EDWARD M. KENNEDY, MASSACHUSETTS JOSEPH R. BIDEN, JR., DELAWARE HERB KOHL, WISCONSIN DIANNE FEINSTEIN, CALIFORNIA RUSSELL D. FEINGOLD, WISCONSIN CHARLES E. SCHUMER, NEW YORK RICHARD J. DURBIN, ILLINOIS BENJAMIN L. CARDIN, MARYLAND SHELDON WHITEHOUSE, RHODE ISLAND ARLEN SPECTER, PENNSYLVANIA ORRIN G. HATCH, UTAH CHARLES E. GRASSLEY, IOWA JON KYL, ARIZONA JEFF SESSIONS, ALABAMA LINDSEY O. GRAHAM, SOUTH CAROLINA JOHN CORNYN, TEXAS SAM BROWNBACK, KANSAS TOM COBURN, OKLAHOMA

United States Senate

COMMITTEE ON THE JUDICIARY WASHINGTON, DC 20510–6275

BRUCE A. COHEN, Chief Counsel and Staff Director MICHAEL O'NEILL, Republican Chief Counsel and Staff Director

August 23, 2007

Mr. Bradley J. Schlozman c/o Executive Office for United States Attorneys United States Department of Justice 950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Room 2242 Washington, DC 20530-0001

Dear Mr. Schlozman:

According to news reports, you have confirmed that you resigned last week from the Department of Justice. Yet, the Judiciary Committee is still waiting for your responses to written questions from Committee Members following your June 5 testimony at the Committee's hearing on "Preserving Prosecutorial Independence: Is the Department of Justice Politicizing the Hiring and Firing of U.S. Attorneys?—Part V." These responses were due June 28, nearly two months ago.

In addition, during your appearance before the Committee, you testified about your preparation for the hearing, the unprecedented U.S. attorney replacements, the use of partisan considerations in career hiring, and your role as the interim U.S. Attorney and while at the Civil Rights Division in pressing certain cases in connection with recent elections. Your answers to questions made clear the importance of certain emails and other documents the Committee has still not received from the Department of Justice.

Your answers and these documents are especially important after you appeared to mislead the Committee and the public about your decision to file an election eve lawsuit in direct conflict with longstanding Justice Department policy. Despite testifying at least nine times at the hearing that you were directed to file this suit by the Public Integrity section, you sent a letter a week after the hearing that you were not, in fact, directed to do so. I asked you repeatedly about this case at the hearing because of concerns that it was done to use law enforcement power improperly to affect the outcome of the election, which is the reason the Department instituted the policy as a safeguard against such manipulation.

Mr. Bradley Schlozman August 23, 2007 Page 2 of 2

The Committee has authorized subpoenas, which I have not issued, for the information you have failed to provide. Please send your written responses to the Committee, including any and all requested documents, no later than August 28, to avoid any further action to compel them.

Sincerely,

alch Lealy

PATRICK LEAHY Chairman

cc: The Honorable Alberto Gonzales The Honorable Glen Fine