensure that PEC keeps its electric rates low and shares its 840 profits with its members today and in the future. This 841 starts by overseeing the Navigant audited PEC that was 842 mandated as part of the settlement proceedings of the lawsuit 843 of which the Senator spoke. We must learn what went wrong in order to craft legislation that prevents mismanagement in the 844 845 future.

The results of the Navigant audit are expected in August, and nothing short of a complete retrospective look at past practices and transactions, as well as an analysis of appropriate benchmarks and standards to apply to PEC's operations prospectively, nothing short of both will be acceptable.

852 Should Navigant fall short, I will statutorily require
853 an audit to be conducted by the State Auditors Office when
854 the Legislature reconvenes in January 2009.

Mr. Chairman, public power only works when it is 855 transparent, and without transparency there is no meaningful 856 857 local control. During the next legislative session I am 858 prepared to file legislation that will require all electric 859 cooperatives in Texas to comply with the open meetings and 860 open records laws, to submit annual audits to the PUC for 861 their review, the Public Utilities Commission for their 862 review, and ensure fair and open elections at all co-ops in 863 Texas.

864 The intent of this legislation is to promote
865 transparency and informed member participation in all co-ops
866 in Texas. I believe this is the only way to fully prevent
867 mismanagement and fraud, guarantee low rates for our members,
868 and ensure the long-term success of one of central Texas'
869 greatest assets.

870

Thank you for allowing us to be here today.

871	[Prepared st	atement of Mr. R	ose follows:]	
872	******** INSERT	* * * * * * * * *	4	
		. ,		
	•			

44

HC	GO178.(000								PAGE		45
873		Chai	irman	WAXMAN.	Thank	you	very	much,	Mr.	Rose.		
874		Mr.	Watso	on?								
•												
											•	
	•											
										· .		

875 STATEMENT OF JOHN WATSON

Mr. WATSON. Chairman Waxman and Ranking Member Davis, I
appreciate the opportunity to offer a member's perspective on
the questionable and abusive practices at the Pedernales
Electric Cooperative. I will describe how those abuses led
to a member uprising and reforms. In spite of the problems,
I want to leave no doubt as to my strong support for electric
distribution co-ops and public power.

As a PEC member, I had attended annual meetings and asked for increased efforts toward greater energy conservation and increased reliance on renewable energy. I had urged greater transparency and openness. Those pleas produced no meaningful results.

888 In January 2007 the San Antonio Express News ran an 889 article detailing PEC Director compensation as disclosed on 890 the form 990 from the year 2000. This report triggered a 891 series of events that I believe can rightly be called a 892 member uprising. We began to organize. Other newspapers 893 began to investigate and report on the PEC. A class action 894 lawsuit was filed alleging abusive practices. Elected 895 officials were besieged by constituents and began demanding 896 more information and reforms.

897

Among the abusive practices uncovered at PEC were

46

PAGE 47

898 excessive compensation and benefits for Directors and senior 899 management; a closed nominating and election process leading 900 to a self-perpetuating board with an average tenure of 22 901 years; closed board meetings; absolute refusal to return 902 capital credits to members; refusal to provide information on 903 the wholly-owned subsidiary, Envision, and an utter lack of 904 transparency and openness.

905 Through the lawsuit discovery, we later learned of still 906 more serious lapses in fiduciary responsibility and ethical 907 conduct.

908 In January 2007 a small group of members decided to take 909 coordinated and decisive action to establish co-op member control, the core co-op principle. We continued to attempt 910 to work within the existing framework. 911 I called former 912 General Manager Bennie Fuelberg and asked to appear before 913 the board's nominating committee. Seven members attended and presented three candidates. All were highly qualified, but 914 915 the committee renominated the directors whose terms were 916 expiring so they were unopposed on the proxy ballots mailed 917 to members.

918 Next, a group of members attended the March 2007 board 919 meeting and presented a by-law amendment to change the 920 nominating and election process. Again, we were ignored. 921 In May 2007 the class action lawsuit was filed. 922 Throughout the summer and fall we continued to voice our

PAGE

923 demands. By now, those demands included the resignation of 924 all directors.

In November 2007, after plaintiff's deposition of senior 925 926 co-op management and directors, several rapid developments 927 occurred. The General Manager, Mr. Fuelberg, and the 928 President of the board, Mr. Burnett, announced their 929 retirements. New nominating and voting procedures were 930 adopted. The return to members of \$7.3 million of capital 931 credits was announced.

In January 2008 Mr. Juan Garza was hired as the new
General Manager. The local District Attorney launched a
criminal investigation. The board meetings were open to
members for the first time.

936 In March 2008 settlement of the class action lawsuit was 937 announced. In May, despite almost 300 objections protesting 938 the terms of that settlement, the judgment was entered. That 939 judgment is now on appeal.

940 Most members I think believe strongly in electric co-ops 941 and public power. We are convinced that the efforts of activated members such as myself and Mr. Higgins; the press, 942 943 especially Claudia Grisales of the Austin American Statesman 944 and Jodi Lehman of the Horseshoe Bay Beacon; elected 945 officials such as Senator Fraser and Representative Rose; and 946 the lawsuit have combined to begin the process of 947 establishing control of our co-op by its members. Quite

PAGE 49

948	frankly, we were asleep at the switch for far too long.
949	Mr. Garza has committed to work for many of the reforms
950	we have long sought, including bringing PEC into the
951	provisions of the Texas Open Meetings and Open Records Act;
952	however, I endorse it being embedded in the legislation.
953	Transparency and openness, combined with fair elections
954	leading to reduced director tenure, could have prevented many
955	of the abuses we suffered at Pedernales. Much remains to be
956	done, and we intend to remain active and vigilant. Working
957	with Mr. Garza and the five newly elected directors, we will
958	push until we have a co-op that is truly responsive to its
959	members and complies fully with the co-op principles.
960	Thank you for this opportunity to tell part of our
961	story. I will be pleased to answer any questions that the
962	Members might have.
963	[Prepared statement of Mr. Watson follows:]

964 ********* INSERT *********

•

965		Cha:	irman	WAXMAN.	Thank	you	very	much,	Mr.	Watson.	
966		Mr.	Higg:	ins?							
							·				
	,										
								• .			

967 | STATEMENT OF CARLOS HIGGINS

Mr. HIGGINS. Mr. Chairman and Members, I am Carlos
Higgins from Austin Texas. When you look at the name of our
co-op, you would naturally try to pronounce it Pedernales.
Those of you who knew President Johnson probably see him
saying Perdinalis. That is the way it is pronounced down
there. It is along the Perdinalis River.

This is my message, though: we know it was a big mistake now to trust that general manager and our board. We don't know yet what all they have done to us or how much it is going to cost us. It took that expensive class action lawsuit to get where we are now to find out that we had serious problems, and the pending settlement of that lawsuit is awful.

981 What can you do for our co-op and other co-ops? I do have a suggestion. First, what went wrong here with our 982 983 co-op, we had a general manager who became so powerful he was 984 able to hand pick the board, get-along board members who just merely did as they were told, apparently. The board members 985 986 ignored their fiduciary obligations to the co-op owners and 987 they apparently did not know they were not the general manager's employees or his amen chorus. They were quick to 988 help themselves, though, to lucrative compensation and perks, 989

PAGE

990 but gave us little to not oversight of our co-op.

My wife and I have been members of the Pedernales
Electric Co-op for 34 years now. Our co-op has grown
immensely in those years, but we have been completely
satisfied with the service and the rates all that time. We
do get reliable service.

We are like the majority of the owners: we lead fairly
996 We are like the majority of the owners: we lead fairly
997 busy lives, and we thought we had no reason to worry about
998 our co-op's operations. Board members seemed to be among the
999 pillars of their communities, so trusting them seemed to be a
1000 reasonable thing to do. We were wrong.

1001 A small group of owners had their suspicions about what 1002 was going on, especially when they got totally brushed off by 1003 the general manager and the board. They persisted and 1004 finally filed this lawsuit, and that shed some light on what 1005 was going on at our co-op.

This is clear: the board members are guilty of self-dealing and pretty much being asleep at the wheel when it comes to their oversight responsibilities. The is what the lawsuit did for us: all of the attention and publicity about the misdeeds at the PEC gave us some reforms, mainly letting the membership actually vote for its own board members.

1013So is everything okay now? Not at all. The lawsuit is1014far too expensive. It is costing about \$4 million. As to

PAGE

1015 the settlement of that lawsuit, it was forced on the 1016 membership. I challenge any of you to read through this 1017 settlement agreement and then stand up and say, well, not so 1018 bad. It is really bad.

More than 200 members took time to strenuously object to provisions in this settlement. The court ruled that three of our members, the plaintiffs in the lawsuit, were competent and able to speak for all 223,000 members. It is fiction to claim that they even came close to representing the views of the rest of us.

1025 In this settlement we, the members, forgive anyone and 1026 everyone and their attorneys for anything they may have done 1027 at the PEC, whether their deeds are known or unknown. We 1028 specifically forgive even any oral agreements that may have 1029 been made and any trusts that may have been set up. That 1030 arouses my suspicions right away.

What might all this forgiveness cost us? We don't have 1031 1032 a clue. We don't know. Some people tried to defend the settlement on the basis that it gave us good reforms at the 1033 1034 PEC. That is not exactly so. What gave us those reforms is the discovery in the lawsuit and the subsequent publicity, 1035 the spotlight of the press revealing misdeeds and who the 1036 culprits are. 1037

1038I doubt that this is a widespread problem among other1039co-ops. It is probably just ours, and we don't really want

54

1040 all the other co-ops and their members to be burdened because 1041 our manager and board messed up. Co-op owners are also, of 1042 course, co-op customers, and so that is an idea that ought to 1043 be protected and preserved. I really believe that. We have 1044 been punished enough at our PEC, so we don't want a bunch of 1045 other regulations to come down that burden us further and 1046 punish us any more.

1047 So what is the solution? We had a general manager grow 1048 so powerful he could run our co-op like it was his personal 1049 fiefdom. It took that expensive and awkward lawsuit to 1050 penetrate his barriers. We need a better tool.

1051 I think if we had had any authority at all under our own by-laws, a way to get through there and make some changes, we 1052 could have reigned these people in a whole lot sooner with a 1053 whole lot less fuss and cost. In our by-laws, all the power 1054 1055 resides in our board. All of it. If the board chose to do so right now, legally and quickly they could do away with all 1056 1057 of the reforms that have gone on before. So we really need some tools. That is what I recommend: that as a minimum, 1058 that the by-laws of co-ops be required to give members some 1059 1060 ultimate control.

1061 You have to be careful about how you structure the 1062 by-laws, but members have to have some tools. They can be as 1063 vigilant as all get-out, but they have to have the tools that 1064 allow them to do something about it, so that is my

PAGE

1065 | recommendation.

One more thought, Mr. Chairman. Thank you very much for 1066 allowing me to speak. We like our new general manager, Juan 1067 1068 Garza. He is getting our co-op back on course, but it is not 1069 that easy. The problem is for at least one more year he is 1070 working for this board, the majority of them who got us into this mess, so he is not really the guy that you need to ask 1071 the tough questions to. Those two and others are out there 1072 hiding some place. You really need to bring them in and make 1073 them answer some of these questions. 1074

1075 Thank you very much.

1076 [Prepared statement of Mr. Higgins follows:]

1077 ********* INSERT ********

1078		Cha	irman	WAXMAN.	Thank	you,	Mr.	Higgins.	
1079		Mr.	Garza	a?					
	·								
					•				
				• .					
-									
	-								· ·

1080 STATEMENT OF JUAN GARZA

1081 Mr. GARZA. Mr. Chairman, as the son of a migrant farm 1082 worker, Alejandro and his wife Maria, I am deeply, deeply 1083 honored to be here. Thank you so much for inviting us. 1084 President Johnson taught school in Cotulla, my home 1085 town, and even though he did teach there, we never were able 1086 to teach him that the proper way to pronounce Pedernales is 1087 Pedernales.

1088 [Laughter.]

1089 Mr. GARZA. As general manager of Pedernales, I have been 1090 selected to lead the Nation's largest electric cooperative, 1091 serving over 225,000 members, which was 219,000 when I 1092 started just in February.

PEC has a rich and proud heritage of providing reliable 1093 election service to its members. Historically, PEC has 1094 1095 focused on providing outstanding customer service, strong 1096 system reliability, financial stability, and fair rates. 1097 This focus has resulted in PEC being rated number one in the Country in customer service and number five of all utilities 1098 1099 in the Country in overall customer satisfaction by J.D. 1100 Power.

1101Throughout the service territory, as I have toured it1102since I have been appointed, I hear about the quality of the

57

1103 employees at PEC. They are the backbone of this company, and 1104 they carry out the mission of the corporation in a manner 1105 that makes me proud, indeed, to be their general manager.

1106 The people on this panel, especially Senator Fraser and 1107 Representative Rose, have been directly involved in helping 1108 to bring about dramatic and long-lasting changes to PEC. I 1109 know they are here today because they are interested in the 1110 future well-being of the cooperative.

1111 For the past 18 months Pedernales Electric has been 1112 faced with the challenge of responding to the concerns of its 1113 members regarding openness, transparency, and governance 1114 issues; however, I am here today to testify that these 1115 challenges have resulted in significant changes at PEC. 1116 In short, the cooperative system of local member control 1117 I believe has worked. Under the leadership of Mr. E.B. 1118 Price, the PEC's board has made these major changes:

1119 Our election system was revised to be more democratic 1120 and open. This past Saturday we had 58 candidates vying for 1121 five board positions. Over 30,000 members voted in that 1122 election.

1123 The position of coordinator, which is a paid chairman's 1124 position, the director emeritus, and the honorary director 1125 positions have all been eliminated and abolished.

1126 Our website now includes an array of business and 1127 governance information, including board meeting agendas, our

PAGE

59

1128 IRS 990 filings, and other critical information.

We have implemented a credible policy that includes expenses of the board being reviewed by a newly created expense and audit committee and made public. I want to add that even though I was at the game last night, I paid for that out of my pocket and I also used the Metro system.

1134 The monthly board meetings are now open to the public, 1135 videotaped, and posted online to allow for greater member 1136 participation. A board compensation committee has been 1137 appointed to make recommendations for adjusting compensation, 1138 which will be retroactive to March 10th when the settlement 1139 was first announced of the lawsuit.

1140 On March 10th a settlement agreement of the lawsuit 1141 brought by our members was reached. Judge Dietz, who 1142 presided, approved the agreement in April. PEC will comply 1143 with the terms of the settlement agreement, even though it is 1144 currently under appeal by a couple of our members.

1145 As part of the settlement agreement and as a condition 1146 of my employment, Navigant Consulting and Cox, Smith, 1147 Matthews, in cooperation with the Public Utility Commission 1148 of Texas, are conducting an investigation into the 1149 cooperative's operations over the last ten years. The 1150 results will be reported to our members.

1151 On the issue of capital credits, it is important to note 1152 that capital credits are not held in a fund; rather, they

1153 have been invested in electric infrastructure of a growing 1154 cooperative. This investment of capital credits reduces the 1155 need for borrowing, thereby lowering our rates. While the cooperative industry averages a percentage of assets at just 1156 1157 over 40 percent, PEC's corresponding ratio is about 35 1158 percent, and for all but the last two years it has hovered at or below 30 percent. This fact should dispel the myth that 1159 1160 PEC has been hoarding dollars and not paying capital credits.

60

PAGE

1161 The disbursement or reinvestment of capital credits is a 1162 local business decision that should be made annually, given 1163 the financial and operational status of the cooperative, with 1164 input--emphasize input--from the members and full disclosure 1165 of the decision annually.

