

# HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES FILING COPY

HLC

110TH CONGRESS } HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES { REPORT  
2d Session } 110-\_\_\_\_\_

---

---

## WATER RESOURCES DEVELOPMENT ACT OF 2007

\_\_\_\_\_, 2007.—ORDERED TO BE PRINTED

Mr. OBERSTAR, from the committee of conference,  
submitted the following

### CONFERENCE REPORT

[To accompany H.R. 1495]

The committee of conference on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses on the amendment of the Senate to the bill (H.R. 1495), to provide for the conservation and development of water and related resources, to authorize the Secretary of the Army to construct various projects for improvements to rivers and harbors of the United States, and for other purposes, having met, after full and free conference, have agreed to recommend and do recommend to their respective Houses as follows:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate and agree to the same with an amendment as follows:

In lieu of the matter proposed to be inserted by the Senate amendment, insert the following:

1 **SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE; TABLE OF CONTENTS.**

2 (a) **SHORT TITLE.**—This Act may be cited as the  
3 “Water Resources Development Act of 2007”.

4 (b) **TABLE OF CONTENTS.**—

Sec. 1. Short title; table of contents.

Sec. 2. Definition of Secretary.

**TITLE I—WATER RESOURCES PROJECTS**

Sec. 1001. Project authorizations.

Sec. 1002. Small projects for flood damage reduction.

Sec. 1003. Small projects for emergency streambank protection.

Sec. 1004. Small projects for navigation.

Sec. 1005. Small projects for improvement of the quality of the environment.

Sec. 1006. Small projects for aquatic ecosystem restoration.

Sec. 1007. Small projects for shoreline protection.

Sec. 1008. Small projects for snagging and sediment removal.

Sec. 1009. Small projects to prevent or mitigate damage caused by navigation  
projects.

Sec. 1010. Small projects for aquatic plant control.

**TITLE II—GENERAL PROVISIONS**

Sec. 2001. Non-Federal contributions.

Sec. 2002. Funding to process permits.

Sec. 2003. Written agreement for water resources projects.

Sec. 2004. Compilation of laws.

Sec. 2005. Dredged material disposal.

Sec. 2006. Remote and subsistence harbors.

Sec. 2007. Use of other Federal funds.

Sec. 2008. Revision of project partnership agreement; cost sharing.

Sec. 2009. Expedited actions for emergency flood damage reduction.

Sec. 2010. Watershed and river basin assessments.

Sec. 2011. Tribal partnership program.

Sec. 2012. Wildfire firefighting.

Sec. 2013. Technical assistance.

Sec. 2014. Lakes program.

Sec. 2015. Cooperative agreements.

Sec. 2016. Training funds.

Sec. 2017. Access to water resource data.

Sec. 2018. Shore protection projects.

Sec. 2019. Ability to pay.

Sec. 2020. Aquatic ecosystem and estuary restoration.

Sec. 2021. Small flood damage reduction projects.

Sec. 2022. Small river and harbor improvement projects.

Sec. 2023. Protection of highways, bridge approaches, public works, and non-  
profit public services.



- Sec. 2024. Modification of projects for improvement of the quality of the environment.
- Sec. 2025. Remediation of abandoned mine sites.
- Sec. 2026. Leasing authority.
- Sec. 2027. Fiscal transparency report.
- Sec. 2028. Support of Army civil works program.
- Sec. 2029. Sense of Congress on criteria for operation and maintenance of harbor dredging projects.
- Sec. 2030. Interagency and international support authority.
- Sec. 2031. Water resources principles and guidelines.
- Sec. 2032. Water resource priorities report.
- Sec. 2033. Planning.
- Sec. 2034. Independent peer review.
- Sec. 2035. Safety assurance review.
- Sec. 2036. Mitigation for fish and wildlife and wetlands losses.
- Sec. 2037. Regional sediment management.
- Sec. 2038. National shoreline erosion control development program.
- Sec. 2039. Monitoring ecosystem restoration.
- Sec. 2040. Electronic submission of permit applications.
- Sec. 2041. Project administration.
- Sec. 2042. Program administration.
- Sec. 2043. Studies and reports for water resources projects.
- Sec. 2044. Coordination and scheduling of Federal, State, and local actions.
- Sec. 2045. Project streamlining.
- Sec. 2046. Project deauthorization.
- Sec. 2047. Federal hopper dredges.

### TITLE III—PROJECT-RELATED PROVISIONS

- Sec. 3001. Black Warrior-Tombigbee Rivers, Alabama.
- Sec. 3002. Cook Inlet, Alaska.
- Sec. 3003. King Cove Harbor, Alaska.
- Sec. 3004. Seward Harbor, Alaska.
- Sec. 3005. Sitka, Alaska.
- Sec. 3006. Tatitlek, Alaska.
- Sec. 3007. Rio De Flag, Flagstaff, Arizona.
- Sec. 3008. Nogales Wash and tributaries flood control project, Arizona.
- Sec. 3009. Tucson drainage area, Arizona.
- Sec. 3010. Osceola Harbor, Arkansas.
- Sec. 3011. St. Francis River Basin, Arkansas and Missouri.
- Sec. 3012. Pine Mountain Dam, Arkansas.
- Sec. 3013. Red-Ouachita River Basin Levees, Arkansas and Louisiana.
- Sec. 3014. Cache Creek Basin, California.
- Sec. 3015. CALFED stability program, California.
- Sec. 3016. Compton Creek, California.
- Sec. 3017. Grayson Creek/Murderer's Creek, California.
- Sec. 3018. Hamilton Airfield, California.
- Sec. 3019. John F. Baldwin Ship Channel and Stockton Ship Channel, California.
- Sec. 3020. Kaweah River, California.
- Sec. 3021. Larkspur Ferry Channel, Larkspur, California.
- Sec. 3022. Llagas Creek, California.
- Sec. 3023. Magpie Creek, California.
- Sec. 3024. Pacific Flyway Center, Sacramento, California.
- Sec. 3025. Petaluma River, Petaluma, California.

- Sec. 3026. Pinole Creek, California.
- Sec. 3027. Prado Dam, California.
- Sec. 3028. Redwood City Navigation Channel, California.
- Sec. 3029. Sacramento and American Rivers flood control, California.
- Sec. 3030. Sacramento Deep Water Ship Channel, California.
- Sec. 3031. Sacramento River bank protection, California.
- Sec. 3032. Salton Sea restoration, California.
- Sec. 3033. Santa Ana River Mainstem, California.
- Sec. 3034. Santa Barbara Streams, Lower Mission Creek, California.
- Sec. 3035. Santa Cruz Harbor, California.
- Sec. 3036. Seven Oaks Dam, California.
- Sec. 3037. Upper Guadalupe River, California.
- Sec. 3038. Walnut Creek Channel, California.
- Sec. 3039. Wildcat/San Pablo Creek Phase I, California.
- Sec. 3040. Wildcat/San Pablo Creek Phase II, California.
- Sec. 3041. Yuba River Basin project, California.
- Sec. 3042. South Platte River basin, Colorado.
- Sec. 3043. Intracoastal Waterway, Delaware River to Chesapeake Bay, Delaware and Maryland.
- Sec. 3044. St. George's Bridge, Delaware.
- Sec. 3045. Brevard County, Florida.
- Sec. 3046. Broward County and Hillsboro Inlet, Florida.
- Sec. 3047. Canaveral Harbor, Florida.
- Sec. 3048. Gasparilla and Estero Islands, Florida.
- Sec. 3049. Lido Key Beach, Sarasota, Florida.
- Sec. 3050. Peanut Island, Florida.
- Sec. 3051. Port Sutton, Florida.
- Sec. 3052. Tampa Harbor-Big Bend Channel, Florida.
- Sec. 3053. Tampa Harbor Cut B, Florida.
- Sec. 3054. Allatoona Lake, Georgia.
- Sec. 3055. Latham River, Glynn County, Georgia.
- Sec. 3056. Dworshak Reservoir improvements, Idaho.
- Sec. 3057. Little Wood River, Gooding, Idaho.
- Sec. 3058. Beardstown Community Boat Harbor, Beardstown, Illinois.
- Sec. 3059. Cache River Levee, Illinois.
- Sec. 3060. Chicago River, Illinois.
- Sec. 3061. Chicago Sanitary and Ship Canal dispersal barriers project, Illinois.
- Sec. 3062. Emiquon, Illinois.
- Sec. 3063. Lasalle, Illinois.
- Sec. 3064. Spunky Bottoms, Illinois.
- Sec. 3065. Cedar Lake, Indiana.
- Sec. 3066. Koontz Lake, Indiana.
- Sec. 3067. White River, Indiana.
- Sec. 3068. Des Moines River and Greenbelt, Iowa.
- Sec. 3069. Perry Creek, Iowa.
- Sec. 3070. Rathbun Lake, Iowa.
- Sec. 3071. Hickman Bluff stabilization, Kentucky.
- Sec. 3072. Mcalpine Lock and Dam, Kentucky and Indiana.
- Sec. 3073. Prestonsburg, Kentucky.
- Sec. 3074. Amite River and tributaries, Louisiana, East Baton Rouge Parish Watershed.
- Sec. 3075. Atchafalaya Basin Floodway System, Louisiana.
- Sec. 3076. Atchafalaya Basin Floodway System, regional visitor center, Louisiana.
- Sec. 3077. Atchafalaya River and Bayous Chene, Boeuf, and Black, Louisiana.

- Sec. 3078. Bayou Plaquemine, Louisiana.
- Sec. 3079. Calcasieu River and Pass, Louisiana.
- Sec. 3080. Red River (J. Bennett Johnston) Waterway, Louisiana.
- Sec. 3081. Mississippi Delta Region, Louisiana.
- Sec. 3082. Mississippi River-Gulf Outlet relocation assistance, Louisiana.
- Sec. 3083. Violet, Louisiana.
- Sec. 3084. West bank of the Mississippi River (East of Harvey Canal), Louisiana.
- Sec. 3085. Camp Ellis, Saco, Maine.
- Sec. 3086. Cumberland, Maryland.
- Sec. 3087. Poplar Island, Maryland.
- Sec. 3088. Detroit River shoreline, Detroit, Michigan.
- Sec. 3089. St. Clair River and Lake St. Clair, Michigan.
- Sec. 3090. St. Joseph Harbor, Michigan.
- Sec. 3091. Sault Sainte Marie, Michigan.
- Sec. 3092. Ada, Minnesota.
- Sec. 3093. Duluth Harbor, McQuade Road, Minnesota.
- Sec. 3094. Grand Marais, Minnesota.
- Sec. 3095. Grand Portage Harbor, Minnesota.
- Sec. 3096. Granite Falls, Minnesota.
- Sec. 3097. Knife River Harbor, Minnesota.
- Sec. 3098. Red Lake River, Minnesota.
- Sec. 3099. Silver Bay, Minnesota.
- Sec. 3100. Taconite Harbor, Minnesota.
- Sec. 3101. Two Harbors, Minnesota.
- Sec. 3102. Deer Island, Harrison County, Mississippi.
- Sec. 3103. Jackson County, Mississippi.
- Sec. 3104. Pearl River Basin, Mississippi.
- Sec. 3105. Festus and Crystal City, Missouri.
- Sec. 3106. L-15 levee, Missouri.
- Sec. 3107. Monarch-Chesterfield, Missouri.
- Sec. 3108. River Des Peres, Missouri.
- Sec. 3109. Lower Yellowstone project, Montana.
- Sec. 3110. Yellowstone River and tributaries, Montana and North Dakota.
- Sec. 3111. Antelope Creek, Lincoln, Nebraska.
- Sec. 3112. Sand Creek watershed, Wahoo, Nebraska.
- Sec. 3113. Western Sarpy and Clear Creek, Nebraska.
- Sec. 3114. Lower Truckee River, McCarran Ranch, Nevada.
- Sec. 3115. Lower Cape May Meadows, Cape May Point, New Jersey.
- Sec. 3116. Passaic River basin flood management, New Jersey.
- Sec. 3117. Cooperative agreements, New Mexico.
- Sec. 3118. Middle Rio Grande restoration, New Mexico.
- Sec. 3119. Buffalo Harbor, New York.
- Sec. 3120. Long Island Sound oyster restoration, New York and Connecticut.
- Sec. 3121. Mamaroneck and Sheldrake Rivers watershed management, New York.
- Sec. 3122. Orchard Beach, Bronx, New York.
- Sec. 3123. Port of New York and New Jersey, New York and New Jersey.
- Sec. 3124. New York State Canal System.
- Sec. 3125. Susquehanna River and Upper Delaware River watershed management, New York.
- Sec. 3126. Missouri River restoration, North Dakota.
- Sec. 3127. Wahpeton, North Dakota.
- Sec. 3128. Ohio.
- Sec. 3129. Lower Girard Lake Dam, Girard, Ohio.

- Sec. 3130. Mahoning River, Ohio.
- Sec. 3131. Arcadia Lake, Oklahoma.
- Sec. 3132. Arkansas River Corridor, Oklahoma.
- Sec. 3133. Lake Eufaula, Oklahoma.
- Sec. 3134. Oklahoma lakes demonstration program, Oklahoma.
- Sec. 3135. Ottawa County, Oklahoma.
- Sec. 3136. Red River chloride control, Oklahoma and Texas.
- Sec. 3137. Waurika Lake, Oklahoma.
- Sec. 3138. Upper Willamette River watershed ecosystem restoration, Oregon.
- Sec. 3139. Delaware River, Pennsylvania, New Jersey, and Delaware.
- Sec. 3140. Raystown Lake, Pennsylvania.
- Sec. 3141. Sheraden Park Stream and Chartiers Creek, Allegheny County, Pennsylvania.
- Sec. 3142. Solomon's Creek, Wilkes-Barre, Pennsylvania.
- Sec. 3143. South Central Pennsylvania.
- Sec. 3144. Wyoming Valley, Pennsylvania.
- Sec. 3145. Narragansett Bay, Rhode Island.
- Sec. 3146. Missouri River Restoration, South Dakota.
- Sec. 3147. Cedar Bayou, Texas.
- Sec. 3148. Freeport Harbor, Texas.
- Sec. 3149. Lake Kemp, Texas.
- Sec. 3150. Lower Rio Grande Basin, Texas.
- Sec. 3151. North Padre Island, Corpus Christi Bay, Texas.
- Sec. 3152. Pat Mayse Lake, Texas.
- Sec. 3153. Proctor Lake, Texas.
- Sec. 3154. San Antonio Channel, San Antonio, Texas.
- Sec. 3155. Connecticut River restoration, Vermont.
- Sec. 3156. Dam remediation, Vermont.
- Sec. 3157. Lake Champlain Eurasian milfoil, water chestnut, and other non-native plant control, Vermont.
- Sec. 3158. Upper Connecticut River Basin wetland restoration, Vermont and New Hampshire.
- Sec. 3159. Upper Connecticut River basin ecosystem restoration, Vermont and New Hampshire.
- Sec. 3160. Lake Champlain watershed, Vermont and New York.
- Sec. 3161. Sandbridge Beach, Virginia Beach, Virginia.
- Sec. 3162. Tangier Island Seawall, Virginia.
- Sec. 3163. Duwamish/Green, Washington.
- Sec. 3164. McNary Lock and Dam, McNary National Wildlife Refuge, Washington and Idaho.
- Sec. 3165. Snake River project, Washington and Idaho.
- Sec. 3166. Yakima River, Port of Sunnyside, Washington.
- Sec. 3167. Bluestone Lake, Ohio River Basin, West Virginia.
- Sec. 3168. Greenbrier River basin, West Virginia.
- Sec. 3169. Lesage/Greenbottom Swamp, West Virginia.
- Sec. 3170. Lower Mud River, Milton, West Virginia.
- Sec. 3171. Medowell County, West Virginia.
- Sec. 3172. Parkersburg, West Virginia.
- Sec. 3173. Green Bay Harbor, Green Bay, Wisconsin.
- Sec. 3174. Manitowoc Harbor, Wisconsin.
- Sec. 3175. Mississippi River headwaters reservoirs.
- Sec. 3176. Upper basin of Missouri River.
- Sec. 3177. Upper Mississippi River System environmental management program.

## 6

- Sec. 3178. Upper Ohio River and Tributaries navigation system new technology pilot program.
- Sec. 3179. Continuation of project authorizations.
- Sec. 3180. Project reauthorizations.
- Sec. 3181. Project deauthorizations.
- Sec. 3182. Land conveyances.
- Sec. 3183. Extinguishment of reversionary interests and use restrictions.

## TITLE IV—STUDIES

- Sec. 4001. John Glenn Great Lakes Basin Program.
- Sec. 4002. Lake Erie dredged material disposal sites.
- Sec. 4003. Southwestern United States drought study.
- Sec. 4004. Delaware River.
- Sec. 4005. Eurasian milfoil.
- Sec. 4006. Fire Island, Alaska.
- Sec. 4007. Knik Arm, Cook Inlet, Alaska.
- Sec. 4008. Kuskokwim River, Alaska.
- Sec. 4009. Nome Harbor, Alaska.
- Sec. 4010. St. George Harbor, Alaska.
- Sec. 4011. Susitna River, Alaska.
- Sec. 4012. Valdez, Alaska.
- Sec. 4013. Gila Bend, Maricopa, Arizona.
- Sec. 4014. Searcy County, Arkansas.
- Sec. 4015. Aliso Creek, California.
- Sec. 4016. Fresno, Kings, and Kern counties, California.
- Sec. 4017. Fruitvale Avenue Railroad Bridge, Alameda, California.
- Sec. 4018. Los Angeles River revitalization study, California.
- Sec. 4019. Lytle Creek, Rialto, California.
- Sec. 4020. Mokelumne River, San Joaquin County, California.
- Sec. 4021. Orick, California.
- Sec. 4022. Shoreline study, Oceanside, California.
- Sec. 4023. Rialto, Fontana, and Colton, California.
- Sec. 4024. Sacramento River, California.
- Sec. 4025. San Diego County, California.
- Sec. 4026. San Francisco Bay, Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta, California.
- Sec. 4027. South San Francisco Bay Shoreline, California.
- Sec. 4028. Twentynine Palms, California.
- Sec. 4029. Yucca Valley, California.
- Sec. 4030. Selenium studies, Colorado.
- Sec. 4031. Delaware and Christina Rivers and Shellpot Creek, Wilmington, Delaware.
- Sec. 4032. Delaware inland bays and tributaries and Atlantic coast, Delaware.
- Sec. 4033. Collier County Beaches, Florida.
- Sec. 4034. Lower St. Johns River, Florida.
- Sec. 4035. Herbert Hoover Dike supplemental major rehabilitation report, Florida.
- Sec. 4036. Vanderbilt Beach Lagoon, Florida.
- Sec. 4037. Meriwether County, Georgia.
- Sec. 4038. Boise River, Idaho.
- Sec. 4039. Ballard's Island Side Channel, Illinois.
- Sec. 4040. Chicago, Illinois.
- Sec. 4041. Salem, Indiana.
- Sec. 4042. Buckhorn Lake, Kentucky.
- Sec. 4043. Dewey Lake, Kentucky.

- Sec. 4044. Louisville, Kentucky.
- Sec. 4045. Vidalia Port, Louisiana.
- Sec. 4046. Fall River Harbor, Massachusetts and Rhode Island.
- Sec. 4047. Clinton River, Michigan.
- Sec. 4048. Hamburg and Green Oak Townships, Michigan.
- Sec. 4049. Lake Erie at Luna Pier, Michigan.
- Sec. 4050. Duluth-Superior Harbor, Minnesota and Wisconsin.
- Sec. 4051. Northeast Mississippi.
- Sec. 4052. Dredged material disposal, New Jersey.
- Sec. 4053. Bayonne, New Jersey.
- Sec. 4054. Carteret, New Jersey.
- Sec. 4055. Gloucester County, New Jersey.
- Sec. 4056. Perth Amboy, New Jersey.
- Sec. 4057. Batavia, New York.
- Sec. 4058. Big Sister Creek, Evans, New York.
- Sec. 4059. Finger Lakes, New York.
- Sec. 4060. Lake Erie Shoreline, Buffalo, New York.
- Sec. 4061. Newtown Creek, New York.
- Sec. 4062. Niagara River, New York.
- Sec. 4063. Shore Parkway Greenway, Brooklyn, New York.
- Sec. 4064. Upper Delaware River watershed, New York.
- Sec. 4065. Lincoln County, North Carolina.
- Sec. 4066. Wilkes County, North Carolina.
- Sec. 4067. Yadkinville, North Carolina.
- Sec. 4068. Flood damage reduction, Ohio.
- Sec. 4069. Lake Erie, Ohio.
- Sec. 4070. Ohio River, Ohio.
- Sec. 4071. Toledo Harbor dredged material placement, Toledo, Ohio.
- Sec. 4072. Toledo Harbor, Maumee River, and Lake Channel project, Toledo, Ohio.
- Sec. 4073. Ecosystem restoration and fish passage improvements, Oregon.
- Sec. 4074. Walla Walla River basin, Oregon.
- Sec. 4075. Chartiers Creek watershed, Pennsylvania.
- Sec. 4076. Kinzua Dam and Allegheny Reservoir, Pennsylvania.
- Sec. 4077. Western Pennsylvania flood damage reduction.
- Sec. 4078. Williamsport, Pennsylvania.
- Sec. 4079. Yardley Borough, Pennsylvania.
- Sec. 4080. Rio Valenciano, Juncos, Puerto Rico.
- Sec. 4081. Woonsocket local protection project, Blackstone River basin, Rhode Island.
- Sec. 4082. Crooked Creek, Bennettsville, South Carolina.
- Sec. 4083. Broad River, York County, South Carolina.
- Sec. 4084. Savannah River, South Carolina and Georgia.
- Sec. 4085. Chattanooga, Tennessee.
- Sec. 4086. Cleveland, Tennessee.
- Sec. 4087. Cumberland River, Nashville, Tennessee.
- Sec. 4088. Lewis, Lawrence, and Wayne Counties, Tennessee.
- Sec. 4089. Wolf River and Nonconah Creek, Memphis, Tennessee.
- Sec. 4090. Abilene, Texas.
- Sec. 4091. Coastal Texas ecosystem protection and restoration, Texas.
- Sec. 4092. Port of Galveston, Texas.
- Sec. 4093. Grand County and Moab, Utah.
- Sec. 4094. Southwestern Utah.
- Sec. 4095. Ecosystem and hydropower generation dams, Vermont.
- Sec. 4096. Elliott Bay Seawall, Seattle, Washington.

- Sec. 4097. Monongahela River Basin, Northern West Virginia.
- Sec. 4098. Kenosha Harbor, Wisconsin.
- Sec. 4099. Johnsonville Dam, Johnsonville, Wisconsin.
- Sec. 4100. Wauwatosa, Wisconsin.
- Sec. 4101. Debris removal.

## TITLE V—MISCELLANEOUS

- Sec. 5001. Maintenance of navigation channels.
- Sec. 5002. Watershed management.
- Sec. 5003. Dam safety.
- Sec. 5004. Structural integrity evaluations.
- Sec. 5005. Flood mitigation priority areas.
- Sec. 5006. Additional assistance for authorized projects.
- Sec. 5007. Expedited completion of reports and construction for certain projects.
- Sec. 5008. Expedited completion of reports for certain projects.
- Sec. 5009. Southeastern water resources assessment.
- Sec. 5010. Missouri and Middle Mississippi Rivers enhancement project.
- Sec. 5011. Great Lakes fishery and ecosystem restoration program.
- Sec. 5012. Great Lakes remedial action plans and sediment remediation.
- Sec. 5013. Great Lakes tributary models.
- Sec. 5014. Great Lakes navigation and protection.
- Sec. 5015. Saint Lawrence Seaway.
- Sec. 5016. Upper Mississippi River dispersal barrier project.
- Sec. 5017. Estuary restoration.
- Sec. 5018. Missouri River and tributaries, mitigation, recovery, and restoration, Iowa, Kansas, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, North Dakota, South Dakota, and Wyoming.
- Sec. 5019. Susquehanna, Delaware, and Potomac River basins, Delaware, Maryland, Pennsylvania, and Virginia.
- Sec. 5020. Chesapeake Bay environmental restoration and protection program.
- Sec. 5021. Chesapeake Bay oyster restoration, Virginia and Maryland.
- Sec. 5022. Hypoxia assessment.
- Sec. 5023. Potomac River watershed assessment and tributary strategy evaluation and monitoring program.
- Sec. 5024. Lock and dam security.
- Sec. 5025. Research and development program for Columbia and Snake River salmon survival.
- Sec. 5026. Wage surveys.
- Sec. 5027. Rehabilitation.
- Sec. 5028. Auburn, Alabama.
- Sec. 5029. Pinhook Creek, Huntsville, Alabama.
- Sec. 5030. Alaska.
- Sec. 5031. Barrow, Alaska.
- Sec. 5032. Lowell Creek Tunnel, Seward, Alaska.
- Sec. 5033. St. Herman and St. Paul Harbors, Kodiak, Alaska.
- Sec. 5034. Tanana River, Alaska.
- Sec. 5035. Wrangell Harbor, Alaska.
- Sec. 5036. Augusta and Clarendon, Arkansas.
- Sec. 5037. Des Arc levee protection, Arkansas.
- Sec. 5038. Loomis Landing, Arkansas.
- Sec. 5039. California.
- Sec. 5040. Calaveras River and Littlejohn Creek and tributaries, Stockton, California.

- Sec. 5041. Cambria, California.
- Sec. 5042. Contra Costa Canal, Oakley and Knightsen, California; Mallard Slough, Pittsburg, California.
- Sec. 5043. Dana Point Harbor, California.
- Sec. 5044. East San Joaquin County, California.
- Sec. 5045. Eastern Santa Clara basin, California.
- Sec. 5046. LA-3 dredged material ocean disposal site designation, California.
- Sec. 5047. Lancaster, California.
- Sec. 5048. Los Osos, California.
- Sec. 5049. Pine Flat Dam fish and wildlife habitat, California.
- Sec. 5050. Raymond Basin, Six Basins, Chino Basin, and San Gabriel Basin, California.
- Sec. 5051. San Francisco, California.
- Sec. 5052. San Francisco, California, waterfront area.
- Sec. 5053. San Pablo Bay, California, watershed and Suisun Marsh ecosystem restoration.
- Sec. 5054. St. Helena, California.
- Sec. 5055. Upper Calaveras River, Stockton, California.
- Sec. 5056. Rio Grande environmental management program, Colorado, New Mexico, and Texas.
- Sec. 5057. Charles Hervey Townshend Breakwater, New Haven Harbor, Connecticut.
- Sec. 5058. Stamford, Connecticut.
- Sec. 5059. Delmarva conservation corridor, Delaware, Maryland, and Virginia.
- Sec. 5060. Anacostia River, District of Columbia and Maryland.
- Sec. 5061. East Central and Northeast Florida.
- Sec. 5062. Florida Keys water quality improvements.
- Sec. 5063. Lake Worth, Florida.
- Sec. 5064. Big Creek, Georgia, watershed management and restoration program.
- Sec. 5065. Metropolitan North Georgia Water Planning District.
- Sec. 5066. Savannah, Georgia.
- Sec. 5067. Idaho, Montana, rural Nevada, New Mexico, rural Utah, and Wyoming.
- Sec. 5068. Riley Creek Recreation Area, Idaho.
- Sec. 5069. Floodplain mapping, Little Calumet River, Chicago, Illinois.
- Sec. 5070. Reconstruction of Illinois and Missouri flood protection projects.
- Sec. 5071. Illinois River basin restoration.
- Sec. 5072. Promontory Point third-party review, Chicago shoreline, Chicago, Illinois.
- Sec. 5073. Kaskaskia River basin, Illinois, restoration.
- Sec. 5074. Southwest Illinois.
- Sec. 5075. Calumet region, Indiana.
- Sec. 5076. Floodplain mapping, Missouri River, Iowa.
- Sec. 5077. Paducah, Kentucky.
- Sec. 5078. Southern and eastern Kentucky.
- Sec. 5079. Winchester, Kentucky.
- Sec. 5080. Baton Rouge, Louisiana.
- Sec. 5081. Calcasieu Ship Channel, Louisiana.
- Sec. 5082. East Atchafalaya basin and Amite River basin region, Louisiana.
- Sec. 5083. Inner Harbor Navigation Canal Lock project, Louisiana.
- Sec. 5084. Lake Pontchartrain, Louisiana.
- Sec. 5085. Southeast Louisiana region, Louisiana.
- Sec. 5086. West Baton Rouge Parish, Louisiana.
- Sec. 5087. Charlestown, Maryland.



- Sec. 5088. St. Mary's River, Maryland.
- Sec. 5089. Massachusetts dredged material disposal sites.
- Sec. 5090. Ontonagon Harbor, Michigan.
- Sec. 5091. Crookston, Minnesota.
- Sec. 5092. Garrison and Kathio Township, Minnesota.
- Sec. 5093. Itasca County, Minnesota.
- Sec. 5094. Minneapolis, Minnesota.
- Sec. 5095. Northeastern Minnesota.
- Sec. 5096. Wild Rice River, Minnesota.
- Sec. 5097. Mississippi.
- Sec. 5098. Harrison, Hancock, and Jackson Counties, Mississippi.
- Sec. 5099. Mississippi River, Missouri and Illinois.
- Sec. 5100. St. Louis, Missouri.
- Sec. 5101. St. Louis Regional Greenways, St. Louis, Missouri.
- Sec. 5102. Missoula, Montana.
- Sec. 5103. St. Mary project, Glacier County, Montana.
- Sec. 5104. Lower Platte River watershed restoration, Nebraska.
- Sec. 5105. Hackensack Meadowlands area, New Jersey.
- Sec. 5106. Atlantic Coast of New York.
- Sec. 5107. College Point, New York City, New York.
- Sec. 5108. Flushing Bay and Creek, New York City, New York.
- Sec. 5109. Hudson River, New York.
- Sec. 5110. Mount Morris Dam, New York.
- Sec. 5111. North Hempstead and Glen Cove North Shore watershed restoration, New York.
- Sec. 5112. Rochester, New York.
- Sec. 5113. North Carolina.
- Sec. 5114. Stanly County, North Carolina.
- Sec. 5115. John H. Kerr Dam and Reservoir, North Carolina.
- Sec. 5116. Cincinnati, Ohio.
- Sec. 5117. Ohio River basin environmental management.
- Sec. 5118. Toussaint River navigation project, Carroll Township, Ohio.
- Sec. 5119. Statewide comprehensive water planning, Oklahoma.
- Sec. 5120. Fern Ridge Dam, Oregon.
- Sec. 5121. Allegheny County, Pennsylvania.
- Sec. 5122. Clinton County, Pennsylvania.
- Sec. 5123. Kehly Run Dams, Pennsylvania.
- Sec. 5124. Lehigh River, Lehigh County, Pennsylvania.
- Sec. 5125. Northeast Pennsylvania.
- Sec. 5126. Upper Susquehanna River basin, Pennsylvania and New York.
- Sec. 5127. Cano Martin Pena, San Juan, Puerto Rico.
- Sec. 5128. Lakes Marion and Moultrie, South Carolina.
- Sec. 5129. Cheyenne River Sioux Tribe, Lower Brule Sioux Tribe, and terrestrial wildlife habitat restoration, South Dakota.
- Sec. 5130. East Tennessee.
- Sec. 5131. Fritz Landing, Tennessee.
- Sec. 5132. J. Percy Priest Dam and Reservoir, Tennessee.
- Sec. 5133. Nashville, Tennessee.
- Sec. 5134. Nonconnah Weir, Memphis, Tennessee.
- Sec. 5135. Tennessee River partnership.
- Sec. 5136. Town Creek, Lenoir City, Tennessee.
- Sec. 5137. Upper Mississippi embayment, Tennessee, Arkansas, and Mississippi.
- Sec. 5138. Texas.
- Sec. 5139. Bosque River watershed, Texas.

## 11

- Sec. 5140. Dallas County region, Texas.
- Sec. 5141. Dallas Floodway, Dallas, Texas.
- Sec. 5142. Harris County, Texas.
- Sec. 5143. Johnson Creek, Arlington, Texas.
- Sec. 5144. Onion Creek, Texas.
- Sec. 5145. Connecticut River dams, Vermont.
- Sec. 5146. Lake Champlain Canal, Vermont and New York.
- Sec. 5147. Dyke Marsh, Fairfax County, Virginia.
- Sec. 5148. Eastern Shore and Southwest Virginia.
- Sec. 5149. James River, Virginia.
- Sec. 5150. Baker Bay and Ilwaco Harbor, Washington.
- Sec. 5151. Hamilton Island campground, Washington.
- Sec. 5152. Erosion control, Puget Island, Wahkiakum County, Washington.
- Sec. 5153. Willapa Bay, Washington.
- Sec. 5154. West Virginia and Pennsylvania flood control.
- Sec. 5155. Central West Virginia.
- Sec. 5156. Southern West Virginia.
- Sec. 5157. Construction of flood control projects by non-Federal interests.
- Sec. 5158. Additional assistance for critical projects.

## TITLE VI—FLORIDA EVERGLADES

- Sec. 6001. Hillsboro and Okeechobee Aquifer, Florida.
- Sec. 6002. Pilot projects.
- Sec. 6003. Maximum costs.
- Sec. 6004. Credit.
- Sec. 6005. Outreach and assistance.
- Sec. 6006. Critical restoration projects.
- Sec. 6007. Regional engineering model for environmental restoration.

## TITLE VII—LOUISIANA COASTAL AREA

- Sec. 7001. Definitions.
- Sec. 7002. Comprehensive plan.
- Sec. 7003. Louisiana coastal area.
- Sec. 7004. Coastal Louisiana Ecosystem Protection and Restoration Task Force.
- Sec. 7005. Project modifications.
- Sec. 7006. Construction.
- Sec. 7007. Non-Federal cost share.
- Sec. 7008. Project justification.
- Sec. 7009. Independent review.
- Sec. 7010. Expedited reports.
- Sec. 7011. Reporting.
- Sec. 7012. New Orleans and vicinity.
- Sec. 7013. Mississippi River-Gulf Outlet.
- Sec. 7014. Hurricane and storm damage reduction.
- Sec. 7015. Larose to Golden Meadow.
- Sec. 7016. Lower Jefferson Parish, Louisiana.

## TITLE VIII—UPPER MISSISSIPPI RIVER AND ILLINOIS WATERWAY SYSTEM

- Sec. 8001. Definitions.
- Sec. 8002. Navigation improvements and restoration.
- Sec. 8003. Authorization of construction of navigation improvements.

- Sec. 8004. Ecosystem restoration authorization.  
Sec. 8005. Comparable progress.

TITLE IX—NATIONAL LEVEE SAFETY PROGRAM

- Sec. 9001. Short title.  
Sec. 9002. Definitions.  
Sec. 9003. Committee on Levee Safety.  
Sec. 9004. Inventory and inspection of levees.  
Sec. 9005. Limitations on statutory construction.  
Sec. 9006. Authorization of appropriations.

1 **SEC. 2. DEFINITION OF SECRETARY.**

2 In this Act, the term “Secretary” means the Sec-  
3 retary of the Army.

4 **TITLE I—WATER RESOURCES**  
5 **PROJECTS**

6 **SEC. 1001. PROJECT AUTHORIZATIONS.**

7 Except as otherwise provided in this section, the fol-  
8 lowing projects for water resources development and con-  
9 servation and other purposes are authorized to be carried  
10 out by the Secretary substantially in accordance with the  
11 plans, and subject to the conditions, described in the re-  
12 spective reports designated in this section:

13 (1) HAINES, ALASKA.—The project for naviga-  
14 tion, Haines, Alaska: Report of the Chief of Engi-  
15 neers dated December 20, 2004, at a total cost of  
16 \$14,040,000, with an estimated Federal cost of  
17 \$11,232,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost of  
18 \$2,808,000.

19 (2) PORT LIONS, ALASKA.—The project for  
20 navigation, Port Lions, Alaska: Report of the Chief

1 of Engineers dated June 14, 2006, at a total cost  
2 of \$9,530,000, with an estimated Federal cost of  
3 \$7,624,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost of  
4 \$1,906,000.

5 (3) SANTA CRUZ RIVER, PASEO DE LAS  
6 IGLESIAS, ARIZONA.—The project for environmental  
7 restoration, Santa Cruz River, Pima County, Ari-  
8 zona: Report of the Chief of Engineers dated March  
9 28, 2006, at a total cost of \$97,700,000, with an es-  
10 timated Federal cost of \$63,300,000 and an esti-  
11 mated non-Federal cost of \$34,400,000.

12 (4) TANQUE VERDE CREEK, PIMA COUNTY, ARI-  
13 ZONA.—The project for environmental restoration,  
14 Tanque Verde Creek, Pima County, Arizona: Report  
15 of the Chief of Engineers dated July 22, 2003, at  
16 a total cost of \$5,906,000, with an estimated Fed-  
17 eral cost of \$3,836,000 and an estimated non-Fed-  
18 eral cost of \$2,070,000.

19 (5) SALT RIVER (RIO SALADO OESTE), MARI-  
20 COPA COUNTY, ARIZONA.—The project for environ-  
21 mental restoration, Salt River (Rio Salado Oeste),  
22 Maricopa County, Arizona: Report of the Chief of  
23 Engineers dated December 19, 2006, at a total cost  
24 of \$166,650,000, with an estimated Federal cost of

1       \$106,629,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost of  
2       \$60,021,000.

3           (6) SALT RIVER (VA SHLY'AY AKIMEL), MARI-  
4       COPA COUNTY, ARIZONA.—

5           (A) IN GENERAL.—The project for envi-  
6       ronmental restoration, Salt River (Va Shly'ay  
7       Akimel), Arizona: Report of the Chief of Engi-  
8       neers dated January 3, 2005, at a total cost of  
9       \$162,100,000, with an estimated Federal cost  
10      of \$105,200,000 and an estimated non-Federal  
11      cost of \$56,900,000.

12          (B) COORDINATION WITH FEDERAL REC-  
13      LAMATION PROJECTS.—The Secretary, to the  
14      maximum extent practicable, shall coordinate  
15      the design and construction of the project de-  
16      scribed in subparagraph (A) with the Bureau of  
17      Reclamation and any operating agent for any  
18      Federal reclamation project in the Salt River  
19      Basin to avoid impacts to existing Federal rec-  
20      lamation facilities and operations in the Salt  
21      River Basin.

22          (7) MAY BRANCH, FORT SMITH, ARKANSAS.—  
23      The project for flood damage reduction, May  
24      Branch, Fort Smith, Arkansas: Report of the Chief  
25      of Engineers dated December 19, 2006, at a total

1 cost of \$30,850,000, with an estimated Federal cost  
2 of \$15,010,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost  
3 of \$15,840,000.

4 (8) HAMILTON CITY, GLENN COUNTY, CALI-  
5 FORNIA.—The project for flood damage reduction  
6 and environmental restoration, Hamilton City, Glenn  
7 County, California: Report of the Chief of Engineers  
8 dated December 22, 2004, at a total cost of  
9 \$52,400,000, with an estimated Federal cost of  
10 \$34,100,000 and estimated non-Federal cost of  
11 \$18,300,000.

12 (9) SILVER STRAND SHORELINE, IMPERIAL  
13 BEACH, CALIFORNIA.—The project for storm damage  
14 reduction, Silver Strand Shoreline, Imperial Beach,  
15 California: Report of the Chief of Engineers dated  
16 December 30, 2003, at a total cost of \$13,700,000,  
17 with an estimated Federal cost of \$8,521,000 and  
18 an estimated non-Federal cost of \$5,179,000, and at  
19 an estimated total cost of \$42,500,000 for periodic  
20 beach nourishment over the 50-year life of the  
21 project, with an estimated Federal cost of  
22 \$21,250,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost of  
23 \$21,250,000.

24 (10) MATILJA DAM, VENTURA COUNTY, CALI-  
25 FORNIA.—The project for environmental restoration,

1 Matilija Dam, Ventura County, California: Report of  
2 the Chief of Engineers dated December 20, 2004, at  
3 a total cost of \$144,500,000, with an estimated Fed-  
4 eral cost of \$89,700,000 and an estimated non-Fed-  
5 eral cost of \$54,800,000.

6 (11) MIDDLE CREEK, LAKE COUNTY, CALI-  
7 FORNIA.—The project for flood damage reduction  
8 and environmental restoration, Middle Creek, Lake  
9 County, California: Report of the Chief of Engineers  
10 dated November 29, 2004, at a total cost of  
11 \$45,200,000, with an estimated Federal cost of  
12 \$29,500,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost of  
13 \$15,700,000.

14 (12) NAPA RIVER SALT MARSH RESTORATION,  
15 CALIFORNIA.—

16 (A) IN GENERAL.—The project for envi-  
17 ronmental restoration, Napa River Salt Marsh  
18 Restoration, Napa, California: Report of the  
19 Chief of Engineers dated December 22, 2004,  
20 at a total cost of \$134,500,000, with an esti-  
21 mated Federal cost of \$87,500,000 and an esti-  
22 mated non-Federal cost of \$47,000,000.

23 (B) ADMINISTRATION.—In carrying out  
24 the project authorized by this paragraph, the  
25 Secretary shall—

1 (i) construct a recycled water pipeline  
2 extending from the Sonoma Valley County  
3 Sanitation District Waste Water Treat-  
4 ment Plant and the Napa Sanitation Dis-  
5 trict Waste Water Treatment Plant to the  
6 project; and

7 (ii) restore or enhance Salt Ponds 1,  
8 1A, 2, and 3.

9 (13) DENVER COUNTY REACH, SOUTH PLATTE  
10 RIVER, DENVER, COLORADO.—The project for envi-  
11 ronmental restoration, Denver County Reach, South  
12 Platte River, Denver, Colorado: Report of the Chief  
13 of Engineers dated May 16, 2003, at a total cost of  
14 \$20,100,000, with an estimated Federal cost of  
15 \$13,065,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost of  
16 \$7,035,000.

17 (14) CENTRAL AND SOUTHERN FLORIDA, IN-  
18 DIAN RIVER LAGOON, FLORIDA.—

19 (A) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary may  
20 carry out the project for ecosystem restoration,  
21 water supply, flood control, and protection of  
22 water quality, Central and Southern Florida,  
23 Indian River Lagoon, Florida, at a total cost of  
24 \$1,365,000,000, with an estimated Federal cost  
25 of \$682,500,000 and an estimated non-Federal



1 cost of \$682,500,000, in accordance with sec-  
2 tion 601 of the Water Resources Development  
3 Act of 2000 (114 Stat. 2680) and the rec-  
4 ommendations of the report of the Chief of En-  
5 gineers dated August 6, 2004.

6 (B) DEAUTHORIZATIONS.—The following  
7 projects are not authorized after the date of en-  
8 actment of this Act:

9 (i) The uncompleted portions of the  
10 project for the C-44 Basin Storage Res-  
11 ervoir of the Comprehensive Everglades  
12 Restoration Plan, authorized by section  
13 601(b)(2)(C)(i) of the Water Resources  
14 Development Act of 2000 (114 Stat.  
15 2682), at a total cost of \$147,800,000,  
16 with an estimated Federal cost of  
17 \$73,900,000 and an estimated non-Federal  
18 cost of \$73,900,000.

19 (ii) The uncompleted portions of the  
20 Martin County, Florida, modifications to  
21 the project for Central and Southern Flor-  
22 ida, authorized by section 203 of the Flood  
23 Control Act of 1968 (82 Stat. 740), at a  
24 total cost of \$15,471,000, with an esti-

1 mated Federal cost of \$8,073,000 and an  
2 estimated non-Federal cost of \$7,398,000.

3 (iii) The uncompleted portions of the  
4 East Coast Backpumping, St. Lucie–Mar-  
5 tin County, Spillway Structure S-311  
6 modifications to the project for Central  
7 and Southern Florida, authorized by sec-  
8 tion 203 of the Flood Control Act of 1968  
9 (82 Stat. 740), at a total cost of  
10 \$77,118,000, with an estimated Federal  
11 cost of \$55,124,000 and an estimated non-  
12 Federal cost of \$21,994,000.

13 (15) COMPREHENSIVE EVERGLADES RESTORA-  
14 TION PLAN, CENTRAL AND SOUTHERN FLORIDA, PIC-  
15 AYUNE STRAND RESTORATION PROJECT, COLLIER  
16 COUNTY, FLORIDA.—The project for ecosystem res-  
17 toration, Comprehensive Everglades Restoration  
18 Plan, Central and Southern Florida, Picayune  
19 Strand Restoration Project, Collier County, Florida:  
20 Report of the Chief of Engineers dated September  
21 15, 2005, at a total cost of \$375,330,000 with an  
22 estimated Federal cost of \$187,665,000 and an esti-  
23 mated non-Federal cost of \$187,665,000.

24 (16) COMPREHENSIVE EVERGLADES RESTORA-  
25 TION PLAN, CENTRAL AND SOUTHERN FLORIDA,

1 SITE 1 IMPOUNDMENT PROJECT, PALM BEACH COUN-  
2 TY, FLORIDA.—The project for ecosystem restora-  
3 tion, Comprehensive Everglades Restoration Plan,  
4 Central and Southern Florida, Site 1 Impoundment  
5 Project, Palm Beach County, Florida: Report of the  
6 Chief of Engineers dated December 19, 2006, at a  
7 total cost of \$80,840,000, with an estimated Federal  
8 cost of \$40,420,000 and an estimated non-Federal  
9 cost of \$40,420,000.

10 (17) MIAMI HARBOR, MIAMI-DADE COUNTY,  
11 FLORIDA.—

12 (A) IN GENERAL.—The project for naviga-  
13 tion, Miami Harbor, Miami-Dade County, Flor-  
14 ida: Report of the Chief of Engineers dated  
15 April 25, 2005, at a total cost of \$125,270,000,  
16 with an estimated Federal cost of \$75,140,000  
17 and an estimated non-Federal cost of  
18 \$50,130,000.

19 (B) GENERAL REEVALUATION REPORT.—  
20 The non-Federal share of the cost of the gen-  
21 eral reevaluation report that resulted in the re-  
22 port of the Chief of Engineers referred to in  
23 subparagraph (A) shall be the same percentage  
24 as the non-Federal share of cost of construction  
25 of the project.

1           (C) AGREEMENT.—The Secretary shall  
2           enter into a new partnership with the non-Fed-  
3           eral interest to reflect the cost sharing required  
4           by subparagraph (B).

5           (18) EAST ST. LOUIS AND VICINITY, ILLI-  
6           NOIS.—The project for environmental restoration  
7           and recreation, East St. Louis and Vicinity, Illinois:  
8           Report of the Chief of Engineers dated December  
9           22, 2004, at a total cost of \$208,260,000, with an  
10          estimated Federal cost of \$134,910,000 and an esti-  
11          mated non-Federal cost of \$73,350,000.

12          (19) PEORIA RIVERFRONT DEVELOPMENT, ILLI-  
13          NOIS.—The project for environmental restoration,  
14          Peoria Riverfront Development, Illinois: Report of  
15          the Chief of Engineers dated July 28, 2003, at a  
16          total cost of \$18,220,000, with an estimated Federal  
17          cost of \$11,840,000 and an estimated non-Federal  
18          cost of \$6,380,000.

19          (20) WOOD RIVER LEVEE SYSTEM RECON-  
20          STRUCTION, MADISON COUNTY, ILLINOIS.—The  
21          project for flood damage reduction, Wood River  
22          Levee System Reconstruction, Madison County, Illi-  
23          nois: Report of the Chief of Engineers dated July  
24          18, 2006, at a total cost of \$17,220,000, with an es-

1        estimated Federal cost of \$11,193,000 and an esti-  
2        mated non-Federal cost of \$6,027,000.

3            (21) DES MOINES AND RACCOON RIVERS, DES  
4        MOINES, IOWA.—The project for flood damage re-  
5        duction, Des Moines and Raccoon Rivers, Des  
6        Moines, Iowa: Report of the Chief of Engineers  
7        dated March 28, 2006, at a total cost of  
8        \$10,780,000, with an estimated Federal cost of  
9        \$6,967,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost of  
10       \$3,813,000.

11           (22) LICKING RIVER BASIN, CYNTHIANA, KEN-  
12        TUCKY.—The project for flood damage reduction,  
13        Licking River Basin, Cynthiana, Kentucky: Report  
14        of the Chief of Engineers dated October 24, 2006,  
15        at a total cost of \$18,200,000, with an estimated  
16        Federal cost of \$11,830,000 and an estimated non-  
17        Federal cost of \$6,370,000.

18           (23) BAYOU SORREL LOCK, LOUISIANA.—The  
19        project for navigation, Bayou Sorrel Lock, Lou-  
20        isiana: Report of the Chief of Engineers dated Janu-  
21        ary 3, 2005, at a total cost of \$9,600,000. The costs  
22        of construction of the project are to be paid ½ from  
23        amounts appropriated from the general fund of the  
24        Treasury and ½ from amounts appropriated from  
25        the Inland Waterways Trust Fund.

1 (24) MORGANZA TO THE GULF OF MEXICO,  
2 LOUISIANA.—

3 (A) IN GENERAL.—The project for hurri-  
4 cane and storm damage reduction, Morganza to  
5 the Gulf of Mexico, Louisiana: Reports of the  
6 Chief of Engineers dated August 23, 2002, and  
7 July 22, 2003, at a total cost of \$886,700,000,  
8 with an estimated Federal cost of \$576,355,000  
9 and an estimated non-Federal cost of  
10 \$310,345,000.

11 (B) OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE.—The  
12 operation, maintenance, repair, rehabilitation,  
13 and replacement of the Houma Navigation  
14 Canal lock complex and the Gulf Intracoastal  
15 Waterway floodgate features of the project de-  
16 scribed in subparagraph (A) that provide for in-  
17 land waterway transportation shall be a Federal  
18 responsibility in accordance with section 102 of  
19 the Water Resources Development Act of 1986  
20 (33 U.S.C. 2212).

21 (25) PORT OF IBERIA, LOUISIANA.—The project  
22 for navigation, Port of Iberia, Louisiana: Report of  
23 the Chief of Engineers dated December 31, 2006, at  
24 a total cost of \$131,250,000, with an estimated Fed-  
25 eral cost of \$105,315,000 and an estimated non-

1 Federal cost of \$25,935,000; except that the Sec-  
2 retary, in consultation with Vermillion and Iberia  
3 Parishes, Louisiana, and consistent with the mitiga-  
4 tion plan in the report, shall use available dredged  
5 material and rock placement on the south bank of  
6 the Gulf Intracoastal Waterway and the west bank  
7 of the Freshwater Bayou Channel to provide inci-  
8 dental storm surge protection that does not ad-  
9 versely affect the mitigation plan.

10 (26) SMITH ISLAND, SOMERSET COUNTY, MARY-  
11 LAND.—The project for environmental restoration,  
12 Smith Island, Somerset County, Maryland: Report  
13 of the Chief of Engineers dated October 29, 2001,  
14 at a total cost of \$15,580,000, with an estimated  
15 Federal cost of \$10,127,000 and an estimated non-  
16 Federal cost of \$5,453,000.

17 (27) ROSEAU RIVER, ROSEAU, MINNESOTA.—  
18 The project for flood damage reduction, Roseau  
19 River, Roseau, Minnesota: Report of the Chief of  
20 Engineers dated December 19, 2006, at a total cost  
21 of \$25,100,000, with an estimated Federal cost of  
22 \$13,820,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost of  
23 \$11,280,000.

24 (28) ARGENTINE, EAST BOTTOMS, FAIRFAX-  
25 JERSEY CREEK, AND NORTH KANSAS LEVEES UNITS,

1 MISSOURI RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES AT KANSAS CIT-  
2 IES, MISSOURI AND KANSAS.—The project for flood  
3 damage reduction, Argentine, East Bottoms, Fair-  
4 fax-Jersey Creek, and North Kansas Levees units,  
5 Missouri River and tributaries at Kansas Cities,  
6 Missouri and Kansas: Report of the Chief of Engi-  
7 neers dated December 19, 2006, at a total cost of  
8 \$65,430,000, with an estimated Federal cost of  
9 \$42,530,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost of  
10 \$22,900,000.

11 (29) SWOPE PARK INDUSTRIAL AREA, BLUE  
12 RIVER, KANSAS CITY, MISSOURI.—The project for  
13 flood damage reduction, Swope Park Industrial  
14 Area, Blue River, Kansas City, Missouri: Report of  
15 the Chief of Engineers dated December 30, 2003, at  
16 a total cost of \$16,980,000, with an estimated Fed-  
17 eral cost of \$11,037,000 and an estimated non-Fed-  
18 eral cost of \$5,943,000.

19 (30) GREAT EGG HARBOR INLET TO TOWN-  
20 SENDS INLET, NEW JERSEY.—The project for hurri-  
21 cane and storm damage reduction, Great Egg Har-  
22 bor Inlet to Townsends Inlet, New Jersey: Report of  
23 the Chief of Engineers dated October 24, 2006, at  
24 a total cost of \$54,360,000, with an estimated Fed-  
25 eral cost of \$35,069,000 and an estimated non-Fed-



1 eral cost of \$19,291,000, and at an estimated total  
2 cost of \$202,500,000 for periodic nourishment over  
3 the 50-year life of the project, with an estimated  
4 Federal cost of \$101,250,000 and an estimated non-  
5 Federal cost of \$101,250,000.

6 (31) HUDSON RARITAN ESTUARY, LIBERTY  
7 STATE PARK, NEW JERSEY.—

8 (A) IN GENERAL.—The project for envi-  
9 ronmental restoration, Hudson Raritan Estu-  
10 ary, Liberty State Park, New Jersey: Report of  
11 the Chief of Engineers dated August 25, 2006,  
12 at a total cost of \$34,100,000, with an esti-  
13 mated Federal cost of \$22,200,000 and an esti-  
14 mated non-Federal cost of \$11,900,000.

15 (B) RESTORATION TEAMS.—In carrying  
16 out the project, the Secretary shall establish  
17 and utilize watershed restoration teams com-  
18 posed of estuary restoration experts from the  
19 Corps of Engineers, the New Jersey department  
20 of environmental protection, and the Port Au-  
21 thority of New York and New Jersey and other  
22 experts designated by the Secretary for the pur-  
23 pose of developing habitat restoration and water  
24 quality enhancement.

1           (32) NEW JERSEY SHORE PROTECTION STUDY,  
2           MANASQUAN INLET TO BARNEGAT INLET, NEW JER-  
3           SEY.—The project for hurricane and storm damage  
4           reduction, New Jersey Shore Protection Study,  
5           Manasquan Inlet to Barnegat Inlet, New Jersey: Re-  
6           port of the Chief of Engineers dated December 30,  
7           2003, at a total cost of \$71,900,000, with an esti-  
8           mated Federal cost of \$46,735,000 and an esti-  
9           mated non-Federal cost of \$25,165,000, and at an  
10          estimated total cost of \$119,680,000 for periodic  
11          beach nourishment over the 50-year life of the  
12          project, with an estimated Federal cost of  
13          \$59,840,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost of  
14          \$59,840,000.

15          (33) RARITAN BAY AND SANDY HOOK BAY,  
16          UNION BEACH, NEW JERSEY.—The project for hurri-  
17          cane and storm damage reduction, Raritan Bay and  
18          Sandy Hook Bay, Union Beach, New Jersey: Report  
19          of the Chief of Engineers dated January 4, 2006, at  
20          a total cost of \$115,000,000, with an estimated Fed-  
21          eral cost of \$74,800,000 and an estimated non-Fed-  
22          eral cost of \$40,200,000, and at an estimated total  
23          cost of \$6,500,000 for periodic nourishment over the  
24          50-year life of the project, with an estimated Federal

1 cost of \$3,250,000 and an estimated non-Federal  
2 cost of \$3,250,000.

3 (34) SOUTH RIVER, RARITAN RIVER BASIN,  
4 NEW JERSEY.—The project for hurricane and storm  
5 damage reduction and environmental restoration,  
6 South River, Raritan River Basin, New Jersey: Re-  
7 port of the Chief of Engineers dated July 22, 2003,  
8 at a total cost of \$122,300,000, with an estimated  
9 Federal cost of \$79,500,000 and an estimated non-  
10 Federal cost of \$42,800,000.

11 (35) SOUTHWEST VALLEY, BERNALILLO COUN-  
12 TY, NEW MEXICO.—The project for flood damage re-  
13 duction, Southwest Valley, Bernalillo County, New  
14 Mexico: Report of the Chief of Engineers dated No-  
15 vember 29, 2004, at a total cost of \$24,840,000,  
16 with an estimated Federal cost of \$16,150,000 and  
17 an estimated non-Federal cost of \$8,690,000.

18 (36) MONTAUK POINT, NEW YORK.—The  
19 project for hurricane and storm damage reduction,  
20 Montauk Point, New York: Report of the Chief of  
21 Engineers dated March 31, 2006, at a total cost of  
22 \$14,600,000, with an estimated Federal cost of  
23 \$7,300,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost of  
24 \$7,300,000.

1           (37) HOCKING RIVER BASIN, MONDAY CREEK,  
2 OHIO.—

3           (A) IN GENERAL.—The project for eco-  
4 system restoration, Hocking River Basin, Mon-  
5 day Creek, Ohio: Report of the Chief of Engi-  
6 neers dated August 24, 2006, at a total cost of  
7 \$20,980,000, with an estimated Federal cost of  
8 \$13,440,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost  
9 of \$7,540,000.

10           (B) WAYNE NATIONAL FOREST.—

11           (i) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary, in  
12 cooperation with the Secretary of Agri-  
13 culture, may construct other project fea-  
14 tures on property that is located in the  
15 Wayne National Forest, Ohio, owned by  
16 the United States and managed by the  
17 Forest Service as described in the report of  
18 the Corps of Engineers entitled “Hocking  
19 River Basin, Ohio, Monday Creek Sub-  
20 Basin Ecosystem Restoration Project Fea-  
21 sibility Report and Environmental Assess-  
22 ment”.

23           (ii) COST.—Each project feature car-  
24 ried out on Federal land shall be designed,

1 constructed, operated, and maintained at  
2 Federal expense.

3 (iii) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIA-  
4 TIONS.—There is authorized to be appro-  
5 priated to the Secretary of Agriculture to  
6 carry out this subparagraph \$1,270,000.

7 (38) TOWN OF BLOOMSBURG, COLUMBIA COUN-  
8 TY, PENNSYLVANIA.—The project for flood damage  
9 reduction, town of Bloomsburg, Columbia County,  
10 Pennsylvania: Report of the Chief of Engineers  
11 dated January 25, 2006, at a total cost of  
12 \$44,500,000, with an estimated Federal cost of  
13 \$28,925,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost of  
14 \$15,575,000.

15 (39) PAWLEYS ISLAND, SOUTH CAROLINA.—  
16 The project for hurricane and storm damage reduc-  
17 tion, Pawleys Island, South Carolina: Report of the  
18 Chief of Engineers dated December 19, 2006, at a  
19 total cost of \$8,980,000, with an estimated Federal  
20 cost of \$5,840,000 and an estimated non-Federal  
21 cost of \$3,140,000, and at an estimated total cost  
22 of \$21,200,000 for periodic nourishment over the  
23 50-year life of the project, with an estimated Federal  
24 cost of \$10,600,000 and an estimated non-Federal  
25 cost of \$10,600,000.

1           (40) CORPUS CHRISTI SHIP CHANNEL, CORPUS  
2 CHRISTI, TEXAS.—

3           (A) IN GENERAL.—The project for naviga-  
4 tion and ecosystem restoration, Corpus Christi  
5 Ship Channel, Texas: Report of the Chief of  
6 Engineers dated June 2, 2003, at a total cost  
7 of \$188,110,000, with an estimated Federal  
8 cost of \$87,810,000 and an estimated non-Fed-  
9 eral cost of \$100,300,000.

10          (B) NAVIGATIONAL SERVITUDE.—In ear-  
11 rying out the project under subparagraph (A),  
12 the Secretary shall enforce the navigational ser-  
13 vitude in the Corpus Christi Ship Channel (in-  
14 cluding the removal or relocation of any facility  
15 obstructing the project) consistent with the cost  
16 sharing requirements of section 101 of the  
17 Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (33  
18 U.S.C. 2211).

19          (41) GULF INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, BRAZOS  
20 RIVER TO PORT O'CONNOR, MATAGORDA BAY RE-  
21 ROUTE, TEXAS.—The project for navigation, Gulf  
22 Intracoastal Waterway, Brazos River to Port O'Con-  
23 nor, Matagorda Bay Re-Route, Texas: Report of the  
24 Chief of Engineers dated December 24, 2002, at a  
25 total cost of \$17,280,000. The costs of construction

1 of the project are to be paid  $\frac{1}{2}$  from amounts appro-  
2 priated from the general fund of the Treasury and  
3  $\frac{1}{2}$  from amounts appropriated from the Inland Wa-  
4 terways Trust Fund.

5 (42) GULF INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, HIGH  
6 ISLAND TO BRAZOS RIVER, TEXAS.—The project for  
7 navigation, Gulf Intracoastal Waterway, High Island  
8 to Brazos River, Texas: Report of the Chief of Engi-  
9 neers dated April 16, 2004, at a total cost of  
10 \$14,450,000. The costs of construction of the  
11 project are to be paid  $\frac{1}{2}$  from amounts appropriated  
12 from the general fund of the Treasury and  $\frac{1}{2}$  from  
13 amounts appropriated from the Inland Waterways  
14 Trust Fund.

15 (43) LOWER COLORADO RIVER BASIN PHASE I,  
16 TEXAS.—The project for flood damage reduction and  
17 ecosystem restoration, Lower Colorado River Basin  
18 Phase I, Texas: Report of the Chief of Engineers  
19 dated December 31, 2006, at a total cost of  
20 \$110,730,000, with an estimated Federal cost of  
21 \$69,640,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost of  
22 \$41,090,000.

23 (44) ATLANTIC INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY  
24 BRIDGE REPLACEMENT, DEEP CREEK, CHESAPEAKE,  
25 VIRGINIA.—The project for Atlantic Intracoastal

1 Waterway Bridge Replacement, Deep Creek, Chesapeake, Virginia: Report of the Chief of Engineers  
2 dated March 3, 2003, at a total cost of \$37,200,000.

3 (45) CRANEY ISLAND EASTWARD EXPANSION,  
4 NORFOLK HARBOR AND CHANNELS, HAMPTON  
5 ROADS, VIRGINIA.—

6 (A) IN GENERAL.—The project for navigation,  
7 Craney Island Eastward Expansion, Norfolk Harbor and Channels, Hampton Roads,  
8 Virginia: Report of Chief of Engineers dated  
9 October 24, 2006, at a total cost of  
10 \$712,103,000.

11 (B) NON-FEDERAL SHARE.—Notwithstanding sections 101 and 103 of the Water  
12 Resources Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C.  
13 2211 and 2213), the Federal share of the cost  
14 of the project shall be 50 percent.

15 (46) CENTRALIA, CHEHALIS RIVER, LEWIS  
16 COUNTY, WASHINGTON.—

17 (A) IN GENERAL.—The project for flood  
18 damage reduction, Centralia, Chehalis River,  
19 Lewis County, Washington: Report of the Chief  
20 of Engineers dated September 27, 2004, at a  
21 total cost of \$123,770,000, with an estimated  
22  
23  
24



1 Federal cost of \$74,740,000 and an estimated  
2 non-Federal cost of \$49,030,000.

3 (B) CREDIT.—The Secretary shall—

4 (i) credit, in accordance with section  
5 221 of the Flood Control Act of 1970 (42  
6 U.S.C. 1962d–5b), toward the non-Federal  
7 share of the cost of the project up to  
8 \$6,500,000 for the cost of planning and  
9 design work carried out by the non-Federal  
10 interest in accordance with the project  
11 study plan dated November 28, 1999; and

12 (ii) credit toward the non-Federal  
13 share of the cost of the project the cost of  
14 design and construction work carried out  
15 by the non-Federal interest before the date  
16 of the partnership agreement for the  
17 project if the Secretary determines that the  
18 work is integral to the project.

19 **SEC. 1002. SMALL PROJECTS FOR FLOOD DAMAGE REDUC-**  
20 **TION.**

21 (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall conduct a  
22 study for each of the following projects and, if the Sec-  
23 retary determines that a project is feasible, may carry out  
24 the project under section 205 of the Flood Control Act  
25 of 1948 (33 U.S.C. 701s):

- 1           (1) HALEYVILLE, ALABAMA.—Project for flood  
2 damage reduction, Haleyville, Alabama.
- 3           (2) WEISS LAKE, ALABAMA.—Project for flood  
4 damage reduction, Weiss Lake, Alabama.
- 5           (3) FORT YUKON, ALASKA.—Project for flood  
6 damage reduction, Fort Yukon, Alaska.
- 7           (4) LITTLE COLORADO RIVER LEVEE, ARI-  
8 ZONA.—Project for flood damage reduction, Little  
9 Colorado River Levee, Arizona.
- 10          (5) CACHE RIVER BASIN, GRUBBS, ARKANSAS.—  
11 Project for flood damage reduction, Cache River  
12 Basin, Grubbs, Arkansas.
- 13          (6) BARREL SPRINGS WASH, PALMDALE, CALI-  
14 FORNIA.—Project for flood damage reduction, Barrel  
15 Springs Wash, Palmdale, California.
- 16          (7) BORREGO SPRINGS, CALIFORNIA.—Project  
17 for flood damage reduction, Borrego Springs, Cali-  
18 fornia.
- 19          (8) COLTON, CALIFORNIA.—Project for flood  
20 damage reduction, Colton, California.
- 21          (9) DUNLAP STREAM, YUCAIPA, CALIFORNIA.—  
22 Project for flood damage reduction, Dunlap Stream,  
23 Yucaipa, California.

1           (10) HUNTS CANYON WASH, PALMDALE, CALI-  
2           FORNIA.—Project for flood damage reduction, Hunts  
3           Canyon Wash, Palmdale, California.

4           (11) ONTARIO AND CHINO, CALIFORNIA.—  
5           Project for flood damage reduction, Ontario and  
6           Chino, California.

7           (12) SANTA VENETIA, CALIFORNIA.—Project  
8           for flood damage reduction, Santa Venetia, Cali-  
9           fornia.

10          (13) WHITTIER, CALIFORNIA.—Project for flood  
11          damage reduction, Whittier, California.

12          (14) WILDWOOD CREEK, YUCAIPA, CALI-  
13          FORNIA.—Project for flood damage reduction, Wild-  
14          wood Creek, Yucaipa, California.

15          (15) BIBB COUNTY AND CITY OF MACON  
16          LEVEE, GEORGIA.—Project for flood damage reduc-  
17          tion, Bibb County and City of Macon Levee, Geor-  
18          gia.

19          (16) FORT WAYNE AND VICINITY, INDIANA.—  
20          Project for flood damage reduction, St. Mary's and  
21          Maumee Rivers, Fort Wayne and vicinity, Indiana.

22          (17) ST. FRANCISVILLE, LOUISIANA.—Project  
23          for flood damage reduction, St. Francisville, Lou-  
24          isiana.

1           (18) SALEM, MASSACHUSETTS.—Project for  
2 flood damage reduction, Salem, Massachusetts.

3           (19) CASS RIVER, MICHIGAN.—Project for flood  
4 damage reduction, Cass River, Vassar and vicinity,  
5 Michigan.

6           (20) CROW RIVER, ROCKFORD, MINNESOTA.—  
7 Project for flood damage reduction, Crow River,  
8 Rockford, Minnesota.

9           (21) MARSH CREEK, MINNESOTA.—Project for  
10 flood damage reduction, Marsh Creek, Minnesota.

11          (22) SOUTH BRANCH OF THE WILD RICE RIVER,  
12 BORUP, MINNESOTA.—Project for flood damage re-  
13 duction, South Branch of the Wild Rice River,  
14 Borup, Minnesota.

15          (23) BLACKSNAKE CREEK, ST. JOSEPH, MIS-  
16 SOURI.—Project for flood damage reduction, Black-  
17 snake Creek, St. Joseph, Missouri.

18          (24) ACID BROOK, POMPTON LAKES, NEW JER-  
19 SEY.—Project for flood damage reduction, Acid  
20 Brook, Pompton Lakes, New Jersey.

21          (25) CANISTEO RIVER, ADDISON, NEW YORK.—  
22 Project for flood damage reduction, Canisteo River,  
23 Addison, New York.

1           (26) COHOCTON RIVER, CAMPBELL, NEW  
2 YORK.—Project for flood damage reduction,  
3 Cohocton River, Campbell, New York.

4           (27) DRY AND OTTER CREEKS, CORTLAND, NEW  
5 YORK.—Project for flood damage reduction, Dry and  
6 Otter Creeks, Cortland, New York.

7           (28) EAST RIVER, SILVER BEACH, NEW YORK  
8 CITY, NEW YORK.—Project for flood damage reduc-  
9 tion, East River, Silver Beach, New York City, New  
10 York.

11          (29) EAST VALLEY CREEK, ANDOVER, NEW  
12 YORK.—Project for flood damage reduction, East  
13 Valley Creek, Andover, New York.

14          (30) SUNNYSIDE BROOK, WESTCHESTER COUN-  
15 TY, NEW YORK.—Project for flood damage reduction,  
16 Sunnyside Brook, Westchester County, New York.

17          (31) LITTLE YANKEE AND MUD RUN, TRUM-  
18 BULL COUNTY, OHIO.—Project for flood damage re-  
19 duction, Little Yankee and Mud Run, Trumbull  
20 County, Ohio.

21          (32) LITTLE NESHAMINY CREEK, WARRINGTON,  
22 PENNSYLVANIA.—Project for flood damage reduc-  
23 tion, Little Neshaminy Creek, Warrington, Pennsyl-  
24 vania.

1           (33) SOUTHAMPTON CREEK WATERSHED,  
2           SOUTHAMPTON, PENNSYLVANIA.—Project for flood  
3           damage reduction, Southampton Creek watershed,  
4           Southampton, Pennsylvania.

5           (34) SPRING CREEK, LOWER MACUNGIE TOWN-  
6           SHIP, PENNSYLVANIA.—Project for flood damage re-  
7           duction, Spring Creek, Lower Macungie Township,  
8           Pennsylvania.

9           (35) YARDLEY AQUEDUCT, SILVER AND BROCK  
10          CREEKS, YARDLEY, PENNSYLVANIA.—Project for  
11          flood damage reduction, Yardley Aqueduct, Silver  
12          and Brock Creeks, Yardley, Pennsylvania.

13          (36) SURFSIDE BEACH, SOUTH CAROLINA.—  
14          Project for flood damage reduction, Surfside Beach  
15          and vicinity, South Carolina.

16          (37) SANDY CREEK, JACKSON COUNTY, TEN-  
17          NESSEE.—A project for flood damage reduction,  
18          Sandy Creek, Jackson County, Tennessee.

19          (38) CONGELOSI DITCH, MISSOURI CITY,  
20          TEXAS.—Project for flood damage reduction,  
21          Congelosi Ditch, Missouri City, Texas.

22          (39) DILLEY, TEXAS.—Project for flood dam-  
23          age reduction, Dilley, Texas.

24          (40) CHEYENNE, WYOMING.—Project for flood  
25          damage reduction, Cheyenne, Wyoming.

1 (b) SPECIAL RULES.—

2 (1) CACHE RIVER BASIN, GRUBBS, ARKANSAS.—

3 The Secretary may proceed with the project for the  
4 Cache River Basin, Grubbs, Arkansas, referred to in  
5 subsection (a)(5), notwithstanding that the project is  
6 located within the boundaries of the flood control  
7 project, Cache River Basin, Arkansas and Missouri,  
8 authorized by section 204 of the Flood Control Act  
9 of 1950, (64 Stat. 172) and modified by section 99  
10 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1974  
11 (88 Stat. 41).

12 (2) ONTARIO AND CHINO, CALIFORNIA.—The  
13 Secretary shall carry out the project for flood dam-  
14 age reduction, Ontario and Chino, California, re-  
15 ferred to in subsection (a)(11) if the Secretary de-  
16 termines that the project is feasible.

17 (3) SANTA VENETIA, CALIFORNIA.—The Sec-  
18 retary shall carry out the project for flood damage  
19 reduction, Santa Venetia, California, referred to in  
20 subsection (a)(12) if the Secretary determines that  
21 the project is feasible and shall allow the non-Fed-  
22 eral interest to participate in the financing of the  
23 project in accordance with section 903(c) of the  
24 Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (100  
25 Stat. 4184) to the extent that the Secretary's eval-

1           uation indicates that applying such section is nec-  
2           essary to implement the project.

3           (4) WHITTIER, CALIFORNIA.—The Secretary  
4           shall carry out the project for flood damage reduc-  
5           tion, Whittier, California, referred to in subsection  
6           (a)(13) if the Secretary determines that the project  
7           is feasible.

8           (5) WILDWOOD CREEK, YUCAIPA, CALI-  
9           FORNIA.—The Secretary shall review the locally pre-  
10          pared plan for the project for flood damage, Wild-  
11          wood Creek, California, referred to in subsection  
12          (a)(14) and, if the Secretary determines that the  
13          plan meets the evaluation and design standards of  
14          the Corps of Engineers and that the plan is feasible,  
15          the Secretary may use the plan to carry out the  
16          project and shall provide credit toward the non-Fed-  
17          eral share of the cost of the project for the cost of  
18          work carried out by the non-Federal interest before  
19          the date of the partnership agreement for the  
20          project if the Secretary determines that the work is  
21          integral to the project.

22          (6) FORT WAYNE AND VICINITY, INDIANA.—In  
23          carrying out the project for flood damage reduction,  
24          St. Mary's and Maumee Rivers, Fort Wayne and vi-



1 cinity, Indiana, referred to in subsection (a)(16) the  
2 Secretary shall—

3 (A) provide a 100-year level of flood pro-  
4 tection at the Berry Thieme, Park-Thompson,  
5 Woodhurst, and Tillman sites along the St.  
6 Mary's River; and

7 (B) allow the non-Federal interest to par-  
8 ticipate in the financing of the project in ac-  
9 cordance with section 903(c) of the Water Re-  
10 sources Development Act of 1986 (100 Stat.  
11 4184) to the extent that the Secretary's evalua-  
12 tion indicates that applying such section is nec-  
13 essary to implement the project.

14 (7) SOUTH BRANCH OF THE WILD RICE RIVER,  
15 BORUP, MINNESOTA.—In carrying out the project for  
16 flood damage reduction, South Branch of the Wild  
17 Rice River, Borup, Minnesota, referred to in sub-  
18 section (a)(22) the Secretary may consider national  
19 ecosystem restoration benefits in determining the  
20 Federal interest in the project and shall allow the  
21 non-Federal interest to participate in the financing  
22 of the project in accordance with section 903(c) of  
23 the Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (100  
24 Stat. 4184) to the extent that the Secretary's eval-

1       uation indicates that applying such section is nec-  
2       essary to implement the project.

3           (8) ACID BROOK, POMPTON LAKES, NEW JER-  
4       SEY.—The Secretary shall carry out the project for  
5       flood damage reduction, Acid Brook, Pompton  
6       Lakes, New Jersey, referred to in subsection (a)(24)  
7       if the Secretary determines that the project is fea-  
8       sible.

9           (9) SANDY CREEK, TENNESSEE.—Consistent  
10      with the report of the Chief of Engineers dated  
11      March 24, 1948, on the West Tennessee Tributaries  
12      project, in carrying out the project for flood damage  
13      reduction, Sandy Creek, Tennessee, referred to in  
14      section (a)(37)—

15           (A) Sandy Creek shall not be considered to  
16      be an authorized channel of the West Tennessee  
17      Tributaries project; and

18           (B) the project shall not be considered to  
19      be part of the West Tennessee Tributaries  
20      project.

21           (10) DILLEY, TEXAS.—The Secretary shall  
22      carry out the project for flood damage reduction,  
23      Dilley, Texas, referred to in subsection (a)(39) if the  
24      Secretary determines that the project is feasible.

1 **SEC. 1003. SMALL PROJECTS FOR EMERGENCY**  
2 **STREAMBANK PROTECTION.**

3 The Secretary shall conduct a study for each of the  
4 following projects and, if the Secretary determines that  
5 a project is feasible, may carry out the project under sec-  
6 tion 14 of the Flood Control Act of 1946 (33 U.S.C.  
7 701r):

8 (1) ALISO CREEK, CALIFORNIA.—Projects for  
9 emergency streambank protection, Aliso Creek, Cali-  
10 fornia.

11 (2) ST. JOHNS BLUFF TRAINING WALL, DUVAL  
12 COUNTY, FLORIDA.—Project for emergency  
13 streambank protection, St. Johns Bluff Training  
14 Wall, Duval County, Florida.

15 (3) GULF INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY,  
16 IBERVILLE PARISH, LOUISIANA.—Projects for emer-  
17 gency streambank protection, Gulf Intracoastal Wa-  
18 terway, Iberville Parish, Louisiana.

19 (4) OUACHITA AND BLACK RIVERS, ARKANSAS  
20 AND LOUISIANA.—Projects for emergency  
21 streambank protection, Ouachita and Black Rivers,  
22 Arkansas and Louisiana.

23 (5) PINEY POINT LIGHTHOUSE, ST. MARY'S  
24 COUNTY, MARYLAND.—Project for emergency  
25 streambank protection, Piney Point Lighthouse, St.  
26 Mary's County, Maryland.

1           (6) PUG HOLE LAKE, MINNESOTA.—Project for  
2 emergency streambank protection, Pug Hole Lake,  
3 Minnesota.

4           (7) MIDDLE FORK GRAND RIVER, GENTRY  
5 COUNTY, MISSOURI.—Project for emergency  
6 streambank protection, Middle Fork Grand River,  
7 Gentry County, Missouri.

8           (8) PLATTE RIVER, PLATTE CITY, MISSOURI.—  
9 Project for emergency streambank protection, Platte  
10 River, Platte City, Missouri.

11          (9) RUSH CREEK, PARKVILLE, MISSOURI.—  
12 Project for emergency streambank protection, Rush  
13 Creek, Parkville, Missouri, including measures to ad-  
14 dress degradation of the creek bed.

15          (10) DRY AND OTTER CREEKS, CORTLAND  
16 COUNTY, NEW YORK.—Project for emergency  
17 streambank protection, Dry and Otter Creeks,  
18 Cortland County, New York.

19          (11) KEUKA LAKE, HAMMONDSPORT, NEW  
20 YORK.—Project for emergency streambank protec-  
21 tion, Keuka Lake, Hammondsport, New York.

22          (12) KOWAWESE UNIQUE AREA AND HUDSON  
23 RIVER, NEW WINDSOR, NEW YORK.—Project for  
24 emergency streambank protection, Kowawese Unique  
25 Area and Hudson River, New Windsor, New York.

1           (13) OWEGO CREEK, TIOGA COUNTY, NEW  
2 YORK.—Project for emergency streambank protec-  
3 tion, Owego Creek, Tioga County, New York.

4           (14) HOWARD ROAD OUTFALL, SHELBY COUN-  
5 TY,       TENNESSEE.—Project for emergency  
6 streambank protection, Howard Road outfall, Shelby  
7 County, Tennessee.

8           (15) MITCH FARM DITCH AND LATERAL D,  
9 SHELBY COUNTY, TENNESSEE.—Project for emer-  
10 gency streambank protection, Mitch Farm Ditch and  
11 Lateral D, Shelby County, Tennessee.

12          (16) WOLF RIVER TRIBUTARIES, SHELBY COUN-  
13 TY,       TENNESSEE.—Project for emergency  
14 streambank protection, Wolf River tributaries, Shel-  
15 by County, Tennessee.

16          (17) JOHNSON CREEK, ARLINGTON, TEXAS.—  
17 Project for emergency streambank protection, John-  
18 son Creek, Arlington, Texas.

19          (18) WELLS RIVER, NEWBURY, VERMONT.—  
20 Project for emergency streambank protection, Wells  
21 River, Newbury, Vermont.

22 **SEC. 1004. SMALL PROJECTS FOR NAVIGATION.**

23       (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall conduct a  
24 study for each of the following projects and, if the Sec-  
25 retary determines that a project is feasible, may carry out

1 the project under section 107 of the River and Harbor  
2 Act of 1960 (33 U.S.C. 577):

3 (1) BARROW HARBOR, ALASKA.—Project for  
4 navigation, Barrow Harbor, Alaska.

5 (2) COFFMAN COVE, ALASKA.—Project for navi-  
6 gation, Coffman Cove, Alaska.

7 (3) KOTZEBUE HARBOR, ALASKA.—Project for  
8 navigation, Kotzebue Harbor, Alaska.

9 (4) NOME HARBOR, ALASKA.—Project for navi-  
10 gation, Nome Harbor, Alaska.

11 (5) OLD HARBOR, ALASKA.—Project for naviga-  
12 tion, Old Harbor, Alaska.

13 (6) LITTLE ROCK PORT, ARKANSAS.—Project  
14 for navigation, Little Rock Port, Arkansas River,  
15 Arkansas.

16 (7) MISSISSIPPI RIVER SHIP CHANNEL, LOU-  
17 ISIANA.—Project for navigation, Mississippi River  
18 Ship Channel, Louisiana.

19 (8) EAST BASIN, CAPE COD CANAL, SANDWICH,  
20 MASSACHUSETTS.—Project for navigation, East  
21 Basin, Cape Cod Canal, Sandwich, Massachusetts.

22 (9) LYNN HARBOR, LYNN, MASSACHUSETTS.—  
23 Project for navigation, Lynn Harbor, Lynn, Massa-  
24 chusetts.

1           (10) MERRIMACK RIVER, HAVERHILL, MASSA-  
2 CHUSETTS.—Project for navigation, Merrimack  
3 River, Haverhill, Massachusetts.

4           (11) OAK BLUFFS HARBOR, OAK BLUFFS, MAS-  
5 SACHUSETTS.—Project for navigation, Oak Bluffs  
6 Harbor, Oak Bluffs, Massachusetts.

7           (12) WOODS HOLE GREAT HARBOR, FALMOUTH,  
8 MASSACHUSETTS.—Project for navigation, Woods  
9 Hole Great Harbor, Falmouth, Massachusetts.

10          (13) AU SABLE RIVER, MICHIGAN.—Project for  
11 navigation, Au Sable River in the vicinity of Oscoda,  
12 Michigan.

13          (14) CLINTON RIVER, MICHIGAN.—Project for  
14 navigation, Clinton River, Michigan.

15          (15) ONTONAGON RIVER, MICHIGAN.—Project  
16 for navigation, Ontonagon River, Ontonagon, Michi-  
17 gan.

18          (16) OUTER CHANNEL AND INNER HARBOR,  
19 MENOMINEE HARBOR, MICHIGAN AND WISCONSIN.—  
20 Project for navigation, Outer Channel and Inner  
21 Harbor, Menominee Harbor, Michigan and Wis-  
22 consin.

23          (17) SEBEWAING RIVER, MICHIGAN.—Project  
24 for navigation, Sebewaing River, Michigan.

1           (18) TRAVERSE CITY HARBOR, TRAVERSE CITY,  
2 MICHIGAN.—Project for navigation, Traverse City  
3 Harbor, Traverse City, Michigan.

4           (19) TOWER HARBOR, TOWER, MINNESOTA.—  
5 Project for navigation, Tower Harbor, Tower, Min-  
6 nesota.

7           (20) OLCOTT HARBOR, OLCOTT, NEW YORK.—  
8 Project for navigation, Olcott Harbor, Olcott, New  
9 York.

10          (21) MILWAUKEE HARBOR, WISCONSIN.—  
11 Project for navigation, Milwaukee Harbor, Mil-  
12 waukee, Wisconsin.

13 (b) SPECIAL RULES.—

14          (1) TRAVERSE CITY HARBOR, TRAVERSE CITY,  
15 MICHIGAN.—The Secretary shall review the locally  
16 prepared plan for the project for navigation, Tra-  
17 verse City Harbor, Michigan, referred to in sub-  
18 section (a)(18), and, if the Secretary determines  
19 that the plan meets the evaluation and design stand-  
20 ards of the Corps of Engineers and that the plan is  
21 feasible, the Secretary may use the plan to carry out  
22 the project and shall provide credit toward the non-  
23 Federal share of the cost of the project for the cost  
24 of work carried out by the non-Federal interest be-  
25 fore the date of the partnership agreement for the



1 project if the Secretary determines that the work is  
2 integral to the project.

3 (2) TOWER HARBOR, TOWER MINNESOTA.—The  
4 Secretary shall carry out the project for navigation,  
5 Tower Harbor, Tower, Minnesota, referred to in  
6 subsection (a)(19) if the Secretary determines that  
7 the project is feasible.

8 **SEC. 1005. SMALL PROJECTS FOR IMPROVEMENT OF THE**  
9 **QUALITY OF THE ENVIRONMENT.**

10 The Secretary shall conduct a study for each of the  
11 following projects and, if the Secretary determines that  
12 a project is appropriate, may carry out the project under  
13 section 1135 of the Water Resources Development Act of  
14 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2309a):

15 (1) BALLONA CREEK, LOS ANGELES COUNTY,  
16 CALIFORNIA.—Project for improvement of the qual-  
17 ity of the environment, Ballona Creek, Los Angeles  
18 County, California.

19 (2) BALLONA LAGOON TIDE GATES, MARINA  
20 DEL REY, CALIFORNIA.—Project for improvement of  
21 the quality of the environment, Ballona Lagoon Tide  
22 Gates, Marina Del Rey, California.

23 (3) FT. GEORGE INLET, DUVAL COUNTY, FLOR-  
24 IDA.—Project for improvement of the quality of the

1 environment, Ft. George Inlet, Duval County, Flor-  
2 ida.

3 (4) RATHBUN LAKE, IOWA.—Project for im-  
4 provement of the quality of the environment,  
5 Rathbun Lake, Iowa.

6 (5) SMITHVILLE LAKE, MISSOURI.—Project for  
7 improvement of the quality of the environment,  
8 Smithville Lake, Missouri.

9 (6) DELAWARE BAY, NEW JERSEY AND DELA-  
10 WARE.—Project for improvement of the quality of  
11 the environment, Delaware Bay, New Jersey and  
12 Delaware, for the purpose of oyster restoration.

13 (7) TIOGA-HAMMOND LAKES, PENNSYLVANIA.—  
14 Project for improvement of the quality of the envi-  
15 ronment, Tioga-Hammond Lakes, Pennsylvania.

16 **SEC. 1006. SMALL PROJECTS FOR AQUATIC ECOSYSTEM**  
17 **RESTORATION.**

18 (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall conduct a  
19 study for each of the following projects and, if the Sec-  
20 retary determines that a project is appropriate, may carry  
21 out the project under section 206 of the Water Resources  
22 Development Act of 1996 (33 U.S.C. 2330):

23 (1) CYPRESS CREEK, MONTGOMERY, ALA-  
24 BAMA.—Project for aquatic ecosystem restoration,  
25 Cypress Creek, Montgomery, Alabama.

