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## NEARLY ONE IN EIGHT AMERICANS LIVING IN POVERTY Highlights from the Census Bureau's Update on Poverty in the United States

Each year, the Census Bureau releases new estimates on the number and percent of Americans living in poverty. Under the Bush administration, the number of Americans living in poverty has increased by 4.9 million people. Today, nearly one out of every eight Americans is living below the federal poverty line.

## The National Picture

Since 2000, 4.9 million more Americans are living in poverty. The number of Americans living in poverty increased in five of the last six years, reaching 36.5 million people in 2006 (Chart 1). Since 2000, the number of people in poverty has increased by 4.9 million. The official poverty line for a family of four is now $\$ 20,614$ per year.

The poverty rate is a full percentage point higher
than in 2000. The poverty rate in 2006 was 12.3 percent, declining slightly from its level of 12.6 percent in 2005. Before 2005, the poverty rate had increased for four straight years. While the poverty rate decreased slightly in 2006, it remains a full percentage point higher than it was in 2000 (Chart 1). The Census Bureau defines the poverty rate as the percent of the population with incomes below the poverty line.

More than one in six children lives in poverty. The poverty rate for all children under 18 years of age was 17.4 percent in 2006, declining slightly from its level in 2005. Between 2000 and 2006, the number of children living in poverty increased by 1.2 million, or 11 percent, to 12.8 million children. The poverty rate for children rose from 16.2 to 17.4 over the same period.

Minorities are more likely to be living in poverty. The poverty rate was 24.2 percent for blacks in 2006 and 20.6 percent for Hispanics. Since 2000, the poverty rate among blacks has risen by 1.7 percentage points (Chart 2). The poverty rates among black and Hispanic children were even higher, at 33.0 percent and 26.9 percent, respectively.

Poverty is severe for families headed by women. The poverty rate for families with female heads of households and no husband present is now 30.5 percent, up from 28.5 percent in 2000. Most vulnerable are families headed by black women: more than one in every three such families (39.2 percent) lives below the poverty line.

Cuts in federal programs are contributing to rising poverty in America. Poverty has increased not only because of the relatively weak labor market, but also because
income support programs like Unemployment Insurance (UI) and Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) are helping fewer individuals. For example, although the number of children living in poverty has increased by 11 percent since 2000, the number of children receiving TANF has moved in the opposite direction, declining by 22.2 percent over the same period.

## The State Picture

Following Census guidance on how to use state level data, this report compares the two-year average for 19992000, the last years of the Clinton Administration, with the two-year average for 2005-2006 to analyze state-level changes in poverty under President Bush. During that period:

The Midwest saw the greatest percentage increase in the number of poor people. The number of poor people in the Midwest region of the country increased by 21 percent while its total population increased by only two percent (Table 1). Half of the states in the Midwest experienced a statistically significant increase in the number of poor people. Indiana was hit the hardest. There, the number of poor people increased by 59 percent while its total population increased by only five percent.


Nine states plus the District of Columbia (DC) saw increases in the poverty rate. The poverty rate increased significantly in nine states and DC (Table 2). In four of these states and in DC, the poverty rate increased by at least 3.0 percentage points. Only one state (Idaho) experienced a significant decline in its poverty rate. The rate in the remaining 40 states was essentially unchanged.

The number of poor people increased in 18 states and DC. Eighteen states and DC experienced significant increases in the number of people living in poverty (Table 3). In 14 of these states and DC, the increase was at least 25 percent.

Table 1: The Midwest Experienced a Substantial Increase in Poverty Two-year average of population and number of people in poverty, 1999-2000 and 2005-2006

|  | 1999-2000 |  | 2005-2006 |  | Percent change <br> (1999-2000 vs. 2005-2006) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Region | Total population (in millions) | Poor population (in millions) | Total population (in millions) | Poor population (in millions) | Total population | Poor population |
| West | 63.0 | 7.7 | 68.6 | 8.3 | 9 | 7 |
| South | 98.1 | 12.7 | 107.0 | 14.9 | 9 | 17 |
| Northeast | 52.9 | 5.6 | 54.0 | 6.2 | 2 | 9 |
| Midwest | 63.6 | 6.1 | 65.2 | 7.4 | 2 | 21 |
| United States | 277.6 | 32.2 | 294.8 | 36.7 | 6 | 14 |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Department of Commerce.