1166 The PEC has made dramatic and long-lasting changes. As we strive to adhere to these new policies of openness and 1167 1168 transparency, we will also strive to be a national model for the principles upon which the cooperative was originally 1169 We will continue to strengthen our relationships 1170 formed. with our members, elected officials, and other interested 1171 1172 parties. We hold ourselves accountable to the new standards 1173 our members have set because they are the reason PEC exists. 1174 As member owners they have the right to a voice in the 1175 process, and we have a sacred obligation to ensure that their voice is being heard and acted upon. 1176

1177 This has been a very difficult year for the PEC, but

PAGE

61

1178 when you step back and look at the relatively rapid change in 1179 policies and the result of our historic election, I want this 1180 Committee to know that the co-op system of member control 1181 works, at least I believe that has been our experience at 1182 PEC. 1183 Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

1184 [Prepared statement of Mr. Garza follows:]

1185 ********* INSERT *********

1210

PAGE

1186	Chairman WAXMAN. Thank you, Mr. Garza.
1187	We are now going to go to the Members' questions at five
1188	minutes each, and I will start off with myself.
1189	What you have described is really astounding. Here is a
1190	co-opco-op, the name sounds like everybody is part of it
1191	and it is going to serve everybody's interests, and they are
1192	delivering the power. It doesn't seem to be any question
1193	about that. They are doing their job of getting electricity
1194	to their customers. But it is a closed system, very much
1195	like any undemocratic institution around the world. I think
1196	Mr. Mugabe could probably learn some things from Mr.
1197	Fuelberg. It is a closed system.
1198	Now, I could go through all these things that you have
1199	outlined: the expenses for travel, the self-dealings and
1200	pensions, the chocolates, the girlfriends traveling around,
1201	their wives getting physicals. It is just plain
1202	self-dealing, and I am sure in their minds they rationalized
1203	it. They had been working there for so long and they are
1204	delivering the electricity, and why not a few little perks,
1205	and who is going to ever know because they are never going to
1206	let it out publicly.
1207	It took a lawsuit, it took courageous and crusading
1000	
1208	journalists, it took members of the legislature to try to get

Co-op. And even then, as Mr. Higgins points out, we don't

PAGE

1211 know that it might not revert back until some of the board 1212 members who perpetuated all of this are replaced, or at least 1213 they are on notice that what they do is going to be made 1214 public.

1215 It is what we have heard on this Committee over and over 1216 again. We have heard from investors who tell us that the 1217 board of directors set the salary and compensation of their 1218 executives, and they walk away with huge bonuses, even when 1219 the corporations go in the tank and people are losing their 1220 money who owned the corporation and people are losing their 1221 jobs that worked for the corporation and the CEOs walk away 1222 with a huge amount of money.

1223 It seems to me that President Bush should be going back 1224 to Texas to try to democratize the co-ops. It would be a chance for more success there, I think, than some of the 1225 1226 places where we are making a huge military commitment. 1227 Mr. Garza, how do you respond to what Mr. Higgins said 1228 about the settlement? Do you think it was the best 1229 settlement just to avoid throwing more money into the lawsuit and didn't really resolve all the issues? 1230 1231

Mr. GARZA. Your Honor, it was my considered opinion that it was. The lawsuit was draining the energy of the co-op and the focus away from doing our job, and I felt that we needed to bring this to as quick a halt as we could. The minimum price for those lawyers was something like \$500 an hour, and

PAGE

1236 every hour just keeps mounting the cost. 1237 Chairman WAXMAN. Who paid for the lawyers? 1238 Mr. GARZA. The insurance company is paying for a 1239 portion, \$2.4 million, and the co-op membership is paying the remainder of it, \$1.6 million, for a total of \$4 million. 1240 1241 Chairman WAXMAN. Were co-op members paying for both sides in the lawsuit, the plaintiff and the defendants? 1242 1243 Mr. GARZA. In effect, that is basically what it amounts 1244to, Your Honor. 1245 Chairman WAXMAN. I am just a chairman, not an Honor, but 1246 thank you. 1247 [Laughter.] 1248 Chairman WAXMAN. Well, that sounds like public financing 1249 of lawsuits. A lot of people say we shouldn't allow these lawsuits because so much money goes into attorneys' fees. 1250 1251 Well, that is absolutely right. They shouldn't be necessary. But if you didn't have that lawsuit, Mr. Higgins, I suppose 1252 1253 a lot of these facts never would have gotten out. Is that 1254 your assessment? 1255 Mr. HIGGINS. Absolutely. That is the only way that we were going to learn what was going on there was this lawsuit. 1256 1257 Chairman WAXMAN. You had to force the information out. Do you think if we had a requirement in all of these co-ops 1258 1259 around the Country--we don't know if any other co-op is acting the way Pedernales has, but if we had at least a 1260

1277

requirement of more openness with the by-laws allowing 1261 members to get information, try and eliminate the iron 1262 curtain that blocks out what the investors and the owners of 1263 1264 the co-op should know, do you think that would be helpful? Mr. HIGGINS. Absolutely, but you need two things. 1265 You 1266 need at least some of the members that are vigilant, paying 1267 attention, and trying to find out what is going on, but they 1268 need the tools to work with in order to do anything about it, 1269 and we did not have the tools here, and that is what I am a 1270 strong advocate for. Give us the tools to work with. We 1271 don't have them yet really. We have some reforms, but they 1272 can be reversed.

1273 Chairman WAXMAN. I would like to get from you in more 1274 detail some of your recommendations for what you think the 1275 Federal Government might do by way of legislation. 1276 Mr. HIGGINS. Yes, sir. I would be happy to.

[The information follows:]

1278 ********* COMMITTEE INSERT *********

65

PAGE

1279 Chairman WAXMAN. I say that, I want to make it very 1280 clear. We don't want to regulate these. We don't want to 1281 put extra burdens on them financially. We are not talking about that. I would just like to make sure that there is an 1282 1283 openness in co-ops so that when the pillars of the community 1284 tell our members that they are certainly running honest co-ops, not like those Pedernales people, we don't know if 1285 1286 that is true or not.

1287 Mr. HIGGINS. And one other thing there. When you look 1288 at how much they have siphoned off, whatever amount that is, 1289 we don't know, but whatever it is, when you spread it among 223,000 or more people or households, then it is not going to 1290 1291 make or break any individual, and it may not be enough to get 1292 our attention to know that there is something going wrong 1293 there, but whatever amount it is spread among it ought to be 1294 stopped. It is the principle of the thing.

1295 It is repugnant to have people like this get in and 1296 abuse our trust in these positions, siphon off an awful lot 1297 of money to feather their own next at our expense.

1298 Thank you very much.

1299 I think Mr. Marchant is the one I would call next to 1300 pursue questions.

1301 Mr. MARCHANT. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

1302 Mr. Rose, you have outlined a prospective legislative 1303 package if the Navigant audit does not come back the way you

PAGE

1304 think it ought to. Do you have the same kind of agreement 1305 with the Senate as far as their willingness? Senator Fraser, 1306 are you willing to enter into the same kind of legislative

1307 package?

1308 Mr. ROSE. I will begin by saying the three legislative 1309 proposals that I outlined in the opening remarks I want to 1310 have occur however that Navigant audit turns out. We need 1311 open meetings and open records to apply to all co-ops across 1312 the State. We need all of our co-ops to submit third-party, 1313 independent audits to the Public Utility Commission annually, in my opinion. We also need minimum standards of governance 1314 1315 so that good people can run for the board and have a fair 1316 shot at being elected.

Unless those three things occur, I don't think we have real local control. If we have real local control, we have over 200,000 highly qualified, very intelligent, very able members of the co-op who are going to be able to make sure that goes well.

1322 So the Navigant audit, Congressman, it is important for 1323 us to monitor, it is important for us to see just what 1324 happened so that we can figure out what is needed in the way 1325 of reform. If that Navigant audit stops short of disclosing 1326 everything it needs to do from past practices and policies 1327 and abuses, then I believe the State ought to step in, and I 1328 believe we ought to mandate the same State audit that the

PAGE

1329 Senator and I spoke about earlier this year requiring of the 1330 co-op. 1331 Mr. MARCHANT. Senator, what course would you plan on taking in your committee? 1332 1333 Mr. FRASER. I think Representative Rose has outlined it 1334 exactly right, the things that we have got to do is to put a 1335 little sunshine on this, that open records, open meetings are a must, and I think it will have broad support, bipartisan 1336 1337 support in both the Senate and the House. But we have also got to ensure fair elections and also have the ability to 1338 1339 audit. 1340 One of the things that we are going to be looking at is 1341 a sunset review. They are the equivalent of a quasi-State 1342 agency, and the State has got to know what they are doing, of 1343 which obviously in the past we haven't had the ability to do So it is going to depend a lot on what happens between 1344 that. 1345 now and November, but we have, as you know, the authority in 1346 Texas the any regulatory authority that we need, even to the 1347 point of dismissing the current board if needed. 1348 Mr. MARCHANT. Thank you. It is a little bit like being in high school again, being in Congress. They ring the 1349 bells. We have votes. 1350 1351 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Mr. ISSA. Would the gentleman yield? 1352 1353 Mr. MARCHANT. Yes. Absolutely.

PAGE

n would vield.

Mr. ISSA. Thank you. If the gentleman would yield, I just want to follow up on the gentleman's statement. He knows more about Texas than I do, but I just want to understand. You have the ability essentially to regulate this and any corporation, and if you choose to you can create all the transparency that you want to within State law; is that correct?

Mr. FRASER. I am going to clarify. You used the word corporation, and regulating the corporation is not--Mr. ISSA. Let me rephrase. I will take the corporation out. What Federal assistance, if any, would you need because you lack the authority within the State of Texas to create the transparency you need?

1367 Mr. FRASER. We appreciate the input of this Committee 1368 looking at it, but Texas has all the authority we need and 1369 actually are moving forward in making sure that we exercise 1370 that authority, so there is nothing in the regulatory 1371 spectrum that Texas does not have.

1372This is a quasi-State agency. It was created by the1373State, and we believe we have sufficient authority to do1374anything we need, even to the point of full regulation.

Mr. ISSA. So today the things we should realize are: don't mess with Texas, and let's get on to providing low-cost electricity in a time of incredible spiraling energy costs, natural gas, coal, and all other forms.

PAGE

1379	Mr. FRASER. And we believe this is the State's issue and
1380	we have sufficient authority. We are not asleep at the
1381	wheel. We are aggressively going after this and we will
1382	address this. I am making sure. This happened once; I want
1383	to make sure it is not happening other places. In Texas we
1384	have 66 co-ops. We are looking at all of them.
1385	Mr. ISSA. Excellent. Thank you.
1386	Mr. Chairman, I would also ask unanimous consent that my
1387	opening statement be made a part of the record.
1388	Chairman WAXMAN. That is already agreed to.
1389	Have you already adopted your legislation, or are these
1390	just proposals?
1391	Mr. FRASER. We have not been in session since this is
1392	going on. We go into session January the 8th of next year,
1393	and Patrick is going to carry the legislation on the House
1394	side, I will be carrying it on the Senate side. And so the
1395	answer is no, it has not been adopted, but I have an interim
1396	study going that we are in the process of meeting on right
1397	now, so it is being formulated.
1398	Chairman WAXMAN. Well, I wish you all the best.
1399	Mr. Cooper?
1400	Mr. COOPER. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
1401	I think Mr. Clay wanted to go ahead. I yield to him.
1402	Chairman WAXMAN. Mr. Clay, I will recognize you.
1403	Mr. CLAY. Thank you, Mr. Cooper, and thank you, Mr.
1	

PAGE

1404 Chairman.

1405 The leadership of Pedernales didn't just spend co-op money on hotels and flights for themselves and their spouses; 1406 they also charged Pedernales for thousands of dollars worth 1407 1408 of meals and drinks. One group dinner at a San Antonio steak 1409 house cost \$3,500. Another steak house meal cost \$2,900. We 1410 have the co-op credit card statements and receipts for a 1411 lobster dinner for two and a trip to an oyster bar in New 1412 Orleans.

1413 Here is a bill from Morton's Steak House, seven rib-eyes, twenty mini crab cakes, twenty salmon pinwheels, 14141415 even three callosal shrimp Alexanders. Those were \$59 each. 1416 We also know the co-op was paying for bar tabs when 1417 Bennie Fuelberg and the board of directors drank while 1418 traveling for conferences and meetings. The members were 1419 paying for alcohol at a jazzy hotel lounge in New Orleans and hotel bars at the Four Seasons and the Ritz Carlton in St. 1420 Louis, Big Sky Resort in Montana, and I could go on. 1421 1422 Mr. Garza, was this kind of spending or fancy meals and 1423 drinks excessive to you?

1424 Mr. GARZA. Yes, it was.

1425Mr. CLAY. Will the new expense policy allow the1426directors to charge the co-op for their fine dining?1427Mr. GARZA. No, it will not.

1428 Mr. CLAY. You have changed that policy in a way that

PAGE

1429 what will happen? Will they pay their own meals? 1430 Mr. GARZA. Theoretically it could happen. Yes. 1431 Mr. CLAY. And they will pay for their own bar tabs? 1432 Mr. GARZA. Yes. The policy does not allow paying for 1433 alcohol. 1434 Mr. CLAY. Let me ask Mr. Watson, Mr. Watson, were you 1435 surprised when you learned that you and the other co-op 1436 members were footing the bill for these steakhouse dinners? 1437 Mr. WATSON. Yes, sir, I was. 1438 Mr. CLAY. And the former general manager also charged 1439 thousands of dollars to his co-op credit.card for Godiva chocolates. Apparently he had Godiva chocolates in his 1440 1441 office for select staff and visitors. Is that accurate, Mr. 1442 Garza? 1443 Mr. GARZA. That is correct. Mr. CLAY. And I assume the co-op is no longer spending 14441445 thousands of dollars on chocolates? 1446 Mr. GARZA. That is correct. Mr. CLAY. All right. I am glad to hear that these 1447 1448 abusive practices have been stopped. What concerns me is 1449 that the excessive spending on meals, alcohol, and chocolates 1450 went on for years and years without being detected, and they 1451 could be going on at other co-ops. It is the absence of 1452 oversight and true member control that allows this kind of 1453 behavior to go undetected for decades.

PAGE

I will yield to the gentleman from Indiana. 1454 1455 Mr. BURTON. I just have one question. Well, two really. Who won the ball game last night? 1456 1457 Mr. GARZA. The Nationals. 1458 Mr. BURTON. I was just kidding. 1459 Mr. GARZA. The Nationals, bottom of the ninth. 1460 Mr. BURTON. Okay. All right. Do you have a Public Service Commission in Texas? I presume you do. 1461 1462 Mr. GARZA. Yes, we do. 1463 Mr. CLAY. I am going to reclaim my time and yield back. 1464 Thank you so much, Mr. Chairman. 1465 Mr. BURTON. I just have one or two questions real quick. 1466 Mr. CLAY. The gentleman has time. Why doesn't he yield? 1467 Mr. BURTON. We have got votes on and I am not going to take all the time. 1468 1469 You have a Public Service Commission. I am just 1470 curious. The co-ops are regulated or overseen by the Public 1471 Service Commission, aren't they, in Texas? 1472 Mr. FRASER. No, they are not. 1473 Mr. BURTON. They are not? 1474Mr. FRASER. The wires and transmission is regulated by 1475 rate. We have a postage stamp rate. 1476 Mr. BURTON. Okay. 1477 Mr. FRASER. But the rate authority is not overseen. They are unregulated. 1478

1479 Mr. BURTON. So I presume your legislation is going to 1480 give the Public Service Commission some oversight authority 1481 there?

Mr. FRASER. We are going to determine what is needed. We believe that if you put sunshine on the process where we allow open meetings, open records where the members can see what is going on and you have fair elections, we solve a lot of that.

Mr. BURTON. Well, Senator, the only reason I ask that is in any State it seems to me that if there is a question of abuse there ought to be a regulatory agency they can go to immediately and start raising the issue so that there can be an investigation. I don't know if it is that way in Indiana. I am going to check after having heard your testimony.

Mr. FRASER. The place of appeal on this, we didn't have
a place for them to go for appeal. I agree with you.
Mr. BURTON. Thank you.

1496 Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

1497 Chairman WAXMAN. We do have some votes on the House 1498 floor. We will recess. I think we can get back here in 15 1499 or 20 minutes, so let's recess until 11:50.

1500 [Recess.]