1           (2) BLACK LAKE, ALASKA.—Project for aquatic  
2 ecosystem restoration, Black Lake, Alaska, at the  
3 head of the Chignik watershed.

4           (3) BEN LOMOND DAM, SANTA CRUZ, CALI-  
5 FORNIA.—Project for aquatic ecosystem restoration,  
6 Ben Lomond Dam, Santa Cruz, California.

7           (4) DOCKWEILER BLUFFS, LOS ANGELES COUN-  
8 TY, CALIFORNIA.—Project for aquatic ecosystem res-  
9 toration, Dockweiler Bluffs, Los Angeles County,  
10 California.

11          (5) SALT RIVER, CALIFORNIA.—Project for  
12 aquatic ecosystem restoration, Salt River, California.

13          (6) SAN DIEGO RIVER, CALIFORNIA.—Project  
14 for aquatic ecosystem restoration, San Diego River,  
15 California, including efforts to address aquatic nui-  
16 sance species.

17          (7) SANTA ROSA CREEK, SANTA ROSA, CALI-  
18 FORNIA.—Project for aquatic ecosystem restoration,  
19 Santa Rosa Creek in the vicinity of the Prince Me-  
20 morial Greenway, Santa Rosa, California.

21          (8) STOCKTON DEEP WATER SHIP CHANNEL  
22 AND LOWER SAN JOAQUIN RIVER, CALIFORNIA.—  
23 Project for aquatic ecosystem restoration, Stockton  
24 Deep Water Ship Channel and lower San Joaquin  
25 River, California.

1           (9) SUISUN MARSH, SAN PABLO BAY, CALI-  
2           FORNIA.—Project for aquatic ecosystem restoration,  
3           Suisun Marsh, San Pablo Bay, California.

4           (10) SWEETWATER RESERVOIR, SAN DIEGO  
5           COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.—Project for aquatic eco-  
6           system restoration, Sweetwater Reservoir, San Diego  
7           County, California, including efforts to address  
8           aquatic nuisance species.

9           (11) BISCAYNE BAY, FLORIDA.—Project for  
10          aquatic ecosystem restoration, Biscayne Bay, Key  
11          Biscayne, Florida.

12          (12) CLAM BAYOU AND DINKINS BAYOU,  
13          SANIBEL ISLAND, FLORIDA.—Project for aquatic  
14          ecosystem restoration, Clam Bayou and Dinkins  
15          Bayou, Sanibel Island, Florida.

16          (13) MOUNTAIN PARK, GEORGIA.—Project for  
17          aquatic ecosystem restoration, Mountain Park, Geor-  
18          gia.

19          (14) CHATTAHOOCHEE FALL LINE, GEORGIA  
20          AND ALABAMA.—Project for aquatic ecosystem res-  
21          toration, Chattahoochee Fall Line, Georgia and Ala-  
22          bama.

23          (15) LONGWOOD COVE, GAINESVILLE, GEOR-  
24          GIA.—Project for aquatic ecosystem restoration,  
25          Longwood Cove, Gainesville, Georgia.

1           (16) CITY PARK, UNIVERSITY LAKES, LOU-  
2           SIANA.—Project for aquatic ecosystem restoration,  
3           City Park, University Lakes, Louisiana.

4           (17) LAWRENCE GATEWAY, MASSACHUSETTS.—  
5           Project for aquatic ecosystem restoration at the  
6           Lawrence Gateway quadrant project along the  
7           Merrimack and Spicket Rivers in Lawrence, Massa-  
8           chusetts, in accordance with the general conditions  
9           established by the project approval of the Environ-  
10          mental Protection Agency, Region I, including filling  
11          abandoned drainage facilities and making improve-  
12          ments to the drainage system on the Lawrence Gate-  
13          way to prevent continued migration of contaminated  
14          sediments into the river systems.

15          (18) MILFORD POND, MILFORD, MASSACHU-  
16          SETTS.—Project for aquatic ecosystem restoration,  
17          Milford Pond, Milford, Massachusetts.

18          (19) MILL POND, LITTLETON, MASSACHU-  
19          SETTS.—Project for aquatic ecosystem restoration,  
20          Mill Pond, Littleton, Massachusetts.

21          (20) PINE TREE BROOK, MILTON, MASSACHU-  
22          SETTS.—Project for aquatic ecosystem restoration,  
23          Pine Tree Brook, Milton, Massachusetts.

1           (21) CLINTON RIVER, MICHIGAN.—Project for  
2 aquatic ecosystem restoration, Clinton River, Michi-  
3 gan.

4           (22) KALAMAZOO RIVER WATERSHED, BATTLE  
5 CREEK, MICHIGAN.—Project for aquatic ecosystem  
6 restoration, Kalamazoo River watershed, Battle  
7 Creek, Michigan.

8           (23) RUSH LAKE, MINNESOTA.—Project for  
9 aquatic ecosystem restoration, Rush Lake, Min-  
10 nesota.

11           (24) SOUTH FORK OF THE CROW RIVER,  
12 HUTCHINSON, MINNESOTA.—Project for aquatic eco-  
13 system restoration, South Fork of the Crow River,  
14 Hutchinson, Minnesota.

15           (25) ST. LOUIS, MISSOURI.—Project for aquatic  
16 ecosystem restoration, St. Louis, Missouri.

17           (26) MOBLEY DAM, TONGUE RIVER, MON-  
18 TANA.—Project for aquatic ecosystem restoration,  
19 Mobley Dam, Tongue River, Montana.

20           (27) S AND H DAM, TONGUE RIVER, MON-  
21 TANA.—Project for aquatic ecosystem restoration, S  
22 and H Dam, Tongue River, Montana.

23           (28) VANDALIA DAM, MILK RIVER, MONTANA.—  
24 Project for aquatic ecosystem restoration, Vandalia  
25 Dam, Milk River, Montana.

1           (29) TRUCKEE RIVER, RENO, NEVADA.—Project  
2           for aquatic ecosystem restoration, Truckee River,  
3           Reno, Nevada, including features for fish passage in  
4           Washoe County.

5           (30) GROVER'S MILL POND, NEW JERSEY.—  
6           Project for aquatic ecosystem restoration, Grover's  
7           Mill Pond, New Jersey.

8           (31) CALDWELL COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA.—  
9           Project for aquatic ecosystem restoration, Caldwell  
10          County, North Carolina.

11          (32) MECKLENBURG COUNTY, NORTH CARO-  
12          LINA.—Project for aquatic ecosystem restoration,  
13          Mecklenburg County, North Carolina.

14          (33) DUGWAY CREEK, BRATEN AHL, OHIO.—  
15          Project for aquatic ecosystem restoration, Dugway  
16          Creek, Bratenahl, Ohio.

17          (34) JOHNSON CREEK, GRESHAM, OREGON.—  
18          Project for aquatic ecosystem restoration, Johnson  
19          Creek, Gresham, Oregon.

20          (35) BEAVER CREEK, BEAVER AND SALEM,  
21          PENNSYLVANIA.—Project for aquatic ecosystem res-  
22          toration, Beaver Creek, Beaver and Salem, Pennsyl-  
23          vania.

1           (36) CEMENTON DAM, LEHIGH RIVER, PENN-  
2 SYLVANIA.—Project for aquatic ecosystem restora-  
3 tion, Cementon Dam, Lehigh River, Pennsylvania.

4           (37) INGHAM SPRING DAM, SOLEBURY TOWN-  
5 SHIP, PENNSYLVANIA.—Project for aquatic eco-  
6 system restoration, Ingham Spring Dam, Solebury  
7 Township, Pennsylvania.

8           (38) SAUCON CREEK, NORTHAMPTON COUNTY,  
9 PENNSYLVANIA.—Project for aquatic ecosystem res-  
10 toration, Saucon Creek, Northampton County, Penn-  
11 sylvania.

12           (39) STILLWATER LAKE DAM, MONROE COUN-  
13 TY, PENNSYLVANIA.—Project for aquatic ecosystem  
14 restoration, Stillwater Lake Dam, Monroe County,  
15 Pennsylvania.

16           (40) BLACKSTONE RIVER, RHODE ISLAND.—  
17 Project for aquatic ecosystem restoration, Black-  
18 stone River, Rhode Island.

19           (41) WILSON BRANCH, CHERAW, SOUTH CARO-  
20 LINA.—Project for aquatic ecosystem restoration,  
21 Wilson Branch, Cheraw, South Carolina.

22           (42) WHITE RIVER, BETHEL, VERMONT.—  
23 Project for aquatic ecosystem restoration, White  
24 River, Bethel, Vermont.



1           (43) COLLEGE LAKE, LYNCHBURG, VIRGINIA.—  
2           Project for aquatic ecosystem restoration, College  
3           Lake, Lynchburg, Virginia.

4           (b) SPECIAL RULES.—

5           (1) BLACK LAKE, ALASKA.—The Secretary shall  
6           carry out the project for aquatic ecosystem restora-  
7           tion, Black Lake, Alaska referred to in subsection  
8           (a)(2) if the Secretary determines that the project is  
9           appropriate.

10          (2) TRUCKEE RIVER, RENO, NEVADA.—The  
11          maximum amount of Federal funds that may be ex-  
12          pended for the project for aquatic ecosystem restora-  
13          tion, Truckee River, Reno, Nevada, referred to in  
14          subsection (a)(29) shall be \$6,000,000 and the Sec-  
15          retary shall carry out the project if the Secretary de-  
16          termines that the project is appropriate.

17          (3) BLACKSTONE RIVER, RHODE ISLAND.—The  
18          Secretary shall carry out the project for aquatic eco-  
19          system restoration, Blackstone River, Rhode Island,  
20          referred to in subsection (a)(40) if the Secretary de-  
21          termines that the project is appropriate.

22          (4) COLLEGE LAKE, LYNCHBURG, VIRGINIA.—  
23          The Secretary shall carry out the project for aquatic  
24          ecosystem restoration, College Lake, Lynchburg,

1 Virginia, referred to in subsection (a)(43) if the Sec-  
2 retary determines that the project is appropriate.

3 **SEC. 1007. SMALL PROJECTS FOR SHORELINE PROTEC-**  
4 **TION.**

5 The Secretary shall conduct a study for each of the  
6 following projects and, if the Secretary determines that  
7 a project is feasible, may carry out the project under sec-  
8 tion 3 of the Act entitled “An Act authorizing Federal  
9 participation in the cost of protecting the shores of pub-  
10 licly owned property”, approved August 13, 1946 (33  
11 U.S.C. 426g):

12 (1) NELSON LAGOON, ALASKA.—Project for  
13 shoreline protection, Nelson Lagoon, Alaska.

14 (2) NICHOLAS CANYON, LOS ANGELES, CALI-  
15 FORNIA.—Project for shoreline protection, Nicholas  
16 Canyon, Los Angeles, California.

17 (3) SANIBEL ISLAND, FLORIDA.—Project for  
18 shoreline protection, Sanibel Island, Florida.

19 (4) APRA HARBOR, GUAM.—Project for shore-  
20 line protection, Apra Harbor, Guam.

21 (5) PITI, CABRAS ISLAND, GUAM.—Project for  
22 shoreline protection, Piti, Cabras Island, Guam.

23 (6) NARROWS AND GRAVESEND BAY, UPPER  
24 NEW YORK BAY, BROOKLYN, NEW YORK.—Project  
25 for shoreline protection in the vicinity of the con-

1       fluence of the Narrows and Gravesend Bay, Upper  
2       New York Bay, Shore Parkway Greenway, Brooklyn,  
3       New York.

4               (7) DELAWARE RIVER, PHILADELPHIA NAVAL  
5       SHIPYARD, PENNSYLVANIA.—Project for shoreline  
6       protection, Delaware River in the vicinity of the  
7       Philadelphia Naval Shipyard, Pennsylvania.

8               (8) PORT ARANSAS, TEXAS.—Project for shore-  
9       line protection, Port Aransas, Texas.

10   **SEC. 1008. SMALL PROJECTS FOR SNAGGING AND SEDI-**  
11                           **MENT REMOVAL.**

12       The Secretary shall conduct a study for the following  
13       project and, if the Secretary determines that the project  
14       is feasible, the Secretary may carry out the project under  
15       section 2 of the Flood Control Act of August 28, 1937  
16       (33 U.S.C. 701g): Project for removal of snags and clear-  
17       ing and straightening of channels for flood control,  
18       Kowawese Unique Area and Hudson River, New Windsor,  
19       New York.

20   **SEC. 1009. SMALL PROJECTS TO PREVENT OR MITIGATE**  
21                           **DAMAGE CAUSED BY NAVIGATION PROJECTS.**

22       The Secretary shall conduct a study for each of the  
23       following projects and, if the Secretary determines that  
24       a project is feasible, may carry out the project under sec-

1 tion 111 of the River and Harbor Act of 1968 (33 U.S.C.  
2 426i):

3 (1) Tybee Island, Georgia.

4 (2) Burns Waterway Harbor, Indiana.

5 **SEC. 1010. SMALL PROJECTS FOR AQUATIC PLANT CON-**  
6 **TROL.**

7 (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary is authorized to  
8 carry out a project for aquatic nuisance plant control in  
9 the Republican River Basin, Nebraska, under section 104  
10 of the River and Harbor Act of 1958 (33 U.S.C. 610).

11 (b) SPECIAL RULE.—In carrying out the project  
12 under subsection (a), the Secretary may control and eradi-  
13 cate riverine nuisance plants.

14 **TITLE II—GENERAL PROVISIONS**

15 **SEC. 2001. NON-FEDERAL CONTRIBUTIONS.**

16 Section 103 of the Water Resources Development Act  
17 of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2213) is amended by adding at the  
18 end the following:

19 “(n) NON-FEDERAL CONTRIBUTIONS.—

20 “(1) PROHIBITION ON SOLICITATION OF EX-  
21 CESS CONTRIBUTIONS.—The Secretary may not—

22 “(A) solicit contributions from non-Federal  
23 interests for costs of constructing authorized  
24 water resources projects or measures in excess  
25 of the non-Federal share assigned to the appro-

1           priate project purposes listed in subsections (a),  
2           (b), and (c); or

3           “(B) condition Federal participation in  
4           such projects or measures on the receipt of  
5           such contributions.

6           “(2) LIMITATION ON STATUTORY CONSTRUC-  
7           TION.—Nothing in this subsection shall be construed  
8           to affect the Secretary’s authority under section  
9           903(c).”.

10 **SEC. 2002. FUNDING TO PROCESS PERMITS.**

11           Section 214(c) of the Water Resources Development  
12 Act of 2000 (33 U.S.C. 2201 note; 114 Stat. 2594; 119  
13 Stat. 2169; 120 Stat. 318; 120 Stat. 3197) is amended  
14 by striking “2008” and inserting “2009”.

15 **SEC. 2003. WRITTEN AGREEMENT FOR WATER RESOURCES**  
16 **PROJECTS.**

17           (a) IN GENERAL.—Section 221 of the Flood Control  
18 Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C. 1962d–5b) is amended—

19           (1) by striking “SEC. 221.” and inserting the  
20 following:

21 **“SEC. 221. WRITTEN AGREEMENT REQUIREMENT FOR**  
22 **WATER RESOURCES PROJECTS.”;**

23           (2) by striking subsection (a) and inserting the  
24 following:

25           “(a) COOPERATION OF NON-FEDERAL INTEREST.—

1           “(1) IN GENERAL.—After December 31, 1970,  
2           the construction of any water resources project, or  
3           an acceptable separable element thereof, by the Sec-  
4           retary of the Army, acting through the Chief of En-  
5           gineers, or by a non-Federal interest where such in-  
6           terest will be reimbursed for such construction under  
7           any provision of law, shall not be commenced until  
8           each non-Federal interest has entered into a written  
9           partnership agreement with the Secretary (or, where  
10          appropriate, the district engineer for the district in  
11          which the project will be carried out) under which  
12          each party agrees to carry out its responsibilities  
13          and requirements for implementation or construction  
14          of the project or the appropriate element of the  
15          project, as the case may be; except that no such  
16          agreement shall be required if the Secretary deter-  
17          mines that the administrative costs associated with  
18          negotiating, executing, or administering the agree-  
19          ment would exceed the amount of the contribution  
20          required from the non-Federal interest and are less  
21          than \$25,000.

22          “(2) LIQUIDATED DAMAGES.—A partnership  
23          agreement described in paragraph (1) may include a  
24          provision for liquidated damages in the event of a  
25          failure of one or more parties to perform.

1           “(3) OBLIGATION OF FUTURE APPROPRIA-  
2           TIONS.—In any partnership agreement described in  
3           paragraph (1) and entered into by a State, or a body  
4           politic of the State which derives its powers from the  
5           State constitution, or a governmental entity created  
6           by the State legislature, the agreement may reflect  
7           that it does not obligate future appropriations for  
8           such performance and payment when obligating fu-  
9           ture appropriations would be inconsistent with con-  
10          stitutional or statutory limitations of the State or a  
11          political subdivision of the State.

12          “(4) CREDIT FOR IN-KIND CONTRIBUTIONS.—

13                 “(A) IN GENERAL.—A partnership agree-  
14                 ment described in paragraph (1) may provide  
15                 with respect to a project that the Secretary  
16                 shall credit toward the non-Federal share of the  
17                 cost of the project, including a project imple-  
18                 mented without specific authorization in law,  
19                 the value of in-kind contributions made by the  
20                 non-Federal interest, including—

21                         “(i) the costs of planning (including  
22                         data collection), design, management, miti-  
23                         gation, construction, and construction serv-  
24                         ices that are provided by the non-Federal  
25                         interest for implementation of the project;

1                   “(ii) the value of materials or services  
2                   provided before execution of the partner-  
3                   ship agreement, including efforts on con-  
4                   structed elements incorporated into the  
5                   project; and

6                   “(iii) the value of materials and serv-  
7                   ices provided after execution of the part-  
8                   nership agreement.

9                   “(B) CONDITION.—The Secretary may  
10                  credit an in-kind contribution under subpara-  
11                  graph (A) only if the Secretary determines that  
12                  the material or service provided as an in-kind  
13                  contribution is integral to the project.

14                  “(C) WORK PERFORMED BEFORE PART-  
15                  NERSHIP AGREEMENT.—In any case in which  
16                  the non-Federal interest is to receive credit  
17                  under subparagraph (A)(ii) for the cost of work  
18                  carried out by the non-Federal interest and  
19                  such work has not been carried out as of the  
20                  date of enactment of this subparagraph, the  
21                  Secretary and the non-Federal interest shall  
22                  enter into an agreement under which the non-  
23                  Federal interest shall carry out such work, and  
24                  only work carried out following the execution of  
25                  the agreement shall be eligible for credit.



1           “(D) LIMITATIONS.—Credit authorized  
2 under this paragraph for a project—

3           “(i) shall not exceed the non-Federal  
4 share of the cost of the project;

5           “(ii) shall not alter any other require-  
6 ment that a non-Federal interest provide  
7 lands, easements, relocations, rights-of-  
8 way, or areas for disposal of dredged mate-  
9 rial for the project;

10           “(iii) shall not alter any requirement  
11 that a non-Federal interest pay a portion  
12 of the costs of construction of the project  
13 under sections 101 and 103 of the Water  
14 Resources Development Act of 1986 (33  
15 U.S.C. 2211; 33 U.S.C. 2213); and

16           “(iv) shall not exceed the actual and  
17 reasonable costs of the materials, services,  
18 or other things provided by the non-Fed-  
19 eral interest, as determined by the Sec-  
20 retary.

21           “(E) APPLICABILITY.—

22           “(i) IN GENERAL.—This paragraph  
23 shall apply to water resources projects au-  
24 thorized after November 16, 1986, includ-

1                   ing projects initiated after November 16,  
2                   1986, without specific authorization in law.

3                   “(ii) LIMITATION.—In any case in  
4                   which a specific provision of law provides  
5                   for a non-Federal interest to receive credit  
6                   toward the non-Federal share of the cost  
7                   of a study for, or construction or operation  
8                   and maintenance of, a water resources  
9                   project, the specific provision of law shall  
10                  apply instead of this paragraph.”.

11               (b) NON-FEDERAL INTEREST.—Section 221(b) of  
12 such Act is amended to read as follows:

13               “(b) DEFINITION OF NON-FEDERAL INTEREST.—  
14 The term ‘non-Federal interest’ means—

15                   “(1) a legally constituted public body (including  
16                   a federally recognized Indian tribe); or

17                   “(2) a nonprofit entity with the consent of the  
18                   affected local government,

19 that has full authority and capability to perform the terms  
20 of its agreement and to pay damages, if necessary, in the  
21 event of failure to perform.”.

22               (c) PROGRAM ADMINISTRATION.—Section 221 of  
23 such Act is further amended—

24                   (1) by redesignating subsection (e) as sub-  
25                   section (h); and

1           (2) by inserting after subsection (d) the fol-  
2     lowing:

3           “(e) DELEGATION OF AUTHORITY.—Not later than  
4 June 30, 2008, the Secretary shall issue policies and  
5 guidelines for partnership agreements that delegate to the  
6 district engineers, at a minimum—

7           “(1) the authority to approve any policy in a  
8     partnership agreement that has appeared in an  
9     agreement previously approved by the Secretary;

10          “(2) the authority to approve any policy in a  
11     partnership agreement the specific terms of which  
12     are dictated by law or by a final feasibility study,  
13     final environmental impact statement, or other final  
14     decision document for a water resources project;

15          “(3) the authority to approve any partnership  
16     agreement that complies with the policies and guide-  
17     lines issued by the Secretary; and

18          “(4) the authority to sign any partnership  
19     agreement for any water resources project unless,  
20     within 30 days of the date of authorization of the  
21     project, the Secretary notifies the district engineer in  
22     which the project will be carried out that the Sec-  
23     retary wishes to retain the prerogative to sign the  
24     partnership agreement for that project.

1           “(f) REPORT TO CONGRESS.—Not later than 2 years  
2 after the date of enactment of this subsection, and every  
3 year thereafter, the Secretary shall submit to Congress a  
4 report detailing the following:

5           “(1) The number of partnership agreements  
6 signed by district engineers and the number of part-  
7 nership agreements signed by the Secretary.

8           “(2) For any partnership agreement signed by  
9 the Secretary, an explanation of why delegation to  
10 the district engineer was not appropriate.

11          “(g) PUBLIC AVAILABILITY.—Not later than 120  
12 days after the date of enactment of this subsection, the  
13 Chief of Engineers shall—

14          “(1) ensure that each district engineer has  
15 made available to the public, including on the Inter-  
16 net, all partnership agreements entered into under  
17 this section within the preceding 10 years and all  
18 partnership agreements for water resources projects  
19 currently being carried out in that district; and

20          “(2) make each partnership agreement entered  
21 into after such date of enactment available to the  
22 public, including on the Internet, not later than 7  
23 days after the date on which such agreement is en-  
24 tered into.”.

1 (d) LOCAL COOPERATION.—Section 912(b) of the  
2 Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (101 Stat.  
3 4190) is amended—

4 (1) in paragraph (2)—

5 (A) by striking “shall” the first place it  
6 appears and inserting “may”; and

7 (B) by striking the last sentence; and

8 (2) in paragraph (4)—

9 (A) by inserting after “injunction, for” the  
10 following: “payment of damages or, for”;

11 (B) by striking “to collect a civil penalty  
12 imposed under this section,”; and

13 (C) by striking “any civil penalty imposed  
14 under this section,” and inserting “any dam-  
15 ages,”.

16 (e) APPLICABILITY.—The amendments made by sub-  
17 sections (a), (b), and (d) only apply to partnership agree-  
18 ments entered into after the date of enactment of this Act;  
19 except that, at the request of a non-Federal interest for  
20 a project, the district engineer for the district in which  
21 the project is located may amend a project partnership  
22 agreement entered into on or before such date and under  
23 which construction on the project has not been initiated  
24 as of such date of enactment for the purpose of incor-  
25 porating such amendments.

1 (f) AGREEMENTS AND REFERENCES.—

2 (1) IN GENERAL.—A goal of agreements en-  
3 tered into under section 221 of the Flood Control  
4 Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C. 1962d–5b) shall be to fur-  
5 ther partnership and cooperation, and the agree-  
6 ments shall be referred to as “partnership agree-  
7 ments”.

8 (2) REFERENCES TO COOPERATION AGREE-  
9 MENTS.—Any reference in a law, regulation, docu-  
10 ment, or other paper of the United States to a “co-  
11 operation agreement” or “project cooperation agree-  
12 ment” shall be deemed to be a reference to a “part-  
13 nership agreement” or a “project partnership agree-  
14 ment”, respectively.

15 (3) REFERENCES TO PARTNERSHIP AGREE-  
16 MENTS.—Any reference to a “partnership agree-  
17 ment” or “project partnership agreement” in this  
18 Act (other than this section) shall be deemed to be  
19 a reference to a “cooperation agreement” or a  
20 “project cooperation agreement”, respectively.

21 **SEC. 2004. COMPILATION OF LAWS.**

22 (a) COMPILATION OF LAWS ENACTED AFTER NO-  
23 VEMBER 8, 1966.—The Secretary and the Chief of Engi-  
24 neers shall prepare a compilation of the laws of the United  
25 States relating to the improvement of rivers and harbors,

1 flood damage reduction, beach and shoreline erosion, hur-  
2 ricane and storm damage reduction, ecosystem and envi-  
3 ronmental restoration, and other water resources develop-  
4 ment enacted after November 8, 1966, and before January  
5 1, 2008, and have such compilation printed for the use  
6 of the Department of the Army, Congress, and the general  
7 public.

8 (b) REPRINT OF LAWS ENACTED BEFORE NOVEM-  
9 BER 8, 1966.—The Secretary shall have the volumes con-  
10 taining the laws referred to in subsection (a) enacted be-  
11 fore November 8, 1966, reprinted.

12 (c) INDEX.—The Secretary shall include an index in  
13 each volume compiled, and each volume reprinted, pursu-  
14 ant to this section.

15 (d) CONGRESSIONAL COPIES.—Not later than April  
16 1, 2008, the Secretary shall transmit at least 25 copies  
17 of each volume compiled, and of each volume reprinted,  
18 pursuant to this section to each of the Committee on  
19 Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Rep-  
20 resentatives and the Committee on Environment and Pub-  
21 lic Works of the Senate.

22 (e) AVAILABILITY.—The Secretary shall ensure that  
23 each volume compiled, and each volume reprinted, pursu-  
24 ant to this section are available through electronic means,  
25 including on the Internet.

1 **SEC. 2005. DREDGED MATERIAL DISPOSAL.**

2 Section 217 of the Water Resources Development Act  
3 of 1996 (33 U.S.C. 2326a) is amended—

4 (1) by redesignating subsection (c) as sub-  
5 section (d);

6 (2) by inserting after subsection (b) the fol-  
7 lowing:

8 “(c) DREDGED MATERIAL FACILITY.—

9 “(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary may enter  
10 into a partnership agreement under section 221 of  
11 the Flood Control Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C. 1962d-  
12 5b) with one or more non-Federal interests with re-  
13 spect to a water resources project, or group of water  
14 resources projects within a geographic region, if ap-  
15 propriate, for the acquisition, design, construction,  
16 management, or operation of a dredged material  
17 processing, treatment, contaminant reduction, or  
18 disposal facility (including any facility used to dem-  
19 onstrate potential beneficial uses of dredged mate-  
20 rial, which may include effective sediment contami-  
21 nant reduction technologies) using funds provided in  
22 whole or in part by the Federal Government.

23 “(2) PERFORMANCE.—One or more of the par-  
24 ties to a partnership agreement under this sub-  
25 section may perform the acquisition, design, con-  
26 struction, management, or operation of a dredged



1 material processing, treatment, contaminant reduc-  
2 tion, or disposal facility.

3 “(3) MULTIPLE PROJECTS.—If appropriate, the  
4 Secretary may combine portions of separate water  
5 resources projects with appropriate combined cost-  
6 sharing among the various water resources projects  
7 in a partnership agreement for a facility under this  
8 subsection if the facility serves to manage dredged  
9 material from multiple water resources projects lo-  
10 cated in the geographic region of the facility.

11 “(4) SPECIFIED FEDERAL FUNDING SOURCES  
12 AND COST SHARING.—

13 “(A) SPECIFIED FEDERAL FUNDING.—A  
14 partnership agreement with respect to a facility  
15 under this subsection shall specify—

16 “(i) the Federal funding sources and  
17 combined cost-sharing when applicable to  
18 multiple water resources projects; and

19 “(ii) the responsibilities and risks of  
20 each of the parties relating to present and  
21 future dredged material managed by the  
22 facility.

23 “(B) MANAGEMENT OF SEDIMENTS.—

24 “(i) IN GENERAL.—A partnership  
25 agreement under this subsection may in-

1           clude the management of sediments from  
2           the maintenance dredging of Federal water  
3           resources projects that do not have part-  
4           nership agreements.

5           “(ii) PAYMENTS.—A partnership  
6           agreement under this subsection may allow  
7           the non-Federal interest to receive reim-  
8           bursable payments from the Federal Gov-  
9           ernment for commitments made by the  
10          non-Federal interest for disposal or place-  
11          ment capacity at dredged material proc-  
12          essing, treatment, contaminant reduction,  
13          or disposal facilities.

14          “(C) CREDIT.—A partnership agreement  
15          under this subsection may allow costs incurred  
16          by the non-Federal interest before execution of  
17          the partnership agreement to be credited in ac-  
18          cordance with section 221 of the Flood Control  
19          Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C. 1962d–5b).

20          “(5) CREDIT.—

21                 “(A) EFFECT ON EXISTING AGREE-  
22                 MENTS.—Nothing in this subsection supersedes  
23                 or modifies an agreement in effect on the date  
24                 of enactment of this paragraph between the  
25                 Federal Government and any non-Federal inter-

1 est for the cost-sharing, construction, and oper-  
2 ation and maintenance of a water resources  
3 project.

4 “(B) CREDIT FOR FUNDS.—Subject to the  
5 approval of the Secretary and in accordance  
6 with law (including regulations and policies) in  
7 effect on the date of enactment of this para-  
8 graph, a non-Federal interest for a water re-  
9 sources project may receive credit for funds  
10 provided for the acquisition, design, construc-  
11 tion, management, or operation of a dredged  
12 material processing, treatment, contaminant re-  
13 duction, or disposal facility to the extent the fa-  
14 cility is used to manage dredged material from  
15 the project.

16 “(C) NON-FEDERAL INTEREST RESPON-  
17 SIBILITIES.—A non-Federal interest entering  
18 into a partnership agreement under this sub-  
19 section for a facility shall—

20 “(i) be responsible for providing all  
21 necessary lands, easements, relocations,  
22 and rights-of-way associated with the facil-  
23 ity; and

24 “(ii) receive credit toward the non-  
25 Federal share of the cost of the project

1                   with respect to which the agreement is  
2                   being entered into for those items.”; and  
3                   (3) in paragraphs (1) and (2)(A) of subsection  
4                   (d) (as redesignated by paragraph (1))—  
5                   (A) by inserting “and maintenance” after  
6                   “operation” each place it appears; and  
7                   (B) by inserting “processing, treatment,  
8                   contaminant reduction, or” after “dredged ma-  
9                   terial” the first place it appears in each of  
10                  those paragraphs.

11 **SEC. 2006. REMOTE AND SUBSISTENCE HARBORS.**

12               (a) **IN GENERAL.**—In conducting a study of harbor  
13 and navigation improvements, the Secretary may rec-  
14 ommend a project without the need to demonstrate that  
15 the project is justified solely by national economic develop-  
16 ment benefits if the Secretary determines that—

17               (1)(A) the community to be served by the  
18 project is at least 70 miles from the nearest surface  
19 accessible commercial port and has no direct rail or  
20 highway link to another community served by a sur-  
21 face accessible port or harbor; or

22               (B) the project would be located in the State of  
23 Hawaii, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, Guam,  
24 the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Is-

1 lands, the United States Virgin Islands, or American  
2 Samoa;

3 (2) the harbor is economically critical such that  
4 over 80 percent of the goods transported through  
5 the harbor would be consumed within the community  
6 served by the harbor and navigation improvement;  
7 and

8 (3) the long-term viability of the community  
9 would be threatened without the harbor and naviga-  
10 tion improvement.

11 (b) **JUSTIFICATION.**—In considering whether to rec-  
12 ommend a project under subsection (a), the Secretary  
13 shall consider the benefits of the project to—

14 (1) public health and safety of the local commu-  
15 nity, including access to facilities designed to protect  
16 public health and safety;

17 (2) access to natural resources for subsistence  
18 purposes;

19 (3) local and regional economic opportunities;

20 (4) welfare of the local population; and

21 (5) social and cultural value to the community.

22 **SEC. 2007. USE OF OTHER FEDERAL FUNDS.**

23 The non-Federal interest for a water resources study  
24 or project may use, and the Secretary shall accept, funds  
25 provided by a Federal agency under any other Federal

1 program, to satisfy, in whole or in part, the non-Federal  
2 share of the cost of the study or project if the Federal  
3 agency that provides the funds determines that the funds  
4 are authorized to be used to carry out the study or project.

5 **SEC. 2008. REVISION OF PROJECT PARTNERSHIP AGREE-**  
6 **MENT; COST SHARING.**

7 (a) **FEDERAL ALLOCATION.**—Upon authorization by  
8 law of an increase in the maximum amount of Federal  
9 funds that may be allocated for a water resources project  
10 or an increase in the total cost of a water resources project  
11 authorized to be carried out by the Secretary, the Sec-  
12 retary shall enter into a revised partnership agreement for  
13 the project to take into account the change in Federal par-  
14 ticipation in the project.

15 (b) **COST SHARING.**—An increase in the maximum  
16 amount of Federal funds that may be allocated for a water  
17 resources project, or an increase in the total cost of a  
18 water resources project, authorized to be carried out by  
19 the Secretary shall not affect any cost-sharing require-  
20 ment applicable to the project.

21 (c) **COST ESTIMATES.**—The estimated Federal and  
22 non-Federal costs of water resources projects authorized  
23 to be carried out by the Secretary before, on, or after the  
24 date of enactment of this Act are for informational pur-

1 poses only and shall not be interpreted as affecting the  
2 cost-sharing responsibilities established by law.

3 **SEC. 2009. EXPEDITED ACTIONS FOR EMERGENCY FLOOD**  
4 **DAMAGE REDUCTION.**

5 The Secretary shall expedite any authorized planning,  
6 design, and construction of any project for flood damage  
7 reduction for an area that, within the preceding 5 years,  
8 has been subject to flooding that resulted in the loss of  
9 life and caused damage of sufficient severity and mag-  
10 nitude to warrant a declaration of a major disaster by the  
11 President under the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief  
12 and Emergency Assistance Act (42 U.S.C. 5121 et seq.).

13 **SEC. 2010. WATERSHED AND RIVER BASIN ASSESSMENTS.**

14 Section 729 of the Water Resources Development Act  
15 of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2267a; 114 Stat. 2587–2588; 100  
16 Stat. 4164) is amended—

17 (1) in subsection (d)—

18 (A) by striking “and” at the end of para-  
19 graph (4);

20 (B) by striking the period at the end of  
21 paragraph (5) and inserting a semicolon; and

22 (C) by adding at the end the following:

23 “(6) Tuscarawas River Basin, Ohio;

24 “(7) Sauk River Basin, Snohomish and Skagit  
25 Counties, Washington;

1 “(8) Niagara River Basin, New York;  
2 “(9) Genesee River Basin, New York; and  
3 “(10) White River Basin, Arkansas and Mis-  
4 souri.”;

5 (2) by striking paragraph (1) of subsection (f)  
6 and inserting the following:

7 “(1) NON-FEDERAL SHARE.—The non-Federal  
8 share of the costs of an assessment carried out  
9 under this section on or after December 11, 2000,  
10 shall be 25 percent.”; and

11 (3) by striking subsection (g).

12 **SEC. 2011. TRIBAL PARTNERSHIP PROGRAM.**

13 (a) PROGRAM.—Section 203(b) of the Water Re-  
14 sources Development Act of 2000 (33 U.S.C. 2269(b); 114  
15 Stat. 2589) is amended—

16 (1) in paragraph (1) by inserting “carry out  
17 water-related planning activities and” after “the  
18 Secretary may”;

19 (2) in paragraph (1)(B) by inserting after  
20 “Code” the following: “, and including lands that  
21 are within the jurisdictional area of an Oklahoma  
22 Indian tribe, as determined by the Secretary of the  
23 Interior, and are recognized by the Secretary of the  
24 Interior as eligible for trust land status under part  
25 151 of title 25, Code of Federal Regulations”; and



1 (3) in paragraph (2)—

2 (A) by striking “and” at the end of sub-  
3 paragraph (A);

4 (B) by redesignating subparagraph (B) as  
5 subparagraph (C); and

6 (C) by inserting after subparagraph (A)  
7 the following:

8 “(B) watershed assessments and planning  
9 activities; and”.

10 (b) **AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.**—Section  
11 203(e) of such Act is amended by striking “2006” and  
12 inserting “2012”.

13 **SEC. 2012. WILDFIRE FIREFIGHTING.**

14 Section 309 of Public Law 102–154 (42 U.S.C.  
15 1856a–1; 105 Stat. 1034) is amended by inserting “the  
16 Secretary of the Army,” after “the Secretary of Energy,”.

17 **SEC. 2013. TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE.**

18 Section 22 of the Water Resources Development Act  
19 of 1974 (42 U.S.C. 1962d–16) is amended—

20 (1) in subsection (a) by striking “The Sec-  
21 retary” and inserting the following:

22 “(a) **FEDERAL STATE COOPERATION.**—

23 “(1) **COMPREHENSIVE PLANS.**—The Sec-  
24 retary”;

1           (2) by inserting after the last sentence in sub-  
2 section (a) the following:

3           “(2) TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE.—

4                 “(A) IN GENERAL.—At the request of a  
5 governmental agency or non-Federal interest,  
6 the Secretary may provide, at Federal expense,  
7 technical assistance to such agency or non-Fed-  
8 eral interest in managing water resources.

9                 “(B) TYPES OF ASSISTANCE.—Technical  
10 assistance under this paragraph may include  
11 provision and integration of hydrologic, eco-  
12 nomic, and environmental data and analyses.”;

13           (3) in subsection (b)(1) by striking “this sec-  
14 tion” each place it appears and inserting “subsection  
15 (a)(1)”;

16           (4) in subsection (b)(2) by striking “Up to ½  
17 of the” and inserting “The”;

18           (5) in subsection (c) by striking “(c) There is”  
19 and inserting the following:

20           “(c) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—

21                 “(1) FEDERAL AND STATE COOPERATION.—  
22 There is”;

23           (6) in subsection (e)(1) (as designated by para-  
24 graph (5))—

1 (A) by striking “the provisions of this sec-  
2 tion” and inserting “subsection (a)(1),”; and

3 (B) by striking “\$500,000” and inserting  
4 “\$2,000,000”;

5 (7) by inserting at the end of subsection (e) the  
6 following:

7 “(2) TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE.—There is au-  
8 thorized to be appropriated \$5,000,000 annually to  
9 carry out subsection (a)(2), of which not more than  
10 \$2,000,000 annually may be used by the Secretary  
11 to enter into cooperative agreements with nonprofit  
12 organizations to provide assistance to rural and  
13 small communities.”;

14 (8) by redesignating subsection (d) as sub-  
15 section (e); and

16 (9) by inserting after subsection (c) the fol-  
17 lowing:

18 “(d) ANNUAL SUBMISSION OF PROPOSED ACTIVI-  
19 TIES.—Concurrent with the President’s submission to  
20 Congress of the President’s request for appropriations for  
21 the Civil Works Program for a fiscal year, the Secretary  
22 shall submit to the Committee on Transportation and In-  
23 frastructure of the House of Representatives and the Com-  
24 mittee on Environment and Public Works of the Senate

1 a report describing the individual activities proposed for  
2 funding under subsection (a)(1) for that fiscal year.”.

3 **SEC. 2014. LAKES PROGRAM.**

4 Section 602(a) of the Water Resources Development  
5 Act of 1986 (100 Stat. 4148; 110 Stat. 3758; 113 Stat.  
6 295) is amended—

7 (1) by striking “and” at end of paragraph (18);

8 (2) by striking the period at the end of para-  
9 graph (19) and inserting a semicolon; and

10 (3) by adding at the end the following:

11 “(20) Kinkaid Lake, Jackson County, Illinois,  
12 removal of silt and aquatic growth and measures to  
13 address excessive sedimentation;

14 “(21) McCarter Pond, Borough of Fairhaven,  
15 New Jersey, removal of silt and measures to address  
16 water quality;

17 “(22) Rogers Pond, Franklin Township, New  
18 Jersey, removal of silt and restoration of structural  
19 integrity;

20 “(23) Greenwood Lake, New York and New  
21 Jersey, removal of silt and aquatic growth;

22 “(24) Lake Rodgers, Creedmoor, North Caro-  
23 lina, removal of silt and excessive nutrients and res-  
24 toration of structural integrity;

1           “(25) Lake Sakakawea, North Dakota, removal  
2           of silt and aquatic growth and measures to address  
3           excessive sedimentation;

4           “(26) Lake Luxembourg, Pennsylvania;

5           “(27) Lake Fairlee, Vermont, removal of silt  
6           and aquatic growth and measures to address exces-  
7           sive sedimentation; and

8           “(28) Lake Morley, Vermont, removal of silt  
9           and aquatic growth and measures to address exces-  
10          sive sedimentation.”.

11 **SEC. 2015. COOPERATIVE AGREEMENTS.**

12          (a) **IN GENERAL.**—For the purpose of expediting the  
13 cost-effective design and construction of wetlands restora-  
14 tion that is part of an authorized water resources project,  
15 the Secretary may enter into cooperative agreements  
16 under section 6305 of title 31, United States Code, with  
17 nonprofit organizations with expertise in wetlands restora-  
18 tion to carry out such design and construction on behalf  
19 of the Secretary.

20          (b) **LIMITATIONS.**—

21           (1) **PER PROJECT LIMIT.**—A cooperative agree-  
22 ment under this section may not obligate the Sec-  
23 retary to pay the nonprofit organization more than  
24 \$1,000,000 for any single wetlands restoration  
25 project.

1           (2) ANNUAL LIMIT.—The total value of work  
2           carried out under cooperative agreements under this  
3           section may not exceed \$5,000,000 in any fiscal  
4           year.

5 **SEC. 2016. TRAINING FUNDS.**

6           (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary may include indi-  
7           viduals not employed by the Department of the Army in  
8           training classes and courses offered by the Corps of Engi-  
9           neers in any case in which the Secretary determines that  
10          it is in the best interest of the Federal Government to  
11          include those individuals as participants.

12          (b) EXPENSES.—

13                 (1) IN GENERAL.—An individual not employed  
14                 by the Department of the Army attending a training  
15                 class or course described in subsection (a) shall pay  
16                 the full cost of the training provided to the indi-  
17                 vidual.

18                 (2) PAYMENTS.—Payments made by an indi-  
19                 vidual for training received under paragraph (1), up  
20                 to the actual cost of the training—

21                         (A) may be retained by the Secretary;

22                         (B) shall be credited to an appropriations  
23                         account used for paying training costs; and

1 (C) shall be available for use by the Sec-  
2 retary, without further appropriation, for train-  
3 ing purposes.

4 (3) EXCESS AMOUNTS.—Any payments received  
5 under paragraph (2) that are in excess of the actual  
6 cost of training provided shall be credited as mis-  
7 cellaneous receipts to the Treasury of the United  
8 States.

9 **SEC. 2017. ACCESS TO WATER RESOURCE DATA.**

10 (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall carry out a  
11 program to provide public access to water resources and  
12 related water quality data in the custody of the Corps of  
13 Engineers.

14 (b) DATA.—Public access under subsection (a)  
15 shall—

16 (1) include, at a minimum, access to data gen-  
17 erated in water resources project development and  
18 regulation under section 404 of the Federal Water  
19 Pollution Control Act (33 U.S.C. 1344); and

20 (2) appropriately employ geographic informa-  
21 tion system technology and linkages to water re-  
22 source models and analytical techniques.

23 (c) PARTNERSHIPS.—To the maximum extent prac-  
24 ticable, in carrying out activities under this section, the  
25 Secretary shall develop partnerships, including cooperative

1 agreements, with State, tribal, and local governments and  
2 other Federal agencies.

3 (d) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There is  
4 authorized to be appropriated to carry out this section  
5 \$3,000,000 for each fiscal year.

6 **SEC. 2018. SHORE PROTECTION PROJECTS.**

7 (a) IN GENERAL.—In accordance with the Act of  
8 July 3, 1930 (33 U.S.C. 426), and notwithstanding ad-  
9 ministrative actions, it is the policy of the United States  
10 to promote beach nourishment for the purposes of flood  
11 damage reduction and hurricane and storm damage reduc-  
12 tion and related research that encourage the protection,  
13 restoration, and enhancement of sandy beaches, including  
14 beach restoration and periodic beach renourishment for a  
15 period of 50 years, on a comprehensive and coordinated  
16 basis by the Federal Government, States, localities, and  
17 private enterprises.

18 (b) PREFERENCE.—In carrying out the policy under  
19 subsection (a), preference shall be given to—

20 (1) areas in which there has been a Federal in-  
21 vestment of funds for the purposes described in sub-  
22 section (a); and

23 (2) areas with respect to which the need for  
24 prevention or mitigation of damage to shores and



1 beaches is attributable to Federal navigation  
2 projects or other Federal activities.

3 (c) APPLICABILITY.—The Secretary shall apply the  
4 policy under subsection (a) to each shore protection and  
5 beach renourishment project (including shore protection  
6 and beach renourishment projects constructed before the  
7 date of enactment of this Act).

8 **SEC. 2019. ABILITY TO PAY.**

9 (a) CRITERIA AND PROCEDURES.—Section  
10 103(m)(2) of the Water Resources Development Act of  
11 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2213(m)(2)) is amended by striking  
12 “180 days after such date of enactment” and inserting  
13 “December 31, 2007”.

14 (b) PROJECTS.—The Secretary shall apply the cri-  
15 teria and procedures referred to in section 103(m) of the  
16 Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C.  
17 2213(m)) to the following projects:

18 (1) ST. JOHNS BAYOU AND NEW MADRID  
19 FLOODWAY, MISSOURI.—The project for flood con-  
20 trol, St. Johns Bayou and New Madrid Floodway,  
21 Missouri, authorized by section 401(a) of the Water  
22 Resources Development Act of 1986 (100 Stat.  
23 4118).

24 (2) LOWER RIO GRANDE BASIN, TEXAS.—The  
25 project for flood control, Lower Rio Grande Basin,

1 Texas, authorized by section 401(a) of the Water  
2 Resources Development Act of 1986 (100 Stat.  
3 4125).

4 (3) WEST VIRGINIA AND PENNSYLVANIA  
5 PROJECTS.—The projects for flood control author-  
6 ized by section 581 of the Water Resources Develop-  
7 ment Act of 1996 (110 Stat. 3790–3791).

8 **SEC. 2020. AQUATIC ECOSYSTEM AND ESTUARY RESTORA-**  
9 **TION.**

10 Section 206 of the Water Resources Development Act  
11 of 1996 (33 U.S.C. 2330; 110 Stat. 3679) is amended—

12 (1) by striking subsection (a) and inserting the  
13 following:

14 “(a) GENERAL AUTHORITY.—

15 “(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary may carry  
16 out a project to restore and protect an aquatic eco-  
17 system or estuary if the Secretary determines that  
18 the project—

19 “(A)(i) will improve the quality of the envi-  
20 ronment and is in the public interest; or

21 “(ii) will improve the elements and fea-  
22 tures of an estuary (as defined in section 103  
23 of the Estuaries and Clean Waters Act of 2000  
24 (33 U.S.C. 2902)); and

25 “(B) is cost-effective.

1           “(2) DAM REMOVAL.—A project under this sec-  
2           tion may include removal of a dam.”; and

3           (2) in subsection (e) by striking “\$25,000,000”  
4           and inserting “\$50,000,000”.

5 **SEC. 2021. SMALL FLOOD DAMAGE REDUCTION PROJECTS.**

6           Section 205 of the Flood Control Act of 1948 (33  
7 U.S.C. 701s) is amended by striking “\$50,000,000” and  
8 inserting “\$55,000,000”.

9 **SEC. 2022. SMALL RIVER AND HARBOR IMPROVEMENT**  
10 **PROJECTS.**

11          Section 107(b) of the River and Harbor Act of 1960  
12 (33 U.S.C. 577(b)) is amended by striking “\$4,000,000”  
13 and inserting “\$7,000,000”.

14 **SEC. 2023. PROTECTION OF HIGHWAYS, BRIDGE AP-**  
15 **PROACHES, PUBLIC WORKS, AND NONPROFIT**  
16 **PUBLIC SERVICES.**

17          Section 14 of the Flood Control Act of 1946 (33  
18 U.S.C. 701r) is amended by striking “\$1,000,000” and  
19 inserting “\$1,500,000”.

20 **SEC. 2024. MODIFICATION OF PROJECTS FOR IMPROVE-**  
21 **MENT OF THE QUALITY OF THE ENVIRON-**  
22 **MENT.**

23          Section 1135(h) of the Water Resources Development  
24 Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2309a(h)) is amended by striking  
25 “\$25,000,000” and inserting “\$40,000,000”.

1 **SEC. 2025. REMEDIATION OF ABANDONED MINE SITES.**

2 Section 560(f) of the Water Resources Development  
3 Act of 1999 (33 U.S.C. 2336(f)) is amended by striking  
4 “\$7,500,000” and inserting “\$20,000,000”.

5 **SEC. 2026. LEASING AUTHORITY.**

6 Section 4 of the Act entitled “An Act authorizing the  
7 construction of certain public works on rivers and harbors  
8 for flood control, and other purposes”, approved December  
9 22, 1944 (16 U.S.C. 460d), is amended—

10 (1) by inserting “federally recognized Indian  
11 tribes and” before “Federal” the first place it ap-  
12 pears;

13 (2) by inserting “Indian tribes or” after “con-  
14 siderations, to such”; and

15 (3) by inserting “federally recognized Indian  
16 tribe” after “That in any such lease or license to a”.

17 **SEC. 2027. FISCAL TRANSPARENCY REPORT.**

18 (a) IN GENERAL.—On the third Tuesday of January  
19 of each year beginning January 2008, the Chief of Engi-  
20 neers shall submit to the Committee on Environment and  
21 Public Works of the Senate and the Committee on Trans-  
22 portation and Infrastructure of the House of Representa-  
23 tives a report on—

24 (1) the expenditures by the Corps for the pre-  
25 ceding fiscal year and estimated expenditures by the  
26 Corps for the current fiscal year; and

1           (2) for projects and activities that are not  
2           scheduled for completion in the current fiscal year,  
3           the estimated expenditures by the Corps necessary  
4           in the following fiscal year for each project or activ-  
5           ity to maintain the same level of effort being  
6           achieved in the current fiscal year.

7           (b) CONTENTS.—In addition to the information de-  
8           scribed in subsection (a), the report shall contain a de-  
9           tailed accounting of the following information:

10           (1) With respect to activities carried out with  
11           funding provided under the Construction appropria-  
12           tions account for the Secretary, information on—

13                   (A) projects currently under construction,  
14                   including—

15                           (i) allocations to date;

16                           (ii) the number of years remaining to  
17                           complete construction;

18                           (iii) the estimated annual Federal cost  
19                           to maintain that construction schedule;  
20                           and

21                           (iv) a list of projects the Corps of En-  
22                           gineers expects to complete during the cur-  
23                           rent fiscal year; and

1 (B) projects for which there is a signed  
2 partnership agreement and completed planning,  
3 engineering, and design, including—

4 (i) the number of years the project is  
5 expected to require for completion; and

6 (ii) estimated annual Federal cost to  
7 maintain that construction schedule.

8 (2) With respect to operation and maintenance  
9 of the inland and intracoastal waterways identified  
10 by section 206 of the Inland Waterways Revenue  
11 Act of 1978 (33 U.S.C. 1804)—

12 (A) the estimated annual cost to maintain  
13 each waterway for the authorized reach and at  
14 the authorized depth;

15 (B) the estimated annual cost of operation  
16 and maintenance of locks and dams to ensure  
17 navigation without interruption; and

18 (C) the actual expenditures to maintain  
19 each waterway.

20 (3) With respect to activities carried out with  
21 funding provided under the Investigations appropria-  
22 tions account for the Secretary—

23 (A) the number of active studies;

24 (B) the number of completed studies not  
25 yet authorized for construction;

1 (C) the number of initiated studies; and

2 (D) the number of studies expected to be  
3 completed during the fiscal year.

4 (4) Funding received and estimates of funds to  
5 be received for interagency and international support  
6 activities under section 234 of the Water Resources  
7 Development Act of 1996 (33 U.S.C. 2323a).

8 (5) Recreation fees and lease payments.

9 (6) Hydropower and water storage receipts.

10 (7) Deposits into the Inland Waterways Trust  
11 Fund and the Harbor Maintenance Trust Fund.

12 (8) Other revenues and fees collected by the  
13 Corps of Engineers.

14 (9) With respect to permit applications and no-  
15 tifications, a list of individual permit applications  
16 and nationwide permit notifications, including—

17 (A) the date on which each permit applica-  
18 tion is filed;

19 (B) the date on which each permit applica-  
20 tion is determined to be complete;

21 (C) the date on which any permit applica-  
22 tion is withdrawn; and

23 (D) the date on which the Corps of Engi-  
24 neers grants or denies each permit.

1           (10) With respect to projects that are author-  
2           ized but for which construction is not complete, a  
3           list of such projects for which no funds have been  
4           allocated for the 5 preceding fiscal years, including,  
5           for each project—

6                   (A) the authorization date;

7                   (B) the last allocation date;

8                   (C) the percentage of construction com-  
9           pleted;

10                  (D) the estimated cost remaining until  
11           completion of the project; and

12                  (E) a brief explanation of the reasons for  
13           the delay.

14 **SEC. 2028. SUPPORT OF ARMY CIVIL WORKS PROGRAM.**

15           (a) IN GENERAL.—Notwithstanding section 2361 of  
16           title 10, United States Code, the Secretary may provide  
17           assistance through contracts, cooperative agreements, and  
18           grants to—

19                   (1) the University of Tennessee, Knoxville, Ten-  
20           nessee, for establishment and operation of the  
21           Southeastern Water Resources Institute to study  
22           sustainable development and utilization of water re-  
23           sources in the southeastern United States;

24                   (2) Lewis and Clark Community College, Illi-  
25           nois, for the Great Rivers National Research and



1 Education Center (including facilities that have been  
2 or will be constructed at one or more locations in the  
3 vicinity of the confluence of the Illinois River, the  
4 Missouri River, and the Mississippi River), a collabo-  
5 rative effort of Lewis and Clark Community College,  
6 the University of Illinois, the Illinois Department of  
7 Natural Resources and Environmental Sciences, and  
8 other entities, for the study of river ecology, devel-  
9 oping watershed and river management strategies,  
10 and educating students and the public on river  
11 issues; and

12 (3) the University of Texas at Dallas for sup-  
13 port and operation of the International Center for  
14 Decision and Risk Analysis to study risk analysis  
15 and control methods for transboundary water re-  
16 sources management in the southwestern United  
17 States and other international water resources man-  
18 agement problems.

19 (b) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There  
20 are authorized to be appropriated to the Secretary to carry  
21 out subsection (a)(1) \$2,000,000, to carry out subsection  
22 (a)(2) \$2,000,000, and to carry out subsection (a)(3)  
23 \$5,000,000.

1 **SEC. 2029. SENSE OF CONGRESS ON CRITERIA FOR OPER-**  
2 **ATION AND MAINTENANCE OF HARBOR**  
3 **DREDGING PROJECTS.**

4 (a) FINDINGS.—Congress finds the following:

5 (1) Insufficient maintenance dredging results in  
6 inefficient water transportation and harmful eco-  
7 nomic consequences.

8 (2) The estimated dredging backlog at commer-  
9 cial harbors in the Great Lakes alone is 16,000,000  
10 cubic yards.

11 (3) Approximately two-thirds of all shipping in  
12 the United States either starts or finishes at small  
13 harbors.

14 (4) Small harbors often have a greater propor-  
15 tional impact on local economies than do larger har-  
16 bors.

17 (5) Performance metrics can be valuable tools  
18 in the budget process for water resources projects.

19 (6) The use of a single performance metric for  
20 water resources projects can result in a budget bi-  
21 ased against small and rural communities.

22 (b) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Con-  
23 gress that the operations and maintenance budget of the  
24 Corps of Engineers should reflect the use of all available  
25 economic data, rather than a single performance metric.

1 **SEC. 2030. INTERAGENCY AND INTERNATIONAL SUPPORT**

2 **AUTHORITY.**

3 Section 234 of the Water Resources Development Act  
4 of 1996 (33 U.S.C. 2323a) is amended—

5 (1) by striking subsection (a) and inserting the  
6 following:

7 “(a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary may engage in ac-  
8 tivities (including contracting) in support of other Federal  
9 agencies, international organizations, or foreign govern-  
10 ments to address problems of national significance to the  
11 United States.”;

12 (2) in subsection (b) by striking “Secretary of  
13 State” and inserting “Department of State”; and

14 (3) in subsection (d)—

15 (A) by striking “\$250,000 for fiscal year  
16 2001” and inserting “\$1,000,000 for fiscal year  
17 2008”; and

18 (B) by striking “or international organiza-  
19 tions” and inserting “, international organiza-  
20 tions, or foreign governments”.

21 **SEC. 2031. WATER RESOURCES PRINCIPLES AND GUIDE-**  
22 **LINES.**

23 (a) NATIONAL WATER RESOURCES PLANNING POL-  
24 ICY.—It is the policy of the United States that all water  
25 resources projects should reflect national priorities, en-

1 courage economic development, and protect the environ-  
2 ment by—

3 (1) seeking to maximize sustainable economic  
4 development;

5 (2) seeking to avoid the unwise use of  
6 floodplains and flood-prone areas and minimizing  
7 adverse impacts and vulnerabilities in any case in  
8 which a floodplain or flood-prone area must be used;  
9 and

10 (3) protecting and restoring the functions of  
11 natural systems and mitigating any unavoidable  
12 damage to natural systems.

13 (b) PRINCIPLES AND GUIDELINES.—

14 (1) PRINCIPLES AND GUIDELINES DEFINED.—

15 In this subsection, the term “principles and guide-  
16 lines” means the principles and guidelines contained  
17 in the document prepared by the Water Resources  
18 Council pursuant to section 103 of the Water Re-  
19 sources Planning Act (42 U.S.C. 1962a-2), entitled  
20 “Economic and Environmental Principles and  
21 Guidelines for Water and Related Land Resources  
22 Implementation Studies”, and dated March 10,  
23 1983.

24 (2) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 2 years after  
25 the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary

1 shall issue revisions, consistent with paragraph (3),  
2 to the principles and guidelines for use by the Sec-  
3 retary in the formulation, evaluation, and implemen-  
4 tation of water resources projects.

5 (3) CONSIDERATIONS.—In developing revisions  
6 to the principles and guidelines under paragraph (2),  
7 the Secretary shall evaluate the consistency of the  
8 principles and guidelines with, and ensure that the  
9 principles and guidelines address, the following:

10 (A) The use of best available economic  
11 principles and analytical techniques, including  
12 techniques in risk and uncertainty analysis.

13 (B) The assessment and incorporation of  
14 public safety in the formulation of alternatives  
15 and recommended plans.

16 (C) Assessment methods that reflect the  
17 value of projects for low-income communities  
18 and projects that use nonstructural approaches  
19 to water resources development and manage-  
20 ment.

21 (D) The assessment and evaluation of the  
22 interaction of a project with other water re-  
23 sources projects and programs within a region  
24 or watershed.

1           (E) The use of contemporary water re-  
2           sources paradigms, including integrated water  
3           resources management and adaptive manage-  
4           ment.

5           (F) Evaluation methods that ensure that  
6           water resources projects are justified by public  
7           benefits.

8           (4) CONSULTATION AND PUBLIC PARTICIPA-  
9           TION.—In carrying out paragraph (2), the Secretary  
10          shall—

11           (A) consult with the Secretary of the Inte-  
12          rior, the Secretary of Agriculture, the Secretary  
13          of Commerce, the Secretary of Housing and  
14          Urban Development, the Secretary of Transpor-  
15          tation, the Administrator of the Environmental  
16          Protection Agency, the Secretary of Energy, the  
17          Secretary of Homeland Security, the National  
18          Academy of Sciences, and the Council on Envi-  
19          ronmental Quality; and

20           (B) solicit and consider public and expert  
21          comments.

22          (5) PUBLICATION.—The Secretary shall—

23           (A) submit to the Committee on Environ-  
24          ment and Public Works of the Senate and the  
25          Committee on Transportation and Infrastruc-

1           ture of the House of Representatives copies  
2           of—

3                   (i) the revisions to the principles and  
4                   guidelines for use by the Secretary; and

5                   (ii) an explanation of the intent of  
6                   each revision, how each revision is con-  
7                   sistent with this section, and the probable  
8                   impact of each revision on water resources  
9                   projects carried out by the Secretary; and  
10                  (B) make the revisions to the principles  
11                  and guidelines for use by the Secretary avail-  
12                  able to the public, including on the Internet.

13                  (6) EFFECT.—Subject to the requirements of  
14                  this subsection, the principles and guidelines as re-  
15                  vised under this subsection shall apply to water re-  
16                  sources projects carried out by the Secretary instead  
17                  of the principles and guidelines for such projects in  
18                  effect on the day before date of enactment of this  
19                  Act.

20                  (7) APPLICABILITY.—After the date of issuance  
21                  of the revisions to the principles and guidelines, the  
22                  revisions shall apply—

23                          (A) to all water resources projects carried  
24                          out by the Secretary, other than projects for

1           which the Secretary has commenced a feasi-  
2           bility study before the date of such issuance;

3                   (B) at the request of a non-Federal inter-  
4           est, to a water resources project for which the  
5           Secretary has commenced a feasibility study be-  
6           fore the date of such issuance; and

7                   (C) to the reevaluation or modification of  
8           a water resources project, other than a reevalu-  
9           ation or modification that has been commenced  
10          by the Secretary before the date of such  
11          issuance.

12           (8) EXISTING STUDIES.—Revisions to the prin-  
13          ciples and guidelines issued under paragraph (2)  
14          shall not affect the validity of any completed study  
15          of a water resources project.

16           (9) RECOMMENDATION.—Upon completion of  
17          the revisions to the principles and guidelines for use  
18          by the Secretary, the Secretary shall make a rec-  
19          ommendation to Congress as to the advisability of  
20          repealing subsections (a) and (b) of section 80 of the  
21          Water Resources Development Act of 1974 (42  
22          U.S.C. 1962d–17).

23   **SEC. 2032. WATER RESOURCE PRIORITIES REPORT.**

24           (a) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 2 years after the  
25          date of enactment of this Act, the President shall submit



1 to Congress a report describing the vulnerability of the  
2 United States to damage from flooding, including—

- 3 (1) the risk to human life;
- 4 (2) the risk to property; and
- 5 (3) the comparative risks faced by different re-  
6 gions of the United States.

7 (b) INCLUSIONS.—The report under subsection (a)  
8 shall include—

- 9 (1) an assessment of the extent to which pro-  
10 grams in the United States relating to flooding ad-  
11 dress flood risk reduction priorities;
- 12 (2) the extent to which those programs may be  
13 encouraging development and economic activity in  
14 flood-prone areas;
- 15 (3) recommendations for improving those pro-  
16 grams with respect to reducing and responding to  
17 flood risks; and
- 18 (4) proposals for implementing the rec-  
19 ommendations.

20 **SEC. 2033. PLANNING.**

21 (a) MATTERS TO BE ADDRESSED IN PLANNING.—  
22 Section 904 of the Water Resources Development Act of  
23 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2281) is amended—

- 24 (1) by striking “Enhancing” and inserting the  
25 following:

1 “(a) IN GENERAL.—Enhancing”; and

2 (2) by adding at the end the following:

3 “(b) ASSESSMENTS.—For all feasibility reports for  
4 water resources projects completed after December 31,  
5 2007, the Secretary shall assess whether—

6 “(1) the water resources project and each sepa-  
7 rable element is cost-effective; and

8 “(2) the water resources project complies with  
9 Federal, State, and local laws (including regulations)  
10 and public policies.”.

11 (b) PLANNING PROCESS IMPROVEMENTS.—The Chief  
12 of Engineers—

13 (1) shall adopt a risk analysis approach to  
14 project cost estimates for water resources projects;  
15 and

16 (2) not later than one year after the date of en-  
17 actment of this Act, shall—

18 (A) issue procedures for risk analysis for  
19 cost estimation for water resources projects;  
20 and

21 (B) submit to Congress a report that in-  
22 cludes any recommended amendments to section  
23 902 of the Water Resources Development Act  
24 of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2280).

25 (c) BENCHMARKS.—

1           (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 12 months  
2 after the date of enactment of this Act, the Chief of  
3 Engineers shall establish benchmarks for deter-  
4 mining the length of time it should take to conduct  
5 a feasibility study for a water resources project and  
6 its associated review process under the National En-  
7 vironmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 et  
8 seq.). The Chief of Engineers shall use such bench-  
9 marks as a management tool to make the feasibility  
10 study process more efficient in all districts of the  
11 Corps of Engineers.

12           (2) BENCHMARK GOALS.—The Chief of Engi-  
13 neers shall establish, to the extent practicable, under  
14 paragraph (1) benchmark goals for completion of  
15 feasibility studies for water resources projects gen-  
16 erally within 2 years. In the case of feasibility stud-  
17 ies that the Chief of Engineers determines may re-  
18 quire additional time based on the project type, size,  
19 cost, or complexity, the benchmark goal for comple-  
20 tion shall be generally within 4 years.

21           (d) CALCULATION OF BENEFITS AND COSTS FOR  
22 FLOOD DAMAGE REDUCTION PROJECTS.—A feasibility  
23 study for a project for flood damage reduction shall in-  
24 clude, as part of the calculation of benefits and costs—

1           (1) a calculation of the residual risk of flooding  
2 following completion of the proposed project;

3           (2) a calculation of the residual risk of loss of  
4 human life and residual risk to human safety fol-  
5 lowing completion of the proposed project;

6           (3) a calculation of any upstream or down-  
7 stream impacts of the proposed project; and

8           (4) calculations to ensure that the benefits and  
9 costs associated with structural and nonstructural  
10 alternatives are evaluated in an equitable manner.

11       (e) CENTERS OF SPECIALIZED PLANNING EXPER-  
12 TISE.—

13           (1) ESTABLISHMENT.—The Secretary may es-  
14 tablish centers of expertise to provide specialized  
15 planning expertise for water resources projects to be  
16 carried out by the Secretary in order to enhance and  
17 supplement the capabilities of the districts of the  
18 Corps of Engineers.

19           (2) DUTIES.—A center of expertise established  
20 under this subsection shall—

21               (A) provide technical and managerial as-  
22 sistance to district commanders of the Corps of  
23 Engineers for project planning, development,  
24 and implementation;

1 (B) provide agency peer reviews of new  
2 major scientific, engineering, or economic meth-  
3 ods, models, or analyses that will be used to  
4 support decisions of the Secretary with respect  
5 to feasibility studies for water resources  
6 projects;

7 (C) provide support for independent peer  
8 review panels under section 2034; and

9 (D) carry out such other duties as are pre-  
10 scribed by the Secretary.

11 (f) COMPLETION OF CORPS OF ENGINEERS RE-  
12 PORTS.—

13 (1) ALTERNATIVES.—

14 (A) IN GENERAL.—Feasibility and other  
15 studies and assessments for a water resources  
16 project shall include recommendations for alter-  
17 natives—

18 (i) that, as determined in coordination  
19 with the non-Federal interest for the  
20 project, promote integrated water re-  
21 sources management; and

22 (ii) for which the non-Federal interest  
23 is willing to provide the non-Federal share  
24 for the studies or assessments.

1 (B) CONSTRAINTS.—The alternatives con-  
2 tained in studies and assessments described in  
3 subparagraph (A) shall not be constrained by  
4 budgetary or other policy.

5 (C) REPORTS OF CHIEF OF ENGINEERS.—  
6 The reports of the Chief of Engineers shall  
7 identify any recommendation that is not the  
8 best technical solution to water resource needs  
9 and problems and the reason for the deviation.

10 (2) REPORT COMPLETION.—The completion of  
11 a report of the Chief of Engineers for a water re-  
12 sources project—

13 (A) shall not be delayed while consider-  
14 ation is being given to potential changes in pol-  
15 icy or priority for project consideration; and

16 (B) shall be submitted, on completion, to—

17 (i) the Committee on Environment  
18 and Public Works of the Senate; and

19 (ii) the Committee on Transportation  
20 and Infrastructure of the House of Rep-  
21 resentatives.

22 (g) COMPLETION REVIEW.—

23 (1) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in para-  
24 graph (2), not later than 120 days after the date of  
25 completion of a report of the Chief of Engineers that

1 recommends to Congress a water resources project,  
2 the Secretary shall—

3 (A) review the report; and

4 (B) provide any recommendations of the  
5 Secretary regarding the water resources project  
6 to Congress.

7 (2) PRIOR REPORTS.—Not later than 180 days  
8 after the date of enactment of this Act, with respect  
9 to any report of the Chief of Engineers recom-  
10 mending a water resources project that is complete  
11 prior to the date of enactment of this Act, the Sec-  
12 retary shall complete review of, and provide rec-  
13 ommendations to Congress for, the report in accord-  
14 ance with paragraph (1).

15 **SEC. 2034. INDEPENDENT PEER REVIEW.**

16 (a) PROJECT STUDIES SUBJECT TO INDEPENDENT  
17 PEER REVIEW.—

18 (1) IN GENERAL.—Project studies shall be sub-  
19 ject to a peer review by an independent panel of ex-  
20 perts as determined under this section.

21 (2) SCOPE.—The peer review may include a re-  
22 view of the economic and environmental assumptions  
23 and projections, project evaluation data, economic  
24 analyses, environmental analyses, engineering anal-  
25 yses, formulation of alternative plans, methods for

1 integrating risk and uncertainty, models used in  
2 evaluation of economic or environmental impacts of  
3 proposed projects, and any biological opinions of the  
4 project study.

5 (3) PROJECT STUDIES SUBJECT TO PEER RE-  
6 VIEW.—

7 (A) MANDATORY.—A project study shall  
8 be subject to peer review under paragraph (1)  
9 if—

10 (i) the project has an estimated total  
11 cost of more than \$45,000,000, including  
12 mitigation costs, and is not determined by  
13 the Chief of Engineers to be exempt from  
14 peer review under paragraph (6);

15 (ii) the Governor of an affected State  
16 requests a peer review by an independent  
17 panel of experts; or

18 (iii) the Chief of Engineers determines  
19 that the project study is controversial con-  
20 sidering the factors set forth in paragraph  
21 (4).

22 (B) DISCRETIONARY.—

23 (i) AGENCY REQUEST.—A project  
24 study shall be considered by the Chief of  
25 Engineers for peer review under this sec-



1 tion if the head of a Federal or State agen-  
2 cy charged with reviewing the project study  
3 determines that the project is likely to  
4 have a significant adverse impact on envi-  
5 ronmental, cultural, or other resources  
6 under the jurisdiction of the agency after  
7 implementation of proposed mitigation  
8 plans and requests a peer review by an  
9 independent panel of experts.

10 (ii) DEADLINE FOR DECISION.—A de-  
11 cision of the Chief of Engineers under this  
12 subparagraph whether to conduct a peer  
13 review shall be made within 21 days of the  
14 date of receipt of the request by the head  
15 of the Federal or State agency under  
16 clause (i).

17 (iii) REASONS FOR NOT CONDUCTING  
18 PEER REVIEW.—If the Chief of Engineers  
19 decides not to conduct a peer review fol-  
20 lowing a request under clause (i), the Chief  
21 shall make publicly available, including on  
22 the Internet, the reasons for not con-  
23 ducting the peer review.

24 (iv) APPEAL TO CHAIRMAN OF COUN-  
25 CIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY.—A de-

1           cision by the Chief of Engineers not to  
2           conduct a peer review following a request  
3           under clause (i) shall be subject to appeal  
4           by a person referred to in clause (i) to the  
5           Chairman of the Council on Environmental  
6           Quality if such appeal is made within the  
7           30-day period following the date of the de-  
8           cision being made available under clause  
9           (iii). A decision of the Chairman on an ap-  
10          peal under this clause shall be made within  
11          30 days of the date of the appeal.

12           (4) FACTORS TO CONSIDER.—In determining  
13          whether a project study is controversial under para-  
14          graph (3)(A)(iii), the Chief of Engineers shall con-  
15          sider if—

16                   (A) there is a significant public dispute as  
17                   to the size, nature, or effects of the project; or

18                   (B) there is a significant public dispute as  
19                   to the economic or environmental costs or bene-  
20                   fits of the project.

21           (5) PROJECT STUDIES EXCLUDED FROM PEER  
22          REVIEW.—The Chief of Engineers may exclude a  
23          project study from peer review under paragraph  
24          (1)—

1 (A) if the project study does not include an  
2 environmental impact statement and is a  
3 project study subject to peer review under para-  
4 graph (3)(A)(i) that the Chief of Engineers de-  
5 termines—

6 (i) is not controversial;

7 (ii) has no more than negligible ad-  
8 verse impacts on scarce or unique cultural,  
9 historic, or tribal resources;

10 (iii) has no substantial adverse im-  
11 pacts on fish and wildlife species and their  
12 habitat prior to the implementation of  
13 mitigation measures; and

14 (iv) has, before implementation of  
15 mitigation measures, no more than a neg-  
16 ligible adverse impact on a species listed as  
17 endangered or threatened species under  
18 the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (16  
19 U.S.C. 1531 et seq.) or the critical habitat  
20 of such species designated under such Act;

21 (B) if the project study—

22 (i) involves only the rehabilitation or  
23 replacement of existing hydropower tur-  
24 bines, lock structures, or flood control  
25 gates within the same footprint and for the

1 same purpose as an existing water re-  
2 sources project;

3 (ii) is for an activity for which there  
4 is ample experience within the Corps of  
5 Engineers and industry to treat the activ-  
6 ity as being routine; and

7 (iii) has minimal life safety risk; or

8 (C) if the project study does not include an  
9 environmental impact statement and is a  
10 project study pursued under section 205 of the  
11 Flood Control Act of 1948 (33 U.S.C. 701s),  
12 section 2 of the Flood Control Act of August  
13 28, 1937 (33 U.S.C. 701g), section 14 of the  
14 Flood Control Act of 1946 (33 U.S.C. 701r),  
15 section 107(a) of the River and Harbor Act of  
16 1960 (33 U.S.C. 577(a)), section 3 of the Act  
17 entitled "An Act authorizing Federal participa-  
18 tion in the cost of protecting the shores of pub-  
19 licly owned property", approved August 13,  
20 1946 (33 U.S.C. 426g), section 111 of the  
21 River and Harbor Act of 1968 (33 U.S.C.  
22 426i), section 3 of the Act entitled "An Act au-  
23 thORIZING the construction, repair, and preserva-  
24 tion of certain public works on rivers and har-  
25 bors, and for other purposes", approved March

1           2, 1945 (33 U.S.C. 603a), section 1135 of the  
2           Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (33  
3           U.S.C. 2309a), or section 206 of the Water Re-  
4           sources Development Act of 1996 (33 U.S.C.  
5           2330).

6           (6) DETERMINATION OF TOTAL COST.—For  
7           purposes of determining the estimated total cost of  
8           a project under paragraph (3)(A), the total cost  
9           shall be based upon the reasonable estimates of the  
10          Chief of Engineers at the completion of the recon-  
11          naissance study for the project. If the reasonable es-  
12          timate of total costs is subsequently determined to  
13          be in excess of the amount in paragraph (3)(A), the  
14          Chief of Engineers shall make a determination  
15          whether a project study is required to be reviewed  
16          under this section.

17          (b) TIMING OF PEER REVIEW.—

18          (1) IN GENERAL.—The Chief of Engineers shall  
19          determine the timing of a peer review of a project  
20          study under subsection (a). In all cases, the peer re-  
21          view shall occur during the period beginning on the  
22          date of the signing of the feasibility cost-sharing  
23          agreement for the study and ending on the date es-  
24          tablished under subsection (e)(1)(A) for the peer re-

1 view and shall be accomplished concurrent with the  
2 conducting of the project study.

3 (2) FACTORS TO CONSIDER.—In any case in  
4 which the Chief of Engineers has not initiated a  
5 peer review of a project study, the Chief of Engi-  
6 neers shall consider, at a minimum, whether to ini-  
7 tiate a peer review at the time that—

8 (A) the without-project conditions are iden-  
9 tified;

10 (B) the array of alternatives to be consid-  
11 ered are identified; and

12 (C) the preferred alternative is identified.

13 (3) LIMITATION ON MULTIPLE PEER REVIEW.—  
14 Nothing in this subsection shall be construed to re-  
15 quire the Chief of Engineers to conduct multiple  
16 peer reviews for a project study.

17 (c) ESTABLISHMENT OF PANELS.—

18 (1) IN GENERAL.—For each project study sub-  
19 ject to peer review under subsection (a), as soon as  
20 practicable after the Chief of Engineers determines  
21 that a project study will be subject to peer review,  
22 the Chief of Engineers shall contract with the Na-  
23 tional Academy of Sciences or a similar independent  
24 scientific and technical advisory organization or an

1 eligible organization to establish a panel of experts  
2 to conduct a peer review for the project study.

3 (2) MEMBERSHIP.—A panel of experts estab-  
4 lished for a project study under this section shall be  
5 composed of independent experts who represent a  
6 balance of areas of expertise suitable for the review  
7 being conducted.

8 (3) LIMITATION ON APPOINTMENTS.—The Na-  
9 tional Academy of Sciences or any other organiza-  
10 tion the Chief of Engineers contracts with under  
11 paragraph (1) to establish a panel of experts shall  
12 apply the National Academy of Science's policy for  
13 selecting committee members to ensure that mem-  
14 bers selected for the panel of experts have no con-  
15 flict with the project being reviewed.

16 (4) CONGRESSIONAL NOTIFICATION.—Upon  
17 identification of a project study for peer review  
18 under this section, but prior to initiation of the re-  
19 view, the Chief of Engineers shall notify the Com-  
20 mittee on Environment and Public Works of the  
21 Senate and the Committee on Transportation and  
22 Infrastructure of the House of Representatives of  
23 the review.

1 (d) DUTIES OF PANELS.—A panel of experts estab-  
2 lished for a peer review for a project study under this sec-  
3 tion shall—

4 (1) conduct the peer review for the project  
5 study;

6 (2) assess the adequacy and acceptability of the  
7 economic, engineering, and environmental methods,  
8 models, and analyses used by the Chief of Engi-  
9 neers;

10 (3) receive from the Chief of Engineers the  
11 public written and oral comments provided to the  
12 Chief of Engineers;

13 (4) provide timely written and oral comments to  
14 the Chief of Engineers throughout the development  
15 of the project study, as requested; and

16 (5) submit to the Chief of Engineers a final re-  
17 port containing the panel's economic, engineering,  
18 and environmental analysis of the project study, in-  
19 cluding the panel's assessment of the adequacy and  
20 acceptability of the economic, engineering, and envi-  
21 ronmental methods, models, and analyses used by  
22 the Chief of Engineers, to accompany the publica-  
23 tion of the report of the Chief of Engineers for the  
24 project.



1 (e) DURATION OF PROJECT STUDY PEER RE-  
2 VIEWS.—

3 (1) DEADLINE.—A panel of experts established  
4 under this section shall—

5 (A) complete its peer review under this sec-  
6 tion for a project study and submit a report to  
7 the Chief of Engineers under subsection (d)(5)  
8 not more than 60 days after the last day of the  
9 public comment period for the draft project  
10 study, or, if the Chief of Engineers determines  
11 that a longer period of time is necessary, such  
12 period of time determined necessary by the  
13 Chief of Engineers; and

14 (B) terminate on the date of initiation of  
15 the State and agency review required by the  
16 first section of the Flood Control Act of Decem-  
17 ber 22, 1944 (58 Stat. 887).

18 (2) FAILURE TO MEET DEADLINE.—If a panel  
19 of experts does not complete its peer review of a  
20 project study under this section and submit a report  
21 to the Chief of Engineers under subsection (d)(5) on  
22 or before the deadline established by paragraph (1)  
23 for the peer review, the Chief of Engineers shall  
24 complete the project study without delay.

25 (f) RECOMMENDATIONS OF PANEL.—

1           (1) CONSIDERATION BY THE CHIEF OF ENGI-  
2           NEERS.—After receiving a report on a project study  
3           from a panel of experts under this section and be-  
4           fore entering a final record of decision for the  
5           project, the Chief of Engineers shall consider any  
6           recommendations contained in the report and pre-  
7           pare a written response for any recommendations  
8           adopted or not adopted.

9           (2) PUBLIC AVAILABILITY AND TRANSMITTAL  
10          TO CONGRESS.—After receiving a report on a project  
11          study from a panel of experts under this section, the  
12          Chief of Engineers shall—

13                 (A) make a copy of the report and any  
14                 written response of the Chief of Engineers on  
15                 recommendations contained in the report avail-  
16                 able to the public by electronic means, including  
17                 the Internet; and

18                 (B) transmit to the Committee on Envi-  
19                 ronment and Public Works of the Senate and  
20                 the Committee on Transportation and Infra-  
21                 structure of the House of Representatives a  
22                 copy of the report, together with any such writ-  
23                 ten response, on the date of a final report of  
24                 the Chief of Engineers or other final decision  
25                 document for the project study.

1 (g) COSTS.—

2 (1) IN GENERAL.—The costs of a panel of ex-  
3 perts established for a peer review under this sec-  
4 tion—

5 (A) shall be a Federal expense; and

6 (B) shall not exceed \$500,000.

7 (2) WAIVER.—The Chief of Engineers may  
8 waive the \$500,000 limitation contained in para-  
9 graph (1)(B) in cases that the Chief of Engineers  
10 determines appropriate.

11 (h) APPLICABILITY.—This section shall apply to—

12 (1) project studies initiated during the 2-year  
13 period preceding the date of enactment of this Act  
14 and for which the array of alternatives to be consid-  
15 ered has not been identified; and

16 (2) project studies initiated during the period  
17 beginning on such date of enactment and ending 7  
18 years after such date of enactment.

19 (i) REPORTS.—

20 (1) INITIAL REPORT.—Not later than 3 years  
21 after the date of enactment of this section, the Chief  
22 of Engineers shall submit to the Committee on Envi-  
23 ronment and Public Works of the Senate and the  
24 Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of

1 the House of Representatives a report on the imple-  
2 mentation of this section.

3 (2) ADDITIONAL REPORT.—Not later than 6  
4 years after the date of enactment of this section, the  
5 Chief of Engineers shall update the report under  
6 paragraph (1) taking into account any further infor-  
7 mation on implementation of this section and submit  
8 such updated report to the Committee on Environ-  
9 ment and Public Works of the Senate and the Com-  
10 mittee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the  
11 House of Representatives.

12 (j) NONAPPLICABILITY OF FACCA.—The Federal Ad-  
13 visory Committee Act (5 U.S.C. App.) shall not apply to  
14 a peer review panel established under this section.

15 (k) SAVINGS CLAUSE.—Nothing in this section shall  
16 be construed to affect any authority of the Chief of Engi-  
17 neers to cause or conduct a peer review of a water re-  
18 sources project existing on the date of enactment of this  
19 section.

20 (l) DEFINITIONS.—In this section, the following defi-  
21 nitions apply:

22 (1) PROJECT STUDY.—The term “project  
23 study” means—

24 (A) a feasibility study or reevaluation  
25 study for a water resources project, including

1 the environmental impact statement prepared  
2 for the study; and

3 (B) any other study associated with a  
4 modification of a water resources project that  
5 includes an environmental impact statement, in-  
6 cluding the environmental impact statement  
7 prepared for the study.

8 (2) AFFECTED STATE.—The term “affected  
9 State”, as used with respect to a water resources  
10 project, means a State all or a portion of which is  
11 within the drainage basin in which the project is or  
12 would be located and would be economically or envi-  
13 ronmentally affected as a consequence of the project.

14 (3) ELIGIBLE ORGANIZATION.—The term “eligi-  
15 ble organization” means an organization that—

16 (A) is described in section 501(e)(3), and  
17 exempt from Federal tax under section 501(a),  
18 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986;

19 (B) is independent;

20 (C) is free from conflicts of interest;

21 (D) does not carry out or advocate for or  
22 against Federal water resources projects; and

23 (E) has experience in establishing and ad-  
24 ministering peer review panels.

1           (4) TOTAL COST.—The term “total cost”, as  
2           used with respect to a water resources project,  
3           means the cost of construction (including planning  
4           and designing) of the project. In the case of a  
5           project for hurricane and storm damage reduction or  
6           flood damage reduction that includes periodic nour-  
7           ishment over the life of the project, the term in-  
8           cludes the total cost of the nourishment.

9 **SEC. 2035. SAFETY ASSURANCE REVIEW.**

10           (a) PROJECTS SUBJECT TO SAFETY ASSURANCE RE-  
11 VIEW.—The Chief of Engineers shall ensure that the de-  
12 sign and construction activities for hurricane and storm  
13 damage reduction and flood damage reduction projects are  
14 reviewed by independent experts under this section if the  
15 Chief of Engineers determines that a review by inde-  
16 pendent experts is necessary to assure public health, safe-  
17 ty, and welfare.

18           (b) FACTORS.—In determining whether a review of  
19 design and construction of a project is necessary under  
20 this section, the Chief of Engineers shall consider wheth-  
21 er—

22           (1) the failure of the project would pose a sig-  
23 nificant threat to human life;

24           (2) the project involves the use of innovative  
25 materials or techniques;

1 (3) the project design lacks redundancy; or

2 (4) the project has a unique construction se-  
3 quencing or a reduced or overlapping design con-  
4 struction schedule.

5 (c) SAFETY ASSURANCE REVIEW.—

6 (1) INITIATION OF REVIEW.—At the appro-  
7 priate point in the development of detailed engineer-  
8 ing and design specifications for each water re-  
9 sources project subject to review under this section,  
10 the Chief of Engineers shall initiate a safety assur-  
11 ance review by independent experts on the design  
12 and construction activities for the project.

13 (2) SELECTION OF REVIEWERS.—A safety as-  
14 surance review under this section shall include par-  
15 ticipation by experts selected by the Chief of Engi-  
16 neers from among individuals who are distinguished  
17 experts in engineering, hydrology, or other appro-  
18 priate disciplines. The Chief of Engineers shall apply  
19 the National Academy of Science's policy for select-  
20 ing reviewers to ensure that reviewers have no con-  
21 flict of interest with the project being reviewed.

22 (3) COMPENSATION.—An individual serving as  
23 an independent reviewer under this section shall be  
24 compensated at a rate of pay to be determined by  
25 the Secretary and shall be allowed travel expenses.