|  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Percent of P } \\ 1999-2000 \end{array}$ | e in Poverty, 2005-2006 | by State, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State | 1999-2000 average | 2005-2006 average | Change (2005-2006 average less 1999-2000 average) |
|  | Percent | Percent | Percentage points |
| United States | 11.6 | 12.5 | 0.9 * |
| Alabama | 14.3 | 15.5 | 1.2 |
| Alaska | 7.6 | 9.4 | 1.8 |
| Arizona | 11.9 | 14.8 | 2.9 * |
| Arkansas | 15.6 | 15.8 | 0.2 |
| California | 13.4 | 12.7 | -0.7 |
| Colorado | 9.1 | 10.6 | 1.4 |
| Connecticut | 7.4 | 8.7 | 1.2 |
| Delaware | 9.4 | 9.3 | -0.2 |
| District of Columbia | 15.0 | 19.8 | 4.8 * |
| Florida | 11.7 | 11.3 | -0.4 |
| Georgia | 12.5 | 13.5 | 1.0 |
| Hawaii | 9.9 | 8.9 | -0.9 |
| Idaho | 13.3 | 9.7 | -3.6 * |
| Illinois | 10.3 | 11.0 | 0.7 |
| Indiana | 7.6 | 11.6 | 4.0 * |
| Iowa | 7.8 | 10.8 | 3.0 * |
| Kansas | 10.1 | 12.7 | 2.5 * |
| Kentucky | 12.3 | 15.8 | 3.5 * |
| Louisiana | 18.2 | 17.6 | -0.6 |
| Maine | 10.3 | 11.4 | 1.1 |
| Maryland | 7.3 | 9.1 | 1.7 |
| Massachusetts | 10.8 | 11.1 | 0.3 |
| Michigan | 9.8 | 12.6 | 2.9 * |
| Minnesota | 6.5 | 8.1 | 1.7 |
| Mississippi | 15.6 | 20.4 | 4.8 * |
| Missouri | 10.4 | 11.5 | 1.0 |
| Montana | 15.0 | 13.7 | -1.3 |
| Nebraska | 9.8 | 9.9 | 0.1 |
| Nevada | 10.0 | 10.1 | 0 |
| New Hampshire | 6.1 | 5.5 | -0.6 |
| New Jersey | 7.6 | 7.8 | 0.2 |
| New Mexico | 19.2 | 17.4 | -1.8 |
| New York | 14.0 | 14.3 | 0.2 |
| North Carolina | 13.1 | 13.5 | 0.3 |
| North Dakota | 11.7 | 11.3 | -0.5 |
| Ohio | 11.0 | 12.2 | 1.2 |
| Oklahoma | 13.9 | 15.4 | 1.5 |
| Oregon | 11.7 | 11.9 | 0.2 |
| Pennsylvania | 9.0 | 11.3 | 2.3 * |
| Rhode Island | 10.1 | 11.3 | 1.1 |
| South Carolina | 11.4 | 13.1 | 1.7 |
| South Dakota | 9.2 | 11.3 | 2.0 |
| Tennessee | 12.7 | 14.9 | 2.2 |
| Texas | 15.4 | 16.3 | 0.9 |
| Utah | 6.7 | 9.2 | 2.5 * |
| Vermont | 9.8 | 7.7 | -2.2 |
| Virginia | 8.1 | 8.9 | 0.9 |
| Washington | 10.2 | 9.1 | -1.1 |
| West Virginia | 15.2 | 15.3 | 0.2 |
| Wisconsin | 8.9 | 10.2 | 1.2 |
| Wyoming | 11.2 | 10.3 | -0.9 |
| *Statistically different from zero at the 90-percent confidence level. |  |  |  |


| Table 3: Number of People in Poverty, by State, 1999-2000 and 2005-2006 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State | 1999-2000 average | 2005-2006 average | Change (2005-2006 average less 1999-2000 average) ${ }^{1}$ |
|  | Thousands | Thousands | Thousands |
| United States | 32,186 | 36,705 | 4,518 * |
| Alabama | 626 | 700 | 74 |
| Alaska | 48 | 62 | 14 * |
| Arizona | 612 | 910 | 298 * |
| Arkansas | 410 | 434 | 24 |
| California | 4,513 | 4,571 | 58 |
| Colorado | 395 | 498 | 103 * |
| Connecticut | 252 | 300 | 48 |
| Delaware | 73 | 79 | 6 |
| District of Columbia | 82 | 109 | 27 * |
| Florida | 1,846 | 2,022 | 176 |
| Georgia | 997 | 1,235 | 238 * |
| Hawaii | 119 | 113 | -6 |
| Idaho | 168 | 142 | -26 |
| Illinois | 1,261 | 1,389 | 128 |
| Indiana | 455 | 724 | 270 * |
| lowa | 224 | 314 | 91 * |
| Kansas | 267 | 343 | 76 * |
| Kentucky | 485 | 644 | 160 * |
| Louisiana | 786 | 731 | -56 |
| Maine | 131 | 150 | 19 |
| Maryland | 377 | 505 | 129 * |
| Massachusetts | 678 | 699 | 21 |
| Michigan | 972 | 1,259 | 287 * |
| Minnesota | 315 | 417 | 102 * |
| Mississippi | 432 | 584 | 152 * |
| Missouri | 576 | 659 | 83 |
| Montana | 133 | 127 | -7 |
| Nebraska | 165 | 174 | 9 |
| Nevada | 205 | 251 | 46 |
| New Hampshire | 76 | 72 | -4 |
| New Jersey | 629 | 677 | 48 |
| New Mexico | 348 | 337 | -11 |
| New York | 2,640 | 2,714 | 74 |
| North Carolina | 1,034 | 1,170 | 136 |
| North Dakota | 73 | 70 | -3 |
| Ohio | 1,230 | 1,382 | 151 |
| Oklahoma | 463 | 537 | 74 |
| Oregon | 401 | 437 | 36 |
| Pennsylvania | 1,075 | 1,384 | 310 * |
| Rhode Island | 105 | 119 | 14 |
| South Carolina | 443 | 550 | 107 * |
| South Dakota | 67 | 86 | 20 * |
| Tennessee | 710 | 875 | 165 * |
| Texas | 3,138 | 3,748 | 611 * |
| Utah | 148 | 233 | 85 * |
| Vermont | 59 | 48 | -11 |
| Virginia | 557 | 667 | 111 |
| Washington | 589 | 569 | -21 |
| West Virginia | 266 | 277 | 10 |
| Wisconsin | 479 | 554 | 75 |
| Wyoming | 54 | 53 | -1 |
| *Statistically different from zero at the 90-percent confidence level. ${ }^{4}$ Details may not sum to totals because of rounding. |  |  |  |
| Source: Bureau of the Census, U.S. Department of Commerce. |  |  |  |