1501 Chairman WAXMAN. The hour of 11:50 having come and gone, 1502 I would like to reconvene the meeting. I am sorry it took a 1503 little longer than I had hoped it would.

PAGE

PAGE

To pursue further questions, I want to recognize Mr. 1504 1505 Cooper. Mr. COOPER. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 1506 1507 Mr. Garza, does Pedernales belong to the National Rural 1508 Electric Co-op Association? 1509 Mr. GARZA. Yes, it does. Mr. COOPER. Are you aware that Mr. English, the head of 1510 the Association, who will be testifying on the next panel, 1511 1512 has at least stoutly claimed to me--and I think this is an official position of the Association--that co-ops are not 1513 1514 public power? 1515 Mr. GARZA. I have heard the argument. If you look at Pedernales, we buy 99 percent of our power from the LCRA. 1516 We 1517 are accountable to our members, which essentially is the 1518 public. To me that pretty much defines public power. But I 1519 understand there is another argument here. 1520 Mr. COOPER. But Representative Rose, Mr. Watson, and 1521 perhaps some others stoutly stress in their testimony that 1522 they believe in their co-op, they believe in public power, 1523 and yet you belong to a trade association that says you are not public power? 1524 1525 Mr. GARZA. That is correct. Mr. COOPER. Why do you pay dues for an organization that 1526 1527 doesn't uphold your beliefs? Mr. GARZA. Because we come from the same roots as the 1528

PAGE

1529	rest of the co-ops in the Country.
1530	Mr. COOPER. This is the opposite. You say you are
1531	public power; they say you are not. Who is right?
1532	Mr. GARZA. I believe that I am right.
1533	Mr. COOPER. But you are paying your ratepayers' money to
1534	an organization that says you are wrong.
1535	Mr. GARZA. If you go beyond that fundamental difference
1536	of opinionand I understand that it is a differenceand you
1537	look at the technical problems that we face as distribution
1538	co-ops, how to deal with the
1539	Mr. COOPER. I agree on engineering and things like that.
1540	Mr. GARZA. We can share good information.
1541	Mr. COOPER. But on the fundamental, philosophical point
1542	of what your organization is, as you say, there is a
1543	fundamental disagreement, so why do you belong to it?
1544	Mr. GARZA. For the purpose of sharing information on how
1545	to best serve our members, and especially sharing technical
1546	information on how to best design and implement the most
1547	modern innovations that we can use to serve our members.
1548	Mr. COOPER. Would you belong to a communist organization
1549	that had good engineering capabilities?
1550	Mr. GARZA. Absolutely not.
1551	Mr. COOPER. But one that denies the existence of public
1552	power for co-ops is okay?
1553	Mr. GARZA. Even though it is a fundamental difference, I

1554 consider it something that is outweighed by the value that 1555 they bring in terms of the exchange of technological 1556 knowledge.

1557 Mr. COOPER. Senator Fraser?

1558 Mr. FRASER. Yes. I think the clarification you are 1559 trying to make, I actually agree with the concept that they 1560 are not public power because they are a distribution. Really 1561 they are a resale and a billing operation as a co-op. Ιf 1562 they were generation, as we have nine generation co-ops, they are part of the power generators. And so I would say that 1563 1564 Pedernales, I don't think they are public power. I think 1565 they are a distribution and a billing entity as a co-op. 1566 Mr. COOPER. So you are contradicting your colleague,

1567 Representative Rose, and Mr. Watson--

Mr. FRASER. Well, I won't speak for Representative Rose.
Mr. COOPER.--in their sworn testimony before this
1570 Committee?

1571 Mr. FRASER. I am giving my opinion that I believe that 1572 it is a distribution company.

1573 Mr. COOPER. So this is a fundamental difference of 1574 opinion. Another fundamental issue--and I don't want to 1575 unsettle your settlement down there, but I am a little 1576 worried that you all may have been hoodwinked and perhaps 1577 sucker punched by this, because everyone wants full 1578 disclosure, right?

1579 Mr. FRASER. And, Representative Cooper, I appreciate 1580 that. I have oversight over the industry, and the 1581 industry--this is a co-op distribution company, and I 1582 personally see that--

1583 Mr. COOPER. But, Senator, in response to Congressman 1584 Burton's question it was revealed that there was no one to 1585 complain to in Texas State government about co-op problems 1586 because you all had abjured your jurisdiction, apparently.

But this other fundamental disagreement we need to get into is this: everyone is for disclosure. Why hasn't anyone told you it has been a Federal tax law since 1972, a long time, that every electric co-op shall keep open books and records accessible to members at any time? That was a ruling from 1972. All we need to do is enforce existing Federal law.

1594 Mr. WATSON. May I comment on that?

1595 Mr. COOPER. Yes, sir.

1596 Mr. WATSON. I am aware of that law. I will say about 1597 the NRECA, last year when I began looking into capital 1598 credits I became aware that there was something called the 1599 Task Force Report on Capital Credits that had been prepared 1600 under the auspices of the NRECA. I called the NRECA and 1601 talked to, I would say, about six or seven people attempting to get a copy of that report, and they would not give it to 1602 1603 They asked me, Are you a board member? I said, no, I am me.

PAGE 79

1604 merely the person that pays your salary. I am a member of a 1605 co-op that is a member of the NRECA. Yet they stonewalled me 1606 on attempting to get that.

Now, on public power I finally did get it through the Blue Bonnet Electric Co-op in Texas, of which I am also a member. But I disagree with Senator Fraser. I believe it is public power, although we are in a shady area here.

1611 I think when Texas deregulated utilities they let the co-ops slide into a netherland; yet, on the other hand, with 1612 all due respect to our elected representatives here, I have 1613 observed Texas government for many, many years. 1614 I am 71 1615 years old. I worked in the government when I was in law 1616 school. Regulatory agencies in Texas are all too often the captive of the regulated industries. It would not lend 1617 comfort to me to think that the PUC was all we could rely on. 1618 Please do not accept assurances that the State of Texas can 1619 take care of its own problems. We have often demonstrated 1620 1621 that, in fact, we cannot do that in Texas.

1622 Mr. COOPER. Representative Rose?

Mr. ROSE. Congressman, I appreciate all the work that you have done on this issue. I read your article on the plan write-up here last night. I will say this: the Senator and local I both agree that it is important for us to have meaningful local control at the co-op level, and ultimately that is the best check and balance on decisions at the board and senior

PAGE

1629 management level in co-ops.

I do believe in statute we can require open meetings and 1630 I do believe we can require an annual report 1631 open records. to the PUC. And I do believe we can set minimum standards 1632 for governance. If we do that, I believe we have taken a 1633 long step forward toward correcting these problems moving 1634 1635 forward. And on those three points we absolutely agree. 1636 Mr. COOPER. I heard that you favor a State audit. It is my impression that Pedernales rejected a State audit because 1637 1638 that would have been --

Mr. ROSE. Yes, sir. The Senator and I formally 1639 requested that earlier this calendar year. I believe the 1640 more light we can shine on these past practices the better 1641 for our co-op and our membership so that we can figure out 1642 exactly what is needed in the way of reform and statute as we 1643 move forward. This Navigant audit, we are working very 1644 closely, the Senator and I both are, with Navigant and with 1645 1646 the PUC as they oversee and review. I said it in my opening 1647 remarks and I will say it again: if that Navigant audit stops in any manner, shape, or form short of where they need 1648 1649 to get totally to get the answers to the co-op membership, the questions that we have, I will move forward next session 1650 and require a State audit. 1651

1652Mr. COOPER. Last question, because I see my time has1653expired. Is Pedernales today telling every customer what is

1654 in his or her capital accounts?

1655 Mr. WATSON. No.

1656 Mr. COOPER. Why not?

1657 Mr. WATSON. I don't know. It is required by law, and 1658 yet they are not.

1659 Mr. COOPER. So this is private property that citizens 1660 are not allowed to know about?

1661 Mr. WATSON. Well, at least it is not being reported to 1662 us on an annual basis, which is my understanding of what the 1663 Internal Revenue Code requires.

Mr. COOPER. After all the turmoil and upset you all have gone through, all the \$4 million in legal fees, members still don't know exactly what they own?

Mr. WATSON. That is correct. And I will also say that 1667 1668 two weeks ago I requested the opportunity to come to the headquarters of the PUC in Johnson City and read the minutes 1669 from January 2007 through the current date. Those minutes 1670 1671 were not made available to me, couldn't be made available to 1672 me because they are being redacted. There was even a 1673 scrivener's error or correction in connection with this lawsuit, if you can believe that old term. Mr. Garza is 1674 working hard to open up. Mr. Garza I hope has become a 1675 1676 friend of mine, but he understands that I am still extremely critical. 1677

1678

We have four hold-over board members from the old regime

81

PAGE

1679 who have the nerve to think that they can constitute a Compensation Committee to correct the mistakes they made 1680 themselves, who are undermining, in my view, Mr. Garza's 1681 1682 efforts to open up this. I am doubtful now whether there is 1683 a majority on the board as it currently exists to voluntarily come under the Open Records Act, which is what we had all 1684 been hoping for pending legislation, perhaps in the session 1685 1686 which will begin in January. We want it to begin now. Mr. COOPER. When did Texas stop believing in private 1687 1688 property? I thank you, Mr. Chairman. 1689 1690 Chairman WAXMAN. Thank you, Mr. Cooper. I want to pursue some further questions. 1691 Mr. Watson, you have expressed your view that you don't 1692 1693 think the State law in Texas, as good as it may be, that is 1694 being offered by Mr. Fraser and Mr. Rose is going to be 1695 Is that a correct statement? enough. 1696 Mr. WATSON. Well, I am not certain it is going to be 1697 enough. It is easier to kill a legislative proposal in the 1698 State of Texas than it is to pass one. Chairman WAXMAN. That is true here, too. 1699

Mr. WATSON. And it is possible that these are highly skilled legislators and influential legislators, and so it is quite possible that the good legislation that I am sure they will draft and introduce will, in fact, wend its way through

PAGE

PAGE

1704 committees and stalling and go to other committees, the 1705 calendars committee and so forth and so on. It is a very 1706 convoluted process. I am trying not to be too pessimistic 1707 about it; however, I am not sure that that will fully take 1708 care of it.

The practices of the PEC--and I have 1709 It worries me. 1710 said this for almost three years now--I believe jeopardized 1711 the tax-exempt status of the PEC, hoarding and building up permanent equity, which is not permitted; not complying with 1712 1713 the disclosure about property ownership, as Congressman 1714 Cooper pointed out. So some of these may be more national issues or issues related to matters that are more under the 1715 1716 purview of the Committee.

1717 Chairman WAXMAN. Mr. Higgins, what are your thoughts on 1718 that?

1719 Mr. HIGGINS. Well, I tend to agree with Mr. Watson here, but I would just add to that that there is one PEC here in 1720 1721 one area of the State, and the members of the legislature in 1722 that area are very concerned and determined to do something. At the same time, legislators in other areas of States with 1723 1724 their own co-ops will probably have a different view about 1725 added regulation. So it is a huge barrier to overcome to get 1726 to the point that legislation is, in fact, enacted to become law to regulate all of the co-ops. 1727

1728 Chairman WAXMAN. Does anybody on the panel know how many

1729 States that have co-ops also have laws like that being 1730 proposed? Mr. Fraser? Mr. Rose?

[No response.]

1732 Chairman WAXMAN. We don't know that.

Well, I must share my concern also that even when you have regulatory agencies that are supposed to be watching over the industries to be regulated, they often become captive of the industries, themselves, although at least you have some place to go when you have a complaint with the regulatory agency.

1739 A root cause of the problems at Pedernales appear to be the undemocratic process it has for selecting its board 1740 members. In theory, the board of directors is directed by 1741 the members and members are able to hold the board 1742accountable through the electoral process, but that is not 1743 what is happening at Pedernales. Until recently, incumbent 1744directors selected a nominating committee, which in turn 1745 1746 endorsed the preferred slate of incumbent directors, in some 1747 cases family members of the incumbent directors were placed on the nominating committee, so the son or brother of a 1748 1749 director would be on the nominating committee and, surprise, 1750 that director would be nominated for another term. Only the 1751 slate of candidates approved by the nominating committee appeared on the ballot, so there was a ballot with just one 1752 name for each open position. It was all but impossible for 1753

85

1754 anyone but hand-picked members to be elected to the board. 1755 Mr. Watson, when you and other members tried to get some 1756 new candidates on the ballot what happened?

1757 Mr. WATSON. When we appeared before the Nominating 1758 Committee in March of 2007 we presented three candidates that 1759 we asked be placed on the ballot. We didn't say, don't place 1760 your own other people on the ballot. By the way, it is my 1761 understanding that those seven appointees, one from each of 1762 the seven voting directors, that constituted that committee 1763 were paid a stipend for serving on that committee.

I looked at all 17 directors, 7 voting directors and 10 1764 1765 advisory directors. Every single one of those 17 people were originally appointed to the board by the board. In other 1766 1767 words, a vacancy occurred during a term--I think that is the way they arranged it -- and a new member was appointed by the 1768 board, who then became the incumbent when the election rolled 1769 1770 around. Not that it would have mattered, because there was 1771 never any competition.

1772 But they absolutely refused. In fact, when we were 1773 leaving the committee hearing that day at the headquarters at 1774 the PEC, Mr. Fuelberg walked us down to the lobby, and we asked him specifically, is there anything in your view in the 1775 by-laws that would prevent the nominating committee from 1776 1777 nominating more than one person for a position? In other 1778 words, setting up, oh my goodness, an election that actually

PAGE

1779 had two people or three. He said no, but it had never been 1780 done in his memory, and his memory went back about 40 years. 1781 And we said, well, do it this time, please. Of course, they 1782 didn't do it.

Chairman WAXMAN. Well, as I understand it, you say 40 1783 My information was no one successfully challenged the 1784 years. 1785 slate picked by the board's nominating committee for 30 1786 straight years, maybe longer, and when write-in candidates challenged the official slate the sitting directors exercised 1787 thousands of proxy votes to defeat them. There were even 1788 1789 prize give-aways for members who signed their votes over to 1790 the board's proxy committee. The prizes, which were donated by vendors, ranged from TVs to gift certificates. 1791

1792 Is that correct?

1793 Mr. WATSON. That is correct.

1794 Chairman WAXMAN. And, Mr. Garza, you wouldn't say this 1795 was a fair or democratic system for electing directors, would 1796 you?

1797 Mr. GARZA. No, I would not.

1798 Chairman WAXMAN. Under your State law would that be 1799 prohibited or change, Mr. Rose?

1800 Mr. ROSE. Congressman, when this began to come to light 1801 a year ago, the Senator and I both became engaged because we 1802 had members and our constituents and ourselves were all 1803 alarmed by what was going on. This is a statement of the

1804 obvious, but, just to be clear, unless your name is on the 1805 proxy ballot that is mailed to the membership, you don't have 1806 a chance to win that vote. There aren't enough people who 1807 show up at the meeting, itself, to vote. You are overwhelmed 1808 by the votes that come in by mail.

The old PEC process was such that, as Mr. Watson says, 1809 nobody other than the hand-picked Nominating Committee 1810 designated candidates were in the proxy ballot in the 1811 mailbox. So on September 4th of last year I wrote to the PEC 1812 and requested five changes or reforms. One of them was to 1813 reform the election process so that folks could access that 1814 1815 proxy ballot, members could access the proxy ballot by petition. Some co-ops do that today in Texas. 1816

1817 I want to praise the co-op board for having made that 1818 change and what resulted in 58 candidates running this time. 1819 When I received the ballot in the mail as a member, I had 1820 those names on my ballot and I could cast, as a mail-in 1821 ballot--I attended the meeting, but as a mail-in voter I 1822 could choose any of them.

I think as we look toward governance changes next session--the Senator and I have been talking about it--we are going to work on it as we approach the January session. We have to have a signature-based or petition-based avenue to the proxy ballot guarantee. Short of that, you don't have real democratic governance for the co-op.