1           (d) SCOPE OF SAFETY ASSURANCE REVIEWS.—A  
2 safety assurance review under this section shall include a  
3 review of the design and construction activities prior to  
4 the initiation of physical construction and periodically  
5 thereafter until construction activities are completed on a  
6 regular schedule sufficient to inform the Chief of Engi-  
7 neers on the adequacy, appropriateness, and acceptability  
8 of the design and construction activities for the purpose  
9 of assuring public health, safety, and welfare. The Chief  
10 of Engineers shall ensure that reviews under this section  
11 do not create any unnecessary delays in design and con-  
12 struction activities.

13           (e) SAFETY ASSURANCE REVIEW RECORD.—The  
14 written recommendations of a reviewer or panel of review-  
15 ers under this section and the responses of the Chief of  
16 Engineers shall be available to the public, including  
17 through electronic means on the Internet.

18           (f) APPLICABILITY.—This section shall apply to any  
19 project in design or under construction on the date of en-  
20 actment of this Act and to any project with respect to  
21 which design or construction is initiated during the period  
22 beginning on the date of enactment of this Act and ending  
23 7 years after such date of enactment.



1 **SEC. 2036. MITIGATION FOR FISH AND WILDLIFE AND WET-**  
2 **LANDS LOSSES.**

3 (a) MITIGATION FOR FISH AND WILDLIFE  
4 LOSSES.—Section 906(d) of the Water Resources Devel-  
5 opment Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2283(d)) is amended—

6 (1) in the first sentence of paragraph (1) by  
7 striking “to the Congress” and inserting “to Con-  
8 gress in any report, and shall not select a project al-  
9 ternative in any report,”;

10 (2) in the second sentence of paragraph (1) by  
11 inserting “, and other habitat types are mitigated to  
12 not less than in-kind conditions” after “mitigated in-  
13 kind”; and

14 (3) by adding at the end the following:

15 “(3) MITIGATION REQUIREMENTS.—

16 “(A) IN GENERAL.—To mitigate losses to  
17 flood damage reduction capabilities and fish  
18 and wildlife resulting from a water resources  
19 project, the Secretary shall ensure that the  
20 mitigation plan for each water resources project  
21 complies with the mitigation standards and  
22 policies established pursuant to the regulatory  
23 programs administered by the Secretary.

24 “(B) INCLUSIONS.—A specific mitigation  
25 plan for a water resources project under para-  
26 graph (1) shall include, at a minimum—

1           “(i) a plan for monitoring the imple-  
2           mentation and ecological success of each  
3           mitigation measure, including the cost and  
4           duration of any monitoring, and, to the ex-  
5           tent practicable, a designation of the enti-  
6           ties that will be responsible for the moni-  
7           toring;

8           “(ii) the criteria for ecological success  
9           by which the mitigation will be evaluated  
10          and determined to be successful based on  
11          replacement of lost functions and values of  
12          the habitat, including hydrologic and vege-  
13          tative characteristics;

14          “(iii) a description of the land and in-  
15          terests in land to be acquired for the miti-  
16          gation plan and the basis for a determina-  
17          tion that the land and interests are avail-  
18          able for acquisition;

19          “(iv) a description of—

20                 “(I) the types and amount of res-  
21                 toration activities to be conducted;

22                 “(II) the physical action to be  
23                 undertaken to achieve the mitigation  
24                 objectives within the watershed in  
25                 which such losses occur and, in any

1 case in which the mitigation will occur  
2 outside the watershed, a detailed ex-  
3 planation for undertaking the mitiga-  
4 tion outside the watershed; and

5 “(III) the functions and values  
6 that will result from the mitigation  
7 plan; and

8 “(v) a contingency plan for taking  
9 corrective actions in cases in which moni-  
10 toring demonstrates that mitigation meas-  
11 ures are not achieving ecological success in  
12 accordance with criteria under clause (ii).

13 “(C) RESPONSIBILITY FOR MONITORING.—  
14 In any case in which it is not practicable to  
15 identify in a mitigation plan for a water re-  
16 sources project the entity responsible for moni-  
17 toring at the time of a final report of the Chief  
18 of Engineers or other final decision document  
19 for the project, such entity shall be identified in  
20 the partnership agreement entered into with the  
21 non-Federal interest under section 221 of Flood  
22 Control Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C. 1962d–5b).

23 “(4) DETERMINATION OF SUCCESS.—

24 “(A) IN GENERAL.—A mitigation plan  
25 under this subsection shall be considered to be

1           successful at the time at which the criteria  
2           under paragraph (3)(B)(ii) are achieved under  
3           the plan, as determined by monitoring under  
4           paragraph (3)(B)(i).

5           “(B) CONSULTATION.—In determining  
6           whether a mitigation plan is successful under  
7           subparagraph (A), the Secretary shall consult  
8           annually with appropriate Federal agencies and  
9           each State in which the applicable project is lo-  
10          cated on at least the following:

11                   “(i) The ecological success of the miti-  
12                   gation as of the date on which the report  
13                   is submitted.

14                   “(ii) The likelihood that the mitiga-  
15                   tion will achieve ecological success, as de-  
16                   fined in the mitigation plan.

17                   “(iii) The projected timeline for  
18                   achieving that success.

19                   “(iv) Any recommendations for im-  
20                   proving the likelihood of success.

21          “(5) MONITORING.—Mitigation monitoring  
22          shall continue until it has been demonstrated that  
23          the mitigation has met the ecological success cri-  
24          teria.”.

25          (b) STATUS REPORT.—

1           (1) IN GENERAL.—Concurrent with the Presi-  
2           dent’s submission to Congress of the President’s re-  
3           quest for appropriations for the Civil Works Pro-  
4           gram for a fiscal year, the Secretary shall submit to  
5           the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure  
6           of the House of Representatives and the Committee  
7           on Environment and Public Works of the Senate a  
8           report on the status of construction of projects that  
9           require mitigation under section 906 of the Water  
10          Resources Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C.  
11          2283), the status of such mitigation, and the results  
12          of the consultation under subsection (d)(4)(B) of  
13          such section.

14          (2) PROJECTS INCLUDED.—The status report  
15          shall include the status of—

16                 (A) all projects that are under construction  
17                 as of the date of the report;

18                 (B) all projects for which the President re-  
19                 quests funding for the next fiscal year; and

20                 (C) all projects that have undergone or  
21                 completed construction, but have not completed  
22                 the mitigation required under section 906 of the  
23                 Water Resources Development Act of 1986.

24          (3) AVAILABILITY OF INFORMATION.—The Sec-  
25          retary shall make information contained in the sta-

1 tus report available to the public, including on the  
2 Internet.

3 (c) WETLANDS MITIGATION.—

4 (1) IN GENERAL.—In carrying out a water re-  
5 sources project that involves wetlands mitigation and  
6 that has impacts that occur within the service area  
7 of a mitigation bank, the Secretary, where appro-  
8 priate, shall first consider the use of the mitigation  
9 bank if the bank contains sufficient available credits  
10 to offset the impact and the bank is approved in ac-  
11 cordance with the Federal Guidance for the Estab-  
12 lishment, Use and Operation of Mitigation Banks  
13 (60 Fed. Reg. 58605) or other applicable Federal  
14 law (including regulations).

15 (2) SERVICE AREA.—To the maximum extent  
16 practicable, the service area of the mitigation bank  
17 under paragraph (1) shall be in the same watershed  
18 as the affected habitat.

19 (3) RESPONSIBILITY FOR MONITORING.—

20 (A) IN GENERAL.—Purchase of credits  
21 from a mitigation bank for a water resources  
22 project relieves the Secretary and the non-Fed-  
23 eral interest from responsibility for monitoring  
24 or demonstrating mitigation success.

1           (B) APPLICABILITY.—The relief of respon-  
2           sibility under subparagraph (A) applies only in  
3           any case in which the Secretary determines that  
4           monitoring of mitigation success is being con-  
5           ducted by the Secretary or by the owner or op-  
6           erator of the mitigation bank.

7 **SEC. 2037. REGIONAL SEDIMENT MANAGEMENT.**

8           (a) IN GENERAL.—Section 204 of the Water Re-  
9           sources Development Act of 1992 (33 U.S.C. 2326) is  
10          amended to read as follows:

11 **“SEC. 204. REGIONAL SEDIMENT MANAGEMENT.**

12          “(a) IN GENERAL.—

13                 “(1) SEDIMENT USE.—For sediment obtained  
14                 through the construction, operation, or maintenance  
15                 of an authorized Federal water resources project,  
16                 the Secretary shall develop, at Federal expense, re-  
17                 gional sediment management plans and carry out  
18                 projects at locations identified in plans developed  
19                 under this section, or identified jointly by the non-  
20                 Federal interest and the Secretary, for use in the  
21                 construction, repair, modification, or rehabilitation  
22                 of projects associated with Federal water resources  
23                 projects for purposes listed in paragraph (3).

24                 “(2) COOPERATION.—The Secretary shall de-  
25                 velop plans under this subsection in cooperation with

1 the appropriate Federal, State, regional, and local  
2 agencies.

3 “(3) PURPOSES FOR SEDIMENT USE IN  
4 PROJECTS.—The purposes of using sediment for the  
5 construction, repair, modification, or rehabilitation  
6 of Federal water resources projects are—

7 “(A) to reduce storm damage to property;

8 “(B) to protect, restore, and create aquatic  
9 and ecologically related habitats, including wet-  
10 lands; and

11 “(C) to transport and place suitable sedi-  
12 ment.

13 “(b) SECRETARIAL FINDINGS.—Subject to sub-  
14 section (c), projects carried out under subsection (a) may  
15 be carried out in any case in which the Secretary finds  
16 that—

17 “(1) the environmental, economic, and social  
18 benefits of the project, both monetary and nonmone-  
19 tary, justify the cost of the project; and

20 “(2) the project will not result in environmental  
21 degradation.

22 “(c) DETERMINATION OF PROJECT COSTS.—

23 “(1) COSTS OF CONSTRUCTION.—

24 “(A) IN GENERAL.—Costs associated with  
25 construction of a project under this section or



1 identified in a regional sediment management  
2 plan shall be limited solely to construction costs  
3 that are in excess of the costs necessary to  
4 carry out the dredging for construction, oper-  
5 ation, or maintenance of an authorized Federal  
6 water resources project in the most cost-effec-  
7 tive way, consistent with economic, engineering,  
8 and environmental criteria.

9 “(B) COST SHARING.—

10 “(i) IN GENERAL.—Except as pro-  
11 vided in clause (ii), the non-Federal share  
12 of the construction cost of a project under  
13 this section shall be determined as pro-  
14 vided in subsections (a) through (d) of sec-  
15 tion 103 of the Water Resources Develop-  
16 ment Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2213).

17 “(ii) SPECIAL RULE.—Construction of  
18 a project under this section for one or  
19 more of the purposes of protection, res-  
20 toration, or creation of aquatic and eco-  
21 logically related habitat, the cost of which  
22 does not exceed \$750,000 and which is lo-  
23 cated in a disadvantaged community as de-  
24 termined by the Secretary, may be carried  
25 out at Federal expense.

1           “(C) TOTAL COST.—The total Federal  
2           costs associated with construction of a project  
3           under this section may not exceed \$5,000,000.

4           “(2) OPERATION, MAINTENANCE, REPLACE-  
5           MENT, AND REHABILITATION COSTS.—Operation,  
6           maintenance, replacement, and rehabilitation costs  
7           associated with a project under this section are the  
8           responsibility of the non-Federal interest.

9           “(d) SELECTION OF DREDGED MATERIAL DISPOSAL  
10          METHOD FOR ENVIRONMENTAL PURPOSES.—

11           “(1) IN GENERAL.—In developing and carrying  
12           out a Federal water resources project involving the  
13           disposal of dredged material, the Secretary may se-  
14           lect, with the consent of the non-Federal interest, a  
15           disposal method that is not the least cost option if  
16           the Secretary determines that the incremental costs  
17           of the disposal method are reasonable in relation to  
18           the environmental benefits, including the benefits to  
19           the aquatic environment to be derived from the cre-  
20           ation of wetlands and control of shoreline erosion.

21           “(2) FEDERAL SHARE.—The Federal share of  
22           such incremental costs shall be determined in ac-  
23           cordance with subsection (c).

24           “(e) STATE AND REGIONAL PLANS.—The Secretary  
25          may—

1           “(1) cooperate with any State in the prepara-  
2           tion of a comprehensive State or regional sediment  
3           management plan within the boundaries of the  
4           State;

5           “(2) encourage State participation in the imple-  
6           mentation of the plan; and

7           “(3) submit to Congress reports and rec-  
8           ommendations with respect to appropriate Federal  
9           participation in carrying out the plan.

10          “(f) PRIORITY AREAS.—In carrying out this section,  
11          the Secretary shall give priority to a regional sediment  
12          management project in the vicinity of each of the fol-  
13          lowing:

14               “(1) Little Rock Slackwater Harbor, Arkansas.

15               “(2) Fletcher Cove, California.

16               “(3) Egmont Key, Florida.

17               “(4) Calcasieu Ship Channel, Louisiana.

18               “(5) Delaware River Estuary, New Jersey and  
19          Pennsylvania.

20               “(6) Fire Island Inlet, Suffolk County, New  
21          York.

22               “(7) Smith Point Park Pavilion and the TWA  
23          Flight 800 Memorial, Brookhaven, New York.

24               “(8) Morehead City, North Carolina.

25               “(9) Toledo Harbor, Lucas County, Ohio.

1 “(10) Galveston Bay, Texas.

2 “(11) Benson Beach, Washington.

3 “(g) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There  
4 is authorized to be appropriated to carry out this section  
5 \$30,000,000 per fiscal year, of which not more than  
6 \$5,000,000 per fiscal year may be used for the develop-  
7 ment of regional sediment management plans authorized  
8 by subsection (e) and of which not more than \$3,000,000  
9 per fiscal year may be used for construction of projects  
10 to which subsection (c)(1)(B)(ii) applies. Such funds shall  
11 remain available until expended.”

12 (b) CONFORMING REPEAL.—

13 (1) IN GENERAL.—Section 145 of the Water  
14 Resources Development Act of 1976 (33 U.S.C.  
15 426j) is repealed.

16 (2) EXISTING PROJECTS.—The Secretary may  
17 complete any project being carried out under section  
18 145 of the Water Resources Development Act of  
19 1976 on the day before the date of enactment of this  
20 Act.

21 **SEC. 2038. NATIONAL SHORELINE EROSION CONTROL DE-**  
22 **VELOPMENT PROGRAM.**

23 (a) IN GENERAL.—Section 3 of the Act entitled “An  
24 Act authorizing Federal participation in the cost of pro-  
25 tecting the shores of publicly owned property”, approved

1 August 13, 1946 (33 U.S.C. 426g), is amended to read  
2 as follows:

3 **“SEC. 3. STORM AND HURRICANE RESTORATION AND IM-**  
4 **PACT MINIMIZATION PROGRAM.**

5 “(a) CONSTRUCTION OF SMALL SHORE AND BEACH  
6 RESTORATION AND PROTECTION PROJECTS.—

7 “(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary may carry  
8 out a program for the construction of small shore  
9 and beach restoration and protection projects not  
10 specifically authorized by Congress that otherwise  
11 comply with the first section of this Act if the Sec-  
12 retary determines that such construction is advis-  
13 able.

14 “(2) LOCAL COOPERATION.—The local coopera-  
15 tion requirement of the first section of this Act shall  
16 apply to a project under this section.

17 “(3) COMPLETENESS.—A project under this  
18 subsection—

19 “(A) shall be complete; and

20 “(B) shall not commit the United States to  
21 any additional improvement to ensure the suc-  
22 cessful operation of the project; except for par-  
23 ticipation in periodic beach nourishment in ac-  
24 cordance with—

25 “(i) the first section of this Act; and

1                   “(ii) the procedure for projects au-  
2                   thorized after submission of a survey re-  
3                   port.

4           “(b) NATIONAL SHORELINE EROSION CONTROL DE-  
5   VELOPMENT AND DEMONSTRATION PROGRAM.—

6           “(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall con-  
7   duct under the program authorized by subsection (a)  
8   a national shoreline erosion control development and  
9   demonstration program (referred to in this section  
10   as the ‘demonstration program’).

11           “(2) REQUIREMENTS.—

12           “(A) IN GENERAL.—The demonstration  
13   program shall include provisions for—

14           “(i) projects consisting of planning,  
15   design, construction, and monitoring of  
16   prototype engineered and native and natu-  
17   ralized vegetative shoreline erosion control  
18   devices and methods;

19           “(ii) monitoring of the applicable pro-  
20   totypes;

21           “(iii) detailed engineering and envi-  
22   ronmental reports on the results of each  
23   project carried out under the demonstraton  
24   program; and

1                   “(iv) technology transfers, as appro-  
2                   priate, to private property owners, State  
3                   and local entities, nonprofit educational in-  
4                   stitutions, and nongovernmental organiza-  
5                   tions.

6                   “(B) DETERMINATION OF FEASIBILITY.—  
7                   A project under the demonstration program  
8                   shall not be carried out until the Secretary de-  
9                   termines that the project is feasible.

10                  “(C) EMPHASIS.—A project under the  
11                  demonstration program shall emphasize, to the  
12                  maximum extent practicable—

13                         “(i) the development and demonstra-  
14                         tion of innovative technologies;

15                         “(ii) efficient designs to prevent ero-  
16                         sion at a shoreline site, taking into account  
17                         the lifecycle cost of the design, including  
18                         cleanup, maintenance, and amortization;

19                         “(iii) new and enhanced shore protec-  
20                         tion project design and project formulation  
21                         tools the purposes of which are to improve  
22                         the physical performance, and lower the  
23                         lifecycle costs, of the projects;

24                         “(iv) natural designs, including the  
25                         use of native and naturalized vegetation or

- 1 temporary structures that minimize perma-  
2 nent structural alterations to the shoreline;  
3 “(v) the avoidance of negative impacts  
4 to adjacent shorefront communities;  
5 “(vi) in areas with substantial resi-  
6 dential or commercial interests located ad-  
7 jacent to the shoreline, designs that do not  
8 impair the aesthetic appeal of the interests;  
9 “(vii) the potential for long-term pro-  
10 tection afforded by the technology; and  
11 “(viii) recommendations developed  
12 from evaluations of the program estab-  
13 lished under the Shoreline Erosion Control  
14 Demonstration Act of 1974 (42 U.S.C.  
15 1962–5 note), including—  
16 “(I) adequate consideration of  
17 the subgrade;  
18 “(II) proper filtration;  
19 “(III) durable components;  
20 “(IV) adequate connection be-  
21 tween units; and  
22 “(V) consideration of additional  
23 relevant information.  
24 “(D) SITES.—



1                   “(i) IN GENERAL.—Each project  
2                   under the demonstration program may be  
3                   carried out at—

4                   “(I) a privately owned site with  
5                   substantial public access; or

6                   “(II) a publicly owned site on  
7                   open coast or in tidal waters.

8                   “(ii) SELECTION.—The Secretary  
9                   shall develop criteria for the selection of  
10                  sites for projects under the demonstration  
11                  program, including criteria based on—

12                  “(I) a variety of geographic and  
13                  climatic conditions;

14                  “(II) the size of the population  
15                  that is dependent on the beaches for  
16                  recreation or the protection of private  
17                  property or public infrastructure;

18                  “(III) the rate of erosion;

19                  “(IV) significant natural re-  
20                  sources or habitats and environ-  
21                  mentally sensitive areas; and

22                  “(V) significant threatened his-  
23                  toric structures or landmarks.

1           “(3) CONSULTATION.—The Secretary shall  
2 carry out the demonstration program in consultation  
3 with—

4           “(A) the Secretary of Agriculture, particu-  
5 larly with respect to native and naturalized veg-  
6 etative means of preventing and controlling  
7 shoreline erosion;

8           “(B) Federal, State, and local agencies;

9           “(C) private organizations;

10           “(D) the Coastal Engineering Research  
11 Center established by the first section of Public  
12 Law 88–172 (33 U.S.C. 426–1); and

13           “(E) applicable university research facili-  
14 ties.

15           “(4) COMPLETION OF DEMONSTRATION.—After  
16 carrying out the initial construction and evaluation  
17 of the performance and cost of a project under the  
18 demonstration program, the Secretary may—

19           “(A) amend, at the request of a non-Fed-  
20 eral interest of the project, the partnership  
21 agreement for a federally authorized shore pro-  
22 tection project in existence on the date on  
23 which initial construction of the project under  
24 the demonstration program is complete to in-  
25 corporate the project constructed under the

1 demonstration program as a feature of the  
2 shore protection project, with the future cost  
3 sharing of the project constructed under the  
4 demonstration program to be determined by the  
5 project purposes of the shore protection project;  
6 or

7 “(B) transfer all interest in and responsi-  
8 bility for the completed project constructed  
9 under the demonstration program to a non-Fed-  
10 eral interest or another Federal agency.

11 “(5) AGREEMENTS.—The Secretary may enter  
12 into a partnership agreement with the non-Federal  
13 interest or a cooperative agreement with the head of  
14 another Federal agency under the demonstration  
15 program—

16 “(A) to share the costs of construction, op-  
17 eration, maintenance, and monitoring of a  
18 project under the demonstration program;

19 “(B) to share the costs of removing the  
20 project, or element of the project if the Sec-  
21 retary determines that the project or element of  
22 the project is detrimental to public or private  
23 property, public infrastructure, or public safety;  
24 or

1           “(C) to specify ownership of the completed  
2           project if the Secretary determines that the  
3           completed project will not be part of a Corps of  
4           Engineers project.

5           “(6) REPORT.—Not later than December 31,  
6           2008, and every 3 years thereafter, the Secretary  
7           shall prepare and submit to the Committee on Envi-  
8           ronment and Public Works of the Senate and the  
9           Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of  
10          the House of Representatives a report describing—

11           “(A) the activities carried out and accom-  
12          plishments made under the demonstration pro-  
13          gram since the previous report under this para-  
14          graph; and

15           “(B) any recommendations of the Sec-  
16          retary relating to the program.

17          “(c) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—

18           “(1) IN GENERAL.—Subject to paragraph (2),  
19          the Secretary may expend, from any appropriations  
20          made available to the Secretary for the purpose of  
21          carrying out civil works, not more than \$30,000,000  
22          during any fiscal year to pay the Federal share of  
23          the costs of construction of small shore and beach  
24          restoration and protection projects or small projects  
25          under this section.

1           “(2) **LIMITATION.**—The total amount expended  
2           for a project under this section shall—

3                   “(A) be sufficient to pay the cost of Fed-  
4           eral participation in the project (including peri-  
5           odic nourishment as provided for under the first  
6           section of this Act), as determined by the Sec-  
7           retary; and

8                   “(B) be not more than \$5,000,000.”.

9           (b) **REPEAL.**—Section 5 the Act entitled “An Act au-  
10          thorizing Federal participation in the cost of protecting  
11          the shores of publicly owned property”, approved August  
12          13, 1946 (33 U.S.C. 426h), is repealed.

13          **SEC. 2039. MONITORING ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION.**

14          (a) **IN GENERAL.**—In conducting a feasibility study  
15          for a project (or a component of a project) for ecosystem  
16          restoration, the Secretary shall ensure that the rec-  
17          ommended project includes, as an integral part of the  
18          project, a plan for monitoring the success of the ecosystem  
19          restoration.

20          (b) **MONITORING PLAN.**—The monitoring plan  
21          shall—

22                   (1) include a description of the monitoring ac-  
23          tivities to be carried out, the criteria for ecosystem  
24          restoration success, and the estimated cost and du-  
25          ration of the monitoring; and

1           (2) specify that the monitoring shall continue  
2           until such time as the Secretary determines that the  
3           criteria for ecosystem restoration success will be  
4           met.

5           (c) **COST SHARE.**—For a period of 10 years from  
6           completion of construction of a project (or a component  
7           of a project) for ecosystem restoration, the Secretary shall  
8           consider the cost of carrying out the monitoring as a  
9           project cost. If the monitoring plan under subsection (b)  
10          requires monitoring beyond the 10-year period, the cost  
11          of monitoring shall be a non-Federal responsibility.

12   **SEC. 2040. ELECTRONIC SUBMISSION OF PERMIT APPLICA-**  
13                           **TIONS.**

14          (a) **IN GENERAL.**—Not later than 2 years after the  
15          date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall imple-  
16          ment a program to allow electronic submission of permit  
17          applications for permits under the jurisdiction of the Sec-  
18          retary.

19          (b) **LIMITATIONS.**—This section does not preclude  
20          the submission of a physical copy.

21          (c) **AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.**—There is  
22          authorized to be appropriated to carry out this section  
23          \$3,000,000.

1 **SEC. 2041. PROJECT ADMINISTRATION.**

2 (a) **PROJECT TRACKING.**—The Secretary shall assign  
3 a unique tracking number to each water resources project  
4 under the jurisdiction of the Secretary to be used by each  
5 Federal agency throughout the life of the project.

6 (b) **REPORT REPOSITORY.**—

7 (1) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary shall provide  
8 to the Library of Congress a copy of each final feasi-  
9 bility study, final environmental impact statement,  
10 final reevaluation report, record of decision, and re-  
11 port to Congress prepared by the Corps of Engi-  
12 neers.

13 (2) **AVAILABILITY TO PUBLIC.**—Each document  
14 described in paragraph (1) shall be made available  
15 to the public, and an electronic copy of each docu-  
16 ment shall be made permanently available to the  
17 public through the Internet.

18 **SEC. 2042. PROGRAM ADMINISTRATION.**

19 Sections 101, 106, and 108 of the Energy and Water  
20 Development Appropriations Act, 2006 (Public Law 109–  
21 103; 119 Stat. 2252–2254), are repealed.

22 **SEC. 2043. STUDIES AND REPORTS FOR WATER RESOURCES**  
23 **PROJECTS.**

24 (a) **STUDIES.**—

25 (1) **COST-SHARING REQUIREMENTS.**—Section  
26 105(a) of the Water Resources Development Act of

1 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2215(a)) is amended by adding at  
2 the end the following:

3 “(3) DETAILED PROJECT REPORTS.—The re-  
4 quirements of this subsection that apply to a feasi-  
5 bility study also shall apply to a study that results  
6 in a detailed project report, except that—

7 “(A) the first \$100,000 of the costs of a  
8 study that results in a detailed project report  
9 shall be a Federal expense; and

10 “(B) paragraph (1)(C)(ii) shall not apply  
11 to such a study.”.

12 (2) PLANNING AND ENGINEERING.—Section  
13 105(b) of such Act (33 U.S.C. 2215(b)) is amended  
14 by striking “authorized by this Act”.

15 (3) DEFINITIONS.—Section 105 of such Act (33  
16 U.S.C. 2215) is amended by adding at the end the  
17 following:

18 “(d) DEFINITIONS.—In this section, the following  
19 definitions apply:

20 “(1) DETAILED PROJECT REPORT.—The term  
21 ‘detailed project report’ means a report for a project  
22 not specifically authorized by Congress in law or  
23 otherwise that determines the feasibility of the  
24 project with a level of detail appropriate to the scope  
25 and complexity of the recommended solution and



1 sufficient to proceed directly to the preparation of  
2 contract plans and specifications. The term includes  
3 any associated environmental impact statement and  
4 mitigation plan. For a project for which the Federal  
5 cost does not exceed \$1,000,000, the term includes  
6 a planning and design analysis document.

7 “(2) FEASIBILITY STUDY.—The term ‘feasi-  
8 bility study’ means a study that results in a feasi-  
9 bility report under section 905, and any associated  
10 environmental impact statement and mitigation  
11 plan, prepared by the Corps of Engineers for a  
12 water resources project. The term includes a study  
13 that results in a project implementation report pre-  
14 pared under title VI of the Water Resources Devel-  
15 opment Act of 2000 (114 Stat. 2680–2694), a gen-  
16 eral reevaluation report, and a limited reevaluation  
17 report.”.

18 (b) REPORTS.—

19 (1) PREPARATION.—Section 905(a) of the  
20 Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (33  
21 U.S.C. 2282(a)) is amended—

22 (A) by striking “(a) In the case of any”  
23 and inserting the following:

24 “(a) PREPARATION OF REPORTS.—

25 “(1) IN GENERAL.—In the case of any”;

1 (B) by striking “the Secretary, the Sec-  
2 retary shall” and inserting “the Secretary that  
3 results in recommendations concerning a  
4 project or the operation of a project and that  
5 requires specific authorization by Congress in  
6 law or otherwise, the Secretary shall perform a  
7 reconnaissance study and”;

8 (C) by striking “Such feasibility report”  
9 and inserting the following:

10 “(2) CONTENTS OF FEASIBILITY REPORTS.—A  
11 feasibility report”;

12 (D) by striking “The feasibility report”  
13 and inserting “A feasibility report”; and

14 (E) by striking the last sentence and in-  
15 serting the following:

16 “(3) APPLICABILITY.—This subsection shall not  
17 apply to—

18 “(A) any study with respect to which a re-  
19 port has been submitted to Congress before the  
20 date of enactment of this Act;

21 “(B) any study for a project, which project  
22 is authorized for construction by this Act and  
23 is not subject to section 903(b);

1           “(C) any study for a project which does  
2           not require specific authorization by Congress  
3           in law or otherwise; and

4           “(D) general studies not intended to lead  
5           to recommendation of a specific water resources  
6           project.

7           “(4) FEASIBILITY REPORT DEFINED.—In this  
8           subsection, the term ‘feasibility report’ means each  
9           feasibility report, and any associated environmental  
10          impact statement and mitigation plan, prepared by  
11          the Corps of Engineers for a water resources  
12          project. The term includes a project implementation  
13          report prepared under title VI of the Water Re-  
14          sources Development Act of 2000 (114 Stat. 2680–  
15          2694), a general reevaluation report, and a limited  
16          reevaluation report.”.

17          (2) PROJECTS NOT SPECIFICALLY AUTHORIZED  
18          BY CONGRESS.—Section 905 of such Act is further  
19          amended—

20                 (A) in subsection (b) by inserting “RECON-  
21                 NAISSANCE STUDIES.—” before “Before initi-  
22                 ating”;

23                 (B) by redesignating subsections (c), (d),  
24                 and (e) as subsections (d), (e), and (f), respec-  
25                 tively;

1 (C) by inserting after subsection (b) the  
2 following:

3 “(c) PROJECTS NOT SPECIFICALLY AUTHORIZED BY  
4 CONGRESS.—In the case of any water resources project-  
5 related study authorized to be undertaken by the Sec-  
6 retary without specific authorization by Congress in law  
7 or otherwise, the Secretary shall prepare a detailed project  
8 report.”;

9 (D) in subsection (d) (as so redesignated)  
10 by inserting “INDIAN TRIBES.—” before “For  
11 purposes of”; and

12 (E) in subsection (e) (as so redesignated)  
13 by inserting “STANDARD AND UNIFORM PRO-  
14 CEDURES AND PRACTICES.—” before “The Sec-  
15 retary shall”.

16 **SEC. 2044. COORDINATION AND SCHEDULING OF FEDERAL,**  
17 **STATE, AND LOCAL ACTIONS.**

18 (a) NOTICE OF INTENT.—Upon request of the non-  
19 Federal interest in the form of a written notice of intent  
20 to construct or modify a non-Federal water supply, waste-  
21 water infrastructure, flood damage reduction, storm dam-  
22 age reduction, ecosystem restoration, or navigation project  
23 that requires the approval of the Secretary, the Secretary  
24 shall initiate, subject to subsection (c), procedures to es-  
25 tablish a schedule for consolidating Federal, State, and

1 local agency and Indian tribe environmental assessments,  
2 project reviews, and issuance of all permits for the con-  
3 struction or modification of the project. All States and In-  
4 dian tribes having jurisdiction over the proposed project  
5 shall be invited by the Secretary, but shall not be required,  
6 to participate in carrying out this section with respect to  
7 the project.

8 (b) COORDINATION.—The Secretary shall seek, to the  
9 extent practicable, to consolidate hearing and comment pe-  
10 riods, procedures for data collection and report prepara-  
11 tion, and the environmental review and permitting proc-  
12 esses associated with the project and related activities.  
13 The Secretary shall notify, to the extent possible, the non-  
14 Federal interest of its responsibilities for data develop-  
15 ment and information that may be necessary to process  
16 each permit required for the project, including a schedule  
17 when the information and data should be provided to the  
18 appropriate Federal, State, or local agency or Indian tribe.

19 (c) COSTS OF COORDINATION.—The costs incurred  
20 by the Secretary to establish and carry out a schedule to  
21 consolidate Federal, State, and local agency and Indian  
22 tribe environmental assessments, project reviews, and per-  
23 mit issuance for a project under this section shall be paid  
24 by the non-Federal interest.

1 (d) REPORT ON TIMESAVINGS METHODS.—Not later  
2 than 3 years after the date of enactment of this section,  
3 the Secretary shall prepare and transmit to Congress a  
4 report estimating the time required for the issuance of all  
5 Federal, State, local, and tribal permits for the construc-  
6 tion of non-Federal projects for water supply, wastewater  
7 infrastructure, flood damage reduction, storm damage re-  
8 duction, ecosystem restoration, and navigation.

9 **SEC. 2045. PROJECT STREAMLINING.**

10 (a) POLICY.—The benefits of water resources  
11 projects are important to the Nation's economy and envi-  
12 ronment, and recommendations to Congress regarding  
13 such projects should not be delayed due to uncoordinated  
14 or inefficient reviews or the failure to timely resolve dis-  
15 putes during the development of water resources projects.

16 (b) SCOPE.—This section shall apply to each study  
17 initiated after the date of enactment of this Act to develop  
18 a feasibility report under section 905 of the Water Re-  
19 sources Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2282), or  
20 a reevaluation report, for a water resources project if the  
21 Secretary determines that such study requires an environ-  
22 mental impact statement under the National Environ-  
23 mental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.).

24 (c) WATER RESOURCES PROJECT REVIEW PROC-  
25 ESS.—The Secretary shall develop and implement a co-

1   ordinated review process for the development of water re-  
2   sources projects.

3       (d) COORDINATED REVIEWS.—The coordinated re-  
4   view process under this section may provide that all re-  
5   views, analyses, opinions, permits, licenses, and approvals  
6   that must be issued or made by a Federal, State, or local  
7   government agency or Indian tribe for the development of  
8   a water resources project described in subsection (b) will  
9   be conducted, to the maximum extent practicable, concu-  
10   rently and completed within a time period established by  
11   the Secretary in cooperation with the agencies identified  
12   under subsection (e) with respect to the project.

13       (e) IDENTIFICATION OF JURISDICTIONAL AGEN-  
14   CIES.—With respect to the development of each water re-  
15   sources project, the Secretary shall identify, as soon as  
16   practicable, all Federal, State, and local government agen-  
17   cies and Indian tribes that may—

- 18           (1) have jurisdiction over the project;
- 19           (2) be required by law to conduct or issue a re-  
20   view, analysis, or opinion for the project; or
- 21           (3) be required to make a determination on  
22   issuing a permit, license, or approval for the project.

23       (f) STATE AUTHORITY.—If the coordinated review  
24   process is being implemented under this section by the  
25   Secretary with respect to the development of a water re-

1 sources project described in subsection (b) within the  
2 boundaries of a State, the State, consistent with State law,  
3 may choose to participate in the process and to make sub-  
4 ject to the process all State agencies that—

5 (1) have jurisdiction over the project;

6 (2) are required to conduct or issue a review,  
7 analysis, or opinion for the project; or

8 (3) are required to make a determination on  
9 issuing a permit, license, or approval for the project.

10 (g) MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING.—The co-  
11 ordinated review process developed under this section may  
12 be incorporated into a memorandum of understanding for  
13 a water resources project between the Secretary, the heads  
14 of Federal, State, and local government agencies, Indian  
15 tribes identified under subsection (e), and the non-Federal  
16 interest for the project.

17 (h) EFFECT OF FAILURE TO MEET DEADLINE.—

18 (1) NOTIFICATION.—If the Secretary deter-  
19 mines that a Federal, State, or local government  
20 agency, Indian tribe, or non-Federal interest that is  
21 participating in the coordinated review process under  
22 this section with respect to the development of a  
23 water resources project has not met a deadline es-  
24 tablished under subsection (d) for the project, the  
25 Secretary shall notify, within 30 days of the date of



1 such determination, the agency, Indian tribe, or non-  
2 Federal interest about the failure to meet the dead-  
3 line.

4 (2) AGENCY REPORT.—Not later than 30 days  
5 after the date of receipt of a notice under paragraph  
6 (1), the Federal, State, or local government agency,  
7 Indian tribe, or non-Federal interest involved may  
8 submit a report to the Secretary, explaining why the  
9 agency, Indian tribe, or non-Federal interest did not  
10 meet the deadline and what actions it intends to  
11 take to complete or issue the required review, anal-  
12 ysis, or opinion or determination on issuing a per-  
13 mit, license, or approval.

14 (3) REPORT TO CONGRESS.—Not later than 30  
15 days after the date of receipt of a report under para-  
16 graph (2), the Secretary shall compile and submit a  
17 report to the Committee on Transportation and In-  
18 frastructure of the House of Representatives, the  
19 Committee on Environment and Public Works of the  
20 Senate, and the Council on Environmental Quality,  
21 describing any deadlines identified in paragraph (1),  
22 and any information provided to the Secretary by  
23 the Federal, State, or local government agency, In-  
24 dian tribe, or non-Federal interest involved under  
25 paragraph (2).

1 (i) LIMITATIONS.—Nothing in this section shall pre-  
2 empt or interfere with—

3 (1) any statutory requirement for seeking pub-  
4 lic comment;

5 (2) any power, jurisdiction, or authority that a  
6 Federal, State, or local government agency, Indian  
7 tribe, or non-Federal interest has with respect to  
8 carrying out a water resources project; or

9 (3) any obligation to comply with the provisions  
10 of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969  
11 and the regulations issued by the Council on Envi-  
12 ronmental Quality to carry out such Act.

13 **SEC. 2046. PROJECT DEAUTHORIZATION.**

14 Section 1001(b)(2) of the Water Resources Develop-  
15 ment Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 579a(b)(2)) is amended—

16 (1) in the first sentence—

17 (A) by striking “two years” and inserting  
18 “year”; and

19 (B) by striking “7” and inserting “5”;

20 (2) in the last sentence by striking “30 months  
21 after the date” and inserting “the last date of the  
22 fiscal year following the fiscal year in which”; and

23 (3) in the last sentence by striking “such 30  
24 month period” and inserting “such period”.

1 **SEC. 2047. FEDERAL HOPPER DREDGES.**

2 (a) HOPPER DREDGE MCFARLAND.—Section 563 of  
3 the Water Resources Development Act of 1996 (110 Stat.  
4 3784) is amended to read as follows:

5 **“SEC. 563. HOPPER DREDGE MCFARLAND.**

6 “(a) PLACEMENT IN READY RESERVE STATUS.—Not  
7 before October 1, 2009, and not after December 31, 2009,  
8 the Secretary shall—

9 “(1) place the Federal hopper dredge McFar-  
10 land (referred to in this section as the ‘vessel’) in a  
11 ready reserve status; and

12 “(2) use the vessel solely for urgent and emer-  
13 gency purposes in accordance with existing emer-  
14 gency response protocols.

15 “(b) ROUTINE TESTS AND MAINTENANCE.—

16 “(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall peri-  
17 odically perform routine underway dredging tests of  
18 the equipment (not to exceed 70 days per year) of  
19 the vessel in a ready reserve status to ensure the  
20 ability of the vessel to perform urgent and emer-  
21 gency work.

22 “(2) MAINTENANCE.—The Secretary—

23 “(A) shall not assign any scheduled hopper  
24 dredging work to the vessel other than dredging  
25 tests in the Delaware River and Bay; but

1           “(B) shall perform any repairs, including  
2           any asbestos abatement, necessary to maintain  
3           the vessel in a ready reserve fully operational  
4           condition.

5           “(c) ACTIVE STATUS FOR DREDGING.—The Sec-  
6           retary, in consultation with affected stakeholders, shall  
7           place the vessel in active status in order to perform dredg-  
8           ing work if the Secretary determines that private industry  
9           has failed—

10           “(1) to submit a responsive and responsible bid  
11           for work advertised by the Secretary; or

12           “(2) to carry out a project as required pursuant  
13           to a contract between the industry and the Sec-  
14           retary.”.

15           (b) HOPPER DREDGES ESSAYONS AND YAQUINA.—  
16           Section 3(c)(7)(B) of the Act of August 11, 1888 (33  
17           U.S.C. 622; 25 Stat. 423), is amended by adding at the  
18           end the following: “This subparagraph shall not apply to  
19           the Federal hopper dredges Essayons and Yaquina of the  
20           Corps of Engineers.”.

1       **TITLE III—PROJECT-RELATED**  
2                                   **PROVISIONS**

3       **SEC. 3001. BLACK WARRIOR-TOMBIGBEE RIVERS, ALABAMA.**

4           Section 111 of title I of division C of the Consolidated  
5 Appropriations Act, 2005 (118 Stat. 2944) is amended to  
6 read as follows:

7       **“SEC. 111. BLACK WARRIOR-TOMBIGBEE RIVERS, ALABAMA.**

8           “(a) CONSTRUCTION OF NEW FACILITIES.—

9                   “(1) DEFINITIONS.—In this subsection, the fol-  
10           lowing definitions apply:

11                           “(A) EXISTING FACILITY.—The term ‘ex-  
12           isting facility’ means the administrative and  
13           maintenance facility for the project for Black  
14           Warrior-Tombigbee Rivers, Alabama, author-  
15           ized by the first section of the River and Har-  
16           bor Appropriations Act of July 5, 1884 (24  
17           Stat. 141), in existence on the date of enact-  
18           ment of the Water Resources Development Act  
19           of 2007.

20                           “(B) PARCEL.—The term ‘Parcel’ means  
21           the land owned by the Corps of Engineers serv-  
22           ing as the operations and maintenance facility  
23           of the Corps of Engineers in the city of Tusca-  
24           loosa, Alabama, in existence on the date of en-

1 actment of the Water Resources Development  
2 Act of 2007.

3 “(2) AUTHORIZATION.—In carrying out the  
4 project for Black Warrior-Tombigbee Rivers, Ala-  
5 bama, the Secretary is authorized, at Federal ex-  
6 pense—

7 “(A) to purchase land on which the Sec-  
8 retary may construct a new maintenance facility  
9 for the project, to be located—

10 “(i) at a different location from the  
11 existing facility; and

12 “(ii) in the vicinity of the city of Tus-  
13 caloosa, Alabama;

14 “(B) at any time during or after the com-  
15 pletion of (and relocation to) the new mainte-  
16 nance facility, to demolish the existing facility;  
17 and

18 “(C) to construct on the Parcel a new ad-  
19 ministrative facility for the project.

20 “(b) ACQUISITION AND DISPOSITION OF PROP-  
21 erty.—The Secretary—

22 “(1) may acquire any real property necessary  
23 for the construction of the new maintenance facility  
24 under subsection (a)(2)(A); and

1           “(2) shall convey to the city of Tuscaloosa fee  
2 simple title in and to any portion of the Parcel not  
3 required for construction of the new administrative  
4 facility under subsection (a)(2)(C) through—

5                   “(A) sale at fair market value;

6                   “(B) exchange for city of Tuscaloosa  
7 owned land on an acre-for-acre basis; or

8                   “(C) any combination of a sale under sub-  
9 paragraph (A) and an exchange under subpara-  
10 graph (B).

11           “(c) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There  
12 is authorized to be appropriated to carry out this section  
13 \$32,000,000.”.

14 **SEC. 3002. COOK INLET, ALASKA.**

15           Section 118(a)(3) of the Energy and Water Develop-  
16 ment Appropriations Act, 2005 (title I of division C of  
17 the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2005; 118 Stat.  
18 2945) is amended by inserting “as part of the operation  
19 and maintenance of such project modification” after “by  
20 the Secretary”.

21 **SEC. 3003. KING COVE HARBOR, ALASKA.**

22           The maximum amount of Federal funds that may be  
23 expended for the project for navigation, King Cove Har-  
24 bor, Alaska, being carried out under section 107 of the

1 River and Harbor Act of 1960 (33 U.S.C. 577), shall be  
2 \$8,000,000.

3 **SEC. 3004. SEWARD HARBOR, ALASKA.**

4 The project for navigation, Seward Harbor, Alaska,  
5 authorized by section 101(a)(3) of the Water Resources  
6 Development Act of 1999 (113 Stat. 274), is modified to  
7 authorize the Secretary to extend the existing breakwater  
8 by approximately 215 feet, at a total cost of \$3,333,000,  
9 with an estimated Federal cost of \$2,666,000 and an esti-  
10 mated non-Federal cost of \$667,000.

11 **SEC. 3005. SITKA, ALASKA.**

12 The Sitka, Alaska, element of the project for naviga-  
13 tion, Southeast Alaska Harbors of Refuge, Alaska, author-  
14 ized by section 101(1) of the Water Resources Develop-  
15 ment Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4801), is modified to direct  
16 the Secretary to take such action as is necessary to correct  
17 design deficiencies in the Sitka Harbor Breakwater at  
18 Federal expense. The estimated cost is \$6,300,000.

19 **SEC. 3006. TATITLEK, ALASKA.**

20 The maximum amount of Federal funds that may be  
21 expended for the project for navigation, Tatitlek, Alaska,  
22 being carried out under section 107 of the River and Har-  
23 bor Act of 1960 (33 U.S.C. 577), shall be \$10,000,000.



1 **SEC. 3007. RIO DE FLAG, FLAGSTAFF, ARIZONA.**

2 The project for flood damage reduction, Rio De Flag,  
3 Flagstaff, Arizona, authorized by section 101(b)(3) of the  
4 Water Resources Development Act of 2000 (114 Stat.  
5 2576), is modified to authorize the Secretary to construct  
6 the project at a total cost of \$54,100,000, with an esti-  
7 mated Federal cost of \$35,000,000 and a non-Federal cost  
8 of \$19,100,000.

9 **SEC. 3008. NOGALES WASH AND TRIBUTARIES FLOOD CON-**  
10 **TROL PROJECT, ARIZONA.**

11 The project for flood control, Nogales Wash and trib-  
12 utaries, Arizona, authorized by section 101(a)(4) of the  
13 Water Resources Development Act of 1990 (104 Stat.  
14 4606) and modified by section 303 of the Water Resources  
15 Development Act of 1996 (110 Stat. 3711) and section  
16 302 of the Water Resources Development Act of 2000  
17 (114 Stat. 2600), is modified to authorize the Secretary  
18 to construct the project at a total cost of \$25,410,000,  
19 with an estimated Federal cost of \$22,930,000 and an es-  
20 timated non-Federal cost of \$2,480,000.

21 **SEC. 3009. TUCSON DRAINAGE AREA, ARIZONA.**

22 The project for flood damage reduction, environ-  
23 mental restoration, and recreation, Tucson drainage area,  
24 Arizona, authorized by section 101(a)(5) of the Water Re-  
25 sources Development Act of 1999 (113 Stat. 274), is  
26 modified to authorize the Secretary to construct the

1 project at a total cost of \$66,700,000, with an estimated  
2 Federal cost of \$43,350,000 and an estimated non-Fed-  
3 eral cost of \$23,350,000.

4 **SEC. 3010. OSCEOLA HARBOR, ARKANSAS.**

5 (a) IN GENERAL.—The project for navigation, Osce-  
6 ola Harbor, Arkansas, constructed under section 107 of  
7 the River and Harbor Act of 1960 (33 U.S.C. 577), is  
8 modified to allow non-Federal interests to construct a  
9 mooring facility within the existing authorized harbor  
10 channel, subject to all necessary permits, certifications,  
11 and other requirements.

12 (b) LIMITATION ON STATUTORY CONSTRUCTION.—  
13 Nothing in this section shall be construed as affecting the  
14 responsibility of the Secretary to maintain the general  
15 navigation features of the project at a bottom width of  
16 250 feet.

17 **SEC. 3011. ST. FRANCIS RIVER BASIN, ARKANSAS AND MIS-**  
18 **SOURI.**

19 The project for flood control, St. Francis River  
20 Basin, Arkansas and Missouri, authorized by the Act of  
21 June 15, 1936 (49 Stat. 1508), is modified to authorize  
22 the Secretary to undertake channel stabilization and sedi-  
23 ment removal measures on the St. Francis River and trib-  
24 utaries as a nonseparable element of the original project.

1 **SEC. 3012. PINE MOUNTAIN DAM, ARKANSAS.**

2 The Pine Mountain Dam feature of the project for  
3 flood protection, Lee Creek, Arkansas and Oklahoma, au-  
4 thorized by section 204 of the Flood Control Act of 1965  
5 (79 Stat. 1078), is modified—

6 (1) to add environmental restoration as a  
7 project purpose; and

8 (2) to direct the Secretary to finance the non-  
9 Federal share of the cost of the project, including  
10 treatment and distributions components, over a 30-  
11 year period in accordance with section 103(k) of the  
12 Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (33  
13 U.S.C. 2213(k)).

14 **SEC. 3013. RED-OUACHITA RIVER BASIN LEVEES, ARKAN-**  
15 **SAS AND LOUISIANA.**

16 (a) IN GENERAL.—Section 204 of the Flood Control  
17 Act of 1950 (64 Stat. 173) is amended in the matter  
18 under the heading “RED-OUACHITA RIVER BASIN” by  
19 striking “improvements at Calion, Arkansas” and insert-  
20 ing “improvements at Calion, Arkansas (including author-  
21 ization for the comprehensive flood-control project for  
22 Ouachita River and tributaries, incorporating in the  
23 project all flood control, drainage, and power improve-  
24 ments in the basin above the lower end of the left bank  
25 Ouachita River levee)”.

1 (b) MODIFICATION.—Section 3 of the Flood Control  
2 Act of August 18, 1941 (55 Stat. 642), is amended in  
3 the second sentence of subsection (a) in the matter under  
4 the heading “LOWER MISSISSIPPI RIVER” by inserting be-  
5 fore the period at the end the following: “; except that  
6 the Ouachita River Levees, Louisiana, authorized by the  
7 first section of the Mississippi River Flood Control Act  
8 of May 15, 1928 (45 Stat. 534), shall remain as a compo-  
9 nent of the Mississippi River and Tributaries Project and  
10 afforded operation and maintenance responsibilities as  
11 provided under section 3 of that Act (45 Stat. 535)”.

12 **SEC. 3014. CACHE CREEK BASIN, CALIFORNIA.**

13 (a) IN GENERAL.—The project for flood control,  
14 Cache Creek Basin, California, authorized by section  
15 401(a) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986  
16 (100 Stat. 4112), is modified to direct the Secretary to  
17 mitigate the impacts of the new south levee of the Cache  
18 Creek settling basin on the storm drainage system of the  
19 city of Woodland, including all appurtenant features, ero-  
20 sion control measures, and environmental protection fea-  
21 tures.

22 (b) OBJECTIVES.—Mitigation under subsection (a)  
23 shall restore the preproject capacity of the city of Wood-  
24 land to release 1,360 cubic feet per second of water to  
25 the Yolo Bypass and shall include—

- 1 (1) channel improvements;
- 2 (2) an outlet work through the west levee of the
- 3 Yolo Bypass; and
- 4 (3) a new low flow cross channel to handle city
- 5 and county storm drainage and settling basin flows
- 6 (1,760 cubic feet per second) when the Yolo Bypass
- 7 is in a low flow condition.

8 **SEC. 3015. CALFED STABILITY PROGRAM, CALIFORNIA.**

9 (a) **AMENDMENTS.**—Section 103(f)(3) of the Water  
10 Supply, Reliability, and Environmental Improvement Act  
11 (118 Stat. 1695–1696) is amended—

- 12 (1) in subparagraph (A) by striking “within the
- 13 Delta (as defined in Cal. Water Code §12220)”;
- 14 (2) by striking subparagraph (C) and inserting
- 15 the following:

16 “(C) **JUSTIFICATION.**—

17 “(i) **IN GENERAL.**—Notwithstanding

18 section 209 of the Flood Control Act of

19 1970 (42 U.S.C. 1962–2), in carrying out

20 levee stability programs and projects pur-

21 suant to this paragraph, the Secretary of

22 the Army may determine that the pro-

23 grams and projects are justified by the

24 benefits of the project purposes described

25 in subparagraph (A), and the programs

1 and projects shall require no additional  
2 economic justification if the Secretary of  
3 the Army further determines that the pro-  
4 grams and projects are cost effective.

5 “(ii) APPLICABILITY.—Clause (i) shall  
6 not apply to any separable element in-  
7 tended to produce benefits that are pre-  
8 dominantly unrelated to the project pur-  
9 poses described in subparagraph (A).”; and  
10 (3) in subparagraph (D)(i) by inserting “as de-  
11 scribed in the Record of Decision” after “Public  
12 Law 84–99 standard”).

13 (b) ADDITIONAL AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIA-  
14 TIONS.—In addition to funds made available pursuant to  
15 the Water Supply, Reliability, and Environmental Im-  
16 provement Act (Public Law 108–361) to carry out section  
17 103(f)(3)(D) of that Act (118 Stat. 1696), there is au-  
18 thorized to be appropriated to carry out projects described  
19 in that section \$106,000,000, to remain available until ex-  
20 pended.

21 **SEC. 3016. COMPTON CREEK, CALIFORNIA.**

22 The project for flood control, Los Angeles Drainage  
23 Area, California, authorized by section 101(b) of the  
24 Water Resources Development Act of 1990 (104 Stat.

1 4611), is modified to add environmental restoration and  
2 recreation as project purposes.

3 **SEC. 3017. GRAYSON CREEK/MURDERER'S CREEK, CALI-**  
4 **FORNIA.**

5 The project for aquatic ecosystem restoration, Gray-  
6 son Creek/Murderer's Creek, California, being carried out  
7 under section 206 of the Water Resources Development  
8 Act of 1996 (33 U.S.C. 2330), is modified—

9 (1) to direct the Secretary to credit, in accord-  
10 ance with section 221 of the Flood Control Act of  
11 1970 (42 U.S.C. 1962d-5b), toward the non-Federal  
12 share of the cost of the project the cost of work car-  
13 ried out by the non-Federal interest for the project  
14 before the date of the partnership agreement for the  
15 project; and

16 (2) to authorize the Secretary to consider na-  
17 tional ecosystem restoration benefits in determining  
18 the Federal interest in the project.

19 **SEC. 3018. HAMILTON AIRFIELD, CALIFORNIA.**

20 The project for environmental restoration, Hamilton  
21 Airfield, California, authorized by section 101(b)(3) of the  
22 Water Resources Development Act of 1999 (113 Stat.  
23 279), is modified to direct the Secretary to construct the  
24 project substantially in accordance with the report of the  
25 Chief of Engineers dated July 19, 2004, at a total cost

1 of \$228,100,000, with an estimated Federal cost of  
2 \$171,100,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost of  
3 \$57,000,000.

4 **SEC. 3019. JOHN F. BALDWIN SHIP CHANNEL AND STOCK-**  
5 **TON SHIP CHANNEL, CALIFORNIA.**

6 The project for navigation, San Francisco to Stock-  
7 ton, California, authorized by section 301 of the River and  
8 Harbor Act of 1965 (79 Stat. 1091) is modified—

9 (1) to provide that the non-Federal share of the  
10 cost of the John F. Baldwin Ship Channel and  
11 Stockton Ship Channel element of the project may  
12 be provided in the form of in-kind services and ma-  
13 terials; and

14 (2) to direct the Secretary to credit, in accord-  
15 ance with section 221 of the Flood Control Act of  
16 1970 (42 U.S.C. 1962d-5b), toward the non-Federal  
17 share of the cost of such element the cost of plan-  
18 ning and design work carried out by the non-Federal  
19 interest for such element before the date of an  
20 agreement for such planning and design.

21 **SEC. 3020. KAWEAH RIVER, CALIFORNIA.**

22 The project for flood control, Terminus Dam,  
23 Kaweah River, California, authorized by section 101(b)(5)  
24 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1996 (110  
25 Stat. 3658), is modified to direct the Secretary to credit,



1 in accordance with section 221 of the Flood Control Act  
2 of 1970 (42 U.S.C. 1962d-5b), toward the non-Federal  
3 share of the cost of the project, or provide reimbursement  
4 not to exceed \$800,000, for the costs of any work carried  
5 out by the non-Federal interest for the project before the  
6 date of the project partnership agreement.

7 **SEC. 3021. LARKSPUR FERRY CHANNEL, LARKSPUR, CALI-**  
8 **FORNIA.**

9 The project for navigation, Larkspur Ferry Channel,  
10 Larkspur, California, authorized by section 601(d) of the  
11 Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (100 Stat.  
12 4148), is modified to direct the Secretary to determine  
13 whether maintenance of the project is feasible, and if the  
14 Secretary determines that maintenance of the project is  
15 feasible, to carry out such maintenance.

16 **SEC. 3022. LLAGAS CREEK, CALIFORNIA.**

17 (a) IN GENERAL.—The project for flood damage re-  
18 duction, Llagas Creek, California, authorized by section  
19 501(a) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1999  
20 (113 Stat. 333), is modified to direct the Secretary to  
21 carry out the project at a total cost of \$105,000,000, with  
22 an estimated Federal cost of \$65,000,000 and an esti-  
23 mated non-Federal cost of \$40,000,000.

24 (b) SPECIAL RULE.—In evaluating and implementing  
25 the project, the Secretary shall allow the non-Federal in-

1 terest to participate in the financing of the project in ac-  
2 cordance with section 903(e) of the Water Resources De-  
3 velopment Act of 1986 (100 Stat. 4184) if the detailed  
4 project report evaluation indicates that applying such sec-  
5 tion is necessary to implement the project.

6 **SEC. 3023. MAGPIE CREEK, CALIFORNIA.**

7 (a) IN GENERAL.—The project for Magpie Creek,  
8 California, authorized under section 205 of the Flood Con-  
9 trol Act of 1948 (33 U.S.C. 701s), is modified to direct  
10 the Secretary to apply the cost-sharing requirements of  
11 section 103(b) of the Water Resources Development Act  
12 of 1986 (100 Stat. 4085) for the portion of the project  
13 consisting of land acquisition to preserve and enhance ex-  
14 isting floodwater storage.

15 (b) CREDIT.—The Secretary shall credit, in accord-  
16 ance with section 221 of the Flood Control Act of 1970  
17 (42 U.S.C. 1962d–5b), toward the non-Federal share of  
18 the cost of the project the cost of planning and design  
19 work carried out by the non-Federal interest for the  
20 project before the date of the partnership agreement for  
21 the project.

22 (c) COST.—The maximum amount of Federal funds  
23 that may be expended for the project referred to in sub-  
24 section (a) shall be \$10,000,000.

1 **SEC. 3024. PACIFIC FLYWAY CENTER, SACRAMENTO, CALI-**  
2 **FORNIA.**

3 The project for aquatic ecosystem restoration, Pacific  
4 Flyway Center, Sacramento, California, being carried out  
5 under section 206 of the Water Resources Development  
6 Act of 1996 (33 U.S.C. 2330), is modified to authorize  
7 the Secretary to expend \$2,000,000 to enhance public ac-  
8 cess to the project.

9 **SEC. 3025. PETALUMA RIVER, PETALUMA, CALIFORNIA.**

10 The project for flood damage reduction, Petaluma  
11 River, Petaluma, California, authorized by section 112 of  
12 the Water Resources Development Act of 2000 (114 Stat.  
13 2587), is modified to authorize the Secretary to construct  
14 the project at a total cost of \$41,500,000, with an esti-  
15 mated Federal cost of \$26,975,000 and an estimated non-  
16 Federal cost of \$14,525,000.

17 **SEC. 3026. PINOLE CREEK, CALIFORNIA.**

18 The project for improvement of the quality of the en-  
19 vironment, Pinole Creek Phase I, California, being carried  
20 out under section 1135 of the Water Resources Develop-  
21 ment Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2309a), is modified to direct  
22 the Secretary to credit, in accordance with section 221 of  
23 the Flood Control Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C. 1962d-5b), to-  
24 ward the non-Federal share of the cost of the project the  
25 cost of work carried out by the non-Federal interest for

1 the project before the date of the partnership agreement  
2 for the project.

3 **SEC. 3027. PRADO DAM, CALIFORNIA.**

4       Upon completion of the modifications to the Prado  
5 Dam element of the project for flood control, Santa Ana  
6 River Mainstem, California, authorized by section 401(a)  
7 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (100  
8 Stat. 4113), the Memorandum of Agreement for the Oper-  
9 ation for Prado Dam for Seasonal Additional Water Con-  
10 servation between the Department of the Army and the  
11 Orange County Water District (including all the condi-  
12 tions and stipulations in the memorandum) shall remain  
13 in effect for volumes of water made available prior to such  
14 modifications.

15 **SEC. 3028. REDWOOD CITY NAVIGATION CHANNEL, CALI-**  
16 **FORNIA.**

17       The Secretary may dredge the Redwood City Naviga-  
18 tion Channel, California, on an annual basis, to maintain  
19 the authorized depth of -30 feet mean lower low water.

20 **SEC. 3029. SACRAMENTO AND AMERICAN RIVERS FLOOD**  
21 **CONTROL, CALIFORNIA.**

22       (a) NATOMAS LEVEE FEATURES.—

23           (1) IN GENERAL.—The project for flood control  
24 and recreation, Sacramento and American Rivers,  
25 California (Natomas Levee features), authorized by

1 section 9159 of the Department of Defense Appro-  
2 priations Act, 1993 (106 Stat. 1944), is modified to  
3 direct the Secretary to credit \$20,503,000 to the  
4 Sacramento Area Flood Control Agency for the non-  
5 reimbursed Federal share of costs incurred by the  
6 Agency in connection with the project.

7 (2) ALLOCATION OF CREDIT.—The Secretary  
8 shall allocate the amount to be credited pursuant to  
9 paragraph (1) toward the non-Federal share of such  
10 projects as are requested by the Sacramento Area  
11 Flood Control Agency.

12 (b) JOINT FEDERAL PROJECT AT FOLSOM DAM.—

13 (1) IN GENERAL.—The project for flood con-  
14 trol, American and Sacramento Rivers, California,  
15 authorized by section 101(a)(6)(A) of the Water Re-  
16 sources Development Act of 1999 (113 Stat. 274)  
17 and modified by section 128 of the Energy and  
18 Water Development Appropriations Act, 2006 (119  
19 Stat. 2259), is modified to authorize the Secretary  
20 to construct the auxiliary spillway generally in ac-  
21 cordance with the Post Authorization Change Re-  
22 port, American River Watershed Project (Folsom  
23 Dam Modification and Folsom Dam Raise Projects),  
24 dated March 2007, at a total cost of \$683,000,000,

1 with an estimated Federal cost of \$444,000,000 and  
2 an estimated non-Federal cost of \$239,000,000.

3 (2) DAM SAFETY.—Nothing in this subsection  
4 limits the authority of the Secretary of the Interior  
5 to carry out dam safety activities in connection with  
6 the auxiliary spillway in accordance with the Bureau  
7 of Reclamation safety of dams program.

8 (3) TRANSFER OF FUNDS.—

9 (A) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary and the  
10 Secretary of the Interior are authorized to  
11 transfer between the Department of the Army  
12 and the Department of the Interior appro-  
13 priated amounts and other available funds (in-  
14 cluding funds contributed by non-Federal inter-  
15 ests) for the purpose of planning, design, and  
16 construction of the auxiliary spillway.

17 (B) TERMS AND CONDITIONS.—Any trans-  
18 fer made pursuant to this subsection shall be  
19 subject to such terms and conditions as may be  
20 agreed on by the Secretary and the Secretary of  
21 the Interior.

22 **SEC. 3030. SACRAMENTO DEEP WATER SHIP CHANNEL,**  
23 **CALIFORNIA.**

24 The project for navigation, Sacramento Deep Water  
25 Ship Channel, California, authorized by section 202(a) of

1 the Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (100 Stat.  
2 4092), is modified to direct the Secretary to credit, in ac-  
3 cordance with section 221 of the Flood Control Act of  
4 1970 (42 U.S.C. 1962d-5b), toward the non-Federal  
5 share of the cost of the project the cost of planning and  
6 design work carried out by the non-Federal interest for  
7 the project before the date of the partnership agreement  
8 for the project.

9 **SEC. 3031. SACRAMENTO RIVER BANK PROTECTION, CALI-**  
10 **FORNIA.**

11 Section 202 of the River Basin Monetary Authoriza-  
12 tion Act of 1974 (88 Stat. 49) is amended by striking  
13 “and the monetary authorization” and all that follows  
14 through the period at the end and inserting “; except that  
15 the lineal feet in the second phase shall be increased from  
16 405,000 lineal feet to 485,000 lineal feet.”.

17 **SEC. 3032. SALTON SEA RESTORATION, CALIFORNIA.**

18 (a) DEFINITIONS.—In this section, the following defi-  
19 nitions apply:

20 (1) SALTON SEA AUTHORITY.—The term  
21 “Salton Sea Authority” means the joint powers au-  
22 thority established under the laws of the State by a  
23 joint power agreement signed on June 2, 1993.

24 (2) SALTON SEA SCIENCE OFFICE.—The term  
25 “Salton Sea Science Office” means the office estab-

1 lished by the United States Geological Survey and  
2 located on the date of enactment of this Act in La  
3 Quinta, California.

4 (3) STATE.—The term “State” means the State  
5 of California.

6 (b) PILOT PROJECTS.—

7 (1) IN GENERAL.—

8 (A) REVIEW.—The Secretary shall review  
9 the plan approved by the State, entitled the  
10 “Salton Sea Ecosystem Restoration Program  
11 Preferred Alternative Report and Funding  
12 Plan”, and dated May 2007 to determine  
13 whether the pilot projects described in the plan  
14 are feasible.

15 (B) IMPLEMENTATION.—

16 (i) IN GENERAL.—Subject to clause  
17 (ii), if the Secretary determines that the  
18 pilot projects referred to in subparagraph  
19 (A) meet the requirements described in  
20 that subparagraph, the Secretary may—

21 (I) enter into an agreement with  
22 the State; and

23 (II) in consultation with the  
24 Salton Sea Authority and the Salton  
25 Sea Science Office, carry out pilot



1 projects for improvement of the envi-  
2 ronment in the area of the Salton  
3 Sea.

4 (ii) REQUIREMENT.—The Secretary  
5 shall be a party to each contract for con-  
6 struction entered into under this subpara-  
7 graph.

8 (2) LOCAL PARTICIPATION.—In prioritizing  
9 pilot projects under this section, the Secretary  
10 shall—

11 (A) consult with the State, the Salton Sea  
12 Authority, and the Salton Sea Science Office;  
13 and

14 (B) take into consideration the priorities of  
15 the State and the Salton Sea Authority.

16 (3) COST SHARING.—Before carrying out a  
17 pilot project under this section, the Secretary shall  
18 enter into a written agreement with the State that  
19 requires the non-Federal interest for the pilot  
20 project to pay 35 percent of the total costs of the  
21 pilot project.

22 (c) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There is  
23 authorized to be appropriated to carry out subsection (b)  
24 \$30,000,000, of which not more than \$5,000,000 shall be  
25 used for any one pilot project under this section.

1 **SEC. 3033. SANTA ANA RIVER MAINSTEM, CALIFORNIA.**

2 The project for flood control, Santa Ana River  
3 Mainstem (including Santiago Creek, California), author-  
4 ized by section 401(a) of the Water Resources Develop-  
5 ment Act of 1986 (100 Stat. 4113) and modified by sec-  
6 tion 104 of the Energy and Water Development Appro-  
7 priation Act, 1988 (101 Stat. 1329–111) and section 309  
8 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1996 (110  
9 Stat. 3713), is further modified to authorize the Secretary  
10 to carry out the project at a total cost of \$1,800,000,000  
11 and to clarify that the Santa Ana River Interceptor Line  
12 is an element of the project.

13 **SEC. 3034. SANTA BARBARA STREAMS, LOWER MISSION**  
14 **CREEK, CALIFORNIA.**

15 The project for flood damage reduction, Santa Bar-  
16 bara streams, Lower Mission Creek, California, authorized  
17 by section 101(b)(8) of the Water Resources Development  
18 Act of 2000 (114 Stat. 2577), is modified to authorize  
19 the Secretary to construct the project at a total cost of  
20 \$30,000,000, with an estimated Federal cost of  
21 \$15,000,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost of  
22 \$15,000,000.

23 **SEC. 3035. SANTA CRUZ HARBOR, CALIFORNIA.**

24 The project for navigation, Santa Cruz Harbor, Cali-  
25 fornia, authorized by section 101 of the River and Harbor  
26 Act of 1958 (72 Stat. 300) and modified by section 809

1 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (100  
2 Stat. 4168) and section 526 of the Water Resources De-  
3 velopment Act of 1999 (113 Stat. 346), is modified to di-  
4 rect the Secretary—

5           (1) to renegotiate the memorandum of agree-  
6           ment with the non-Federal interest to increase the  
7           annual payment to reflect the updated cost of oper-  
8           ation and maintenance that is the Federal and non-  
9           Federal share as provided by law based on the  
10          project purpose; and

11          (2) to revise the memorandum of agreement to  
12          include terms that revise such payments for infla-  
13          tion.

14 **SEC. 3036. SEVEN OAKS DAM, CALIFORNIA.**

15          The project for flood control, Santa Ana Mainstem,  
16 authorized by section 401(a) of the Water Resources De-  
17 velopment Act of 1986 (100 Stat. 4113) and modified by  
18 section 104 of the Energy and Water Development Appro-  
19 priations Act, 1988 (101 Stat. 1329–11), section 102(e)  
20 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1990 (104  
21 Stat. 4611), and section 311 of the Water Resources De-  
22 velopment Act of 1996 (110 Stat. 3713), is modified to  
23 direct the Secretary—

1 (1) to include ecosystem restoration benefits in  
2 the calculation of benefits for the Seven Oaks Dam,  
3 California, portion of the project; and

4 (2) to conduct a study of water conservation  
5 and water quality at the Seven Oaks Dam.

6 **SEC. 3037. UPPER GUADALUPE RIVER, CALIFORNIA.**

7 The project for flood damage reduction and recre-  
8 ation, Upper Guadalupe River, California, authorized by  
9 section 101(a)(9) of the Water Resources Development  
10 Act of 1999 (113 Stat. 275), is modified to authorize the  
11 Secretary to construct the project generally in accordance  
12 with the Upper Guadalupe River Flood Damage Reduc-  
13 tion, San Jose, California, Limited Reevaluation Report,  
14 dated March 2004, at a total cost of \$256,000,000, with  
15 an estimated Federal cost of \$136,700,000 and an esti-  
16 mated non-Federal cost of \$119,300,000.

17 **SEC. 3038. WALNUT CREEK CHANNEL, CALIFORNIA.**

18 The project for aquatic ecosystem restoration, Wal-  
19 nut Creek Channel, California, being carried out under  
20 section 206 of the Water Resources Development Act of  
21 1996 (33 U.S.C. 2330), is modified—

22 (1) to direct the Secretary to credit, in accord-  
23 ance with section 221 of the Flood Control Act of  
24 1970 (42 U.S.C. 1962d-5b), toward the non-Federal  
25 share of the cost of the project the cost of work car-

1 ried out by the non-Federal interest for the project  
2 before the date of the partnership agreement for the  
3 project; and

4 (2) to authorize the Secretary to consider na-  
5 tional ecosystem restoration benefits in determining  
6 the Federal interest in the project.

7 **SEC. 3039. WILDCAT/SAN PABLO CREEK PHASE I, CALI-**  
8 **FORNIA.**

9 The project for improvement of the quality of the en-  
10 vironment, Wildcat/San Pablo Creek Phase I, California,  
11 being carried out under section 1135 of the Water Re-  
12 sources Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2309a), is  
13 modified to direct the Secretary to credit, in accordance  
14 with section 221 of the Flood Control Act of 1970 (42  
15 U.S.C. 1962d-5b), toward the non-Federal share of the  
16 cost of the project the cost of work carried out by the  
17 non-Federal interest for the project before the date of the  
18 partnership agreement for the project.

19 **SEC. 3040. WILDCAT/SAN PABLO CREEK PHASE II, CALI-**  
20 **FORNIA.**

21 The project for aquatic ecosystem restoration, Wild-  
22 cat/San Pablo Creek Phase II, California, being carried  
23 out under section 206 of the Water Resources Develop-  
24 ment Act of 1996 (33 U.S.C. 2330), is modified to direct  
25 the Secretary to credit, in accordance with section 221 of

1 the Flood Control Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C. 1962d-5b), to-  
2 ward the non-Federal share of the cost of the project the  
3 cost of work carried out by the non-Federal interest for  
4 the project before the date of the partnership agreement  
5 for the project and to authorize the Secretary to consider  
6 national ecosystem restoration benefits in determining the  
7 Federal interest in the project.

8 **SEC. 3041. YUBA RIVER BASIN PROJECT, CALIFORNIA.**

9 The project for flood damage reduction, Yuba River  
10 Basin, California, authorized by section 101(a)(10) of the  
11 Water Resources Development Act of 1999 (113 Stat.  
12 275), is modified—

13 (1) to authorize the Secretary to construct the  
14 project at a total cost of \$107,700,000, with an esti-  
15 mated Federal cost of \$70,000,000 and an esti-  
16 mated non-Federal cost of \$37,700,000; and

17 (2) to direct the Secretary to credit, in accord-  
18 ance with section 221 of the Flood Control Act of  
19 1970 (42 U.S.C. 1962d-5b), toward the non-Federal  
20 share of the cost of the project the cost of work car-  
21 ried out by the non-Federal interest for the project  
22 before the date of the partnership agreement for the  
23 project.

1 **SEC. 3042. SOUTH PLATTE RIVER BASIN, COLORADO.**

2 Section 808 of the Water Resources Development Act  
3 of 1986 (100 Stat. 4168) is amended by striking “agri-  
4 culture,” and inserting “agriculture, environmental res-  
5 toration.”.

6 **SEC. 3043. INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, DELAWARE RIVER**  
7 **TO CHESAPEAKE BAY, DELAWARE AND MARY-**  
8 **LAND.**

9 The project for navigation, Intracoastal Waterway,  
10 Delaware River to Chesapeake Bay, Delaware and Mary-  
11 land, authorized by the first section of the Rivers and Har-  
12 bors Act of August 30, 1935 (49 Stat. 1030), and section  
13 101 of the River and Harbor Act of 1954 (68 Stat. 1249),  
14 is modified to add recreation as a project purpose.

15 **SEC. 3044. ST. GEORGE’S BRIDGE, DELAWARE.**

16 Section 102(g) of the Water Resources Development  
17 Act of 1990 (104 Stat. 4612) is amended by adding at  
18 the end the following: “The Secretary shall assume owner-  
19 ship responsibility for the replacement bridge not later  
20 than the date on which the construction of the bridge is  
21 completed and the contractors are released of their respon-  
22 sibility by the State. In addition, the Secretary may not  
23 carry out any action to close or remove the St. George’s  
24 Bridge, Delaware, without specific congressional author-  
25 ization.”.

1 **SEC. 3045. BREVARD COUNTY, FLORIDA.**

2 (a) **SHORELINE.**—The project for shoreline protec-  
3 tion, Brevard County, Florida, authorized by section  
4 101(b)(7) of the Water Resources Development Act of  
5 1996 (110 Stat. 3667), is modified to authorize the Sec-  
6 retary to include the mid-reach as an element of the  
7 project from the Florida department of environmental pro-  
8 tection monuments 75.4 to 118.3, a distance of approxi-  
9 mately 7.6 miles. The restoration work shall only be un-  
10 dertaken upon a determination by the Secretary, following  
11 completion of the general reevaluation report authorized  
12 by section 418 of the Water Resources Development Act  
13 of 2000 (114 Stat. 2637), that the shoreline protection  
14 is feasible.

15 (b) **CREDIT.**—Section 310 of the Water Resources  
16 Development Act of 1999 (113 Stat. 301) is amended by  
17 adding at the end the following:

18 “(d) **CREDIT.**—After completion of the study, the  
19 Secretary may credit, in accordance with section 221 of  
20 the Flood Control Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C. 1962d–5b), to-  
21 ward the non-Federal share of the cost of the project for  
22 shore protection the cost of nourishment and renourish-  
23 ment associated with the project for shore protection in-  
24 curred by the non-Federal interest to respond to damages  
25 to Brevard County beaches that are the result of a Federal



1 navigation project, as determined in the final report for  
2 the study.”.

3 **SEC. 3046. BROWARD COUNTY AND HILLSBORO INLET,**  
4 **FLORIDA.**

5 The project for shore protection, Broward County  
6 and Hillsboro Inlet, Florida, authorized by section 301 of  
7 the River and Harbor Act of 1965 (79 Stat. 1090), and  
8 modified by section 311 of the Water Resources Develop-  
9 ment Act of 1999 (113 Stat. 301), is modified to direct  
10 the Secretary to credit, in accordance with section 221 of  
11 the Flood Control Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C. 1962d-5b), to-  
12 ward the non-Federal share of the cost of the project the  
13 cost of mitigation construction and derelict erosion control  
14 structure removal carried out by the non-Federal interest  
15 for the project before the date of the partnership agree-  
16 ment for the project.

17 **SEC. 3047. CANAVERAL HARBOR, FLORIDA.**

18 In carrying out the project for navigation, Canaveral  
19 Harbor, Florida, authorized by section 101 of the River  
20 and Harbor Act of 1962 (76 Stat. 1174), the Secretary  
21 shall construct a sediment trap if the Secretary determines  
22 construction of the sediment trap is feasible.

23 **SEC. 3048. GASPARILLA AND ESTERO ISLANDS, FLORIDA.**

24 The project for shore protection, Gasparilla and  
25 Estero Island segments, Lee County, Florida, authorized

1 by section 201 of the Flood Control Act of 1965 (79 Stat.  
2 1073), by Senate Resolution dated December 17, 1970,  
3 and by House Resolution dated December 15, 1970, and  
4 modified by section 309 of the Water Resources Develop-  
5 ment Act of 2000 (114 Stat. 2602), is modified to direct  
6 the Secretary to credit, in accordance with section 221 of  
7 the Flood Control Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C. 1962d-5b), to-  
8 ward the non-Federal share of the cost of the project the  
9 cost of work carried out by the non-Federal interest for  
10 the project before the date of the partnership agreement  
11 for the project.

12 **SEC. 3049. LIDO KEY BEACH, SARASOTA, FLORIDA.**

13 (a) IN GENERAL.—The project for shore protection,  
14 Lido Key Beach, Sarasota, Florida, authorized by section  
15 101 of the River and Harbor Act of 1970 (84 Stat. 1819),  
16 deauthorized under section 1001(b) of the Water Re-  
17 sources Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 579a(b)),  
18 and reauthorized by section 364(2)(A) of the Water Re-  
19 sources Development Act of 1999 (113 Stat. 313), is  
20 modified to direct the Secretary to construct the project  
21 substantially in accordance with the report of the Chief  
22 of Engineers dated December 22, 2004, at a total cost  
23 of \$15,190,000, with an estimated Federal cost of  
24 \$9,320,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost of  
25 \$5,870,000, and at an estimated total cost of \$65,000,000

1 for periodic nourishment over the 50-year life of the  
2 project, with an estimated Federal cost of \$30,550,000  
3 and an estimated non-Federal cost of \$34,450,000.

4 (b) CONSTRUCTION OF SHORELINE PROTECTION  
5 PROJECTS BY NON-FEDERAL INTERESTS.—The Sec-  
6 retary shall enter into a partnership agreement with the  
7 non-Federal interest in accordance with section 206 of the  
8 Water Resources Development Act of 1992 (33 U.S.C.  
9 426i-1) for the modified project.

10 **SEC. 3050. PEANUT ISLAND, FLORIDA.**

11 The maximum amount of Federal funds that may be  
12 expended for the project for improvement of the quality  
13 of the environment, Peanut Island, Palm Beach County,  
14 Florida, being carried out under section 1135 of the Water  
15 Resources Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2309a)  
16 shall be \$9,750,000.

17 **SEC. 3051. PORT SUTTON, FLORIDA.**

18 The project for navigation, Port Sutton, Florida, au-  
19 thorized by section 101(b)(12) of the Water Resources De-  
20 velopment Act of 2000 (114 Stat. 2577), is modified to  
21 authorize the Secretary to carry out the project at a total  
22 cost of \$12,900,000.

23 **SEC. 3052. TAMPA HARBOR-BIG BEND CHANNEL, FLORIDA.**

24 The project for navigation, Tampa Harbor-Big Bend  
25 Channel, Florida, authorized by section 101(a)(18) of the

1 Water Resources Development Act of 1999 (113 Stat.  
2 276) is modified to direct the Secretary to credit, in ac-  
3 cordance with section 221 of the Flood Control Act of  
4 1970 (42 U.S.C. 1962d-5b), toward the non-Federal  
5 share of the cost of the project the cost of planning, de-  
6 sign, and construction work carried out by the non-Fed-  
7 eral interest for the project before the date of the partner-  
8 ship agreement for the project.

9 **SEC. 3053. TAMPA HARBOR CUT B, FLORIDA.**

10 (a) **IN GENERAL.**—The project for navigation,  
11 Tampa Harbor, Florida, authorized by section 101 of the  
12 River and Harbor Act of 1970 (84 Stat. 1818), is modified  
13 to authorize the Secretary to construct passing lanes in  
14 an area approximately 3.5 miles long and centered on  
15 Tampa Harbor Cut B if the Secretary determines that  
16 such improvements are necessary for navigation safety.

17 (b) **GENERAL REEVALUATION REPORT.**—The non-  
18 Federal share of the cost of the general reevaluation re-  
19 port for Tampa Harbor, Florida, being conducted on June  
20 1, 2005, shall be the same percentage as the non-Federal  
21 share of the cost of construction of the project.

22 (c) **AGREEMENT.**—The Secretary shall enter into a  
23 new partnership agreement with the non-Federal interest  
24 to reflect the cost sharing required by subsection (b).

1 **SEC. 3054. ALLATOONA LAKE, GEORGIA.**

2 (a) **LAND EXCHANGE.**—

3 (1) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary may exchange  
4 land above 863 feet in elevation at Allatoona Lake,  
5 Georgia, identified in the Real Estate Design Memo-  
6 randum prepared by the Mobile district engineer,  
7 April 5, 1996, and approved October 8, 1996, for  
8 land on the north side of Allatoona Lake that is re-  
9 quired for wildlife management and protection of the  
10 water quality and overall environment of Allatoona  
11 Lake.

12 (2) **TERMS AND CONDITIONS.**—The basis for all  
13 land exchanges under this subsection shall be a fair  
14 market appraisal to ensure that land exchanged is of  
15 equal value.

16 (b) **DISPOSAL AND ACQUISITION OF LAND,**  
17 **ALLATOONA LAKE, GEORGIA.**—

18 (1) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary may—

19 (A) sell land above 863 feet in elevation at  
20 Allatoona Lake, Georgia, identified in the  
21 memorandum referred to in subsection (a)(1);  
22 and

23 (B) use the proceeds of the sale, without  
24 further appropriation, to pay costs associated  
25 with the purchase of land required for wildlife

1 management and protection of the water quality  
2 and overall environment of Allatoona Lake.

3 (2) TERMS AND CONDITIONS.—

4 (A) WILLING SELLERS.—Land acquired  
5 under this subsection shall be by negotiated  
6 purchase from willing sellers only.

7 (B) BASIS.—The basis for all transactions  
8 under this subsection shall be a fair market  
9 value appraisal acceptable to the Secretary.

10 (C) SHARING OF COSTS.—Each purchaser  
11 of land under this subsection shall share in the  
12 associated costs of the purchase, including sur-  
13 veys and associated fees in accordance with the  
14 memorandum referred to in subsection (a)(1).

15 (D) OTHER CONDITIONS.—The Secretary  
16 may impose on the sale and purchase of land  
17 under this subsection such other conditions as  
18 the Secretary determines to be appropriate.

19 (e) REPEAL.—Section 325 of the Water Resources  
20 Development Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4849) is repealed.

21 **SEC. 3055. LATHAM RIVER, GLYNN COUNTY, GEORGIA.**

22 The maximum amount of Federal funds that may be  
23 expended for the project for improvement of the quality  
24 of the environment, Latham River, Glynn County, Geor-  
25 gia, being carried out under section 1135 of the Water

1 Resources Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2309a)  
2 shall be \$6,175,000.

3 **SEC. 3056. DWORSHAK RESERVOIR IMPROVEMENTS, IDAHO.**

4 (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall carry out ad-  
5 ditional general construction measures to allow for oper-  
6 ation at lower pool levels to satisfy the recreation mission  
7 at Dworshak Dam, Idaho.

8 (b) IMPROVEMENTS.—In carrying out subsection (a),  
9 the Secretary shall provide for appropriate improvements  
10 to—

11 (1) facilities that are operated by the Corps of  
12 Engineers; and

13 (2) facilities that, as of the date of enactment  
14 of this Act, are leased, permitted, or licensed for use  
15 by others.

16 (c) COST SHARING.—The Secretary shall carry out  
17 this section through a cost-sharing program with Idaho  
18 State parks and recreation department at a total esti-  
19 mated project cost of \$5,300,000. Notwithstanding section  
20 103 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (33  
21 U.S.C. 2313), the Federal share of such cost shall be 75  
22 percent.

23 **SEC. 3057. LITTLE WOOD RIVER, GOODING, IDAHO.**

24 (a) IN GENERAL.—The project for flood control,  
25 Gooding, Idaho, constructed under the emergency con-

1 servation work program established under the Act of  
2 March 31, 1933 (16 U.S.C. 585 et seq.), is modified—

3           (1) to direct the Secretary to rehabilitate the  
4 Gooding Channel project for the purposes of flood  
5 control and ecosystem restoration if the Secretary  
6 determines that such rehabilitation is not required  
7 as a result of improper operation and maintenance  
8 of the project by the non-Federal interest and that  
9 the rehabilitation and ecosystem restoration is fea-  
10 sible; and

11           (2) to direct the Secretary to plan, design, and  
12 construct the project at a total cost of \$9,000,000.

13 (b) COST SHARING.—

14           (1) IN GENERAL.—Costs for reconstruction of a  
15 project under this section shall be shared by the Sec-  
16 retary and the non-Federal interest in the same per-  
17 centages as the costs of construction of the original  
18 project were shared.

19           (2) OPERATION, MAINTENANCE, AND REPAIR  
20 COSTS.—The costs of operation, maintenance, re-  
21 pair, and rehabilitation of a project carried out  
22 under this section shall be a non-Federal responsi-  
23 bility.



1 (c) ECONOMIC JUSTIFICATION.—Reconstruction ef-  
2 forts and activities carried out under this section shall not  
3 require economic justification.