87

PAGE

PAGE

1829 Chairman WAXMAN. Thank you.

1830 Mr. Cooper, I want to recognize you.

1831 Mr. COOPER. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

1832 We have focused just on Pedernales, but let's talk about 1833 another Texas co-op. It is outside of Fort Worth, got tired 1834 of being in the co-op business, so it decided to go in the 1835 hotel and golf course business, borrowed a billion 1836 dollars--billion with a B--and went bankrupt. This is in the jurisdiction of the great State of Texas. Any opinion on 1837 1838 that? Is that proper co-op behavior? You want to sanction 1839 Pedernales going into the hotel/golf course business like the 1840 Fort Worth co-op did?

1841 Mr. FRASER. If you will allow me to answer that, Mr. 1842 Cooper, Federal law very clearly says that 85 percent of the 1843 business has to be in the resale of power. We did have a 1844 renegade that took off.

I actually would take it one step further and say I don't believe a distribution company should even be in the generation business. I don't believe they should be getting in outside businesses. I think they should concentrate only in the sale of the resale of electricity. So no, that is not acceptable behavior.

1851 Mr. COOPER. My memory is not perfect, but I think the 1852 Committee memo for this hearing said that under investigation 1853 50 percent of the co-ops that have gone into other businesses

PAGE 89

1854 have exceeded the 15 percent threshold. That is a 50 percent 1855 error rate. That is a very high percentage. For anyone to 1856 borrow a billion and put at risk the good faith of their 1857 customers who signed up for electricity business, not a hotel 1858 business/golf course business, that is amazing.

1859 Let's look at some others outside of your State. There is a co-op outside of Atlanta, Cobb, that subcontracted out 1860 1861 its entire operation--every truck, every light pole--to a 1862 for-profit subsidiary secretly owned by co-op managers. So 1863 if you think you have a scandal at Pedernales, Godiva Chocolates and Celine Dion seems a little bit tame in 1864 1865 comparison to this master plan. And it has been under way 1866 and is still underway for the last ten years.

1867 Mr. FRASER. One of the things that I plan to pursue is a 1868 prohibition against the co-ops getting into other sideline 1869 businesses. One of those would be generation of power. We 1870 have a concern about using capital credits to invest in power 1871 generation. At least it is my opinion that co-ops in Texas 1872 should not be doing that, and that is not a good use of 1873 capital credit money.

1874 Mr. COOPER. What about our friends in Alabama who did 1875 not have a board of directors election for their co-op for 38 1876 years? So as great as the Texas Legislature is, you all 1877 don't have jurisdiction outside of the State boundaries. 1878 These problems seem to be mounting in a number of different

PAGE 90

1879	areas, but it all depends on an enterprising reporter like
1880	Margaret Newkirk, like Claudia Grisales, and there was
1881	another one you mentioned, Mr. Watson, that I don't remember.
1882	Mr. WATSON. Jodi Lehman from Horseshoe Bay.
1883	Mr. COOPER. Those have become the watchdogs of
1884	democracy. The legislature was asleep, we were asleep, and
1885	those few intrepid reporters, sometimes relying on inside
1886	tips, were able to blow the whistle and help shine the light
1887	where it needed to be shined.
1888	I thank you, Mr. Chairman.
1889	Chairman WAXMAN. Thank you, Mr. Cooper.
1890	Mrs. Foxx?
1891	Mrs. FOXX. Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman.
1892	Let me say that I am a long-time member of a rural
1893	cooperative. Both my electricity and my telephone services
1894	come from co-ops. I am very dependent on those for my energy
1895	and my phone. In fact, I think my phone service is superior
1896	to phone service I could get anywhere else.
1897	But I am not a fan of the Federal Government getting
1898	involved in things that it doesn't need to get involved in.
1899	I have made many speeches in this Committee, on the floor,
1900	and in other committees about that.
1901	I was the only member of North Carolina State Senate
1902	that voted against allowing co-ops to compete with private
1903	enterprise in North Carolina, because I have great concerns

PAGE

91

1904 about that, too. I told the head of my co-op, with whom I 1905 have spoken recently, that I would be happy to come to this 1906 hearing and talk about my concern about the Federal 1907 Government not getting involved, but would also express my 1908 concerns that I have expressed in the past about the role of 1909 co-ops.

I guess one of the questions I would like to ask Mr.
Fraser or others on a panel is: how do you think that the
problems that have been exposed by this panel and by Mr.
Cooper should be dealt with if not dealt with by the Federal
Government? What do you think should be done? And if you
could make fairly short answers, then I would like to make a
couple of other comments.

1917 Mr. FRASER. Madam Representative, we believe the State 1918 of Texas has sufficient authority to solve this problem. We 1919 have full regulatory control that we can exercise if needed, 1920 and we are in the process to determine that. I appreciate, 1921 as I said in my opening comments, that the Federal Government 1922 is looking at this. We appreciate their interest, but the 1923 State of Texas has sufficient authority and we need no other 1924 additional authority from the Federal Government to address 1925 this issue.

1926Mr. COOPER. Would the gentlelady yield for just a1927second?

1928 How about on disclosure of private property in Texas?

PAGE

1929 Do you need any help on that issue?

Mr. FRASER. Disclosure of private property? Give me a-Mr. COOPER. That is what we were discussing earlier.
Pedernales is still not telling each member what he or she
owns in the cooperative. That is private property.
Mr. FRASER. You missed the conversation I had with Juan
Garza, General Manager. Starting within the next billing
cycle, he is going to be putting on all the bills everyone's

1937 capital credit issue. I have been advised by the Association 1938 of Co-ops in Texas that the bulk of those are doing it, but 1939 it is something that I am going to pursue that every month on 1940 their bill it will say that in Pedernales I have \$2,342 in 1941 equity in that company.

1942 The thing you are asking is something we have the 1943 ability to do, and it is just a matter of we didn't have it 1944 done, but it is going to get done.

1945 Mr. COOPER. Thank you.

1946 Mrs. FOXX. Mr. Rose?

Mr. ROSE. Congresswoman, thank you for your interest and your service in North Carolina. I have read up a little bit about you. I don't know how the North Carolina Senate and House operate, but Senator Fraser and I have been commenting back and forth today. It is strange to sit here and look at one party on one side of this dias and the other party on the other side of this dais, the majority and minority reports,

PAGE

1954 and all those things. I wish it wasn't that way. It is not 1955 that way in Texas.

1956One thing that I might suggest that we all would agree1957on, on both sides of this room, would be that the Federal1958Government needs to enforce the laws that exist on the books.1959To the extent that we have co-ops spending more of their1960capital and resources outside of their core mission, if that1961violates Federal statute you all ought to do something about1962it.

1963 To the extent that you need to make sure that open 1964 meetings and open records are being followed and that 1965 comports with their nonprofit, tax-exempt status, you have 1966 got to do something about it.

1967 What we can do in the State of Texas--and we talked 1968 about this a good bit, but I will just mention--we have got 1969 to make sure that every co-op in the State of Texas follows 1970 open meetings, open records. We have got to make sure that 1971 every co-op in Texas submits at least an annual audit report 1972 to the Public Utility Commission every year. Part of that 1973 might be a very clear statement about the capital credit 1974 accumulation in that co-op, and that is something that I would like to consider as we move forward next session. But 1975 1976 also, and finally, the third point, we have to make sure that 1977 there are minimum standards of democratic governance where 1978 members can seek, through fair elections, a membership on

1979 their board. 1980 Mrs. FOXX. Mr. Watson, go ahead. 1981 Mr. WATSON. Yes, Congresswoman Foxx. Thank you. 1982 One of the things that inhibited us members from 1983 learning about the workings of the PEC was that they filed 1984 inadequate and really incomplete form 990s, which are the 1985 forms required by all non-profits. The IRS, from what I read 1986 in the press, has been starved of enforcement money, so I 1987 would urge you to, as a Congressman, vote to beef up that 1988 enforcement, for one thing. 1989 The other problem that we faced was the advisors, the 1990 professional advisors to the co-op. They worked for Mr. 1991 Fuelberg. They did not work for me as a member. They didn't 1992 work for any of us 220,000 members. I am going to name them. 1993 KPMG, the accounting firm, signed off on audits and on form 1994 990s that were incomplete on their face. 1995 The law firm of Clark Thomas, which has represented the 1996 PEC for 70 years probably, one of their lawyers Mr. Fuelberg reported in public or in the press had said there was a 1997 1998 loophole in the Internal Revenue code that allowed him not to put in a key employee compensation, which is clearly called 1999 2000 for on the form and in the instructions. So I asked the 2001 lawyer, I said, are you glad now that you advised him that way? And he sort of gave me a sheepish look. But I 2002 2003 understood at the time that about 40 percent of all Texas

94

PAGE

PAGE

2004	co-ops were failing to accurately and correctly report on the
•	
2005	form 990.
2006	That is the only instrument which is publicly available
2007	to members such as myself to learn about the compensation and
2008	perks that are being paid to co-op employees, key employees,
2009	and the board. So I implore you, talk to the Internal
2010	Revenue Service. I understand that within the last year they
2011	have let it be known that they intend to begin finally
2012	looking at non-profits and enforcing the requirements for
2013	990s, but it just simply takes away the only tool that we
2014	had.
2015	Mrs. FOXX. Mr. Chairman, I know
2016	Chairman WAXMAN. I think Mr. Higgins had a comment.
2017	Mrs. FOXX. Okay. Go ahead.
2018	Mr. HIGGINS. When you talk about the co-op that went
2019	bankrupt getting into the golf course business, that is a
2020	surprise to me. I don't think that co-ops ought to be in any
2021	business except the business that they are supposed to be in.
2022	When you say there is 15 percent latitude, I wonder about
2023	that.
2024	The first red flag that caught my attention was that
2025	apparently nobody was minding the store there enforcing it.
2026	The second big red flag that catches my attention is if you
2027	merely say to the IRS, Enforce these provisions, I am afraid
2028	that you may punish the people who have already been punished

PAGE 96

2029 if they put our nonprofit status in jeopardy. So they need 2030 to be enforced, but don't come down on us and take away the 2031 advantages, whatever they are, of having a co-op to begin 2032 with.

2033 Mr. COOPER. Will the gentlelady yield just for one quick 2034 point?

2035 Of co-ops, 93 percent are in other businesses, 93 2036 percent, according to the NRECA, itself, so we have a lot 2037 more work to do in this regard.

2038 Mrs. FOXX. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, for your latitude. I 2039 appreciate it.

2040 I do want to say again that we have excellent service 2041 from our co-op. We had over 900 people at our annual meeting about a month ago. I have attended every annual meeting for 2042 2043 I realize that it is the members who have the 15 years. 2044 control over what happens in the co-ops. If they want to 2045 have things done, they can have things done. But I have to say the capital credits are being paid out by our co-op in I 2046 2047 think a reasonable manner. I have not investigated the 2048 books, but I have no doubt that things are being done. We 2049 have excellent people on our board.

I want to say that I know there are co-ops that are operating very effectively and very well, but I think it worries all of us in Congress when there are problems with some co-ops. As with other things, it taints everyone

PAGE

2054	involved. I think that it is in the interest of the co-ops
2055	to make things better so that people aren't tainted.
2056	It is just like us in Congress. If we have a Member of
2057	Congress who performs badly, all of us get tainted with that,
2058	all of get accused of being bad. So I would hope that the
2059	message from this hearing would be that if there are
2060	problems, the co-ops, themselves, and the States, themselves,
2061	would start looking at where the problems are. I don't want
2062	to see an Enron kind of situation develop here because the
2063	kinds of comments you have madeand I have only heard a few
2064	of them, and I apologize, because I had voting in another
2065	committee and testifying in an other committee, so I
2066	apologize for being here only part of the time, but I do want
2067	to caution you on that.
2068	I again thank the Chairman for his latitude.
2069	Chairman WAXMAN. I thank the gentlelady for her comments
2070	and questions.
2071	Mr. Westmoreland?
2072	Mr. WESTMORELAND. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
2073	I think it is good that we have this hearing, and I
2074	appreciate the Chairman having it, and Mr. Cooper's asking
2075	for it, but I am a little bit perplexed, having listened to
2076	some of the testimony and having read some of the different
2077	things. I can't fully grasp why the membership of these
2078	EMCsand I belong to an EMC. I buy my electricity from an
I	

PAGE 98

2079 EMC and I go to the annual meetings where new board members 2080 are elected, and there is probably anywhere from 1,500, 2,500 2081 people there.

Why they don't govern themselves? I know from 2082 2083 experience we have had some problems, or at least some 2084 complaints, about an EMC in Georgia. I believe it is the 2085 Cobb EMC. Yet, over the past eight or ten years, their 2086 electricity bills have come down, actually dropped about 7 2087 percent, versus where the national average has gone up about 20 percent. So in Georgia I guess we oversee ours, I think, 2088 2089 and I am not sure what the Senator from Texas could say about 2090 it, but it looks to me like this is a State issue, and not 2091 really a Federal issue.

But I would like to ask the Senator a question, if I 2092 could. From one of the press releases after you attended a 2093 cooperative meeting at the United Cooperative Services you 2094 2095 lauded the group and said the cooperative spirit of rural Texans created this system which electrified rural Texas is 2096 2097 the same spirit that allows the majority of cooperatives to 2098 continue to operate efficiently and effectively for their 2099 members.

2100 Senator, would you say that you have acknowledged that 2101 Pedernales situation is an isolated incident?

2102 Mr. FRASER. We do believe that Pedernales was an 2103 isolated incident. We have not found any indication at the

PAGE

2104 other 65 distribution co-ops in Texas that there is a 2105 problem. That doesn't mean we are not looking, and we have an 2106 ongoing investigation, but I sent a letter to every member of 2107 the legislature asking them to research the co-ops in their 2108 area. We have not found anything else, so we believe yes, it 2109 was isolated.

2110 We are addressing the Pedernales problem, but I am not 2111 in favor of throwing the baby out with the bath water and totally abandoning the system, because co-ops in Texas are 2112 2113 needed. I am still a strong proponent, and yes, I agree, this is a State's issue and we have the ability to address. 2114 Mr. WESTMORELAND. Senator, wouldn't you want to keep 2115 2116 that ability to address it without having the Federal 2117 Government come in and try to do it that might preclude you 2118 from addressing and legislating those things that are inside 2119 your State?

2120 Mr. FRASER. Absolutely. The problem I always see with 2121 State and Federal Government is you are trying to do a one It doesn't work. 2122 size fits all. Texas has a unique system 2123 in the way we do our independent system operator. We are the 2124 only State that is totally defined in one network, the ERCOT, 2125 and because of the way we govern, we take care of our own 2126 I think it would be a mistake for the Federal business. 2127 Government to try to intervene or to dictate a one size fits 2128 all policy.