4 **SEC. 3058. BEARDSTOWN COMMUNITY BOAT HARBOR,**  
5 **BEARDSTOWN, ILLINOIS.**

6 (a) IN GENERAL.—The project for navigation,  
7 Muscooten Bay, Illinois River, Beardstown Community  
8 Boat Harbor, Beardstown, Illinois, constructed under sec-  
9 tion 107 of the River and Harbor Act of 1960 (33 U.S.C.  
10 577), is modified—

11 (1) to include the channel between the harbor  
12 and the Illinois River; and

13 (2) to direct the Secretary to enter into a part-  
14 nership agreement with the city of Beardstown to  
15 replace the local cooperation agreement dated Au-  
16 gust 18, 1983, with the Beardstown Community  
17 Park District.

18 (b) TERMS OF PARTNERSHIP AGREEMENT.—The  
19 partnership agreement referred to in subsection (a) shall  
20 include the same rights and responsibilities as the local  
21 cooperation agreement dated August 18, 1983, changing  
22 only the identity of the non-Federal sponsor.

23 (c) MAINTENANCE.—Following execution of the part-  
24 nership agreement referred to in subsection (a), the Sec-

1 retary may carry out maintenance of the project referred  
2 to in subsection (a) on an annual basis.

3 **SEC. 3059. CACHE RIVER LEVEE, ILLINOIS.**

4 The Cache River Levee constructed for flood control  
5 at the Cache River, Illinois, and authorized by the Act of  
6 June 28, 1938 (52 Stat. 1217), is modified to add envi-  
7 ronmental restoration as a project purpose.

8 **SEC. 3060. CHICAGO RIVER, ILLINOIS.**

9 The Federal navigation channel for the North Branch  
10 Channel portion of the Chicago River authorized by sec-  
11 tion 22 of the Act of March 3, 1899 (30 Stat. 1156), ex-  
12 tending from 100 feet downstream of the Halsted Street  
13 Bridge to 100 feet upstream of the Division Street Bridge,  
14 Chicago, Illinois, shall be no wider than 66 feet.

15 **SEC. 3061. CHICAGO SANITARY AND SHIP CANAL DIS-**  
16 **PERSAL BARRIERS PROJECT, ILLINOIS.**

17 (a) TREATMENT AS SINGLE PROJECT.—The Chicago  
18 Sanitary and Ship Canal Dispersal Barrier Project (in this  
19 section referred to as “Barrier I”), as in existence on the  
20 date of enactment of this Act and constructed as a dem-  
21 onstration project under section 1202(i)(3) of the Non-  
22 indigenous Aquatic Nuisance Prevention and Control Act  
23 of 1990 (16 U.S.C. 4722(i)(3)), and the project relating  
24 to the Chicago Sanitary and Ship Canal Dispersal Barrier,  
25 authorized by section 345 of the District of Columbia Ap-

1 appropriations Act, 2005 (Public Law 108–335; 118 Stat.  
2 1352) (in this section referred to as “Barrier II”) shall  
3 be considered to constitute a single project.

4 (b) AUTHORIZATION.—

5 (1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary, at Federal  
6 expense, shall—

7 (A) upgrade and make permanent Barrier  
8 I;

9 (B) construct Barrier II, notwithstanding  
10 the project cooperation agreement with the  
11 State of Illinois dated June 14, 2005;

12 (C) operate and maintain Barrier I and  
13 Barrier II as a system to optimize effectiveness;

14 (D) conduct, in consultation with appro-  
15 priate Federal, State, local, and nongovern-  
16 mental entities, a study of a range of options  
17 and technologies for reducing impacts of haz-  
18 ards that may reduce the efficacy of the Bar-  
19 riers; and

20 (E) provide to each State a credit in an  
21 amount equal to the amount of funds contrib-  
22 uted by the State toward Barrier II.

23 (2) USE OF CREDIT.—A State may apply a  
24 credit provided to the State under paragraph (1)(E)  
25 to any cost sharing responsibility for an existing or

1 future Federal project carried out by the Secretary  
2 in the State.

3 (c) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Section 345 of the  
4 District of Columbia Appropriations Act, 2005 (Public  
5 Law 108–335; 118 Stat. 1352) is amended to read as fol-  
6 lows:

7 **“SEC. 345. CHICAGO SANITARY AND SHIP CANAL DIS-**  
8 **PERSAL BARRIER, ILLINOIS.**

9 “There are authorized to be appropriated such sums  
10 as may be necessary to carry out the Barrier II element  
11 of the project for the Chicago Sanitary and Ship Canal  
12 Dispersal Barrier, Illinois, initiated pursuant to section  
13 1135 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986  
14 (33 U.S.C. 2294 note; 100 Stat. 4251).”.

15 (d) FEASIBILITY STUDY.—The Secretary, in con-  
16 sultation with appropriate Federal, State, local, and non-  
17 governmental entities, shall conduct, at Federal expense,  
18 a feasibility study of the range of options and technologies  
19 available to prevent the spread of aquatic nuisance species  
20 between the Great Lakes and Mississippi River Basins  
21 through the Chicago Sanitary and Ship Canal and other  
22 aquatic pathways.

23 **SEC. 3062. EMIQUON, ILLINOIS.**

24 (a) MAXIMUM AMOUNT.—The maximum amount of  
25 Federal funds that may be expended for the project for

1 aquatic ecosystem restoration, Emiquon, Illinois, being  
2 carried out under section 206 of the Water Resources De-  
3 velopment Act of 1996 (33 U.S.C. 2330), shall be  
4 \$7,500,000.

5 (b) LIMITATION.—Nothing in this section shall affect  
6 the eligibility of the project for emergency repair assist-  
7 ance under section 5 of the Act entitled “An Act author-  
8 izing the construction of certain public works on rivers and  
9 harbors for flood control, and for other purposes”, ap-  
10 proved August 18, 1941 (33 U.S.C. 701n).

11 **SEC. 3063. LASALLE, ILLINOIS.**

12 In carrying out section 312 of the Water Resources  
13 Development Act of 1990 (104 Stat. 4639–4640), the Sec-  
14 retary shall give priority to work in the vicinity of LaSalle,  
15 Illinois, on the Illinois and Michigan Canal.

16 **SEC. 3064. SPUNKY BOTTOMS, ILLINOIS.**

17 (a) PROJECT PURPOSE.—The project for flood con-  
18 trol, Spunky Bottoms, Illinois, authorized by section 5 of  
19 the Flood Control Act of June 22, 1936 (49 Stat. 1583),  
20 is modified to add environmental restoration as a project  
21 purpose.

22 (b) MAXIMUM AMOUNT.—The maximum amount of  
23 Federal funds that may be expended for the project for  
24 improvement of the quality of the environment, Spunky  
25 Bottoms, Illinois, being carried out under section 1135 of

1 the Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C.  
2 2309a), shall be \$7,500,000.

3 (c) LIMITATION.—Nothing in this section shall affect  
4 the eligibility of the project for emergency repair assist-  
5 ance under section 5 of the Act entitled “An Act author-  
6 izing the construction of certain public works on rivers and  
7 harbors for flood control, and for other purposes”, ap-  
8 proved August 18, 1941 (33 U.S.C. 701n).

9 (d) POST CONSTRUCTION MONITORING AND MAN-  
10 AGEMENT.—Of the Federal funds expended under sub-  
11 section (b), not less than \$500,000 shall remain available  
12 for a period of 5 years after the date of completion of  
13 construction of the modifications for use in carrying out  
14 post construction monitoring and adaptive management.

15 **SEC. 3065. CEDAR LAKE, INDIANA.**

16 (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary is authorized to  
17 plan, design, and construct an aquatic ecosystem restora-  
18 tion project at Cedar Lake, Indiana.

19 (b) COMPLETE FEASIBILITY REPORT.—In planning  
20 the project authorized by subsection (a), the Secretary  
21 shall expedite completion of the feasibility report for the  
22 project for aquatic ecosystem restoration and protection,  
23 Cedar Lake, Indiana, initiated pursuant to section 206 of  
24 the Water Resources Development Act 1996 (33 U.S.C.  
25 2330).

1 (c) AUTHORIZATION.—

2 (1) IN GENERAL.—There is authorized to be  
3 appropriated \$11,050,000 to carry out the activities  
4 authorized by this section.

5 (2) OTHER.—The Secretary is authorized to  
6 use funds previously appropriated for the project for  
7 aquatic ecosystem restoration and protection, Cedar  
8 Lake, Indiana, under section 206 of the Water Re-  
9 sources Development Act 1996 (33 U.S.C. 2330) to  
10 carry out the activities authorized by this section.

11 **SEC. 3066. KOONTZ LAKE, INDIANA.**

12 The project for aquatic ecosystem restoration, Koontz  
13 Lake, Indiana, being carried out under section 206 of the  
14 Water Resources Development Act of 1996 (33 U.S.C.  
15 2330) and modified by section 520 of the Water Resources  
16 Development Act of 2000 (114 Stat. 2655), is modified  
17 to direct the Secretary to seek to reduce the cost of the  
18 project by using innovative technologies and cost reduction  
19 measures determined from a review of non-Federal lake  
20 dredging projects in the vicinity of Koontz Lake.

21 **SEC. 3067. WHITE RIVER, INDIANA.**

22 The project for flood control, Indianapolis on West  
23 Fork of White River, Indiana, authorized by section 5 of  
24 the Act entitled “An Act authorizing the construction of  
25 certain public works on rivers and harbors for flood con-

1 trol, and for other purposes”, approved June 22, 1936 (49  
2 Stat. 1586), and modified by section 323 of the Water  
3 Resources Development Act of 1996 (110 Stat. 3716) and  
4 section 322 of the Water Resources Development Act of  
5 1999 (113 Stat. 303), is modified—

6 (1) to authorize the Secretary to carry out the  
7 ecosystem restoration, recreation, and flood damage  
8 reduction components described in the Central Indi-  
9 anapolis Waterfront Concept Plan, dated February  
10 1994, and revised by the Master Plan Revision Cen-  
11 tral Indianapolis Waterfront, dated April 2004, at a  
12 total cost of \$28,545,000; and

13 (2) to direct the Secretary to credit, in accord-  
14 ance with section 221 of the Flood Control Act of  
15 1970 (42 U.S.C. 1962d-5b), toward the non-Federal  
16 share of the cost of the project the cost of planning,  
17 design, and construction work carried out by the  
18 non-Federal interest for the project before the date  
19 of the partnership agreement for the project.

20 **SEC. 3068. DES MOINES RIVER AND GREENBELT, IOWA.**

21 The project for the Des Moines Recreational River  
22 and Greenbelt, Iowa, authorized by Public Law 99-88 and  
23 modified by section 604 of the Water Resources Develop-  
24 ment Act of 1986 (100 Stat. 4153), is modified to author-  
25 ize the Secretary to carry out ecosystem restoration, recre-



1 ation, and flood damage reduction components of the  
2 project, at a Federal cost of \$10,000,000.

3 **SEC. 3069. PERRY CREEK, IOWA.**

4 (a) IN GENERAL.—On making a determination de-  
5 scribed in subsection (b), the Secretary shall increase the  
6 Federal contribution by up to \$4,000,000 for the project  
7 for flood control, Perry Creek, Iowa, authorized by section  
8 401(a) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986  
9 (100 Stat. 4116) and modified by section 151 of the En-  
10 ergy and Water Development Appropriations Act, 2004  
11 (117 Stat. 1844).

12 (b) DETERMINATION.—A determination referred to  
13 in subsection (a) is a determination that a modification  
14 to the project described in subsection (a) is necessary for  
15 the Federal Emergency Management Agency to certify  
16 that the project provides flood damage reduction benefits  
17 to at least a 100-year level of flood protection.

18 (c) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There is  
19 authorized to be appropriated to carry out this section  
20 \$4,000,000.

21 **SEC. 3070. RATHBUN LAKE, IOWA.**

22 (a) RIGHT OF FIRST REFUSAL.—The Secretary shall  
23 provide, in accordance with the recommendations in the  
24 Rathbun Lake Reallocation Report approved by the Chief  
25 of Engineers on July 22, 1985, the Rathbun Regional

1 Water Association with the right of first refusal to con-  
2 tract for or purchase any increment of the remaining allo-  
3 cation of 8,320 acre-feet of water supply storage in  
4 Rathbun Lake, Iowa.

5 (b) PAYMENT OF COST.—The Rathbun Regional  
6 Water Association shall pay the cost of any water supply  
7 storage allocation provided under subsection (a).

8 **SEC. 3071. HICKMAN BLUFF STABILIZATION, KENTUCKY.**

9 The project for Hickman Bluff, Kentucky, authorized  
10 by chapter II of title II of the Emergency Supplemental  
11 Appropriations and Rescissions for the Department of De-  
12 fense to Preserve and Enhance Military Readiness Act of  
13 1995 (109 Stat. 85), is modified to authorize the Sec-  
14 retary to repair and restore the project, at Federal ex-  
15 pense, with no further economic studies or analyses, at  
16 a total cost of not more than \$250,000.

17 **SEC. 3072. MCALPINE LOCK AND DAM, KENTUCKY AND IN-**  
18 **DIANA.**

19 Section 101(a)(10) of the Water Resources Develop-  
20 ment Act of 1990 (104 Stat. 4606) is amended by striking  
21 “\$219,600,000” each place it appears and inserting  
22 “\$430,000,000”.

23 **SEC. 3073. PRESTONSBURG, KENTUCKY.**

24 The Prestonsburg, Kentucky, element of the project  
25 for flood control, Levisa and Tug Fork of the Big Sandy

1 and Cumberland Rivers, West Virginia, Virginia, and Ken-  
2 tucky, authorized by section 202(a) of the Energy and  
3 Water Development Appropriations Act, 1981 (94 Stat.  
4 1339), is modified to direct the Secretary to take measures  
5 to provide a 100-year level of flood protection for the city  
6 of Prestonsburg.

7 **SEC. 3074. AMITE RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES, LOUISIANA,**  
8 **EAST BATON ROUGE PARISH WATERSHED.**

9 The project for flood damage reduction and recre-  
10 ation, Amite River and Tributaries, Louisiana, East  
11 Baton Rouge Parish Watershed, authorized by section  
12 101(a)(21) of the Water Resources Development Act of  
13 1999 (113 Stat. 277) and modified by section 116 of divi-  
14 sion D of Public Law 108-7 (117 Stat. 140), is further  
15 modified—

16 (1) to direct the Secretary to carry out the  
17 project with the cost sharing for the project deter-  
18 mined in accordance with section 103(a) of the  
19 Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (33  
20 U.S.C. 2213(a)), as in effect on October 11, 1996;

21 (2) to authorize the Secretary to construct the  
22 project at a total cost of \$187,000,000; and

23 (3) to direct the Secretary to credit, in accord-  
24 ance with section 221 of the Flood Control Act of  
25 1970 (42 U.S.C. 1962d-5b), toward the non-Federal

1 share of the cost of the project the cost of work car-  
2 ried out by the non-Federal interest for the project  
3 before the date of the partnership agreement for the  
4 project.

5 **SEC. 3075. ATCHAFALAYA BASIN FLOODWAY SYSTEM, LOU-**  
6 **ISIANA.**

7 (a) ACQUISITION OF ADDITIONAL LAND.—The public  
8 access feature of the project for flood control, Atchafalaya  
9 Basin Floodway System, Louisiana, authorized by section  
10 601(a) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986  
11 (100 Stat. 4142), is modified to authorize the Secretary  
12 to acquire from willing sellers the fee interest (exclusive  
13 of oil, gas, and minerals) of an additional 20,000 acres  
14 of land in the Lower Atchafalaya Basin Floodway for such  
15 feature.

16 (b) MODIFICATION.—

17 (1) IN GENERAL.—Subject to paragraph (2), ef-  
18 fective November 17, 1986, the \$32,000,000 limita-  
19 tion on the maximum Federal expenditure for the  
20 first costs of the public access feature referred to in  
21 subsection (a) shall not apply.

22 (2) COST.—The modification under paragraph  
23 (1) shall not increase the total authorized cost of the  
24 project referred to in subsection (a).

1 (c) TECHNICAL AMENDMENT.—Section 315(a)(2) of  
2 the Water Resources Development Act of 2000 (114 Stat.  
3 2603) is amended by inserting before the period at the  
4 end the following: “and shall consider Eagle Point Park,  
5 Jeanerette, Louisiana, and the town of Melville, Lou-  
6 isiana, as site alternatives for such recreation features”.

7 **SEC. 3076. ATCHAFALAYA BASIN FLOODWAY SYSTEM, RE-**  
8 **REGIONAL VISITOR CENTER, LOUISIANA.**

9 (a) PROJECT FOR FLOOD CONTROL.—Notwith-  
10 standing paragraph (3) of the report of the Chief of Engi-  
11 neers dated February 28, 1983 (relating to recreational  
12 development in the Lower Atchafalaya Basin Floodway),  
13 the Secretary shall carry out the project for flood control,  
14 Atchafalaya Basin Floodway System, Louisiana, author-  
15 ized by chapter IV of title I of the Supplemental Appro-  
16 priations Act, 1985 (99 Stat. 313) and section 601(a) of  
17 the Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (100 Stat.  
18 4142).

19 (b) VISITORS CENTER.—

20 (1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary, in consulta-  
21 tion with the State of Louisiana, shall study, design,  
22 and construct a type A regional visitors center in the  
23 vicinity of Morgan City, Louisiana.

24 (2) COST SHARING.—

1 (A) COST OF TYPE B VISITORS CENTER.—

2 The cost of construction of the visitors center  
3 up to the cost of construction of a type B visi-  
4 tors center shall be shared in accordance with  
5 the recreation cost-sharing requirement of sec-  
6 tion 103(e) of the Water Resources Develop-  
7 ment Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2213(e)).

8 (B) COST OF UPGRADING.—The non-Fed-  
9 eral share of the cost of upgrading the visitors  
10 center from a type B to type A regional visitors  
11 center shall be 100 percent.

12 (C) OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE.—The  
13 cost of operation and maintenance of the visi-  
14 tors center shall be a Federal responsibility.

15 (3) DONATIONS.—In carrying out the project  
16 under this subsection, the Mississippi River Commis-  
17 sion may accept the donation of cash or other funds,  
18 land, materials, and services from any non-Federal  
19 government entity or nonprofit corporation, as the  
20 Commission determines to be appropriate.

21 **SEC. 3077. ATCHAFALAYA RIVER AND BAYOUS CHENE,**  
22 **BOEUF, AND BLACK, LOUISIANA.**

23 The project for navigation, Atchafalaya River and  
24 Bayous Chene, Boeuf, and Black, Louisiana, authorized  
25 by section 101 of the River and Harbor Act of 1968 (82

1 Stat. 731), is modified to authorize the Secretary to deep-  
2 en up to a 1000-foot section of the area on the Gulf Intra-  
3 coastal Waterway west of the Bayou Boeuf Lock and east  
4 of the intersection of the Atchafalaya River, at a cost not  
5 to exceed \$200,000, to provide for ingress and egress to  
6 the port of Morgan City at a depth not to exceed 20 feet.

7 **SEC. 3078. BAYOU PLAQUEMINE, LOUISIANA.**

8       The project for the improvement of the quality of the  
9 environment, Bayou Plaquemine, Louisiana, being carried  
10 out under section 1135 of the Water Resources Develop-  
11 ment Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2309a), is modified to direct  
12 the Secretary to credit, in accordance with section 221 of  
13 the Flood Control Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C. 1962d-5b), to-  
14 ward the non-Federal share of the cost of the project the  
15 cost of work carried out by the non-Federal interest for  
16 the project before the date of the partnership agreement  
17 for the project.

18 **SEC. 3079. CALCASIEU RIVER AND PASS, LOUISIANA.**

19       The project for the Calcasieu River and Pass, Lou-  
20 isiana, authorized by section 101 of the River and Harbor  
21 Act of 1960 (74 Stat. 481), is modified to authorize the  
22 Secretary to provide \$3,000,000 for each fiscal year, in  
23 a total amount of \$15,000,000, for such rock bank protec-  
24 tion of the Calcasieu River from mile 5 to mile 16 as the  
25 Secretary determines to be advisable to reduce mainte-

1 nance dredging needs and facilitate protection of disposal  
2 areas for the Calcasieu River and Pass, Louisiana, if the  
3 Secretary determines that the rock bank protection is fea-  
4 sible.

5 **SEC. 3080. RED RIVER (J. BENNETT JOHNSTON) WATERWAY,**  
6 **LOUISIANA.**

7 The project for mitigation of fish and wildlife losses,  
8 Red River Waterway, Louisiana, authorized by section  
9 601(a) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986  
10 (100 Stat. 4142) and modified by section 4(h) of the  
11 Water Resources Development Act of 1988 (102 Stat.  
12 4016), section 102(p) of the Water Resources Develop-  
13 ment Act of 1990 (104 Stat. 4613), section 301(b)(7) of  
14 the Water Resources Development Act of 1996 (110 Stat.  
15 3710), and section 316 of the Water Resources Develop-  
16 ment Act of 2000 (114 Stat. 2604), is modified—

17 (1) to authorize the Secretary to carry out the  
18 project at a total cost of \$33,912,000;

19 (2) to authorize the purchase and reforestation  
20 of lands that have been cleared or converted to agri-  
21 cultural uses (in addition to the purchase of bottom-  
22 land hardwood); and

23 (3) to incorporate wildlife and forestry manage-  
24 ment practices to improve species diversity on miti-



1 gation land that meets habitat goals and objectives  
2 of the United States and the State of Louisiana.

3 **SEC. 3081. MISSISSIPPI DELTA REGION, LOUISIANA.**

4 The Mississippi Delta Region project, Louisiana, au-  
5 thorized as part of the project for hurricane-flood protec-  
6 tion on Lake Pontchartrain, Louisiana, by section 204 of  
7 the Flood Control Act of 1965 (79 Stat. 1077) and modi-  
8 fied by section 365 of the Water Resources Development  
9 Act of 1996 (110 Stat. 3739), is modified to direct the  
10 Secretary to credit, in accordance with section 221 of the  
11 Flood Control Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C. 1962d-5b), toward  
12 the non-Federal share of the cost of the project the costs  
13 of relocating oyster beds in the Davis Pond project area.

14 **SEC. 3082. MISSISSIPPI RIVER-GULF OUTLET RELOCATION**  
15 **ASSISTANCE, LOUISIANA.**

16 (a) PORT FACILITIES RELOCATION.—

17 (1) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—

18 There is authorized to be appropriated to the Assist-  
19 ant Secretary for Economic Development (referred  
20 to in this section as the “Assistant Secretary”)  
21 \$75,000,000, to remain available until expended, to  
22 support the relocation of Port of New Orleans deep  
23 draft facilities from the Mississippi River-Gulf Out-  
24 let (referred to in this section as the “Outlet”), the

1 Gulf Intracoastal Waterway, and the Inner Harbor  
2 Navigation Canal to the Mississippi River.

3 (2) ADMINISTRATION.—

4 (A) IN GENERAL.—Amounts appropriated  
5 pursuant to paragraph (1) shall be adminis-  
6 tered by the Assistant Secretary pursuant to  
7 sections 209(c)(2) and 703 of the Public Works  
8 and Economic Development Act of 1965 (42  
9 U.S.C. 3149(e)(2), 3233).

10 (B) REQUIREMENT.—The Assistant Sec-  
11 retary shall make amounts appropriated pursu-  
12 ant to paragraph (1) available to the Port of  
13 New Orleans to relocate to the Mississippi  
14 River within the State of Louisiana the port-  
15 owned facilities that are occupied by businesses  
16 in the vicinity that may be impacted due to the  
17 treatment of the Outlet under title VII of this  
18 Act.

19 (b) REVOLVING LOAN FUND GRANTS.—There is au-  
20 thorized to be appropriated to the Assistant Secretary  
21 \$85,000,000, to remain available until expended, to pro-  
22 vide assistance pursuant to sections 209(c)(2) and 703 of  
23 the Public Works and Economic Development Act of 1965  
24 (42 U.S.C. 3149(e)(2), 3233) to one or more eligible re-  
25 cipients under such Act to establish revolving loan funds

1 to make loans for terms up to 20 years at or below market  
2 interest rates (including interest-free loans) to private  
3 businesses within the Port of New Orleans that may need  
4 to relocate to the Mississippi River within the State of  
5 Louisiana due to the treatment of the Outlet under title  
6 VII of this Act.

7 (c) REQUIREMENTS.—In selecting one or more recipi-  
8 ents under subsection (b), the Assistant Secretary shall  
9 ensure that each recipient has established procedures to  
10 target lending to businesses that will be directly and sub-  
11 stantially impacted by the treatment of the Mississippi  
12 River-Gulf Outlet under title VII of this Act.

13 (d) COORDINATION WITH SECRETARY.—The Assist-  
14 ant Secretary shall ensure that the programs described in  
15 subsections (a) and (b) are coordinated with the Secretary  
16 to ensure that facilities are relocated in a manner that  
17 is consistent with the analysis and design of comprehen-  
18 sive hurricane protection authorized by title I of the En-  
19 ergy and Water Development Appropriations Act, 2006  
20 (119 Stat. 2247).

21 (e) ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES.—The Assistant  
22 Secretary may use up to 2 percent of the amounts made  
23 available under subsections (a) and (b) for administrative  
24 expenses.

1 **SEC. 3083. VIOLET, LOUISIANA.**

2 (a) VIOLET DIVERSION PROJECT.—The Secretary  
3 shall design and implement a project for a diversion of  
4 freshwater at or near Violet, Louisiana, for the purposes  
5 of reducing salinity in the western Mississippi Sound, en-  
6 hancing oyster production, and promoting the sustain-  
7 ability of coastal wetlands.

8 (b) SALINITY LEVELS.—The project shall be de-  
9 signed to meet, or maximize the ability to meet, the salin-  
10 ity levels identified in the feasibility study of the Corps  
11 of Engineers entitled “Mississippi and Louisiana Estua-  
12 rine Areas: Freshwater Diversion to Lake Pontchartrain  
13 Basin and Mississippi Sound” and dated 1984.

14 (c) ADDITIONAL MEASURES.—

15 (1) RECOMMENDATIONS.—If the Secretary de-  
16 termines that the diversion of freshwater at or near  
17 Violet, Louisiana, will not restore salinity levels to  
18 meet the requirements of subsection (b), the Sec-  
19 retary shall recommend additional measures for  
20 freshwater diversions sufficient to meet those levels.

21 (2) IMPLEMENTATION.—The Secretary shall  
22 implement measures included in the recommenda-  
23 tions developed under paragraph (1) beginning 60  
24 days after the date on which a report containing the  
25 recommendations is provided to the Committee on  
26 Environment and Public Works of the Senate and

1 the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure  
2 of the House of Representatives.

3 (d) NON-FEDERAL FINANCING REQUIREMENTS.—

4 (1) ESTIMATES.—Before October 1 of each fis-  
5 cal year, the Secretary shall notify the States of  
6 Louisiana and Mississippi of each State's respective  
7 estimated costs for that fiscal year for the activities  
8 authorized under this section.

9 (2) ESCROW.—The States of Louisiana and  
10 Mississippi shall provide the funds described in para-  
11 graph (1) by making a deposit into an escrow ac-  
12 count, or such other account, of the Treasury as the  
13 Secretary determines to be acceptable within 30  
14 days after the date of receipt of the notification from  
15 the Secretary under paragraph (1).

16 (3) DEPOSITS BY LOUISIANA.—

17 (A) USE OF CERTAIN FUNDS.—The State  
18 of Louisiana may use funds available to the  
19 State under the coastal impact assistance pro-  
20 gram authorized under section 31 of the Outer  
21 Continental Shelf Lands Act (43 U.S.C. 1356a)  
22 in meeting its cost-sharing responsibilities  
23 under this section.

24 (B) FAILURE TO PROVIDE FUNDS.—

1 (i) IN GENERAL.—If the State of Lou-  
2 isiana does not provide the funds under  
3 paragraph (2), the Secretary of the Inte-  
4 rior, using funds to be disbursed to the  
5 State under the program referred to in  
6 subparagraph (A) or under the Gulf of  
7 Mexico Energy Security Act of 2006 (title  
8 I of Division C of Public Law 109–432;  
9 (43 U.S.C. 1331 note; 120 Stat. 3000)),  
10 shall deposit such funds as are necessary  
11 to meet the requirements for the State  
12 under paragraph (2).

13 (ii) DEADLINE FOR DEPOSIT.—Any  
14 deposit required under clause (i) shall be  
15 made prior to any other disbursements  
16 made to the State of Louisiana under the  
17 programs referred to in clause (i).

18 (C) EXCEPTION.—The State of Louisiana  
19 shall not be required to make a deposit of its  
20 share in any fiscal year in which the State of  
21 Mississippi does not make its deposit following  
22 a notification under paragraph (1) or the State  
23 of Mississippi notifies the Secretary that it does  
24 not intend to make a deposit in that fiscal year.

1           (4) CREDIT.—The Secretary shall credit, in ac-  
2           cordance with section 221 of the Flood Control Act  
3           of 1970 (42 U.S.C. 1962d–5b), toward the non-Fed-  
4           eral share of the cost of the project for the costs of  
5           design work carried out by the non-Federal interest  
6           for the project before the date of the partnership  
7           agreement for the project.

8           (5) FEDERAL SHARE.—The Federal share of  
9           the cost of the project authorized by subsection (a)  
10          shall be 75 percent.

11          (e) SCHEDULE.—

12           (1) IN GENERAL.—Subject to the availability of  
13           appropriations, the Secretary shall complete the de-  
14           sign of the project not later than 2 years after the  
15           date of enactment of this Act and shall complete the  
16           construction of the project by not later than Sep-  
17           tember 30, 2012.

18           (2) MISSED DEADLINE.—If the Secretary does  
19           not complete the design or construction of the  
20           project in accordance with paragraph (1), the Sec-  
21           retary shall complete the design or construction as  
22           expeditiously as possible.

1 **SEC. 3084. WEST BANK OF THE MISSISSIPPI RIVER (EAST**  
2 **OF HARVEY CANAL), LOUISIANA.**

3 Section 328 of the Water Resources Development Act  
4 of 1999 (113 Stat. 304–305) is amended—

5 (1) in subsection (a)—

6 (A) by striking “operation and mainte-  
7 nance” and inserting “operation, maintenance,  
8 rehabilitation, repair, and replacement”; and

9 (B) by striking “Algiers Channel” and in-  
10 sserting “Algiers Canal Levees”; and

11 (2) by adding at the end the following:

12 “(c) COST SHARING.—The non-Federal share of the  
13 cost of the project shall be 35 percent.”.

14 **SEC. 3085. CAMP ELLIS, SACO, MAINE.**

15 The maximum amount of Federal funds that may be  
16 expended for the project being carried out under section  
17 111 of the River and Harbor Act of 1968 (33 U.S.C. 426i)  
18 for the mitigation of shore damages attributable to the  
19 project for navigation, Camp Ellis, Saco, Maine, shall be  
20 \$26,900,000.

21 **SEC. 3086. CUMBERLAND, MARYLAND.**

22 Section 580(a) of the Water Resources Development  
23 Act of 1999 (113 Stat. 375) is amended—

24 (1) by striking “\$15,000,000” and inserting  
25 “\$25,750,000”;





1 (b) MAXIMUM FEDERAL EXPENDITURE.—The max-  
2 imum amount of Federal funds that may be expended for  
3 the project shall be \$3,000,000.

4 **SEC. 3089. ST. CLAIR RIVER AND LAKE ST. CLAIR, MICHIGAN.**  
5 **GAN.**

6 Section 426 of the Water Resources Development Act  
7 of 1999 (113 Stat. 326) is amended to read as follows:

8 **“SEC. 426. ST. CLAIR RIVER AND LAKE ST. CLAIR, MICHIGAN.**  
9 **GAN.**

10 “(a) DEFINITIONS.—In this section, the following  
11 definitions apply:

12 “(1) MANAGEMENT PLAN.—The term ‘manage-  
13 ment plan’ means the management plan for the St.  
14 Clair River and Lake St. Clair, Michigan, that is in  
15 effect as of the date of enactment of the Water Re-  
16 sources Development Act of 2007.

17 “(2) PARTNERSHIP.—The term ‘Partnership’  
18 means the partnership established by the Secretary  
19 under subsection (b)(1).

20 “(b) PARTNERSHIP.—

21 “(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall estab-  
22 lish and lead a partnership of appropriate Federal  
23 agencies (including the Environmental Protection  
24 Agency) and the State of Michigan (including polit-  
25 ical subdivisions of the State)—

1           “(A) to promote cooperation among the  
2 Federal Government, State and local govern-  
3 ments, and other involved parties in the man-  
4 agement of the St. Clair River and Lake St.  
5 Clair watersheds; and

6           “(B) to develop and implement projects  
7 consistent with the management plan.

8           “(2) COORDINATION WITH ACTIONS UNDER  
9 OTHER LAW.—

10           “(A) IN GENERAL.—Actions taken under  
11 this section by the Partnership shall be coordi-  
12 nated with actions to restore and conserve the  
13 St. Clair River and Lake St. Clair and water-  
14 sheds taken under other provisions of Federal  
15 and State law.

16           “(B) NO EFFECT ON OTHER LAW.—Noth-  
17 ing in this section alters, modifies, or affects  
18 any other provision of Federal or State law.

19           “(c) IMPLEMENTATION OF ST. CLAIR RIVER AND  
20 LAKE ST. CLAIR MANAGEMENT PLAN.—

21           “(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall—

22           “(A) develop a St. Clair River and Lake  
23 St. Clair strategic implementation plan in ac-  
24 cordance with the management plan;

1           “(B) provide technical, planning, and engi-  
2           neering assistance to non-Federal interests for  
3           developing and implementing activities con-  
4           sistent with the management plan;

5           “(C) plan, design, and implement projects  
6           consistent with the management plan; and

7           “(D) provide, in coordination with the Ad-  
8           ministrator of the Environmental Protection  
9           Agency, financial and technical assistance, in-  
10          cluding grants, to the State of Michigan (in-  
11          cluding political subdivisions of the State) and  
12          interested nonprofit entities for the Federal  
13          share of the cost of planning, design, and im-  
14          plementation of projects to restore, conserve,  
15          manage, and sustain the St. Clair River, Lake  
16          St. Clair, and associated watersheds.

17          “(2) SPECIFIC MEASURES.—Financial and tech-  
18          nical assistance provided under subparagraphs (B)  
19          and (C) of paragraph (1) may be used in support of  
20          non-Federal activities consistent with the manage-  
21          ment plan.

22          “(d) SUPPLEMENTS TO MANAGEMENT PLAN AND  
23          STRATEGIC IMPLEMENTATION PLAN.—In consultation  
24          with the Partnership and after providing an opportunity

1 for public review and comment, the Secretary shall develop  
2 information to supplement—

3 “(1) the management plan; and

4 “(2) the strategic implementation plan devel-  
5 oped under subsection (c)(1)(A).

6 “(e) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There  
7 is authorized to be appropriated to carry out this section  
8 \$20,000,000.”.

9 **SEC. 3090. ST. JOSEPH HARBOR, MICHIGAN.**

10 The Secretary shall expedite development of the  
11 dredged material management plan for the project for  
12 navigation, St. Joseph Harbor, Michigan, authorized by  
13 section 101 of the River and Harbor Act of 1958 (72 Stat.  
14 299).

15 **SEC. 3091. SAULT SAINTE MARIE, MICHIGAN.**

16 (a) IN GENERAL.—The text of section 1149 of the  
17 Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (100 Stat.  
18 4254) is amended to read as follows:

19 “The Secretary shall construct, at Federal expense,  
20 a second lock, of a width not less than 110 feet and a  
21 length not less than 1,200 feet, adjacent to the existing  
22 lock at Sault Sainte Marie, Michigan, generally in accord-  
23 ance with the report of the Board of Engineers for Rivers  
24 and Harbors, dated May 19, 1986, and the limited re-

1 evaluation report dated February 2004 at a total cost of  
2 \$341,714,000.”.

3 (b) CONFORMING REPEALS.—The following provi-  
4 sions are repealed:

5 (1) Section 107(a)(8) of the Water Resources  
6 Development Act of 1990 (104 Stat. 4620).

7 (2) Section 330 of the Water Resources Devel-  
8 opment Act of 1996 (110 Stat. 3717).

9 (3) Section 330 of the Water Resources Devel-  
10 opment Act of 1999 (113 Stat. 305).

11 **SEC. 3092. ADA, MINNESOTA.**

12 In carrying out the project for flood damage reduc-  
13 tion, Wild Rice River, Ada, Minnesota, under section 205  
14 of the Flood Control Act of 1948 (33 U.S.C. 701s), the  
15 Secretary shall allow the non-Federal interest to partici-  
16 pate in the financing of the project in accordance with sec-  
17 tion 903(c) of the Water Resources Development Act of  
18 1986 (100 Stat. 4184) if the detailed project report eval-  
19 uation indicates that applying such section is necessary  
20 to implement the project.

21 **SEC. 3093. DULUTH HARBOR, MCQUADE ROAD, MINNESOTA.**

22 (a) IN GENERAL.—The project for navigation, Du-  
23 luth Harbor, McQuade Road, Minnesota, being carried out  
24 under section 107 of the River and Harbor Act of 1960  
25 (33 U.S.C. 577) and modified by section 321 of the Water

1 Resources Development Act of 2000 (114 Stat. 2605), is  
2 modified to direct the Secretary to provide public access  
3 and recreational facilities as generally described in the De-  
4 tailed Project Report and Environmental Assessment,  
5 McQuade Road Harbor of Refuge, Duluth, Minnesota,  
6 dated August 1999.

7 (b) CREDIT.—The Secretary shall credit, in accord-  
8 ance with section 221 of the Flood Control Act of 1970  
9 (42 U.S.C. 1962d–5b), toward the non-Federal share of  
10 the cost of the project for the costs of design work carried  
11 out by the non-Federal interest for the project before the  
12 date of the partnership agreement for the project.

13 (c) MAXIMUM FEDERAL EXPENDITURE.—The max-  
14 imum amount of Federal funds that may be expended for  
15 the project shall be \$9,000,000.

16 **SEC. 3094. GRAND MARAIS, MINNESOTA.**

17 The project for navigation, Grand Marais, Minnesota,  
18 carried out under section 107 of the River and Harbor  
19 Act of 1960 (33 U.S.C. 577) is modified to direct the Sec-  
20 retary to credit, in accordance with section 221 of the  
21 Flood Control Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C. 1962d–5b), toward  
22 the non-Federal share of the cost of the project the cost  
23 of design work carried out for the project before the date  
24 of the partnership agreement for the project.

1 **SEC. 3095. GRAND PORTAGE HARBOR, MINNESOTA.**

2       The Secretary shall provide credit in accordance with  
3 section 221 of the Flood Control Act (42 U.S.C. 1962d-  
4 5b) toward the non-Federal share of the cost of the navi-  
5 gation project for Grand Portage Harbor, Minnesota, car-  
6 ried out under section 107 of the River and Harbor Act  
7 of 1960 (33 U.S.C. 577), for the costs of design work car-  
8 ried out for the project before the date of the partnership  
9 agreement for the project.

10 **SEC. 3096. GRANITE FALLS, MINNESOTA.**

11       (a) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary is directed to im-  
12 plement the locally preferred plan for flood damage reduc-  
13 tion, Granite Falls, Minnesota, at a total cost of  
14 \$12,000,000, with an estimated Federal cost of  
15 \$8,000,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost of  
16 \$4,000,000. In carrying out the project, the Secretary  
17 shall utilize, to the extent practicable, the existing detailed  
18 project report dated 2002 for the project prepared under  
19 the authority of section 205 of the Flood Control Act of  
20 1948 (33 U.S.C. 701s).

21       (b) **PROJECT FINANCING.**—In evaluating and imple-  
22 menting the project under this section, the Secretary shall  
23 allow the non-Federal interests to participate in the fi-  
24 nancing of the project in accordance with section 903(e)  
25 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (100  
26 Stat. 4184) if the detailed project report evaluation indi-



1 cates that applying such section is necessary to implement  
2 the project.

3 (c) CREDIT.—The Secretary shall credit, in accord-  
4 ance with section 221 of the Flood Control Act of 1970  
5 (42 U.S.C. 1962d–5b), toward the non-Federal share of  
6 the project the cost of design and construction work car-  
7 ried out by the non-Federal interest for the project before  
8 the date of execution of a partnership agreement for the  
9 project.

10 (d) MAXIMUM FUNDING.—The maximum amount of  
11 Federal funds that may be expended for the flood damage  
12 reduction shall be \$8,000,000.

13 **SEC. 3097. KNIFE RIVER HARBOR, MINNESOTA.**

14 The project for navigation, Harbor at Knife River,  
15 Minnesota, authorized by section 2 of the Rivers and Har-  
16 bors Act of March 2, 1945 (59 Stat. 19), is modified to  
17 direct the Secretary to develop a final design and prepare  
18 plans and specifications to correct the harbor entrance and  
19 mooring conditions at the project.

20 **SEC. 3098. RED LAKE RIVER, MINNESOTA.**

21 The project for flood control, Red Lake River,  
22 Crookston, Minnesota, authorized by section 101(a)(23) of  
23 the Water Resources Development Act of 1999 (113 Stat.  
24 278), is modified to include flood protection for the adja-  
25 cent and interconnected areas generally known as the

1 Sampson and Chase/Loring neighborhoods, in accordance  
2 with the feasibility report supplement for local flood pro-  
3 tection, Crookston, Minnesota, at a total cost of  
4 \$25,000,000, with an estimated Federal cost of  
5 \$16,250,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost of  
6 \$8,750,000.

7 **SEC. 3099. SILVER BAY, MINNESOTA.**

8       The project for navigation, Silver Bay, Minnesota,  
9 authorized by section 2 of the Rivers and Harbors Act  
10 of March 2, 1945 (59 Stat. 19), is modified to include  
11 operation and maintenance of the general navigation fa-  
12 cilities as a Federal responsibility.

13 **SEC. 3100. TACONITE HARBOR, MINNESOTA.**

14       The project for navigation, Taconite Harbor, Min-  
15 nesota, carried out under section 107 of the River and  
16 Harbor Act of 1960 (33 U.S.C. 577), is modified to in-  
17 clude operation and maintenance of the general navigation  
18 facilities as a Federal responsibility.

19 **SEC. 3101. TWO HARBORS, MINNESOTA.**

20       (a) IN GENERAL.—Notwithstanding the require-  
21 ments of section 107(a) of the River and Harbor Act of  
22 1960 (33 U.S.C. 577(a)), the project for navigation, Two  
23 Harbors, Minnesota, being carried out under such author-  
24 ity, is justified on the basis of navigation safety.

1           (b) **MAXIMUM FEDERAL EXPENDITURES.**—The max-  
2 imum amount of Federal funds that may be expended for  
3 the project shall be \$7,000,000.

4 **SEC. 3102. DEER ISLAND, HARRISON COUNTY, MISSISSIPPI.**

5           The project for ecosystem restoration, Deer Island,  
6 Harrison County, Mississippi, being carried out under sec-  
7 tion 204 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1992  
8 (33 U.S.C. 2326), is modified to authorize the non-Fed-  
9 eral interest to provide, in accordance with section 221  
10 of the Flood Control Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C. 1962d–5b),  
11 any portion of the non-Federal share of the cost of the  
12 project in the form of in-kind services and materials.

13 **SEC. 3103. JACKSON COUNTY, MISSISSIPPI.**

14           (a) **MODIFICATION.**—Section 331 of the Water Re-  
15 sources Development Act of 1999 (113 Stat. 305) is  
16 amended by striking “\$5,000,000” and inserting  
17 “\$9,000,000”.

18           (b) **APPLICABILITY OF CREDIT.**—The credit provided  
19 by section 331 of the Water Resources Development Act  
20 of 1999 (113 Stat. 305) (as amended by subsection (a)  
21 of this section) shall apply to costs incurred by the Jack-  
22 son County Board of Supervisors during the period begin-  
23 ning on February 8, 1994, and ending on the date of en-  
24 actment of this Act for projects authorized by section

1 219(c)(5) of the Water Resources Development Act of  
2 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 110 Stat. 3757; 113 Stat. 1494).

3 **SEC. 3104. PEARL RIVER BASIN, MISSISSIPPI.**

4 (a) IN GENERAL.—The project for flood damage re-  
5 duction, Pearl River Basin, including Shoccoe, Mississippi,  
6 authorized by section 401(e)(3) of the Water Resources  
7 Development Act of 1986 (100 Stat. 4132), is modified  
8 to authorize the Secretary, subject to subsection (c), to  
9 construct the project generally in accordance with the plan  
10 described in the “Pearl River Watershed, Mississippi, Fea-  
11 sibility Study Main Report, Preliminary Draft”, dated  
12 February 2007, at a total cost of \$205,800,000, with an  
13 estimated Federal cost of \$133,770,000 and an estimated  
14 non-Federal cost of \$72,030,000.

15 (b) COMPARISON OF ALTERNATIVES.—Before initi-  
16 ating construction of the project, the Secretary shall com-  
17 pare the level of flood damage reduction provided by the  
18 plan that maximizes national economic development bene-  
19 fits of the project and the locally preferred plan, referred  
20 to as the LeFleur Lakes plan, to that portion of Jackson,  
21 Mississippi and vicinity, located below the Ross Barnett  
22 Reservoir Dam.

23 (c) IMPLEMENTATION OF PLAN.—

24 (1) IN GENERAL.—If the Secretary determines  
25 under subsection (b) that the locally preferred plan

1 provides a level of flood damage reduction that is  
2 equal to or greater than the level of flood damage  
3 reduction provided by the national economic develop-  
4 ment plan and that the locally preferred plan is envi-  
5 ronmentally acceptable and technically feasible, the  
6 Secretary may construct the project identified as the  
7 national economic development plan, or the locally  
8 preferred plan, or some combination thereof.

9 (2) CONSTRUCTION BY NON-FEDERAL INTER-  
10 ESTS.—The non-Federal interest may carry out the  
11 project under section 211 of the Water Resources  
12 Development Act of 1996 (33 U.S.C. 701b–13).

13 (d) PROJECT FINANCING.—In evaluating and imple-  
14 menting the project under this section, the Secretary shall  
15 allow the non-Federal interests to participate in the fi-  
16 nancing of the project in accordance with section 903(c)  
17 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (100  
18 Stat. 4184) if the detailed project report evaluation indi-  
19 cates that applying such section is necessary to implement  
20 the project.

21 (e) NON-FEDERAL COST SHARE.—If the locally pre-  
22 ferred plan is selected for construction of the project, the  
23 Federal share of the cost of the project shall be limited  
24 to the share as provided by law for the elements of the  
25 national economic development plan.

1 **SEC. 3105. FESTUS AND CRYSTAL CITY, MISSOURI.**

2 Section 102(b)(1) of the Water Resources Develop-  
3 ment Act of 1999 (113 Stat. 282) is amended by striking  
4 “\$10,000,000” and inserting “\$13,000,000”.

5 **SEC. 3106. L-15 LEVEE, MISSOURI.**

6 The portion of the L-15 levee system that is under  
7 the jurisdiction of the Consolidated North County Levee  
8 District and situated along the right descending bank of  
9 the Mississippi River from the confluence of that river  
10 with the Missouri River and running upstream approxi-  
11 mately 14 miles shall be considered to be a Federal levee  
12 for purposes of cost sharing under section 5 of the Act  
13 of August 18, 1941 (33 U.S.C. 701n).

14 **SEC. 3107. MONARCH-CHESTERFIELD, MISSOURI.**

15 The project for flood damage reduction, Monarch-  
16 Chesterfield, Missouri, authorized by section 101(b)(18)  
17 of the Water Resources Development Act of 2000 (114  
18 Stat. 2578), is modified to direct the Secretary to credit,  
19 in accordance with section 221 of the Flood Control Act  
20 of 1970 (42 U.S.C. 1962d-5b), toward the non-Federal  
21 share of the cost of the project the cost of the planning,  
22 design, and construction work carried out by the non-Fed-  
23 eral interest for the project before the date of the partner-  
24 ship agreement for the project.

1 **SEC. 3108. RIVER DES PERES, MISSOURI.**

2 The projects for flood control, River Des Peres, Mis-  
3 souri, authorized by section 101(a)(17) of the Water Re-  
4 sources Development Act of 1990 (104 Stat. 4607) and  
5 section 102(13) of the Water Resources Development Act  
6 of 1996 (110 Stat. 3668), are each modified to direct the  
7 Secretary to credit, in accordance with section 221 of the  
8 Flood Control Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C. 1962d-5b), toward  
9 the non-Federal share of the cost of the project the cost  
10 of work carried out by the non-Federal interest for the  
11 project before the date of the partnership agreement for  
12 the project.

13 **SEC. 3109. LOWER YELLOWSTONE PROJECT, MONTANA.**

14 The Secretary may use funds appropriated to carry  
15 out the Missouri River recovery and mitigation program  
16 to assist the Bureau of Reclamation in the design and con-  
17 struction of the Lower Yellowstone project of the Bureau,  
18 Intake, Montana, for the purpose of ecosystem restoration.

19 **SEC. 3110. YELLOWSTONE RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES, MON-**  
20 **TANA AND NORTH DAKOTA.**

21 (a) DEFINITION OF RESTORATION PROJECT.—In  
22 this section, the term “restoration project” means a  
23 project that will produce, in accordance with other Federal  
24 programs, projects, and activities, substantial ecosystem  
25 restoration and related benefits, as determined by the Sec-  
26 retary.

1 (b) PROJECTS.—The Secretary shall carry out, in ac-  
2 cordance with other Federal programs, projects, and ac-  
3 tivities, restoration projects in the watershed of the Yel-  
4 lowstone River and tributaries in Montana, and in North  
5 Dakota, to produce immediate and substantial ecosystem  
6 restoration and recreation benefits.

7 (c) LOCAL PARTICIPATION.—In carrying out sub-  
8 section (b), the Secretary shall—

9 (1) consult with, and consider the activities  
10 being carried out by—

11 (A) other Federal agencies;

12 (B) Indian tribes;

13 (C) conservation districts; and

14 (D) the Yellowstone River Conservation  
15 District Council; and

16 (2) seek the participation of the State of Mon-  
17 tana.

18 (d) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There is  
19 authorized to be appropriated to carry out this section  
20 \$30,000,000.

21 **SEC. 3111. ANTELOPE CREEK, LINCOLN, NEBRASKA.**

22 The project for flood damage reduction, Antelope  
23 Creek, Lincoln, Nebraska, authorized by section  
24 101(b)(19) of the Water Resources Development Act of  
25 2000 (114 Stat. 2578), is modified—



1           (1) to direct the Secretary to credit, in accord-  
2           ance with section 221 of the Flood Control Act of  
3           1970 (42 U.S.C. 1962d-5b), toward the non-Federal  
4           share of the cost of the project the cost of design  
5           and construction work carried out by the non-Fed-  
6           eral interest for the project before the date of the  
7           partnership agreement for the project; and

8           (2) to allow the non-Federal interest for the  
9           project to use, and to direct the Secretary to accept,  
10          funds provided under any other Federal program to  
11          satisfy, in whole or in part, the non-Federal share  
12          of the project if the Federal agency that provides  
13          such funds determines that the funds are authorized  
14          to be used to carry out the project.

15 **SEC. 3112. SAND CREEK WATERSHED, WAHOO, NEBRASKA.**

16          The project for ecosystem restoration and flood dam-  
17          age reduction, Sand Creek watershed, Wahoo, Nebraska,  
18          authorized by section 101(b)(20) of the Water Resources  
19          Development Act of 2000 (114 Stat. 2578), is modified—

20               (1) to direct the Secretary to credit, in accord-  
21               ance with section 221 of the Flood Control Act of  
22               1970 (42 U.S.C. 1962d-5b), toward the non-Federal  
23               share of the cost of the project or reimbursement for  
24               the costs of any work performed by the non-Federal  
25               interest for the project before the approval of the

1 project partnership agreement, including work per-  
2 formed by the non-Federal interest in connection  
3 with the design and construction of 7 upstream de-  
4 tention storage structures;

5 (2) to require that in-kind work to be credited  
6 under paragraph (1) be subject to audit; and

7 (3) to direct the Secretary to accept advance  
8 funds from the non-Federal interest as needed to  
9 maintain the project schedule.

10 **SEC. 3113. WESTERN SARPY AND CLEAR CREEK, NEBRASKA.**

11 The project for ecosystem restoration and flood dam-  
12 age reduction, Western Sarpy and Clear Creek, Nebraska,  
13 authorized by section 101(b)(21) of the Water Resources  
14 Development Act of 2000 (114 Stat. 2578), is modified  
15 to authorize the Secretary to construct the project at a  
16 total cost of \$21,664,000, with an estimated Federal cost  
17 of \$14,082,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost of  
18 \$7,582,000.

19 **SEC. 3114. LOWER TRUCKEE RIVER, MCCARRAN RANCH, NE-**  
20 **VADA.**

21 The maximum amount of Federal funds that may be  
22 expended for the project being carried out, as of the date  
23 of enactment of this Act, under section 1135 of the Water  
24 Resources Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2309a)

1 for environmental restoration of McCarran Ranch, Ne-  
2 vada, shall be \$5,775,000.

3 **SEC. 3115. LOWER CAPE MAY MEADOWS, CAPE MAY POINT,**  
4 **NEW JERSEY.**

5 The project for navigation mitigation, ecosystem res-  
6 toration, shore protection, and hurricane and storm dam-  
7 age reduction, Lower Cape May Meadows, Cape May  
8 Point, New Jersey, authorized by section 101(a)(25) of  
9 the Water Resources Development Act of 1999 (113 Stat.  
10 278), is modified to incorporate the project for shoreline  
11 erosion control, Cape May Point, New Jersey, carried out  
12 under section 5 of the Act entitled "An Act authorizing  
13 Federal participation in the cost of protecting the shores  
14 of publicly owned property", approved August 13, 1946  
15 (33 U.S.C. 426h), if the Secretary determines that such  
16 incorporation is feasible.

17 **SEC. 3116. PASSAIC RIVER BASIN FLOOD MANAGEMENT,**  
18 **NEW JERSEY.**

19 The project for flood control, Passaic River, New Jer-  
20 sey and New York, authorized by section 101(a)(18) of  
21 the Water Resources Development Act of 1990 (104 Stat.  
22 4607) and modified by section 327 of the Water Resources  
23 Development Act of 2000 (114 Stat. 2607), is modified  
24 to direct the Secretary to include the benefits and costs

1 of preserving natural flood storage in any future economic  
2 analysis of the project.

3 **SEC. 3117. COOPERATIVE AGREEMENTS, NEW MEXICO.**

4 The Secretary may enter into cooperative agreements  
5 with any Indian tribe any land of which is located in the  
6 State of New Mexico and occupied by a flood control  
7 project that is owned and operated by the Corps of Engi-  
8 neers to assist in carrying out any operation or mainte-  
9 nance activity associated with the flood control project.

10 **SEC. 3118. MIDDLE RIO GRANDE RESTORATION, NEW MEX-**  
11 **ICO.**

12 (a) **RESTORATION PROJECTS DEFINED.**—In this sec-  
13 tion, the term “restoration project” means a project that  
14 will produce, consistent with other Federal programs,  
15 projects, and activities, immediate and substantial eco-  
16 system restoration and recreation benefits.

17 (b) **PROJECT SELECTION.**—The Secretary shall select  
18 and shall carry out restoration projects in the Middle Rio  
19 Grande from Cochiti Dam to the headwaters of Elephant  
20 Butte Reservoir in the State of New Mexico.

21 (c) **LOCAL PARTICIPATION.**—In carrying out sub-  
22 section (b), the Secretary shall consult with, and consider  
23 the activities being carried out by—

24 (1) the Middle Rio Grande Endangered Species  
25 Act Collaborative Program; and

1           (2) the Bosque Improvement Group of the Mid-  
2       dle Rio Grande Bosque Initiative.

3       (d) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There is  
4 authorized to be appropriated \$25,000,000 to carry out  
5 this section.

6 **SEC. 3119. BUFFALO HARBOR, NEW YORK.**

7       The project for navigation, Buffalo Harbor, New  
8 York, authorized by section 101 of the River and Harbor  
9 Act of 1962 (76 Stat. 1176), is modified to include meas-  
10 ures to enhance public access, at Federal cost of  
11 \$500,000.

12 **SEC. 3120. LONG ISLAND SOUND OYSTER RESTORATION,**  
13 **NEW YORK AND CONNECTICUT.**

14       (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall plan, design,  
15 and construct projects to increase aquatic habitats within  
16 Long Island Sound and adjacent waters, including the  
17 construction and restoration of oyster beds and related  
18 shellfish habitat.

19       (b) COST SHARING.—The non-Federal share of the  
20 cost of activities carried out under this section shall be  
21 25 percent and may be provided through in-kind services  
22 and materials.

23       (c) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There is  
24 authorized to be appropriated \$25,000,000 to carry out  
25 this section.

1 **SEC. 3121. MAMARONECK AND SHELDRAKE RIVERS WATER-**  
2 **SHED MANAGEMENT, NEW YORK.**

3 (a) **WATERSHED MANAGEMENT PLAN DEVELOP-**  
4 **MENT.—**

5 (1) **IN GENERAL.—**The Secretary, in consulta-  
6 tion with the State of New York and local entities,  
7 shall develop watershed management plans for the  
8 Mamaroneck and Sheldrake River watershed for the  
9 purposes of evaluating existing and new flood dam-  
10 age reduction and ecosystem restoration.

11 (2) **EXISTING PLANS.—**In developing the water-  
12 shed management plans, the Secretary shall use ex-  
13 isting studies and plans, as appropriate.

14 (b) **CRITICAL RESTORATION PROJECTS.—**

15 (1) **IN GENERAL.—**The Secretary may partici-  
16 pate in any eligible critical restoration project in the  
17 Mamaroneck and Sheldrake Rivers watershed in ac-  
18 cordance with the watershed management plans de-  
19 veloped under subsection (a).

20 (2) **ELIGIBLE PROJECTS.—**A critical restoration  
21 project shall be eligible for assistance under this sec-  
22 tion if the project—

23 (A) meets the purposes described in the  
24 watershed management plans developed under  
25 subsection (a); and

1 (B) with respect to the Mamaroneck and  
2 Sheldrake Rivers watershed in New York, con-  
3 sists of flood damage reduction or ecosystem  
4 restoration through—

5 (i) bank stabilization of the mainstem,  
6 tributaries, and streams;

7 (ii) wetland restoration;

8 (iii) soil and water conservation;

9 (iv) restoration of natural flows;

10 (v) restoration of stream stability;

11 (vi) structural and nonstructural flood  
12 damage reduction measures; or

13 (vii) any other project or activity the  
14 Secretary determines to be appropriate.

15 (c) COOPERATIVE AGREEMENTS.—In carrying out  
16 this section, the Secretary may enter into one or more co-  
17 operative agreements to provide financial assistance to ap-  
18 propriate Federal, State, or local governments or non-  
19 profit agencies, including assistance for the implementa-  
20 tion of projects to be carried out under subsection (b).

21 (d) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There is  
22 authorized to be appropriated to carry out this section  
23 \$30,000,000, to remain available until expended.

1 **SEC. 3122. ORCHARD BEACH, BRONX, NEW YORK.**

2 Section 554 of the Water Resources Development Act  
3 of 1996 (110 Stat. 3781) is amended by striking “max-  
4 imum Federal cost of \$5,200,000” and inserting “total  
5 cost of \$20,000,000”.

6 **SEC. 3123. PORT OF NEW YORK AND NEW JERSEY, NEW**  
7 **YORK AND NEW JERSEY.**

8 The navigation project, Port of New York and New  
9 Jersey, New York and New Jersey, authorized by section  
10 101(a)(2) of the Water Resources Development Act of  
11 2000 (114 Stat. 2576), is modified—

12 (1) to authorize the Secretary to allow the non-  
13 Federal interest to construct a temporary dredged  
14 material storage facility to receive dredged material  
15 from the project if—

16 (A) the non-Federal interest submits, in  
17 writing, a list of potential sites for the tem-  
18 porary storage facility to the Committee on  
19 Transportation and Infrastructure of the House  
20 of Representatives, the Committee on Environ-  
21 ment and Public Works of the Senate, and the  
22 Secretary at least 180 days before the selection  
23 of the final site; and

24 (B) at least 70 percent of the dredged ma-  
25 terial generated in connection with the project  
26 suitable for beneficial reuse will be used at sites



1 in the State of New Jersey to the extent that  
2 there are sufficient sites available; and

3 (2) to direct the Secretary to credit, in accord-  
4 ance with section 221 of the Flood Control Act of  
5 1970 (42 U.S.C. 1962d-5b), toward the non-Federal  
6 share of the cost of the project the cost of construc-  
7 tion of the temporary storage facility for the project.

8 **SEC. 3124. NEW YORK STATE CANAL SYSTEM.**

9 Section 553(c) of the Water Resources Development  
10 Act of 1996 (110 Stat. 3781) is amended to read as fol-  
11 lows:

12 “(c) NEW YORK STATE CANAL SYSTEM DEFINED.—  
13 In this section, the term ‘New York State Canal System’  
14 means the 524 miles of navigable canal that comprise the  
15 New York State Canal System, including the Erie, Ca-  
16 yuga-Seneca, Oswego, and Champlain Canals and the his-  
17 toric alignments of these canals, including the cities of Al-  
18 bany, Rochester, and Buffalo.”.

19 **SEC. 3125. SUSQUEHANNA RIVER AND UPPER DELAWARE**  
20 **RIVER WATERSHED MANAGEMENT, NEW**  
21 **YORK.**

22 (a) WATERSHED MANAGEMENT PLAN DEVELOP-  
23 MENT.—

24 (1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary, in consulta-  
25 tion with the State of New York, the Delaware or

1 Susquehanna River Basin Commission, as appro-  
2 priate, and local entities, shall develop watershed  
3 management plans for the Susquehanna River wa-  
4 tershed in New York State and the Upper Delaware  
5 River watershed for the purposes of evaluating exist-  
6 ing and new flood damage reduction and ecosystem  
7 restoration.

8 (2) EXISTING PLANS.—In developing the water-  
9 shed management plans, the Secretary shall use ex-  
10 isting studies and plans, as appropriate.

11 (b) CRITICAL RESTORATION PROJECTS.—

12 (1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary may partici-  
13 pate in any eligible critical restoration project in the  
14 Susquehanna River or Upper Delaware Rivers in ac-  
15 cordance with the watershed management plans de-  
16 veloped under subsection (a).

17 (2) ELIGIBLE PROJECTS.—A critical restoration  
18 project shall be eligible for assistance under this sec-  
19 tion if the project—

20 (A) meets the purposes described in the  
21 watershed management plans developed under  
22 subsection (a); and

23 (B) with respect to the Susquehanna River  
24 or Upper Delaware River watershed in New

1 York, consists of flood damage reduction or eco-  
2 system restoration through—

3 (i) bank stabilization of the mainstem,  
4 tributaries, and streams;

5 (ii) wetland restoration;

6 (iii) soil and water conservation;

7 (iv) restoration of natural flows;

8 (v) restoration of stream stability;

9 (vi) structural and nonstructural flood  
10 damage reduction measures; or

11 (vii) any other project or activity the  
12 Secretary determines to be appropriate.

13 (c) COOPERATIVE AGREEMENTS.—In carrying out  
14 this section, the Secretary may enter into 1 or more coop-  
15 erative agreements to provide financial assistance to ap-  
16 propriate Federal, State, or local governments or non-  
17 profit agencies, including assistance for the implementa-  
18 tion of projects to be carried out under subsection (b).

19 (d) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There is  
20 authorized to be appropriated to carry out this section  
21 \$30,000,000, to remain available until expended.

22 **SEC. 3126. MISSOURI RIVER RESTORATION, NORTH DA-**  
23 **KOTA.**

24 Section 707(a) of the Water Resources Development  
25 Act of 2000 (114 Stat. 2699) is amended in the first sen-

1 tence by striking “\$5,000,000” and all that follows  
2 through “2005” and inserting “\$25,000,000”.

3 **SEC. 3127. WAHPETON, NORTH DAKOTA.**

4       The maximum amount of Federal funds that may be  
5 allotted for the project for flood damage reduction,  
6 Wahpeton, North Dakota, being carried out under section  
7 205 of the Flood Control Act of 1948 (33 U.S.C. 701s),  
8 shall be \$12,000,000.

9 **SEC. 3128. OHIO.**

10       Section 594 of the Water Resources Development Act  
11 of 1999 (113 Stat. 381) is amended—

12           (1) by redesignating subsections (f) and (g) as  
13 subsections (g) and (h), respectively; and

14           (2) by inserting after subsection (e) the fol-  
15 lowing:

16       “(f) NONPROFIT ENTITIES.—In accordance with sec-  
17 tion 221 of the Flood Control Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C.  
18 1962d–5b), a non-Federal interest for any project carried  
19 out under this section may include a nonprofit entity, with  
20 the consent of the affected local government.”.

21 **SEC. 3129. LOWER GIRARD LAKE DAM, GIRARD, OHIO.**

22       Section 507 of the Water Resources Development Act  
23 of 1996 (110 Stat. 3758) is amended—

24           (1) by inserting “(a) IN GENERAL.—” before  
25       “The Secretary”;

1           (2) in paragraph (1) of subsection (a) (as des-  
2           ignated by paragraph (1) of this subsection)—

3                   (A) by striking “Repair and rehabilitation”  
4                   and all that follows through “Ohio” and insert-  
5                   ing “Correction of structural deficiencies of the  
6                   Lower Girard Lake Dam, Girard, Ohio, and the  
7                   appurtenant features to meet the dam safety  
8                   standards of the State of Ohio”; and

9                   (B) by striking “\$2,500,000” and insert-  
10                  ing “\$16,000,000”; and

11           (3) by adding at the end the following:

12           “(b) SPECIAL RULES.—The project for Lower Girard  
13           Lake Dam, Girard, Ohio, authorized by subsection (a)(1)  
14           is justified on the basis of public safety.”.

15   **SEC. 3130. MAHONING RIVER, OHIO.**

16           In carrying out the project for environmental dredg-  
17           ing, authorized by section 312(f)(4) of the Water Re-  
18           sources Development Act of 1990 (33 U.S.C. 1272(f)(4)),  
19           the Secretary is directed to credit, in accordance with sec-  
20           tion 221 of the Flood Control Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C.  
21           1962d–5b), toward the non-Federal share of the cost of  
22           the project the cost of work carried out by the non-Federal  
23           interest for the project before the date of the partnership  
24           agreement for the project.

1 **SEC. 3131. ARCADIA LAKE, OKLAHOMA.**

2       Payments made by the city of Edmond, Oklahoma,  
3 to the Secretary in October 1999 of all costs associated  
4 with present and future water storage costs at Arcadia  
5 Lake, Oklahoma, under Arcadia Lake Water Storage Con-  
6 tract Number DACW56-79-C-0072 shall satisfy the obli-  
7 gations of the city under that contract.

8 **SEC. 3132. ARKANSAS RIVER CORRIDOR, OKLAHOMA.**

9       (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary is authorized to  
10 participate in the ecosystem restoration, recreation, and  
11 flood damage reduction components of the Arkansas River  
12 Corridor Master Plan dated October 2005. The Secretary  
13 shall coordinate with appropriate representatives in the vi-  
14 cinity of Tulsa, Oklahoma, including representatives of  
15 Tulsa County and surrounding communities and the In-  
16 dian Nations Council of Governments.

17       (b) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There is  
18 authorized to be appropriated \$50,000,000 to carry out  
19 this section.

20 **SEC. 3133. LAKE EUFAULA, OKLAHOMA.**

21       (a) PROJECT GOAL.—

22           (1) IN GENERAL.—The goal for operation of  
23 Lake Eufaula, Oklahoma, shall be to maximize the  
24 use of available storage in a balanced approach that  
25 incorporates advice from representatives from all the

1 project purposes to ensure that the full value of the  
2 reservoir is realized by the United States.

3 (2) RECOGNITION OF PURPOSE.—To achieve  
4 the goal described in paragraph (1), recreation is  
5 recognized as a project purpose at Lake Eufaula,  
6 pursuant to section 4 of the Flood Control Act of  
7 December 22, 1944 (58 Stat. 889).

8 (b) LAKE EUFAULA ADVISORY COMMITTEE.—

9 (1) IN GENERAL.—In accordance with the Fed-  
10 eral Advisory Committee Act (5 U.S.C. App.), the  
11 Secretary shall establish an advisory committee for  
12 the Lake Eufaula, Canadian River, Oklahoma  
13 project authorized by the first section of the River  
14 and Harbor Act of July 24, 1946 (60 Stat. 635).

15 (2) PURPOSE.—The purpose of the committee  
16 shall be advisory only.

17 (3) DUTIES.—The committee shall provide in-  
18 formation and recommendations to the Corps of En-  
19 gineers regarding the operations of Lake Eufaula for  
20 the project purposes for Lake Eufaula.

21 (4) COMPOSITION.—The Committee shall be  
22 composed of members that equally represent the  
23 project purposes for Lake Eufaula.

24 (c) REALLOCATION STUDY.—

1           (1) IN GENERAL.—Subject to the appropriation  
2 of funds, the Secretary shall perform a reallocation  
3 study, at Federal expense, to develop and present  
4 recommendations concerning the best value, while  
5 minimizing ecological damages, for current and fu-  
6 ture use of the Lake Eufaula storage capacity for  
7 the authorized project purposes of flood control,  
8 water supply, hydroelectric power, navigation, fish  
9 and wildlife, and recreation.

10           (2) FACTORS FOR CONSIDERATION.—The re-  
11 allocation study shall take into consideration the rec-  
12 ommendations of the Lake Eufaula Advisory Com-  
13 mittee.

14           (d) POOL MANAGEMENT PLAN.—

15           (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than one year  
16 after the date of enactment of this Act, to the extent  
17 feasible within available project funds and subject to  
18 the completion and approval of the reallocation  
19 study under subsection (c), the Tulsa district engi-  
20 neer, taking into consideration recommendations of  
21 the Lake Eufaula Advisory Committee, shall develop  
22 an interim management plan that accommodates all  
23 project purposes for Lake Eufaula.

24           (2) MODIFICATIONS.—A modification of the  
25 plan under paragraph (1) shall not cause significant



1 adverse impacts on any existing permit, lease, li-  
2 cense, contract, public law, or project purpose, in-  
3 cluding flood control operation, relating to Lake  
4 Eufaula.

5 **SEC. 3134. OKLAHOMA LAKES DEMONSTRATION PROGRAM,**  
6 **OKLAHOMA.**

7 (a) IMPLEMENTATION OF PROGRAM.—Not later than  
8 one year after the date of enactment of this Act, the Sec-  
9 retary shall implement an innovative program at the lakes  
10 located primarily in the State of Oklahoma that are a part  
11 of an authorized civil works project under the administra-  
12 tive jurisdiction of the Corps of Engineers for the purpose  
13 of demonstrating the benefits of enhanced recreation fa-  
14 cilities and activities at those lakes.

15 (b) REQUIREMENTS.—In implementing the program  
16 under subsection (a), the Secretary, consistent with au-  
17 thorized project purposes, shall—

18 (1) pursue strategies that will enhance, to the  
19 maximum extent practicable, recreation experiences  
20 at the lakes included in the program;

21 (2) use creative management strategies that op-  
22 timize recreational activities; and

23 (3) ensure continued public access to recreation  
24 areas located on or associated with the civil works  
25 project.

1           (c) GUIDELINES.—Not later than 180 days after the  
2 date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall issue  
3 guidelines for the implementation of this section, to be de-  
4 veloped in coordination with the State of Oklahoma.

5           (d) REPORT.—

6           (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 2 years after  
7 the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary  
8 shall submit to the Committee on Environment and  
9 Public Works of the Senate and the Committee on  
10 Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of  
11 Representatives a report describing the results of the  
12 program under subsection (a).

13           (2) INCLUSIONS.—The report under paragraph  
14 (1) shall include a description of the projects under-  
15 taken under the program, including—

16                   (A) an estimate of the change in any re-  
17 lated recreational opportunities;

18                   (B) a description of any leases entered  
19 into, including the parties involved; and

20                   (C) the financial conditions that the Corps  
21 of Engineers used to justify those leases.

22           (3) AVAILABILITY TO PUBLIC.—The Secretary  
23 shall make the report available to the public in elec-  
24 tronic and written formats.

1 (e) TERMINATION.—The authority provided by this  
2 section shall terminate on the date that is 10 years after  
3 the date of enactment of this Act.

4 **SEC. 3135. OTTAWA COUNTY, OKLAHOMA.**

5 (a) IN GENERAL.—There is authorized to be appro-  
6 priated \$30,000,000 for the purposes set forth in sub-  
7 section (b).

8 (b) PURPOSES.—Notwithstanding any other provi-  
9 sion of law, funds appropriated under subsection (a) may  
10 be used for the purpose of—

11 (1) the buyout of properties and permanently  
12 relocating residents and businesses in or near  
13 Picher, Cardin, and Hockerville, Oklahoma, from  
14 areas determined by the State of Oklahoma to be at  
15 risk of damage caused by land subsidence and re-  
16 maining properties; and

17 (2) providing funding to the State of Oklahoma  
18 to buyout properties and permanently relocate resi-  
19 dents and businesses of Picher, Cardin, and  
20 Hockerville, Oklahoma, from areas determined by  
21 the State of Oklahoma to be at risk of damage  
22 caused by land subsidence and remaining properties.

23 (c) LIMITATION.—The use of funds in accordance  
24 with subsection (b) shall not be considered to be part of  
25 a federally assisted program or project for purposes of

1 Public Law 91–646 (42 U.S.C. 4601 et seq.), consistent  
2 with section 2301 of Public Law 109–234 (120 Stat. 455).

3 (d) CONSISTENCY WITH STATE PROGRAM.—Any ac-  
4 tions taken under subsection (b) shall be consistent with  
5 the relocation program in the State of Oklahoma under  
6 27A O.S. Supp. 2006, sections 2201 et seq.

7 (e) CONSIDERATION OF REMEDIAL ACTION.—The  
8 Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency  
9 shall consider, without delay, a remedial action under the  
10 Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation,  
11 and Liability Act of 1980 (42 U.S.C. 9601 et seq.) for  
12 the Tar Creek, Oklahoma, National Priorities List site  
13 that includes permanent relocation of residents consistent  
14 with the program currently being administered by the  
15 State of Oklahoma. Such relocation shall not be subject  
16 to the Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property  
17 Acquisition Policies Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C. 4601 et seq.).

18 (f) ESTIMATING COSTS.—In estimating and com-  
19 paring the cost of a remedial alternative for the Tar Creek  
20 Oklahoma, National Priorities List site that includes the  
21 permanent relocation of residents, the Administrator shall  
22 not include the cost of compliance with the Uniform Relo-  
23 cation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Policies  
24 Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C. 4601 et seq.).

1 (g) EFFECT OF CERTAIN REMEDIES.—Inclusion of  
2 subsidence remedies, such as permanent relocation within  
3 any remedial action, shall not preempt, alter, or delay the  
4 right of any sovereign entity, including any State or tribal  
5 government, to seek remedies, including abatement, for  
6 land subsidence and subsidence risks under State law.

7 (h) AMENDMENT.—Section 111 of Public Law 108–  
8 137 (117 Stat. 1835) is amended—

9 (1) by adding at the end of subsection (a) the  
10 following: “Such activities also may include the pro-  
11 vision of financial assistance to facilitate the buy out  
12 of properties located in areas identified by the State  
13 as areas that are or will be at risk of damage caused  
14 by land subsidence and associated properties other-  
15 wise identified by the State. Any buyout of such  
16 properties shall not be considered to be part of a  
17 federally assisted program or project for purposes of  
18 Public Law 91–646 (42 U.S.C. 4601 et seq.), con-  
19 sistent with section 2301 of Public Law 109–234  
20 (120 Stat. 455–456).”; and

21 (2) by striking the first sentence of subsection  
22 (d) and inserting the following: “Non-Federal inter-  
23 ests shall be responsible for operating and maintain-  
24 ing any restoration alternatives constructed or car-  
25 ried out pursuant to this section.”.

1 **SEC. 3136. RED RIVER CHLORIDE CONTROL, OKLAHOMA**  
2 **AND TEXAS.**

3 The project for water quality control in the Arkansas  
4 and Red River Basin, Texas, Oklahoma, and Kansas, au-  
5 thorized by section 203 of the Flood Control Act of 1966  
6 (80 Stat. 1420) and modified by section 1107(a) of the  
7 Water Resources Development A of 1986 (100 Stat. 4229)  
8 is further modified to direct the Secretary to provide oper-  
9 ation and maintenance for the Red River Chloride Control  
10 project, Oklahoma and Texas, at Federal expense.

11 **SEC. 3137. WAURIKA LAKE, OKLAHOMA.**

12 The remaining obligation of the Waurika Project  
13 Master Conservancy District payable to the United States  
14 Government in the amounts, rates of interest, and pay-  
15 ment schedules—

16 (1) is set at the amounts, rates of interest, and  
17 payment schedules that existed on June 3, 1986,  
18 with respect to the project for Waurika Lake, Okla-  
19 homa; and

20 (2) may not be adjusted, altered, or changed  
21 without a specific, separate, and written agreement  
22 between the District and the United States.

23 **SEC. 3138. UPPER WILLAMETTE RIVER WATERSHED ECO-**  
24 **SYSTEM RESTORATION, OREGON.**

25 (a) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary shall conduct stud-  
26 ies and ecosystem restoration projects for the upper Wil-

1 lamette River watershed from Albany, Oregon, to the  
2 headwaters of the Willamette River and tributaries.

3 (b) CONSULTATION.—The Secretary shall carry out  
4 ecosystem restoration projects under this section for the  
5 Upper Willamette River watershed in consultation with  
6 the Governor of the State of Oregon, the heads of appro-  
7 priate Indian tribes, the Environmental Protection Agen-  
8 cy, the United States Fish and Wildlife Service, the Na-  
9 tional Marine Fisheries Service, the Bureau of Land Man-  
10 agement, the Forest Service, and local entities.

11 (c) AUTHORIZED ACTIVITIES.—In carrying out eco-  
12 system restoration projects under this section, the Sec-  
13 retary shall undertake activities necessary to protect, mon-  
14 itor, and restore fish and wildlife habitat.

15 (d) PRIORITY.—In carrying out this section, the Sec-  
16 retary shall give priority to a project to restore the mill-  
17 race in Eugene, Oregon, and shall include noneconomic  
18 benefits associated with the historical significance of the  
19 millrace and associated with preservation and enhance-  
20 ment of resources in evaluating the benefits of the project.

21 (e) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There is  
22 authorized to be appropriated to carry out this section  
23 \$15,000,000.

1 **SEC. 3139. DELAWARE RIVER, PENNSYLVANIA, NEW JER-**  
2 **SEY, AND DELAWARE.**

3 The Secretary may remove debris from the project  
4 for navigation, Delaware River, Pennsylvania, New Jersey,  
5 and Delaware, Philadelphia to the Sea.

6 **SEC. 3140. RAYSTOWN LAKE, PENNSYLVANIA.**

7 The Secretary may take such action as may be nec-  
8 essary, including construction of a breakwater, to prevent  
9 shoreline erosion between .07 and 2.7 miles south of Penn-  
10 sylvania State Route 994 on the east shore of Raystown  
11 Lake, Pennsylvania.

12 **SEC. 3141. SHERADEN PARK STREAM AND CHARTIERS**  
13 **CREEK, ALLEGHENY COUNTY, PENNSYL-**  
14 **VANIA.**

15 The project for aquatic ecosystem restoration,  
16 Sheraden Park Stream and Chartiers Creek, Allegheny  
17 County, Pennsylvania, being carried out under section 206  
18 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1996 (33  
19 U.S.C. 2330), is modified to direct the Secretary to credit,  
20 in accordance with section 221 of the Flood Control Act  
21 of 1970 (42 U.S.C. 1962d-5b), up to \$400,000 toward  
22 the non-Federal share of the cost of the project for plan-  
23 ning and design work carried out by the non-Federal in-  
24 terest for the project before the date of the partnership  
25 agreement for the project.



1 **SEC. 3142. SOLOMON'S CREEK, WILKES-BARRE, PENNSYL-**  
2 **VANIA.**

3 The project for flood control, Wyoming Valley, Penn-  
4 sylvania, authorized by section 401(a) of the Water Re-  
5 sources Development Act of 1986 (100 Stat. 4124), is  
6 modified to include as a project element the project for  
7 flood control for Solomon's Creek, Wilkes-Barre, Pennsyl-  
8 vania.

9 **SEC. 3143. SOUTH CENTRAL PENNSYLVANIA.**

10 Section 313 of the Water Resources Development Act  
11 of 1992 (106 Stat. 4845; 109 Stat. 407; 110 Stat. 3723;  
12 113 Stat. 310; 117 Stat. 142) is amended—

13 (1) in subsection (g)(1) by striking  
14 "\$180,000,000" and inserting "\$200,000,000"; and

15 (2) in subsection (h)(2) by striking "Allegheny,  
16 Armstrong, Beford, Blair, Cambria, Clearfield, Fay-  
17 ette, Franklin, Fulton, Greene, Huntingdon, Indi-  
18 ana, Juniata, Mifflin, Somerset, Snyder, Wash-  
19 ington, and Westmoreland Counties" and inserting  
20 "Allegheny, Armstrong, Bedford, Blair, Cambria,  
21 Fayette, Franklin, Fulton, Greene, Huntingdon, In-  
22 diana, Juniata, Somerset, Washington, and West-  
23 moreland Counties".

24 **SEC. 3144. WYOMING VALLEY, PENNSYLVANIA.**

25 In carrying out the project for flood control, Wyo-  
26 ming Valley, Pennsylvania, authorized by section 401(a)

1 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (100  
2 Stat. 4124), the Secretary shall coordinate with non-Fed-  
3 eral interests to review opportunities for increased public  
4 access.

5 **SEC. 3145. NARRAGANSETT BAY, RHODE ISLAND.**

6 The Secretary may use amounts in the Environ-  
7 mental Restoration Account, Formerly Used Defense  
8 Sites, under section 2703(a)(5) of title 10, United States  
9 Code, for the removal of abandoned marine camels at any  
10 formerly used defense site under the jurisdiction of the  
11 Department of Defense that is undergoing (or is scheduled  
12 to undergo) environmental remediation under chapter 160  
13 of title 10, United States Code (and other provisions of  
14 law), in Narragansett Bay, Rhode Island, in accordance  
15 with the Corps of Engineers prioritization process under  
16 the Formerly Used Defense Sites program.

17 **SEC. 3146. MISSOURI RIVER RESTORATION, SOUTH DA-**  
18 **KOTA.**

19 (a) **MEMBERSHIP.**—Section 904(b)(1)(B) of the  
20 Water Resources Development Act of 2000 (114 Stat.  
21 2708) is amended—

22 (1) in clause (vii) by striking “and” at the end;

23 (2) by redesignating clause (viii) as clause (ix);

24 and

25 (3) by inserting after clause (vii) the following:

1 “(viii) rural water systems; and”.

2 (b) REAUTHORIZATION.—Section 907(a) of such Act  
3 (114 Stat. 2712) is amended in the first sentence by strik-  
4 ing “2005” and inserting “2010”.

5 **SEC. 3147. CEDAR BAYOU, TEXAS.**

6 (a) CREDIT FOR PLANNING AND DESIGN.—The  
7 project for navigation, Cedar Bayou, Texas, reauthorized  
8 by section 349(a)(2) of the Water Resources Development  
9 Act of 2000 (114 Stat. 2632), is modified to direct the  
10 Secretary to credit, in accordance with section 221 of the  
11 Flood Control Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C. 1962d–5b), toward  
12 the non-Federal share of the cost of the project the cost  
13 of planning and design work carried out by the non-Fed-  
14 eral interest for the project before the date of the partner-  
15 ship agreement for the project.

16 (b) COST SHARING.—Cost sharing for construction  
17 and operation and maintenance of the project shall be de-  
18 termined in accordance with section 101 of the Water Re-  
19 sources Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2211).

20 (c) PROJECT FOR NAVIGATION.—Section 349(a)(2)  
21 of the Water Resources Development Act of 2000 (114  
22 Stat. 2632) is amended by striking “12 feet deep by 125  
23 feet wide” and inserting “that is 10 feet deep by 100 feet  
24 wide”.

1 **SEC. 3148. FREEPORT HARBOR, TEXAS.**

2 (a) IN GENERAL.—The project for navigation, Free-  
3 port Harbor, Texas, authorized by section 101 of the River  
4 and Harbor Act of 1970 (84 Stat. 1818), is modified to  
5 provide that—

6 (1) all project costs incurred as a result of the  
7 discovery of the sunken vessel COMSTOCK of the  
8 Corps of Engineers are a Federal responsibility; and

9 (2) the Secretary shall not seek further obliga-  
10 tion or responsibility for removal of the vessel COM-  
11 STOCK, or costs associated with a delay due to the  
12 discovery of the sunken vessel COMSTOCK, from  
13 the Port of Freeport.

14 (b) COST SHARING.—This section does not affect the  
15 authorized cost sharing for the balance of the project de-  
16 scribed in subsection (a).

17 **SEC. 3149. LAKE KEMP, TEXAS.**

18 (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary may not take any  
19 legal or administrative action seeking to remove a Lake  
20 Kemp improvement before the earlier of January 1, 2020,  
21 or the date of any transfer of ownership of the improve-  
22 ment occurring after the date of enactment of this Act.

23 (b) LIMITATION ON LIABILITY.—The United States,  
24 or any of its officers, agents, or assignees, shall not be  
25 liable for any injury, loss, or damage accruing to the own-  
26 ers of a Lake Kemp improvement, their lessees, or occu-

1 pants as a result of any flooding or inundation of such  
2 improvements by the waters of the Lake Kemp reservoir,  
3 or for such injury, loss, or damage as may occur through  
4 the operation and maintenance of the Lake Kemp dam  
5 and reservoir in any manner.

6 (c) LAKE KEMP IMPROVEMENT DEFINED.—In this  
7 section, the term “Lake Kemp improvement” means an  
8 improvement (including dwellings) located within the flow-  
9 age easement of Lake Kemp, Texas, below elevation 1159  
10 feet mean sea level.

11 **SEC. 3150. LOWER RIO GRANDE BASIN, TEXAS.**

12 The project for flood control, Lower Rio Grande  
13 Basin, Texas, authorized by section 401(a) of the Water  
14 Resources Development Act of 1986 (100 Stat. 4125), is  
15 modified—

16 (1) to include as part of the project flood pro-  
17 tection works to reroute drainage to Raymondville  
18 Drain constructed by the non-Federal interests in  
19 Hidalgo County in the vicinity of Edinburg, Texas,  
20 if the Secretary determines that such work is fea-  
21 sible;

22 (2) to direct the Secretary to credit, in accord-  
23 ance with section 221 of the Flood Control Act of  
24 1970 (42 U.S.C. 1962d–5b), toward the non-Federal  
25 share of the cost of the project the cost of planning,

1 design, and construction work carried out by the  
2 non-Federal interest for the project before the date  
3 of the partnership agreement for the project; and  
4 (3) to direct the Secretary in calculating the  
5 non-Federal share of the cost of the project, to make  
6 a determination, within 180 days after the date of  
7 enactment of this Act, under section 103(m) of the  
8 Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (33  
9 U.S.C. 2213(m)) on the non-Federal interest's abil-  
10 ity to pay.