PAGE 100

2129 I agree with Representative Rose: if we will enforce Federal law that is on the books today, that should be done; 2130 2131 but other than that, the regulatory authority should lie with 2132 the State. 2133 Mr. WESTMORELAND. Thank you. And just keep in mind that 2134 we have got two speeds up here, knee-jerk and stop. This is 2135 one of those knee-jerk speed things. 2136 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I yield back. Chairman WAXMAN. Thank you, Mr. Westmoreland. 2137 Mr. Jordan, do you have some questions? 2138 2139 Mr. JORDAN. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. This is the first time I have ever walked in and got to go right away. 2140 Ι 2141 appreciate that. The timing works out nice. 2142 Let me just go to the two members of the General Assembly, if I could, Senator Fraser and Representative Rose. 2143 I apologize, this may have been asked, but I just read some 2144 2145 information from one of our largest co-ops in our District 2146 and how dissimilar they do things compared to how 2147 Pedernales's board and their CEO handled things. People I 2148 think are, as has been said earlier, very pleased with the 2149 treatment they get from their co-op and how it functions, and 2150 we certainly are in Ohio. 2151 When you did your investigation of Pedernales, did you look at others, as well, in your State? Was this just 2152

2153 totally an isolated incident, or did you see in your

PAGE 101

2154 investigation other co-ops around Texas, or, for that matter, 2155 around the Country who were engaged in similar practices? 2156 Mr. FRASER. The last hearing we had with the Senate 2157 Committee of Business and Commerce, we addressed Pedernales, 2158 but we did exactly the same as the Chairman is doing here. 2159 The second portion was the co-ops, as a whole. We had the 2160 co-op association, of which we had one of the people from the 2161 Texas Association here today, Eric Craven, which is their 2162 political arm and their lawyer, and we instructed them to go out and look at the other 65, determine if there is a 2163 problem, and bring us back the data. I also requested the 2164 2165 same thing of the other members of the legislature. 2166 To this point, we have not uncovered anything other than 2167 there have been several small changes in the way that they 2168 elect members of the co-op, some of the reimbursement, travel 2169 policies, some of the capital credits going out. They 2170 realize that they are being watched and are correcting some 2171 of the small problems. 2172 Mr. JORDAN. In your professional judgment as the 2173 chairman of the committee that oversees this industry, you 2174 felt this was just one co-op in your State that had a 2175 problem? 2176 Mr. FRASER. We believe that. Unfortunately, it was the

2177 co-op where Patrick and I live, and the largest in the 2178 Nation, so yes, we believe that they were a renegade, one

PAGE 102

2179 co-op, and we believe that most problems were just in that 2180 co-op. Mr. COOPER. Would the gentleman yield for a moment? 2181 2182 Mr. JORDAN. I would be happy to. 2183 Mr. COOPER. We discussed the Fort Worth co-op borrowed a 2184 billion dollars to go into the hotel and golf course business 2185 and then went bankrupt, so there must be at least one other 2186 Texas co-op that has had significant problems, unless you 2187 view in Texas a billion dollars as not being a lot of money. 2188 Mr. FRASER. And, Representative, we are referencing what 2189 is happening during current periods, which is the last few 2190 years. The incident you are talking about was not in the current period, I don't believe, and we are looking at what 2191 2192 has happened in the last current period. Of the current, 2193 ongoing co-ops that are doing business in Texas, we believe 2194 Pedernales right now is the only one we have identified that are still doing business in Texas. 2195 2196 Mr. JORDAN. Mr. Representative? 2197 Mr. ROSE. Congressman, thank you for your question. Ι 2198 think it would ill suit us to just focus on the question is 2199 there one co-op who has acted poorly. I think for us as we 2200 move forward we have got to make sure that each Texan who 2201 lives in a co-op and is a member of a co-op has certain basic 2202 assurances. 2203 I have said it before today, but I will say it again: Ι

.

PAGE 103

2204 would challenge anybody to disagree with the notion that open 2205 meetings and open records aren't appropriate in a co-op 2206 I challenge anybody to disagree with the notion setting. 2207 that we ought to have a democratic election that is fair for 2208 the board. I would also challenge anybody to say that we 2209 ought not have our co-ops report to the Public Utility 2210 Commission a basic accounting of their books, and perhaps 2211 also, Representative Cooper, a snapshot of capital credits 2212 and where that co-op is from that standpoint.

2213 No disrespect, Congressman Westmoreland, but knee-jerk 2214 and stop, neither one of those speeds is appropriate in this 2215 situation as we approach it from the Texas legislature. We 2216 have got to be mindful to keep this balance of statutory 2217 oversight and local control. I think those three reforms 2218 next session can do that.

2219 Mr. JORDAN. I thank the Chairman.

2220 Chairman WAXMAN. Thank you for your questions.

I want to thank this panel. You have been very patient, sitting here for quite a long time, but very responsive to the questions that we have been asking, and also sharing with us your insights about this whole problem that you have experienced, and I think it has been very, very helpful. Thank you so much for being here.

2227 We have another panel, but I want to take a short break 2228 of five minutes, and then we will hear from Mr. English.

2229 [Recess.] 2230 Chairman WAXMAN. I am pleased to welcome to our 2231 Committee hearing today a former member of this Committee and a classmate of mine when I was first elected to Congress. 2232 2233 Mr. Glenn English is the CEO of the National Rural Electric 2234 Cooperative Association, which is the electric co-op trade 2235 association. For years he represented the sixth 2236 Congressional District in Oklahoma. 2237 I am pleased to have you here. Before you sit down, you 2238 might as well continue to stand and take the oath. 2239 Mr. ENGLISH. That is the reason I was standing, Mr. 2240 Chairman. 2241 [Witness sworn.] 2242 Chairman WAXMAN. The record will indicate that that was 2243 an affirmative answer. 2244 Mr. ENGLISH. Yes, it was. It was, indeed. But I have 2245 been mistaken a couple of times in my life, Mr. Chairman. 2246 Just a couple of times. 2247 Chairman WAXMAN. The full statement that you have submitted to us will be in the record. We will have a clock 2248 2249 to let you know when five minutes is up, and would like you 2250 to be mindful of that, and then we can pursue questions from members of the panel. 2251 2252 Let's hear from you.

PAGE 104

2253 STATEMENT OF GLENN ENGLISH, CEO, NATIONAL RURAL ELECTRIC

2254 COOPERATIVE ASSOCIATION

2255 STATEMENT OF GLENN ENGLISH

2256 Mr. ENGLISH. Again, first of all, let me just say I am 2257 delighted to be back to this Committee. I have many fond 2258 memories here of this Committee, and am certainly happy to 2259 come back and talk about electric cooperatives.

2260 The first thing, I guess, that I am struck by as I looked over the witness list, Mr. Chairman, is I wondered 2261 2262 where the Administrator of the Rural Utilities Service was. 2263 I know each time that I ever had a hearing here in this 2264 Committee dealing with electric cooperatives, I always 2265 invited the Administrator of the Rural Utilities Service. Ι 2266 got to thinking about that a little bit, and it made sense to 2267 me. Golly, gee, I guess I am here in place of the 2268 Administrator of the Rural Utilities Service, and that I 2269 think says something.

I would like to point out, Mr. Chairman, I think you and I made a big, big mistake 25 years ago, a big mistake. If you look at the Rural Utilities Service today, we ended up through those years of cutting out two-thirds of the staff at

PAGE 106

2274 RUS, and if you look at many of the issues that we are 2275 talking about here today, the Rural Utilities Service still 2276 has rules and regulations on the books to be able to deal 2277 with those issues, but they don't have the staff and don't 2278 have the funding. They have pretty much been neutered, to be 2279 honest about it, when we talk about regulation. Probably 2280 that is the reason we are raising questions, and we have some 2281 folks here that obviously are not operating in the way that 2282 their membership thinks that they should have operated. Ι 2283 think that has become very, very obvious.

I think that is something that we have got to weigh and take into consideration. Maybe that is something the Congress would want to do, maybe go back and rectify that mistake and bring the Rural Utilities Service up to full funding and put them in a position to where, in fact, they are able to carry out all their duties.

2290 I wasn't aware at the time--maybe you are--the Rural 2291 Utilities Service still has the authority to remove a CEO. 2292 They are supposed to be going in each year and auditing the 2293 books of every co-op. We have got an apparatus here that has 2294 fallen into disuse simply because of the fact that the folks 2295 don't have the resources. This was all a part of the changes 2296 that took place, Democratic and Republican Administrations and Democratic and Republican Congresses. We pretty much, as 2297 I said, neutered this agency. 2298

PAGE 107

2299 Second point I would like to make, Mr. Chairman, is the 2300 fact that--and I have been struck by this for some time--that 2301 the directors of electric cooperatives are elected officials, 2302 and as we look at them as elected officials, I would suspect 2303 that the members of this Committee and certainly in the 2304 Congress should feel a great deal of empathy with some of the challenges and difficulties that they face. They are not 2305 dissimilar. 2306

I think that you and I have both seen, as we have moved 2307 2308 through the last 30 years or so, that people really make up 2309 the institution. It is not the institution, itself. This 2310 democratic process of ours is good. It is good as far as the 2311 Congress and our Government, it is good as far a electric 2312 cooperatives are concerned. It is the people that we get 2313 involved. And so we run into some of those issues with 2314 regard to people, and people, well, they damage everybody. 2315 Everybody gets painted with the same brush.

I think that is important for us to keep in mind. You made mention of that, that it would be unfair to just say everybody is the same. I think that is true.

As we have seen, whenever individuals stub their tow or perhaps don't move in the direction that the public thinks they should, then the public brings about changes. Sometimes it takes time. I have seen an awful lot of elected officials in this body from time to time who probably were not

PAGE 108

2324 operating in a way that their constituents thought they 2325 should, but sooner or later their constituents took action 2326 and they dealt with that. I think we have got the same thing 2327 here.

In these days, everyone should be sensitive of the fact 2328 of the smell test. I know each and every elected official, 2329 they are always mindful of that, particularly these days. I 2330 know the Congress is particularly sensitive about it. I was 2331 when I was on the Congress. And you are always looking at 2332 this thing. Golly, how would this read on the front page of 2333 the newspaper? What kind of a headline would this make? 2334 Maybe you are not doing anything wrong, but the appearance of 2335 impropriety is bad enough and that damages you if you are an 2336 elected official, and I think that is what we are talking 2337 2338 about.

2339 So whether you are an electric cooperative director or a Member of Congress, we face the same constituency. These are 2340 the same people that elect us. Whether we agree or disagree 2341 2342 with the wisdom of their decision as to who they pick, we 2343 have got to work together, and that is true within the 2344 electric cooperative program, as well. We all try to work 2345 together, and you do it in the Congress, and this is a 2346 struggle as to how do we deal with it.

I think it really comes down to this question, bottom line: how do we come to grips with this with our peers? How

PAGE 109

2349 do other electric cooperative directors deal with it, other 2350 electric cooperative CEOs, how do Members of Congress deal 2351 with it with their peers? It is not easy. It is not an easy 2352 thing to do.

2353 So I appreciate your having the hearing, and certainly 2354 appreciate the fact that we have had this little airing here 2355 with regard to one property that got off track and obviously 2356 did some things wrong. As I understand it, there may even be 2357 the consideration of criminal penalties against some that 2358 committed some wrongs.

I will be very straightforward with you: if there are any violations of the law, we ought to prosecute. That ought to be true for Members of Congress. It ought to be true with CEOs or directors of cooperatives. That is one line.

2363 Second line I think we come into is this question of it 2364 may not be illegal, but it may not be something that is very 2365 commendable.

Those are issues that I think are going to have to be taken care of by the local people that they represent, just as they take care of any disagreements they might have with their elected officials.

And I think we also come down to the bottom line, Mr. Chairman, that we all want a fair and open process. We want everyone engaged in competition. We want everyone doing the right thing, and we want all of the voters, whether they are

2374	voting for Members of Congress or voting for directors of
2375	electric cooperatives, to be involved in that process.
2376	Thank you very much.
2377	[Prepared statement of Mr. English follows:]

2378 ******** INSERT ********

PAGE 111

2379 Chairman WAXMAN. Thank you very much, Mr. English. 2380 Let me start off. It seems to me that what you said was 2381 an oversimplification. We face our constituents, but it 2382 looks like some of these co-op board members don't face 2383 anybody. The elections, as we heard in this Pedernales case, 2384 is rigged. Do you know if the elections in other co-ops are 2385 similarly undemocratic?

2386 Mr. ENGLISH. Well, keep in mind I have nothing other 2387 than my own anecdotal information and the surveys that we 2388 have conducted, things that we have seen, because, again, the 2389 RUS would be the ones that should have that information.

2390 Chairman WAXMAN. Let me ask you a question you might 2391 know. How many States where there are co-ops have 2392 regulations like that which was discussed earlier being 2393 proposed for Texas?

2394 Mr. ENGLISH. As far as open meetings and things of that 2395 sort?

Chairman WAXMAN. Open board meetings and elections.
Mr. ENGLISH. I would have to supply that for the record.
I don't have that handy.

2399 [The information follows:]

2400 ********* COMMITTEE INSERT *********

Mr. ENGLISH. Let me just say, though, I can say, Mr. Chairman, that in the last few years we have had over 40 percent of all the election co-op representatives, all the board members have turned over, so you have got over 40 percent new board members that have come in in the last few years. If I recall correctly, that date is somewhere in the neighborhood of since 2001.

I was comparing that, I believe, with Members of Congress, and I don't think we have had near that kind of turnover, even with the elections of 2006, so I don't think you have had a similar turnover within the Congress.

2412 Chairman WAXMAN. Turnover, by itself, doesn't really 2413 impress me if it is a rigged deal, because if the father can 2414 pass it on to the son or the uncle or someone else, it is 2415 just going to follow the same policies.

2416 Mr. ENGLISH. And we have that in Congress. How many of 2417 our colleagues do we know, Mr. Chairman, that find themselves 2418 in similar situations.

Chairman WAXMAN. Let me move on, because it seems to me that you are indicating to us there is very little Federal oversight any longer by the Rural Electric Agency. It looks like in Texas there wasn't oversight at all that we can tell. Maybe there wasn't a way to have it. But if the co-ops were designed to be self-governing through a democratic process, I just think we have heard a good example of how that process

2426 does not work. The Pedernales is an example. Its board 2427 election process was rigged. They failed to have competitive 2428 elections for over 30 years, maybe 40. Meanwhile, the 2429 directors who were in charge were enriching themselves at the 2430 co-op expense.

2431 Would you agree that the typical process that provides 2432 accountability at co-ops failed at Pedernales?

Mr. ENGLISH. What I would say, Mr. Chairman, is obviously the people down there were not happy with the situation. Obviously, the situation that developed within Pedernales went on for some time. Obviously, the people locally at Pedernales did not take action until recently. But let me just say--

2439 Chairman WAXMAN. They couldn't. They couldn't take 2440 action.

2441 Mr. ENGLISH. Well, to the contrary. They did. The 2442 system--

2443 Chairman WAXMAN. They had to file a lawsuit.

2444 Mr. ENGLISH. Sure.

2445 Chairman WAXMAN. It took some enterprising reporters to 2446 go out and break the story. Finally some members of the 2447 legislature looked at it. But there are a lot of places 2448 where the press is not so vigorous because of all the 2449 cutbacks in journalism. There are a lot of places where 2450 people don't want to file lawsuits because it is so

PAGE 114

2451 expensive. And there are a lot of places where the 2452 legislators think that the heads of the co-ops are just the 2453 powerful local people that are very prominent and maybe there 2454 is nothing going on because they haven't heard any complaints 2455 because there is no press reporting them.

Mr. ENGLISH. Let me just first of all say that I am 2456 going to defend the actions taken by the people in Pedernales 2457 in making a change in the leadership in that co-op. I want 2458 to defend, Mr. Chairman, their right to do so. I am going to 2459 defend the fact that they have a right to have as their 2460 representatives on their board who they may choose. And I 2461 2462 will certainly agree with you that the process should be free and open and we should encourage as many people to 2463 participate as possible. An I will agree with you, Mr. 2464 2465 Chairman, that that went on far too long without those kinds of situations coming to bear. 2466

2467 Chairman WAXMAN. Have you looked at the transparency in 2468 other co-ops in your trade association? Does anybody look at 2469 that or know about it?

Mr. ENGLISH. Well, we look at the rules and regulations in which they operate, the by-laws in which they operate and what those by-laws provide. But this comes back again, Mr. Chairman, I make this point, to people. Now, for instance, here--

2475 Chairman WAXMAN. It does come down to people, and I must

PAGE 115

2476 say my view of human nature is if you give somebody the 2477 opportunity to go and take a lot of money and use it for 2478 their own purposes, there is unlimited ability to rationalize 2479 doing it.

2480 Mr. ENGLISH. That is true.

2481 Chairman WAXMAN. That is part of human nature. That is 2482 why you need some checks on this abusive power.

2483 Mr. ENGLISH. If I could respond, Mr. Chairman.

2484 Chairman WAXMAN. Sure.

2485 Mr. ENGLISH. I would make the point that is absolutely 2486 right. We have seen it. I saw it here when I was a Member 2487 of this body. We saw those individuals taking advantage of 2488 the situation. We had rules and regulations and laws on the 2489 books. We had new rules that were proposed and change, 2490 things that came about, but we still had those individuals 2491 come through. You have always got to be vigilant.

As I say, those are the people, I think, that if there are criminal violations then we should prosecute. There is no excuse not to.