11 **SEC. 3151. NORTH PADRE ISLAND, CORPUS CHRISTI BAY,**  
12 **TEXAS.**

13 The project for ecosystem restoration and storm dam-  
14 age reduction, North Padre Island, Corpus Christi Bay,  
15 Texas, authorized by section 556 of the Water Resources  
16 Development Act of 1999 (113 Stat. 353), is modified to  
17 include recreation as a project purpose.

18 **SEC. 3152. PAT MAYSE LAKE, TEXAS.**

19 The Secretary is directed to accept from the city of  
20 Paris, Texas, \$3,461,432 as payment in full of monies  
21 owed to the United States for water supply storage space  
22 in Pat Mayse Lake, Texas, under contract number DA-  
23 34-066-CIVENG-65-1272, including accrued interest.

1 **SEC. 3153. PROCTOR LAKE, TEXAS.**

2 The Secretary is authorized to purchase fee simple  
3 title to all properties located within the boundaries, and  
4 necessary for the operation, of the Proctor Lake project,  
5 Texas, authorized by section 203 of the Flood Control Act  
6 of 1954 (68 Stat. 1259).

7 **SEC. 3154. SAN ANTONIO CHANNEL, SAN ANTONIO, TEXAS.**

8 The project for flood control, San Antonio Channel,  
9 Texas, authorized by section 203 of the Flood Control Act  
10 of 1954 (68 Stat. 1259) as part of the comprehensive plan  
11 for flood protection on the Guadalupe and San Antonio  
12 Rivers in Texas and modified by section 103 of the Water  
13 Resources Development Act of 1976 (90 Stat. 2921) and  
14 section 335 of the Water Resources Development Act of  
15 2000 (114 Stat. 2611), is modified to authorize the Sec-  
16 retary to credit, in accordance with section 221 of the  
17 Flood Control Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C. 1962d-5b), toward  
18 the non-Federal share of the cost of the project the cost  
19 of design and construction work carried out by the non-  
20 Federal interest for the project.

21 **SEC. 3155. CONNECTICUT RIVER RESTORATION, VERMONT.**

22 Notwithstanding section 221 of the Flood Control  
23 Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C. 1962d-5b), as in effect on August  
24 5, 2005, with respect to the study entitled "Connecticut  
25 River Restoration Authority", dated May 23, 2001, a non-  
26 profit entity may act as the non-Federal interest for pur-

1 poses of carrying out the activities described in the agree-  
2 ment executed between The Nature Conservancy and the  
3 Department of the Army on August 5, 2005.

4 **SEC. 3156. DAM REMEDIATION, VERMONT.**

5 Section 543 of the Water Resources Development Act  
6 of 2000 (114 Stat. 2673) is amended—

7 (1) in subsection (a)(2) by striking “and” at  
8 the end;

9 (2) in subsection (a)(3) by striking the period  
10 at the end and inserting “; and”;

11 (3) by adding at the end of subsection (a) the  
12 following:

13 “(4) may carry out measures to restore, pro-  
14 tect, and preserve an ecosystem affected by a dam  
15 described in subsection (b).”; and

16 (4) by adding at the end of subsection (b) the  
17 following:

18 “(11) Camp Wapanaeki, Hardwick.

19 “(12) Star Lake Dam, Mt. Holly.

20 “(13) Curtis Pond, Calais.

21 “(14) Weathersfield Reservoir, Springfield.

22 “(15) Burr Pond, Sudbury.

23 “(16) Maidstone Lake, Guildhall.

24 “(17) Upper and Lower Hurricane Dam.

25 “(18) Lake Fairlee.



1 “(19) West Charleston Dam.

2 “(20) White River, Sharon.”.

3 **SEC. 3157. LAKE CHAMPLAIN EURASIAN MILFOIL, WATER**  
4 **CHESTNUT, AND OTHER NONNATIVE PLANT**  
5 **CONTROL, VERMONT.**

6 Under authority of section 104 of the River and Har-  
7 bor Act of 1958 (33 U.S.C. 610), the Secretary may revise  
8 the existing General Design Memorandum to permit the  
9 use of chemical means of control, when appropriate, of  
10 Eurasian milfoil, water chestnuts, and other nonnative  
11 plants in the Lake Champlain basin, Vermont.

12 **SEC. 3158. UPPER CONNECTICUT RIVER BASIN WETLAND**  
13 **RESTORATION, VERMONT AND NEW HAMP-**  
14 **SHIRE.**

15 (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary, in cooperation  
16 with the States of Vermont and New Hampshire, shall  
17 carry out a study and develop a strategy for the use of  
18 wetland restoration, soil and water conservation practices,  
19 and nonstructural measures to reduce flood damage, im-  
20 prove water quality, and create wildlife habitat in the  
21 Upper Connecticut River watershed.

22 (b) COOPERATIVE AGREEMENTS.—In conducting the  
23 study and developing the strategy under this section, the  
24 Secretary may enter into one or more cooperative agree-  
25 ments to provide technical assistance to appropriate Fed-

1 eral, State, and local agencies and nonprofit organizations  
2 with wetland restoration experience. Such assistance may  
3 include assistance for the implementation of wetland res-  
4 toration projects and soil and water conservation meas-  
5 ures.

6 (c) IMPLEMENTATION.—The Secretary shall carry  
7 out development and implementation of the strategy under  
8 this section in cooperation with local landowners and local  
9 government officials.

10 (d) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There is  
11 authorized to be appropriated to carry out this section  
12 \$5,000,000, to remain available until expended.

13 **SEC. 3159. UPPER CONNECTICUT RIVER BASIN ECOSYSTEM**  
14 **RESTORATION, VERMONT AND NEW HAMP-**  
15 **SHIRE.**

16 (a) GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLAN DEVELOP-  
17 MENT.—

18 (1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary, in coopera-  
19 tion with the Secretary of Agriculture and in con-  
20 sultation with the States of Vermont and New  
21 Hampshire and the Connecticut River Joint Com-  
22 mission, shall conduct a study and develop a general  
23 management plan for ecosystem restoration of the  
24 Upper Connecticut River ecosystem for the purposes  
25 of—

- 1 (A) habitat protection and restoration;
- 2 (B) streambank stabilization;
- 3 (C) restoration of stream stability;
- 4 (D) water quality improvement;
- 5 (E) aquatic nuisance species control;
- 6 (F) wetland restoration;
- 7 (G) fish passage; and
- 8 (H) natural flow restoration.

9 (2) EXISTING PLANS.—In developing the gen-  
10 eral management plan, the Secretary shall depend  
11 heavily on existing plans for the restoration of the  
12 Upper Connecticut River.

13 (b) CRITICAL RESTORATION PROJECTS.—

14 (1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary may partici-  
15 pate in any critical restoration project in the Upper  
16 Connecticut River basin in accordance with the gen-  
17 eral management plan developed under subsection  
18 (a).

19 (2) ELIGIBLE PROJECTS.—A critical restoration  
20 project shall be eligible for assistance under this sec-  
21 tion if the project—

22 (A) meets the purposes described in the  
23 general management plan developed under sub-  
24 section (a); and

1 (B) with respect to the Upper Connecticut  
2 River and Upper Connecticut River watershed,  
3 consists of—

4 (i) bank stabilization of the main  
5 stem, tributaries, and streams;

6 (ii) wetland restoration and migratory  
7 bird habitat restoration;

8 (iii) soil and water conservation;

9 (iv) restoration of natural flows;

10 (v) restoration of stream stability;

11 (vi) implementation of an intergovern-  
12 mental agreement for coordinating eco-  
13 system restoration, fish passage installa-  
14 tion, streambank stabilization, wetland res-  
15 toration, habitat protection and restora-  
16 tion, or natural flow restoration;

17 (vii) water quality improvement;

18 (viii) aquatic nuisance species control;

19 (ix) improvements in fish migration;

20 and

21 (x) conduct of any other project or ac-  
22 tivity determined to be appropriate by the  
23 Secretary.

24 (c) COOPERATIVE AGREEMENTS.—In carrying out  
25 this section, the Secretary may enter into one or more co-

1 operative agreements to provide financial assistance to ap-  
2 propriate Federal, State, or local governments or non-  
3 profit agencies. Such assistance may include assistance for  
4 the implementation of projects to be carried out under  
5 subsection (b).

6 (d) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There is  
7 authorized to be appropriated to carry out this section  
8 \$20,000,000. Such sums shall remain available until ex-  
9 pended.

10 **SEC. 3160. LAKE CHAMPLAIN WATERSHED, VERMONT AND**  
11 **NEW YORK.**

12 Section 542 of the Water Resources Development Act  
13 of 2000 (114 Stat. 2671) is amended—

14 (1) in subsection (b)(2)—

15 (A) by striking “or” at the end of subpara-  
16 graph (D);

17 (B) by redesignating subparagraph (E) as  
18 subparagraph (G); and

19 (C) by inserting after subparagraph (D)  
20 the following:

21 “(E) river corridor assessment, protection,  
22 management, and restoration for the purposes  
23 of ecosystem restoration;

24 “(F) geographic mapping conducted by the  
25 Secretary using existing technical capacity to

1 produce a high-resolution, multispectral satellite  
2 imagery-based land use and cover data set; or”;  
3 (2) in subsection (e)(2)(A)—

4 (A) by striking “The non-Federal” and in-  
5 serting the following:

6 “(i) IN GENERAL.—The non-Federal”;  
7 and

8 (B) by adding at the end the following:

9 “(ii) APPROVAL OF DISTRICT ENGI-  
10 NEER.—Approval of credit for design work  
11 of less than \$100,000 shall be determined  
12 by the appropriate district engineer.”;

13 (3) in subsection (e)(2)(C) by striking “up to  
14 50 percent of”; and

15 (4) in subsection (g) by striking “\$20,000,000”  
16 and inserting “\$32,000,000”.

17 **SEC. 3161. SANDBRIDGE BEACH, VIRGINIA BEACH, VIR-**  
18 **GINIA.**

19 The project for beach erosion control and hurricane  
20 protection, Sandbridge Beach, Virginia Beach, Virginia,  
21 authorized by section 101(22) of the Water Resources De-  
22 velopment Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4804) and modified by  
23 section 338 of the Water Resources Development Act of  
24 2000 (114 Stat. 2612), is modified to authorize the Sec-  
25 retary to review the project to determine whether any ad-

1 ditional Federal interest exists with respect to the project,  
2 taking into consideration conditions and development lev-  
3 els relating to the project in existence on the date of enact-  
4 ment of this Act.

5 **SEC. 3162. TANGIER ISLAND SEAWALL, VIRGINIA.**

6 Section 577(a) of the Water Resources Development  
7 Act of 1996 (110 Stat. 3789) is amended by striking “at  
8 a total cost of \$1,200,000, with an estimated Federal cost  
9 of \$900,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost of  
10 \$300,000.” and inserting “at a total cost of \$3,600,000.”.

11 **SEC. 3163. DUWAMISH/GREEN, WASHINGTON.**

12 The project for ecosystem restoration, Duwamish/  
13 Green, Washington, authorized by section 101(b)(26) of  
14 the Water Resources Development Act of 2000 (114 Stat.  
15 2579), is modified—

16 (1) to direct the Secretary to credit, in accord-  
17 ance with section 221 of the Flood Control Act of  
18 1970 (42 U.S.C. 1962d–5b), toward the non-Federal  
19 share of the cost of the project the cost of work ear-  
20 ried out by the non-Federal interest for the project  
21 before the date of the partnership agreement for the  
22 project; and

23 (2) to authorize the non-Federal interest to pro-  
24 vide any portion of the non-Federal share of the cost

1 of the project in the form of in-kind services and  
2 materials.

3 **SEC. 3164. MCNARY LOCK AND DAM, MCNARY NATIONAL**  
4 **WILDLIFE REFUGE, WASHINGTON AND**  
5 **IDAHO.**

6 (a) TRANSFER OF ADMINISTRATIVE JURISDIC-  
7 TION.—Administrative jurisdiction over the land acquired  
8 for the McNary Lock and Dam project and managed by  
9 the United States Fish and Wildlife Service under cooper-  
10 ative agreement number DACW68-4-00-13 with the  
11 Corps of Engineers, Walla Walla District, is transferred  
12 from the Secretary to the Secretary of the Interior.

13 (b) EASEMENTS.—The transfer of administrative ju-  
14 risdiction under paragraph (1) shall be subject to ease-  
15 ments in existence as of the date of enactment of this Act  
16 on land subject to the transfer.

17 (c) RIGHTS OF SECRETARY.—

18 (1) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in sub-  
19 paragraph (C), the Secretary shall retain rights de-  
20 scribed in subparagraph (B) with respect to the land  
21 for which administrative jurisdiction is transferred  
22 under paragraph (1).

23 (2) RIGHTS.—The rights of the Secretary re-  
24 ferred to in paragraph (1) are the rights—



1 (A) to flood land described in subsection  
2 (a) to the standard project flood elevation;

3 (B) to manipulate the level of the McNary  
4 project pool;

5 (C) to access land described in subsection  
6 (a) as may be required to install, maintain, and  
7 inspect sediment ranges and carry out similar  
8 activities;

9 (D) to construct and develop wetland, ri-  
10 parian habitat, or other environmental restora-  
11 tion features authorized by section 1135 of the  
12 Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (33  
13 U.S.C. 2309a) and section 206 of the Water  
14 Resources Development Act of 1996 (33 U.S.C.  
15 2330);

16 (E) to dredge and deposit fill materials;  
17 and

18 (F) to carry out management actions for  
19 the purpose of reducing the take of juvenile  
20 salmonids by avian colonies that inhabit, before,  
21 on, or after the date of enactment of this Act,  
22 any island included in the land described in  
23 subsection (a).

24 (3) COORDINATION.—Before exercising a right  
25 described in any of subparagraphs (C) through (F)

1 of paragraph (2), the Secretary shall coordinate the  
2 exercise with the Director of the United States Fish  
3 and Wildlife Service.

4 (d) MANAGEMENT.—

5 (1) IN GENERAL.—The land described in sub-  
6 section (a) shall be managed by the Secretary of the  
7 Interior as part of the McNary National Wildlife  
8 Refuge.

9 (2) CUMMINS PROPERTY.—

10 (A) RETENTION OF CREDITS.—Habitat  
11 unit credits described in the memorandum enti-  
12 tled “Design Memorandum No. 6, LOWER  
13 SNAKE RIVER FISH AND WILDLIFE  
14 COMPENSATION PLAN, Wildlife Compensa-  
15 tion and Fishing Access Site Selection, Letter  
16 Supplement No. 15, SITE DEVELOPMENT  
17 PLAN FOR THE WALLULA HMU” provided  
18 for the Lower Snake River Fish and Wildlife  
19 Compensation Plan through development of the  
20 parcel of land formerly known as the “Cummins  
21 property” shall be retained by the Secretary de-  
22 spite any changes in management of the parcel  
23 on or after the date of enactment of this Act.

24 (B) SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN.—The Di-  
25 rector shall obtain prior approval of the Wash-



1 **SEC. 3166. YAKIMA RIVER, PORT OF SUNNYSIDE, WASH-**  
2 **INGTON.**

3 The project for aquatic ecosystem restoration, Yak-  
4 ima River, Port of Sunnyside, Washington, being carried  
5 out under section 206 of the Water Resources Develop-  
6 ment Act of 1996 (33 U.S.C. 2330), is modified to direct  
7 the Secretary to credit, in accordance with section 221 of  
8 the Flood Control Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C. 1962d-5b), to-  
9 ward the non-Federal share of the cost of the project the  
10 cost of work carried out by the non-Federal interest for  
11 the project before the date of the partnership agreement  
12 for the project.

13 **SEC. 3167. BLUESTONE LAKE, OHIO RIVER BASIN, WEST**  
14 **VIRGINIA.**

15 Section 102(ff) of the Water Resources Development  
16 Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4810, 110 Stat. 3726, 113 Stat.  
17 312) is amended to read as follows:

18 “(ff) BLUESTONE LAKE, OHIO RIVER BASIN, WEST  
19 VIRGINIA.—

20 “(1) IN GENERAL.—The project for flood con-  
21 trol, Bluestone Lake, Ohio River Basin, West Vir-  
22 ginia, authorized by section 4 of the Flood Control  
23 Act of 1938 (52 Stat. 1217) is modified to direct the  
24 Secretary to implement Plan C/G, as defined in the  
25 Evaluation Report of the District Engineer dated  
26 December 1996, to prohibit the release of drift and

1 debris into waters downstream of the project (other  
2 than organic matter necessary to maintain and en-  
3 hance the biological resources of such waters and  
4 such nonobtrusive items of debris as may not be eco-  
5 nomically feasible to prevent being released through  
6 such project), including measures to prevent the ac-  
7 cumulation of drift and debris at the project, the  
8 collection and removal of drift and debris on the seg-  
9 ment of the New River upstream of the project, and  
10 the removal (through use of temporary or permanent  
11 systems) and disposal of accumulated drift and de-  
12bris at Bluestone Dam.

13 “(2) COOPERATIVE AGREEMENT.—In carrying  
14 out the downstream cleanup under the plan referred  
15 to in paragraph (1), the Secretary may enter into a  
16 cooperative agreement with the West Virginia de-  
17 partment of environmental protection for the depart-  
18 ment to carry out the cleanup, including contracting  
19 and procurement services, contract administration  
20 and management, transportation and disposal of col-  
21 lected materials, and disposal fees.

22 “(3) INITIAL CLEANUP.—The Secretary may  
23 provide the West Virginia department of environ-  
24 mental protection up to \$150,000 from funds pre-  
25 viously appropriated for this purpose for the Federal

1 share of the costs of the initial cleanup under the  
2 plan.”.

3 **SEC. 3168. GREENBRIER RIVER BASIN, WEST VIRGINIA.**

4 Section 579(c) of the Water Resources Development  
5 Act of 1996 (110 Stat. 3790; 113 Stat. 312) is amended  
6 by striking “\$47,000,000” and inserting “\$99,000,000”.

7 **SEC. 3169. LESAGE/GREENBOTTOM SWAMP, WEST VIRGINIA.**

8 Section 30(d) of the Water Resources Development  
9 Act of 1988 (102 Stat. 4030; 114 Stat. 2678) is amended  
10 to read as follows:

11 “(d) HISTORIC STRUCTURE.—The Secretary shall  
12 ensure the preservation and restoration of the structure  
13 known as the ‘Jenkins House’ and the reconstruction of  
14 associated buildings and landscape features of such struc-  
15 ture located within the Lesage/Greenbottom Swamp in ac-  
16 cordance with the standards of the Department of the In-  
17 terior for the treatment of historic properties. Amounts  
18 made available for expenditure for the project authorized  
19 by section 301(a) of the Water Resources Development  
20 Act of 1986 (100 Stat. 4110) shall be available for the  
21 purposes of this subsection.”.

22 **SEC. 3170. LOWER MUD RIVER, MILTON, WEST VIRGINIA.**

23 The project for flood control at Milton, West Virginia,  
24 authorized by section 580 of the Water Resources Devel-  
25 opment Act of 1996 (110 Stat. 3790) and modified by

1 section 340 of the Water Resources Development Act of  
2 2000 (114 Stat. 2612), is modified to authorize the Sec-  
3 retary to construct the project substantially in accordance  
4 with the draft report of the Corps of Engineers dated May  
5 2004, at an estimated total cost of \$57,100,000, with an  
6 estimated Federal cost of \$42,825,000 and an estimated  
7 non-Federal cost of \$14,275,000.

8 **SEC. 3171. MCDOWELL COUNTY, WEST VIRGINIA.**

9 The McDowell County nonstructural component of  
10 the project for flood control, Levisa and Tug Fork of the  
11 Big Sandy and Cumberland Rivers, West Virginia, Vir-  
12 ginia, and Kentucky, authorized by section 202(a) of the  
13 Energy and Water Development Appropriation Act, 1981  
14 (94 Stat. 1339), is modified to direct the Secretary to take  
15 measures to provide protection, throughout McDowell  
16 County, West Virginia, from the reoccurrence of the great-  
17 er of—

- 18 (1) the April 1977 flood;
- 19 (2) the July 2001 flood;
- 20 (3) the May 2002 flood; or
- 21 (4) the 100-year frequency event.

22 **SEC. 3172. PARKERSBURG, WEST VIRGINIA.**

23 The Secretary is authorized to carry out the eco-  
24 system restoration, recreation, and flood control compo-  
25 nents of the report of the Corps of Engineers, entitled

1 “Parkersburg/Vienna Riverfront Park Feasibility Study”,  
2 dated June 1998, as amended by the limited reevaluation  
3 report of the Corps of Engineers, dated March 2004, at  
4 a total cost of \$12,000,000, with an estimated Federal  
5 cost of \$6,000,000, and an estimated non-Federal cost of  
6 \$6,000,000.

7 **SEC. 3173. GREEN BAY HARBOR, GREEN BAY, WISCONSIN.**

8 The portion of the inner harbor of the Federal navi-  
9 gation channel of the Green Bay Harbor project, author-  
10 ized by the first section of the Act entitled “An Act mak-  
11 ing appropriations for the construction, repair, and preser-  
12 vation of certain public works on rivers and harbors, and  
13 for other purposes”, approved July 5, 1884 (23 Stat.  
14 136), from Station 190+00 to Station 378+00 is author-  
15 ized to a width of 75 feet and a depth of 6 feet.

16 **SEC. 3174. MANITOWOC HARBOR, WISCONSIN.**

17 The project for navigation, Manitowoc Harbor, Wis-  
18 consin, authorized by the River and Harbor Act of August  
19 30, 1852 (10 Stat. 58), is modified to direct the Secretary  
20 to deepen the upstream reach of the navigation channel  
21 from 12 feet to 18 feet, at a total cost of \$405,000.

22 **SEC. 3175. MISSISSIPPI RIVER HEADWATERS RESERVOIRS.**

23 Section 21 of the Water Resources Development Act  
24 of 1988 (102 Stat. 4027) is amended—

25 (1) in subsection (a)—



1 (A) by striking “1276.42” and inserting  
2 “1278.42”;

3 (B) by striking “1218.31” and inserting  
4 “1221.31”; and

5 (C) by striking “1234.82” and inserting  
6 “1235.30”; and

7 (2) by striking subsection (b) and inserting the  
8 following:

9 “(b) EXCEPTION.—The Secretary may operate the  
10 headwaters reservoirs below the minimum or above the  
11 maximum water levels established in subsection (a) in ac-  
12 cordance with water control regulation manuals (or revi-  
13 sions thereto) developed by the Secretary, after consulta-  
14 tion with the Governor of Minnesota and affected tribal  
15 governments, landowners, and commercial and rec-  
16 reational users. The water control regulation manuals  
17 (and any revisions thereto) shall be effective when the Sec-  
18 retary transmits them to Congress. The Secretary shall  
19 report to Congress at least 14 days before operating any  
20 such headwaters reservoir below the minimum or above  
21 the maximum water level limits specified in subsection (a);  
22 except that notification is not required for operations nec-  
23 essary to prevent the loss of life or to ensure the safety  
24 of the dam or if the drawdown of lake levels is in anticipa-  
25 tion of flood control operations.”.

1 **SEC. 3176. UPPER BASIN OF MISSOURI RIVER.**

2 (a) USE OF FUNDS.—Notwithstanding the Energy  
3 and Water Development Appropriations Act, 2006 (Public  
4 Law 109–103), funds made available for recovery or miti-  
5 gation activities in the lower basin of the Missouri River  
6 may be used for recovery or mitigation activities in the  
7 upper basin of the Missouri River, including the States  
8 of Montana, Nebraska, North Dakota, and South Dakota.

9 (b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—The matter under  
10 the heading “MISSOURI RIVER MITIGATION, MISSOURI,  
11 KANSAS, IOWA, AND NEBRASKA” of section 601(a) of the  
12 Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (100 Stat.  
13 4143), as modified by section 334 of the Water Resources  
14 Development Act of 1999 (113 Stat. 306), is amended by  
15 adding at the end the following: “The Secretary may carry  
16 out any recovery or mitigation activities in the upper basin  
17 of the Missouri River, including the States of Montana,  
18 Nebraska, North Dakota, and South Dakota, using funds  
19 made available under this paragraph in accordance with  
20 the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (16 U.S.C. 1531 et  
21 seq.) and consistent with the project purposes of the Mis-  
22 souri River Mainstem System as authorized by section 10  
23 of the Flood Control Act of December 22, 1944 (58 Stat.  
24 897).”.

1 **SEC. 3177. UPPER MISSISSIPPI RIVER SYSTEM ENVIRON-**  
2 **MENTAL MANAGEMENT PROGRAM.**

3 Section 1103(e)(1)(A)(ii) of the Water Resources De-  
4 velopment Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 652(e)(1)(A)(ii)) is  
5 amended by inserting before the period at the end the fol-  
6 lowing: “, including research on water quality issues af-  
7 fecting the Mississippi River (including elevated nutrient  
8 levels) and the development of remediation strategies”.

9 **SEC. 3178. UPPER OHIO RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES NAVIGA-**  
10 **TION SYSTEM NEW TECHNOLOGY PILOT PRO-**  
11 **GRAM.**

12 (a) UPPER OHIO RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES NAVIGA-  
13 TION SYSTEM DEFINED.—In this section, the term  
14 “Upper Ohio River and Tributaries navigation system”  
15 means the Allegheny, Kanawha, Monongahela, and Ohio  
16 Rivers.

17 (b) ESTABLISHMENT.—

18 (1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall establish  
19 a pilot program to evaluate new technologies applica-  
20 ble to the Upper Ohio River and Tributaries naviga-  
21 tion system.

22 (2) INCLUSIONS.—The program may include  
23 the design, construction, or implementation of inno-  
24 vative technologies and solutions for the Upper Ohio  
25 River and Tributaries navigation system, including  
26 projects for—

- 1 (A) improved navigation;
- 2 (B) environmental stewardship;
- 3 (C) increased navigation reliability; and
- 4 (D) reduced navigation costs.

5 (3) PURPOSES.—The purposes of the program  
6 shall be—

- 7 (A) to increase the reliability and avail-  
8 ability of federally owned and federally operated  
9 navigation facilities;
- 10 (B) to decrease system operational risks;
- 11 and
- 12 (C) to improve—
  - 13 (i) vessel traffic management;
  - 14 (ii) access; and
  - 15 (iii) Federal asset management.

16 (c) FEDERAL OWNERSHIP REQUIREMENT.—The Sec-  
17 retary may provide assistance for a project under this sec-  
18 tion only if the project is federally owned.

19 (d) LOCAL COOPERATION AGREEMENTS.—

20 (1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall enter  
21 into local cooperation agreements with non-Federal  
22 interests to provide for the design, construction, in-  
23 stallation, and operation of the projects to be carried  
24 out under the program.

1           (2) REQUIREMENTS.—Each local cooperation  
2 agreement entered into under this subsection shall  
3 include the following:

4           (A) PLAN.—Development by the Secretary,  
5 in consultation with appropriate Federal and  
6 State officials, of a navigation improvement  
7 project, including appropriate engineering plans  
8 and specifications.

9           (B) LEGAL AND INSTITUTIONAL STRUC-  
10 TURES.—Establishment of such legal and insti-  
11 tutional structures as are necessary to ensure  
12 the effective long-term operation of the project.

13           (3) COST SHARING.—Total project costs under  
14 each local cooperation agreement shall be cost-  
15 shared in accordance with the formula relating to  
16 the applicable original construction project.

17           (4) EXPENDITURES.—

18           (A) IN GENERAL.—Expenditures under the  
19 program may include, for establishment at fed-  
20 erally owned property, such as locks, dams, and  
21 bridges—

- 22                   (i) transmitters;  
23                   (ii) responders;  
24                   (iii) hardware;  
25                   (iv) software; and

1 (v) wireless networks.

2 (B) EXCLUSIONS.—Transmitters, respond-  
3 ers, hardware, software, and wireless networks  
4 and other equipment installed on privately  
5 owned vessels or equipment shall not be eligible  
6 under the program.

7 (e) REPORT.—Not later than December 31, 2008, the  
8 Secretary shall submit to Congress a report on the results  
9 of the pilot program carried out under this section, to-  
10 gether with recommendations concerning whether the pro-  
11 gram or any component of the program should be imple-  
12 mented on a national basis.

13 (f) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There is  
14 authorized to be appropriated to carry out this section  
15 \$3,100,000. Such sums shall remain available until ex-  
16 pended.

17 **SEC. 3179. CONTINUATION OF PROJECT AUTHORIZATIONS.**

18 (a) IN GENERAL.—Notwithstanding section  
19 1001(b)(2) of the Water Resources Development Act of  
20 1986 (33 U.S.C. 579a(b)(2)), the following projects shall  
21 remain authorized to be carried out by the Secretary:

22 (1) The project for navigation, Sacramento  
23 Deep Water Ship Channel, California, authorized by  
24 section 202(a) of the Water Resources Development  
25 Act of 1986 (100 Stat. 4092).

1           (2) The project for flood control, Agana River,  
2           Guam, authorized by section 401(a) of the Water  
3           Resources Development Act of 1986 (100 Stat.  
4           4127).

5           (3) The project for navigation, Baltimore Har-  
6           bor and Channels, Maryland and Virginia, author-  
7           ized by section 101 of the River and Harbor Act of  
8           1970 (84 Stat. 1818).

9           (4) The project for navigation, Fall River Har-  
10          bor, Massachusetts, authorized by section 101 of the  
11          River and Harbor Act of 1968 (82 Stat. 731); ex-  
12          cept that the authorized depth of that portion of the  
13          project extending riverward of the Charles M.  
14          Braga, Jr. Memorial Bridge, Fall River and Som-  
15          erset, Massachusetts, shall not exceed 35 feet.

16          (5) The project for flood control, Ecorse Creek,  
17          Wayne County, Michigan, authorized by section  
18          101(a)(14) of the Water Resources Development Act  
19          of 1990 (104 Stat. 4607).

20          (b) LIMITATION.—A project described in subsection  
21          (a) shall not be authorized for construction after the last  
22          day of the 5-year period beginning on the date of enact-  
23          ment of this Act, unless, during such period, funds have  
24          been obligated for the construction (including planning  
25          and design) of the project.

1 **SEC. 3180. PROJECT REAUTHORIZATIONS.**

2 Each of the following projects may be carried out by  
3 the Secretary and no construction on any such project may  
4 be initiated until the Secretary determines that the project  
5 is feasible:

6 (1) MENOMINEE HARBOR AND RIVER, MICHIGAN AND WISCONSIN.—The project for navigation,  
7 Menominee Harbor and River, Michigan and Wisconsin, authorized by section 101 of the River and  
8 Harbor Act of 1960 (74 Stat. 482) and deauthorized on April 15, 2002, in accordance with section  
9 1001(b)(2) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 579a(b)(2)).  
10  
11  
12  
13

14 (2) HEARDING ISLAND INLET, DULUTH HARBOR, MINNESOTA.—The project for dredging,  
15 Hearing Island Inlet, Duluth Harbor, Minnesota, authorized by section 22 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1988 (102 Stat. 4027).  
16  
17  
18

19 (3) MANITOWOC HARBOR, WISCONSIN.—That  
20 portion of the project for navigation, Manitowoc Harbor, Wisconsin, authorized by the first section of  
21 the River and Harbor Act of August 30, 1852 (10 Stat. 58), consisting of the channel in the south part  
22 of the outer harbor, deauthorized by section 101 of the River and Harbor Act of 1962 (76 Stat. 1176).  
23  
24  
25



1 **SEC. 3181. PROJECT DEAUTHORIZATIONS.**

2 (a) IN GENERAL.—The following projects are not au-  
3 thorized after the date of enactment of this Act:

4 (1) BRIDGEPORT HARBOR, CONNECTICUT.—The  
5 portion of the project for navigation, Bridgeport  
6 Harbor, Connecticut, authorized by the first section  
7 of the River and Harbor Act of July 3, 1930 (46  
8 Stat. 919), consisting of an 18-foot channel in Yel-  
9 low Mill River and described as follows: Beginning  
10 at a point along the eastern limit of the existing  
11 project, N123,649.75, E481,920.54, thence running  
12 northwesterly about 52.64 feet to a point  
13 N123,683.03, E481,879.75, thence running north-  
14 easterly about 1,442.21 feet to a point N125,030.08,  
15 E482,394.96, thence running northeasterly about  
16 139.52 feet to a point along the eastern limit of the  
17 existing channel, N125,133.87, E482,488.19, thence  
18 running southwesterly about 1,588.98 feet to the  
19 point of origin.

20 (2) MYSTIC RIVER, CONNECTICUT.—The por-  
21 tion of the project for navigation, Mystic River, Con-  
22 necticut, authorized by the first section of the River  
23 and Harbor Appropriations Act of September 19,  
24 1890 (26 Stat. 436) consisting of a 12-foot-deep  
25 channel, approximately 7,554 square feet in area,  
26 starting at a point N193,086.51, E815,092.78,

1       thence running north 59 degrees 21 minutes 46.63  
2       seconds west about 138.05 feet to a point  
3       N193,156.86, E814,974.00, thence running north  
4       51 degrees 04 minutes 39.00 seconds west about  
5       166.57 feet to a point N193,261.51, E814,844.41,  
6       thence running north 43 degrees 01 minutes 34.90  
7       seconds west about 86.23 feet to a point  
8       N193,324.55, E814,785.57, thence running north  
9       06 degrees 42 minutes 03.86 seconds west about  
10      156.57 feet to a point N193,480.05, E814,767.30,  
11      thence running south 21 degrees 21 minutes 17.94  
12      seconds east about 231.42 feet to a point  
13      N193,264.52, E814,851.57, thence running south  
14      53 degrees 34 minutes 23.28 seconds east about  
15      299.78 feet to the point of origin.

16           (3) NORWALK HARBOR, CONNECTICUT.—

17           (A) IN GENERAL.—The portions of a 10-  
18           foot channel of the project for navigation, Nor-  
19           walk Harbor, Connecticut, authorized by the  
20           first section of the Act of March 2, 1919 (40  
21           Stat. 1276) and described in subparagraph (B).

22           (B) DESCRIPTION OF PORTIONS.—The  
23           portions of the channel referred to in subpara-  
24           graph (A) are as follows:

1 (i) RECTANGULAR PORTION.—An ap-  
2 proximately rectangular-shaped section  
3 along the northwesterly terminus of the  
4 channel. The section is 35-feet wide and  
5 about 460-feet long and is further de-  
6 scribed as commencing at a point  
7 N104,165.85, E417,662.71, thence run-  
8 ning south 24 degrees 06 minutes 55 sec-  
9 onds east 395.00 feet to a point  
10 N103,805.32, E417,824.10, thence run-  
11 ning south 00 degrees 38 minutes 06 sec-  
12 onds east 87.84 feet to a point  
13 N103,717.49, E417,825.07, thence run-  
14 ning north 24 degrees 06 minutes 55 sec-  
15 onds west 480.00 feet, to a point  
16 N104,155.59, E417,628.96, thence run-  
17 ning north 73 degrees 05 minutes 25 sec-  
18 onds east 35.28 feet to the point of origin.

19 (ii) PARALLELOGRAM-SHAPED POR-  
20 TION.—An area having the approximate  
21 shape of a parallelogram along the north-  
22 easterly portion of the channel, southeast  
23 of the area described in clause (i), approxi-  
24 mately 20 feet wide and 260 feet long, and  
25 further described as commencing at a

1 point N103,855.48, E417,849.99, thence  
2 running south 33 degrees 07 minutes 30  
3 seconds east 133.40 feet to a point  
4 N103,743.76, E417,922.89, thence run-  
5 ning south 24 degrees 07 minutes 04 sec-  
6 onds east 127.75 feet to a point  
7 N103,627.16, E417,975.09, thence run-  
8 ning north 33 degrees 07 minutes 30 sec-  
9 onds west 190.00 feet to a point  
10 N103,786.28, E417,871.26, thence run-  
11 ning north 17 degrees 05 minutes 15 sec-  
12 onds west 72.39 feet to the point of origin.

13 (C) EXCLUSION.—Notwithstanding any  
14 other provision of this paragraph, the Secretary  
15 shall realign the 10-foot channel portion of the  
16 project referred to in subparagraph (A) to in-  
17 clude, immediately north of the area described  
18 in subparagraph (B)(ii), a triangular section  
19 described as commencing at a point  
20 N103,968.35, E417,815.29, thence running  
21 south 17 degrees 05 minutes 15 seconds east  
22 118.09 feet to a point N103,855.48,  
23 E417,849.99, thence running north 33 degrees  
24 07 minutes 30 seconds west 36.76 feet to a  
25 point N103,886.27, E417,829.90, thence run-

1           ning north 10 degrees 05 minutes 26 seconds  
2           west 83.37 feet to the point of origin.

3           (4) ROCKLAND HARBOR, MAINE.—The portion  
4           of the project for navigation, Rockland Harbor,  
5           Maine, authorized by the Act of June 3, 1896 (29  
6           Stat. 202), consisting of a 14-foot channel located in  
7           Lermond Cove and beginning at a point with coordi-  
8           nates N99,977.37, E340,290.02, thence running  
9           easterly about 200.00 feet to a point with coordi-  
10          nates N99,978.49, E340,490.02, thence running  
11          northerly about 138.00 feet to a point with coordi-  
12          nates N100,116.49, E340,289.25, thence running  
13          westerly about 200.00 feet to a point with coordi-  
14          nates N100,115.37, E340,289.25, thence running  
15          southerly about 138.00 feet to the point of origin.

16          (5) ROCKPORT HARBOR, MAINE.—

17                (A) IN GENERAL.—The portion of the  
18                project for navigation, Rockport Harbor, Maine,  
19                authorized by the first section of the Act of Au-  
20                gust 11, 1888 (25 Stat. 400), located within  
21                the 12-foot anchorage described in subpara-  
22                graph (B).

23                (B) DESCRIPTION OF ANCHORAGE.—The  
24                anchorage referred to in subparagraph (A) is  
25                more particularly described as—

## 303

- 1 (i) beginning at the westernmost point  
2 of the anchorage at N128800.00,  
3 E349311.00;
- 4 (ii) thence running north 12 degrees,  
5 52 minutes, 37.2 seconds east 127.08 feet  
6 to a point N128923.88, E349339.32;
- 7 (iii) thence running north 17 degrees,  
8 40 minutes, 13.0 seconds east 338.61 feet  
9 to a point N129246.51, E349442.10;
- 10 (iv) thence running south 89 degrees,  
11 21 minutes, 21.0 seconds east 45.36 feet  
12 to a point N129246.00, E349487.46;
- 13 (v) thence running south 44 degrees,  
14 13 minutes, 32.6 seconds east 18.85 feet  
15 to a point N129232.49, E349500.61;
- 16 (vi) thence running south 17 degrees,  
17 40 minutes 13.0 seconds west 340.50 feet  
18 to a point N128908.06, E349397.25;
- 19 (vii) thence running south 12 degrees,  
20 52 minutes, 37.2 seconds west 235.41 feet  
21 to a point at N128678.57, E349344.79;  
22 and
- 23 (viii) thence running north 15 de-  
24 grees, 32 minutes, 59.3 seconds west  
25 126.04 feet to the point of origin.

1 (6) FALMOUTH HARBOR, MASSACHUSETTS.—

2 The portion of the project for navigation, Falmouth  
3 Harbor, Massachusetts, authorized by section 101 of  
4 the River and Harbor Act of 1948 (62 Stat. 1172),  
5 beginning at a point along the eastern side of the  
6 inner harbor N200,415.05, E845,307.98, thence  
7 running north 25 degrees 48 minutes 54.3 seconds  
8 east 160.24 feet to a point N200,559.20,  
9 E845,377.76, thence running north 22 degrees 7  
10 minutes 52.4 seconds east 596.82 feet to a point  
11 N201,112.15, E845,602.60, thence running north  
12 60 degrees 1 minute 0.3 seconds east 83.18 feet to  
13 a point N201,153.72, E845,674.65, thence running  
14 south 24 degrees 56 minutes 43.4 seconds west  
15 665.01 feet to a point N200,550.75, E845,394.18,  
16 thence running south 32 degrees 25 minutes 29.0  
17 seconds west 160.76 feet to the point of origin.

18 (7) ISLAND END RIVER, MASSACHUSETTS.—The  
19 portion of the project for navigation, Island End  
20 River, Massachusetts, carried out under section 107  
21 of the River and Harbor Act of 1960 (33 U.S.C.  
22 577), described as follows: Beginning at a point  
23 along the eastern limit of the existing project,  
24 N507,348.98, E721,180.01, thence running north-  
25 east about 35 feet to a point N507,384.17,

1 E721,183.36, thence running northeast about 324  
2 feet to a point N507,590.51, E721,433.17, thence  
3 running northeast about 345 feet to a point along  
4 the northern limit of the existing project,  
5 N507,927.29, E721,510.29, thence running south-  
6 east about 25 feet to a point N507,921.71,  
7 E721,534.66, thence running southwest about 354  
8 feet to a point N507,576.65, E721,455.64, thence  
9 running southwest about 357 feet to the point of ori-  
10 gin.

11 (8) CITY WATERWAY, TACOMA, WASHINGTON.—  
12 The portion of the project for navigation, City Wa-  
13 terway, Tacoma, Washington, authorized by the first  
14 section of the River and Harbor Appropriations Act  
15 of June 13, 1902 (32 Stat. 347), consisting of the  
16 last 1,000 linear feet of the inner portion of the wa-  
17 terway beginning at station 70+00 and ending at  
18 station 80+00.

19 (9) AUNT LYDIA'S COVE, MASSACHUSETTS.—  
20 (A) IN GENERAL.—The portion of the  
21 project for navigation, Aunt Lydia's Cove, Mas-  
22 sachusetts, constructed under section 107 of  
23 the River and Harbor Act of 1960 (33 U.S.C.  
24 577), consisting of the 8-foot deep anchorage in  
25 the cove described in subparagraph (B).



1 (B) DESCRIPTION OF PORTION.—The por-  
2 tion of the project described in subparagraph  
3 (A) is more particularly described as the por-  
4 tion beginning at a point along the southern  
5 limit of the existing project, N254,332.00,  
6 E1,023,103.96, thence running northwesterly  
7 about 761.60 feet to a point along the western  
8 limit of the existing project N255,076.84,  
9 E1,022,945.07, thence running southwesterly  
10 about 38.11 feet to a point N255,038.99,  
11 E1,022,940.60, thence running southeasterly  
12 about 267.07 feet to a point N254,772.00,  
13 E1,022,947.00, thence running southeasterly  
14 about 462.41 feet to a point N254,320.06,  
15 E1,023,044.84, thence running northeasterly  
16 about 60.31 feet to the point of origin.

17 (10) WHATCOM CREEK WATERWAY, BEL-  
18 LINGHAM, WASHINGTON.—The portion of the project  
19 for navigation, Whatcom Creek Waterway, Bel-  
20 lingham, Washington, authorized by the River and  
21 Harbor Act of June 25, 1910 (36 Stat. 664), and  
22 section 101 of the River and Harbor Act of 1958  
23 (72 Stat. 299), consisting of the last 2,900 linear  
24 feet of the inner portion of the waterway and begin-  
25 ning at station 29+00 to station 0+00.

1 (11) OCONTO HARBOR, WISCONSIN.—

2 (A) IN GENERAL.—The portion of the  
3 project for navigation, Oconto Harbor, Wis-  
4 consin, authorized by the Act of August 2, 1882  
5 (22 Stat. 196), and the Act of June 25, 1910  
6 (36 Stat. 664) (commonly known as the “River  
7 and Harbor Act of 1910”), consisting of a 15-  
8 foot-deep turning basin in the Oconto River, as  
9 described in subparagraph (B).

10 (B) PROJECT DESCRIPTION.—The project  
11 referred to in subparagraph (B) is more par-  
12 ticularly described as—

13 (i) beginning at a point along the  
14 western limit of the existing project,  
15 N394,086.71, E2,530,202.71;

16 (ii) thence northeasterly about 619.93  
17 feet to a point N394,459.10,  
18 E2,530,698.33;

19 (iii) thence southeasterly about  
20 186.06 feet to a point N394,299.20,  
21 E2,530,793.47;

22 (iv) thence southwesterly about  
23 355.07 feet to a point N393,967.13,  
24 E2,530,667.76;

1 (v) thence southwesterly about 304.10  
2 feet to a point N393,826.90,  
3 E2,530,397.92; and  
4 (vi) thence northwesterly about  
5 324.97 feet to the point of origin.

6 (b) ANCHORAGE AREA, NEW LONDON HARBOR,  
7 CONNECTICUT.—The portion of the project for navigation,  
8 New London Harbor, Connecticut, authorized by the River  
9 and Harbor Appropriations Act of June 13, 1902 (32  
10 Stat. 333), that consists of a 23-foot waterfront channel  
11 and that is further described as beginning at a point along  
12 the western limit of the existing project, N188, 802.75,  
13 E779, 462.81, thence running northeasterly about  
14 1,373.88 feet to a point N189, 554.87, E780, 612.53,  
15 thence running southeasterly about 439.54 feet to a point  
16 N189, 319.88, E780, 983.98, thence running southwest-  
17 erly about 831.58 feet to a point N188, 864.63, E780,  
18 288.08, thence running southeasterly about 567.39 feet  
19 to a point N188, 301.88, E780, 360.49, thence running  
20 northwesterly about 1,027.96 feet to the point of origin,  
21 is redesignated as an anchorage area.

22 (c) SOUTHPORT HARBOR, FAIRFIELD, CON-  
23 NECTICUT.—The project for navigation, Southport Har-  
24 bor, Fairfield, Connecticut, authorized by section 2 of the  
25 River and Harbor Act of March 2, 1829, and by the first

1 section of the River and Harbor Act of August 30, 1935  
2 (49 Stat. 1029), and section 364 of the Water Resources  
3 Development Act of 1996 (110 Stat. 3733–3734), is modi-  
4 fied to redesignate a portion of the 9-foot-deep channel  
5 to an anchorage area, approximately 900 feet in length  
6 and 90,000 square feet in area, and lying generally north  
7 of a line with points at coordinates N108,043.45,  
8 E452,252.04 and N107,938.74, E452,265.74.

9 (d) SACO RIVER, MAINE.—The portion of the project  
10 for navigation, Saco River, Maine, constructed under sec-  
11 tion 107 of the River and Harbor Act of 1960 (33 U.S.C.  
12 577) and described as a 6-foot deep, 10-acre maneuvering  
13 basin located at the head of navigation, is redesignated  
14 as an anchorage area.

15 (e) UNION RIVER, MAINE.—The project for naviga-  
16 tion, Union River, Maine, authorized by the first section  
17 of the Act of June 3, 1896 (29 Stat. 215), is modified  
18 by redesignating as an anchorage area that portion of the  
19 project consisting of a 6-foot turning basin and lying  
20 northerly of a line commencing at a point N315,975.13,  
21 E1,004,424.86, thence running north 61 degrees 27 min-  
22 utes 20.71 seconds west about 132.34 feet to a point  
23 N316,038.37, E1,004,308.61.

24 (f) MYSTIC RIVER, MASSACHUSETTS.—The portion  
25 of the project for navigation, Mystic River, Massachusetts,

1 authorized by the first section of the River and Harbor  
2 Appropriations Act of July 13, 1892 (27 Stat. 96), be-  
3 tween a line starting at a point N515,683.77,  
4 E707,035.45 and ending at a point N515,721.28,  
5 E707,069.85 and a line starting at a point N514,595.15,  
6 E707,746.15 and ending at a point N514,732.94,  
7 E707,658.38 shall be relocated and reduced from a 100-  
8 foot wide channel to a 50-foot wide channel after the date  
9 of enactment of this Act described as follows: Beginning  
10 at a point N515,721.28, E707,069.85, thence running  
11 southeasterly about 840.50 feet to a point N515,070.16,  
12 E707,601.27, thence running southeasterly about 177.54  
13 feet to a point N514,904.84, E707,665.98, thence running  
14 southeasterly about 319.90 feet to a point with coordi-  
15 nates N514,595.15, E707,746.15, thence running north-  
16 westerly about 163.37 feet to a point N514,732.94,  
17 E707,658.38, thence running northwesterly about 161.58  
18 feet to a point N514.889.47, E707,618.30, thence running  
19 northwesterly about 166.61 feet to a point N515.044.62,  
20 E707,557.58, thence running northwesterly about 825.31  
21 feet to a point N515,683.77, E707,035.45, thence running  
22 northeasterly about 50.90 feet returning to a point  
23 N515,721.28, E707,069.85.

24 (g) RIVERCENTER, PHILADELPHIA, PENNSYL-  
25 VANIA.—Section 38(e) of the Water Resources Develop-

1 ment Act of 1988 (33 U.S.C. 59j-1; 102 Stat. 4038) is  
2 amended by striking “subsection (a) of this section” and  
3 inserting “subsection (a) (except 30 years from such date  
4 of enactment, in the case of the area or any part thereof  
5 described in subsection (a)(5))”.

6 (h) ADDITIONAL DEAUTHORIZATIONS.—The fol-  
7 lowing projects are not authorized after the date of enact-  
8 ment of this Act, except with respect to any portion of  
9 such a project which portion has been completed before  
10 such date or is under construction on such date:

11 (1) The project for flood protection on  
12 Atascadero Creek and its tributaries of Goleta, Cali-  
13 fornia, authorized by section 201 of the Flood Con-  
14 trol Act of 1970 (84 Stat. 1826).

15 (2) The project for the construction of bridge  
16 fenders for the Summit and St. Georges Bridge for  
17 the Inland Waterway of the Delaware River to the  
18 C & D Canal of the Chesapeake Bay, Delaware and  
19 Maryland, authorized by the River and Harbor Act  
20 of 1954 (68 Stat. 1249).

21 (3) The project for flood control, central and  
22 southern Florida, Shingle Creek basin, Florida, au-  
23 thorized by section 203 of the Flood Control Act of  
24 1962 (76 Stat. 1182).

1           (4) The project for flood control, Brevoort, In-  
2           diana, authorized by section 5 of the Flood Control  
3           Act of June 22, 1936 (49 Stat. 1587).

4           (5) The project for flood control, Middle Wa-  
5           bash, Greenfield Bayou, Indiana, authorized by sec-  
6           tion 10 of the Flood Control Act of July 24, 1946  
7           (60 Stat. 649).

8           (6) The project for flood damage reduction,  
9           Lake George, Hobart, Indiana, authorized by section  
10          602(a)(2) of the Water Resources Development Act  
11          of 1986 (100 Stat. 4148).

12          (7) The project for navigation at the Muscatine  
13          Harbor on the Mississippi River at Muscatine, Iowa,  
14          authorized by section 101 of the River and Harbor  
15          Act of 1950 (64 Stat. 166).

16          (8) The project for flood control and water sup-  
17          ply, Eagle Creek Lake, Kentucky, authorized by sec-  
18          tion 203 of the Flood Control Act of 1962 (76 Stat.  
19          1188).

20          (9) The project for flood control, Hazard, Ken-  
21          tucky, authorized by section 3(a)(7) of the Water  
22          Resources Development Act of 1988 (100 Stat.  
23          4014) and section 108 of the Water Resources De-  
24          velopment Act of 1990 (104 Stat. 4621).

1           (10) The project for flood control, western Ken-  
2 tucky tributaries, Kentucky, authorized by section  
3 204 of the Flood Control Act of 1965 (79 Stat.  
4 1076) and modified by section 210 of the Flood  
5 Control Act of 1970 (84 Stat. 1829).

6           (11) The project for flood damage reduction,  
7 Tensas-Cocodrie area, Louisiana, authorized by sec-  
8 tion 3 of the Flood Control Act of August 18, 1941  
9 (55 Stat. 643).

10          (12) The uncompleted portions of the project  
11 for navigation improvement for Bayou LaFourche  
12 and LaFourche Jump, Louisiana, authorized by the  
13 Act of August 30, 1935 (49 Stat. 1033), and the  
14 River and Harbor Act of 1960 (74 Stat. 481).

15          (13) The project for flood control, Eastern  
16 Rapides and South-Central Avoyelles Parishes, Lou-  
17 isiana, authorized by section 201 of the Flood Con-  
18 trol Act of 1970 (84 Stat. 1825).

19          (14) The project for erosion protection and  
20 recreation, Fort Livingston, Grande Terre Island,  
21 Louisiana, authorized by the Act of August 13, 1946  
22 (33 U.S.C. 426e et seq).

23          (15) The project for navigation, Northeast Har-  
24 bor, Maine, authorized by section 2 of the Act of  
25 March 2, 1945 (59 Stat. 12).



1           (16) The project for navigation, Tenants Har-  
2           bor, Maine, authorized by the first section of the Act  
3           of March 2, 1919 (40 Stat. 1275).

4           (17) The project for navigation, New York Har-  
5           bor and adjacent channels, Claremont Terminal,  
6           Jersey City, New Jersey, authorized by section  
7           202(b) of the Water Resources Development Act of  
8           1986 (100 Stat. 4098).

9           (18) The project for navigation, Olcott Harbor,  
10          Lake Ontario, New York, authorized by section  
11          601(a) of the Water Resources Development Act of  
12          1986 (100 Stat. 4143).

13          (19) The project for navigation, Outer Harbor,  
14          Buffalo, New York, authorized by section 110 of the  
15          Water Resources Development Act of 1992 (106  
16          Stat. 4817).

17          (20) The project for the Columbia River, Sea-  
18          farers Memorial, Hammond, Oregon, authorized by  
19          title I of the Energy and Water Development Appro-  
20          priations Act, 1991 (104 Stat. 2078).

21          (21) The project for navigation, Narragansett  
22          Town Beach, Narragansett, Rhode Island, author-  
23          ized by section 361 of the Water Resources Develop-  
24          ment Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4861).

1           (22) The project for bulkhead repairs, Quonset  
2 Point-Davisville, Rhode Island, authorized by section  
3 571 of the Water Resources Development Act of  
4 1996 (110 Stat. 3788).

5           (23) The structural portion of the project for  
6 flood control, Cypress Creek, Texas, authorized by  
7 section 3(a)(13) of the Water Resources Develop-  
8 ment Act of 1988 (102 Stat. 4014).

9           (24) The project for flood protection, East Fork  
10 Channel Improvement, Increment 2, East Fork of  
11 the Trinity River, Texas, authorized by section 203  
12 of the Flood Control Act of 1962 (76 Stat. 1185).

13           (25) The project for flood control, Falfurrias,  
14 Texas, authorized by section 3(a)(14) of the Water  
15 Resources Development Act of 1988 (102 Stat.  
16 4014).

17           (26) The project for flood control, Pecan Bayou  
18 Lake, Texas, authorized by section 203 of the Flood  
19 Control Act of 1968 (82 Stat. 742).

20           (27) The project for navigation improvements  
21 affecting Lake of the Pines, Texas, for the portion  
22 of the Red River below Fulton, Arkansas, authorized  
23 by the Act of July 13, 1892 (27 Stat. 103) and  
24 modified by the Act of July 24, 1946 (60 Stat. 635),

1 the Act of May 17, 1950 (64 Stat. 163), and the  
2 River and Harbor Act of 1968 (82 Stat. 731).

3 (28) The project for navigation, Tennessee Col-  
4 ony Lake, Trinity River, Texas, authorized by sec-  
5 tion 204 of the River and Harbor Act of 1965 (79  
6 Stat. 1091).

7 (29) The project for streambank erosion,  
8 Kanawha River, Charleston, West Virginia, author-  
9 ized by section 603(f)(13) of the Water Resources  
10 Development Act of 1986 (100 Stat. 4153).

11 **SEC. 3182. LAND CONVEYANCES.**

12 (a) ST. FRANCIS BASIN, ARKANSAS AND MIS-  
13 SOURI.—

14 (1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall convey  
15 to the State of Arkansas, without monetary consid-  
16 eration and subject to paragraph (2), all right, title,  
17 and interest in and to real property within the State  
18 acquired by the Federal Government as mitigation  
19 land for the project for flood control, St. Francis  
20 Basin, Arkansas and Missouri Project, authorized by  
21 the Flood Control Act of May 15, 1928 (33 U.S.C.  
22 702a et seq.).

23 (2) TERMS AND CONDITIONS.—

1           (A) IN GENERAL.—The conveyance by the  
2           United States under this subsection shall be  
3           subject to—

4                   (i) the condition that the State of Ar-  
5                   kansas agree to operate, maintain, and  
6                   manage the real property for fish and wild-  
7                   life, recreation, and environmental pur-  
8                   poses at no cost or expense to the United  
9                   States; and

10                   (ii) such other terms and conditions  
11                   as the Secretary determines to be in the  
12                   interest of the United States.

13           (B) REVERSION.—If the Secretary deter-  
14           mines that the real property conveyed under  
15           paragraph (1) ceases to be held in public own-  
16           ership or the State ceases to operate, maintain,  
17           and manage the real property in accordance  
18           with this subsection, all right, title, and interest  
19           in and to the property shall revert to the United  
20           States, at the option of the Secretary.

21           (3) MITIGATION.—Nothing in this subsection  
22           extinguishes the responsibility of the Federal Gov-  
23           ernment or the non-Federal interest for the project  
24           referred to in paragraph (1) from the obligation to  
25           implement mitigation for such project that existed

1 on the day prior to the transfer authorized by this  
2 subsection.

3 (b) OAKLAND INNER HARBOR TIDAL CANAL, CALI-  
4 FORNIA.—

5 (1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary may convey,  
6 by separate quitclaim deeds, as soon as the convey-  
7 ance of each individual portion is practicable, the  
8 title of the United States in and to all or portions  
9 of the approximately 86 acres of upland, tideland,  
10 and submerged land, commonly referred to as the  
11 “Oakland Inner Harbor Tidal Canal”, California  
12 (referred to in this section as the “Canal Property”),  
13 as follows:

14 (A) To the city of Oakland, without consid-  
15 eration, the title of the United States in and to  
16 all or portions of that part of the Canal Prop-  
17 erty that are located within the boundaries of  
18 the City of Oakland.

19 (B) To the city of Alameda, or to a public  
20 entity created by or designated by the city of  
21 Alameda that is eligible to hold title to real  
22 property, without consideration, the title of the  
23 United States in and to all or portions of that  
24 part of the Canal Property that are located  
25 within the boundaries of the city of Alameda.

1           (C) To the owners of lands adjacent to the  
2           Canal Property, or to a public entity created by  
3           or designated by one or more of the adjacent  
4           land owners that are eligible to hold title to real  
5           property, at fair market value, the title of the  
6           United States in and to all or portions of that  
7           part of the Canal Property that are located  
8           within the boundaries of the city in which the  
9           adjacent land is located.

10          (2) REQUIREMENT.—The Secretary may re-  
11          serve and retain from any conveyance under this  
12          subsection a right-of-way or other rights as the Sec-  
13          retary determines to be necessary for the operation  
14          and maintenance of the authorized Federal channel  
15          in the Canal Property.

16          (3) ANNUAL REPORTS.—Until the date on  
17          which each conveyance described in paragraph (1) is  
18          complete, the Secretary shall submit, by not later  
19          than November 30 of each year, to the Committee  
20          on Environment and Public Works of the Senate  
21          and Committee on Transportation and Infrastruc-  
22          ture of the House of Representatives an annual re-  
23          port that describes the efforts of the Secretary to  
24          complete that conveyance during the preceding fiscal  
25          year.

1           (4) FORM.—A conveyance made under this sub-  
2           section may be, in whole or in part, in the form of  
3           an easement.

4           (5) RIGHT OF FIRST REFUSAL.—For any prop-  
5           erty on which an easement is granted under this  
6           subsection, should the Secretary seek to dispose of  
7           the property, the holder of the easement shall have  
8           the right of first refusal to the property without cost  
9           or consideration.

10          (6) REPEAL.—Section 205 of the Water Re-  
11          sources Development Act of 1990 (104 Stat. 4633;  
12          110 Stat. 3748) is repealed.

13          (c) MILFORD, KANSAS.—

14           (1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall convey  
15           by quitclaim deed without consideration to the Geary  
16           County Fire Department, Milford, Kansas, all right,  
17           title, and interest of the United States in and to real  
18           property consisting of approximately 7.4 acres lo-  
19           cated in Geary County, Kansas, for construction, op-  
20           eration, and maintenance of a fire station.

21           (2) REVERSION.—If the Secretary determines  
22           that the real property conveyed under paragraph (1)  
23           ceases to be held in public ownership or ceases to be  
24           operated and maintained as a fire station, all right,  
25           title, and interest in and to the property shall revert

1 to the United States, at the option of the United  
2 States.

3 (d) STRAWN CEMETERY, JOHN REDMOND LAKE,  
4 KANSAS.—

5 (1) IN GENERAL.—As soon as practicable after  
6 the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary, act-  
7 ing through the Tulsa District of the Corps of Engi-  
8 neers, shall transfer to Pleasant Township, Coffey  
9 County, Kansas, for use as the New Strawn Ceme-  
10 tery, all right, title, and interest of the United  
11 States in and to the land described in paragraph (3).

12 (2) REVERSION.—If the land transferred under  
13 this subsection ceases at any time to be used as a  
14 nonprofit cemetery or for another public purpose,  
15 the land shall revert to the United States.

16 (3) DESCRIPTION.—The land to be conveyed  
17 under this subsection is a tract of land near John  
18 Redmond Lake, Kansas, containing approximately 3  
19 acres and lying adjacent to the west line of the  
20 Strawn Cemetery located in the SE corner of the  
21 NE<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub> of section 32, township 20 south, range 14  
22 east, Coffey County, Kansas.

23 (e) PIKE COUNTY, MISSOURI.—

24 (1) DEFINITIONS.—In this subsection, the fol-  
25 lowing definitions apply:



1 (A) FEDERAL LAND.—The term “Federal  
2 land” means the 2 parcels of Corps of Engi-  
3 neers land totaling approximately 42 acres, lo-  
4 cated on Buffalo Island in Pike County, Mis-  
5 souri, and consisting of Government Tract  
6 Numbers MIS-7 and a portion of FM-46.

7 (B) NON-FEDERAL LAND.—The term  
8 “non-Federal land” means the approximately  
9 42 acres of land, subject to any existing flowage  
10 easements situated in Pike County, Missouri,  
11 upstream and northwest, about 200 feet from  
12 Drake Island (also known as Grimes Island).

13 (2) LAND EXCHANGE.—Subject to paragraph  
14 (3), on conveyance by S.S.S., Inc., to the United  
15 States of all right, title, and interest in and to the  
16 non-Federal land, the Secretary shall convey to  
17 S.S.S., Inc., all right, title, and interest of the  
18 United States in and to the Federal land.

19 (3) CONDITIONS.—

20 (A) DEEDS.—

21 (i) NON-FEDERAL LAND.—The con-  
22 veyance of the non-Federal land to the  
23 Secretary shall be by a warranty deed ac-  
24 ceptable to the Secretary.

1 (ii) FEDERAL LAND.—The conveyance  
2 of the Federal land to S.S.S., Inc., shall  
3 be—

4 (I) by quitclaim deed; and

5 (II) subject to any reservations,  
6 terms, and conditions that the Sec-  
7 retary determines to be necessary to  
8 allow the United States to operate  
9 and maintain the Mississippi River 9-  
10 Foot Navigation Project.

11 (iii) LEGAL DESCRIPTIONS.—The Sec-  
12 retary shall provide a legal description of  
13 the Federal land, and S.S.S., Inc., shall  
14 provide a legal description of the non-Fed-  
15 eral land, for inclusion in the deeds re-  
16 ferred to in clauses (i) and (ii).

17 (B) REMOVAL OF IMPROVEMENTS.—

18 (i) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary may  
19 require the removal of, or S.S.S., Inc., may  
20 voluntarily remove, any improvements to  
21 the non-Federal land before the completion  
22 of the exchange or as a condition of the ex-  
23 change.

1                   (ii) NO LIABILITY.—If S.S.S., Inc.,  
2                   removes any improvements to the non-Fed-  
3                   eral land under clause (i)—

4                   (I) S.S.S., Inc., shall have no  
5                   claim against the United States relat-  
6                   ing to the removal; and

7                   (II) the United States shall not  
8                   incur or be liable for any cost associ-  
9                   ated with the removal or relocation of  
10                  the improvements.

11                 (C) ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS.—The Sec-  
12                 retary shall require S.S.S., Inc. to pay reason-  
13                 able administrative costs associated with the ex-  
14                 change.

15                 (D) CASH EQUALIZATION PAYMENT.—If  
16                 the appraised fair market value, as determined  
17                 by the Secretary, of the Federal land exceeds  
18                 the appraised fair market value, as determined  
19                 by the Secretary, of the non-Federal land,  
20                 S.S.S., Inc., shall make a cash equalization pay-  
21                 ment to the United States.

22                 (E) DEADLINE.—The land exchange under  
23                 subparagraph (B) shall be completed not later  
24                 than 2 years after the date of enactment of this  
25                 Act.

1 (f) UNION LAKE, MISSOURI.—

2 (1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall offer to  
3 convey to the State of Missouri, before June 30,  
4 2007, all right, title, and interest in and to approxi-  
5 mately 205.50 acres of land described in paragraph  
6 (2) purchased for the Union Lake Project that was  
7 deauthorized as of January 1, 1990 (55 Fed. Reg.  
8 40906), in accordance with section 1001(a) of the  
9 Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (33  
10 U.S.C. 579a(a)).

11 (2) LAND DESCRIPTION.—The land referred to  
12 in paragraph (1) is described as follows:

13 (A) TRACT 500.—A tract of land situated  
14 in Franklin County, Missouri, being part of the  
15 SW<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub> of section 7, and the NW<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub> of the SW<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub>  
16 of section 8, township 42 north, range 2 west  
17 of the fifth principal meridian, consisting of ap-  
18 proximately 112.50 acres.

19 (B) TRACT 605.—A tract of land situated  
20 in Franklin County, Missouri, being part of the  
21 N<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> of the NE, and part of the SE of the NE  
22 of section 18, township 42 north, range 2 west  
23 of the fifth principal meridian, consisting of ap-  
24 proximately 93.00 acres.

1           (3) CONVEYANCE.—On acceptance by the State  
2 of Missouri of the offer by the Secretary under para-  
3 graph (1), the land described in paragraph (2) shall  
4 immediately be conveyed, in its current condition, by  
5 Secretary to the State of Missouri.

6           (g) BOARDMAN, OREGON.—Section 501(g)(1) of the  
7 Water Resources Development Act of 1996 (110 Stat.  
8 3751) is amended—

9           (1) by striking “city of Boardman,” and insert-  
10 ing “the Boardman Park and Recreation District,  
11 Boardman,”; and

12           (2) by striking “such city” and inserting “the  
13 city of Boardman”.

14           (h) LOOKOUT POINT PROJECT, LOWELL, OREGON.—

15           (1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary may convey  
16 without consideration to Lowell School District, by  
17 quitclaim deed, all right, title, and interest of the  
18 United States in and to land and buildings thereon,  
19 known as Tract A-82, located in Lowell, Oregon,  
20 and described in paragraph (2).

21           (2) DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY.—The parcel  
22 of land authorized to be conveyed under paragraph  
23 (1) is as follows: Commencing at the point of inter-  
24 section of the west line of Pioneer Street with the  
25 westerly extension of the north line of Summit

1 Street, in Meadows Addition to Lowell, as platted  
2 and recorded at page 56 of Volume 4, Lane County  
3 Oregon Plat Records; thence north on the west line  
4 of Pioneer Street a distance of 176.0 feet to the true  
5 point of beginning of this description; thence north  
6 on the west line of Pioneer Street a distance of  
7 170.0 feet; thence west at right angles to the west  
8 line of Pioneer Street a distance of 250.0 feet;  
9 thence south and parallel to the west line of Pioneer  
10 Street a distance of 170.0 feet; thence east 250.0  
11 feet to the true point of beginning of this description  
12 in Section 14, Township 19 South, Range 1 West of  
13 the Willamette Meridian, Lane County, Oregon.

14 (3) TERMS AND CONDITIONS.—Before con-  
15 veying the parcel to the school district, the Secretary  
16 shall ensure that the conditions of buildings and fa-  
17 cilities meet the requirements of applicable Federal  
18 law.

19 (4) REVERSION.—If the Secretary determines  
20 that the property conveyed under paragraph (1)  
21 ceases to be held in public ownership, all right, title,  
22 and interest in and to the property shall revert to  
23 the United States, at the option of the United  
24 States.

1 (i) RICHARD B. RUSSELL LAKE, SOUTH CARO-  
2 LINA.—

3 (1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall convey,  
4 at fair market value, to the State of South Carolina,  
5 by quitclaim deed, all right, title, and interest of the  
6 United States in and to the parcels of land described  
7 in paragraph (2)(A) that are managed, as of the  
8 date of enactment of this Act, by the South Carolina  
9 department of commerce for public recreation pur-  
10 poses for the Richard B. Russell Dam and Lake,  
11 South Carolina, project authorized by section 203 of  
12 the Flood Control Act of 1966 (80 Stat. 1420).

13 (2) LAND DESCRIPTION.—

14 (A) IN GENERAL.—Subject to subpara-  
15 graphs (B) and (C), the parcels of land referred  
16 to in paragraph (1) are the parcels contained in  
17 the portion of land described in Army Lease  
18 Number DACW21-1-92-0500.

19 (B) RETENTION OF INTERESTS.—The  
20 United States shall retain—

21 (i) ownership of all land included in  
22 the lease referred to in subparagraph (A)  
23 that would have been acquired for oper-  
24 ational purposes in accordance with the

1 1971 implementation of the 1962 Army/In-  
2 terior Joint Acquisition Policy; and

3 (ii) such other land as is determined  
4 by the Secretary to be required for author-  
5 ized project purposes, including easement  
6 rights-of-way to remaining Federal land.

7 (C) SURVEY.—The cost of the survey shall  
8 be paid by the State.

9 (3) COSTS OF CONVEYANCE.—

10 (A) IN GENERAL.—The State shall be re-  
11 sponsible for all costs, including real estate  
12 transaction and environmental costs, associated  
13 with the conveyance under this subsection.

14 (B) FORM OF CONTRIBUTION.—As deter-  
15 mined appropriate by the Secretary, in lieu of  
16 payment of compensation to the United States  
17 under subparagraph (A), the State may per-  
18 form certain environmental or real estate ac-  
19 tions associated with the conveyance under this  
20 subsection if those actions are performed in  
21 close coordination with, to the satisfaction of,  
22 and in compliance with the laws of the United  
23 States.

24 (4) ADDITIONAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS.—



1           (A) NO EFFECT ON SHORE MANAGEMENT  
2 POLICY.—The Shoreline Management Policy  
3 (ER-1130-2-406) of the Corps of Engineers  
4 may not be changed or altered for any proposed  
5 development of land conveyed under this sub-  
6 section.

7           (B) COST SHARING.—In carrying out the  
8 conveyance under this subsection, the Secretary  
9 and the State shall comply with all obligations  
10 of any cost sharing agreement between the Sec-  
11 retary and the State in effect as of the date of  
12 the conveyance.

13           (C) LAND NOT CONVEYED.—The State  
14 shall continue to manage the land that is sub-  
15 ject to Army Lease Number DACW21-1-92-  
16 0500 and that is not conveyed under this sub-  
17 section in accordance with the terms and condi-  
18 tions of Army Lease Number DACW21-1-92-  
19 0500.

20           (j) DENISON, TEXAS.—

21           (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 90 days after  
22 the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary  
23 shall offer to convey at fair market value to the city  
24 of Denison, Texas, all right, title, and interest of the  
25 United States in and to the approximately 900 acres

1 of land located in Grayson County, Texas, which is  
2 currently subject to an application for lease for pub-  
3 lic park and recreational purposes made by the city  
4 of Denison, dated August 17, 2005.

5 (2) SURVEY TO OBTAIN LEGAL DESCRIPTION.—

6 The exact acreage and description of the real prop-  
7 erty referred to in paragraph (1) shall be determined  
8 by a survey paid for by the city of Denison, Texas,  
9 that is satisfactory to the Secretary.

10 (3) CONVEYANCE.—Not later than 90 days  
11 after the date of acceptance by the city of Denison,  
12 Texas, of an offer under paragraph (1), the Sec-  
13 retary shall convey the land surveyed under para-  
14 graph (2) by quitclaim deed to the city of Denison,  
15 Texas.

16 (k) GENERALLY APPLICABLE PROVISIONS.—

17 (1) SURVEY TO OBTAIN LEGAL DESCRIPTION.—

18 The exact acreage and the legal description of any  
19 real property to be conveyed under this section shall  
20 be determined by a survey that is satisfactory to the  
21 Secretary.

22 (2) APPLICABILITY OF PROPERTY SCREENING  
23 PROVISIONS.—Section 2696 of title 10, United  
24 States Code, shall not apply to any conveyance  
25 under this section.

1           (3) **ADDITIONAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS.**—

2           The Secretary may require that any conveyance  
3           under this section be subject to such additional  
4           terms and conditions as the Secretary considers ap-  
5           propriate and necessary to protect the interests of  
6           the United States.

7           (4) **COSTS OF CONVEYANCE.**—An entity to  
8           which a conveyance is made under this section shall  
9           be responsible for all reasonable and necessary costs,  
10          including real estate transaction and environmental  
11          documentation costs, associated with the conveyance.

12          (5) **LIABILITY.**—An entity to which a convey-  
13          ance is made under this section shall hold the  
14          United States harmless from any liability with re-  
15          spect to activities carried out, on or after the date  
16          of the conveyance, on the real property conveyed.  
17          The United States shall remain responsible for any  
18          liability with respect to activities carried out, before  
19          such date, on the real property conveyed.

20 **SEC. 3183. EXTINGUISHMENT OF REVERSIONARY INTER-**  
21 **ESTS AND USE RESTRICTIONS.**

22          (a) **IDAHO.**—

23               (1) **IN GENERAL.**—With respect to the property  
24               covered by each deed in paragraph (2)—

1 (A) the reversionary interests and use re-  
2 strictions relating to port and industrial use  
3 purposes are extinguished;

4 (B) the restriction that no activity shall be  
5 permitted that will compete with services and  
6 facilities offered by public marinas is extin-  
7 guished; and

8 (C) the human habitation or other building  
9 structure use restriction is extinguished if the  
10 elevation of the property is above the standard  
11 project flood elevation.

12 (2) AFFECTED DEEDS.—The deeds with the fol-  
13 lowing county auditor's file numbers are referred to  
14 in paragraph (1):

15 (A) Auditor's Instrument No. 399218 of  
16 Nez Perce County, Idaho—2.07 acres.

17 (B) Auditor's Instrument No. 487437 of  
18 Nez Perce County, Idaho—7.32 acres.

19 (b) LAKE TEXOMA, OKLAHOMA.—

20 (1) RELEASE.—Any reversionary interest relat-  
21 ing to public parks and recreation on the land con-  
22 veyed by the Secretary to the State of Oklahoma at  
23 Lake Texoma pursuant to the Act entitled "An Act  
24 to authorize the sale of certain lands to the State of

1 Oklahoma” (67 Stat. 63), shall terminate on the  
2 date of enactment of this Act.

3 (2) INSTRUMENT OF RELEASE.—As soon as  
4 practicable after the date of enactment of this Act,  
5 the Secretary shall execute and file in the appro-  
6 priate office a deed of release, an amended deed, or  
7 any other appropriate instrument to release each re-  
8 versionary interest to which paragraph (1) applies.

9 (3) PRESERVATION OF RESERVED RIGHTS.—A  
10 release of a reversionary interest under this sub-  
11 section shall not affect any other right of the United  
12 States in any deed of conveyance pursuant to the  
13 Act referred to in paragraph (1).

14 (c) LOWELL, OREGON.—

15 (1) RELEASE AND EXTINGUISHMENT OF DEED  
16 RESERVATIONS.—

17 (A) RELEASE AND EXTINGUISHMENT OF  
18 DEED RESERVATIONS.—The Secretary may re-  
19 lease and extinguish the deed reservations for  
20 access and communication cables contained in  
21 the quitclaim deed, dated January 26, 1965,  
22 and recorded February 15, 1965, in the records  
23 of Lane County, Oregon; except that such res-  
24 ervations may only be released and extinguished  
25 for the lands owned by the city of Lowell as de-

1 scribed in the quitclaim deed, dated April 11,  
2 1991, in such records.

3 (B) ADDITIONAL RELEASE AND EXTIN-  
4 GUISHMENT OF DEED RESERVATIONS.—The  
5 Secretary may also release and extinguish the  
6 same deed reservations referred to in subpara-  
7 graph (A) over land owned by Lane County,  
8 Oregon, within the city limits of Lowell, Or-  
9 egon, to accommodate the development pro-  
10 posals of the city of Lowell/St. Vincent de Paul,  
11 Lane County, affordable housing project; except  
12 that the Secretary may require, at no cost to  
13 the United States—

14 (i) the alteration or relocation of any  
15 existing facilities, utilities, roads, or similar  
16 improvements on such lands; and

17 (ii) the right-of-way for such facilities,  
18 utilities, roads, or improvements as a pre-  
19 condition of any release or extinguishment  
20 of the deed reservations.

21 (2) CONVEYANCE.—The Secretary may convey  
22 to the city of Lowell, Oregon, the parcel of land situ-  
23 ated in the city of Lowell, Oregon, at fair market  
24 value consisting of the strip of federally owned lands  
25 located northeast of West Boundary Road between

1 Hyland Lane and the city of Lowell's eastward city  
2 limits.

3 (3) ADMINISTRATIVE COST.—Notwithstanding  
4 paragraphs (1) and (2), the city of Lowell, Oregon,  
5 shall pay the administrative costs incurred by the  
6 United States to execute the release and extinguish-  
7 ment of the deed reservations under paragraph (1)  
8 and the conveyance under paragraph (2).

9 (d) OLD HICKORY LOCK AND DAM, CUMBERLAND  
10 RIVER, TENNESSEE.—

11 (1) RELEASE OF RETAINED RIGHTS, INTER-  
12 ESTS, RESERVATIONS.—With respect to land con-  
13 veyed by the Secretary to the Tennessee Society of  
14 Crippled Children and Adults, Incorporated (com-  
15 monly known as “Easter Seals Tennessee”) at Old  
16 Hickory Lock and Dam, Cumberland River, Ten-  
17 nessee, under section 211 of the Flood Control Act  
18 of 1965 (79 Stat. 1087), the reversionary interests  
19 and the use restrictions relating to recreation and  
20 camping purposes are extinguished.

21 (2) INSTRUMENT OF RELEASE.—As soon as  
22 practicable after the date of enactment of this Act,  
23 the Secretary shall execute and file in the appro-  
24 priate office a deed of release, amended deed, or

1 other appropriate instrument effectuating the release  
2 of interests required by paragraph (1).

3 (e) LOWER GRANITE POOL, WASHINGTON.—

4 (1) EXTINGUISHMENT OF REVERSIONARY IN-  
5 TERESTS AND USE RESTRICTIONS.—With respect to  
6 property covered by each deed described in para-  
7 graph (2)—

8 (A) the reversionary interests and use re-  
9 strictions relating to port or industrial purposes  
10 are extinguished; and

11 (B) the human habitation or other building  
12 structure use restriction is extinguished in each  
13 area in which the elevation is above the stand-  
14 ard project flood elevation.

15 (2) DEEDS.—The deeds referred to in para-  
16 graph (1) are as follows:

17 (A) Auditor's File Numbers 432576,  
18 443411, 499988, and 579771 of Whitman  
19 County, Washington.

20 (B) Auditor's File Numbers 125806,  
21 138801, 147888, 154511, 156928, and 176360  
22 of Asotin County, Washington.

23 (f) PORT OF PASCO, WASHINGTON.—



1           (1) EXTINGUISHMENT OF USE RESTRICTIONS  
2           AND FLOWAGE EASEMENT.—With respect to the  
3           property covered by the deed in paragraph (3)(A)—

4                   (A) the flowage easement and human habi-  
5                   tation or other building structure use restriction  
6                   is extinguished if the elevation of the property  
7                   is above the standard project flood elevation;  
8                   and

9                   (B) the use of fill material to raise areas  
10                  of the property above the standard project flood  
11                  elevation is authorized, except in any area for  
12                  which a permit under section 404 of the Fed-  
13                  eral Water Pollution Control Act (33 U.S.C.  
14                  1344) is required.

15           (2) EXTINGUISHMENT OF FLOWAGE EASE-  
16           MENT.—With respect to the property covered by  
17           each deed in paragraph (3)(B), the flowage ease-  
18           ment is extinguished if the elevation of the property  
19           is above the standard project flood elevation.

20           (3) AFFECTED DEEDS.—The deeds referred to  
21           in paragraphs (1) and (2) are as follows:

22                   (A) Auditor's File Number 262980 of  
23                   Franklin County, Washington.

24                   (B) Auditor's File Numbers 263334 and  
25                   404398 of Franklin County, Washington.

1 (g) NO EFFECT ON OTHER RIGHTS.—Nothing in  
2 this section affects the remaining rights and interests of  
3 the Corps of Engineers for authorized project purposes.

#### 4 **TITLE IV—STUDIES**

##### 5 **SEC. 4001. JOHN GLENN GREAT LAKES BASIN PROGRAM.**

6 Section 455 of the Water Resources Development Act  
7 of 1999 (42 U.S.C. 1962d–21) is amended by adding at  
8 the end the following:

9 “(g) IN-KIND CONTRIBUTIONS FOR STUDY.—The  
10 non-Federal interest may provide up to 100 percent of the  
11 non-Federal share required under subsection (f) in the  
12 form of in-kind services and materials.”.

##### 13 **SEC. 4002. LAKE ERIE DREDGED MATERIAL DISPOSAL** 14 **SITES.**

15 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
16 nature and frequency of avian botulism problems in the  
17 vicinity of Lake Erie associated with dredged material dis-  
18 posal sites and shall make recommendations to eliminate  
19 the conditions that result in such problems.

##### 20 **SEC. 4003. SOUTHWESTERN UNITED STATES DROUGHT** 21 **STUDY.**

22 (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary, in coordination  
23 with the Secretary of the Interior, the Secretary of Agri-  
24 culture, the Secretary of Commerce, and other appropriate  
25 agencies, shall conduct, at Federal expense, a comprehen-

1 sive study of drought conditions in the southwestern  
2 United States, with particular emphasis on the Colorado  
3 River basin, the Rio Grande River basin, and the Great  
4 Basin.

5 (b) INVENTORY OF ACTIONS.—In conducting the  
6 study, the Secretary shall assemble an inventory of actions  
7 taken or planned to be taken to address drought-related  
8 situations in the southwestern United States.

9 (c) PURPOSE.—The purpose of the study shall be to  
10 develop recommendations to more effectively address cur-  
11 rent and future drought conditions in the southwestern  
12 United States.

13 (d) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There  
14 are authorized to be appropriated to the Secretary to carry  
15 out this section \$7,000,000. Such funds shall remain  
16 available until expended.

17 **SEC. 4004. DELAWARE RIVER.**

18 The Secretary shall review, in consultation with the  
19 Delaware River Basin Commission and the States of Dela-  
20 ware, Pennsylvania, New Jersey, and New York, the re-  
21 port of the Chief of Engineers on the Delaware River, pub-  
22 lished as House Document Numbered 522, 87th Congress,  
23 Second Session, as it relates to the Mid-Delaware River  
24 Basin from Wilmington to Port Jervis, and any other per-  
25 tinent reports (including the strategy for resolution of

1 interstate flow management issues in the Delaware River  
2 Basin dated August 2004 and the National Park Service  
3 Lower Delaware River Management Plan (1997–1999)),  
4 with a view to determining whether any modifications of  
5 recommendations contained in the first report referred to  
6 are advisable at the present time, in the interest of flood  
7 damage reduction, ecosystem restoration, and other re-  
8 lated problems.

9 **SEC. 4005. EURASIAN MILFOIL.**

10 Under the authority of section 104 of the River and  
11 Harbor Act of 1958 (33 U.S.C. 610), the Secretary shall  
12 conduct a study, at Federal expense, to develop national  
13 protocols for the use of the *Euhrychiopsis lecontei* weevil  
14 for biological control of Eurasian milfoil in the lakes of  
15 Vermont and other northeastern States.

16 **SEC. 4006. FIRE ISLAND, ALASKA.**

17 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
18 feasibility of carrying out a project for navigational im-  
19 provements, including a barge landing facility, Fire Island,  
20 Alaska.

21 **SEC. 4007. KNIK ARM, COOK INLET, ALASKA.**

22 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
23 potential impacts on navigation of construction of a bridge  
24 across Knik Arm, Cook Inlet, Alaska.

1 **SEC. 4008. KUSKOKWIM RIVER, ALASKA.**

2 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
3 feasibility of carrying out a project for navigation,  
4 Kuskokwim River, Alaska, in the vicinity of the village of  
5 Crooked Creek.

6 **SEC. 4009. NOME HARBOR, ALASKA.**

7 The Secretary shall review the project for navigation,  
8 Nome Harbor improvements, Alaska, authorized by sec-  
9 tion 101(a)(1) of the Water Resources Development Act  
10 of 1999 (113 Stat. 273), to determine whether the project  
11 cost increases, including the cost of rebuilding the en-  
12 trance channel damaged in a September 2005 storm, re-  
13 sulted from a design deficiency.

14 **SEC. 4010. ST. GEORGE HARBOR, ALASKA.**

15 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
16 feasibility of providing navigation improvements at St.  
17 George Harbor, Alaska.

18 **SEC. 4011. SUSITNA RIVER, ALASKA.**

19 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
20 feasibility of carrying out a project for hydropower, recre-  
21 ation, and related purposes on the Susitna River, Alaska.

22 **SEC. 4012. VALDEZ, ALASKA.**

23 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
24 feasibility of carrying out a project for navigation, Valdez,  
25 Alaska, and if the Secretary determines that the project

1 is feasible, shall carry out the project at a total cost of  
2 \$20,000,000.

3 **SEC. 4013. GILA BEND, MARICOPA, ARIZONA.**

4 (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall conduct a  
5 study to determine the feasibility of carrying out a project  
6 for flood damage reduction, Gila Bend, Maricopa, Arizona.

7 (b) REVIEW OF PLANS.—In conducting the study, the  
8 Secretary shall review plans and designs developed by non-  
9 Federal interests and shall incorporate such plans and de-  
10 signs into the Federal study if the Secretary determines  
11 that such plans and designs are consistent with Federal  
12 standards.

13 **SEC. 4014. SEARCY COUNTY, ARKANSAS.**

14 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
15 feasibility of using Greers Ferry Lake as a water supply  
16 source for Searcy County, Arkansas.

17 **SEC. 4015. ALISO CREEK, CALIFORNIA.**

18 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
19 feasibility of carrying out a project for streambank protec-  
20 tion and environmental restoration along Aliso Creek,  
21 California.