2495 Chairman WAXMAN. Okay.

2496 Mr. ENGLISH. And I think, in fact, we have got to 2497 recognize it is not an easy situation to go in and prevent 2498 someone from violating the law or doing wrong. We have tried 2499 many times in this body.

2500 Chairman WAXMAN. But transparency could help.

PAGE 116

2501 Mr. ENGLISH. And we have an Ethics Committee in this 2502 Congress--2503 Chairman WAXMAN. Transparency could help. 2504 Mr. ENGLISH. -- that doesn't stop that sort of activity. 2505 Chairman WAXMAN. I know. Does transparency help? Mr. ENGLISH. Certainly, and I wholeheartedly agree. 2506 2507 Chairman WAXMAN. Okay. Let me move on to Mr. 2508 Westmoreland, because he is next on the line of questioning 2509 and the red light is on. 2510 Mr. ENGLISH. Very good. Great. Mr. WESTMORELAND. Thank you, sir. 2511 2512 Mr. English, it could be the case that an elected 2513 official could be under indictment under Federal charges and 2514 still be re-elected by his constituents; is that not true? 2515 Mr. ENGLISH. Well, that is my understanding, and that is 2516 always the case, and that is the delicacy, I think, of the 2517 problem that we are facing here. You have got two bodies of 2518 elected officials. You have got the Congress and you have 2519 got the directors of local electric cooperatives. That is 2520 the reason I think there should be a certain amount of 2521 empathy. 2522 Mr. WESTMORELAND. The membership can elect anybody they 2523 want to. 2524 Mr. ENGLISH. That is the situation. Mr. WESTMORELAND. Whether they are convicted felons or 2525

PAGE 117

2526 whatever. That is up to the membership to elect them. 2527 Mr. ENGLISH. And it is up to the folks to correct the 2528 problem if they disagree with the representation they are 2529 getting, whether it is their Congressman or their local 2530 director at the local co-op. But there has to be, no matter 2531 whether you are talking about government or whether you are 2532 talking about privately owned electric cooperatives, under 2533 any circumstance the people are the ones who must take charge 2534 and deal with that problem.

2535 Mr. WESTMORELAND. I have heard it said that the 2536 cooperatives' governance activities are not transparent, but 2537 I was looking through your written testimony here and I 2538 noticed that you had some IRS forms attached to it. Are 2539 these typically made public to the membership, these IRS 2540 forms?

2541 Mr. ENGLISH. These are the new forms and I thought that the Committee would like to see that. Obviously, they are 2542 very extensive, far more extensive than you have got for any 2543 2544 corporation in this Country, even after Sarbanes-Oxley and 2545 Enron. Yes, they are, and each cooperative is required to 2546 make that available to any of their members who wish to look 2547 at it, and certainly it is available. I think it is even 2548 published on the internet.

2549 Mr. WESTMORELAND. So you are saying that really, as far 2550 as checks and balances, as far as the EMC goes you have 2551 actually the local control of the membership, you have got 2552 the Rural Utilities Service, although under-funded and not 2553 really functioning as it should. It is there as a check and 2554 a balance.

2555 Mr. ENGLISH. Right.

2556 Mr. WESTMORELAND. And also you have the Federal 2557 Government in the form of the IRS that takes a look at your 2558 paperwork.

2559 Really, do you know if all electric memberships have 2560 these annual meetings that I am accustomed to going to and 2561 having all this information printed, or is that--

2562 Mr. ENGLISH. They are supposed to have annual meetings. 2563 They are supposed to have elections. And certainly these 2564 elections are supposed to be free and open.

Now, when we get into some situations, just as we have sometimes in Congress and other elected offices, the system doesn't always work the way it should. Any time that happens we ought to make corrections.

2569 Mr. WESTMORELAND. I have seen situations in Congress, 2570 Mr. English, where they won't even take a vote out of fear of 2571 losing.

2572 Mr. ENGLISH. I am not going to go there.

2573 Mr. WESTMORELAND. I don't know.

2574 Some have charged that electric cooperatives are no 2575 longer rural. Could you just give us some of the

PAGE 119

2576 characteristics of what an electric cooperative is as far as 2577 average size, density, amount of space they cover, or 2578 population-wise?

2579 Mr. ENGLISH. Well, we cover 75 percent of the land mass of the United States. We have 12 percent of the consumers in 2580 2581 the Country own electric cooperatives or are members of 2582 electric cooperatives. And obviously that is a tremendous 2583 amount of territory for a few people. We have nearly 43 percent of all the infrastructure on the distribution side is 2584 2585 owned, so you have got 12 percent of the population having to 2586 maintain and own nearly 43 percent of all the distribution 2587 infrastructure of this Country.

Roughly the average size is around 21,000, give or take. 2588 2589 The smallest is less than 200. Pedernales is the largest, I 2590 believe, at 230 I believe is the last thing I heard as far as 2591 the number of members that they have at that cooperative. 2592 Obviously these are very resource intensive entities in that 2593 they have got to maintain all that infrastructure, so it is a 2594 heck of a struggle, but I think they have done extremely well. Most cooperatives have great service. 2595

2596 Mr. WESTMORELAND. Let me give you a report from Georgia.2597 Mr. ENGLISH. Okay. Great.

2598 Mr. WESTMORELAND. The agencies are doing well.

2599 Mr. ENGLISH. Okay.

2600 Mr. WESTMORELAND. And having been a member of one for

PAGE 120

probably and different ones for probably the last 25 years, 2601 2602 they do a good job in servicing their customers. They work hand in hand with the Southern Company, Georgia Power, 2603 2604 Ogelthorpe Power, other companies in providing Georgians with 2605 good electric service, dependable electric service, and I am 2606 proud to say that in Georgia our electric rates are probably 2607 15 to 20 percent below the national average. I am glad to 2608 have the participation of all the power providers in the 2609 State of Georgia, and your organization is doing a good job. 2610 Mr. ENGLISH. Thank you very much. That is usually the 2611 kind of testimonials we are used to hearing about electric 2612 co-ops all over the Country, so I am happy to say that what you find in Georgia is not unusual in the rest of the 2613 2614 Country, and even the State of Texas.

2615 Chairman WAXMAN. Thank you, Mr. Westmoreland. Your time 2616 has run out.

2617 Mr. Cooper?

2618 Mr. COOPER. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Glenn, as a former colleague and friend, I am sorry we disagree on these issues, but it was actually his kind invitation to let me speak at the national convention that first led me to do enough research to understand some of these things. Now I know that your PAC gives as much money to politicians as Boeing Corporation, so that has got a lot of influence. It has got a lot of influence in States, too.

2626 You pretty much draft whatever legislation you want and get 2627 exempted, you know, so there is no oversight. 2628 But I am delighted my friend from Georgia is here, because we were talking about Cobb Electric earlier, and he 2629 2630 was seeming to say that, well, things are fine, you are doing 2631 a fine job, everything is hunky-dory. Well, Cobb is one of 2632 the most notorious examples in all of America, because is it 2633 okay for a non-profit electric co-op to subcontract out its entire operation to a for-profit subsidiary secretly owned by 2634 2635 co-op managers and still pretend to be a non-profit? That is 2636 a little bit like subcontracting out the entire Pentagon to 2637 Blackwater. This is an amazing thing. How can you pretend 2638 this is a nonprofit if it is really run entirely by a 2639 for-profit? What standards does the NRECA have if you think 2640 that is okay behavior? 2641 Mr. ENGLISH. Is that the question? 2642 Mr. COOPER. Yes. Mr. ENGLISH. Okay. Well, let me try to answer that. You 2643 2644 had a number of questions that were tied up in it. 2645 First thing, let me just say we are very proud of our 2646 PAC, and we have made contributions to friends, and you have 2647 received quite a few of those contributions along the way, 2648 and we were pleased to do it. You were previously very supportive of electric cooperatives. 2649 2650 Mr. COOPER. I still am.

2651	Mr. ENGLISH. Well, we disagree on that for sure.
2652	Mr. COOPER. I still am.
2653	Mr. ENGLISH. I guarantee you we disagree big time on
2654	that one.
2655	Now let me finish the question here. The issue you come
2656	down to is I could have some very serious disagreements with
2657	the way the Congress has been contracting out a whole number
2658	of services as far as
2659	Mr. COOPER. Mr. English, the question is
2660	Mr. ENGLISH. You asked me the question.
2661	Mr. COOPERstandards that NRECA
2662	Mr. ENGLISH. Mr. Cooper, you asked
2663	Mr. COOPER. Mr. English, you are no longer a Member
2664	here.
2665	Mr. ENGLISH. Let me finish my question.
2666	Chairman WAXMAN. Both of you
2667	Mr. ENGLISH. Let me finish my answer.
2668	Chairman WAXMAN. If you will cease for a minute, we
2669	can't have both of you talking. This is the time, as you may
2670	recallor if you don'tthis is a time when Members ask
2671	questions and expect answers to their questions.
2672	Mr. ENGLISH. Mr. Chairman, if you
2673	Chairman WAXMAN. No, no.
2674	Mr. COOPER. Let me rephrase my question. Would it be
2675	okay for every co-op in America to subcontract out its entire
I	

PAGE 123

2676	operation to a for-profit subsidiary secretly owned by co-op
2677	management and still pretend to be a nonprofit? Is that
2678	tolerable behavior under NRECA guidelines?
2679	Mr. ENGLISH. Let me just say this. I would not
2680	personally recommend that. That is not something I would do.
2681	But I am not an elected representative of the membership in
2682	that particular area of the State of Georgia. Those people,
2683	whatever business decisions they make, have got to be held
2684	accountable. And as I understand it at the present time they
2685	are being held accountable, because there is serious
2686	disagreement down there among that membership, as you well
2687	know, raising these various issues. There may even be legal
2688	questions involved. That has been taken before the courts.
2689	That is the process that needs to be followed.
2690	Now, what Glenn English thinks and what the directors in
2691	the State of Georgia think, I don't have their constituency.
2692	And when I was a Member of this body people in western
2693	Oklahoma may not have agreed with what the people in
2694	Tennessee thought, and you and I didn't always vote the same
2695	way. That is the same thing here.
2696	Mr. COOPER. Mr. English, so there is no co-op
2697	misbehavior that would be so bad that would prevent them from
2698	being members of NRECA as long as a local vote ratified the
2699	decision?
2700	Mr. ENGLISH. I will go back again. We have the same
1	

PAGE 124

2701 situation here. I don't know if the behavior of Members of 2702 Congress that prohibit them from being members of this body. 2703 Mr. COOPER. Mr. English--Mr. ENGLISH. NRECA is a trade association. 2704 2705 Mr. COOPER. I have limited time. Next question. 2706 Mr. ENGLISH. NRECA is a trade association, and our 2707 members belong on that basis. It is up to their members to 2708 decide whether their conduct is appropriate or not. 2709 Mr. COOPER. So you will take anyone. Mr. English, we 2710 mentioned in the first panel CFC, the lending arm of co-ops, was set up, according to its official biography, to tell Wall 2711 2712 Street how rich co-ops are; meanwhile, NRECA's purpose is to 2713 tell Congress how poor you are. Which story is correct? Mr. ENGLISH. Probably both. On one hand, CFC was set up 2714 in 1969 whenever it appeared that the Administration at that 2715 2716 time was going to do away with the REA program. In fact, if 2717 you recall, Richard Nixon did. Mr. COOPER. Mr. English, how can you be rich and poor at 2718 2719 the same time? 2720 Mr. ENGLISH. If I can't complete my answer, Congressman, 2721 if you just want to make statements, that doesn't make much 2722 sense to ask me questions. 2723 The point that I would make is this: electric cooperatives are very proud of the fact that our bond rating 2724 2725 on Wall Street is very good. We are considered to be in

2726 great financial condition. In fact, in some cases we are in 2727 better condition than some of the big power companies of this 2728 Nation.

If you look at the cost of power because of the infrastructure that we have, because of the fact there is only seven co-op members per mile versus 35 for an investor-owned utility, we have got a huge amount of infrastructure we have to keep up. And we have some of the poorest people in this Country that we must serve.

2735 Percentage-wise, I would dare say that we have a larger 2736 percentage than anyone else in this Nation, and so from that 2737 standpoint I would point out that yes, electric cooperatives 2738 are representing some of the poorest members of this Country 2739 and they are owned by those folks.

2740 Mr. COOPER. Mr. Chairman, I see that my time has 2741 expired. I hope that we have time for another round of 2742 questions, but I see that my colleague is here from Iowa. 2743 Chairman WAXMAN. We will give a second round to any 2744 Member who wishes.

2745 Mr. Braley?

2746 Mr. BRALEY. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

2747 Mr. English, I am here as a long-time member of the Tama 2748 Iowa Poweshiek Rural Electric Cooperative located in 2749 Brooklyn, Iowa, which is run by my good friend, Darryl 2750 Heatland, who went to church with me when I was growing up in

PAGE 126

2751 high school, and I have got to tell you that there is a real 2752 big disconnect going on between my experience and perception 2753 of how RECs are run and operated in Iowa and some of the 2754 information that Mr. Cooper has shared with the Committee 2755 about other parts of the Country.

2756 I guess the opening comment that I would make is a 2757 comment that I would share with anyone in your position as a head of any type of a trade association or professional 2758 2759 association, and that is: oftentimes where there is smoke 2760 there is fire. I think that all of those great rural 2761 electric cooperatives that I represent in Iowa, those 75,000 2762 constituents of mine who depend upon RECs to take care of 2763 them, to take care of their power needs, to be there for them 2764 in the ice storm disaster that we faced in February of 2007 2765 where they responded with admirable dispatch all over my 2766 district, when we went through this terrible tornado that we 2767 just had, the largest tornado in the United States this year, 2768 and the RECs were out in full force taking care of my 2769 constituents, the flooding that we are dealing with right 2770 now, it is the type of constituent service that I would be 2771 proud of to have my staff performing.

But I also know that you are only as good as your weakest link as a trade association, and some of these concerns we are talking about are very disturbing. So what I would like to do is ask you at the outset, from your

PAGE 127

2776 perception and the perception of the member co-ops you 2777 represent, what should be the number one guiding principle of 2778 how those co-ops service the members that they take care of? 2779 Mr. ENGLISH. First of all let me say, as I said before, 2780 unfortunately, as Members of Congress are well aware, you get 2781 tarred with the same brush. That is just a part of it. And 2782 you are dealing with a lot of people.

2783 What we are supposed to be governed by are those seven 2784 cooperative principles. That is the basis on which we have 2785 our tax-exempt status with the Internal Revenue Service. It 2786 is the basis on which we make our claim that we are, indeed, 2787 different, and the basis on which we are a consumer 2788 organization.

But at the same time, we fully recognize and understand that when you bring people into a process, 7,000 directors, 1,000 managers, yes, your chances of running into somebody who doesn't quite operate in the manner that we would like to see, then we all get tarred with that brush.

This is a trade association. We have no authority. 2794 We 2795 can encourage our members. We can provide our members with 2796 We can provide our members with what their peers education. think. But as far as being able to come down and mandate and 2797 2798 say, you shall do such and such, we are not a corporation headquarters. That is the point that I was making to the 2799 2800 Chairman early on. Whenever we gutted the Rural Utilities

PAGE

2801 Service--and we did it over a number of years after
2802 1980--that took care of a lot of that regulatory basis on
2803 which I think members of this Committee seem to be searching
2804 for. That was deregulation.

2805 Mr. BRALEY. In the materials you provided the Committee 2806 there is something called the Board Leadership Certificate. 2807 Mr. ENGLISH. Right.

2808 Mr. BRALEY. Which looks like a number of continuing 2809 education types of programs that are available to member co-ops to help them become the best and most effective type 2810 2811 of cooperative that we expect from our co-ops in Iowa. Can 2812 you give us some sense of what type of participation you get 2813 from your member co-ops in those types of leadership training 2814 opportunities? And is it having the desired effect that the 2815 cooperatives would expect it to?