1 **SEC. 4016. FRESNO, KINGS, AND KERN COUNTIES, CALI-**  
2 **FORNIA.**

3 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
4 feasibility of carrying out a project for water supply for  
5 Fresno, Kings, and Kern Counties, California.

6 **SEC. 4017. FRUITVALE AVENUE RAILROAD BRIDGE, ALA-**  
7 **MEDA, CALIFORNIA.**

8 (a) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary shall prepare a  
9 comprehensive report that examines the condition of the  
10 existing Fruitvale Avenue Railroad Bridge, Alameda  
11 County, California (referred to in this section as the “Rail-  
12 road Bridge”), and determines the most economic means  
13 to maintain that rail link by either repairing or replacing  
14 the Railroad Bridge.

15 (b) **REQUIREMENTS.**—The report under this section  
16 shall include—

17 (1) a determination of whether the Railroad  
18 Bridge is in immediate danger of failing or col-  
19 lapsing;

20 (2) the annual costs to maintain the Railroad  
21 Bridge;

22 (3) the costs to place the Railroad Bridge in a  
23 safe, “no-collapse” condition, such that the Railroad  
24 Bridge will not endanger maritime traffic;

25 (4) the costs to retrofit the Railroad Bridge  
26 such that the Railroad Bridge may continue to serve

1 as a rail link between the Island of Alameda and the  
2 mainland; and

3 (5) the costs to construct a replacement for the  
4 Railroad Bridge capable of serving the current and  
5 future rail, light rail, and homeland security needs  
6 of the region.

7 (c) SUBMISSION OF REPORT.—The Secretary shall—

8 (1) complete the Railroad Bridge report under  
9 subsection (a) not later than 180 days after the date  
10 of enactment of this Act; and

11 (2) submit the report to the Committee on En-  
12 vironment and Public Works of the Senate and  
13 Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of  
14 the House of Representatives.

15 (d) LIMITATIONS.—The Secretary shall not—

16 (1) demolish the Railroad Bridge or otherwise  
17 render the Railroad Bridge unavailable or unusable  
18 for rail traffic; or

19 (2) reduce maintenance of the Railroad Bridge.

20 (e) EASEMENT.—

21 (1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall provide  
22 to the city of Alameda, California, a nonexclusive ac-  
23 cess easement over the Oakland Estuary that com-  
24 prises the subsurface land and surface approaches  
25 for the Railroad Bridge that—



1 (A) is consistent with the Bay Trail Pro-  
2 posal of the city of Oakland; and

3 (B) is otherwise suitable for the improve-  
4 ment, operation, and maintenance of the Rail-  
5 road Bridge or construction, operation, and  
6 maintenance of a suitable replacement bridge.

7 (2) COST.—The easement under paragraph (1)  
8 shall be provided to the city of Alameda without con-  
9 sideration and at no cost to the United States.

10 **SEC. 4018. LOS ANGELES RIVER REVITALIZATION STUDY,**  
11 **CALIFORNIA.**

12 (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary, in coordination  
13 with the city of Los Angeles, shall—

14 (1) prepare a feasibility study for environmental  
15 ecosystem restoration, flood control, recreation, and  
16 other aspects of Los Angeles River revitalization  
17 that is consistent with the goals of the Los Angeles  
18 River Revitalization Master Plan published by the  
19 city of Los Angeles; and

20 (2) consider any locally-preferred project alter-  
21 natives developed through a full and open evaluation  
22 process for inclusion in the study.

23 (b) USE OF EXISTING INFORMATION AND MEAS-  
24 URES.—In preparing the study under subsection (a), the  
25 Secretary shall use, to the maximum extent practicable—

1 (1) information obtained from the Los Angeles  
2 River Revitalization Master Plan; and

3 (2) the development process of that plan.

4 (c) DEMONSTRATION PROJECTS.—

5 (1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary is authorized  
6 to construct demonstration projects in order to pro-  
7 vide information to develop the study under sub-  
8 section (a)(1).

9 (2) FEDERAL SHARE.—The Federal share of  
10 the cost of any project under this subsection shall be  
11 not more than 65 percent.

12 (3) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—  
13 There is authorized to be appropriated to carry out  
14 this subsection \$25,000,000.

15 **SEC. 4019. LYTLE CREEK, RIALTO, CALIFORNIA.**

16 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
17 feasibility of carrying out a project for flood damage re-  
18 duction and groundwater recharge, Lytle Creek, Rialto,  
19 California.

20 **SEC. 4020. MOKELUMNE RIVER, SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY,**  
21 **CALIFORNIA.**

22 (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall conduct a  
23 study to determine the feasibility of carrying out a project  
24 for water supply along the Mokelumne River, San Joaquin  
25 County, California.

1 (b) LIMITATION ON STATUTORY CONSTRUCTION.—  
2 Nothing in this section shall be construed to invalidate,  
3 preempt, or create any exception to State water law, State  
4 water rights, or Federal or State permitted activities or  
5 agreements.

6 **SEC. 4021. ORICK, CALIFORNIA.**

7 (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall conduct a  
8 study to determine the feasibility of carrying out a project  
9 for flood damage reduction and ecosystem restoration,  
10 Orick, California.

11 (b) FEASIBILITY OF RESTORING OR REHABILITATING REDWOOD CREEK LEVEES.—In conducting the  
12 study, the Secretary shall determine the feasibility of re-  
13 storing or rehabilitating the Redwood Creek Levees, Hum-  
14 boldt County, California.

16 **SEC. 4022. SHORELINE STUDY, OCEANSIDE, CALIFORNIA.**

17 Section 414 of the Water Resources Development Act  
18 of 2000 (114 Stat. 2636) is amended by striking “32  
19 months” and inserting “44 months”.

20 **SEC. 4023. RIALTO, FONTANA, AND COLTON, CALIFORNIA.**

21 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
22 feasibility of carrying out a project for water supply for  
23 Rialto, Fontana, and Colton, California.

1 **SEC. 4024. SACRAMENTO RIVER, CALIFORNIA.**

2 The Secretary shall conduct a comprehensive study  
3 to determine the feasibility of, and alternatives for, meas-  
4 ures to protect water diversion facilities and fish protective  
5 screen facilities in the vicinity of river mile 178 on the  
6 Sacramento River, California.

7 **SEC. 4025. SAN DIEGO COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.**

8 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
9 feasibility of carrying out a project for water supply, San  
10 Diego County, California, including a review of the feasi-  
11 bility of connecting 4 existing reservoirs to increase usable  
12 storage capacity.

13 **SEC. 4026. SAN FRANCISCO BAY, SACRAMENTO-SAN JOA-**  
14 **QUIN DELTA, CALIFORNIA.**

15 (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall conduct a  
16 study to determine the feasibility of the beneficial use of  
17 dredged material from the San Francisco Bay in the Sac-  
18 ramento-San Joaquin Delta, California, including the ben-  
19 efits and impacts of salinity in the Delta and the benefits  
20 to navigation, flood damage reduction, ecosystem restora-  
21 tion, water quality, salinity control, water supply reli-  
22 ability, and recreation.

23 (b) COOPERATION.—In conducting the study, the  
24 Secretary shall cooperate with the California department  
25 of water resources and appropriate Federal and State enti-  
26 ties in developing options for the beneficial use of dredged

1 material from San Francisco Bay for the Sacramento-San  
2 Joaquin Delta area.

3 (e) REVIEW.—The study shall include a review of the  
4 feasibility of using Sherman Island as a rehandling site  
5 for levee maintenance material, as well as for ecosystem  
6 restoration. The review may include carrying out and mon-  
7 itoring a pilot project using up to 150,000 cubic yards  
8 of dredged material and being carried out at the Sherman  
9 Island site, examining larger scale use of dredged mate-  
10 rials from the San Francisco Bay and Suisun Bay Chan-  
11 nel, and analyzing the feasibility of the potential use of  
12 saline materials from the San Francisco Bay for both re-  
13 handling and ecosystem restoration purposes.

14 **SEC. 4027. SOUTH SAN FRANCISCO BAY SHORELINE, CALI-**  
15 **FORNIA.**

16 (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary, in cooperation  
17 with non-Federal interests, shall conduct a study of the  
18 feasibility of carrying out a project for—

19 (1) flood damage reduction along the South  
20 San Francisco Bay shoreline, California;

21 (2) restoration of the South San Francisco Bay  
22 salt ponds (including on land owned by other Fed-  
23 eral agencies); and

24 (3) other related purposes, as the Secretary de-  
25 termines to be appropriate.

1 (b) REPORT.—

2 (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 3 years after  
3 the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary  
4 shall submit to Congress a report describing the re-  
5 sults of the study under subsection (a).

6 (2) INCLUSIONS.—The report under paragraph  
7 (1) shall include recommendations of the Secretary  
8 with respect to the project described in subsection  
9 (a) based on planning, design, and land acquisition  
10 documents prepared by—

11 (A) the California State Coastal Conser-  
12 vancy;

13 (B) the Santa Clara Valley Water District;  
14 and

15 (C) other local interests.

16 (c) CREDIT.—

17 (1) IN GENERAL.—In accordance with section  
18 221 of the Flood Control Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C.  
19 1962d–5b), and subject to paragraph (2), the Sec-  
20 retary shall credit toward the non-Federal share of  
21 the cost of any project authorized by law as a result  
22 of the South San Francisco Bay shoreline study—

23 (A) the cost of work performed by the non-  
24 Federal interest in preparation of the feasibility

1 study that is conducted before the date of the  
2 feasibility cost sharing agreement; and

3 (B) the funds expended by the non-Federal  
4 interest for acquisition costs of land that con-  
5 stitutes a part of such a project and that is  
6 owned by the United States Fish and Wildlife  
7 Service.

8 (2) CONDITIONS.—The Secretary may provide  
9 credit under paragraph (1) if—

10 (A) the value of all or any portion of land  
11 referred to in paragraph (1)(B) that would be  
12 subject to the credit has not previously been  
13 credited to the non-Federal interest for a  
14 project; and

15 (B) the land was not acquired to meet any  
16 mitigation requirement of the non-Federal in-  
17 terest.

18 **SEC. 4028. TWENTYNINE PALMS, CALIFORNIA.**

19 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
20 feasibility of carrying out projects for flood damage reduc-  
21 tion in the vicinity of Twentynine Palms, California.

22 **SEC. 4029. YUCCA VALLEY, CALIFORNIA.**

23 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
24 feasibility of carrying out a project for flood damage re-

1 duction, Burnt Mountain basin, in the vicinity of Yucca  
2 Valley, California.

3 **SEC. 4030. SELENIUM STUDIES, COLORADO.**

4 (a) IN GENERAL.—The Director of the United States  
5 Geological Survey, in consultation with State water quality  
6 and resource and conservation agencies, shall conduct re-  
7 gional and watershed-wide studies to address selenium  
8 concentrations in the State of Colorado, including stud-  
9 ies—

10 (1) to measure selenium on specific sites; and

11 (2) to determine whether specific selenium  
12 measures studied should be recommended for use in  
13 demonstration projects.

14 (b) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There is  
15 authorized to be appropriated to carry out this section  
16 \$5,000,000.

17 **SEC. 4031. DELAWARE AND CHRISTINA RIVERS AND**  
18 **SHELLPOT CREEK, WILMINGTON, DELAWARE.**

19 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
20 feasibility of carrying out a project for flood damage re-  
21 duction and related purposes along the Delaware and  
22 Christina Rivers and Shellpot Creek, Wilmington, Dela-  
23 ware.



1 **SEC. 4032. DELAWARE INLAND BAYS AND TRIBUTARIES**  
2 **AND ATLANTIC COAST, DELAWARE.**

3 (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall conduct a  
4 study to determine the feasibility of modifying the project  
5 for navigation, Indian River Inlet and Bay, Delaware.

6 (b) FACTORS FOR CONSIDERATION AND PRIORITY.—  
7 In carrying out the study under subsection (a), the Sec-  
8 retary shall—

9 (1) take into consideration all necessary activi-  
10 ties to stabilize the scour holes threatening the Inlet  
11 and Bay shorelines; and

12 (2) give priority to stabilizing and restoring the  
13 Inlet channel and scour holes adjacent to the United  
14 States Coast Guard pier and helipad and the adja-  
15 cent State-owned properties.

16 **SEC. 4033. COLLIER COUNTY BEACHES, FLORIDA.**

17 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
18 feasibility of carrying out a project for hurricane and  
19 storm damage reduction and flood damage reduction in  
20 the vicinity of Vanderbilt, Park Shore, and Naples beach-  
21 es, Collier County, Florida.

22 **SEC. 4034. LOWER ST. JOHNS RIVER, FLORIDA.**

23 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
24 feasibility of carrying out a project for environmental res-  
25 toration, including improved water quality, and related  
26 purposes, Lower St. Johns River, Florida.

1 **SEC. 4035. HERBERT HOOVER DIKE SUPPLEMENTAL MAJOR**  
2 **REHABILITATION REPORT, FLORIDA.**

3 (a) **IN GENERAL.**—Not later than 120 days after the  
4 date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall publish  
5 a supplemental report to the major rehabilitation report  
6 for the Herbert Hoover Dike system approved by the Chief  
7 of Engineers in November 2000.

8 (b) **INCLUSIONS.**—The supplemental report under  
9 subsection (a) shall include—

10 (1) an evaluation of existing conditions at the  
11 Herbert Hoover Dike system;

12 (2) an identification of additional risks associ-  
13 ated with flood events at the system that are equal  
14 to or greater than the standard projected flood risks;

15 (3) an evaluation of the potential to integrate  
16 projects of the Corps of Engineers into an enhanced  
17 flood protection system for Lake Okeechobee, includ-  
18 ing—

19 (A) the potential for additional water stor-  
20 age north of Lake Okeechobee; and

21 (B) an analysis of other project features  
22 included in the Comprehensive Everglades Res-  
23 toration Plan; and

24 (4) a review of the report prepared for the  
25 South Florida Water Management District dated  
26 April 2006.

1 (c) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There is  
2 authorized to be appropriated to carry out this section  
3 \$1,500,000.

4 **SEC. 4036. VANDERBILT BEACH LAGOON, FLORIDA.**

5 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
6 feasibility of carrying out a project for environmental res-  
7 toration, water supply, and improvement of water quality  
8 at Vanderbilt Beach Lagoon, Florida.

9 **SEC. 4037. MERIWETHER COUNTY, GEORGIA.**

10 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
11 feasibility of carrying out a project for water supply,  
12 Meriwether County, Georgia.

13 **SEC. 4038. BOISE RIVER, IDAHO.**

14 The study for flood control, Boise River, Idaho, au-  
15 thorized by section 414 of the Water Resources Develop-  
16 ment Act of 1999 (113 Stat. 324), is modified—

17 (1) to add ecosystem restoration and water sup-  
18 ply as project purposes to be studied; and

19 (2) to require the Secretary to credit toward the  
20 non-Federal share of the cost of the study the cost,  
21 not to exceed \$500,000, of work carried out by the  
22 non-Federal interest before the date of the partner-  
23 ship agreement for the project if the Secretary de-  
24 termines that the work is integral to the project.

1 **SEC. 4039. BALLARD'S ISLAND SIDE CHANNEL, ILLINOIS.**

2 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
3 feasibility of carrying out a project for ecosystem restora-  
4 tion, Ballard's Island side channel, Illinois.

5 **SEC. 4040. CHICAGO, ILLINOIS.**

6 Section 425(a) of the Water Resources Development  
7 Act of 2000 (114 Stat. 2638) is amended by inserting  
8 "Lake Michigan and" before "the Chicago River".

9 **SEC. 4041. SALEM, INDIANA.**

10 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
11 feasibility of carrying out a project to provide an addi-  
12 tional water supply source for Salem, Indiana.

13 **SEC. 4042. BUCKHORN LAKE, KENTUCKY.**

14 (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall conduct a  
15 study to determine the feasibility of modifying the project  
16 for flood damage reduction, Buckhorn Lake, Kentucky,  
17 authorized by section 2 of the Flood Control Act of June  
18 28, 1938 (52 Stat. 1217), to add ecosystem restoration  
19 and recreation as project purposes.

20 (b) IN-KIND CONTRIBUTIONS.—The non-Federal in-  
21 terest may provide the non-Federal share of the cost of  
22 the study in the form of in-kind services and materials.

23 **SEC. 4043. DEWEY LAKE, KENTUCKY.**

24 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
25 feasibility of modifying the project for Dewey Lake, Ken-  
26 tucky, to add water supply as a project purpose.

1 **SEC. 4044. LOUISVILLE, KENTUCKY.**

2       The Secretary shall conduct a study of the project  
3 for flood control, Louisville, Kentucky, authorized by sec-  
4 tion 4 of the Flood Control Act of June 28, 1938 (52 Stat.  
5 1217), to investigate measures to address the rehabilita-  
6 tion of the project.

7 **SEC. 4045. VIDALIA PORT, LOUISIANA.**

8       The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
9 feasibility of carrying out a project for navigation improve-  
10 ment at Vidalia, Louisiana.

11 **SEC. 4046. FALL RIVER HARBOR, MASSACHUSETTS AND**  
12 **RHODE ISLAND.**

13       The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
14 feasibility of deepening that portion of the navigation  
15 channel of the navigation project for Fall River Harbor,  
16 Massachusetts and Rhode Island, authorized by section  
17 101 of the River and Harbor Act of 1968 (82 Stat. 731),  
18 seaward of the Charles M. Braga, Jr. Memorial Bridge,  
19 Fall River and Somerset, Massachusetts.

20 **SEC. 4047. CLINTON RIVER, MICHIGAN.**

21       The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
22 feasibility of carrying out a project for environmental res-  
23 toration, Clinton River, Michigan.

1 **SEC. 4048. HAMBURG AND GREEN OAK TOWNSHIPS, MICHIGAN.**  
2 **GAN.**

3 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
4 feasibility of carrying out a project for flood damage re-  
5 duction on Ore Lake and the Huron River for Hamburg  
6 and Green Oak Townships, Michigan.

7 **SEC. 4049. LAKE ERIE AT LUNA PIER, MICHIGAN.**

8 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
9 feasibility of carrying out a project for storm damage re-  
10 duction and other related purposes along Lake Erie at  
11 Luna Pier, Michigan.

12 **SEC. 4050. DULUTH-SUPERIOR HARBOR, MINNESOTA AND**  
13 **WISCONSIN.**

14 (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall conduct a  
15 study and prepare a report to evaluate the integrity of  
16 the bulkhead system located on and in the vicinity of Du-  
17 luth-Superior Harbor, Duluth, Minnesota, and Superior,  
18 Wisconsin.

19 (b) CONTENTS.—The report shall include—

20 (1) a determination of causes of corrosion of  
21 the bulkhead system;

22 (2) recommendations to reduce corrosion of the  
23 bulkhead system;

24 (3) a description of the necessary repairs to the  
25 bulkhead system; and

1           (4) an estimate of the cost of addressing the  
2 causes of the corrosion and carrying out necessary  
3 repairs.

4 **SEC. 4051. NORTHEAST MISSISSIPPI.**

5           The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
6 feasibility of modifying the project for navigation, Ten-  
7 nessee-Tombigbee Waterway, Alabama and Mississippi, to  
8 provide water supply for northeast Mississippi.

9 **SEC. 4052. DREDGED MATERIAL DISPOSAL, NEW JERSEY.**

10          The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
11 feasibility of carrying out a project in the vicinity of the  
12 Atlantic Intracoastal Waterway, New Jersey, for the con-  
13 struction of a dredged material disposal transfer facility  
14 to make dredged material available for beneficial reuse.

15 **SEC. 4053. BAYONNE, NEW JERSEY.**

16          The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
17 feasibility of carrying out a project for environmental res-  
18 toration, including improved water quality, enhanced pub-  
19 lic access, and recreation, on the Kill Van Kull, Bayonne,  
20 New Jersey.

21 **SEC. 4054. CARTERET, NEW JERSEY.**

22          The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
23 feasibility of carrying out a project for environmental res-  
24 toration, including improved water quality, enhanced pub-

1 lie access, and recreation, on the Raritan River, Carteret,  
2 New Jersey.

3 **SEC. 4055. GLOUCESTER COUNTY, NEW JERSEY.**

4 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
5 feasibility of carrying out a project for flood damage re-  
6 duction, Gloucester County, New Jersey, including the  
7 feasibility of restoring the flood protection dikes in  
8 Gibbstown, New Jersey, and the associated tidegates in  
9 Gloucester County, New Jersey.

10 **SEC. 4056. PERTH AMBOY, NEW JERSEY.**

11 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
12 feasibility of carrying out a project for environmental res-  
13 toration and recreation on the Arthur Kill, Perth Amboy,  
14 New Jersey.

15 **SEC. 4057. BATAVIA, NEW YORK.**

16 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
17 feasibility of carrying out a project for hydropower and  
18 related purposes in the vicinity of Batavia, New York.

19 **SEC. 4058. BIG SISTER CREEK, EVANS, NEW YORK.**

20 (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall conduct a  
21 study to determine the feasibility of carrying out a project  
22 for flood damage reduction, Big Sister Creek, Evans, New  
23 York.

24 (b) EVALUATION OF POTENTIAL SOLUTIONS.—In  
25 conducting the study, the Secretary shall evaluate poten-



1 tial solutions to flooding from all sources, including flood-  
2 ing that results from ice jams.

3 **SEC. 4059. FINGER LAKES, NEW YORK.**

4 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
5 feasibility of carrying out a project for aquatic ecosystem  
6 restoration and protection, Finger Lakes, New York, to  
7 address water quality and aquatic nuisance species.

8 **SEC. 4060. LAKE ERIE SHORELINE, BUFFALO, NEW YORK.**

9 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
10 feasibility of carrying out a project for storm damage re-  
11 duction and shoreline protection in the vicinity of Galla-  
12 gher Beach, Lake Erie Shoreline, Buffalo, New York.

13 **SEC. 4061. NEWTOWN CREEK, NEW YORK.**

14 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
15 feasibility of carrying out ecosystem restoration improve-  
16 ments on Newtown Creek, Brooklyn and Queens, New  
17 York.

18 **SEC. 4062. NIAGARA RIVER, NEW YORK.**

19 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
20 feasibility of carrying out a project for a low-head hydro-  
21 electric generating facility in the Niagara River, New  
22 York.

1 **SEC. 4063. SHORE PARKWAY GREENWAY, BROOKLYN, NEW**  
2 **YORK.**

3 The Secretary shall conduct a study of the feasibility  
4 of carrying out a project for shoreline protection in the  
5 vicinity of the confluence of the Narrows and Gravesend  
6 Bay, Upper New York Bay, Shore Parkway Greenway,  
7 Brooklyn, New York.

8 **SEC. 4064. UPPER DELAWARE RIVER WATERSHED, NEW**  
9 **YORK.**

10 In accordance with section 221 of the Flood Control  
11 Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C. 1962d-5b), a nonprofit organiza-  
12 tion may serve, with the consent of the affected local gov-  
13 ernment, as the non-Federal interest for a study for the  
14 Upper Delaware River watershed, New York, being carried  
15 out under Committee Resolution 2495 of the Committee  
16 on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of  
17 Representatives, adopted May 9, 1996.

18 **SEC. 4065. LINCOLN COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA.**

19 The Secretary shall conduct a study of existing water  
20 and water quality-related infrastructure in Lincoln Coun-  
21 ty, North Carolina, to assist local interests in determining  
22 the most efficient and effective way to connect county in-  
23 frastructure.

1 **SEC. 4066. WILKES COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA.**

2 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
3 feasibility of carrying out a project for water supply,  
4 Wilkes County, North Carolina.

5 **SEC. 4067. YADKINVILLE, NORTH CAROLINA.**

6 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
7 feasibility of carrying out a project for water supply,  
8 Yadkinville, North Carolina.

9 **SEC. 4068. FLOOD DAMAGE REDUCTION, OHIO.**

10 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
11 feasibility of carrying out projects for flood damage reduc-  
12 tion in Cuyahoga, Lake, Ashtabula, Geauga, Erie, Lucas,  
13 Sandusky, Huron, and Stark Counties, Ohio.

14 **SEC. 4069. LAKE ERIE, OHIO.**

15 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
16 feasibility of carrying out projects for power generation  
17 at confined disposal facilities along Lake Erie, Ohio.

18 **SEC. 4070. OHIO RIVER, OHIO.**

19 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
20 feasibility of carrying out projects for flood damage reduc-  
21 tion on the Ohio River in Mahoning, Columbiana, Jeffer-  
22 son, Belmont, Noble, Monroe, Washington, Athens, Meigs,  
23 Gallia, Lawrence, and Scioto Counties, Ohio.

1 **SEC. 4071. TOLEDO HARBOR DREDGED MATERIAL PLACE-**  
2 **MENT, TOLEDO, OHIO.**

3 The Secretary shall study the feasibility of removing  
4 previously dredged and placed materials from the Toledo  
5 Harbor confined disposal facility, transporting the mate-  
6 rials, and disposing of the materials in or at abandoned  
7 mine sites in southeastern Ohio.

8 **SEC. 4072. TOLEDO HARBOR, MAUMEE RIVER, AND LAKE**  
9 **CHANNEL PROJECT, TOLEDO, OHIO.**

10 (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall conduct a  
11 study to determine the feasibility of constructing a project  
12 for navigation, Toledo, Ohio.

13 (b) FACTORS FOR CONSIDERATION.—In conducting  
14 the study under subsection (a), the Secretary shall take  
15 into consideration—

16 (1) realigning the existing Toledo Harbor chan-  
17 nel widening occurring where the River Channel  
18 meets the Lake Channel from the northwest to the  
19 southeast side of the River Channel;

20 (2) realigning the entire 200-foot wide channel  
21 located at the upper river terminus of the River  
22 Channel southern river embankment towards the  
23 northern river embankment; and

24 (3) adjusting the existing turning basin to ac-  
25 commodate those changes.

1 **SEC. 4073. ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION AND FISH PASSAGE**  
2 **IMPROVEMENTS, OREGON.**

3 (a) **STUDY.**—The Secretary shall conduct a study to  
4 determine the feasibility of undertaking ecosystem restora-  
5 tion and fish passage improvements on rivers throughout  
6 the State of Oregon.

7 (b) **REQUIREMENTS.**—In carrying out the study, the  
8 Secretary shall—

9 (1) work in coordination with the State of Or-  
10 egon, local governments, and other Federal agencies;  
11 and

12 (2) place emphasis on—

13 (A) fish passage and conservation and res-  
14 toration strategies to benefit species that are  
15 listed or proposed for listing as threatened or  
16 endangered species under the Endangered Spe-  
17 cies Act of 1973 (16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq.); and

18 (B) other watershed restoration objectives.

19 (c) **PILOT PROGRAM.**—

20 (1) **IN GENERAL.**—In conjunction with con-  
21 ducting the study under subsection (a), the Sec-  
22 retary may carry out pilot projects to demonstrate  
23 the effectiveness of ecosystem restoration and fish  
24 passages.



1 Flood Control Act of June 22, 1936 (49 Stat. 1570), and  
2 modified by section 2 of the Flood Control Act of June  
3 28, 1938 (52 Stat. 1215), section 2 of the Flood Control  
4 Act of August 18, 1941 (55 Stat. 646), and section 4 of  
5 the Flood Control Act of December 22, 1944 (58 Stat.  
6 887), to review operations of and identify modifications  
7 to the project to expand recreational opportunities.

8 **SEC. 4077. WESTERN PENNSYLVANIA FLOOD DAMAGE RE-**  
9 **DUCTION.**

10 (a) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary shall conduct a  
11 study of structural and nonstructural flood damage reduc-  
12 tion, stream bank protection, storm water management,  
13 channel clearing and modification, and watershed coordi-  
14 nation measures in the Mahoning River basin, Pennsyl-  
15 vania, the Allegheny River basin, Pennsylvania, and the  
16 Upper Ohio River basin, Pennsylvania, to provide a level  
17 of flood protection sufficient to prevent future losses to  
18 communities located in such basins from flooding such as  
19 occurred in September 2004, but not less than a 100-year  
20 level of flood protection.

21 (b) **PRIORITY COMMUNITIES.**—In carrying out this  
22 section, the Secretary shall give priority to the following  
23 Pennsylvania communities: Marshall Township, Ross  
24 Township, Shaler Township, Jackson Township, Har-  
25 mony, Zelienople, Darlington Township, Houston Bor-

1 ough, Chartiers Township, Washington, Canton Town-  
2 ship, Tarentum Borough, and East Deer Township.

3 **SEC. 4078. WILLIAMSPORT, PENNSYLVANIA.**

4 The Secretary shall conduct a study of the project  
5 for flood control, Williamsport, Pennsylvania, authorized  
6 by section 5 of the Flood Control Act of June 22, 1936  
7 (49 Stat. 1570), to investigate measures to rehabilitate  
8 the project.

9 **SEC. 4079. YARDLEY BOROUGH, PENNSYLVANIA.**

10 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
11 feasibility of carrying out a project for flood damage re-  
12 duction, at Yardley Borough, Pennsylvania, including the  
13 alternative of raising River Road.

14 **SEC. 4080. RIO VALENCIANO, JUNCOS, PUERTO RICO.**

15 (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall conduct a  
16 study to reevaluate the project for flood damage reduction  
17 and water supply, Rio Valenciano, Juncos, Puerto Rico,  
18 authorized by section 209 of the Flood Control Act of  
19 1962 (76 Stat. 1197) and section 204 of the Flood Con-  
20 trol Act of 1970 (84 Stat. 1828), to determine the feasi-  
21 bility of carrying out the project.

22 (b) CREDIT.—The Secretary shall credit toward the  
23 non-Federal share of the cost of the study the cost of work  
24 carried out by the non-Federal interest before the date of



1 the partnership agreement for the project if the Secretary  
2 determines that the work is integral to the project.

3 **SEC. 4081. WOONSOCKET LOCAL PROTECTION PROJECT,**  
4 **BLACKSTONE RIVER BASIN, RHODE ISLAND.**

5 The Secretary shall conduct a study, and, not later  
6 than June 30, 2008, submit to Congress a report that de-  
7 scribes the results of the study, on the flood damage re-  
8 duction project, Woonsocket, Blackstone River basin,  
9 Rhode Island, authorized by section 10 of the Flood Con-  
10 trol Act of December 22, 1944 (58 Stat. 892), to deter-  
11 mine the measures necessary to restore the level of protec-  
12 tion of the project as originally designed and constructed.

13 **SEC. 4082. CROOKED CREEK, BENNETTSVILLE, SOUTH**  
14 **CAROLINA.**

15 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
16 feasibility of carrying out a project for water supply,  
17 Crooked Creek, Bennettsville, South Carolina.

18 **SEC. 4083. BROAD RIVER, YORK COUNTY, SOUTH CAROLINA.**

19 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
20 feasibility of carrying out a project for water supply,  
21 Broad River, York County, South Carolina.

22 **SEC. 4084. SAVANNAH RIVER, SOUTH CAROLINA AND GEOR-**  
23 **GIA.**

24 (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall determine the  
25 feasibility of carrying out projects—

1           (1) to improve the Savannah River for naviga-  
2           tion and related purposes that may be necessary to  
3           support the location of container cargo and other  
4           port facilities to be located in Jasper County, South  
5           Carolina, in the vicinity of Mile 6 of the Savannah  
6           Harbor entrance channel; and

7           (2) to remove from the proposed Jasper County  
8           port site the easements used by the Corps of Engi-  
9           neers for placement of dredged fill materials for the  
10          Savannah Harbor Federal navigation project.

11          (b) FACTORS FOR CONSIDERATION.—In making a  
12          determination under subsection (a), the Secretary shall  
13          take into consideration—

14                 (1) landside infrastructure;

15                 (2) the provision of any additional dredged ma-  
16                 terial disposal area as a consequence of removing  
17                 from the proposed Jasper County port site the ease-  
18                 ments used by the Corps of Engineers for placement  
19                 of dredged fill materials for the Savannah Harbor  
20                 Federal navigation project; and

21                 (3) the results of the proposed bistate compact  
22                 between the State of Georgia and the State of South  
23                 Carolina to own, develop, and operate port facilities  
24                 at the proposed Jasper County port site, as de-  
25                 scribed in the term sheet executed by the Governor

1 of the State of Georgia and the Governor of the  
2 State of South Carolina on March 12, 2007.

3 **SEC. 4085. CHATTANOOGA, TENNESSEE.**

4 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
5 feasibility of carrying out a project for flood damage re-  
6 duction, Chattanooga Creek, Dobbs Branch, Chattanooga,  
7 Tennessee.

8 **SEC. 4086. CLEVELAND, TENNESSEE.**

9 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
10 feasibility of carrying out a project for flood damage re-  
11 duction, Cleveland, Tennessee.

12 **SEC. 4087. CUMBERLAND RIVER, NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE.**

13 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
14 feasibility of carrying out a project for recreation on, river-  
15 bank protection for, and environmental protection of, the  
16 Cumberland River and riparian habitats in the city of  
17 Nashville and Davidson County, Tennessee.

18 **SEC. 4088. LEWIS, LAWRENCE, AND WAYNE COUNTIES, TEN-**  
19 **NESSEE.**

20 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
21 feasibility of carrying out a project for water supply for  
22 Lewis, Lawrence, and Wayne Counties, Tennessee.

1 **SEC. 4089. WOLF RIVER AND NONCONNAH CREEK, MEM-**  
2 **PHIS, TENNESSEE.**

3 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
4 feasibility of carrying out a project for flood damage re-  
5 duction along Wolf River and Nonconnah Creek, in the  
6 vicinity of Memphis, Tennessee, to include the repair, re-  
7 placement, rehabilitation, and restoration of the following  
8 pumping stations: Cypress Creek, Nonconnah Creek,  
9 Ensley, Marble Bayou, and Bayou Gayoso.

10 **SEC. 4090. ABILENE, TEXAS.**

11 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
12 feasibility of carrying out a project for water supply, Abi-  
13 lene, Texas.

14 **SEC. 4091. COASTAL TEXAS ECOSYSTEM PROTECTION AND**  
15 **RESTORATION, TEXAS.**

16 (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall develop a  
17 comprehensive plan to determine the feasibility of carrying  
18 out projects for flood damage reduction, hurricane and  
19 storm damage reduction, and ecosystem restoration in the  
20 coastal areas of the State of Texas.

21 (b) SCOPE.—The comprehensive plan shall provide  
22 for the protection, conservation, and restoration of wet-  
23 lands, barrier islands, shorelines, and related lands and  
24 features that protect critical resources, habitat, and infra-  
25 structure from the impacts of coastal storms, hurricanes,  
26 erosion, and subsidence.

1 (c) DEFINITION.—For purposes of this section, the  
2 term “coastal areas in the State of Texas” means the  
3 coastal areas of the State of Texas from the Sabine River  
4 on the east to the Rio Grande River on the west and in-  
5 cludes tidal waters, barrier islands, marshes, coastal wet-  
6 lands, rivers and streams, and adjacent areas.

7 **SEC. 4092. PORT OF GALVESTON, TEXAS.**

8 The Secretary shall conduct a study of the feasibility  
9 of carrying out a project for dredged material disposal in  
10 the vicinity of the project for navigation and environ-  
11 mental restoration, Houston-Galveston Navigation Chan-  
12 nels, Texas, authorized by section 101(a)(30) of the Water  
13 Resources Development Act of 1996 (110 Stat. 3666).

14 **SEC. 4093. GRAND COUNTY AND MOAB, UTAH.**

15 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
16 feasibility of carrying out a project for water supply for  
17 Grand County and the city of Moab, Utah, including a  
18 review of the impact of current and future demands on  
19 the Spanish Valley Aquifer.

20 **SEC. 4094. SOUTHWESTERN UTAH.**

21 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
22 feasibility of carrying out a project for flood damage re-  
23 duction, Santa Clara River, Washington, Iron, and Kane  
24 Counties, Utah.

1 **SEC. 4095. ECOSYSTEM AND HYDROPOWER GENERATION**  
2 **DAMS, VERMONT.**

3 (a) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary shall conduct a  
4 study of the potential to carry out ecosystem restoration  
5 and hydropower generation at dams in the State of  
6 Vermont, including a review of the report of the Secretary  
7 on the land and water resources of the New England–New  
8 York region submitted to the President on April 27, 1956  
9 (published as Senate Document Number 14, 85th Con-  
10 gress), and other relevant reports.

11 (b) **PURPOSE.**—The purpose of the study under sub-  
12 section (a) shall be to determine the feasibility of providing  
13 water resource improvements and small-scale hydropower  
14 generation in the State of Vermont, including, as appro-  
15 priate, options for dam restoration, hydropower, dam re-  
16 moval, and fish passage enhancement.

17 (c) **AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.**—There is  
18 authorized to carry out this section \$500,000. Such sums  
19 shall remain available until expended.

20 **SEC. 4096. ELLIOTT BAY SEAWALL, SEATTLE, WASHINGTON.**

21 (a) **IN GENERAL.**—The study for rehabilitation of the  
22 Elliott Bay Seawall, Seattle, Washington, being carried  
23 out under Committee Resolution 2704 of the Committee  
24 on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of  
25 Representatives adopted September 25, 2002, is modified

1 to include a determination of the feasibility of reducing  
2 future damage to the seawall from seismic activity.

3 (b) ACCEPTANCE OF CONTRIBUTIONS.—In carrying  
4 out the study, the Secretary may accept contributions in  
5 excess of the non-Federal share of the cost of the study  
6 from the non-Federal interest to the extent that the Sec-  
7 retary determines that the contributions will facilitate  
8 completion of the study.

9 (c) CREDIT.—The Secretary shall credit toward the  
10 non-Federal share of the cost of any project authorized  
11 by law as a result of the study the value of contributions  
12 accepted by the Secretary under subsection (b).

13 **SEC. 4097. MONONGAHELA RIVER BASIN, NORTHERN WEST**  
14 **VIRGINIA.**

15 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
16 feasibility of carrying out aquatic ecosystem restoration  
17 and protection projects in the watersheds of the  
18 Monongahela River Basin lying within the counties of  
19 Hancock, Ohio, Marshall, Wetzel, Tyler, Pleasants, Wood,  
20 Doddridge, Monongalia, Marion, Harrison, Taylor,  
21 Barbour, Preston, Tucker, Mineral, Grant, Gilmer,  
22 Brooke, and Ritchie, West Virginia.

23 **SEC. 4098. KENOSHA HARBOR, WISCONSIN.**

24 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
25 feasibility of carrying out a project for navigation, Keno-

1 sha Harbor, Wisconsin, including the extension of existing  
2 piers.

3 **SEC. 4099. JOHNSONVILLE DAM, JOHNSONVILLE, WIS-**  
4 **CONSIN.**

5 The Secretary shall conduct a study of the  
6 Johnsonville Dam, Johnsonville, Wisconsin, to determine  
7 if the structure prevents ice jams on the Sheboygan River.

8 **SEC. 4100. WAUWATOSA, WISCONSIN.**

9 The Secretary shall conduct a study to determine the  
10 feasibility of carrying out a project for flood damage re-  
11 duction and environmental restoration, Menomonee River  
12 and Underwood Creek, Wauwatosa, Wisconsin, and great-  
13 er Milwaukee watersheds, Wisconsin.

14 **SEC. 4101. DEBRIS REMOVAL.**

15 (a) EVALUATION.—

16 (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 90 days after  
17 the date of enactment of this Act, the Comptroller  
18 General of the United States, in coordination with  
19 the Secretary and the Administrator of the Environ-  
20 mental Protection Agency, and in consultation with  
21 affected communities, shall conduct a complete eval-  
22 uation of Federal and non-Federal demolition, debris  
23 removal, segregation, transportation, and disposal  
24 practices relating to disaster areas designated in re-



1        sponse to Hurricanes Katrina and Rita (including  
2        regulated and nonregulated materials and debris).

3            (2) INCLUSIONS.—The evaluation under para-  
4        graph (1) shall include a review of—

5            (A) compliance with all applicable environ-  
6        mental laws;

7            (B) permits issued or required to be issued  
8        with respect to debris handling, transportation,  
9        storage, or disposal; and

10          (C) administrative actions relating to de-  
11       bris removal and disposal in the disaster areas  
12       described in paragraph (1).

13        (b) REPORT.—Not later than 120 days after the date  
14       of enactment of this Act, the Comptroller General, in con-  
15       sultation with the Secretary and the Administrator, shall  
16       submit to the Committee on the Environment and Public  
17       Works of the Senate and the Committee on Transpor-  
18       tation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives  
19       a report that—

20            (1) describes the findings of the Comptroller  
21       General with respect to the evaluation under sub-  
22       section (a);

23            (2)(A) certifies compliance with all applicable  
24       environmental laws; and

1 (B) identifies any area in which a violation of  
2 such a law has occurred or is occurring;

3 (3) includes recommendations to ensure—

4 (A) the protection of the environment;

5 (B) sustainable practices; and

6 (C) the integrity of hurricane and flood  
7 protection infrastructure relating to debris dis-  
8 posal practices;

9 (4) contains an enforcement plan that is de-  
10 signed to prevent illegal dumping of hurricane debris  
11 in a disaster area; and

12 (5) contains plans of the Secretary and the Ad-  
13 ministrator to involve the public and non-Federal in-  
14 terests, including through the formation of a Federal  
15 advisory committee, as necessary, to seek public  
16 comment relating to the removal, disposal, and plan-  
17 ning for the handling of post-hurricane debris.

18 (e) RESTRICTION.—

19 (1) IN GENERAL.—No Federal funds may be  
20 used to pay for or reimburse any State or local enti-  
21 ty in Louisiana for the disposal of construction and  
22 demolition debris generated as a result of Hurricane  
23 Katrina in 2005 in a landfill designated for con-  
24 struction and demolition debris as described in sec-  
25 tion 257.2 of title 40, Code of Federal Regulations,

1        unless that waste meets the definition of construc-  
2        tion and demolition debris, as specified under Fed-  
3        eral law and described in that section on the date of  
4        enactment of this Act.

5            (2) APPLICABILITY.—The restriction in para-  
6        graph (1) shall apply only to any disposal that oc-  
7        curs after the date of enactment of this Act.

## 8        **TITLE V—MISCELLANEOUS**

### 9        **SEC. 5001. MAINTENANCE OF NAVIGATION CHANNELS.**

10        (a) IN GENERAL.—Upon request of a non-Federal in-  
11        terest, the Secretary shall be responsible for maintenance  
12        of the following navigation channels and breakwaters con-  
13        structed or improved by the non-Federal interest if the  
14        Secretary determines that such maintenance is economi-  
15        cally justified and environmentally acceptable and that the  
16        channel or breakwater was constructed in accordance with  
17        applicable permits and appropriate engineering and design  
18        standards:

19            (1) Manatee Harbor basin, Florida.

20            (2) Tampa Harbor, Sparkman Channel and  
21        Davis Island, Florida.

22            (3) West turning basin, Canaveral Harbor,  
23        Florida.

24            (4) Bayou LaFourche Channel, Port Fourchon,  
25        Louisiana.

1 (5) Calcasieu River at Devil's Elbow, Louisiana.

2 (6) Pidgeon Industrial Harbor, Pidgeon Indus-  
3 trial Park, Memphis Harbor, Tennessee.

4 (7) Houston Ship Channel, Bayport Cruise  
5 Channel and Bayport Cruise turning basin, as part  
6 of the existing Bayport Channel, Texas.

7 (8) Pix Bayou Navigation Channel, Chambers  
8 County, Texas.

9 (9) Jacintoport Channel at Houston Ship Chan-  
10 nel, Texas.

11 (10) Racine Harbor, Wisconsin.

12 (b) COMPLETION OF ASSESSMENT.—Not later than  
13 6 months after the date of receipt of a request from a  
14 non-Federal interest for Federal assumption of mainte-  
15 nance of a channel listed in subsection (a), the Secretary  
16 shall make a determination as provided in subsection (a)  
17 and advise the non-Federal interest of the Secretary's de-  
18 termination.

19 **SEC. 5002. WATERSHED MANAGEMENT.**

20 (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary may provide tech-  
21 nical, planning, and design assistance to non-Federal in-  
22 terests for carrying out watershed management, restora-  
23 tion, and development projects at the locations described  
24 in subsection (d).

1 (b) SPECIFIC MEASURES.—Assistance provided  
2 under subsection (a) may be in support of non-Federal  
3 projects for the following purposes:

4 (1) Management and restoration of water qual-  
5 ity.

6 (2) Control and remediation of toxic sediments.

7 (3) Restoration of degraded streams, rivers,  
8 wetlands, and other water bodies to their natural  
9 condition as a means to control flooding, excessive  
10 erosion, and sedimentation.

11 (4) Protection and restoration of watersheds,  
12 including urban watersheds.

13 (5) Demonstration of technologies for non-  
14 structural measures to reduce destructive impacts of  
15 flooding.

16 (c) NON-FEDERAL SHARE.—The non-Federal share  
17 of the cost of assistance provided under subsection (a)  
18 shall be 25 percent.

19 (d) PROJECT LOCATIONS.—The locations referred to  
20 in subsection (a) are the following:

21 (1) Charlotte Harbor watershed, Florida.

22 (2) Those portions of the watersheds of the  
23 Chattahoochee, Etowah, Flint, Ocmulgee, and  
24 Oconee Rivers lying within the counties of Bartow,  
25 Cherokee, Clayton, Cobb, Coweta, DeKalb, Douglas,

- 1       Fayette, Fulton, Forsyth, Gwinnett, Hall, Henry,
- 2       Paulding, Rockdale, and Walton, Georgia.
- 3           (3) Kinkaid Lake, Jackson County, Illinois.
- 4           (4) Amite River basin, Louisiana.
- 5           (5) East Atchafalaya River basin, Iberville Par-
- 6       ish and Pointe Coupee Parish, Louisiana.
- 7           (6) Red River watershed, Louisiana.
- 8           (7) Taunton River basin, Massachusetts.
- 9           (8) Marlboro Township, New Jersey.
- 10          (9) Esopus, Plattekill, and Rondout Creeks,
- 11       Greene, Sullivan, and Ulster Counties, New York.
- 12          (10) Greenwood Lake watershed, New York
- 13       and New Jersey.
- 14          (11) Long Island Sound watershed, New York.
- 15          (12) Ramapo River watershed, New York.
- 16          (13) Tuscarawas River basin, Ohio.
- 17          (14) Western Lake Erie basin, Ohio.
- 18          (15) Those portions of the watersheds of the
- 19       Beaver, Upper Ohio, Connoquenessing, Lower Alle-
- 20       gheny, Kiskiminetas, Lower Monongahela,
- 21       Youghiogheny, Shenango, and Mahoning Rivers
- 22       lying within the counties of Beaver, Butler, Law-
- 23       rence, and Mercer, Pennsylvania.
- 24          (16) Otter Creek watershed, Pennsylvania.

1           (17) Unami Creek watershed, Milford Town-  
2           ship, Pennsylvania.

3           (18) Sauk River basin, Washington.

4           (e) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There is  
5           authorized to be appropriated to carry out this section  
6           \$15,000,000.

7           **SEC. 5003. DAM SAFETY.**

8           (a) ASSISTANCE.—The Secretary may provide assist-  
9           ance to enhance dam safety at the following locations:

10           (1) Fish Creek Dam, Blaine County, Idaho.

11           (2) Keith Creek, Rockford, Illinois.

12           (3) Mount Zion Mill Pond Dam, Fulton Coun-  
13           ty, Indiana.

14           (4) Hamilton Dam, Flint River, Flint, Michi-  
15           gan.

16           (5) Congers Lake Dam, Rockland County, New  
17           York.

18           (6) Lake Lucille Dam, New City, New York.

19           (7) Peconic River Dams, town of Riverhead,  
20           Suffolk, Long Island, New York.

21           (8) Pine Grove Lakes Dam, Sloatsburg, New  
22           York.

23           (9) State Dam, Auburn, New York.

24           (10) Whaley Lake Dam, Pawling, New York.

1 (11) Brightwood Dam, Concord Township,  
2 Ohio.

3 (12) Ingham Spring Dam, Solebury Township,  
4 Pennsylvania.

5 (13) Leaser Lake Dam, Lehigh County, Penn-  
6 sylvania.

7 (14) Stillwater Dam, Monroe County, Pennsyl-  
8 vania.

9 (15) Wissahickon Creek Dam, Montgomery  
10 County, Pennsylvania.

11 (b) SPECIAL RULE.—The assistance provided under  
12 subsection (a) for State Dam, Auburn, New York, shall  
13 be for a project for rehabilitation in accordance with the  
14 report on State Dam Rehabilitation, Owaseco Lake Outlet,  
15 New York, dated March 1999, if the Secretary determines  
16 that the project is feasible.

17 (c) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There is  
18 authorized to be appropriated to carry out subsection (a)  
19 \$12,000,000.

20 **SEC. 5004. STRUCTURAL INTEGRITY EVALUATIONS.**

21 (a) IN GENERAL.—Upon request of a non-Federal in-  
22 terest, the Secretary shall evaluate the structural integrity  
23 and effectiveness of a project for flood damage reduction  
24 and, if the Secretary determines that the project does not  
25 meet such minimum standards as the Secretary may es-



1 establish and absent action by the Secretary the project will  
2 fail, the Secretary may take such action as may be nec-  
3 essary to restore the integrity and effectiveness of the  
4 project.

5 (b) PRIORITY.—The Secretary shall carry out an  
6 evaluation and take such actions as may be necessary  
7 under subsection (a) for the project for flood damage re-  
8 duction, Arkansas River Levees, Arkansas.

9 **SEC. 5005. FLOOD MITIGATION PRIORITY AREAS.**

10 (a) IN GENERAL.—Section 212(e) of the Water Re-  
11 sources Development Act of 1999 (33 U.S.C. 2332(e); 114  
12 Stat. 2599) is amended—

13 (1) by striking “and” at the end of paragraphs  
14 (23) and (27);

15 (2) by striking the period at the end of para-  
16 graph (28) and inserting a semicolon; and

17 (3) by adding at the end the following:

18 “(29) Ascension Parish, Louisiana;

19 “(30) East Baton Rouge Parish, Louisiana;

20 “(31) Iberville Parish, Louisiana;

21 “(32) Livingston Parish, Louisiana; and

22 “(33) Pointe Coupee Parish, Louisiana.”.

23 (b) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—Section  
24 212(i)(1) of such Act (33 U.S.C. 2332(i)(1)) is amended

1 by striking “section—” and all that follows before the pe-  
2 riod at the end and inserting “section \$20,000,000”.

3 **SEC. 5006. ADDITIONAL ASSISTANCE FOR AUTHORIZED**  
4 **PROJECTS.**

5 (a) IN GENERAL.—Section 219(e) of the Water Re-  
6 sources Development Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 110  
7 Stat. 3757; 113 Stat. 334) is amended—

8 (1) by striking “and” at the end of paragraph  
9 (7);

10 (2) by striking the period at the end of para-  
11 graph (8) and inserting a semicolon; and

12 (3) by adding at the end the following:

13 “(9) \$35,000,000 for the project described in  
14 subsection (c)(18);

15 “(10) \$27,000,000 for the project described in  
16 subsection (c)(19);

17 “(11) \$20,000,000 for the project described in  
18 subsection (c)(20);

19 “(12) \$35,000,000 for the project described in  
20 subsection (c)(23);

21 “(13) \$20,000,000 for the project described in  
22 subsection (c)(25);

23 “(14) \$20,000,000 for the project described in  
24 subsection (c)(26);

1           “(15) \$35,000,000 for the project described in  
2 subsection (c)(27);

3           “(16) \$20,000,000 for the project described in  
4 subsection (c)(28); and

5           “(17) \$30,000,000 for the project described in  
6 subsection (c)(40).”.

7           (b) EAST ARKANSAS ENTERPRISE COMMUNITY, AR-  
8 KANSAS.—Federal assistance made available under the  
9 rural enterprise zone program of the Department of Agri-  
10 culture may be used toward payment of the non-Federal  
11 share of the costs of the project described in section  
12 219(c)(20) of the Water Resources Development Act of  
13 1992 (114 Stat. 2763A–219) if such assistance is author-  
14 ized to be used for such purposes.

15 **SEC. 5007. EXPEDITED COMPLETION OF REPORTS AND**  
16 **CONSTRUCTION FOR CERTAIN PROJECTS.**

17           The Secretary shall expedite completion of the re-  
18 ports and, if the Secretary determines that the project is  
19 feasible, shall expedite completion of construction for the  
20 following projects:

21           (1) Project for navigation, Whittier, Alaska.

22           (2) Laguna Creek watershed flood damage re-  
23 duction project, California.

24           (3) Daytona Beach shore protection project,  
25 Florida.

1           (4) Flagler Beach shore protection project,  
2 Florida.

3           (5) St. Johns County shore protection project,  
4 Florida.

5           (6) Chenier Plain environmental restoration  
6 project, Louisiana.

7           (7) False River, Louisiana, being carried out  
8 under section 206 of the Water Resources Develop-  
9 ment Act of 1996 (33 U.S.C. 2330).

10          (8) North River, Peabody, Massachusetts, being  
11 carried out under section 205 of the Flood Control  
12 Act of 1948 (33 U.S.C. 701s).

13          (9) Fulmer Creek, Village of Mohawk, New  
14 York, being carried out under section 205 of the  
15 Flood Control Act of 1948 (33 U.S.C. 701s).

16          (10) Moyer Creek, Village of Frankfort, New  
17 York, being carried out under section 205 of the  
18 Flood Control Act of 1948 (33 U.S.C. 701s).

19          (11) Steele Creek, Village of Ilion, New York,  
20 being carried out under section 205 of the Flood  
21 Control Act of 1948 (33 U.S.C. 701s).

22          (12) Oriskany Wildlife Management Area,  
23 Rome, New York, being carried out under section  
24 206 of the Water Resources Development Act of  
25 1996 (33 U.S.C. 2330).

1           (13) Whitney Point Lake, Otselic River, Whit-  
2           ney Point, New York, being carried out under sec-  
3           tion 1135 of the Water Resources Development Act  
4           of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2309a).

5           (14) Chenango Lake, Chenango County, New  
6           York, being carried out under section 206 of the  
7           Water Resources Development Act of 1996 (33  
8           U.S.C. 2330).

9   **SEC. 5008. EXPEDITED COMPLETION OF REPORTS FOR CER-**  
10           **TAIN PROJECTS.**

11           (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall expedite com-  
12           pletion of the reports for the following projects and, if the  
13           Secretary determines that a project is justified in the com-  
14           pleted report, proceed directly to project preconstruction,  
15           engineering, and design:

16           (1) Project for water supply, Little Red River,  
17           Arkansas.

18           (2) Watershed study, Fountain Creek, north of  
19           Pueblo, Colorado.

20           (3) Project for shoreline stabilization at  
21           Egmont Key, Florida.

22           (4) Project for navigation, Sabine-Neches Wa-  
23           terway, Texas and Louisiana.

24           (5) Project for ecosystem restoration, Univer-  
25           sity Lake, Baton Rouge, Louisiana.

1 (b) SPECIAL RULE FOR EGMONT KEY, FLORIDA.—  
2 In carrying out the project for shoreline stabilization at  
3 Egmont Key, Florida, referred to in subsection (a)(3), the  
4 Secretary shall waive any cost share to be provided by non-  
5 Federal interests for any portion of the project that bene-  
6 fits federally owned property.

7 **SEC. 5009. SOUTHEASTERN WATER RESOURCES ASSESS-**  
8 **MENT.**

9 (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall conduct, at  
10 Federal expense, an assessment of the water resources  
11 needs of the river basins and watersheds of the south-  
12 eastern United States.

13 (b) COOPERATIVE AGREEMENTS.—In carrying out  
14 the assessment, the Secretary may enter into cooperative  
15 agreements with State and local agencies, non-Federal  
16 and nonprofit entities, and regional researchers.

17 (c) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There is  
18 authorized to be appropriated \$7,000,000 to carry out this  
19 section.

20 **SEC. 5010. MISSOURI AND MIDDLE MISSISSIPPI RIVERS EN-**  
21 **HANCEMENT PROJECT.**

22 Section 514 of the Water Resources Development Act  
23 of 1999 (113 Stat. 343; 117 Stat. 142) is amended—

24 (1) in subsection (b)(2)(A) by adding at the end  
25 the following: “The Secretary shall ensure that such

1 activities are carried out throughout the geographic  
2 area that is subject to the plan.”;

3 (2) by redesignating subsections (f) and (g) as  
4 subsections (g) and (h), respectively;

5 (3) by inserting after subsection (e) the fol-  
6 lowing:

7 “(f) NONPROFIT ENTITIES.—In accordance with sec-  
8 tion 221(b) of the Flood Control Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C.  
9 1962d–5b(b)), for any project or activity carried out under  
10 this section, a non-Federal interest may include a non-  
11 profit entity with the consent of the affected local govern-  
12 ment.”;

13 (4) in subsection (g) (as redesignated by para-  
14 graph (2) of this section) by adding at the end the  
15 following:

16 “(4) NON-FEDERAL SHARE.—

17 “(A) IN GENERAL.—The non-Federal  
18 share of the costs of activities carried out under  
19 the plan may be provided—

20 “(i) in cash;

21 “(ii) by the provision of land, ease-  
22 ments, rights-of-way, relocations, or dis-  
23 posal areas;

24 “(iii) by in-kind services to implement  
25 the project; or

1 “(iv) by any combination thereof.

2 “(B) PRIVATE OWNERSHIP.—Land needed  
3 for activities carried out under the plan and  
4 credited toward the non-Federal share of the  
5 cost of an activity may remain in private owner-  
6 ship subject to easements that are—

7 “(i) satisfactory to the Secretary; and

8 “(ii) necessary to ensure achievement  
9 of the project purposes.”; and

10 (5) in subsection (h) (as redesignated by para-  
11 graph (2) of this section) by striking “for the period  
12 of fiscal years 2003 and 2004.” and inserting “per  
13 fiscal year through fiscal year 2015.”.

14 **SEC. 5011. GREAT LAKES FISHERY AND ECOSYSTEM RES-**  
15 **TORATION PROGRAM.**

16 (a) GREAT LAKES FISHERY AND ECOSYSTEM RES-  
17 TORATION.—Section 506(c) of the Water Resources De-  
18 velopment Act of 2000 (42 U.S.C. 1962d–22(c)) is  
19 amended—

20 (1) by redesignating paragraphs (2) and (3) as  
21 paragraphs (3) and (4), respectively;

22 (2) by inserting after paragraph (1) the fol-  
23 lowing:

24 “(2) RECONNAISSANCE STUDIES.—Before plan-  
25 ning, designing, or constructing a project under



1 paragraph (3), the Secretary shall carry out a recon-  
2 naissance study—

3 “(A) to identify methods of restoring the  
4 fishery, ecosystem, and beneficial uses of the  
5 Great Lakes; and

6 “(B) to determine whether planning of a  
7 project under paragraph (3) should proceed.”;  
8 and

9 (3) in paragraph (4)(A) (as redesignated by  
10 paragraph (1) of this subsection) by striking “para-  
11 graph (2)” and inserting “paragraph (3)”.

12 (b) COST SHARING.—Section 506(f) of the Water Re-  
13 sources Development Act of 2000 (42 U.S.C. 1962d-  
14 22(f)) is amended—

15 (1) in paragraph (2)—

16 (A) by striking “The Federal share” and  
17 inserting “Except for reconnaissance studies,  
18 the Federal share”; and

19 (B) by striking “(2) or (3)” and inserting  
20 “(3) or (4)”;

21 (2) in paragraph (3)—

22 (A) in subparagraph (A) by striking “sub-  
23 section (c)(2)” and inserting “subsection  
24 (c)(3)”; and

1 (B) in subparagraph (B) by striking “50  
2 percent” and inserting “100 percent”; and  
3 (3) in paragraph (5) by striking “Notwith-  
4 standing” and inserting “In accordance with”.

5 **SEC. 5012. GREAT LAKES REMEDIAL ACTION PLANS AND**  
6 **SEDIMENT REMEDIATION.**

7 Section 401(c) of the Water Resources Development  
8 Act of 1990 (33 U.S.C. 1268 note; 104 Stat. 4644; 114  
9 Stat. 2613) is amended by striking “through 2006” and  
10 inserting “through 2012”.

11 **SEC. 5013. GREAT LAKES TRIBUTARY MODELS.**

12 Section 516(g)(2) of the Water Resources Develop-  
13 ment Act of 1996 (33 U.S.C. 2326b(g)(2)) is amended  
14 by striking “through 2006” and inserting “through  
15 2012”.

16 **SEC. 5014. GREAT LAKES NAVIGATION AND PROTECTION.**

17 (a) GREAT LAKES NAVIGATION.—Using available  
18 funds, the Secretary shall expedite the operation and  
19 maintenance, including dredging, of the navigation fea-  
20 tures of the Great Lakes and Connecting Channels for the  
21 purpose of supporting commercial navigation to author-  
22 ized project depths.

23 (b) GREAT LAKES PILOT PROJECT.—Using available  
24 funds, the Director of the Animal and Plant Health In-  
25 spection Service, in coordination with the Secretary, the

1 Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency,  
2 the Commandant of the Coast Guard, and the Director  
3 of the United States Fish and Wildlife Service, shall carry  
4 out a pilot project, on an emergency basis, to control and  
5 prevent further spreading of viral hemorrhagic septicemia  
6 in the Great Lakes and Connecting Channels.

7 (c) GREAT LAKES AND CONNECTING CHANNELS DE-  
8 FINED.—In this section, the term “Great Lakes and Con-  
9 necting Channels” includes Lakes Superior, Huron, Michi-  
10 gan, Erie, and Ontario, all connecting waters between and  
11 among such lakes used for commercial navigation, any  
12 navigation features in such lakes or waters that are a Fed-  
13 eral operation or maintenance responsibility, and areas of  
14 the Saint Lawrence River that are operated or maintained  
15 by the Federal Government for commercial navigation.

16 **SEC. 5015. SAINT LAWRENCE SEAWAY.**

17 (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary is authorized,  
18 using amounts contributed by the Saint Lawrence Seaway  
19 Development Corporation under subsection (b), to carry  
20 out projects for operations, maintenance, repair, and reha-  
21 bilitation, including associated maintenance dredging, of  
22 the Eisenhower and Snell lock facilities and related navi-  
23 gational infrastructure for the Saint Lawrence Seaway, at  
24 a total cost of \$134,650,000.

1           (b) SOURCE OF FUNDS.—The Secretary is authorized  
2 to accept funds from the Saint Lawrence Seaway Develop-  
3 ment Corporation to carry out projects under this section.  
4 Such funds may include amounts made available to the  
5 Corporation from the Harbor Maintenance Trust Fund  
6 and the general fund of the Treasury of the United States  
7 pursuant to section 210 of the Water Resources Develop-  
8 ment Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2238).

9           (c) LIMITATION ON STATUTORY CONSTRUCTION.—  
10 Nothing in this section authorizes the construction of any  
11 project to increase the depth or width of the navigation  
12 channel to a level greater than that previously authorized  
13 and existing on the date of enactment of this Act or to  
14 increase the dimensions of the Eisenhower and Snell lock  
15 facilities.

16 **SEC. 5016. UPPER MISSISSIPPI RIVER DISPERSAL BARRIER**  
17 **PROJECT.**

18           (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary, in consultation  
19 with appropriate Federal and State agencies, shall study,  
20 design, and carry out a project to delay, deter, impede,  
21 or restrict the dispersal of aquatic nuisance species into  
22 the northern reaches of the Upper Mississippi River sys-  
23 tem. The Secretary shall complete the study, design, and  
24 construction of the project not later than 6 months after  
25 the date of enactment of this Act.

1 (b) DISPERSAL BARRIER.—In carrying out sub-  
2 section (a), the Secretary, at Federal expense, shall—

3 (1) investigate and identify environmentally  
4 sound methods for preventing and reducing the dis-  
5 persal of aquatic nuisance species through the north-  
6 ern reaches of the Upper Mississippi River system;

7 (2) use available technologies and measures;

8 (3) monitor and evaluate, in cooperation with  
9 the Director of the United States Fish and Wildlife  
10 Service, the effectiveness of the project in preventing  
11 and reducing the dispersal of aquatic nuisance spe-  
12 cies through the northern reaches of the Upper Mis-  
13 sissippi River system;

14 (4) submit to the Committee on Transportation  
15 and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives  
16 and the Committee on Environment and Public  
17 Works of the Senate a report on the results of the  
18 evaluation conducted under paragraph (3); and

19 (5) operate and maintain the project.

20 (c) REQUIREMENT.—In conducting the study under  
21 subsection (a), the Secretary shall take into consideration  
22 the feasibility of locating the dispersal barrier at the lock  
23 portion of the project at Lock and Dam 11 in the Upper  
24 Mississippi River basin.

1 (d) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There is  
2 authorized to be appropriated \$4,000,000 to carry out this  
3 section.

4 **SEC. 5017. ESTUARY RESTORATION.**

5 (a) PURPOSES.—Section 102 of the Estuary Restora-  
6 tion Act of 2000 (33 U.S.C. 2901) is amended—

7 (1) in paragraph (1) by inserting before the  
8 semicolon at the end the following: “by imple-  
9 menting a coordinated Federal approach to estuary  
10 habitat restoration activities, including the use of  
11 common monitoring standards and a common sys-  
12 tem for tracking restoration acreage”;

13 (2) in paragraph (2) by inserting “and imple-  
14 ment” after “to develop”; and

15 (3) in paragraph (3) by inserting “through co-  
16 operative agreements” after “restoration projects”.

17 (b) DEFINITION OF ESTUARY HABITAT RESTORA-  
18 TION PLAN.—Section 103(6)(A) of the Estuary Restora-  
19 tion Act of 2000 (33 U.S.C. 2902(6)(A)) is amended by  
20 striking “Federal or State” and inserting “Federal, State,  
21 or regional”.

22 (c) ESTUARY HABITAT RESTORATION PROGRAM.—  
23 Section 104 of the Estuary Restoration Act of 2000 (33  
24 U.S.C. 2903) is amended—

## 400

1           (1) in subsection (a) by inserting “through the  
2           award of contracts and cooperative agreements”  
3           after “assistance”;

4           (2) in subsection (c)—

5                 (A) in paragraph (3)(A) by inserting “or  
6                 State” after “Federal”; and

7                 (B) in paragraph (4)(B) by inserting “or  
8                 approach” after “technology”;

9           (3) in subsection (d)—

10                 (A) in paragraph (1)—

11                         (i) by striking “Except” and inserting  
12                         the following:

13                                 “(A) IN GENERAL.—Except”; and

14                         (ii) by adding at the end the fol-  
15                         lowing:

16                                 “(B) MONITORING.—

17   “(i) COSTS.—The costs of monitoring  
18   an estuary habitat restoration project  
19   funded under this title may be included in  
20   the total cost of the estuary habitat res-  
21   toration project.

22   “(ii) GOALS.—The goals of the moni-  
23   toring shall be—

24   “(I) to measure the effectiveness  
25   of the restoration project; and

1                   “(II) to allow adaptive manage-  
2                   ment to ensure project success.”;

3                   (B) in paragraph (2) by inserting “or ap-  
4                   proach” after “technology”; and

5                   (C) in paragraph (3) by inserting “(includ-  
6                   ing monitoring)” after “services”;

7                   (4) in subsection (f)(1)(B) by inserting “long-  
8                   term” before “maintenance”; and

9                   (5) in subsection (g)—

10                   (A) by striking “In carrying” and inserting  
11                   the following:

12                   “(1) IN GENERAL.—In carrying”; and

13                   (B) by adding at the end the following:

14                   “(2) SMALL PROJECTS.—

15                   “(A) SMALL PROJECT DEFINED.—In this  
16                   paragraph, the term ‘small project’ means a  
17                   project carried out under this title with an esti-  
18                   mated Federal cost of less than \$1,000,000.

19                   “(B) DELEGATION OF PROJECT IMPLE-  
20                   MENTATION.—In carrying out this section, the  
21                   Secretary, on recommendation of the Council,  
22                   may delegate implementation of a small project  
23                   to—



1                   “(i) the Secretary of the Interior (act-  
2                   ing through the Director of the United  
3                   States Fish and Wildlife Service);

4                   “(ii) the Under Secretary for Oceans  
5                   and Atmosphere of the Department of  
6                   Commerce;

7                   “(iii) the Administrator of the Envi-  
8                   ronmental Protection Agency; or

9                   “(iv) the Secretary of Agriculture.

10                  “(C) FUNDING.—A small project delegated  
11                  to the head of a Federal department or agency  
12                  under this paragraph may be carried out using  
13                  funds appropriated to the department or agency  
14                  under section 109(a)(1) or other funds available  
15                  to the department or agency.

16                  “(D) AGREEMENTS.—The head of a Fed-  
17                  eral department or agency to which a small  
18                  project is delegated under this paragraph shall  
19                  enter into an agreement with the non-Federal  
20                  interest for the project generally in conformance  
21                  with the criteria in subsections (d) and (e). Co-  
22                  operative agreements may be used for any dele-  
23                  gated project to allow the non-Federal interest  
24                  to carry out the project on behalf of the Federal  
25                  agency.”.

1 (d) ESTABLISHMENT OF ESTUARY HABITAT RES-  
2 TORATION COUNCIL.—Section 105(b) of the Estuary Res-  
3 toration Act of 2000 (33 U.S.C. 2904(b)) is amended—

4 (1) in paragraph (4) by striking “and” after  
5 the semicolon;

6 (2) in paragraph (5) by striking the period at  
7 the end and inserting a semicolon; and

8 (3) by adding at the end the following:

9 “(6) cooperating in the implementation of the  
10 strategy developed under section 106;

11 “(7) recommending standards for monitoring  
12 for restoration projects and contribution of project  
13 information to the database developed under section  
14 107; and

15 “(8) otherwise using the respective authorities  
16 of the Council members to carry out this title.”

17 (e) MONITORING OF ESTUARY HABITAT RESTORA-  
18 TION PROJECTS.—Section 107(d) of the Estuary Restora-  
19 tion Act of 2000 (33 U.S.C. 2906(d)) is amended by strik-  
20 ing “compile” and inserting “have general data compila-  
21 tion, coordination, and analysis responsibilities to carry  
22 out this title and in support of the strategy developed  
23 under this section, including compilation of”.

24 (f) REPORTING.—Section 108(a) of the Estuary Res-  
25 toration Act of 2000 (33 U.S.C. 2907(a)) is amended by

1 striking “At the end of the third and fifth fiscal years  
2 following the date of enactment of this Act” and inserting  
3 “Not later than September 30, 2008, and every 2 years  
4 thereafter”.

5 (g) FUNDING.—Section 109(a) of the Estuary Res-  
6 toration Act of 2000 (33 U.S.C. 2908(a)) is amended—

7 (1) in paragraph (1)—

8 (A) in the matter preceding subparagraph

9 (A) by striking “to the Secretary”; and

10 (B) by striking subparagraphs (A) through

11 (D) and inserting the following:

12 “(A) to the Secretary, \$25,000,000 for  
13 each of fiscal years 2008 through 2012;

14 “(B) to the Secretary of the Interior (act-  
15 ing through the Director of the United States  
16 Fish and Wildlife Service), \$2,500,000 for each  
17 of fiscal years 2008 through 2012;

18 “(C) to the Under Secretary for Oceans  
19 and Atmosphere of the Department of Com-  
20 merce, \$2,500,000 for each of fiscal years 2008  
21 through 2012;

22 “(D) to the Administrator of the Environ-  
23 mental Protection Agency, \$2,500,000 for each  
24 of fiscal years 2008 through 2012; and

1           “(E) to the Secretary of Agriculture,  
2           \$2,500,000 for each of fiscal years 2008  
3           through 2012.”; and

4           (2) in the first sentence of paragraph (2)—

5                 (A) by inserting “and other information  
6                 compiled under section 107” after “this title”;  
7                 and

8                 (B) by striking “2005” and inserting  
9                 “2012”.

10          (h) GENERAL PROVISIONS.—Section 110 of the Es-  
11          tuary Restoration Act of 2000 (33 U.S.C. 2909) is amend-  
12          ed—

13                 (1) in subsection (b)(1)—

14                         (A) by inserting “or contracts” after  
15                         “agreements”; and

16                         (B) by inserting “, nongovernmental orga-  
17                         nizations,” after “agencies”; and

18                 (2) by striking subsections (d) and (e).

19          **SEC. 5018. MISSOURI RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES, MITIGA-**  
20                                 **TION, RECOVERY, AND RESTORATION, IOWA,**  
21                                 **KANSAS, MISSOURI, MONTANA, NEBRASKA,**  
22                                 **NORTH DAKOTA, SOUTH DAKOTA, AND WYO-**  
23                                 **MING.**

24                 (a) STUDY.—

1           (1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary, in consulta-  
2           tion with the Missouri River Recovery Implementa-  
3           tion Committee to be established under subsection  
4           (b)(1), shall conduct a study of the Missouri River  
5           and its tributaries to determine actions required—

6                   (A) to mitigate losses of aquatic and ter-  
7                   restrial habitat;

8                   (B) to recover federally listed species under  
9                   the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (16 U.S.C.  
10                  1531 et seq.); and

11                  (C) to restore the ecosystem to prevent  
12                  further declines among other native species.

13           (2) FUNDING.—The study to be conducted  
14           under paragraph (1) shall be funded using amounts  
15           made available to carry out the Missouri River re-  
16           covery and mitigation plan authorized by section  
17           601(a) of the Water Resources Development Act of  
18           1986 (100 Stat. 4143).

19           (b) MISSOURI RIVER RECOVERY IMPLEMENTATION  
20           COMMITTEE.—

21           (1) ESTABLISHMENT.—Not later than 6  
22           months after the date of enactment of this Act, the  
23           Secretary shall establish a committee to be known as  
24           the Missouri River Recovery Implementation Com-

1       mittee (in this section referred to as the “Com-  
2       mittee”).

3           (2) MEMBERSHIP.—The Committee shall in-  
4       clude representatives from—

5                   (A) Federal agencies;

6                   (B) States located near the Missouri River  
7       basin; and

8                   (C) other appropriate entities, as deter-  
9       mined by the Secretary, including—

10                   (i) water management and fish and  
11       wildlife agencies;

12                   (ii) Indian tribes located near the Mis-  
13       souri River basin; and

14                   (iii) nongovernmental stakeholders,  
15       which may include—

16                           (I) navigation interests;

17                           (II) irrigation interests;

18                           (III) flood control interests;

19                           (IV) fish, wildlife, and conserva-  
20       tion organizations;

21                           (V) recreation interests; and

22                           (VI) power supply interests.

23           (3) DUTIES.—The Committee shall—

24                   (A) with respect to the study to be con-  
25       ducted under subsection (a)(1), provide guid-

1           ance to the Secretary and any affected Federal  
2           agency, State agency, or Indian tribe; and

3                   (B) provide guidance to the Secretary with  
4           respect to the Missouri River recovery and miti-  
5           gation plan in existence on the date of enact-  
6           ment of this Act, including recommendations  
7           relating to—

8                           (i) changes to the implementation  
9                           strategy from the use of adaptive manage-  
10                          ment;

11                          (ii) coordination of the development of  
12                          consistent policies, strategies, plans, pro-  
13                          grams, projects, activities, and priorities  
14                          for the Missouri River recovery and mitiga-  
15                          tion plan;

16                          (iii) exchange of information regard-  
17                          ing programs, projects, and activities of  
18                          the agencies and entities represented on  
19                          the Committee to promote the goals of the  
20                          Missouri River recovery and mitigation  
21                          plan;

22                          (iv) establishment of such working  
23                          groups as the Committee determines to be  
24                          necessary to assist in carrying out the du-

1                   ties of the Committee, including duties re-  
2                   lating to public policy and scientific issues;

3                   (v) facilitating the resolution of inter-  
4                   agency and intergovernmental conflicts be-  
5                   tween entities represented on the Com-  
6                   mittee associated with the Missouri River  
7                   recovery and mitigation plan;

8                   (vi) coordination of scientific and  
9                   other research associated with the Missouri  
10                  River recovery and mitigation plan; and

11                  (vii) annual preparation of a work  
12                  plan and associated budget requests.

13                  (4) RECOMMENDATIONS AND GUIDANCE.—In  
14                  providing recommendations and guidance from the  
15                  Committee, the members of the Committee may in-  
16                  clude dissenting opinions.

17                  (5) COMPENSATION; TRAVEL EXPENSES.—

18                  (A) COMPENSATION.—Members of the  
19                  Committee shall not receive compensation from  
20                  the Secretary in carrying out the duties of the  
21                  Committee under this section.

22                  (B) TRAVEL EXPENSES.—Travel expenses  
23                  incurred by a member of the Committee in car-  
24                  rying out the duties of the Committee under



1           this section shall not be eligible for Federal re-  
2           imbursement.

3           (c) NONAPPLICABILITY OF FACA.—The Federal Ad-  
4           visory Committee Act (5 U.S.C. App.) shall not apply to  
5           the Committee.

6           **SEC. 5019. SUSQUEHANNA, DELAWARE, AND POTOMAC**  
7                           **RIVER BASINS, DELAWARE, MARYLAND,**  
8                           **PENNSYLVANIA, AND VIRGINIA.**

9           (a) EX OFFICIO MEMBER.—Notwithstanding section  
10          3001(a) of the 1997 Emergency Supplemental Appropria-  
11          tions Act for Recovery From Natural Disasters, and for  
12          Overseas Peacekeeping Efforts, Including Those in Bosnia  
13          (Public Law 105–18; 111 Stat. 176), section 2.2 of the  
14          Susquehanna River Basin Compact to which consent was  
15          given by Public Law 91–575 (84 Stat. 1512), and section  
16          2.2 of the Delaware River Basin Compact to which con-  
17          sent was given by Public Law 87–328 (75 Stat. 691), be-  
18          ginning in fiscal year 2002, and each fiscal year there-  
19          after, the Division Engineer, North Atlantic Division,  
20          Corps of Engineers—

21                   (1) shall be—

22                           (A) the ex officio United States member of  
23                   the Susquehanna River Basin Compact and the  
24                   Delaware River Basin Compact; and

1 (B) one of the 3 members appointed by the  
2 President under the Potomac River Basin Com-  
3 pact to which consent was given by Public Law  
4 91-407 (84 Stat. 856);

5 (2) shall serve without additional compensation;  
6 and

7 (3) may designate an alternate member in ac-  
8 cordance with the terms of those compacts.

9 (b) AUTHORIZATION TO ALLOCATE.—The Secretary  
10 shall allocate funds to the Susquehanna River Basin Com-  
11 mission, Delaware River Basin Commission, and the  
12 Interstate Commission on the Potomac River Basin to ful-  
13 fill the equitable funding requirements of the respective  
14 interstate compacts.

15 (c) WATER SUPPLY AND CONSERVATION STORAGE,  
16 DELAWARE RIVER BASIN.—

17 (1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall enter  
18 into an agreement with the Delaware River Basin  
19 Commission to provide temporary water supply and  
20 conservation storage at the Francis E. Walter Dam,  
21 Pennsylvania, for any period during which the Com-  
22 mission has determined that a drought warning or  
23 drought emergency exists.

24 (2) LIMITATION.—The agreement shall provide  
25 that the cost for water supply and conservation stor-

1       age under paragraph (1) shall not exceed the incre-  
2       mental operating costs associated with providing the  
3       storage.

4       (d) WATER SUPPLY AND CONSERVATION STORAGE,  
5 SUSQUEHANNA RIVER BASIN.—

6           (1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall enter  
7       into an agreement with the Susquehanna River  
8       Basin Commission to provide temporary water sup-  
9       ply and conservation storage at Federal facilities op-  
10      erated by the Corps of Engineers in the Susque-  
11      hanna River basin for any period for which the Com-  
12      mission has determined that a drought warning or  
13      drought emergency exists.

14          (2) LIMITATION.—The agreement shall provide  
15      that the cost for water supply and conservation stor-  
16      age under paragraph (1) shall not exceed the incre-  
17      mental operating costs associated with providing the  
18      storage.

19      (e) WATER SUPPLY AND CONSERVATION STORAGE,  
20 POTOMAC RIVER BASIN.—

21          (1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall enter  
22      into an agreement with the Interstate Commission  
23      on the Potomac River Basin to provide temporary  
24      water supply and conservation storage at Federal fa-  
25      cilities operated by the Corps of Engineers in the

1 Potomac River basin for any period for which the  
2 Commission has determined that a drought warning  
3 or drought emergency exists.

4 (2) LIMITATION.—The agreement shall provide  
5 that the cost for water supply and conservation stor-  
6 age under paragraph (1) shall not exceed the incre-  
7 mental operating costs associated with providing the  
8 storage.

9 **SEC. 5020. CHESAPEAKE BAY ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORA-**  
10 **TION AND PROTECTION PROGRAM.**

11 (a) FORM OF ASSISTANCE.—Section 510(a)(2) of the  
12 Water Resources Development Act of 1996 (110 Stat.  
13 3759) is amended by striking “, and beneficial uses of  
14 dredged material” and inserting “, beneficial uses of  
15 dredged material, and restoration of submerged aquatic  
16 vegetation”.

17 (b) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—Section  
18 510(i) of such Act (110 Stat. 3761) is amended by strik-  
19 ing “\$10,000,000” and inserting “\$40,000,000”.

20 **SEC. 5021. CHESAPEAKE BAY OYSTER RESTORATION, VIR-**  
21 **GINIA AND MARYLAND.**

22 Section 704(b) of the Water Resources Development  
23 Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2263(b)) is amended—

24 (1) by redesignating paragraph (2) as para-  
25 graph (4);

1 (2) in paragraph (1)—

2 (A) in the second sentence by striking  
3 “\$30,000,000” and inserting “\$50,000,000”;  
4 and

5 (B) in the third sentence by striking “Such  
6 projects” and inserting the following:

7 “(2) INCLUSIONS.—Such projects”;

8 (3) by striking paragraph (2)(D) (as redesi-  
9 gated by paragraph (2)(B) of this subsection) and  
10 inserting the following:

11 “(D) the restoration and rehabilitation of  
12 habitat for fish, including native oysters, in the  
13 Chesapeake Bay and its tributaries in Virginia  
14 and Maryland, including—

15 “(i) the construction of oyster bars  
16 and reefs;

17 “(ii) the rehabilitation of existing  
18 marginal habitat;

19 “(iii) the use of appropriate alter-  
20 native substrate material in oyster bar and  
21 reef construction;

22 “(iv) the construction and upgrading  
23 of oyster hatcheries; and

24 “(v) activities relating to increasing  
25 the output of native oyster broodstock for

1 seeding and monitoring of restored sites to  
2 ensure ecological success.

3 “(3) RESTORATION AND REHABILITATION AC-  
4 TIVITIES.—The restoration and rehabilitation activi-  
5 ties described in paragraph (2)(D) shall be—

6 “(A) for the purpose of establishing per-  
7 manent sanctuaries and harvest management  
8 areas; and

9 “(B) consistent with plans and strategies  
10 for guiding the restoration of the Chesapeake  
11 Bay oyster resource and fishery.”; and

12 (4) by adding at the end the following:

13 “(5) DEFINITION OF ECOLOGICAL SUCCESS.—  
14 In this subsection, the term ‘ecological success’  
15 means—

16 “(A) achieving a tenfold increase in native  
17 oyster biomass by the year 2010, from a 1994  
18 baseline; and

19 “(B) the establishment of a sustainable  
20 fishery as determined by a broad scientific and  
21 economic consensus.”.

22 **SEC. 5022. HYPOXIA ASSESSMENT.**

23 The Secretary may participate with Federal, State,  
24 and local agencies, non-Federal and nonprofit entities, re-

1 gional researchers, and other interested parties to assess  
2 hypoxia in the Gulf of Mexico.

3 **SEC. 5023. POTOMAC RIVER WATERSHED ASSESSMENT AND**  
4 **TRIBUTARY STRATEGY EVALUATION AND**  
5 **MONITORING PROGRAM.**

6 The Secretary may participate in the Potomac River  
7 watershed assessment and tributary strategy evaluation  
8 and monitoring program to identify a series of resource  
9 management indicators to accurately monitor the effec-  
10 tiveness of the implementation of the agreed upon tribu-  
11 tary strategies and other public policies that pertain to  
12 natural resource protection of the Potomac River water-  
13 shed.

14 **SEC. 5024. LOCK AND DAM SECURITY.**

15 (a) **STANDARDS.**—The Secretary, in consultation  
16 with the Federal Emergency Management Agency, the  
17 Tennessee Valley Authority, and the Coast Guard, shall  
18 develop standards for the security of locks and dams, in-  
19 cluding the testing and certification of vessel exclusion  
20 barriers.

21 (b) **SITE SURVEYS.**—At the request of a lock or dam  
22 owner, the Secretary shall provide technical assistance, on  
23 a reimbursable basis, to improve lock or dam security.

24 (c) **COOPERATIVE AGREEMENT.**—The Secretary may  
25 enter into a cooperative agreement with a nonprofit alli-

1 ance of public and private organizations that has the mis-  
2 sion of promoting safe waterways and seaports to carry  
3 out testing and certification activities, and to perform site  
4 surveys, under this section.

5 (d) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There is  
6 authorized to be appropriated \$3,000,000 to carry out this  
7 section.

8 **SEC. 5025. RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM FOR**  
9 **COLUMBIA AND SNAKE RIVER SALMON SUR-**  
10 **VIVAL.**

11 Section 511 of the Water Resources Development Act  
12 of 1996 (16 U.S.C. 3301 note; 110 Stat. 3761; 113 Stat.  
13 375) is amended—

14 (1) in subsection (a)(6) by striking  
15 “\$10,000,000” and inserting “\$25,000,000”; and

16 (2) in subsection (c)(2) by striking  
17 “\$1,000,000” and inserting “\$10,000,000”.

18 **SEC. 5026. WAGE SURVEYS.**

19 Employees of the Corps of Engineers who are paid  
20 wages determined under the last undesignated paragraph  
21 under the heading “Administrative Provisions” of chapter  
22 V of the Supplemental Appropriations Act, 1982 (5 U.S.C.  
23 5343 note; 96 Stat. 832) shall be allowed, through appro-  
24 priate employee organization representatives, to partici-  
25 pate in wage surveys under such paragraph to the same



1 extent as are prevailing rate employees under subsection  
2 (c)(2) of section 5343 of title 5, United States Code. Noth-  
3 ing in such section 5343 shall be construed to affect which  
4 agencies are to be surveyed under such paragraph.

5 **SEC. 5027. REHABILITATION.**

6 The Secretary, at Federal expense and in an amount  
7 not to exceed \$1,000,000, shall rehabilitate and improve  
8 the water-related infrastructure and the transportation in-  
9 frastructure for the historic property in the Anacostia  
10 River watershed located in the District of Columbia, in-  
11 cluding measures to address wet weather conditions. To  
12 carry out this section, the Secretary shall accept funds  
13 provided for such project under any other Federal pro-  
14 gram.