Mr. ENGLISH. Right. First of all, what we would encourage our members to do is get their credentials. We are looking for credentialed directors. That is their first step after they get elected. And we have good participation in that. We have over half of the cooperatives--and keep in mind we have over 40 percent new directors in the last several years--moving through that process.

2823 We do, in fact, offer higher advanced training, which 2824 gets into power supply and a number of other more complicated 2825 issues. We encourage our directors to participate in that,

128

PAGE 129

2826 | as well.

But our real focus, and the focus, I think, on the 2827 2828 hearing that we are talking about today comes under the grounds of the credentialed director and, quite frankly, 2829 2830 having a good dose of common sense, and recognizing and 2831 understanding that whatever behavior you are going to be following--and I don't think any amount of education would 2832 2833 have taken care of that under the example that we have seen 2834 before us today--that comes down to just plain, bottom-line 2835 common sense and recognizing and understanding that you have 2836 to be held to a higher standard, and you are going to be under scrutiny, and you had better be prepared to answer for 2837 2838 it. That is what they are being required to do is answer for 2839 it.

2840 Mr. BRALEY. And you also supplied us with these form 2841 990s, Return of Organization Exempt from Income Tax forms, 2842 which do require organizations to put detailed information in 2843 on executive and board of directors compensation. Are you 2844 personally aware of what type of oversight the Internal 2845 Revenue Service has been performing on monitoring these forms 2846 to ensure their accuracy, their completeness, and to achieve the desired transparency that this law is supposed to? 2847 Mr. ENGLISH. Well, the only thing I think I can say 2848 2849 about that is this is a new form, and it is to a degree that we have never seen before and, as I said, no other business 2850

PAGE 130

2851 is being required to do. I can only assume by this that the 2852 IRS plans a much higher level of scrutiny and involvement in 2853 the proper filling out of form 990s than we have had in the 2854 past.

Has everyone filled it out exactly as they should? As we heard some of the testimony before us, a lot of it gets done on the advice of accountants, and some of it gets done on the advice of attorneys. Quite frankly, I don't think some of them have gotten good advice.

2860 Chairman WAXMAN. Thank you, Mr. Braley.

2861 Mr. McHenry, do you wish to ask questions?

2862 Mr. MCHENRY. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

2863I am sorry. I have been kept away with other business2864today.

2865 This hearing is interesting to most of us. Μv 2866 experience with my co-ops in North Carolina has been a pretty 2867 reasonable one. We have got Duke Energy in North Carolina, 2868 and that consumed a lot of the attention of public policy 2869 when I was in the State House, but co-ops have been pretty 2870 well managed in my State in my experience in the State House. 2871 But this one is interesting to me, Mr. English, this 2872 hearing.

2873 Mr. ENGLISH. It has been interesting to me, too. 2874 Mr. MCHENRY. Can you give me some background on why we 2875 are here today? I mean, I understand Mr. Cooper has an

2876 experience in Tennessee, and that is sort of a little history 2877 on that?

2878 Mr. ENGLISH. Well, I know Mr. Cooper and I have had our 2879 disagreement with regard to this issue, and I think it 2880 started with the issue in Tennessee. I think that is fair to 2881 say. And it has to do with the fact that cooperatives in 2882 Tennessee are unique and different from cooperatives 2883 elsewhere in the Country. For instance, they buy their power 2884 from TVA, and with a longstanding contract that TVA has had, 2885 it has prohibited the payment of capital credits. What TVA 2886 co-ops are expected to do is to reduce their rate; in other 2887 words, to charge less for the power, as opposed to sending a 2888 check back to an individual for any margins or excess over 2889 and above the cost of doing business.

TVA has reiterated, in fact, I think back in the 1970s underscored again that this was the directive. I assume the reason for this is because they provide power both to municipalities and to electric co-ops and they want to keep it roughly the same as far as the cost for both entities. But anyway, that is the contract.

2896 Mr. Cooper has disagreed with that, and he wants me to 2897 participate and tell him the cooperatives in Tennessee should 2898 pay those capital credits. Perhaps he wants me to tell TVA 2899 that they shouldn't require this contract. Whatever. But 2900 anyway, that is where it started out. Now it has ballooned

2901 and I think expanded to all the cooperatives all across the 2902 United States that we have a disagreement over. 2903 Mr. MCHENRY. So is that regulated, this going back and --2904 Mr. ENGLISH. TVA? Mr. MCHENRY. No. Co-ops distributing money that is in 2905 2906 excess of their --2907 Mr. ENGLISH. The capital credits? 2908 Mr. MCHENRY. Yes. 2909 Mr. ENGLISH. That is a part of the requirements that you 2910 have for electric cooperatives through this process. Again, 2911 you go back to the form 990s and the requirements that they 2912 provide this information and make it available. 2913 Now, the issue that I think we are into, as well, here 2914 before us today is this question of how much is available. 2915 Even Mr. Cooper agrees that the \$31 billion that he talks about in the way of equity, that most of that is tied up in 2916 2917 buildings and infrastructure and things of that sort. If you

2918 are talking about actual cash that all the cooperatives 2919 across the Country have on hand, you are talking about 2920 roughly \$3.8 billion.

This is a very intense industry from a resource standpoint, and this is about 45 days' operating expenses, which on an average on co-ops around the Country, and it is my understanding that that is pretty much in line with what is being recommended as any kind of prudent business

PAGE 133

2926 practice. 2927 Mr. MCHENRY. Okay. So the Texas Legislature addressed 2928 this particular issue that is the subject of the hearing 2929 today, did they not? 2930 Mr. ENGLISH. The Texas Legislature is focusing on the 2931 governance and open meetings, and I think they are looking 2932 elsewhere at how they can ensure that the kind of situation 2933 that took place at Pedernales won't happen again. As you 2934 heard them testify, they seem to feel that this is a local 2935 matter and that they have it under control. 2936 I have got to admit I personally have not run into 2937 situations like we had in Pedernales, and so it is rather 2938 unique, I think. 2939 Mr. MCHENRY. And how are the co-op boards elected? 2940 Mr. ENGLISH. They are elected by the same folks that 2941 elect Members of Congress, the same constituents, so that is 2942 where it comes from. 2943 Mr. MCHENRY. Do they do a better job of electing Members 2944 of Congress? 2945 Mr. ENGLISH. Well, I guess that is up for every Member 2946 to make judgment on that. 2947 Mr. MCHENRY. I am just kidding. 2948 Mr. ENGLISH. I have got to say when I was a Member of 2949 this body there were times that I questioned the judgment of 2950 some in other parts of the Country, but no one sitting on

2951 this panel. Mr. MCHENRY. All right. Any other comments about this 2952 2953 Tennessee experience of Mr. Cooper's? 2954 Mr. ENGLISH. Mr. Cooper could probably do better to 2955 address that than anything else, but that is certainly where 2956 he and I personally had a disagreement. 2957 Mr. COOPER. I would be happy to jump in if the gentleman 2958 would yield. 2959 Mr. ENGLISH. So I am sure he will want to talk about 2960 that some more. 2961 Mr. MCHENRY. Sure, I am happy to yield. 2962 Mr. COOPER. I thank the gentleman. Is Tennessee unique and different? In a way. 2963 We do 2964 We are thankful for that. But Pedernales, the have TVA. 2965 subject of this hearing, the largest co-op in America, had 2966 never paid a refund in 70 years, despite having a major 2967 So if the largest co-op in America could behave surplus. 2968 like ours in Tennessee, that got me worried. 2969 Now, regarding the Tennessee case, co-ops in Tennessee 2970 have so much political power that that one line in the 1935 2971 power contract, the TVA Board is reluctant to take it out 2972 because they don't want to be unpopular with their 2973 distributors. The TVA IGs have repeatedly, since 1994, found 2974 that 50 distributors in the Tennessee Valley, A, have 2975 embarrassing amounts of money on hand and, B, are raising

PAGE 134

2976	rates at the same time in violation of this one sentence in
2977	the contract that we have talked about.
2978	So we have a double whammy in our area, but it is
2979	hitting the rest of the Country, too, like with Pedernales.
2980	Chairman WAXMAN. The gentleman's time has expired.
2981	Mr. Towns?
2982	Mr. TOWNS. Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman.
2983	It is good to see you.
2984	Mr. ENGLISH. Indeed, Mr. Towns. It is good to see you,
2985	sir.
2986	Mr. TOWNS. Happy to know there is life after this place.
2987	Mr. ENGLISH. Well, it has been a long time since I have
2988	been back.
2989	Mr. TOWNS. Let me just ask you, when a co-op's revenue
2990	exceeds its expenditures, it builds equity?
2991	Mr. ENGLISH. Right.
2992	Mr. TOWNS. Well, when a cooperative refunds in the form
2993	of capital credits to their customers, is this situation in
2994	Texas cooperative unusual? Is this unique? I mean, if this
2995	is
2996	Mr. ENGLISH. It is most unusual. It is most unusual.
2997	The overwhelming majority of our members refund capital
2998	credits. Really, the judgment in the case that has to be mad
2999	, and, again, this gets back to that business of a decision
3000	of the local board, and a lot of it has to do with how
I	

PAGE 136

conservative they are. I had one--and certainly Mr. Cooper 3001 is going to strongly disagree with this, because we have had 3002 this discussion before--I have had one co-op that has told me 3003 3004 that they want to have 100 percent equity. That is probably 3005 going way beyond, well, I know it is going way beyond what the average co-op has, which is about 40, 41 percent. But 3006 that is a decision on their part, because they have got very 3007 conservative directors, and it is their directors' idea, we 3008 3009 don't want any debt, and we want to make sure that we can cover whatever cost we are without going out and borrowing a 3010 lot of money. 3011

That is a local decision. It is a very conservative 3012 As long as that is made available to the membership 3013 board. 3014 that they represent, then obviously that is a local decision. We have others that have far less, but it is a local 3015 3016 decision by elected representatives who have been elected by their membership to make such judgments, just as Members of 3017 Congress have been elected to make judgments with regard to 3018 the budget and deficits and everything that Members of 3019 3020 Congress deal with. It is similar.

3021 Mr. TOWNS. Well, do you think they should be doing a 3022 better job of communicating to their members?

3023 Mr. ENGLISH. Well, I think we all need to do a better 3024 job of communicating with the members. I think we can all do 3025 better on that.

PAGE 137

3026 Mr. TOWNS. Right. Would you agree that co-op members 3027 ought to have a say in what their co-ops are doing with the 3028 equity?

Mr. ENGLISH. I think they certainly should, and that 3029 goes, again, they need to participate in their local 3030 cooperative elections. They need to pay attention to the 3031 3032 business that is taking place at their cooperatives. They 3033 need to pay attention to what is going on here in Congress. They need to participate in the election of Members of 3034 Congress. The election on an off-year for Members of 3035 3036 Congress, if I remember correctly, is about 36 percent, and 3037 the election nationwide for directors of electric cooperatives is about 31 percent. I think we both would 3038 3039 agree that it ought to be three-quarters or better. We ought 3040 to have far more participation in the democratic process of 3041 government, and certainly in the process of co-op governance. 3042 That is something that we wholeheartedly agree.

3043 One point I would make--and, Mr. Chairman, I want to lay 3044 this on the record, too--an awful lot of co-ops go to great lengths to try to encourage people to participate. 3045 I know of 3046 one electric cooperative--and it is a rather large electric 3047 cooperative--every year just brings folks in to make sure 3048 that they come into this thing. They will even give away a 3049 new car. It is a drawing. That is it. You have got to be 3050 at the meeting. You come in, you sign up as a member, and

PAGE

3051 they have a drawing. They give away scholarships to the local folks. I know of some others that give away old 3052 pickups that the co-op might have. Some of you may have 3053 3054 experienced that. In others they give away a frying pan. But they are trying to get folks in to participate in 3055 this process, contrary to what I think the impression has 3056 been created today that no one, no co-op wants people to show 3057 3058 up at their meeting. Well, that is not true, and it is completely contrary to the experiences I have had in the last 3059 14 years in working with electric cooperatives across this 3060 Country. They go to great lengths on that. 3061 3062 I think there is no question we would like to see far greater participation, and I am sure that you would, too, in 3063 your District, people coming to the poll. 3064 3065 Mr. TOWNS. No doubt about it. Especially to vote for 3066 me. Mr. ENGLISH. Especially. And I am sure they would, 3067 3068 because they are smart folks up there. No question. Mr. TOWNS. Let me ask you, what are you doing to 3069 3070 encourage that participation? Are you doing any of that? Mr. ENGLISH. The one thing I think that we are trying to 3071 do is to help our members improve their overall 3072 communications with their membership. One of the things that 3073 we are doing right now is to engage them in something known 3074 3075 as, Our Energy, Our Future, which is to make three points.

138

3076 We are trying to get them to talk to you all, and the first 3077 point is to make sure that they are aware, not just election 3078 cooperatives, but the whole electric utility industry is 3079 pretty much out of capacity. We built up excess capacity in 3080 the late 1970s and early 1980s. We are out of that.

3081 Second thing is to understand, from a standpoint of 3082 technology, that far greater investment needs to be made in 3083 technology so that we can meet any climate change objectives 3084 that the Congress may set. If we don't, then we are probably 3085 going to run into situations where we are not going to have 3086 enough power, we are going to have rates that are excessive, 3087 and that is a train wreck none of us want to see.

The third point is the fact that we also need to 3088 understand that electric rates, particularly those people 3089 3090 that we serve--and I would suggest a lot of the folks that you serve--there is a real question in the future as to 3091 3092 whether electric power in this Country is going to be 3093 affordable to all Americans. Low-income people may not be 3094 able to live with the promise that was created in 1936 with 3095 the creation of the REA and affordable electric power.

3096 Mr. TOWNS. Thank you very much.

3097 Chairman WAXMAN. Thank you, Mr. Towns.

All Members have completed a round of questions, and some Members have requested a second round. Are you ready to go?

Mr. ENGLISH. Yes, I am ready. Ready, Mr. Chairman. 3101 Chairman WAXMAN. All right. 3102 Mr. Westmoreland? 3103 Mr. WESTMORELAND. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 3104 My good friend Mr. Cooper down there, I know that he 3105 wants this what is best for his constituents. It may not go 3106 3107 along--Mr. ENGLISH. Well, he won't be an elected official long 3108 3109 if he doesn't. Mr. WESTMORELAND. No, I understand, but I know that he 3110 wants to do that. I just hope he doesn't mess up what is 3111 going on in Georgia by trying to fix what is going on in 3112 Tennessee. In fact, the comment about the PAC is almost 3113 laughable, that because you have got a PAC you can get 3114 anything you want up here. If that was true, big labor and 3115 trial lawyers would be getting anything they wanted. 3116 Mr. ENGLISH. And if I recall correctly, that is bribery, 3117 3118 is it not? Mr. WESTMORELAND. Well, it is. 3119 Mr. ENGLISH. And you are supposed to be prosecuted if 3120 3121 you have bribes. Isn't that right, Mr. Chairman? Mr. WESTMORELAND. Let me say this: I have never seen a 3122 3123 voter turnout method like the EMCs that I am used to use, whether it is health screenings, giving away a pickup truck, 3124 rides for the kids, a whole variety. They spend a lot of 3125

money trying to get those people out to vote where I am from. 3126 Let me ask you this. Mr. Cooper mentioned the Cobb EMC 3127 case. Were there any laws broken there? 3128 Mr. ENGLISH. Well, that is the issue that I think there 3129 is between some members and some of the officials at Cobb. 3130 That is being dealt with, as I understand it, within the 3131 courts and within the membership, so at this point I have no 3132 3133 information. Mr. WESTMORELAND. But if it was a law broken, it is 3134 being dealt with in the court today, isn't it? 3135 3136 Mr. ENGLISH. It is being dealt with. Yes. That is right. It is in the courts. 3137 Mr. WESTMORELAND. And that is what kind of system we 3138 have. We are a Country of laws, right? 3139 3140 Mr. ENGLISH. Right. Mr. WESTMORELAND. If you feel like there has been a law 3141 broken, then you have a remedy in the court system? 3142 3143 Mr. ENGLISH. Exactly. Mr. WESTMORELAND. And that is exactly where this is 3144 3145 being taken, I am assuming. Mr. ENGLISH. That is the way I was always taught. 3146 Mr. WESTMORELAND. Yes. And so I am assuming that if 3147 3148 there are laws being broken somewhere, that they are being taken to court. I am not familiar with the situation in 3149 Tennessee, but from what I heard you say, it is a contractual 3150

3151 agreement between the TVA and the electric membership 3152 cooperative that is at question about why they can't do these 3153 rebates or refunds.