15 **SEC. 5028. AUBURN, ALABAMA.**

16 The Secretary may provide technical assistance relat-  
17 ing to water supply to Auburn, Alabama. There is author-  
18 ized to be appropriated \$5,000,000 to carry out this sec-  
19 tion.

20 **SEC. 5029. PINHOOK CREEK, HUNTSVILLE, ALABAMA.**

21 (a) PROJECT AUTHORIZATION.—The Secretary shall  
22 design and construct the locally preferred plan for flood  
23 protection at Pinhook Creek, Huntsville, Alabama. In car-  
24 rying out the project, the Secretary shall utilize, to the  
25 extent practicable, the existing detailed project report for

1 the project prepared under the authority of section 205  
2 of the Flood Control Act of 1948 (33 U.S.C. 701s).

3 (b) PARTICIPATION BY NON-FEDERAL INTEREST.—  
4 The Secretary shall allow the non-Federal interest to par-  
5 ticipate in the financing of the project in accordance with  
6 section 903(c) of the Water Resources Development Act  
7 of 1986 (100 Stat. 4184) if the detailed project report  
8 evaluation indicates that applying such section is nec-  
9 essary to implement the project.

10 (c) CREDIT.—The Secretary shall credit, in accord-  
11 ance with section 221 of the Flood Control Act of 1970  
12 (42 U.S.C. 1962d–5b), toward the non-Federal share of  
13 the cost of the project the cost of work carried out by  
14 the non-Federal interest before the date of the partnership  
15 agreement for the project.

16 **SEC. 5030. ALASKA.**

17 Section 570 of the Water Resources Development Act  
18 of 1999 (113 Stat. 369) is amended—

19 (1) in subsection (c) by inserting “environ-  
20 mental restoration,” after “water supply and related  
21 facilities,”;

22 (2) in subsection (e)(3)(B) by striking the last  
23 sentence;

24 (3) in subsection (h) by striking “\$25,000,000”  
25 and inserting “\$45,000,000”; and

1 (4) by adding at the end the following:

2 “(i) NONPROFIT ENTITIES.—In accordance with sec-  
3 tion 221(b) of the Flood Control Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C.  
4 1962d–5b(b)), for any project carried out under this sec-  
5 tion, a non-Federal interest may include a nonprofit entity  
6 with the consent of the affected local government.

7 “(j) CORPS OF ENGINEERS EXPENSES.—Not more  
8 than 10 percent of the amounts appropriated to carry out  
9 this section may be used by the Corps of Engineers district  
10 offices to administer projects under this section at Federal  
11 expense.”.

12 **SEC. 5031. BARROW, ALASKA.**

13 The Secretary shall carry out, under section 117 of  
14 the Energy and Water Development Appropriations Act,  
15 2005 (118 Stat. 2944), a nonstructural project for coastal  
16 erosion and storm damage prevention and reduction at  
17 Barrow, Alaska, including relocation of infrastructure.

18 **SEC. 5032. LOWELL CREEK TUNNEL, SEWARD, ALASKA.**

19 (a) LONG-TERM MAINTENANCE AND REPAIR.—

20 (1) MAINTENANCE AND REPAIR.—The Sec-  
21 retary shall assume responsibility for the long-term  
22 maintenance and repair of the Lowell Creek tunnel,  
23 Seward, Alaska.

24 (2) DURATION OF RESPONSIBILITIES.—The re-  
25 sponsibility of the Secretary for long-term mainte-

1 nance and repair of the tunnel shall continue until  
2 an alternative method of flood diversion is con-  
3 structed and operational under this section, or 15  
4 years after the date of enactment of this Act, which-  
5 ever is earlier.

6 (b) STUDY.—The Secretary shall conduct a study to  
7 determine whether an alternative method of flood diver-  
8 sion in Lowell Canyon is feasible.

9 (c) CONSTRUCTION.—

10 (1) ALTERNATIVE METHODS.—If the Secretary  
11 determines under the study conducted under sub-  
12 section (b) that an alternative method of flood diver-  
13 sion in Lowell Canyon is feasible, the Secretary shall  
14 carry out the alternative method.

15 (2) FEDERAL SHARE.—The Federal share of  
16 the cost of carrying out an alternative method under  
17 paragraph (1) shall be the same as the Federal  
18 share of the cost of the construction of the Lowell  
19 Creek tunnel.

20 **SEC. 5033. ST. HERMAN AND ST. PAUL HARBORS, KODIAK,**  
21 **ALASKA.**

22 The Secretary shall carry out, on an emergency basis,  
23 necessary removal of rubble, sediment, and rock impeding  
24 the entrance to the St. Herman and St. Paul Harbors,  
25 Kodiak, Alaska, at a Federal cost of \$2,000,000.

1 **SEC. 5034. TANANA RIVER, ALASKA.**

2 The Secretary shall carry out, on an emergency basis,  
3 the removal of the hazard to navigation on the Tanana  
4 River, Alaska, near the mouth of the Chena River, as de-  
5 scribed in the January 3, 2005, memorandum from the  
6 Commander, Seventeenth Coast Guard District, to the  
7 Corps of Engineers, Alaska District, Anchorage, Alaska.

8 **SEC. 5035. WRANGELL HARBOR, ALASKA.**

9 (a) **GENERAL NAVIGATION FEATURES.**—In carrying  
10 out the project for navigation, Wrangell Harbor, Alaska,  
11 authorized by section 101(b)(1) of the Water Resources  
12 Development Act of 1999 (113 Stat. 279), the Secretary  
13 shall consider the dredging of the mooring basin and con-  
14 struction of the inner harbor facilities to be general navi-  
15 gation features for purposes of estimating the non-Federal  
16 share of project costs.

17 (b) **REVISION OF PARTNERSHIP AGREEMENT.**—The  
18 Secretary shall revise the partnership agreement for the  
19 project to reflect the change required by subsection (a).

20 **SEC. 5036. AUGUSTA AND CLARENDON, ARKANSAS.**

21 (a) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary may carry out re-  
22 habilitation of authorized and completed levees on the  
23 White River between Augusta and Clarendon, Arkansas,  
24 at a total estimated cost of \$8,000,000, with an estimated  
25 Federal cost of \$5,200,000 and an estimated non-Federal  
26 cost of \$2,800,000.

1 (b) REIMBURSEMENT.—After performing the reha-  
2 bilitation under subsection (a), the Secretary shall seek  
3 reimbursement from the Secretary of the Interior of an  
4 amount equal to the costs allocated to benefits to a Fed-  
5 eral wildlife refuge of such rehabilitation.

6 **SEC. 5037. DES ARC LEVEE PROTECTION, ARKANSAS.**

7 The Secretary shall review the project for flood con-  
8 trol, Des Arc, Arkansas, to determine whether bank and  
9 channel scour along the White River threaten the existing  
10 project and whether the scour is a result of a design defi-  
11 ciency. If the Secretary determines that such conditions  
12 exist as a result of a deficiency, the Secretary shall carry  
13 out measures to eliminate the deficiency.

14 **SEC. 5038. LOOMIS LANDING, ARKANSAS.**

15 The Secretary shall conduct a study of shore damage  
16 in the vicinity of Loomis Landing, Arkansas, to determine  
17 if the damage is the result of a Federal navigation project,  
18 and, if the Secretary determines that the damage is the  
19 result of a Federal navigation project, the Secretary shall  
20 carry out a project to mitigate the damage under section  
21 111 of the River and Harbor Act of 1968 (33 U.S.C.  
22 426i).

1 **SEC. 5039. CALIFORNIA.**

2 (a) ESTABLISHMENT OF PROGRAM.—The Secretary  
3 may establish a program to provide environmental assist-  
4 ance to non-Federal interests in California.

5 (b) FORM OF ASSISTANCE.—Assistance provided  
6 under this section may be in the form of design and con-  
7 struction assistance for water-related environmental infra-  
8 structure and resource protection and development  
9 projects in California, including projects for wastewater  
10 treatment and related facilities, water supply and related  
11 facilities, environmental restoration, and surface water re-  
12 source protection and development.

13 (c) OWNERSHIP REQUIREMENT.—The Secretary may  
14 provide assistance for a project under this section only if  
15 the project is publicly owned.

16 (d) PARTNERSHIP AGREEMENTS.—

17 (1) IN GENERAL.—Before providing assistance  
18 under this section, the Secretary shall enter into a  
19 partnership agreement with a non-Federal interest  
20 to provide for design and construction of the project  
21 to be carried out with the assistance.

22 (2) REQUIREMENTS.—Each partnership agree-  
23 ment for a project entered into under this subsection  
24 shall provide for the following:

25 (A) PLAN.—Development by the Secretary,  
26 in consultation with appropriate Federal and

1 State officials, of a facilities or resource protec-  
2 tion and development plan, including appro-  
3 priate engineering plans and specifications.

4 (B) LEGAL AND INSTITUTIONAL STRUC-  
5 TURES.—Establishment of such legal and insti-  
6 tutional structures as are necessary to ensure  
7 the effective long-term operation of the project  
8 by the non-Federal interest.

9 (3) COST SHARING.—

10 (A) IN GENERAL.—The Federal share of  
11 the cost of a project under this section—

12 (i) shall be 75 percent; and

13 (ii) may be provided in the form of  
14 grants or reimbursements of project costs.

15 (B) CREDIT FOR WORK.—The Secretary  
16 shall credit, in accordance with section 221 of  
17 the Flood Control Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C.  
18 1962d–5b), toward the non-Federal share of  
19 the cost of the project the cost of design work  
20 carried out by the non-Federal interest for the  
21 project before the date of the partnership agree-  
22 ment for the project.

23 (C) CREDIT FOR INTEREST.—In case of a  
24 delay in the funding of the non-Federal share  
25 of the costs of a project that is the subject of



1 an agreement under this section, the non-Fed-  
2 eral interest shall receive credit for reasonable  
3 interest incurred in providing the non-Federal  
4 share.

5 (D) CREDIT FOR LAND, EASEMENTS, AND  
6 RIGHTS-OF-WAY.—The non-Federal interest  
7 shall receive credit for land, easements, rights-  
8 of-way, and relocations toward the non-Federal  
9 share of project costs (including all reasonable  
10 costs associated with obtaining permits nec-  
11 essary for the construction, operation, and  
12 maintenance of the project on publicly owned or  
13 controlled land), but the credit may not exceed  
14 25 percent of total project costs.

15 (E) OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE.—The  
16 non-Federal share of operation and mainte-  
17 nance costs for projects constructed with assist-  
18 ance provided under this section shall be 100  
19 percent.

20 (e) APPLICABILITY OF OTHER FEDERAL AND STATE  
21 LAWS.—Nothing in this section shall be construed to  
22 waive, limit, or otherwise affect the applicability of any  
23 provision of Federal or State law that would otherwise  
24 apply to a project to be carried out with assistance pro-  
25 vided under this section.

1 (f) NONPROFIT ENTITIES.—In accordance with sec-  
2 tion 221(b) of the Flood Control Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C.  
3 1962d–5b(b)), for any project carried out under this sec-  
4 tion, a non-Federal interest may include a nonprofit enti-  
5 ty.

6 (g) CORPS OF ENGINEERS EXPENSES.—Not more  
7 than 10 percent of amounts made available to carry out  
8 this section may be used by the Corps of Engineers district  
9 offices to administer projects under this section at Federal  
10 expense.

11 (h) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There is  
12 authorized to be appropriated to carry out this section  
13 \$40,000,000.

14 **SEC. 5040. CALAVERAS RIVER AND LITTLEJOHN CREEK**  
15 **AND TRIBUTARIES, STOCKTON, CALIFORNIA.**

16 (a) IN GENERAL.—Unless the Secretary determines,  
17 by not later than 30 days after the date of enactment of  
18 this Act, that the relocation of the portion of the project  
19 described in subsection (b)(2) would be injurious to the  
20 public interest, a non-Federal interest may reconstruct  
21 and relocate that portion of the project approximately 300  
22 feet in a westerly direction.

23 (b) PROJECT DESCRIPTION.—

24 (1) IN GENERAL.—The project referred to in  
25 subsection (a) is the project for flood control,

1 Calaveras River and Littlejohn Creek and tribu-  
2 taries, California, authorized by section 10 of the  
3 Flood Control Act of December 22, 1944 (58 Stat.  
4 902).

5 (2) SPECIFIC DESCRIPTION.—The portion of  
6 the project to be reconstructed and relocated is that  
7 portion consisting of approximately 5.34 acres of dry  
8 land levee beginning at a point N. 2203542.3167, E.  
9 6310930.1385, thence running west about 59.99  
10 feet to a point N. 2203544.6562, E. 6310870.1468,  
11 thence running south about 3,874.99 feet to a point  
12 N. 2199669.8760, E. 6310861.7956, thence running  
13 east about 60.00 feet to a point N. 2199668.8026,  
14 E. 6310921.7900, thence running north about  
15 3,873.73 feet to the point of origin.

16 (c) COST SHARING.—The non-Federal share of the  
17 cost of reconstructing and relocating the portion of the  
18 project described in subsection (b)(2) shall be 100 percent.

19 **SEC. 5041. CAMBRIA, CALIFORNIA.**

20 Section 219(f)(48) of the Water Resources Develop-  
21 ment Act of 1992 (114 Stat. 2763A–220) is amended—

22 (1) by striking “\$10,300,000” and inserting the  
23 following:

24 “(A) IN GENERAL.—\$10,300,000”;

25 (2) by adding at the end the following:

1           “(B) CREDIT.—The Secretary shall credit,  
2           in accordance with section 221 of the Flood  
3           Control Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C. 1962d–5b), to-  
4           ward the non-Federal share of the cost of the  
5           project not to exceed \$3,000,000 for the cost of  
6           planning and design work carried out by the  
7           non-Federal interest for the project before the  
8           date of the partnership agreement for the  
9           project.”; and

10           (3) by aligning the remainder of the text of  
11           subparagraph (A) (as designated by paragraph (1)  
12           of this section) with subparagraph (B) (as added by  
13           paragraph (2) of this section).

14 **SEC. 5042. CONTRA COSTA CANAL, OAKLEY AND**  
15                           **KNIGHTSEN, CALIFORNIA; MALLARD**  
16                           **SLOUGH, PITTSBURG, CALIFORNIA.**

17           Sections 512 and 514 of the Water Resources Devel-  
18           opment Act of 2000 (114 Stat. 2650) are each amended  
19           by adding at the end the following: “All planning, study,  
20           design, and construction on the project shall be carried  
21           out by the office of the district engineer, San Francisco,  
22           California.”.

23 **SEC. 5043. DANA POINT HARBOR, CALIFORNIA.**

24           The Secretary shall conduct a study of the causes of  
25           water quality degradation within Dana Point Harbor,

1 California, to determine if the degradation is the result  
2 of a Federal navigation project, and, if the Secretary de-  
3 termines that the degradation is the result of a Federal  
4 navigation project, the Secretary shall carry out a project  
5 to mitigate the degradation at Federal expense.

6 **SEC. 5044. EAST SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.**

7 Section 219(f)(22) of the Water Resources Develop-  
8 ment Act of 1992 (113 Stat. 336) is amended—

9 (1) by striking “\$25,000,000” and inserting the  
10 following:

11 “(A) IN GENERAL.—\$25,000,000”;

12 (2) by adding at the end the following:

13 “(B) CREDIT.—The Secretary shall credit,  
14 in accordance with section 221 of the Flood  
15 Control Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C. 1962d–5b), to-  
16 ward the non-Federal share of the cost of the  
17 project the cost of design and construction work  
18 carried out by the non-Federal interest for the  
19 project before the date of the partnership agree-  
20 ment for the project.

21 “(C) IN-KIND CONTRIBUTIONS.—The non-  
22 Federal interest may provide any portion of the  
23 non-Federal share of the cost of the project in  
24 the form of in-kind services and materials.”;  
25 and



1 **SEC. 5048. LOS OSOS, CALIFORNIA.**

2 Section 219(c)(27) of the Water Resources Develop-  
3 ment Act of 1992 (114 Stat. 2763A-219) is amended to  
4 read as follows:

5 “(27) LOS OSOS, CALIFORNIA.—Wastewater in-  
6 frastructure, Los Osos, California.”.

7 **SEC. 5049. PINE FLAT DAM FISH AND WILDLIFE HABITAT,**  
8 **CALIFORNIA.**

9 (a) COOPERATIVE PROGRAM.—

10 (1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall partici-  
11 pate with appropriate State and local agencies in the  
12 implementation of a cooperative program to improve  
13 and manage fisheries and aquatic habitat conditions  
14 in Pine Flat Reservoir and in the 14-mile reach of  
15 the Kings River immediately below Pine Flat Dam,  
16 California, in a manner that—

17 (A) provides for long-term aquatic resource  
18 enhancement; and

19 (B) avoids adverse effects on water storage  
20 and water rights holders.

21 (2) GOALS AND PRINCIPLES.—The cooperative  
22 program described in paragraph (1) shall be carried  
23 out—

24 (A) substantially in accordance with the  
25 goals and principles of the document entitled  
26 “Kings River Fisheries Management Program

1 Framework Agreement” and dated May 29,  
2 1999, between the California department of fish  
3 and game and the Kings River Water Associa-  
4 tion and the Kings River Conservation District;  
5 and

6 (B) in cooperation with the parties to that  
7 agreement.

8 (b) PARTICIPATION BY SECRETARY.—

9 (1) IN GENERAL.—In furtherance of the goals  
10 of the agreement described in subsection (a)(2), the  
11 Secretary shall participate in the planning, design,  
12 and construction of projects and pilot projects on  
13 the Kings River and its tributaries to enhance  
14 aquatic habitat and water availability for fisheries  
15 purposes (including maintenance of a trout fishery)  
16 in accordance with flood control operations, water  
17 rights, and beneficial uses in existence as of the date  
18 of enactment of this Act.

19 (2) PROJECTS.—Projects referred to in para-  
20 graph (1) may include—

21 (A) projects to construct or improve pump-  
22 ing, conveyance, and storage facilities to en-  
23 hance water transfers; and



1           (B) projects to carry out water exchanges  
2           and create opportunities to use floodwater with-  
3           in and downstream of Pine Flat Reservoir.

4           (c) NO AUTHORIZATION OF CERTAIN DAM-RELATED  
5 PROJECTS.—Nothing in this section shall be construed to  
6 authorize any project for the raising of Pine Flat Dam  
7 or the construction of a multilevel intake structure at Pine  
8 Flat Dam.

9           (d) USE OF EXISTING STUDIES.—In carrying out  
10 this section, the Secretary shall use, to the maximum ex-  
11 tent practicable, studies in existence on the date of enact-  
12 ment of this Act, including data and environmental docu-  
13 mentation in the document entitled “Final Feasibility Re-  
14 port and Report of the Chief of Engineers for Pine Flat  
15 Dam Fish and Wildlife Habitat Restoration” and dated  
16 July 19, 2002.

17           (e) CREDIT FOR LAND, EASEMENTS, AND RIGHTS-  
18 OF-WAY.—The Secretary shall credit toward the non-Fed-  
19 eral share of the cost of construction of any project under  
20 subsection (b) the value, regardless of the date of acquisi-  
21 tion, of any land, easements, rights-of-way, dredged mate-  
22 rial disposal areas, or relocations provided by the non-Fed-  
23 eral interest for use in carrying out the project.

24           (f) OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE.—The operation,  
25 maintenance, repair, rehabilitation, and replacement of

1 projects carried out under this section shall be a non-Fed-  
2 eral responsibility.

3 (g) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There is  
4 authorized to be appropriated to carry out this section  
5 \$20,000,000. Such sums shall remain available until ex-  
6 pended.

7 **SEC. 5050. RAYMOND BASIN, SIX BASINS, CHINO BASIN, AND**  
8 **SAN GABRIEL BASIN, CALIFORNIA.**

9 (a) COMPREHENSIVE PLAN.—The Secretary, in con-  
10 sultation and coordination with appropriate Federal,  
11 State, and local entities, shall develop a comprehensive  
12 plan for the management of water resources in the Ray-  
13 mond Basin, Six Basins, Chino Basin, and San Gabriel  
14 Basin, California. The Secretary may carry out activities  
15 identified in the comprehensive plan to demonstrate prac-  
16 ticable alternatives for water resources management.

17 (b) OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE.—The non-Fed-  
18 eral share of the cost of operation and maintenance of any  
19 measures constructed under this section shall be 100 per-  
20 cent.

21 (c) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There is  
22 authorized to be appropriated to carry out this section  
23 \$5,000,000.

1 **SEC. 5051. SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA.**

2 (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary, in cooperation  
3 with the Port of San Francisco, California, may carry out  
4 the project for repair and removal, as appropriate, of Piers  
5 30–32, 35, 36, 70 (including Wharves 7 and 8), and 80  
6 in San Francisco, California, substantially in accordance  
7 with the Port’s redevelopment plan.

8 (b) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATION.—There is  
9 authorized to be appropriated \$25,000,000 to carry out  
10 this section.

11 **SEC. 5052. SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA, WATERFRONT**  
12 **AREA.**

13 (a) AREA TO BE DECLARED NONNAVIGABLE; PUB-  
14 LIC INTEREST.—Unless the Secretary finds, after con-  
15 sultation with local and regional public officials (including  
16 local and regional public planning organizations), that the  
17 proposed projects to be undertaken within the boundaries  
18 of the portion of the San Francisco, California, waterfront  
19 area described in subsection (b) are not in the public inter-  
20 est, such portion is declared to be nonnavigable waters of  
21 the United States.

22 (b) NORTHERN EMBARCADERO SOUTH OF BRYANT  
23 STREET.—The portion of the San Francisco, California,  
24 waterfront area referred to in subsection (a) is as follows:  
25 Beginning at the intersection of the northeasterly prolon-  
26 gation of that portion of the northwesterly line of Bryant

1 Street lying between Beale Street and Main Street with  
2 the southwesterly line of Spear Street, which intersection  
3 lies on the line of jurisdiction of the San Francisco Port  
4 Commission; following thence southerly along said line of  
5 jurisdiction as described in the State of California Harbor  
6 and Navigation Code Section 1770, as amended in 1961,  
7 to its intersection with the southeasterly line of Townsend  
8 Street; thence northeasterly along said southeasterly line  
9 of Townsend Street, to its intersection with a line that  
10 is parallel and distant 10 feet southerly from the existing  
11 southern boundary of Pier 40 produced; thence easterly  
12 along said parallel line, to its point of intersection with  
13 the United States Government Pierhead line; thence  
14 northerly along said Pierhead line to its intersection with  
15 a line parallel with, and distant 10 feet easterly from, the  
16 existing easterly boundary line of Pier 30-32; thence  
17 northerly along said parallel line and its northerly prolon-  
18 gation, to a point of intersection with a line parallel with,  
19 and distant 10 feet northerly from, the existing northerly  
20 boundary of Pier 30-32; thence westerly along last said  
21 parallel line to its intersection with the United States Gov-  
22 ernment Pierhead line; thence northerly along said  
23 Pierhead line, to its intersection aforementioned north-  
24 westerly line of Bryant Street produced northeasterly;

1 thence southwesterly along said northwesterly line of Bry-  
2 ant Street produced to the point of beginning.

3 (c) REQUIREMENT THAT AREA BE IMPROVED.—The  
4 declaration of nonnavigability under subsection (a) applies  
5 only to those parts of the area described in subsection (b)  
6 that are or will be bulkheaded, filled, or otherwise occupied  
7 by permanent structures and does not affect the applica-  
8 bility of any Federal statute or regulation applicable to  
9 such parts the day before the date of enactment of this  
10 Act, including sections 9 and 10 of the Act of March 3,  
11 1899 (33 U.S.C. 401 and 403; 30 Stat. 1151), commonly  
12 known as the Rivers and Harbors Appropriation Act of  
13 1899, section 404 of the Federal Water Pollution Control  
14 Act (33 U.S.C. 1344), and the National Environmental  
15 Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.).

16 (d) EXPIRATION DATE.—If, 20 years from the date  
17 of enactment of this Act, any area or part thereof de-  
18 scribed in subsection (b) is not bulkheaded or filled or oc-  
19 cupied by permanent structures, including marina facili-  
20 ties, in accordance with the requirements set out in sub-  
21 section (c), or if work in connection with any activity per-  
22 mitted in subsection (c) is not commenced within 5 years  
23 after issuance of such permits, then the declaration of  
24 nonnavigability for such area or part thereof shall expire.

1 **SEC. 5053. SAN PABLO BAY, CALIFORNIA, WATERSHED AND**  
2 **SUISUN MARSH ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION.**

3 (a) SAN PABLO BAY WATERSHED, CALIFORNIA.—

4 (1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall complete  
5 work, as expeditiously as possible, on the ongoing  
6 San Pablo Bay watershed, California, study to deter-  
7 mine the feasibility of opportunities for restoring,  
8 preserving, and protecting the San Pablo Bay water-  
9 shed.

10 (2) REPORT.—Not later than March 31, 2008,  
11 the Secretary shall submit to Congress a report on  
12 the results of the study.

13 (b) SUISUN MARSH, CALIFORNIA.—The Secretary  
14 shall conduct a comprehensive study to determine the fea-  
15 sibility of opportunities for restoring, preserving, and pro-  
16 tecting the Suisun Marsh, California.

17 (c) SAN PABLO AND SUISUN BAY MARSH WATER-  
18 SHED CRITICAL RESTORATION PROJECTS.—

19 (1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary may partici-  
20 pate in critical restoration projects that will produce,  
21 consistent with Federal programs, projects, and ac-  
22 tivities, immediate and substantial ecosystem res-  
23 toration, preservation, and protection benefits in the  
24 following sub-watersheds of the San Pablo and  
25 Suisun Bay Marsh watersheds:

1           (A) The tidal areas of the Petaluma River,  
2           Napa-Sonoma Marsh.

3           (B) The shoreline of West Contra Costa  
4           County.

5           (C) Novato Creek.

6           (D) Suisun Marsh.

7           (E) Gallinas-Miller Creek.

8           (2) TYPES OF ASSISTANCE.—Participation in  
9           critical restoration projects under this subsection  
10          may include assistance for planning, design, or con-  
11          struction.

12          (d) CREDIT.—In accordance with section 221 of the  
13          Flood Control Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C. 1962d–5b), the Sec-  
14          retary shall credit toward the non-Federal share of the  
15          cost of construction of a project under this section—

16               (1) the value of any lands, easements, rights-of-  
17               way, dredged material disposal areas, or relocations  
18               provided by the non-Federal interest for carrying out  
19               the project, regardless of the date of acquisition;

20               (2) funds received from the CALFED Bay-  
21               Delta program; and

22               (3) the cost of the studies, design, and con-  
23               struction work carried out by the non-Federal inter-  
24               est before the date of the partnership agreement for  
25               the project.

1           (e) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There is  
2 authorized to be appropriated to carry out this section  
3 \$40,000,000.

4 **SEC. 5054. ST. HELENA, CALIFORNIA.**

5           (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary may construct a  
6 project for flood control and environmental restoration, St.  
7 Helena, California, substantially in accordance with the  
8 plan for the St. Helena comprehensive flood protection  
9 project dated 2006 and described in the addendum dated  
10 June 27, 2006, to the report prepared by the city of St.  
11 Helena entitled “City of St. Helena Comprehensive Flood  
12 Protection Project, Final Environmental Impact Report”,  
13 and dated January 2004, if the Secretary determines that  
14 the plans and designs for the project are feasible.

15           (b) COST.—The total cost of the project to be con-  
16 structed pursuant to subsection (a) shall be \$30,000,000,  
17 with an estimated Federal cost of \$19,500,000 and an es-  
18 timated non-Federal cost of \$10,500,000.

19           (c) REIMBURSEMENT.—The non-Federal interest  
20 shall be reimbursed for any work performed by the non-  
21 Federal interest for the project described in subsection (a)  
22 that is in excess of the required non-Federal contribution  
23 toward the total cost of the project, if the Secretary deter-  
24 mines that the work is integral to the project.



1 **SEC. 5055. UPPER CALAVERAS RIVER, STOCKTON, CALI-**  
2 **FORNIA.**

3 (a) REEVALUATION.—The Secretary shall reevaluate  
4 the feasibility of the Lower Mosher Slough element and  
5 the levee extensions on the Upper Calaveras River element  
6 of the project for flood control, Stockton Metropolitan  
7 Area, California, carried out under section 211(f)(3) of the  
8 Water Resources Development Act of 1996 (110 Stat.  
9 3683), to determine the eligibility of such elements for re-  
10 imbursement under section 211 of such Act (33 U.S.C.  
11 701b–13).

12 (b) SPECIAL RULES FOR REEVALUATION.—In con-  
13 ducting the reevaluation under subsection (a), the Sec-  
14 retary shall not reject a feasibility determination based on  
15 one or more of the policies of the Corps of Engineers con-  
16 cerning the frequency of flooding, the drainage area, and  
17 the amount of runoff.

18 (c) REIMBURSEMENT.—If the Secretary determines  
19 that the elements referred to subsection (a) are feasible,  
20 the Secretary shall reimburse, subject to appropriations,  
21 the non-Federal interest under section 211 of the Water  
22 Resources Development Act of 1996 for the Federal share  
23 of the cost of such elements.

1 **SEC. 5056. RIO GRANDE ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT**  
2 **PROGRAM, COLORADO, NEW MEXICO, AND**  
3 **TEXAS.**

4 (a) **DEFINITIONS.**—In this section, the following defi-  
5 nitions apply:

6 (1) **RIO GRANDE COMPACT.**—The term “Rio  
7 Grande Compact” means the compact approved by  
8 Congress under the Act of May 31, 1939 (53 Stat.  
9 785), and ratified by the States.

10 (2) **RIO GRANDE BASIN.**—The term “Rio  
11 Grande Basin” means the Rio Grande (including all  
12 tributaries and their headwaters) located—

13 (A) in the State of Colorado, from the Rio  
14 Grande Reservoir, near Creede, Colorado, to the  
15 New Mexico State border;

16 (B) in the State of New Mexico, from the  
17 Colorado State border downstream to the Texas  
18 State border; and

19 (C) in the State of Texas, from the New  
20 Mexico State border to the southern terminus  
21 of the Rio Grande at the Gulf of Mexico.

22 (3) **STATES.**—The term “States” means the  
23 States of Colorado, New Mexico, and Texas.

24 (b) **PROGRAM AUTHORITY.**—

25 (1) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary shall carry  
26 out, in the Rio Grande Basin—

1 (A) a program for the planning, construc-  
2 tion, and evaluation of measures for fish and  
3 wildlife habitat rehabilitation and enhancement;  
4 and

5 (B) implementation of a long-term moni-  
6 toring, computerized data inventory and anal-  
7 ysis, applied research, and adaptive manage-  
8 ment program.

9 (2) REPORTS.—Not later than December 31,  
10 2008, and not later than December 31 of every sixth  
11 year thereafter, the Secretary, in consultation with  
12 the Secretary of the Interior and the States, shall  
13 submit to Congress a report that—

14 (A) contains an evaluation of the programs  
15 described in paragraph (1);

16 (B) describes the accomplishments of each  
17 program;

18 (C) provides updates of a systemic habitat  
19 needs assessment; and

20 (D) identifies any needed adjustments in  
21 the authorization of the programs.

22 (c) STATE AND LOCAL CONSULTATION AND COOPER-  
23 ATIVE EFFORT.—For the purpose of ensuring the coordi-  
24 nated planning and implementation of the programs de-  
25 scribed in subsection (b), the Secretary shall—

1           (1) consult with the States, and other appro-  
2           priate entities in the States, the rights and interests  
3           of which might be affected by specific program ac-  
4           tivities; and

5           (2) enter into an interagency agreement with  
6           the Secretary of the Interior to provide for the direct  
7           participation of, and transfer of funds to, the United  
8           States Fish and Wildlife Service and any other agen-  
9           cy or bureau of the Department of the Interior for  
10          the planning, design, implementation, and evaluation  
11          of those programs.

12          (d) OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE.—The costs of  
13          operation and maintenance of a project located on Federal  
14          land, or land owned or operated by a State or local govern-  
15          ment, shall be borne by the Federal, State, or local agency  
16          that has jurisdiction over fish and wildlife activities on the  
17          land.

18          (e) EFFECT ON OTHER LAW.—

19           (1) WATER LAW.—Nothing in this section shall  
20           be construed to preempt any State water law.

21           (2) COMPACTS AND DECREES.—In carrying out  
22           this section, the Secretary shall comply with the Rio  
23           Grande Compact, and any applicable court decrees  
24           or Federal and State laws, affecting water or water  
25           rights in the Rio Grande Basin.

1           (f) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There is  
2 authorized to be appropriated to the Secretary to carry  
3 out this section \$15,000,000 for each of fiscal years 2008  
4 through 2011.

5 **SEC. 5057. CHARLES HERVEY TOWNSHEND BREAKWATER,**  
6 **NEW HAVEN HARBOR, CONNECTICUT.**

7           The western breakwater for the project for naviga-  
8 tion, New Haven Harbor, Connecticut, authorized by the  
9 first section of the Act of September 19, 1890 (26 Stat.  
10 428), shall be known and designated as the “Charles  
11 Hervey Townshend Breakwater”.

12 **SEC. 5058. STAMFORD, CONNECTICUT.**

13           (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary may participate in  
14 the ecosystem restoration, navigation, flood damage reduc-  
15 tion, and recreation components of the Mill River and  
16 Long Island Sound revitalization project, Stamford, Con-  
17 necticut.

18           (b) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There is  
19 authorized to be appropriated \$10,000,000 to carry out  
20 this section.

21 **SEC. 5059. DELMARVA CONSERVATION CORRIDOR, DELA-**  
22 **WARE, MARYLAND, AND VIRGINIA.**

23           (a) ASSISTANCE.—The Secretary may provide tech-  
24 nical assistance to the Secretary of Agriculture for use in  
25 carrying out the Conservation Corridor Demonstration

1 Program established under subtitle G of title II of the  
2 Farm Security and Rural Investment Act of 2002 (16  
3 U.S.C. 3801 note; 116 Stat. 275).

4 (b) COORDINATION AND INTEGRATION.—In carrying  
5 out water resources projects in the States on the Delmarva  
6 Peninsula, the Secretary shall coordinate and integrate  
7 those projects, to the maximum extent practicable, with  
8 any activities carried out to implement a conservation cor-  
9 ridor plan approved by the Secretary of Agriculture under  
10 section 2602 of the Farm Security and Rural Investment  
11 Act of 2002 (16 U.S.C. 3801 note; 116 Stat. 275).

12 **SEC. 5060. ANACOSTIA RIVER, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA AND**  
13 **MARYLAND.**

14 (a) COMPREHENSIVE ACTION PLAN.—Not later than  
15 one year after the date of enactment of this Act, the Sec-  
16 retary, in coordination with the Mayor of the District of  
17 Columbia, the Governor of Maryland, the county execu-  
18 tives of Montgomery County and Prince George's County,  
19 Maryland, and other interested entities, shall develop and  
20 make available to the public a 10-year comprehensive ac-  
21 tion plan to provide for the restoration and protection of  
22 the ecological integrity of the Anacostia River and its trib-  
23 utaries.

24 (b) PUBLIC AVAILABILITY.—On completion of the  
25 comprehensive action plan under subsection (a), the Sec-

1 retary shall make the plan available to the public, includ-  
2 ing on the Internet.

3 **SEC. 5061. EAST CENTRAL AND NORTHEAST FLORIDA.**

4 (a) **EAST CENTRAL AND NORTHEAST FLORIDA RE-**  
5 **GION DEFINED.**—In this section, the term “East Central  
6 and Northeast Florida Region” means Flagler County, St.  
7 Johns County, Putman County (east of the St. Johns  
8 River), Seminole County, Volusia County, the towns of  
9 Winter Park, Maitland, and Palatka, Florida.

10 (b) **ESTABLISHMENT OF PROGRAM.**—The Secretary  
11 may establish a program to provide environmental assist-  
12 ance to non-Federal interests in the East Central and  
13 Northeast Florida Region.

14 (c) **FORM OF ASSISTANCE.**—Assistance provided  
15 under this section may be in the form of design and con-  
16 struction assistance for water-related environmental infra-  
17 structure and resource protection and development  
18 projects in the East Central and Northeast Florida Re-  
19 gion, including projects for wastewater treatment and re-  
20 lated facilities, water supply and related facilities, environ-  
21 mental restoration, and surface water resource protection  
22 and development.

23 (d) **OWNERSHIP REQUIREMENT.**—The Secretary may  
24 provide assistance for a project under this section only if  
25 the project is publicly owned.

1 (e) PARTNERSHIP AGREEMENTS.—

2 (1) IN GENERAL.—Before providing assistance  
3 under this section, the Secretary shall enter into a  
4 partnership agreement with a non-Federal interest  
5 to provide for design and construction of the project  
6 to be carried out with the assistance.

7 (2) REQUIREMENTS.—Each partnership agree-  
8 ment for a project entered into under this subsection  
9 shall provide for the following:

10 (A) PLAN.—Development by the Secretary,  
11 in consultation with appropriate Federal and  
12 State officials, of a facilities or resource protec-  
13 tion and development plan, including appro-  
14 priate engineering plans and specifications.

15 (B) LEGAL AND INSTITUTIONAL STRUC-  
16 TURES.—Establishment of such legal and insti-  
17 tutional structures as are necessary to ensure  
18 the effective long-term operation of the project  
19 by the non-Federal interest.

20 (3) COST SHARING.—

21 (A) IN GENERAL.—The Federal share of  
22 the cost of a project under this section—

23 (i) shall be 75 percent; and

24 (ii) may be provided in the form of  
25 grants or reimbursements of project costs.



1           (B) CREDIT FOR WORK.—The Secretary  
2 shall credit, in accordance with section 221 of  
3 the Flood Control Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C.  
4 1962d–5b), toward the non-Federal share of  
5 the cost of the project the cost of design work  
6 carried out by the non-Federal interest for the  
7 project before the date of the partnership agree-  
8 ment for the project.

9           (C) CREDIT FOR INTEREST.—In case of a  
10 delay in the funding of the non-Federal share  
11 of the costs of a project that is the subject of  
12 an agreement under this section, the non-Fed-  
13 eral interest shall receive credit for reasonable  
14 interest incurred in providing the non-Federal  
15 share.

16           (D) CREDIT FOR LAND, EASEMENTS, AND  
17 RIGHTS-OF-WAY.—The non-Federal interest  
18 shall receive credit for land, easements, rights-  
19 of-way, and relocations toward the non-Federal  
20 share of project costs (including all reasonable  
21 costs associated with obtaining permits nec-  
22 essary for the construction, operation, and  
23 maintenance of the project on publicly owned or  
24 controlled land), but the credit may not exceed  
25 25 percent of total project costs.

1           (E) OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE.—The  
2           non-Federal share of operation and mainte-  
3           nance costs for projects constructed with assist-  
4           ance provided under this section shall be 100  
5           percent.

6           (f) APPLICABILITY OF OTHER FEDERAL AND STATE  
7           LAWS.—Nothing in this section shall be construed to  
8           waive, limit, or otherwise affect the applicability of any  
9           provision of Federal or State law that would otherwise  
10          apply to a project to be carried out with assistance pro-  
11          vided under this section.

12          (g) NONPROFIT ENTITIES.—In accordance with sec-  
13          tion 221(b) of the Flood Control Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C.  
14          1962d–5b(b)), for any project carried out under this sec-  
15          tion, a non-Federal interest may include a nonprofit entity  
16          with the consent of the affected local government.

17          (h) CORPS OF ENGINEERS EXPENSES.—Not more  
18          than 10 percent of the amounts appropriated to carry out  
19          this section may be used by the Corps of Engineers district  
20          offices to administer projects under this section at Federal  
21          expense.

22          (i) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There is  
23          authorized to be appropriated to carry out this section  
24          \$40,000,000.

1 **SEC. 5062. FLORIDA KEYS WATER QUALITY IMPROVE-**  
2 **MENTS.**

3 Section 109 of the Miscellaneous Appropriations Act,  
4 2001 (enacted into law by Public Law 106-554) (114  
5 Stat. 2763A-222) is amended—

6 (1) by adding at the end of subsection (e)(2)  
7 the following:

8 “(C) CREDIT FOR WORK PRIOR TO EXECU-  
9 TION OF THE PARTNERSHIP AGREEMENT.—The  
10 Secretary shall credit toward the non-Federal  
11 share of the cost of the project—

12 “(i) in accordance with section 221 of  
13 the Flood Control Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C.  
14 1962d-5b), the cost of construction work  
15 carried out by the non-Federal interest for  
16 the project before the date of the partner-  
17 ship agreement for the project; and

18 “(ii) the cost of land acquisition car-  
19 ried out by the non-Federal interest for  
20 projects to be carried out under this sec-  
21 tion.”; and

22 (2) in subsection (f) by striking  
23 “\$100,000,000” and inserting “\$100,000,000, of  
24 which not more than \$15,000,000 may be used to  
25 provide planning, design, and construction assistance

1 to the Florida Keys Aqueduct Authority for a water  
2 treatment plant, Florida City, Florida”.

3 **SEC. 5063. LAKE WORTH, FLORIDA.**

4 The Secretary may carry out necessary repairs for  
5 the Lake Worth bulkhead replacement project, West Palm  
6 Beach, Florida, at an estimated total cost of \$9,000,000.

7 **SEC. 5064. BIG CREEK, GEORGIA, WATERSHED MANAGE-**  
8 **MENT AND RESTORATION PROGRAM.**

9 (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary may cooperate  
10 with, by providing technical, planning, and construction  
11 assistance to, the city of Roswell, Georgia, as the non-Fed-  
12 eral interest and coordinator with other local governments  
13 in the Big Creek watershed, Georgia, to assess the quality  
14 and quantity of water resources, conduct comprehensive  
15 watershed management planning, develop and implement  
16 water efficiency technologies and programs, and plan, de-  
17 sign, and construct water resource facilities to restore the  
18 watershed.

19 (b) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There is  
20 authorized to be appropriated to the Secretary \$5,000,000  
21 to carry out this section.

22 **SEC. 5065. METROPOLITAN NORTH GEORGIA WATER PLAN-**  
23 **NING DISTRICT.**

24 (a) ESTABLISHMENT OF PROGRAM.—The Secretary  
25 shall establish a program to provide environmental assist-

1 ance to non-Federal interests in the Metropolitan North  
2 Georgia Water Planning District.

3 (b) FORM OF ASSISTANCE.—Assistance provided  
4 under this section may be in the form of design and con-  
5 struction assistance for water-related environmental infra-  
6 structure and resource protection and development  
7 projects in north Georgia, including projects for waste-  
8 water treatment and related facilities, elimination or con-  
9 trol of combined sewer overflows, water supply and related  
10 facilities, environmental restoration, and surface water re-  
11 source protection and development.

12 (c) OWNERSHIP REQUIREMENT.—The Secretary may  
13 provide assistance for a project under this section only if  
14 the project is publicly owned.

15 (d) PARTNERSHIP AGREEMENTS.—

16 (1) IN GENERAL.—Before providing assistance  
17 under this section, the Secretary shall enter into a  
18 partnership agreement with a non-Federal interest  
19 to provide for design and construction of the project  
20 to be carried out with the assistance.

21 (2) REQUIREMENTS.—Each partnership agree-  
22 ment for a project entered into under this subsection  
23 shall provide for the following:

24 (A) PLAN.—Development by the Secretary,  
25 in consultation with appropriate Federal and

1 State officials, of a facilities or resource protec-  
2 tion and development plan, including appro-  
3 priate engineering plans and specifications.

4 (B) LEGAL AND INSTITUTIONAL STRUC-  
5 TURES.—Establishment of such legal and insti-  
6 tutional structures as are necessary to ensure  
7 the effective long-term operation of the project  
8 by the non-Federal interest.

9 (3) COST SHARING.—

10 (A) IN GENERAL.—The Federal share of  
11 the cost of a project under this section—

12 (i) shall be 75 percent; and

13 (ii) may be provided in the form of  
14 grants or reimbursements of project costs.

15 (B) CREDIT FOR WORK.—The Secretary  
16 shall credit, in accordance with section 221 of  
17 the Flood Control Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C.  
18 1962d–5b), toward the non-Federal share of  
19 the cost of a project under this section, in an  
20 amount not to exceed 6 percent of the total  
21 construction costs of the project, the cost of de-  
22 sign work carried out by the non-Federal inter-  
23 est for the project before the date of the part-  
24 nership agreement for the project.

1           (C) CREDIT FOR INTEREST.—In case of a  
2           delay in the funding of the non-Federal share  
3           of the costs of a project that is the subject of  
4           an agreement under this section, the non-Fed-  
5           eral interest shall receive credit for reasonable  
6           interest incurred in providing the non-Federal  
7           share.

8           (D) CREDIT FOR LAND, EASEMENTS, AND  
9           RIGHTS-OF-WAY.—The non-Federal interest  
10          shall receive credit for land, easements, rights-  
11          of-way, and relocations toward the non-Federal  
12          share of project costs (including all reasonable  
13          costs associated with obtaining permits nec-  
14          essary for the construction, operation, and  
15          maintenance of the project on publicly owned or  
16          controlled land), but the credit may not exceed  
17          25 percent of total project costs.

18          (E) OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE.—The  
19          non-Federal share of operation and mainte-  
20          nance costs for projects constructed with assist-  
21          ance provided under this section shall be 100  
22          percent.

23          (e) APPLICABILITY OF OTHER FEDERAL AND STATE  
24          LAWS.—Nothing in this section shall be construed to  
25          waive, limit, or otherwise affect the applicability of any

1 provision of Federal or State law that would otherwise  
2 apply to a project to be carried out with assistance pro-  
3 vided under this section.

4 (f) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There is  
5 authorized to be appropriated to carry out this section  
6 \$20,000,000.

7 **SEC. 5066. SAVANNAH, GEORGIA.**

8 (a) IN GENERAL.—After completion of a Savannah  
9 Riverfront plan, the Secretary may participate in the eco-  
10 system restoration, recreation, navigation, and flood dam-  
11 age reduction components of the plan.

12 (b) COORDINATION.—In carrying out this section, the  
13 Secretary shall coordinate with appropriate representa-  
14 tives in the vicinity of Savannah, Georgia, including the  
15 Georgia Ports Authority, the city of Savannah, and Cam-  
16 den County.

17 (c) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There is  
18 authorized to be appropriated \$10,000,000 to carry out  
19 this section.

20 **SEC. 5067. IDAHO, MONTANA, RURAL NEVADA, NEW MEX-**  
21 **ICO, RURAL UTAH, AND WYOMING.**

22 Section 595 of the Water Resources Development Act  
23 of 1999 (113 Stat. 383; 117 Stat. 139; 117 Stat. 142;  
24 117 Stat. 1836; 118 Stat. 440) is amended—



1 (1) in the section heading by striking “**AND**  
2 **RURAL UTAH**” and inserting “**RURAL UTAH, AND**  
3 **WYOMING**”;

4 (2) in subsections (b) and (c) by striking “and  
5 rural Utah” each place it appears and inserting  
6 “rural Utah, and Wyoming”; and

7 (3) by striking subsection (h) and inserting the  
8 following:

9 “(h) **AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.**—There  
10 is authorized to be appropriated to carry out this section  
11 for the period beginning with fiscal year 2001  
12 \$150,000,000 for rural Nevada, \$25,000,000 for each of  
13 Montana and New Mexico, \$55,000,000 for Idaho,  
14 \$50,000,000 for rural Utah, and \$30,000,000 for Wyo-  
15 ming. Such sums shall remain available until expended.”.

16 **SEC. 5068. RILEY CREEK RECREATION AREA, IDAHO.**

17 The Secretary is authorized to carry out the Riley  
18 Creek Recreation Area Operation Plan of the Albeni Falls  
19 Management Plan, dated October 2001, for the Riley  
20 Creek Recreation Area, Albeni Falls Dam, Bonner Coun-  
21 ty, Idaho.

22 **SEC. 5069. FLOODPLAIN MAPPING, LITTLE CALUMET**  
23 **RIVER, CHICAGO, ILLINOIS.**

24 (a) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary shall provide as-  
25 sistance for a project to develop maps identifying 100- and

1 500-year flood inundation areas along the Little Calumet  
2 River, Chicago, Illinois.

3 (b) REQUIREMENTS.—Maps developed under the  
4 project shall include hydrologic and hydraulic information  
5 and shall accurately show the flood inundation of each  
6 property by flood risk in the floodplain. The maps shall  
7 be produced in a high resolution format and shall be made  
8 available to all flood prone areas along the Little Calumet  
9 River, Chicago, Illinois, in an electronic format.

10 (c) PARTICIPATION OF FEMA.—The Secretary and  
11 the non-Federal interests for the project shall work with  
12 the Administrator of the Federal Emergency Management  
13 Agency to ensure the validity of the maps developed under  
14 the project for flood insurance purposes.

15 (d) FORMS OF ASSISTANCE.—In carrying out the  
16 project, the Secretary may enter into contracts or coopera-  
17 tive agreements with the non-Federal interests or provide  
18 reimbursements of project costs.

19 (e) FEDERAL SHARE.—The Federal share of the cost  
20 of the project shall be 50 percent.

21 (f) LIMITATION ON STATUTORY CONSTRUCTION.—  
22 Nothing in this section shall be construed to modify the  
23 prioritization of map updates or the substantive require-  
24 ments of the Federal Emergency Management Agency  
25 flood map modernization program authorized by section

1 1360 of the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (42  
2 U.S.C. 4101).

3 (g) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There is  
4 authorized to be appropriated to carry out this section  
5 \$2,000,000.

6 **SEC. 5070. RECONSTRUCTION OF ILLINOIS AND MISSOURI**  
7 **FLOOD PROTECTION PROJECTS.**

8 (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary may participate in  
9 the reconstruction of an eligible flood control project if the  
10 Secretary determines that such reconstruction is not re-  
11 quired as a result of improper operation and maintenance  
12 of the project by the non-Federal interest.

13 (b) COST SHARING.—The non-Federal share of the  
14 costs for the reconstruction of a flood control project au-  
15 thorized by this section shall be the same non-Federal  
16 share that was applicable to construction of the project.  
17 The non-Federal interest shall be responsible for operation  
18 and maintenance and repair of a project for which recon-  
19 struction is undertaken under this section.

20 (c) RECONSTRUCTION DEFINED.—In this section,  
21 the term “reconstruction”, as used with respect to a  
22 project, means addressing major project deficiencies  
23 caused by long-term degradation of the foundation, con-  
24 struction materials, or engineering systems or components  
25 of the project, the results of which render the project at

1 risk of not performing in compliance with its authorized  
2 project purposes. In addressing such deficiencies, the Sec-  
3 retary may incorporate current design standards and effi-  
4 ciency improvements, including the replacement of obso-  
5 lete mechanical and electrical components at pumping sta-  
6 tions, if such incorporation does not significantly change  
7 the scope, function, and purpose of the project as author-  
8 ized.

9 (d) ELIGIBLE PROJECTS.—The following flood con-  
10 trol projects are eligible for reconstruction under this sec-  
11 tion:

12 (1) Clear Creek Drainage and Levee District,  
13 Illinois.

14 (2) Fort Chartres and Ivy Landing Drainage  
15 District, Illinois.

16 (3) Prairie Du Pont Levee and Sanitary Dis-  
17 trict, including Fish Lake Drainage and Levee Dis-  
18 trict, Illinois.

19 (4) Cairo, Illinois Mainline Levee, Cairo, Illi-  
20 nois.

21 (5) Goose Pond Pump Station, Cairo, Illinois.

22 (6) Cottonwood Slough Pump Station, Alex-  
23 ander County, Illinois.

24 (7) 10th and 28th Street Pump Stations, Cairo,  
25 Illinois.

1 (8) Flood control levee projects in Brookport,  
2 Shawneetown, Old Shawneetown, Golconda,  
3 Rosiclare, Harrisburg, and Reevesville, Illinois.

4 (9) City of St. Louis, Missouri.

5 (10) Missouri River Levee Drainage District,  
6 Missouri.

7 (e) JUSTIFICATION.—The reconstruction of a project  
8 authorized by this section shall not be considered a sepa-  
9 rable element of the project.

10 (f) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There is  
11 authorized to be appropriated \$50,000,000 to carry out  
12 this section.

13 **SEC. 5071. ILLINOIS RIVER BASIN RESTORATION.**

14 (a) EXTENSION OF AUTHORIZATION.—Section  
15 519(c)(2) of the Water Resources Development Act of  
16 2000 (114 Stat. 2654) is amended by striking “2004” and  
17 inserting “2010”.

18 (b) MAXIMUM FEDERAL SHARE.—Section 519(c)(3)  
19 of such Act (114 Stat. 2654) is amended by striking  
20 “\$5,000,000” and inserting “\$20,000,000”.

21 (c) IN-KIND SERVICES.—Section 519(g)(3) of such  
22 Act (114 Stat. 2655) is amended by inserting before the  
23 period at the end of the first sentence “if such services  
24 are provided not more than 5 years before the date of initi-  
25 ation of the project or activity”.

1 (d) MONITORING.—Section 519 of such Act (114  
2 Stat. 2654) is amended by adding at the end the following:

3 “(h) MONITORING.—The Secretary shall develop an  
4 Illinois River basin monitoring program to support the  
5 plan developed under subsection (b). Data collected under  
6 the monitoring program shall incorporate data provided  
7 by the State of Illinois and shall be publicly accessible  
8 through electronic means, including on the Internet.”.

9 **SEC. 5072. PROMONTORY POINT THIRD-PARTY REVIEW,**  
10 **CHICAGO SHORELINE, CHICAGO, ILLINOIS.**

11 (a) REVIEW.—

12 (1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall conduct  
13 a third-party review of the Promontory Point feature  
14 of the project for storm damage reduction and  
15 shoreline erosion protection, Lake Michigan, Illinois,  
16 from Wilmette, Illinois, to the Illinois-Indiana State  
17 line, authorized by section 101(a)(12) of the Water  
18 Resources Development Act of 1996 (110 Stat.  
19 3664), at a cost not to exceed \$450,000.

20 (2) JOINT REVIEW.—The Buffalo and Seattle  
21 Districts of the Corps of Engineers shall jointly con-  
22 duct the review under paragraph (1).

23 (3) STANDARDS.—The review under paragraph  
24 (1) shall be based on the standards under part 68

1 of title 36, Code of Federal Regulations (or any suc-  
2 cessor regulation).

3 (b) CONTRIBUTIONS.—The Secretary may accept  
4 funds from a State or political subdivision of a State to  
5 conduct the review under paragraph (1).

6 (c) TREATMENT.—The review under paragraph (1)  
7 shall not be considered to be an element of the project  
8 referred to in paragraph (1).

9 (d) EFFECT OF SECTION.—Nothing in this section  
10 shall be construed to affect the authorization for the  
11 project referred to in paragraph (1).

12 **SEC. 5073. KASKASKIA RIVER BASIN, ILLINOIS, RESTORA-**  
13 **TION.**

14 (a) KASKASKIA RIVER BASIN DEFINED.—In this sec-  
15 tion, the term “Kaskaskia River Basin” means the  
16 Kaskaskia River, Illinois, its backwaters, its side channels,  
17 and all tributaries, including their watersheds, draining  
18 into the Kaskaskia River.

19 (b) COMPREHENSIVE PLAN.—

20 (1) DEVELOPMENT.—The Secretary shall de-  
21 velop, as expeditiously as practicable, a comprehen-  
22 sive plan for the purpose of restoring, preserving,  
23 and protecting the Kaskaskia River Basin.

24 (2) TECHNOLOGIES AND INNOVATIVE AP-  
25 PROACHES.—The comprehensive plan shall provide

1 for the development of new technologies and innova-  
2 tive approaches—

3 (A) to enhance the Kaskaskia River as a  
4 transportation corridor;

5 (B) to improve water quality within the en-  
6 tire Kaskaskia River Basin;

7 (C) to restore, enhance, and preserve habi-  
8 tat for plants and wildlife;

9 (D) to ensure aquatic integrity of side  
10 channels and backwaters and their connectivity  
11 with the mainstem river;

12 (E) to increase economic opportunity for  
13 agriculture and business communities; and

14 (F) to reduce the impacts of flooding to  
15 communities and landowners.

16 (3) SPECIFIC COMPONENTS.—The comprehen-  
17 sive plan shall include such features as are necessary  
18 to provide for—

19 (A) the development and implementation of  
20 a program for sediment removal technology,  
21 sediment characterization, sediment transport,  
22 and beneficial uses of sediment;

23 (B) the development and implementation  
24 of a program for the planning, conservation,  
25 evaluation, and construction of measures for



1 fish and wildlife habitat conservation and reha-  
2 bilitation, and stabilization and enhancement of  
3 land and water resources in the Kaskaskia  
4 River Basin;

5 (C) the development and implementation of  
6 a long-term resource monitoring program for  
7 the Basin;

8 (D) a conveyance study of the Kaskaskia  
9 River floodplain from Vandalia, Illinois, to  
10 Carlyle Lake to determine the impacts of exist-  
11 ing and future waterfowl improvements on flood  
12 stages, including detailed surveys and mapping  
13 information to ensure proper hydraulic and  
14 hydrological analysis;

15 (E) the development and implementation  
16 of a computerized inventory and analysis sys-  
17 tem for the Basin;

18 (F) the development and implementation  
19 of a systemic plan for the Basin to reduce flood  
20 impacts by means of ecosystem restoration  
21 projects; and

22 (G) the study and design of necessary  
23 measures to reduce ongoing headcutting and re-  
24 store the aquatic environment of the Basin that  
25 has been degraded by the headcutting that has

1           occurred above the existing grade control struc-  
2           ture.

3           (4) CONSULTATION.—The comprehensive plan  
4           shall be developed by the Secretary in consultation  
5           with appropriate Federal agencies, the State of Illi-  
6           nois, and the Kaskaskia River Watershed Associa-  
7           tion.

8           (5) REPORT TO CONGRESS.—Not later than 2  
9           years after the date of enactment of this Act, the  
10          Secretary shall submit to the Committee on Trans-  
11          portation and Infrastructure of the House of Rep-  
12          resentatives and the Committee on Environment and  
13          Public Works of the Senate a report containing the  
14          comprehensive plan.

15          (6) ADDITIONAL STUDIES AND ANALYSES.—  
16          After submission of a report under paragraph (5),  
17          the Secretary shall conduct studies and analyses of  
18          projects related to the comprehensive plan that are  
19          appropriate and consistent with this subsection.

20          (c) GENERAL PROVISIONS.—

21           (1) WATER QUALITY.—In carrying out activi-  
22           ties under this section, the Secretary's recommenda-  
23           tions shall be consistent with applicable State water  
24           quality standards.

1           (2) PUBLIC PARTICIPATION.—In developing the  
2           comprehensive plan under subsection (b), the Sec-  
3           retary shall implement procedures to facilitate public  
4           participation, including providing advance notice of  
5           meetings, providing adequate opportunity for public  
6           input and comment, maintaining appropriate  
7           records, and making a record of the proceedings of  
8           meetings available for public inspection.

9           (d) CRITICAL PROJECTS AND INITIATIVES.—If the  
10          Secretary, in cooperation with appropriate Federal agen-  
11          cies and the State of Illinois, determines that a project  
12          or initiative for the Kaskaskia River Basin will produce  
13          independent, immediate, and substantial benefits, the Sec-  
14          retary may proceed with the implementation of the  
15          project.

16          (e) COORDINATION.—The Secretary shall integrate  
17          activities carried out under this section with ongoing Fed-  
18          eral and State programs, projects, and activities, including  
19          the following:

20                (1) Farm programs of the Department of Agri-  
21                culture.

22                (2) Conservation Reserve Enhancement Pro-  
23                gram (State of Illinois) and Conservation 2000 Eco-  
24                system Program of the Illinois department of nat-  
25                ural resources.

1           (3) Conservation 2000 Conservation Practices  
2           Program and the Livestock Management Facilities  
3           Act administered by the Illinois department of agri-  
4           culture.

5           (4) National Buffer Initiative of the Natural  
6           Resources Conservation Service.

7           (5) Nonpoint source grant program adminis-  
8           tered by the Illinois environmental protection agen-  
9           cy.

10          (6) Other programs that may be developed by  
11          the State of Illinois or the Federal Government, or  
12          that are carried out by nonprofit organizations, to  
13          carry out the objectives of the Kaskaskia River  
14          Basin Comprehensive Plan.

15          (f) IN-KIND SERVICES.—The Secretary may credit  
16          the cost of in-kind services provided by the non-Federal  
17          interest for an activity carried out under this section to-  
18          ward not more than 80 percent of the non-Federal share  
19          of the cost of the activity. In-kind services shall include  
20          all State funds expended on programs that accomplish the  
21          goals of this section, as determined by the Secretary. The  
22          programs may include the Kaskaskia River Conservation  
23          Reserve Program, the Illinois Conservation 2000 Pro-  
24          gram, the Open Lands Trust Fund, and other appropriate  
25          programs carried out in the Kaskaskia River Basin.

1 (g) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There is  
2 authorized to be appropriated \$20,000,000 to carry out  
3 this section.

4 **SEC. 5074. SOUTHWEST ILLINOIS.**

5 (a) SOUTHWEST ILLINOIS DEFINED.—In this sec-  
6 tion, the term “Southwest Illinois” means the counties of  
7 Madison, St. Clair, Monroe, Randolph, Perry, Franklin,  
8 Jackson, Union, Alexander, Pulaski, and Williamson, Illi-  
9 nois.

10 (b) ESTABLISHMENT OF PROGRAM.—The Secretary  
11 may establish a program to provide environmental assist-  
12 ance to non-Federal interests in Southwest Illinois.

13 (c) FORM OF ASSISTANCE.—Assistance provided  
14 under this section may be in the form of design and con-  
15 struction assistance for water-related environmental infra-  
16 structure and resource protection and development  
17 projects in Southwest Illinois, including projects for waste-  
18 water treatment and related facilities, water supply and  
19 related facilities, and surface water resource protection  
20 and development.

21 (d) OWNERSHIP REQUIREMENT.—The Secretary may  
22 provide assistance for a project under this section only if  
23 the project is publicly owned.

24 (e) PARTNERSHIP AGREEMENTS.—

1           (1) IN GENERAL.—Before providing assistance  
2           under this section, the Secretary shall enter into a  
3           partnership agreement with a non-Federal interest  
4           to provide for design and construction of the project  
5           to be carried out with the assistance.

6           (2) REQUIREMENTS.—Each partnership agree-  
7           ment for a project entered into under this subsection  
8           shall provide for the following:

9                   (A) PLAN.—Development by the Secretary,  
10           in consultation with appropriate Federal and  
11           State officials, of a facilities or resource protec-  
12           tion and development plan, including appro-  
13           priate engineering plans and specifications.

14                   (B) LEGAL AND INSTITUTIONAL STRUC-  
15           TURES.—Establishment of such legal and insti-  
16           tutional structures as are necessary to ensure  
17           the effective long-term operation of the project  
18           by the non-Federal interest.

19           (3) COST SHARING.—

20                   (A) IN GENERAL.—The Federal share of  
21           the cost of a project under this section—

22                           (i) shall be 75 percent; and

23                           (ii) may be provided in the form of  
24           grants or reimbursements of project costs.

1           (B) CREDIT FOR WORK.—The Secretary  
2 shall credit, in accordance with section 221 of  
3 the Flood Control Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C.  
4 1962d–5b), toward the non-Federal share of  
5 the cost of the project the cost of design work  
6 carried out by the non-Federal interest for the  
7 project before the date of the partnership agree-  
8 ment for the project.

9           (C) CREDIT FOR INTEREST.—In case of a  
10 delay in the funding of the non-Federal share  
11 of a project that is the subject of an agreement  
12 under this section, the non-Federal interest  
13 shall receive credit for reasonable interest in-  
14 curred in providing the non-Federal share.

15           (D) CREDIT FOR LAND, EASEMENTS, AND  
16 RIGHTS-OF-WAY.—The non-Federal interest  
17 shall receive credit for land, easements, rights-  
18 of-way, and relocations toward the non-Federal  
19 share of project costs (including all reasonable  
20 costs associated with obtaining permits nec-  
21 essary for the construction, operation, and  
22 maintenance of the project on publicly owned or  
23 controlled land), but the credit may not exceed  
24 25 percent of total project costs.

1                   (E) OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE.—The  
2                   non-Federal share of operation and mainte-  
3                   nance costs for projects constructed with assist-  
4                   ance provided under this section shall be 100  
5                   percent.

6           (f) APPLICABILITY OF OTHER FEDERAL AND STATE  
7 LAWS.—Nothing in this section shall be construed to  
8 waive, limit, or otherwise affect the applicability of any  
9 provision of Federal or State law that would otherwise  
10 apply to a project to be carried out with assistance pro-  
11 vided under this section.

12           (g) NONPROFIT ENTITIES.—In accordance with sec-  
13 tion 221(b) of the Flood Control Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C.  
14 1962d–5b(b)), for any project carried out under this sec-  
15 tion, a non-Federal interest may include a nonprofit entity  
16 with the consent of the affected local government.

17           (h) CORPS OF ENGINEERS EXPENSES.—Not more  
18 than 10 percent of the amounts appropriated to carry out  
19 this section may be used by the Corps of Engineers district  
20 offices to administer projects under this section at Federal  
21 expense.

22           (i) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There is  
23 authorized to be appropriated to carry out this section  
24 \$40,000,000.



1 **SEC. 5075. CALUMET REGION, INDIANA.**

2 Section 219(f)(12) of the Water Resources Develop-  
3 ment Act of 1992 (113 Stat. 335; 117 Stat. 1843) is  
4 amended—

5 (1) by striking “\$30,000,000” and inserting the  
6 following:

7 “(A) IN GENERAL.—\$100,000,000”;

8 (2) by adding at the end the following:

9 “(B) CREDIT.—The Secretary shall credit,  
10 in accordance with section 221 of the Flood  
11 Control Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C. 1962d–5b), to-  
12 ward the non-Federal share of the cost of the  
13 project the cost of planning and design work  
14 carried out by the non-Federal interest for the  
15 project before the date of the partnership agree-  
16 ment for the project.”; and

17 (3) by aligning the remainder of the text of  
18 subparagraph (A) (as designated by paragraph (1)  
19 of this section) with subparagraph (B) (as added by  
20 paragraph (2) of this section).

21 **SEC. 5076. FLOODPLAIN MAPPING, MISSOURI RIVER, IOWA.**

22 (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall provide as-  
23 sistance for a project to develop maps identifying 100- and  
24 500-year flood inundation areas in the State of Iowa,  
25 along the Missouri River.

1           (b) REQUIREMENTS.—Maps developed under the  
2 project shall include hydrologic and hydraulic information  
3 and shall accurately portray the flood hazard areas in the  
4 floodplain. The maps shall be produced in a high resolu-  
5 tion format and shall be made available to the State of  
6 Iowa in an electronic format.

7           (c) PARTICIPATION OF FEMA.—The Secretary and  
8 the non-Federal interests for the project shall work with  
9 the Administrator of the Federal Emergency Management  
10 Agency to ensure the validity of the maps developed under  
11 the project for flood insurance purposes.

12           (d) FORMS OF ASSISTANCE.—In carrying out the  
13 project, the Secretary may enter into contracts or coopera-  
14 tive agreements with the non-Federal interests or provide  
15 reimbursements of project costs.

16           (e) FEDERAL SHARE.—The Federal share of the cost  
17 of the project shall be 50 percent.

18           (f) LIMITATION ON STATUTORY CONSTRUCTION.—  
19 Nothing in this section shall be construed to modify the  
20 prioritization of map updates or the substantive require-  
21 ments of the Federal Emergency Management Agency  
22 flood map modernization program authorized by section  
23 1360 of the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (42  
24 U.S.C. 4101).

1 (g) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There is  
2 authorized to be appropriated to carry out this section  
3 \$3,000,000.

4 **SEC. 5077. PADUCAH, KENTUCKY.**

5 The Secretary shall complete a feasibility report for  
6 rehabilitation of the project for flood damage reduction,  
7 Paducah, Kentucky, authorized by section 4 of the Flood  
8 Control Act of June 28, 1938 (52 Stat. 1217), and, if  
9 the Secretary determines that the project is feasible, the  
10 Secretary may carry out the project at a total cost of  
11 \$3,000,000.

12 **SEC. 5078. SOUTHERN AND EASTERN KENTUCKY.**

13 Section 531 of the Water Resources Development Act  
14 of 1996 (110 Stat. 3773; 113 Stat. 348; 117 Stat. 142)  
15 is amended by adding at the end the following:

16 “(i) CORPS OF ENGINEERS EXPENSES.—Not more  
17 than 10 percent of the amounts appropriated to carry out  
18 this section may be used by the Corps of Engineers district  
19 offices to administer projects under this section at Federal  
20 expense.”.

21 **SEC. 5079. WINCHESTER, KENTUCKY.**

22 Section 219(c) of the Water Resources Development  
23 Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 114 Stat. 2763A–219) is  
24 amended by adding at the end the following:

1           “(41) WINCHESTER, KENTUCKY.—Wastewater  
2           infrastructure, Winchester, Kentucky.”.

3   **SEC. 5080. BATON ROUGE, LOUISIANA.**

4           Section 219(f)(21) of the Water Resources Develop-  
5           ment Act of 1992 (113 Stat. 336; 114 Stat. 2763A–220)  
6           is amended by striking “\$20,000,000” and inserting  
7           “\$35,000,000”.

8   **SEC. 5081. CALCASIEU SHIP CHANNEL, LOUISIANA.**

9           The Secretary shall expedite completion of a dredged  
10           material management plan for the Calcasieu Ship Chan-  
11           nel, Louisiana, and may take interim measures to increase  
12           the capacity of existing disposal areas, or to construct new  
13           confined or beneficial use disposal areas, for the channel.

14   **SEC. 5082. EAST ATCHAFALAYA BASIN AND AMITE RIVER**  
15                                   **BASIN REGION, LOUISIANA.**

16           (a) EAST ATCHAFALAYA BASIN AND AMITE RIVER  
17           BASIN REGION DEFINED.—In this section, the term  
18           “East Atchafalaya Basin and Amite River Basin Region”  
19           means the following parishes and municipalities in the  
20           State of Louisiana: Ascension, East Baton Rouge, East  
21           Feliciana, Iberville, Livingston, Pointe Coupee, St. Hel-  
22           ena, West Baton Rouge, and West Feliciana.

23           (b) ESTABLISHMENT OF PROGRAM.—The Secretary  
24           may establish a program to provide environmental assist-

1 ance to non-Federal interests in the East Atchafalaya  
2 Basin and Amite River Basin Region.

3 (c) FORM OF ASSISTANCE.—Assistance provided  
4 under this section may be in the form of design and con-  
5 struction assistance for water-related environmental infra-  
6 structure and resource protection and development  
7 projects in the East Atchafalaya Basin and Amite River  
8 Basin Region, including projects for wastewater treatment  
9 and related facilities, water supply and related facilities,  
10 environmental restoration, and surface water resource pro-  
11 tection and development.

12 (d) OWNERSHIP REQUIREMENT.—The Secretary may  
13 provide assistance for a project under this section only if  
14 the project is publicly owned.

15 (e) PARTNERSHIP AGREEMENTS.—

16 (1) IN GENERAL.—Before providing assistance  
17 under this section, the Secretary shall enter into a  
18 partnership agreement with a non-Federal interest  
19 to provide for design and construction of the project  
20 to be carried out with the assistance.

21 (2) REQUIREMENTS.—Each partnership agree-  
22 ment of a project entered into under this subsection  
23 shall provide for the following:

24 (A) PLAN.—Development by the Secretary,  
25 in consultation with appropriate Federal and

1 State officials, of a facilities or resource protec-  
2 tion and development plan, including appro-  
3 priate engineering plans and specifications.

4 (B) LEGAL AND INSTITUTIONAL STRUC-  
5 TURES.—Establishment of such legal and insti-  
6 tutional structures as are necessary to ensure  
7 the effective long-term operation of the project  
8 by the non-Federal interest.

9 (3) COST SHARING.—

10 (A) IN GENERAL.—The Federal share of  
11 the cost of a project under this section—

12 (i) shall be 75 percent; and

13 (ii) may be provided in the form of  
14 grants or reimbursements of project costs.

15 (B) CREDIT FOR WORK.—The Secretary  
16 shall credit, in accordance with section 221 of  
17 the Flood Control Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C.  
18 1962d-5b), toward the non-Federal share of  
19 the cost of the project the cost of design work  
20 carried out by the non-Federal interest for the  
21 project before the date of the partnership agree-  
22 ment for the project.

23 (C) CREDIT FOR INTEREST.—In case of a  
24 delay in the funding of the non-Federal share  
25 of a project that is the subject of an agreement

1 under this section, the non-Federal interest  
2 shall receive credit for reasonable interest in-  
3 curred in providing the non-Federal share.

4 (D) CREDIT FOR LAND, EASEMENTS, AND  
5 RIGHTS-OF-WAY.—The non-Federal interest  
6 shall receive credit for land, easements, rights-  
7 of-way, and relocations toward the non-Federal  
8 share of project costs (including all reasonable  
9 costs associated with obtaining permits nec-  
10 essary for the construction, operation, and  
11 maintenance of the project on publicly owned or  
12 controlled land), but the credit may not exceed  
13 25 percent of total project costs.

14 (E) OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE.—The  
15 non-Federal share of operation and mainte-  
16 nance costs for projects constructed with assist-  
17 ance provided under this section shall be 100  
18 percent.

19 (f) APPLICABILITY OF OTHER FEDERAL AND STATE  
20 LAWS.—Nothing in this section shall be construed to  
21 waive, limit, or otherwise affect the applicability of any  
22 provision of Federal or State law that would otherwise  
23 apply to a project to be carried out with assistance pro-  
24 vided under this section.

1 (g) NONPROFIT ENTITIES.—In accordance with sec-  
2 tion 221(b) of the Flood Control Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C.  
3 1962d–5b(b)), for any project carried out under this sec-  
4 tion, a non-Federal interest may include a nonprofit entity  
5 with the consent of the affected local government.

6 (h) CORPS OF ENGINEERS EXPENSES.—Not more  
7 than 10 percent of the amounts appropriated to carry out  
8 this section may be used by the Corps of Engineers district  
9 offices to administer projects under this section at Federal  
10 expense.

11 (i) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There is  
12 authorized to be appropriated to carry out this section  
13 \$40,000,000.

14 **SEC. 5083. INNER HARBOR NAVIGATION CANAL LOCK**  
15 **PROJECT, LOUISIANA.**

16 Not later than July 1, 2008, the Secretary shall—

17 (1) issue a final environmental impact state-  
18 ment relating to the Inner Harbor Navigation Canal  
19 Lock project, Louisiana; and

20 (2) develop and maintain a transportation miti-  
21 gation program relating to that project in coordina-  
22 tion with—

23 (A) St. Bernard Parish;

24 (B) Orleans Parish;



1 (C) the Old Arabi Neighborhood Associa-  
2 tion; and

3 (D) other interested parties.

4 **SEC. 5084. LAKE PONTCHARTRAIN, LOUISIANA.**

5 For purposes of carrying out section 121 of the Fed-  
6 eral Water Pollution Control Act (33 U.S.C. 1273), the  
7 Lake Pontchartrain, Louisiana, basin stakeholders con-  
8 ference convened by the Environmental Protection Agen-  
9 cy, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration,  
10 and United States Geological Survey on February 25,  
11 2002, shall be treated as being a management conference  
12 convened under section 320 of such Act (33 U.S.C. 1330).

13 **SEC. 5085. SOUTHEAST LOUISIANA REGION, LOUISIANA.**

14 (a) DEFINITION OF SOUTHEAST LOUISIANA RE-  
15 GION.—In this section, the term “Southeast Louisiana Re-  
16 gion” means any of the following parishes and municipali-  
17 ties in the State of Louisiana:

- 18 (1) Orleans.
- 19 (2) Jefferson.
- 20 (3) St. Tammany.
- 21 (4) Tangipahoa.
- 22 (5) St. Bernard.
- 23 (6) St. Charles.
- 24 (7) St. John.
- 25 (8) Plaquemines.

1           (b) ESTABLISHMENT OF PROGRAM.—The Secretary  
2 may establish a program to provide environmental assist-  
3 ance to non-Federal interests in the Southeast Louisiana  
4 Region.

5           (c) FORM OF ASSISTANCE.—Assistance provided  
6 under this section may be in the form of design and con-  
7 struction assistance for water-related environmental infra-  
8 structure and resource protection and development  
9 projects in the Southeast Louisiana Region, including  
10 projects for wastewater treatment and related facilities,  
11 water supply and related facilities, environmental restora-  
12 tion, and surface water resource protection and develop-  
13 ment (including projects to improve water quality in the  
14 Lake Pontchartrain basin).

15           (d) OWNERSHIP REQUIREMENT.—The Secretary may  
16 provide assistance for a project under this section only if  
17 the project is publicly owned.

18           (e) PARTNERSHIP AGREEMENTS.—

19           (1) IN GENERAL.—Before providing assistance  
20 under this section, the Secretary shall enter into a  
21 partnership agreement with a non-Federal interest  
22 to provide for design and construction of the project  
23 to be carried out with the assistance.

1           (2) REQUIREMENTS.—Each partnership agree-  
2           ment for a project entered into under this subsection  
3           shall provide for the following:

4                   (A) PLAN.—Development by the Secretary,  
5           in consultation with appropriate Federal and  
6           State officials, of a facilities or resource protec-  
7           tion and development plan, including appro-  
8           priate engineering plans and specifications.

9                   (B) LEGAL AND INSTITUTIONAL STRUC-  
10          TURES.—Establishment of such legal and insti-  
11          tutional structures as are necessary to ensure  
12          the effective long-term operation of the project  
13          by the non-Federal interest.

14          (3) COST SHARING.—

15                   (A) IN GENERAL.—The Federal share of  
16          the cost of a project under this section—

17                           (i) shall be 75 percent; and

18                           (ii) may be provided in the form of  
19                   grants or reimbursements of project costs.

20                   (B) CREDIT FOR WORK.—The Secretary  
21          shall credit, in accordance with section 221 of  
22          the Flood Control Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C.  
23          1962d–5b), toward the non-Federal share of  
24          the cost of the project the cost of design work  
25          carried out by the non-Federal interest for the

1 project before the date of the partnership agree-  
2 ment for the project.

3 (C) CREDIT FOR INTEREST.—In case of a  
4 delay in the funding of the non-Federal share  
5 of the costs of a project that is the subject of  
6 an agreement under this section, the non-Fed-  
7 eral interest shall receive credit for reasonable  
8 interest incurred in providing the non-Federal  
9 share.

10 (D) CREDIT FOR LAND, EASEMENTS, AND  
11 RIGHTS-OF-WAY.—The non-Federal interest  
12 shall receive credit for land, easements, rights-  
13 of-way, and relocations toward the non-Federal  
14 share of project costs (including all reasonable  
15 costs associated with obtaining permits nec-  
16 essary for the construction, operation, and  
17 maintenance of the project on publicly owned or  
18 controlled land), but the credit may not exceed  
19 25 percent of total project costs.

20 (E) OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE.—The  
21 non-Federal share of operation and mainte-  
22 nance costs for projects constructed with assist-  
23 ance provided under this section shall be 100  
24 percent.

1           (f) **APPLICABILITY OF OTHER FEDERAL AND STATE**  
2 **LAWS.**—Nothing in this section shall be construed to  
3 waive, limit, or otherwise affect the applicability of any  
4 provision of Federal or State law that would otherwise  
5 apply to a project to be carried out with assistance pro-  
6 vided under this section.

7           (g) **NONPROFIT ENTITIES.**—In accordance with sec-  
8 tion 221(b) of the Flood Control Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C.  
9 1962d–5b(b)), for any project carried out under this sec-  
10 tion, a non-Federal interest may include a nonprofit entity  
11 with the consent of the affected local government.

12           (h) **CORPS OF ENGINEERS EXPENSES.**—Not more  
13 than 10 percent of amounts made available to carry out  
14 this section may be used by the Corps of Engineers district  
15 offices to administer projects under this section at Federal  
16 expense.

17           (i) **AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.**—There is  
18 authorized to be appropriated to carry out this section  
19 \$17,000,000.

20 **SEC. 5086. WEST BATON ROUGE PARISH, LOUISIANA.**

21           (a) **MODIFICATION OF STUDY.**—The study for the  
22 project for waterfront and riverine preservation, restora-  
23 tion, and enhancement, Mississippi River, West Baton  
24 Rouge Parish, Louisiana, being carried out under Com-  
25 mittee Resolution 2570 of the Committee on Transpor-

1 tation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives  
2 adopted July 23, 1998, is modified to add West Feliciana  
3 Parish and East Baton Rouge Parish to the geographic  
4 scope of the study.

5 (b) CONSTRUCTION.—The Secretary may, upon com-  
6 pletion of the study, participate in the ecosystem restora-  
7 tion, navigation, flood damage reduction, and recreation  
8 components of the project.

9 (c) CREDIT.—The Secretary shall credit, in accord-  
10 ance with section 221 of the Flood Control Act of 1970  
11 (42 U.S.C. 1962d–5b), toward the non-Federal share of  
12 the cost of the project the cost of design work carried out  
13 by the non-Federal interest for the project before the date  
14 of the partnership agreement for the project.

15 (d) EXPEDITED CONSIDERATION.—Section 517(5) of  
16 the Water Resources Development Act of 1999 (113 Stat.  
17 345) is amended to read as follows:

18 “(5) Mississippi River, West Baton Rouge,  
19 West Feliciana, and East Baton Rouge Parishes,  
20 Louisiana, project for waterfront and riverine pres-  
21 ervation, restoration, and enhancement modifica-  
22 tions.”.

23 (e) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There is  
24 authorized to be appropriated to carry out this section  
25 \$10,000,000.

1 **SEC. 5087. CHARLESTOWN, MARYLAND.**

2 (a) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary may carry out a  
3 project for nonstructural flood damage reduction and eco-  
4 system restoration at Charlestown, Maryland.

5 (b) **LAND ACQUISITION.**—The flood damage reduc-  
6 tion component of the project may include the acquisition  
7 of private property from willing sellers.

8 (c) **JUSTIFICATION.**—Any nonstructural flood dam-  
9 age reduction project to be carried out under this section  
10 that will result in the conversion of property to use for  
11 ecosystem restoration and wildlife habitat shall be justified  
12 based on national ecosystem restoration benefits.

13 (d) **USE OF ACQUIRED PROPERTY.**—Property ac-  
14 quired under this section shall be maintained in public  
15 ownership for ecosystem restoration and wildlife habitat.

16 (e) **ABILITY TO PAY.**—In determining the appro-  
17 priate non-Federal cost share for the project, the Sec-  
18 retary shall determine the ability of Cecil County, Mary-  
19 land, to participate as a cost-sharing non-Federal interest  
20 in accordance with section 103(m) of the Water Resources  
21 Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2213(m)).

22 (f) **AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.**—There is  
23 authorized to be appropriated \$2,000,000 to carry out this  
24 section.

1 **SEC. 5088. ST. MARY'S RIVER, MARYLAND.**

2 (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall carry out the  
3 project for shoreline protection, St. Mary's River, Mary-  
4 land, under section 3 of the Act entitled "An Act author-  
5 izing Federal participation in the cost of protecting the  
6 shores of publicly owned property", approved August 13,  
7 1946 (33 U.S.C. 426g).

8 (b) USE OF FUNDS.—In carrying out the project  
9 under subsection (a), the Secretary shall use funds made  
10 available for such project under Energy and Water Devel-  
11 opment Appropriations Act, 2006 (Public Law 109–103).

12 **SEC. 5089. MASSACHUSETTS DREDGED MATERIAL DIS-**  
13 **POSAL SITES.**

14 The Secretary may cooperate with Massachusetts in  
15 the management and long-term monitoring of aquatic  
16 dredged material disposal sites within the State and is au-  
17 thorized to accept funds from the State to carry out such  
18 activities.

19 **SEC. 5090. ONTONAGON HARBOR, MICHIGAN.**

20 The Secretary shall conduct a study of shore damage  
21 in the vicinity of the project for navigation, Ontonagon  
22 Harbor, Ontonagon County, Michigan, authorized by sec-  
23 tion 101 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1962 (76 Stat.  
24 1176) and reauthorized by section 363 of the Water Re-  
25 sources Development Act of 1996 (110 Stat. 3730), to de-  
26 termine if the damage is the result of a Federal navigation



1 project, and, if the Secretary determines that the damage  
2 is the result of a Federal navigation project, the Secretary  
3 shall carry out a project to mitigate the damage under  
4 section 111 of the River and Harbor Act of 1968 (33  
5 U.S.C. 426i).

6 **SEC. 5091. CROOKSTON, MINNESOTA.**

7 The Secretary shall conduct a study for a project for  
8 emergency streambank protection along the Red Lake  
9 River in Crookston, Minnesota, and, if the Secretary de-  
10 termines that the project is feasible, the Secretary may  
11 carry out the project under section 14 of the Flood Control  
12 Act of 1946 (33 U.S.C. 701r); except that the maximum  
13 amount of Federal funds that may be expended for the  
14 project shall be \$6,500,000.

15 **SEC. 5092. GARRISON AND KATHIO TOWNSHIP, MINNESOTA.**

16 (a) PROJECT DESCRIPTION.—Section 219(f)(61) of  
17 the Water Resources Development Act of 1992 (114 Stat.  
18 2763A–221) is amended—

19 (1) in the paragraph heading by striking “AND  
20 KATHIO TOWNSHIP” and inserting “, CROW WING  
21 COUNTY, MILLE LACS COUNTY, MILLE LACS INDIAN  
22 RESERVATION, AND KATHIO TOWNSHIP”;

23 (2) by striking “\$11,000,000” and inserting  
24 “\$17,000,000”;

1           (3) by inserting “, Crow Wing County, Mille  
2       Lacs County, Mille Lacs Indian Reservation estab-  
3       lished by the treaty of February 22, 1855 (10 Stat.  
4       1165),” after “Garrison”; and

5           (4) by adding at the end the following: “Such  
6       assistance shall be provided directly to the Garrison-  
7       Kathio-West Mille Lacs Lake Sanitary District,  
8       Minnesota, except for assistance provided directly to  
9       the Mille Lacs Band of Ojibwe at the discretion of  
10      the Secretary.”.

11       (b) PROCEDURES.—In carrying out the project au-  
12      thorized by such section 219(f)(61), the Secretary may use  
13      the cost sharing and contracting procedures available to  
14      the Secretary under section 569 of the Water Resources  
15      Development Act of 1999 (113 Stat. 368).

16      **SEC. 5093. ITASCA COUNTY, MINNESOTA.**

17       The Secretary shall carry out a project for flood dam-  
18      age reduction, Trout Lake and Canisteo Pit, Itasca Coun-  
19      ty, Minnesota, without regard to normal policy consider-  
20      ations.

21      **SEC. 5094. MINNEAPOLIS, MINNESOTA.**

22       (a) CONVEYANCE.—The Secretary shall convey to the  
23      city of Minneapolis by quitclaim deed and without consid-  
24      eration all right, title, and interest of the United States

1 to the property known as the War Department (Fort  
2 Snelling Interceptor) Tunnel in Minneapolis, Minnesota.