3154 Mr. ENGLISH. Got to lower the rate.

3155 Mr. WESTMORELAND. They have got to lower the rate for 3156 all users, and that is a contractual thing. And so if the 3157 EMC decided not to do that, that would be a contractual issue 3158 that could be taken to court.

Mr. ENGLISH. In fact, it is my understanding the issue has been taken to court. They had some folks take it to court that you all are not giving us back our capital credits. And it is my understanding it was thrown out of court.

3164 Mr. WESTMORELAND. Okay.

3165 Mr. ENGLISH. The court didn't even take it up, or if 3166 they did the judge came down and said this is a contractual 3167 issue and--

3168 Mr. WESTMORELAND. So there has been some type of 3169 adjudication or something in this case?

3170 Mr. ENGLISH. There has been adjudication already on the 3171 matter, yes.

3172 Mr. WESTMORELAND. And the case that we are having the 3173 hearing on today?

3174 Mr. ENGLISH. Well, I think that would be a little unfair 3175 to Mr. Cooper, because I think what he is talking about and

what we are having the hearing on is Pedernales, but that is, 3176 I think, a part of this discussion, yes. I think that is a 3177 3178 part of what we are talking about. Mr. WESTMORELAND. Yes, sir. 3179 Mr. Chairman, I thank you for doing the second round. 3180 Ι appreciate it, and I yield back the balance of my time. 3181 Chairman WAXMAN. Would you yield to me? 3182 Mr. WESTMORELAND. I would. 3183 Chairman WAXMAN. I just want to get something very 3184 clear. As far as Federal regulation of the electric co-ops, 3185 3186 it is only the IRS requirements; is that correct? Mr. ENGLISH. No. As it stands right now, anyone who is 3187 an RUS borrower also then comes--3188 Chairman WAXMAN. Anyone who is an RUS? 3189 Mr. ENGLISH. Borrower. Borrows from the Rural Utilities 3190 Service. 3191 Chairman WAXMAN. I understand that only 50 percent of 3192 3193 the co-ops actually --Mr. ENGLISH. No, you have got about two-thirds of the 3194 3195 co-ops have an RUS loan. 3196 Chairman WAXMAN. Okay. Then what regulation do they 3197 have under RUS? 3198 Mr. ENGLISH. As I say, there is a multitude of different regulations pertaining to the loan, but also pertaining even 3199 to the point that if they feel the activities of the 3200

PAGE 144

3201 co-op--if the CEO, for instance, is carrying out activities -- and I think you could probably stretch what was 3202 happening down in Pedernales--they would have the authority 3203 3204 to remove the CEO. 3205 Chairman WAXMAN. So they have regulatory power, but they 3206 also don't have the staff or resources to exercise it? 3207 Mr. ENGLISH. Exactly. Now, let me take this just a--3208 Chairman WAXMAN. And you are not a regulator? Mr. ENGLISH. I am not. 3209 Chairman WAXMAN. You are the head of the trade 3210 3211 association. Mr. ENGLISH. Not unless you make me one, Mr. Chairman. 3212 Now, if you want to give me that authority, then we will talk 3213 3214 some more. 3215 Chairman WAXMAN. I don't think you'd want that 3216 authority. If you have got to keep all the members of your 3217 trade association happy, you don't want that authority. 3218 Mr. ENGLISH. That is true. That makes it a little more 3219 difficult. Chairman WAXMAN. You answered my question. 3220 3221 Mr. ENGLISH. Let me add one point. 3222 Chairman WAXMAN. Yes. 3223 Mr. ENGLISH. There is one little part. That one-third that is not borrowing from the Rural Utility Service, during 3224

3225 that period of time that I am talking about, most of those

PAGE 145

3226 going into the early 1980s were borrowers. They dropped off 3227 because of the limitations that you had staff-wise. They 3228 could not get a timely loan. So you get big co-ops such as 3229 the Pedernales situation in which they are growing very 3230 rapidly, and they said, RUS has been cut back so far we can't 3231 get this in time to meet the needs to provide the electric 3232 power for our membership. They got out.

3233 So the whole point is: if you and I had maintained 3234 those levels and kept RUS regulating like they should 3235 throughout the 1980s and 1990s, I doubt that we would be here 3236 today.

3237 Chairman WAXMAN. Does RUS regulation, if it were ever 3238 enforced, preclude a co-op from taking money from the co-op 3239 and investing in hotels and other enterprises?

Mr. ENGLISH. Well, that is another little thing. 3240 3241 Unfortunately, I have got to take a big share of that, although you get a little piece. The big share comes back in 3242 1987 on the Agriculture Committee Ed Jones, Chairman of the 3243 3244 Subcommittee, Conservation Credit, we came up and figured out, hey, we have no money for rural development programs. 3245 3246 We are out of luck. I mean, that is when we were having tight budgets and all that stuff. 3247

3248 So what we did at that time is, well, we have got all 3249 these electric cooperatives scattered all around the Country 3250 that are getting RUS loans. We ought to ask them to do more.

3251 So that is when we made the move in saying you guys ought to 3252 be involved in developing the economies of--

3253 Chairman WAXMAN. So we don't stop it. In fact, you 3254 think we have encouraged those?

3255 Mr. ENGLISH. We encouraged it. In fact, we have got an 3256 Inspector General report that condemns us for not doing 3257 enough.

3258 Chairman WAXMAN. You answered my question. I appreciate 3259 that.

3260 Mr. ENGLISH. Yes.

3261 Chairman WAXMAN. Mr. Cooper?

3262 Mr. COOPER. I thank the Chair not only for holding this 3263 hearing but for your extraordinary patience.

I think the main NRECA argument is, well, there may be one bad apple. If we had had this hearing last year, they problem wouldn't have agreed even to one bad apple, but at least today we know there is one bad apple and it is called Pedernales.

Mr. ENGLISH. Don't put words in our mouth now. Mr. COOPER. Okay. From the limited research I have been able to do--and I wish there were more data. I wish there were more transparency. I wish there were more disclosure, because I believe these are public power entities founded in the New Deal owned by the people, and information should be widely available. But the best I can tell, it is not one bad

3276 apple; it is at least 10 percent of the 930 co-ops in the 3277 Country, and it may be a lot more than that. I hope that is 3278 not true.

3279 I am sorry my friend from Georgia had to leave, but 3280 remember, very few co-ops tell you exactly the private 3281 property that you own. And I thought this was a Country 3282 built on private property. I cited the NRECA's own material 3283 to point out that small co-ops are charging their customers 3284 an extra \$220 a year, two months of light bills, just so they 3285 can remain small. All this is completely legal. That worries me. 3286

So I think it would be a complete mistake for this 3287 3288 Committee or for Members to dismiss Pedernales as a rare 3289 aberration. For example, Pioneer, the co-op in Alabama 3290 hadn't had a board of directors election in 38 years. You 3291 were just talking about how there is great attendance at 3292 elections and stuff. How many decades does it take not to 3293 have a board of directors election before that should affect 3294 their co-op status? Are you willing to accept people that a 3295 half century or a hundred years of no board of directors 3296 election? There has got to be some minimal standard to join the NRECA. 3297

3298 Mr. ENGLISH. Well, there is an awful lot of accusations 3299 in there, and first one I would say is this: you are saying 3300 bad apples. You know, as I pointed out, any group you have

3301	got bad apples. You have got bad apples in the Congress, and
3302	we have had them all the way through. I can start ticking
3303	them off if you want me to name them. And I would dare say
3304	that we do not have any greater percentage of problems along
3305	those lines than you have got in Congress. This is anybody,
3306	group of people elected by the general public, you are going
3307	to have bad apples.
3308	Second issue, you are talking about the issue of public
3309	power.
3310	Mr. COOPER. How many bad apples are there in co-op land?
3311	Chairman WAXMAN. How many are there in Congress?
3312	Mr. COOPER. I ask the questions.
3313	Chairman WAXMAN. Would the gentleman yield to me?
3314	Mr. ENGLISH. And if I could, the courts
3315	Chairman WAXMAN. Would the gentleman yield?
3316	Mr. COOPER. I would be delighted.
3317	Mr. ENGLISH. The courts have determined
3318	Chairman WAXMAN. Excuse me. Excuse me, Mr. English. He
3319	controls the time.
3320	Mr. ENGLISH. Okay.
3321	Chairman WAXMAN. Look, I don't think this is a fair
3322	question to ask a man who is the head of the trade
3323	association. He is not the regulator. I think your question
3324	should be a rhetorical question, because he is not going to
3325	be able to give you an answer. He is not the regulator. If
1	

ł

PAGE 14

we had a regulator, we could find out what they would say. 3326 3327 Mr. ENGLISH. Well, you have got one but you don't fund 3328 it. Mr. COOPER. Mr. Chairman, a fair point, but I have met 3329 the regulator at RUS. He is a very nice gentlemen whose name 3330 is Jim Andrews. He is a former head of NRECA. 3331 3332 Mr. ENGLISH. That is not right either. 3333 Mr. COOPER. This is a family organization. 3334 Mr. ENGLISH. That is not right either. He was president 3335 of the Board of Directors at NRECA a few years ago. He was 3336 not the head of it. 3337 My second point is --Mr. COOPER. President of the board of directors --3338 3339 Mr. ENGLISH. The courts have stated, Mr. Cooper, the 3340 courts have stated that it is not public power. Now, that is 3341 the courts have said that, not me. What they have said is 3342 privately owned. It is owned by the membership and it is 3343 privately owned. They may buy public power--in fact, they do 3344 from TVA--but they are not public power. 3345 Mr. COOPER. Perhaps you can explain that to your members 3346 like Pedernales and Representative Rose and others. 3347 Mr. ENGLISH. No one is here defending the management of Pedernales, Mr. Cooper. 3348 3349 Mr. COOPER. It is public power. 3350 Mr. ENGLISH. No one is defending that.

149

PAGE

3351 Mr. COOPER. Would the gentleman yield? Mr. ENGLISH. If you knew the experiences I had with 3352 3353 Pedernales you wouldn't be asking me that question. You 3354 wouldn't even raise that. 3355 Mr. COOPER. Would the gentleman yield? 3356 Mr. ENGLISH. Certainly. Always yield. 3357 Mr. COOPER. The co-op family is a very small one. It is 3358 a very precious one. There is a great bond of intimacy 3359 between co-op members because it is a very important 3360 institution in America. It is a little bit like a church. 3361 Word travels fast. 3362 Mr. ENGLISH. That is going a little far. 3363 Mr. COOPER. Word travels fast. Usually if something is 3364 going on in co-op country people hear about it. I would like 3365 to know when you first found out, you personally, that there 3366 were serious problems in Pedernales. 3367 Mr. ENGLISH. In Pedernales? Well, let me just say this, 3368 that the relationship that I had with the former CEO was not 3369 close. 3370 Mr. COOPER. But he was your largest member, right, or 3371 Pedernales? 3372 Mr. ENGLISH. He was a member. There is no single member of NRECA that is going to dictate what our association does. 3373 It is governed by our resolutions. 3374 Mr. COOPER. But he was your largest member. 3375

3376 Mr. ENGLISH. He was a large member, but no, as far--he 3377 was the largest distribution cooperative in the Country. He 3378 was the largest member from the standpoint--he was not the 3379 largest dues-paying member.

3380 Mr. COOPER. When did you first find out there were 3381 serious problems at Pedernales?

3382 Mr. ENGLISH. When I first heard about serious problems 3383 was whenever I heard about the newspaper articles that were 3384 coming out about it.

3385 Mr. COOPER. When did you first find out there were 3386 serious problems at Cobb?

3387 Mr. ENGLISH. Well, I heard about the controversy at 3388 Cobb, because I think that has not been settled by the courts 3389 nor by the membership as to whether they are disagreeing. 3390 Let me again go back to the point. What we are talking 3391 about here are policies -- they are adopted by the board of 3392 directors--that the membership disagreed with. What we 3393 talked about with regard to what you and I, I think, would 3394 agree is excessive--staying at the Ritz Carlton and so on and 3395 so forth. I don't do that. But the point that it comes down 3396 to is that was board policy that allowed that. That was the 3397 direction of the directors. They allowed that to happen. 3398 The accountability comes with regard to those directors with the membership, as it should, and those are the people 3399 3400 that have taken action and those are the people that took

action in Alabama and those are the people that, if they are
going to take action, will take it in Georgia, as well.
Mr. COOPER. Mr. Chairman, I see that my time has
expired, but one last question.

3405 Mr. ENGLISH. I will yield the gentleman some extra time, 3406 Mr. Chairman, if you don't mind.

3407 Mr. COOPER. I appreciate the former Member yielding. 3408 I don't think it has been acknowledged in this hearing 3409 the fact that if you look at the NRECA's real website, the 3410 secret, password-protected one, they offer lots of legal or 3411 quasi-legal advice. For example, through the Electric Co-op 3412 Borrower Association and other entities, there are elaborate slide shows, for example, that tell you how to fill out the 3413 In the earlier panel they talked about how in 3414 990 form. 3415 Texas some 40 percent of those forms are mis-filled out.

3416 So I think a trade association, to the extent it tries 3417 to give legal advice, should take some responsibility for 3418 practices, board practices and other practices that may not 3419 adhere to the high ethical standard that I think the average 3420 co-op member back home wants their co-op to adhere to, 3421 because these were not ever intended to be average. These 3422 were supposed to be idealistic organizations that did the most to serve the consumer interests by cutting their light 3423 bills, and not to have organizations that raised rates 3424 3425 unnecessarily, as the TVA Inspector General has found that

PAGE 153

3426 too many of ours have done.

3427 So would the gentleman care to inform us on the slide 3428 shows and other information materials on the secret, 3429 password-protected website like this document that he refused 3430 to give to my office or to Mr. Watson or anyone else who 3431 inquired, even though this is superb legal research, it is 3432 extraordinarily well done, and it backs up the premise that 3433 co-ops need to behave in order to retain their tax-exempt 3434 status?

3435 Mr. ENGLISH. Mr. Chairman, I am sorry that Mr. Cooper 3436 raised this issue and asked me this question. I was hoping 3437 we were going to be able to avoid this.

3438 The reference that he made was with regard to a private 3439 website, and gave even a website that provides access to 3440 members' 401(k)s and also retirement benefits. NRECA's 3441 counsel has advised me that Mr. Cooper is currently under 3442 investigation by the Federal Bureau of Investigation for his 3443 unauthorized access and downloading of information from 3444 NRECA's password-protected website, and that is in violation 3445 of the Federal Computer Fraud and Abuse Act. These abuses--Mr. COOPER. Would the gentleman yield? 3446

Mr. ENGLISH. These accesses occurred on a house.gov IP address on December the 10th, 11th, 12th, and 14th of 2007, and in order to not jeopardize that investigation I would prefer not to answer any questions with regard to those

PAGE :

3451 matters that were downloaded. 3452 Mr. COOPER. Would the Chairman give me a moment to 3453 respond? 3454 Chairman WAXMAN. Yes. 3455 Mr. COOPER. I had authorization to use the website from 3456 someone who gave me their password and information. Mr. ENGLISH. The only people that could give you 3457 3458 authorization is myself or others at NRECA, a limited number. Like I said, this is a matter under investigation by the FBI. 3459 3460 You can take it up with them. 3461 Chairman WAXMAN. All right. I think we have explored 3462 this issue at great length, but I think there are still some matters yet to be resolved. We will continue to pursue what, 3463 3464 to an urban guy like me, is a very interesting and surprising 3465 turn of events. 3466 We I think have concluded the hearing for today and we 3467 stand adjourned. 3468 [Whereupon, at 2:04 p.m., the Committee was adjourned.]

154