3 (b) APPLICABILITY OF PROPERTY SCREENING PRO-  
4 VISIONS.—Section 2696 of title 10, United States Code,  
5 shall not apply to the conveyance under this section.

6 **SEC. 5095. NORTHEASTERN MINNESOTA.**

7 (a) IN GENERAL.—Section 569 of the Water Re-  
8 sources Development Act of 1999 (113 Stat. 368) is  
9 amended—

10 (1) in subsection (a) by striking “Benton,  
11 Sherburne,” and inserting “Beltrami, Hubbard,  
12 Wadena,”;

13 (2) by striking the last sentence of subsection  
14 (e)(3)(B);

15 (3) by striking subsection (g) and inserting the  
16 following:

17 “(g) NONPROFIT ENTITIES.—In accordance with sec-  
18 tion 221(b) of the Flood Control Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C.  
19 1962d–5b(b)), for any project carried out under this sec-  
20 tion, a non-Federal interest may include a nonprofit entity  
21 with the consent of the affected local government.”;

22 (4) in subsection (h) by striking “\$40,000,000”  
23 and inserting “\$54,000,000”; and

24 (5) by adding at the end the following:

1       “(i) CORPS OF ENGINEERS EXPENSES.—Not more  
2 than 10 percent of the amounts appropriated to carry out  
3 this section may be used by the Corps of Engineers district  
4 offices to administer projects under this section at Federal  
5 expense.”.

6       (b) BIWABIK, MINNESOTA.—The Secretary shall re-  
7 imburse the non-Federal interest for the project for envi-  
8 ronmental infrastructure, Biwabik, Minnesota, carried out  
9 under section 569 of the Water Resources Development  
10 Act of 1999 (113 Stat. 368), for planning, design, and  
11 construction costs that were incurred by the non-Federal  
12 interest with respect to the project before the date of the  
13 partnership agreement for the project and that were in  
14 excess of the non-Federal share of the cost of the project  
15 if the Secretary determines that the costs are appropriate.

16 **SEC. 5096. WILD RICE RIVER, MINNESOTA.**

17       The Secretary shall expedite the completion of the  
18 general reevaluation report, authorized by section 438 of  
19 the Water Resources Development Act of 2000 (114 Stat.  
20 2640), for the project for flood protection, Wild Rice  
21 River, Minnesota, authorized by section 201 of the Flood  
22 Control Act of 1970 (84 Stat. 1825), to develop alter-  
23 natives to the Twin Valley Lake feature, and upon the  
24 completion of such report, shall construct the project at  
25 a total cost of \$20,000,000.

1 **SEC. 5097. MISSISSIPPI.**

2 Section 592(g) of the Water Resources Development  
3 Act of 1999 (113 Stat. 380; 117 Stat. 1837) is amended  
4 by striking “\$100,000,000” and inserting  
5 “\$110,000,000”.

6 **SEC. 5098. HARRISON, HANCOCK, AND JACKSON COUNTIES,**  
7 **MISSISSIPPI.**

8 In carrying out projects for the protection, restora-  
9 tion, and creation of aquatic and ecologically related habi-  
10 tats located in Harrison, Hancock, and Jackson Counties,  
11 Mississippi, under section 204 of the Water Resources De-  
12 velopment Act of 1992 (33 U.S.C. 2326), the Secretary  
13 shall accept any portion of the non-Federal share of the  
14 cost of the projects in the form of in-kind services and  
15 materials.

16 **SEC. 5099. MISSISSIPPI RIVER, MISSOURI AND ILLINOIS.**

17 As a part of the operation and maintenance of the  
18 project for the Mississippi River (Regulating Works), be-  
19 tween the Ohio and Missouri Rivers, Missouri and Illinois,  
20 authorized by the first section of an Act entitled “Making  
21 appropriations for the construction, repair, and preserva-  
22 tion of certain public works on rivers and harbors, and  
23 for other purposes”, approved June 25, 1910 (36 Stat.  
24 630), the Secretary may carry out activities necessary to  
25 restore and protect fish and wildlife habitat in the middle  
26 Mississippi River system. Such activities may include

1 modification of navigation training structures, modifica-  
2 tion and creation of side channels, modification and cre-  
3 ation of islands, and studies and analysis necessary to  
4 apply adaptive management principles in design of future  
5 work.

6 **SEC. 5100. ST. LOUIS, MISSOURI.**

7 Section 219(f)(32) of the Water Resources Develop-  
8 ment Act of 1992 (113 Stat. 337) is amended—

9 (1) by striking “a project” and inserting  
10 “projects”;

11 (2) by striking “\$15,000,000” and inserting  
12 “\$35,000,000”; and

13 (3) by inserting “and St. Louis County” before  
14 “, Missouri”.

15 **SEC. 5101. ST. LOUIS REGIONAL GREENWAYS, ST. LOUIS,**  
16 **MISSOURI.**

17 (a) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary may participate in  
18 the ecosystem restoration, recreation, and flood damage  
19 reduction components of the St. Louis Regional Green-  
20 ways Proposal of the Metropolitan Park and Recreation  
21 District, St. Louis, Missouri, dated March 31, 2004.

22 (b) **COORDINATION.**—In carrying out this section, the  
23 Secretary shall coordinate with appropriate representa-  
24 tives in the vicinity of St. Louis, Missouri, including the

1 Metropolitan Park and Recreation District, the city of St.  
2 Louis, St. Louis County, and St. Charles County.

3 (c) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There is  
4 authorized to be appropriated \$10,000,000 to carry out  
5 this section.

6 **SEC. 5102. MISSOULA, MONTANA.**

7 (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary may participate in  
8 the ecosystem restoration, flood damage reduction, and  
9 recreation components of the Clark Fork River Revitaliza-  
10 tion Project, Missoula, Montana.

11 (b) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There is  
12 authorized to be appropriated \$5,000,000 to carry out this  
13 section.

14 **SEC. 5103. ST. MARY PROJECT, GLACIER COUNTY, MON-**  
15 **TANA.**

16 (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary, in consultation  
17 with the Bureau of Reclamation, shall conduct all nec-  
18 essary studies, develop an emergency response plan, pro-  
19 vide technical and planning and design assistance, and re-  
20 habilitate and construct the St. Mary Diversion and Con-  
21 veyance Works project located within the exterior bound-  
22 aries of the Blackfeet Reservation in the State of Mon-  
23 tana, at a total cost of \$153,000,000.

1 (b) FEDERAL SHARE.—The Federal share of the  
2 total cost of the project under this section shall be 75 per-  
3 cent.

4 (c) PARTICIPATION BY BLACKFEET TRIBE AND FORT  
5 BELKNAP INDIAN COMMUNITY.—

6 (1) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in para-  
7 graph (2), no construction shall be carried out under  
8 this section until the earlier of—

9 (A) the date on which Congress approves  
10 the reserved water rights settlements of the  
11 Blackfeet Tribe and the Fort Belknap Indian  
12 Community; and

13 (B) January 1, 2011.

14 (2) EXCEPTION.—Paragraph (1) shall not  
15 apply with respect to construction relating to—

16 (A) standard operation and maintenance;  
17 or

18 (B) emergency repairs to ensure water  
19 transportation or the protection of life and  
20 property.

21 (3) REQUIREMENT.—The Blackfeet Tribe shall  
22 be a participant in all phases of the project author-  
23 ized by this section.



1 **SEC. 5104. LOWER PLATTE RIVER WATERSHED RESTORA-**  
2 **TION, NEBRASKA.**

3 (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary may cooperate with  
4 and provide assistance to the Lower Platte River natural  
5 resources districts in the State of Nebraska to serve as  
6 non-Federal interests with respect to—

7 (1) conducting comprehensive watershed plan-  
8 ning in the natural resource districts;

9 (2) assessing water resources in the natural re-  
10 source districts; and

11 (3) providing project feasibility planning, de-  
12 sign, and construction assistance for water resource  
13 and watershed management in the natural resource  
14 districts, including projects for environmental res-  
15 toration and flood damage reduction.

16 (b) FUNDING.—

17 (1) FEDERAL SHARE.—The Federal share of  
18 the cost of carrying out an activity described in sub-  
19 section (a)(1) shall be 75 percent.

20 (2) NON-FEDERAL SHARE.—The non-Federal  
21 share of the cost of carrying out an activity de-  
22 scribed in subsection (a) may be provided in cash or  
23 in kind.

24 (c) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There is  
25 authorized to be appropriated to the Secretary to carry  
26 out this section \$12,000,000.

1 **SEC. 5105. HACKENSACK MEADOWLANDS AREA, NEW JER-**  
2 **SEY.**

3 Section 324 of the Water Resources Development Act  
4 of 1992 (106 Stat. 4849; 110 Stat. 3779) is amended—

5 (1) in subsection (a)—

6 (A) by striking “design” and inserting  
7 “planning, design,”; and

8 (B) by striking “Hackensack Meadowlands  
9 Development” and all that follows through  
10 “Plan for” and inserting “New Jersey  
11 Meadowlands Commission for the development  
12 of an environmental improvement program for”;

13 (2) in subsection (b)—

14 (A) in the subsection heading by striking  
15 “REQUIRED”;

16 (B) by striking “shall” and inserting  
17 “may”;

18 (C) by striking paragraph (1) and insert-  
19 ing the following:

20 “(1) Restoration and acquisitions of significant  
21 wetlands and aquatic habitat that contribute to the  
22 Meadowlands ecosystem.”;

23 (D) in paragraph (2) by inserting “and  
24 aquatic habitat” before the period at the end;  
25 and

1 (E) by striking paragraph (7) and insert-  
2 ing the following:

3 “(7) Research, development, and implementa-  
4 tion for a water quality improvement program, in-  
5 cluding restoration of hydrology and tidal flows and  
6 remediation of hot spots and other sources of con-  
7 taminants that degrade existing or planned sites.”;

8 (3) in subsection (c)—

9 (A) by striking “non-Federal sponsor” and  
10 inserting “non-Federal interest”; and

11 (B) by inserting before the last sentence  
12 the following: “The non-Federal interest may  
13 also provide in-kind services not to exceed the  
14 non-Federal share of the total project cost.”;

15 (4) by redesignating subsection (d) as sub-  
16 section (e);

17 (5) by inserting after subsection (c) the fol-  
18 lowing:

19 “(d) CREDIT.—The Secretary shall credit, in accord-  
20 ance with section 221 of the Flood Control Act of 1970  
21 (42 U.S.C. 1962d–5b), toward the non-Federal share of  
22 the cost of a project to be carried out under the program  
23 developed under subsection (a) the cost of design work  
24 carried out by the non-Federal interest for the project be-

1 fore the date of the partnership agreement for the  
2 project.”; and

3 (6) in subsection (e) (as redesignated by para-  
4 graph (4) of this subsection) by striking  
5 “\$5,000,000” and inserting “\$20,000,000”.

6 **SEC. 5106. ATLANTIC COAST OF NEW YORK.**

7 (a) DEVELOPMENT OF PROGRAM.—Section 404(a) of  
8 the Water Resources Development Act of 1992 (106 Stat.  
9 4863) is amended—

10 (1) by striking “processes” and inserting “and  
11 related environmental processes”;

12 (2) by inserting after “Atlantic Coast” the fol-  
13 lowing: “(and associated back bays)”;

14 (3) by inserting after “actions” the following: “,  
15 environmental restoration or conservation measures  
16 for coastal and back bays,”; and

17 (4) by adding at the end the following: “The  
18 plan for collecting data and monitoring information  
19 included in such annual report shall be coordinated  
20 with and agreed to by appropriate agencies of the  
21 State of New York.”.

22 (b) ANNUAL REPORTS.—Section 404(b) of such Act  
23 is amended—

1           (1) by striking “INITIAL PLAN.—Not later than  
2           12 months after the date of the enactment of this  
3           Act, the” and inserting “ANNUAL REPORTS.—The”;

4           (2) by striking “initial plan for data collection  
5           and monitoring” and inserting “annual report of  
6           data collection and monitoring activities”; and

7           (3) by striking the last sentence.

8           (c) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—Section  
9           404(c) of such Act (113 Stat. 341) is amended by striking  
10          “and an additional total of \$2,500,000 for fiscal years  
11          thereafter” and inserting “\$2,500,000 for fiscal years  
12          2000 through 2004, and \$7,500,000 for fiscal years begin-  
13          ning after September 30, 2004,”.

14          (d) TSUNAMI WARNING SYSTEM.—Section 404 of the  
15          Water Resources Development Act of 1992 (106 Stat.  
16          4863) is amended by adding at the end the following:

17          “(d) TSUNAMI WARNING SYSTEM.—There is author-  
18          ized to be appropriated \$800,000 for the Secretary to  
19          carry out a project for a tsunami warning system, Atlantic  
20          Coast of New York.”.

21          **SEC. 5107. COLLEGE POINT, NEW YORK CITY, NEW YORK.**

22          In carrying out section 312 of the Water Resources  
23          Development Act of 1990 (104 Stat. 4639), the Secretary  
24          shall give priority to work in College Point, New York  
25          City, New York.

1 **SEC. 5108. FLUSHING BAY AND CREEK, NEW YORK CITY,**  
2 **NEW YORK.**

3 The Secretary shall credit, in accordance with section  
4 221 of the Flood Control Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C. 1962d–  
5 5b), toward the non-Federal share of the cost of the  
6 project for ecosystem restoration, Flushing Bay and  
7 Creek, New York City, New York, the cost of design and  
8 construction work carried out by the non-Federal interest  
9 before the date of the partnership agreement for the  
10 project.

11 **SEC. 5109. HUDSON RIVER, NEW YORK.**

12 The Secretary may participate with the State of New  
13 York, New York City, and the Hudson River Park Trust  
14 in carrying out activities to restore critical marine habitat,  
15 improve safety, and protect and rehabilitate critical infra-  
16 structure with respect to the Hudson River. There is au-  
17 thorized to be appropriated \$10,000,000 to carry out this  
18 section.

19 **SEC. 5110. MOUNT MORRIS DAM, NEW YORK.**

20 As part of the operation and maintenance of the  
21 Mount Morris Dam, New York, the Secretary may make  
22 improvements to the access road for the dam to provide  
23 safe access to a Federal visitor's center.

1 **SEC. 5111. NORTH HEMPSTEAD AND GLEN COVE NORTH**  
2 **SHORE WATERSHED RESTORATION, NEW**  
3 **YORK.**

4 (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary may participate in  
5 the ecosystem restoration, navigation, flood damage reduc-  
6 tion, and recreation components of the North Hempstead  
7 and Glen Cove North Shore watershed restoration, New  
8 York.

9 (b) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There is  
10 authorized to be appropriated \$10,000,000 to carry out  
11 this section.

12 **SEC. 5112. ROCHESTER, NEW YORK.**

13 (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary may participate in  
14 the ecosystem restoration, navigation, flood damage reduc-  
15 tion, and recreation components of the Port of Rochester  
16 waterfront revitalization project, Rochester, New York.

17 (b) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There is  
18 authorized to be appropriated \$10,000,000 to carry out  
19 this section.

20 **SEC. 5113. NORTH CAROLINA.**

21 (a) ESTABLISHMENT OF PROGRAM.—The Secretary  
22 shall establish a program to provide environmental assist-  
23 ance to non-Federal interests in the State of North Caro-  
24 lina.

25 (b) FORM OF ASSISTANCE.—Assistance provided  
26 under this section may be in the form of design and con-

1 construction assistance for environmental infrastructure and  
2 resource protection and development projects in North  
3 Carolina, including projects for—

4 (1) wastewater treatment and related facilities;

5 (2) combined sewer overflow, water supply,  
6 storage, treatment, and related facilities;

7 (3) drinking water infrastructure including  
8 treatment and related facilities;

9 (4) environmental restoration;

10 (5) stormwater infrastructure; and

11 (6) surface water resource protection and devel-  
12 opment.

13 (c) OWNERSHIP REQUIREMENT.—The Secretary may  
14 provide assistance for a project under this section only if  
15 the project is publicly owned.

16 (d) PARTNERSHIP AGREEMENTS.—

17 (1) IN GENERAL.—Before providing assistance  
18 under this section, the Secretary shall enter into a  
19 partnership agreement with a non-Federal interest  
20 to provide for design and construction of the project  
21 to be carried out with the assistance.

22 (2) REQUIREMENTS.—Each partnership agree-  
23 ment for a project entered into under this subsection  
24 shall provide for the following:



## 506

1           (A) PLAN.—Development by the Secretary,  
2           in consultation with appropriate Federal and  
3           State officials, of a facilities development plan  
4           or resource protection plan, including appro-  
5           priate plans and specifications.

6           (B) LEGAL AND INSTITUTIONAL STRUC-  
7           TURES.—Establishment of such legal and insti-  
8           tutional structures as are necessary to ensure  
9           the effective long-term operation of the project  
10          by the non-Federal interest.

11         (3) COST SHARING.—

12           (A) IN GENERAL.—The Federal share of  
13          the cost of a project under this section—

14                 (i) shall be 75 percent; and

15                 (ii) may be provided in the form of  
16                 grants or reimbursements of project costs.

17           (B) CREDIT FOR WORK.—The Secretary  
18          shall credit, in accordance with section 221 of  
19          the Flood Control Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C.  
20          1962d–5b), toward the non-Federal share of  
21          the cost of the project, in an amount not to ex-  
22          ceed 6 percent of the total construction costs of  
23          the project, the cost of design work carried out  
24          by the non-Federal interest for the project be-

1 fore the date of the partnership agreement for  
2 the project.

3 (C) CREDIT FOR INTEREST.—In case of a  
4 delay in the funding of the non-Federal share  
5 of the costs of a project that is the subject of  
6 an agreement under this section, the non-Fed-  
7 eral interest shall receive credit for reasonable  
8 interest incurred in providing the non-Federal  
9 share.

10 (D) CREDIT FOR LAND, EASEMENTS, AND  
11 RIGHTS-OF-WAY.—The non-Federal interest  
12 shall receive credit for land, easements, rights-  
13 of-way, and relocations toward the non-Federal  
14 share of project costs (including all reasonable  
15 costs associated with obtaining permits nec-  
16 essary for the construction, operation, and  
17 maintenance of the project on publicly owned or  
18 controlled land).

19 (E) OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE.—The  
20 non-Federal share of operation and mainte-  
21 nance costs for projects constructed with assist-  
22 ance provided under this section shall be 100  
23 percent.

24 (e) APPLICABILITY OF OTHER FEDERAL AND STATE  
25 LAWS.—Nothing in this section shall be construed to

1 waive, limit, or otherwise affect the applicability of any  
2 provision of Federal or State law that would otherwise  
3 apply to a project to be carried out with assistance pro-  
4 vided under this section.

5 (f) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There is  
6 authorized to be appropriated to carry out this section  
7 \$13,000,000.

8 **SEC. 5114. STANLY COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA.**

9 Section 219(f)(64) of the Water Resources Develop-  
10 ment Act of 1992 (114 Stat. 2763A–221) is amended by  
11 inserting “water and” before “wastewater”.

12 **SEC. 5115. JOHN H. KERR DAM AND RESERVOIR, NORTH**  
13 **CAROLINA.**

14 The Secretary shall expedite the completion of the  
15 calculations necessary to negotiate and execute a revised,  
16 permanent contract for water supply storage at John H.  
17 Kerr Dam and Reservoir, North Carolina, among the Sec-  
18 retary and the Kerr Lake Regional Water System and the  
19 city of Henderson, North Carolina.

20 **SEC. 5116. CINCINNATI, OHIO.**

21 (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary may undertake the  
22 ecosystem restoration and recreation components of the  
23 Central Riverfront Park Master Plan, dated December  
24 1999, at a total cost of \$30,000,000.

1 (b) CREDIT.—The Secretary shall credit, in accord-  
2 ance with section 221 of the Flood Control Act of 1970  
3 (42 U.S.C. 1962d–5b), toward the non-Federal share of  
4 the cost of the project the cost of planning, design, and  
5 construction work carried out by the non-Federal interest  
6 for the project before the date of the partnership agree-  
7 ment for the project.

8 **SEC. 5117. OHIO RIVER BASIN ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGE-**  
9 **MENT.**

10 (a) DEFINITIONS.—In this section, the following defi-  
11 nitions apply:

12 (1) OHIO RIVER BASIN.—The term “Ohio River  
13 Basin” means the Ohio River, its backwaters, its  
14 side channels, and all tributaries (including their wa-  
15 tersheds) that drain into the Ohio River and encom-  
16 passing areas of any of the States of Indiana, Ohio,  
17 Kentucky, Pennsylvania, West Virginia, Illinois, New  
18 York, and Virginia.

19 (2) COMPACT.—The term “Compact” means  
20 the Ohio River Watershed Sanitation Commission  
21 flood and pollution control compact between the  
22 States of Indiana, West Virginia, Ohio, Kentucky,  
23 Pennsylvania, New York, Illinois, and Virginia, to  
24 which consent was given by Congress pursuant to

1 the Act of July 11, 1940 (54 Stat. 752) and that  
2 was chartered in 1948.

3 (b) ASSISTANCE.—The Secretary may provide plan-  
4 ning, design, and construction assistance to the Compact  
5 for the improvement of the quality of the environment in  
6 and along the Ohio River Basin.

7 (c) PRIORITIES.—In providing assistance under this  
8 section, the Secretary shall give priority to reducing or  
9 eliminating the presence of organic pollutants in the Ohio  
10 River Basin through the renovation and technological im-  
11 provement of the organic detection system monitoring sta-  
12 tions along the Ohio River in the States of Indiana, Ohio,  
13 West Virginia, Kentucky, and Pennsylvania.

14 (d) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There is  
15 authorized to be appropriated to carry out this section  
16 \$2,500,000.

17 **SEC. 5118. TOUSSAINT RIVER NAVIGATION PROJECT, CAR-**  
18 **ROLL TOWNSHIP, OHIO.**

19 (a) IN GENERAL.—The costs of operation and main-  
20 tenance activities for the Toussaint River Federal naviga-  
21 tion project, Carroll Township, Ohio, that are carried out  
22 in accordance with section 107 of the River and Harbor  
23 Act of 1960 (33 U.S.C. 577) and relate directly to the  
24 presence of unexploded ordnance, shall be carried out at  
25 Federal expense.

1 (b) CALCULATION OF TOTAL COSTS.—The Secretary  
2 shall not consider the additional costs of dredging due to  
3 the presence of unexploded ordnance when calculating the  
4 costs of the project referred to in subsection (a) for the  
5 purposes of section 107(b) of such Act (33 U.S.C. 577(b)).

6 **SEC. 5119. STATEWIDE COMPREHENSIVE WATER PLAN-**  
7 **NING, OKLAHOMA.**

8 (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall provide tech-  
9 nical assistance for the development of updates of the  
10 Oklahoma comprehensive water plan.

11 (b) TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE.—Technical assistance  
12 provided under subsection (a) may include—

13 (1) acquisition of hydrologic data, groundwater  
14 characterization, database development, and data  
15 distribution;

16 (2) expansion of surface water and groundwater  
17 monitoring networks;

18 (3) assessment of existing water resources, sur-  
19 face water storage, and groundwater storage poten-  
20 tial;

21 (4) numerical analysis and modeling necessary  
22 to provide an integrated understanding of water re-  
23 sources and water management options;

24 (5) participation in State planning forums and  
25 planning groups;

1           (6) coordination of Federal water management  
2           planning efforts; and

3           (7) technical review of data, models, planning  
4           scenarios, and water plans developed by the State.

5           (c) ALLOCATION.—The Secretary shall allocate, sub-  
6           ject to the availability of appropriations, \$6,500,000 to  
7           provide technical assistance and for the development of  
8           updates of the Oklahoma comprehensive water plan.

9           (d) COST SHARING REQUIREMENT.—The non-Fed-  
10          eral share of the total cost of any activity carried out  
11          under this section—

12                 (1) shall be 25 percent; and

13                 (2) may be in the form of cash or any in-kind  
14           services that the Secretary determines would con-  
15           tribute substantially toward the conduct and comple-  
16           tion of the activity assisted.

17   **SEC. 5120. FERN RIDGE DAM, OREGON.**

18          The Secretary may treat all work carried out for  
19          emergency corrective actions to repair the embankment  
20          dam at the Fern Ridge Lake project, Oregon, as a dam  
21          safety project. The cost of work carried out may be recov-  
22          ered in accordance with section 1203 of the Water Re-  
23          sources Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 467n; 100  
24          Stat. 4263).

1 **SEC. 5121. ALLEGHENY COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA.**

2 Section 219(f)(66) of the Water Resources Develop-  
3 ment Act of 1992 (114 Stat. 2763A-221) is amended—

4 (1) by striking “\$20,000,000” and inserting the  
5 following:

6 “(A) IN GENERAL.—\$20,000,000”;

7 (2) by adding at the end the following:

8 “(B) CREDIT.—The Secretary shall credit,  
9 in accordance with section 221 of the Flood  
10 Control Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C. 1962d-5b), to-  
11 ward the non-Federal share of the cost of the  
12 project the cost of work carried out by the non-  
13 Federal interest for the project before the date  
14 of the partnership agreement for the project.”;  
15 and

16 (3) by aligning the remainder of the text of  
17 subparagraph (A) (as designated by paragraph (1)  
18 of this section) with subparagraph (B) (as added by  
19 paragraph (2) of this section).

20 **SEC. 5122. CLINTON COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA.**

21 Section 219(f)(13) of the Water Resources Develop-  
22 ment Act of 1992 (113 Stat. 335) is amended by striking  
23 “\$1,000,000” and inserting “\$2,000,000”.

24 **SEC. 5123. KEHLY RUN DAMS, PENNSYLVANIA.**

25 Section 504(a)(2) of the Water Resources Develop-  
26 ment Act of 1999 (113 Stat. 338; 117 Stat. 1842) is



1 amended by striking “Dams” and inserting “Dams No.  
2 1–5”.

3 **SEC. 5124. LEHIGH RIVER, LEHIGH COUNTY, PENNSYL-**  
4 **VANIA.**

5 The Secretary shall use existing water quality data  
6 to model the effects of the Francis E. Walter Dam, at  
7 different water levels, to determine its impact on water  
8 and related resources in and along the Lehigh River in  
9 Lehigh County, Pennsylvania. There is authorized to be  
10 appropriated \$500,000 to carry out this section.

11 **SEC. 5125. NORTHEAST PENNSYLVANIA.**

12 Section 219(f)(11) of the Water Resources Develop-  
13 ment Act of 1992 (113 Stat. 335) is amended by striking  
14 “and Monroe” and inserting “Northumberland, Union,  
15 Snyder, Luzerne, and Monroe”.

16 **SEC. 5126. UPPER SUSQUEHANNA RIVER BASIN, PENNSYL-**  
17 **VANIA AND NEW YORK.**

18 (a) **STUDY AND STRATEGY DEVELOPMENT.**—Section  
19 567(a) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1996  
20 (110 Stat. 3787; 114 Stat. 2662) is amended—

21 (1) in the matter preceding paragraph (1) by  
22 inserting “and carry out” after “develop”; and

23 (2) in paragraph (2) by striking  
24 “\$10,000,000.” and inserting “\$20,000,000, of  
25 which the Secretary may utilize not more than

1       \$5,000,000 to design and construct feasible pilot  
2       projects during the development of the strategy to  
3       demonstrate alternative approaches for the strategy.  
4       The total cost for any single pilot project may not  
5       exceed \$500,000. The Secretary shall evaluate the  
6       results of the pilot projects and consider the results  
7       in the development of the strategy.”.

8       (b) PARTNERSHIP AGREEMENTS.—Section 567(c) of  
9       such Act (114 Stat. 2662) is amended—

10           (1) in the subsection heading by striking “Co-  
11       OPERATION” and inserting “PARTNERSHIP”; and

12           (2) in the first sentence—

13               (A) by inserting “and carrying out” after  
14       “developing”; and

15               (B) by striking “cooperation” and insert-  
16       ing “cost-sharing and partnership”.

17       (c) IMPLEMENTATION OF STRATEGY.—Section  
18       567(d) of such Act (114 Stat. 2663) is amended—

19           (1) by striking “The Secretary” and inserting  
20       the following:

21               “(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary”;

22           (2) in the second sentence of paragraph (1) (as  
23       so designated)—

24               (A) by striking “implement” and inserting  
25       “carry out”; and

1 (B) by striking “implementing” and insert-  
2 ing “carrying out”;

3 (3) by adding at the end the following:

4 “(2) PRIORITY PROJECT.—In carrying out  
5 projects to implement the strategy, the Secretary  
6 shall give priority to the project for ecosystem res-  
7 toration, Cooperstown, New York, described in the  
8 Upper Susquehanna River Basin—Cooperstown  
9 Area Ecosystem Restoration Feasibility Study, dated  
10 December 2004, prepared by the Corps of Engineers  
11 and the New York State department of environ-  
12 mental conservation.”; and

13 (4) by aligning the remainder of the text of  
14 paragraph (1) (as designated by paragraph (1) of  
15 this subsection) with paragraph (2) (as added by  
16 paragraph (3) of this subsection).

17 (d) CREDIT.—Section 567 of such Act (110 Stat.  
18 3787; 114 Stat. 2662) is amended by adding at the end  
19 the following:

20 “(e) CREDIT.—The Secretary shall credit toward the  
21 non-Federal share of the cost of a project under this sec-  
22 tion—

23 “(1) in accordance with section 221 of the  
24 Flood Control Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C. 1962d–5b),  
25 the cost of design and construction work carried out

1 by the non-Federal interest for the project before the  
2 date of the partnership agreement for the project;  
3 and

4 “(2) the cost of in-kind services and materials  
5 provided for the project by the non-Federal inter-  
6 est.”.

7 **SEC. 5127. CANO MARTIN PENA, SAN JUAN, PUERTO RICO.**

8 The Secretary shall review a report prepared by the  
9 non-Federal interest concerning flood protection and envi-  
10 ronmental restoration for Cano Martin Pena, San Juan,  
11 Puerto Rico, and, if the Secretary determines that the re-  
12 port meets the evaluation and design standards of the  
13 Corps of Engineers and that the project is feasible, the  
14 Secretary may carry out the project at a total cost of  
15 \$150,000,000.

16 **SEC. 5128. LAKES MARION AND MOULTRIE, SOUTH CARO-**  
17 **LINA.**

18 Section 219(f)(25) of the Water Resources Develop-  
19 ment Act of 1992 (113 Stat. 336; 114 Stat. 2763A-220;  
20 117 Stat. 1838) is amended by striking “\$35,000,000”  
21 and inserting “\$60,000,000”.

1 **SEC. 5129. CHEYENNE RIVER SIOUX TRIBE, LOWER BRULE**  
2 **SIOUX TRIBE, AND TERRESTRIAL WILDLIFE**  
3 **HABITAT RESTORATION, SOUTH DAKOTA.**

4 (a) DISBURSEMENT PROVISIONS OF STATE OF  
5 SOUTH DAKOTA AND CHEYENNE RIVER SIOUX TRIBE  
6 AND LOWER BRULE SIOUX TRIBE TERRESTRIAL WILD-  
7 LIFE HABITAT RESTORATION TRUST FUNDS.—Section  
8 602(a)(4) of the Water Resources Development Act of  
9 1999 (113 Stat. 386) is amended—

10 (1) in subparagraph (A)—

11 (A) in clause (i) by inserting “and the Sec-  
12 retary of the Treasury” after “Secretary”; and

13 (B) by striking clause (ii) and inserting the  
14 following:

15 “(ii) AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS.—On  
16 notification in accordance with clause (i),  
17 the Secretary of the Treasury shall make  
18 available to the State of South Dakota  
19 funds from the State of South Dakota Ter-  
20 restrial Wildlife Habitat Restoration Trust  
21 Fund established under section 603 to be  
22 used to carry out the plan for terrestrial  
23 wildlife habitat restoration submitted by  
24 the State of South Dakota after the State  
25 certifies to the Secretary of the Treasury  
26 that the funds to be disbursed will be used

1 in accordance with section 603(d)(3) and  
2 only after the Trust Fund is fully capital-  
3 ized.”; and

4 (2) in subparagraph (B) by striking clause (ii)  
5 and inserting the following:

6 “(ii) AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS.—On  
7 notification in accordance with clause (i),  
8 the Secretary of the Treasury shall make  
9 available to the Cheyenne River Sioux  
10 Tribe and the Lower Brule Sioux Tribe  
11 funds from the Cheyenne River Sioux Ter-  
12 restrial Wildlife Habitat Restoration Trust  
13 Fund and the Lower Brule Sioux Terres-  
14 trial Wildlife Habitat Restoration Trust  
15 Fund, respectively, established under sec-  
16 tion 604, to be used to carry out the plans  
17 for terrestrial wildlife habitat restoration  
18 submitted by the Cheyenne River Sioux  
19 Tribe and the Lower Brule Sioux Tribe,  
20 respectively, to after the respective tribe  
21 certifies to the Secretary of the Treasury  
22 that the funds to be disbursed will be used  
23 in accordance with section 604(d)(3) and  
24 only after the Trust Fund is fully capital-  
25 ized.”.

1 (b) INVESTMENT PROVISIONS OF THE STATE OF  
2 SOUTH DAKOTA TERRESTRIAL WILDLIFE RESTORATION  
3 TRUST FUND.—Section 603 of the Water Resources De-  
4 velopment Act of 1999 (113 Stat. 388; 114 Stat. 2664)  
5 is amended—

6 (1) by striking subsection (c) and inserting the  
7 following:

8 “(c) INVESTMENTS.—

9 “(1) ELIGIBLE OBLIGATIONS.—Notwith-  
10 standing any other provision of law, the Secretary of  
11 the Treasury shall invest the amounts deposited  
12 under subsection (b) and the interest earned on  
13 those amounts only in interest-bearing obligations of  
14 the United States issued directly to the Fund.

15 “(2) INVESTMENT REQUIREMENTS.—

16 “(A) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of the  
17 Treasury shall invest the amounts in the Fund  
18 in accordance with the requirements of this  
19 paragraph.

20 “(B) SEPARATE INVESTMENTS OF PRIN-  
21 CIPAL AND INTEREST.—

22 “(i) PRINCIPAL ACCOUNT.—The  
23 amounts deposited in the Fund under sub-  
24 section (b) shall be credited to an account  
25 within the Fund (referred to in this para-

1 graph as the ‘principal account’) and in-  
2 vested as provided in subparagraph (C).

3 “(ii) INTEREST ACCOUNT.—The inter-  
4 est earned from investing amounts in the  
5 principal account of the Fund shall be  
6 transferred to a separate account within  
7 the Fund (referred to in this paragraph as  
8 the ‘interest account’) and invested as pro-  
9 vided in subparagraph (D).

10 “(iii) CREDITING.—The interest  
11 earned from investing amounts in the in-  
12 terest account of the Fund shall be cred-  
13 ited to the interest account.

14 “(C) INVESTMENT OF PRINCIPAL AC-  
15 COUNT.—

16 “(i) INITIAL INVESTMENT.—Each  
17 amount deposited in the principal account  
18 of the Fund shall be invested initially in el-  
19 igible obligations having the shortest matu-  
20 rity then available until the date on which  
21 the amount is divided into 3 substantially  
22 equal portions and those portions are in-  
23 vested in eligible obligations that are iden-  
24 tical (except for transferability) to the  
25 next-issued publicly issued Treasury obli-



1                   gations having a 2-year maturity, a 5-year  
2                   maturity, and a 10-year maturity, respec-  
3                   tively.

4                   “(ii) SUBSEQUENT INVESTMENT.—As  
5                   each 2-year, 5-year, and 10-year eligible  
6                   obligation matures, the principal of the  
7                   maturing eligible obligation shall also be  
8                   invested initially in the shortest-maturity  
9                   eligible obligation then available until the  
10                  principal is reinvested substantially equally  
11                  in the eligible obligations that are identical  
12                  (except for transferability) to the next-  
13                  issued publicly issued Treasury obligations  
14                  having 2-year, 5-year, and 10-year matu-  
15                  rities.

16                  “(iii) DISCONTINUANCE OF ISSUANCE  
17                  OF OBLIGATIONS.—If the Department of  
18                  the Treasury discontinues issuing to the  
19                  public obligations having 2-year, 5-year, or  
20                  10-year maturities, the principal of any  
21                  maturing eligible obligation shall be rein-  
22                  vested substantially equally in eligible obli-  
23                  gations that are identical (except for trans-  
24                  ferability) to the next-issued publicly

1 issued Treasury obligations of the matu-  
2 rities longer than 1 year then available.

3 “(D) INVESTMENT OF INTEREST AC-  
4 COUNT.—

5 “(i) BEFORE FULL CAPITALIZA-  
6 TION.—Until the date on which the Fund  
7 is fully capitalized, amounts in the interest  
8 account of the Fund shall be invested in el-  
9 igible obligations that are identical (except  
10 for transferability) to publicly issued  
11 Treasury obligations that have maturities  
12 that coincide, to the maximum extent prac-  
13 ticable, with the date on which the Fund  
14 is expected to be fully capitalized.

15 “(ii) AFTER FULL CAPITALIZATION.—  
16 On and after the date on which the Fund  
17 is fully capitalized, amounts in the interest  
18 account of the Fund shall be invested and  
19 reinvested in eligible obligations having the  
20 shortest maturity then available until the  
21 amounts are withdrawn and transferred to  
22 fund the activities authorized under sub-  
23 section (d)(3).

24 “(E) PAR PURCHASE PRICE.—The price to  
25 be paid for eligible obligations purchased as in-

1 vestments of the principal account shall not ex-  
2 ceed the par value of the obligations so that the  
3 amount of the principal account shall be pre-  
4 served in perpetuity.

5 “(F) HIGHEST YIELD.—Among eligible ob-  
6 ligations having the same maturity and pur-  
7 chase price, the obligation to be purchased shall  
8 be the obligation having the highest yield.

9 “(G) HOLDING TO MATURITY.—Eligible  
10 obligations purchased shall generally be held to  
11 their maturities.

12 “(3) ANNUAL REVIEW OF INVESTMENT ACTIVI-  
13 TIES.—Not less frequently than once each calendar  
14 year, the Secretary of the Treasury shall review with  
15 the State of South Dakota the results of the invest-  
16 ment activities and financial status of the Fund dur-  
17 ing the preceding 12-month period.

18 “(4) AUDITS.—

19 “(A) IN GENERAL.—The activities of the  
20 State of South Dakota (referred to in this sub-  
21 section as the ‘State’) in carrying out the plan  
22 of the State for terrestrial wildlife habitat res-  
23 toration under section 602(a) shall be audited  
24 as part of the annual audit that the State is re-  
25 quired to prepare under the Office of Manage-

1           ment and Budget Circular A-133 (or a suc-  
2           cessor circulation).

3           “(B) DETERMINATION BY AUDITORS.—An  
4           auditor that conducts an audit under subpara-  
5           graph (A) shall—

6                   “(i) determine whether funds received  
7                   by the State under this section during the  
8                   period covered by the audit were used to  
9                   carry out the plan of the State in accord-  
10                  ance with this section; and

11                   “(ii) include the determination under  
12                   clause (i) in the written findings of the  
13                   audit.

14           “(5) MODIFICATION OF INVESTMENT REQUIRE-  
15           MENTS.—

16                   “(A) IN GENERAL.—If the Secretary of the  
17                   Treasury determines that meeting the require-  
18                   ments under paragraph (2) with respect to the  
19                   investment of a Fund is not practicable, or  
20                   would result in adverse consequences for the  
21                   Fund, the Secretary shall modify the require-  
22                   ments, as the Secretary determines to be nec-  
23                   essary.

24                   “(B) CONSULTATION.—Before modifying a  
25                   requirement under subparagraph (A), the Sec-

1           retary of the Treasury shall consult with the  
2           State regarding the proposed modification.”;

3           (2) in subsection (d)(2) by inserting “of the  
4           Treasury” after “Secretary”; and

5           (3) by striking subsection (f) and inserting the  
6           following:

7           “(f) ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES.—There are au-  
8           thorized to be appropriated to the Secretary of the Treas-  
9           ury to pay expenses associated with investing the Fund  
10          and auditing the uses of amounts withdrawn from the  
11          Fund—

12           “(1) \$500,000 for each of fiscal years 2006 and  
13          2007; and

14           “(2) such sums as are necessary for each subse-  
15          quent fiscal year.”.

16          (c) INVESTMENT PROVISIONS FOR CHEYENNE RIVER  
17          SIOUX TRIBE AND LOWER BRULE SIOUX TRIBE TRUST  
18          FUNDS.—Section 604 of the Water Resources Develop-  
19          ment Act of 1999 (113 Stat. 389; 114 Stat. 2665) is  
20          amended—

21           (1) by striking subsection (c) and inserting the  
22          following:

23           “(c) INVESTMENTS.—

24           “(1) ELIGIBLE OBLIGATIONS.—Notwith-  
25          standing any other provision of law, the Secretary of

1 the Treasury shall invest the amounts deposited  
2 under subsection (b) and the interest earned on  
3 those amounts only in interest-bearing obligations of  
4 the United States issued directly to the Funds.

5 “(2) INVESTMENT REQUIREMENTS.—

6 “(A) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of the  
7 Treasury shall invest the amounts in each of  
8 the Funds in accordance with the requirements  
9 of this paragraph.

10 “(B) SEPARATE INVESTMENTS OF PRIN-  
11 CIPAL AND INTEREST.—

12 “(i) PRINCIPAL ACCOUNT.—The  
13 amounts deposited in each Fund under  
14 subsection (b) shall be credited to an ac-  
15 count within the Fund (referred to in this  
16 paragraph as the ‘principal account’) and  
17 invested as provided in subparagraph (C).

18 “(ii) INTEREST ACCOUNT.—The inter-  
19 est earned from investing amounts in the  
20 principal account of each Fund shall be  
21 transferred to a separate account within  
22 the Fund (referred to in this paragraph as  
23 the ‘interest account’) and invested as pro-  
24 vided in subparagraph (D).

1                   “(iii) CREDITING.—The interest  
2                   earned from investing amounts in the in-  
3                   terest account of each Fund shall be cred-  
4                   ited to the interest account.

5                   “(C) INVESTMENT OF PRINCIPAL AC-  
6                   COUNT.—

7                   “(i) INITIAL INVESTMENT.—Each  
8                   amount deposited in the principal account  
9                   of each Fund shall be invested initially in  
10                  eligible obligations having the shortest ma-  
11                  turity then available until the date on  
12                  which the amount is divided into 3 sub-  
13                  stantially equal portions and those portions  
14                  are invested in eligible obligations that are  
15                  identical (except for transferability) to the  
16                  next-issued publicly issued Treasury obli-  
17                  gations having a 2-year maturity, a 5-year  
18                  maturity, and a 10-year maturity, respec-  
19                  tively.

20                  “(ii) SUBSEQUENT INVESTMENT.—As  
21                  each 2-year, 5-year, and 10-year eligible  
22                  obligation matures, the principal of the  
23                  maturing eligible obligation shall also be  
24                  invested initially in the shortest-maturity  
25                  eligible obligation then available until the

1 principal is reinvested substantially equally  
2 in the eligible obligations that are identical  
3 (except for transferability) to the next-  
4 issued publicly issued Treasury obligations  
5 having 2-year, 5-year, and 10-year matu-  
6 rities.

7 “(iii) DISCONTINUATION OF ISSUANCE  
8 OF OBLIGATIONS.—If the Department of  
9 the Treasury discontinues issuing to the  
10 public obligations having 2-year, 5-year, or  
11 10-year maturities, the principal of any  
12 maturing eligible obligation shall be rein-  
13 vested substantially equally in eligible obli-  
14 gations that are identical (except for trans-  
15 ferability) to the next-issued publicly  
16 issued Treasury obligations of the matu-  
17 rities longer than 1 year then available.

18 “(D) INVESTMENT OF INTEREST AC-  
19 COUNT.—

20 “(i) BEFORE FULL CAPITALIZA-  
21 TION.—Until the date on which each Fund  
22 is fully capitalized, amounts in the interest  
23 account of the Fund shall be invested in el-  
24 igible obligations that are identical (except  
25 for transferability) to publicly issued



1 Treasury obligations that have maturities  
2 that coincide, to the maximum extent prac-  
3 ticable, with the date on which the Fund  
4 is expected to be fully capitalized.

5 “(ii) AFTER FULL CAPITALIZATION.—  
6 On and after the date on which each Fund  
7 is fully capitalized, amounts in the interest  
8 account of the Fund shall be invested and  
9 reinvested in eligible obligations having the  
10 shortest maturity then available until the  
11 amounts are withdrawn and transferred to  
12 fund the activities authorized under sub-  
13 section (d)(3).

14 “(E) PAR PURCHASE PRICE.—The price to  
15 be paid for eligible obligations purchased as in-  
16 vestments of the principal account shall not ex-  
17 ceed the par value of the obligations so that the  
18 amount of the principal account shall be pre-  
19 served in perpetuity.

20 “(F) HIGHEST YIELD.—Among eligible ob-  
21 ligations having the same maturity and pur-  
22 chase price, the obligation to be purchased shall  
23 be the obligation having the highest yield.

1           “(G) HOLDING TO MATURITY.—Eligible  
2           obligations purchased shall generally be held to  
3           their maturities.

4           “(3) ANNUAL REVIEW OF INVESTMENT ACTIVI-  
5           TIES.—Not less frequently than once each calendar  
6           year, the Secretary of the Treasury shall review with  
7           the Cheyenne River Sioux Tribe and the Lower  
8           Brule Sioux Tribe (referred to in this subsection as  
9           the ‘Tribes’) the results of the investment activities  
10          and financial status of the Funds during the pre-  
11          ceding 12-month period.

12          “(4) AUDITS.—

13                 “(A) IN GENERAL.—The activities of the  
14                 Tribes in carrying out the plans of the Tribes  
15                 for terrestrial wildlife habitat restoration under  
16                 section 602(a) shall be audited as part of the  
17                 annual audit that the Tribes are required to  
18                 prepare under the Office of Management and  
19                 Budget Circular A-133 (or a successor circula-  
20                 tion).

21                 “(B) DETERMINATION BY AUDITORS.—An  
22                 auditor that conducts an audit under subpara-  
23                 graph (A) shall—

24                         “(i) determine whether funds received  
25                         by the Tribes under this section during the

1 period covered by the audit were used to  
2 carry out the plan of the appropriate Tribe  
3 in accordance with this section; and

4 “(ii) include the determination under  
5 clause (i) in the written findings of the  
6 audit.

7 “(5) MODIFICATION OF INVESTMENT REQUIRE-  
8 MENTS.—

9 “(A) IN GENERAL.—If the Secretary of the  
10 Treasury determines that meeting the require-  
11 ments under paragraph (2) with respect to the  
12 investment of a Fund is not practicable, or  
13 would result in adverse consequences for the  
14 Fund, the Secretary shall modify the require-  
15 ments, as the Secretary determines to be nec-  
16 essary.

17 “(B) CONSULTATION.—Before modifying a  
18 requirement under subparagraph (A), the Sec-  
19 retary of the Treasury shall consult with the  
20 Tribes regarding the proposed modification.”;  
21 and

22 (2) by striking subsection (f) and inserting the  
23 following:

24 “(f) ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES.—There are au-  
25 thorized to be appropriated to the Secretary of the Treas-

1 ury to pay expenses associated with investing the Funds  
2 and auditing the uses of amounts withdrawn from the  
3 Funds—

4           “(1) \$500,000 for each of fiscal years 2006 and  
5           2007; and

6           “(2) such sums as are necessary for each subse-  
7           quent fiscal year.”.

8 **SEC. 5130. EAST TENNESSEE.**

9           (a) **EAST TENNESSEE DEFINED.**—In this section,  
10 the term “East Tennessee” means the counties of Blount,  
11 Knox, Loudon, McMinn, Monroe, and Sevier, Tennessee.

12           (b) **ESTABLISHMENT OF PROGRAM.**—The Secretary  
13 may establish a program to provide environmental assist-  
14 ance to non-Federal interests in East Tennessee.

15           (c) **FORM OF ASSISTANCE.**—Assistance provided  
16 under this section may be in the form of design and con-  
17 struction assistance for water-related environmental infra-  
18 structure and resource protection and development  
19 projects in East Tennessee, including projects for waste-  
20 water treatment and related facilities, water supply and  
21 related facilities, environmental restoration, and surface  
22 water resource protection and development.

23           (d) **OWNERSHIP REQUIREMENT.**—The Secretary may  
24 provide assistance for a project under this section only if  
25 the project is publicly owned.

1 (e) PARTNERSHIP AGREEMENTS.—

2 (1) IN GENERAL.—Before providing assistance  
3 under this section, the Secretary shall enter into a  
4 partnership agreement with a non-Federal interest  
5 to provide for design and construction of the project  
6 to be carried out with the assistance.

7 (2) REQUIREMENTS.—Each partnership agree-  
8 ment for a project entered into under this subsection  
9 shall provide for the following:

10 (A) PLAN.—Development by the Secretary,  
11 in consultation with appropriate Federal and  
12 State officials, of a facilities or resource protec-  
13 tion and development plan, including appro-  
14 priate engineering plans and specifications.

15 (B) LEGAL AND INSTITUTIONAL STRUC-  
16 TURES.—Establishment of such legal and insti-  
17 tutional structures as are necessary to ensure  
18 the effective long-term operation of the project  
19 by the non-Federal interest.

20 (3) COST SHARING.—

21 (A) IN GENERAL.—The Federal share of  
22 the cost of a project under this section—

23 (i) shall be 75 percent; and

24 (ii) may be provided in the form of  
25 grants or reimbursements of project costs.

1           (B) CREDIT FOR WORK.—The Secretary  
2 shall credit, in accordance with section 221 of  
3 the Flood Control Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C.  
4 1962d–5b), toward the non-Federal share of  
5 the cost of the project the cost of design work  
6 carried out by the non-Federal interest for the  
7 project before the date of the partnership agree-  
8 ment for the project.

9           (C) CREDIT FOR INTEREST.—In case of a  
10 delay in the funding of the non-Federal share  
11 of a project that is the subject of an agreement  
12 under this section, the non-Federal interest  
13 shall receive credit for reasonable interest in-  
14 curred in providing the non-Federal share of  
15 the project cost.

16           (D) CREDIT FOR LAND, EASEMENTS, AND  
17 RIGHTS-OF-WAY.—The non-Federal interest  
18 shall receive credit for land, easements, rights-  
19 of-way, and relocations toward the non-Federal  
20 share of project cost (including all reasonable  
21 costs associated with obtaining permits nec-  
22 essary for the construction, operation, and  
23 maintenance of the project on publicly owned or  
24 controlled land), but the credit may not exceed  
25 25 percent of total project costs.

1           (E) OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE.—The  
2           non-Federal share of operation and mainte-  
3           nance costs for projects constructed with assist-  
4           ance provided under this section shall be 100  
5           percent.

6           (f) APPLICABILITY OF OTHER FEDERAL AND STATE  
7           LAWS.—Nothing in this section shall be construed to  
8           waive, limit, or otherwise affect the applicability of any  
9           provision of Federal or State law that would otherwise  
10          apply to a project to be carried out with assistance pro-  
11          vided under this section.

12          (g) NONPROFIT ENTITIES.—In accordance with sec-  
13          tion 221(b) of the Flood Control Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C.  
14          1962d–5b(b)), for any project carried out under this sec-  
15          tion, a non-Federal interest may include a nonprofit entity  
16          with the consent of the affected local government.

17          (h) CORPS OF ENGINEERS EXPENSES.—Not more  
18          than 10 percent of the amounts appropriated to carry out  
19          this section may be used by the Corps of Engineers district  
20          offices to administer projects under this section at Federal  
21          expense.

22          (i) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There is  
23          authorized to be appropriated to carry out this section  
24          \$40,000,000.

1 **SEC. 5131. FRITZ LANDING, TENNESSEE.**

2 The Secretary shall—

3 (1) conduct a study of the Fritz Landing Agri-  
4 cultural Spur Levee, Tennessee, to determine the ex-  
5 tent of levee modifications that would be required to  
6 make the levee and associated drainage structures  
7 consistent with Federal standards;

8 (2) design and construct such modifications;  
9 and

10 (3) after completion of such modifications, in-  
11 corporate the levee into the project for flood control,  
12 Mississippi River and Tributaries, authorized by the  
13 Act entitled “An Act for the control of floods on the  
14 Mississippi River and its tributaries, and for other  
15 purposes”, approved May 15, 1928 (45 Stat. 534–  
16 539).

17 **SEC. 5132. J. PERCY PRIEST DAM AND RESERVOIR, TEN-**  
18 **NESSEE.**

19 The Secretary shall plan, design, and construct a trail  
20 system at the J. Percy Priest Dam and Reservoir, Ten-  
21 nessee, authorized by section 4 of the Act entitled “An  
22 Act authorizing the construction of certain public works  
23 on rivers and harbors for flood control, and for other pur-  
24 poses”, approved June 28, 1938 (52 Stat. 1217), and ad-  
25 jacent public property, including design and construction  
26 of support facilities. In carrying out such improvements,



1 the Secretary is authorized to use funds made available  
2 by the State of Tennessee from any Federal or State  
3 source, or both.

4 **SEC. 5133. NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE.**

5 (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary may participate in  
6 the ecosystem restoration, recreation, navigation, and  
7 flood damage reduction components of the Nashville  
8 Riverfront Concept Plan, dated February 2007.

9 (b) COORDINATION.—In carrying out this section, the  
10 Secretary shall coordinate with appropriate representa-  
11 tives in the vicinity of Nashville, Tennessee, including the  
12 Nashville Parks and Recreation Department, the city of  
13 Nashville, and Davidson County.

14 (c) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There is  
15 authorized to be appropriated \$10,000,000 to carry out  
16 this section.

17 **SEC. 5134. NONCONNAH WEIR, MEMPHIS, TENNESSEE.**

18 The project for flood control, Nonconnah Creek, Ten-  
19 nessee and Mississippi, authorized by section 401 of the  
20 Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (100 Stat.  
21 4124) and modified by the section 334 of the Water Re-  
22 sources Development Act of 2000 (114 Stat. 2611), is  
23 modified to authorize the Secretary—

1           (1) to reconstruct, at Federal expense, the weir  
2           originally constructed in the vicinity of the mouth of  
3           Nonconnah Creek; and

4           (2) to make repairs and maintain the weir in  
5           the future so that the weir functions properly.

6 **SEC. 5135. TENNESSEE RIVER PARTNERSHIP.**

7           (a) IN GENERAL.—As part of the operation and  
8           maintenance of the project for navigation, Tennessee  
9           River, Tennessee, Alabama, Mississippi, and Kentucky,  
10          authorized by the first section of the River and Harbor  
11          Act of July 3, 1930 (46 Stat. 927), the Secretary may  
12          enter into a partnership with a nonprofit entity to remove  
13          debris from the Tennessee River in the vicinity of Knox-  
14          ville, Tennessee, by providing a vessel to such entity, at  
15          Federal expense, for such debris removal purposes.

16          (b) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There is  
17          authorized to be appropriated to carry out this section  
18          \$500,000.

19 **SEC. 5136. TOWN CREEK, LENOIR CITY, TENNESSEE.**

20          The Secretary shall design and construct the project  
21          for flood damage reduction designated as Alternative 4 in  
22          the Town Creek, Lenoir City, Loudon County, Tennessee,  
23          feasibility report of the Nashville district engineer, dated  
24          November 2000, under the authority of section 205 of the  
25          Flood Control Act of 1948 (33 U.S.C. 701s), notwith-

1 standing section 1 of the Flood Control Act of June 22,  
2 1936 (33 U.S.C. 701a; 49 Stat. 1570). The non-Federal  
3 share of the cost of the project shall be subject to section  
4 103(m) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986  
5 (33 U.S.C. 2213(m)).

6 **SEC. 5137. UPPER MISSISSIPPI EMBAYMENT, TENNESSEE,**  
7 **ARKANSAS, AND MISSISSIPPI.**

8 The Secretary may participate with non-Federal and  
9 nonprofit entities to address issues concerning managing  
10 groundwater as a sustainable resource through the Upper  
11 Mississippi Embayment, Tennessee, Arkansas, and Mis-  
12 sissippi, and to coordinate the protection of groundwater  
13 supply and groundwater quality of the Embayment with  
14 local surface water protection programs. There is author-  
15 ized to be appropriated \$5,000,000 to carry out this sec-  
16 tion.

17 **SEC. 5138. TEXAS.**

18 (a) **ESTABLISHMENT OF PROGRAM.**—The Secretary  
19 shall establish a program to provide environmental assist-  
20 ance to non-Federal interests in the State of Texas.

21 (b) **FORM OF ASSISTANCE.**—Assistance provided  
22 under this section may be in the form of planning, design,  
23 and construction assistance for water-related environ-  
24 mental infrastructure and resource protection and devel-  
25 opment projects in Texas, including projects for water

1 supply, storage, treatment, and related facilities, water  
2 quality protection, wastewater treatment, and related fa-  
3 cilities, environmental restoration, and surface water re-  
4 source protection, and development, as identified by the  
5 Texas Water Development Board.

6 (c) OWNERSHIP REQUIREMENT.—The Secretary may  
7 provide assistance for a project under this section only if  
8 the project is publicly owned.

9 (d) PARTNERSHIP AGREEMENTS.—Before providing  
10 assistance under this section, the Secretary shall enter  
11 into a partnership agreement with a non-Federal interest.

12 (e) COST SHARING.—

13 (1) IN GENERAL.—The Federal share of the  
14 cost of the project under this section—

15 (A) shall be 75 percent; and

16 (B) may be provided in the form of grants  
17 or reimbursements of project costs.

18 (2) IN-KIND SERVICES.—The non-Federal share  
19 may be provided in the form of materials and in-  
20 kind services, including planning, design, construc-  
21 tion, and management services, as the Secretary de-  
22 termines to be compatible with, and necessary for,  
23 the project.

24 (3) CREDIT FOR WORK.—The Secretary shall  
25 credit, in accordance with section 221 of the Flood

1 Control Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C. 1962d-5b), toward  
2 the non-Federal share of the cost of the project the  
3 cost of design work carried out by the non-Federal  
4 interest for the project before the date of the part-  
5 nership agreement for the project.

6 (4) CREDIT FOR LAND, EASEMENTS, AND  
7 RIGHTS-OF-WAY.—The non-Federal interest shall re-  
8 ceive credit for land, easements, rights-of-way, and  
9 relocations toward the non-Federal share of project  
10 costs.

11 (5) OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE.—The non-  
12 Federal share of operation and maintenance costs  
13 for projects constructed with assistance provided  
14 under this section shall be 100 percent.

15 (f) APPLICABILITY OF OTHER FEDERAL AND STATE  
16 LAWS.—Nothing in this section shall be construed to  
17 waive, limit, or otherwise affect the applicability of any  
18 provision of Federal or State law that would otherwise  
19 apply to a project to be carried out with assistance pro-  
20 vided under this section.

21 (g) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There is  
22 authorized to be appropriated to carry out this section  
23 \$40,000,000.

1 **SEC. 5139. BOSQUE RIVER WATERSHED, TEXAS.**

2 (a) **COMPREHENSIVE PLAN.**—The Secretary, in con-  
3 sultation with appropriate Federal, State, and local enti-  
4 ties, shall develop, as expeditiously as practicable, a com-  
5 prehensive plan for development of new technologies and  
6 innovative approaches for restoring, preserving, and pro-  
7 tecting the Bosque River watershed within Bosque, Ham-  
8 ilton, McLennan, and Erath Counties, Texas. The Sec-  
9 retary, in cooperation with the Secretary of Agriculture,  
10 may carry out activities identified in the comprehensive  
11 plan to demonstrate practicable alternatives for stabiliza-  
12 tion and enhancement of land and water resources in the  
13 basin.

14 (b) **SERVICES OF NONPROFIT INSTITUTIONS AND**  
15 **OTHER ENTITIES.**—In carrying out subsection (a), the  
16 Secretary may utilize, through contracts or other means,  
17 the services of nonprofit institutions and such other enti-  
18 ties as the Secretary considers appropriate.

19 (c) **NON-FEDERAL SHARE.**—

20 (1) **CREDIT.**—The Secretary shall credit, in ac-  
21 cordance with section 221 of the Flood Control Act  
22 of 1970 (42 U.S.C. 1962d–5b), toward the non-Fed-  
23 eral share of the cost of the project the cost of plan-  
24 ning, design, and construction work carried out by  
25 the non-Federal interest for the project before the  
26 date of the partnership agreement for the project.

1           (2) DEVELOPMENT OF COMPREHENSIVE  
2 PLAN.—The non-Federal share of the cost of devel-  
3 opment of the plan under subsection (a) shall be 25  
4 percent.

5           (3) OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE.—The non-  
6 Federal share of the cost of operation and mainte-  
7 nance for measures constructed with assistance pro-  
8 vided under this section shall be 100 percent.

9           (d) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There is  
10 authorized to be appropriated to carry out this section  
11 \$10,000,000.

12 **SEC. 5140. DALLAS COUNTY REGION, TEXAS.**

13           (a) DALLAS COUNTY REGION DEFINED.—In this sec-  
14 tion, the term “Dallas County region” means the city of  
15 Dallas, and the municipalities of DeSoto, Duncanville,  
16 Lancaster, Wilmer, Hutchins, Balch Springs, Cedar Hill,  
17 Glenn Heights, and Ferris, Texas.

18           (b) ESTABLISHMENT OF PROGRAM.—The Secretary  
19 may establish a program to provide environmental assist-  
20 ance to non-Federal interests in the Dallas County region.

21           (c) FORM OF ASSISTANCE.—Assistance provided  
22 under this section may be in the form of design and con-  
23 struction assistance for water-related environmental infra-  
24 structure and resource protection and development  
25 projects in the Dallas County region, including projects

1 for wastewater treatment and related facilities, water sup-  
2 ply and related facilities, environmental restoration, and  
3 surface water resource protection and development.

4 (d) OWNERSHIP REQUIREMENT.—The Secretary may  
5 provide assistance for a project under this section only if  
6 the project is publicly owned.

7 (e) PARTNERSHIP AGREEMENTS.—

8 (1) IN GENERAL.—Before providing assistance  
9 under this section, the Secretary shall enter into a  
10 partnership agreement with a non-Federal interest  
11 to provide for design and construction of the project  
12 to be carried out with the assistance.

13 (2) REQUIREMENTS.—Each partnership agree-  
14 ment for a project entered into under this subsection  
15 shall provide for the following:

16 (A) PLAN.—Development by the Secretary,  
17 in consultation with appropriate Federal and  
18 State officials, of a facilities or resource protec-  
19 tion and development plan, including appro-  
20 priate engineering plans and specifications.

21 (B) LEGAL AND INSTITUTIONAL STRUC-  
22 TURES.—Establishment of such legal and insti-  
23 tutional structures as are necessary to ensure  
24 the effective long-term operation of the project  
25 by the non-Federal interest.



1 (3) COST SHARING.—

2 (A) IN GENERAL.—The Federal share of  
3 the cost of a project under this section—

4 (i) shall be 75 percent; and

5 (ii) may be provided in the form of  
6 grants or reimbursements of project costs.

7 (B) CREDIT FOR WORK.—The Secretary  
8 shall credit, in accordance with section 221 of  
9 the Flood Control Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C.  
10 1962d–5b), toward the non-Federal share of  
11 the cost of the project the cost design work car-  
12 ried out by the non-Federal interest for the  
13 project before the date of the partnership agree-  
14 ment for the project.

15 (C) CREDIT FOR INTEREST.—In case of a  
16 delay in the funding of the non-Federal share  
17 of a project that is the subject of an agreement  
18 under this section, the non-Federal interest  
19 shall receive credit for reasonable interest in-  
20 curred in providing the non-Federal share.

21 (D) CREDIT FOR LAND, EASEMENTS, AND  
22 RIGHTS-OF-WAY.—The non-Federal interest  
23 shall receive credit for land, easements, rights-  
24 of-way, and relocations toward the non-Federal  
25 share of project costs (including all reasonable

1 costs associated with obtaining permits nec-  
2 essary for the construction, operation, and  
3 maintenance of the project on publicly owned or  
4 controlled land), but the credit may not exceed  
5 25 percent of total project costs.

6 (E) OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE.—The  
7 non-Federal share of operation and mainte-  
8 nance costs for projects constructed with assist-  
9 ance provided under this section shall be 100  
10 percent.

11 (f) APPLICABILITY OF OTHER FEDERAL AND STATE  
12 LAWS.—Nothing in this section shall be construed to  
13 waive, limit, or otherwise affect the applicability of any  
14 provision of Federal or State law that would otherwise  
15 apply to a project to be carried out with assistance pro-  
16 vided under this section.

17 (g) NONPROFIT ENTITIES.—In accordance with sec-  
18 tion 221(b) of the Flood Control Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C.  
19 1962d–5b(b)), for any project carried out under this sec-  
20 tion, a non-Federal interest may include a nonprofit entity  
21 with the consent of the affected local government.

22 (h) CORPS OF ENGINEERS EXPENSES.—Not more  
23 than 10 percent of the amounts appropriated to carry out  
24 this section may be used by the Corps of Engineers district

1 offices to administer projects under this section at Federal  
2 expense.

3 (i) **AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.**—There is  
4 authorized to be appropriated to carry out this section  
5 \$40,000,000.

6 **SEC. 5141. DALLAS FLOODWAY, DALLAS, TEXAS.**

7 (a) **IN GENERAL.**—The project for flood control,  
8 Trinity River and tributaries, Texas, authorized by section  
9 2 of the Act entitled, “An Act authorizing the construc-  
10 tion, repair, and preservation of certain public works on  
11 rivers and harbors, and for other purposes”, approved  
12 March 2, 1945 (59 Stat. 18), is modified to—

13 (1) direct the Secretary to review the Balanced  
14 Vision Plan for the Trinity River Corridor, Dallas,  
15 Texas, dated December 2003 and amended in March  
16 2004, prepared by the non-Federal interest for the  
17 project;

18 (2) direct the Secretary to review the Interior  
19 Levee Drainage Study Phase-I report, Dallas,  
20 Texas, dated September 2006, prepared by the non-  
21 Federal interest; and

22 (3) if the Secretary determines that the project  
23 is technically sound and environmentally acceptable,  
24 authorize the Secretary to construct the project at a  
25 total cost of \$459,000,000, with an estimated Fed-

1 eral cost of \$298,000,000 and an estimated non-  
2 Federal cost of \$161,000,000.

3 (b) CREDIT.—

4 (1) IN-KIND CONTRIBUTIONS.—The Secretary  
5 shall credit, in accordance with section 221 of the  
6 Flood Control Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C. 1962d–5b),  
7 toward the non-Federal share of the cost of the  
8 project the cost of planning, design, and construc-  
9 tion work carried out by the non-Federal interest for  
10 the project before the date of the partnership agree-  
11 ment for the project.

12 (2) CASH CONTRIBUTIONS.—The Secretary  
13 shall accept funds provided by the non-Federal inter-  
14 est for use in carrying out planning, engineering,  
15 and design for the project. The Federal share of  
16 such planning, engineering, and design carried out  
17 with non-Federal contributions shall be credited  
18 against the non-Federal share of the cost of the  
19 project.

20 **SEC. 5142. HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS.**

21 Section 575(b) of the Water Resources Development  
22 Act of 1996 (110 Stat. 3789; 113 Stat. 311) is amend-  
23 ed—

24 (1) in paragraph (3) by striking “and” at the  
25 end;

1           (2) in paragraph (4) by striking the period at  
2           the end and inserting “; and”; and

3           (3) by adding the following:

4           “(5) the project for flood control, Upper White  
5           Oak Bayou, Texas, authorized by section 401(a) of  
6           the Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (100  
7           Stat. 4125).”.

8 **SEC. 5143. JOHNSON CREEK, ARLINGTON, TEXAS.**

9           (a) **IN GENERAL.**—The project for flood damage re-  
10          duction, environmental restoration, and recreation, John-  
11          son Creek, Arlington, Texas, authorized by section  
12          101(b)(14) of the Water Resources Development Act of  
13          1999 (113 Stat 280), is modified to authorize the Sec-  
14          retary to construct the project substantially in accordance  
15          with the report entitled “Johnson Creek: A Vision of Con-  
16          servation”, dated March 30, 2006, at a total cost of  
17          \$80,000,000, with an estimated Federal cost of  
18          \$52,000,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost of  
19          \$28,000,000, if the Secretary determines that the project  
20          is feasible.

21          (b) **NON-FEDERAL SHARE.**—

22                 (1) **IN GENERAL.**—The non-Federal share of  
23          the cost of the project may be provided in cash or  
24          in the form of in-kind services or materials.

1           (2) CREDIT.—The Secretary shall credit, in ac-  
2           cordance with section 221 of the Flood Control Act  
3           of 1970 (42 U.S.C. 1962d–5b), toward the non-Fed-  
4           eral share of the cost of the project the cost of plan-  
5           ning, design, and construction work carried out by  
6           the non-Federal interest for the project before the  
7           date of the partnership agreement for the project.

8           (c) SPECIAL RULE.—In evaluating and implementing  
9           the project, the Secretary shall allow the non-Federal in-  
10          terest to participate in the financing of the project in ac-  
11          cordance with section 903(c) of the Water Resources De-  
12          velopment Act of 1986 (100 Stat. 4184).

13          (d) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Section 134 of the  
14          Energy and Water Development Appropriations Act, 2006  
15          (119 Stat. 2263) is repealed.

16          **SEC. 5144. ONION CREEK, TEXAS.**

17          (a) INCLUSION OF COSTS AND BENEFITS OF RELO-  
18          CATION OF FLOOD-PRONE RESIDENCES.—In carrying out  
19          the study for the project for flood damage reduction,  
20          recreation, and ecosystem restoration, Onion Creek,  
21          Texas, the Secretary shall include the costs and benefits  
22          associated with the relocation of flood-prone residences in  
23          the study area for the project in the period beginning 2  
24          years before the date of initiation of the study and ending  
25          on the date of execution of the partnership agreement for

1 construction of the project to the extent the Secretary de-  
2 termines such relocations are compatible with the project.

3 (b) CREDIT.—The Secretary shall credit, in accord-  
4 ance with section 221 of the Flood Control Act of 1970  
5 (42 U.S.C. 1962d–5b), toward the non-Federal share of  
6 the cost of the project referred to in subsection (a) the  
7 cost of relocation of those flood-prone residences described  
8 in subsection (a) that are incurred by the non-Federal in-  
9 terest before the date of the partnership agreement for  
10 the project.

11 **SEC. 5145. CONNECTICUT RIVER DAMS, VERMONT.**

12 (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall evaluate, de-  
13 sign, and carry out structural modifications at Federal  
14 cost to the Union Village Dam (Ompompanoosuc River),  
15 North Hartland Dam (Ottauquechee River), North  
16 Springfield Dam (Black River), Ball Mountain Dam (West  
17 River), and Townshend Dam (West River), Vermont, to  
18 regulate flow and temperature to mitigate downstream im-  
19 pacts on aquatic habitat and fisheries.

20 (b) INCLUSION.—During the evaluation and design  
21 portion of the modifications authorized by this section, the  
22 Secretary shall ensure that a sustainable flow analysis is  
23 conducted for each dam.

1 (c) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There is  
2 authorized to be appropriated to carry out this section  
3 \$30,000,000.

4 **SEC. 5146. LAKE CHAMPLAIN CANAL, VERMONT AND NEW**  
5 **YORK.**

6 (a) DISPERSAL BARRIER PROJECT.—The Secretary  
7 shall determine, at Federal expense, the feasibility of a  
8 dispersal barrier project at the Lake Champlain Canal,  
9 Vermont and New York, to prevent the spread of aquatic  
10 nuisance species.

11 (b) CONSTRUCTION, MAINTENANCE, AND OPER-  
12 ATION.—If the Secretary determines that the project de-  
13 scribed in subsection (a) is feasible, the Secretary shall  
14 construct, maintain, and operate a dispersal barrier at the  
15 Lake Champlain Canal at Federal expense.

16 **SEC. 5147. DYKE MARSH, FAIRFAX COUNTY, VIRGINIA.**

17 The Secretary shall accept funds from the National  
18 Park Service to restore Dyke Marsh, Fairfax County, Vir-  
19 ginia.

20 **SEC. 5148. EASTERN SHORE AND SOUTHWEST VIRGINIA.**

21 Section 219(f)(10) of the Water Resources Develop-  
22 ment Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335) is  
23 amended—



1           (1) by striking “\$20,000,000 for water supply  
2           and wastewater infrastructure” and inserting the  
3           following:

4                   “(A) IN GENERAL.—\$20,000,000 for water  
5           supply, wastewater infrastructure, and environ-  
6           mental restoration”;

7           (2) by adding at the end the following:

8                   “(B) CREDIT.—The Secretary shall credit,  
9           in accordance with section 221 of the Flood  
10          Control Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C. 1962d–5b), to-  
11          ward the non-Federal share of the cost of the  
12          project the cost of work carried out by the non-  
13          Federal interest for the project before the date  
14          of the partnership agreement for the project.”;  
15          and

16          (3) by aligning the remainder of the text of  
17          subparagraph (A) (as designated by paragraph (1)  
18          of this section) with subparagraph (B) (as added by  
19          paragraph (2) of this section).

20   **SEC. 5149. JAMES RIVER, VIRGINIA.**

21          The Secretary shall accept funds from the National  
22          Park Service to provide technical and project management  
23          assistance for the James River, Virginia, with a particular  
24          emphasis on locations along the shoreline adversely im-  
25          pacted by Hurricane Isabel.

1 **SEC. 5150. BAKER BAY AND ILWACO HARBOR, WASH-**  
2 **INGTON.**

3 The Secretary shall conduct a study of increased sil-  
4 tation in Baker Bay and Ilwaco Harbor, Washington, to  
5 determine if the siltation is the result of a Federal naviga-  
6 tion project (including diverted flows from the Columbia  
7 River) and, if the Secretary determines that the siltation  
8 is the result of a Federal navigation project, the Secretary  
9 shall carry out a project to mitigate the siltation as part  
10 of maintenance of the Federal navigation project.

11 **SEC. 5151. HAMILTON ISLAND CAMPGROUND, WASH-**  
12 **INGTON.**

13 The Secretary is authorized to plan, design, and con-  
14 struct a campground for Bonneville Lock and Dam at  
15 Hamilton Island (also known as "Strawberry Island") in  
16 Skamania County, Washington.

17 **SEC. 5152. EROSION CONTROL, PUGET ISLAND,**  
18 **WAHIAKUM COUNTY, WASHINGTON.**

19 (a) IN GENERAL.—The Lower Columbia River levees  
20 and bank protection works authorized by section 204 of  
21 the Flood Control Act of 1950 (64 Stat. 178) is modified  
22 with regard to the Wahkiakum County diking districts No.  
23 1 and 3, but without regard to any cost ceiling authorized  
24 before the date of enactment of this Act, to direct the Sec-  
25 retary to provide a one-time placement of dredged material  
26 along portions of the Columbia River shoreline of Puget

1 Island, Washington, between river miles 38 to 47, and the  
2 shoreline of Westport Beach, Clatsop County, Oregon, be-  
3 tween river miles 43 to 45, to protect economic and envi-  
4 ronmental resources in the area from further erosion.

5 (b) COORDINATION AND COST-SHARING REQUIRE-  
6 MENTS.—The Secretary shall carry out subsection (a)—

7 (1) in coordination with appropriate resource  
8 agencies; and

9 (2) at Federal expense.

10 (c) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There is  
11 authorized to be appropriated to carry out this section  
12 \$1,000,000.

13 **SEC. 5153. WILLAPA BAY, WASHINGTON.**

14 Section 545 of the Water Resources Development Act  
15 of 2000 (114 Stat. 2675) is amended—

16 (1) in subsection (b)(1) by striking “may con-  
17 struct” and inserting “shall construct”; and

18 (2) by inserting “and ecosystem restoration”  
19 after “erosion protection” each place it appears.

20 **SEC. 5154. WEST VIRGINIA AND PENNSYLVANIA FLOOD**  
21 **CONTROL.**

22 (a) CHEAT AND TYGART RIVER BASINS, WEST VIR-  
23 GINIA.—Section 581(a)(1) of the Water Resources Devel-  
24 opment Act of 1996 (110 Stat. 3790; 113 Stat. 313) is  
25 amended—

1           (1) by striking “flood control measures” and in-  
2           serting “structural and nonstructural flood control,  
3           streambank protection, stormwater management,  
4           and channel clearing and modification measures”;  
5           and

6           (2) by inserting “with respect to measures that  
7           incorporate levees or floodwalls” before the semi-  
8           colon.

9           (b) PRIORITY COMMUNITIES.—Section 581(b) of the  
10          Water Resources Development Act of 1996 (110 Stat.  
11          3791) is amended—

12           (1) by striking “and” at the end of paragraph  
13          (5);

14           (2) by striking the period at the end of para-  
15          graph (6) and inserting a semicolon; and

16           (3) by adding at the end the following:

17           “(7) Etna, Pennsylvania, in the Pine Creek wa-  
18          tershed; and

19           “(8) Millvale, Pennsylvania, in the Girty’s Run  
20          River basin.”.

21          (c) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—Section  
22          581(c) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1996  
23          (110 Stat. 3791) is amended by striking “\$12,000,000”  
24          and inserting “\$90,000,000”.

1 **SEC. 5155. CENTRAL WEST VIRGINIA.**

2 Section 571 of the Water Resources Development Act  
3 of 1999 (113 Stat. 371) is amended—

4 (1) in subsection (a)—

5 (A) by striking “Nicholas,”; and

6 (B) by striking “Gilmer,”;

7 (2) in subsection (h) by striking “\$10,000,000”  
8 and inserting “\$20,000,000”; and

9 (3) by adding at the end the following:

10 “(i) NONPROFIT ENTITIES.—In accordance with sec-  
11 tion 221(b) of the Flood Control Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C.  
12 1962d–5b(b)), for any project undertaken under this sec-  
13 tion, a non-Federal interest may include a nonprofit entity  
14 with the consent of the affected local government.

15 “(j) CORPS OF ENGINEERS EXPENSES.—Not more  
16 than 10 percent of the amounts appropriated to carry out  
17 this section may be used by the Corps of Engineers district  
18 offices to administer projects under this section at Federal  
19 expense.”.

20 **SEC. 5156. SOUTHERN WEST VIRGINIA.**

21 (a) CORPS OF ENGINEERS.—Section 340 of the  
22 Water Resources Development Act of 1992 (106 Stat.  
23 4856; 113 Stat. 320) is amended by adding at the end  
24 the following:

25 “(h) CORPS OF ENGINEERS.—Not more than 10 per-  
26 cent of the amounts appropriated to carry out this section

1 may be used by the Corps of Engineers district offices to  
2 administer projects under this section at Federal ex-  
3 pense.”.

4 (b) SOUTHERN WEST VIRGINIA DEFINED.—Section  
5 340(f) of such Act is amended by inserting “Nicholas,”  
6 after “Greenbrier,”.

7 (c) NONPROFIT ENTITIES.—Section 340 of the  
8 Water Resources Development Act of 1992 (106 Stat.  
9 4856) is further amended by adding at the end the fol-  
10 lowing:

11 “(i) NONPROFIT ENTITIES.—In accordance with sec-  
12 tion 221(b) of the Flood Control Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C.  
13 1962d–5b(b)), for any project carried out under this sec-  
14 tion, a non-Federal interest may include a nonprofit entity  
15 with the consent of the affected local government.”.

16 **SEC. 5157. CONSTRUCTION OF FLOOD CONTROL PROJECTS**  
17 **BY NON-FEDERAL INTERESTS.**

18 Section 211(f) of the Water Resources Development  
19 Act of 1996 (33 U.S.C. 701b–13) is amended by adding  
20 at the end the following:

21 “(12) PERRIS, CALIFORNIA.—The project for  
22 flood control, Perris, California.

23 “(13) THORNTON RESERVOIR, COOK COUNTY,  
24 ILLINOIS.—An element of the project for flood con-  
25 trol, Chicagoland Underflow Plan, Illinois.

1           “(14) LAROSE TO GOLDEN MEADOW, LOU-  
2           SIANA.—The project for flood control, Larose to  
3           Golden Meadow, Louisiana.

4           “(15) BUFFALO BAYOU, TEXAS.—A project for  
5           flood control, Buffalo Bayou, Texas, to provide an  
6           alternative to the project authorized by the first sec-  
7           tion of the River and Harbor Act of June 20, 1938  
8           (52 Stat. 804) and modified by section 3a of the  
9           Flood Control Act of August 11, 1939 (53 Stat.  
10          1414).

11          “(16) HALLS BAYOU, TEXAS.—A project for  
12          flood control, Halls Bayou, Texas, to provide an al-  
13          ternative to the project for flood control, Buffalo  
14          Bayou and tributaries, Texas, authorized by section  
15          101(a)(21) of the Water Resources Development Act  
16          of 1990 (104 Stat. 4610).

17          “(17) MENOMONEE RIVER WATERSHED, WIS-  
18          CONSIN.—The project for the Menomonee River Wa-  
19          tershed, Wisconsin, including—

20                 “(A) the Underwood Creek diversion facil-  
21                 ity project (Milwaukee County Grounds); and

22                 “(B) the Greater Milwaukee Rivers water-  
23                 shed project.”.

1 **SEC. 5158. ADDITIONAL ASSISTANCE FOR CRITICAL**  
2 **PROJECTS.**

3 Section 219 of the Water Resources Development Act  
4 of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 110 Stat. 3757; 113 Stat. 334;  
5 113 Stat. 1494; 114 Stat. 2763A–219; 119 Stat. 2255)  
6 is amended—

7 (1) in subsection (c)(5) by striking “a project  
8 for the elimination or control of combined sewer  
9 overflows” and inserting “projects for the design, in-  
10 stallation, enhancement, or repair of sewer systems”;

11 (2) in subsection (e)(1) by striking  
12 “\$20,000,000” and inserting “\$32,500,000”; and

13 (3) in subsection (f)—

14 (A) by striking the undesignated para-  
15 graph relating to Charleston, South Carolina,  
16 and inserting the following:

17 “(72) CHARLESTON, SOUTH CAROLINA.—  
18 \$10,000,000 for wastewater infrastructure, including  
19 wastewater collection systems, and stormwater sys-  
20 tem improvements, Charleston, South Carolina.”;

21 (B) by redesignating the paragraph (71)  
22 relating to Placer and El Dorado Counties,  
23 California, as paragraph (73);

24 (C) by redesignating the paragraph (72)  
25 relating to Lassen, Plumas, Butte, Sierra, and  
26 Nevada Counties, California, as paragraph (74);



1 (D) by striking the paragraph (71) relat-  
2 ing to Indianapolis, Indiana, and inserting the  
3 following:

4 “(75) INDIANAPOLIS, INDIANA.—\$6,430,000 for  
5 environmental infrastructure for Indianapolis, Indi-  
6 ana.”;

7 (E) by redesignating the paragraph (73)  
8 relating to St. Croix Falls, Wisconsin, as para-  
9 graph (76);

10 (F) by redesignating paragraph (72), relat-  
11 ing to Alpine, California, as paragraph (77);  
12 and

13 (G) by adding at the end the following:

14 “(78) ST. CLAIR COUNTY, ALABAMA.—  
15 \$5,000,000 for water related infrastructure, St.  
16 Clair County, Alabama.

17 “(79) CRAWFORD COUNTY, ARKANSAS.—  
18 \$35,000,000 for water supply infrastructure,  
19 Crawford County, Arkansas.

20 “(80) ALAMEDA AND CONTRA COSTA COUNTIES,  
21 CALIFORNIA.—\$25,000,000 for recycled water treat-  
22 ment facilities within the East Bay Municipal Utility  
23 District service area, Alameda and Contra Costa  
24 Counties, California.

1           “(81) ALISO CREEK, ORANGE COUNTY, CALI-  
2 FORNIA.—\$5,000,000 for water related infrastruc-  
3 ture, Aliso Creek, Orange County, California.

4           “(82) AMADOR COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.—  
5 \$3,000,000 for wastewater collection and treatment  
6 infrastructure, Amador County, California.

7           “(83) ARCADIA, SIERRA MADRE, AND UPLAND,  
8 CALIFORNIA.—\$33,000,000 for water and waste-  
9 water infrastructure, Arcadia, Sierra Madre, and  
10 Upland, California, including \$13,000,000 for  
11 stormwater infrastructure for Upland, California.

12           “(84) BIG BEAR AREA REGIONAL WASTEWATER  
13 AGENCY, CALIFORNIA.—\$15,000,000 for water rec-  
14 lamation and distribution infrastructure, Big Bear  
15 Area Regional Wastewater Agency, California.

16           “(85) BRAWLEY COLONIA, IMPERIAL COUNTY,  
17 CALIFORNIA.—\$1,400,000 for water infrastructure  
18 to improve water quality in the Brawley Colonia  
19 Water District, Imperial County, California.

20           “(86) CALAVERAS COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.—  
21 \$3,000,000 for water supply and wastewater infra-  
22 structure improvement projects in Calaveras County,  
23 California, including wastewater reclamation, recy-  
24 cling, and conjunctive use projects.

1           “(87) CONTRA COSTA WATER DISTRICT, CALI-  
2           FORNIA.—\$23,000,000 for water and wastewater in-  
3           frastructure for the Contra Costa Water District,  
4           California.

5           “(88) EAST BAY, SAN FRANCISCO, AND SANTA  
6           CLARA AREAS, CALIFORNIA.—\$4,000,000 for a de-  
7           salination project to serve the East Bay, San Fran-  
8           cisco, and Santa Clara areas, California.

9           “(89) EAST PALO ALTO, CALIFORNIA.—  
10          \$4,000,000 for a new pump station and stormwater  
11          management and drainage system, East Palo Alto,  
12          California.

13          “(90) IMPERIAL COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.—  
14          \$10,000,000 for wastewater infrastructure, including  
15          a wastewater disinfection facility and polishing sys-  
16          tem, to improve water quality in the vicinity of  
17          Calexico, California, on the southern New River, Im-  
18          perial County, California.

19          “(91) LA HABRA, CALIFORNIA.—\$5,000,000 for  
20          wastewater and water related infrastructure, city of  
21          La Habra, California.

22          “(92) LA MIRADA, CALIFORNIA.—\$4,000,000  
23          for the planning, design, and construction of a  
24          stormwater program in La Mirada, California.

1           “(93) LOS ANGELES COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.—  
2           \$3,000,000 for wastewater and water related infra-  
3           structure, Diamond Bar, La Habra Heights, and  
4           Rowland Heights, Los Angeles County, California.

5           “(94) LOS ANGELES COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.—  
6           \$20,000,000 for the planning, design, and construc-  
7           tion of water related infrastructure for Santa  
8           Monica Bay and the coastal zone of Los Angeles  
9           County, California.

10          “(95) MALIBU, CALIFORNIA.—\$3,000,000 for  
11          municipal wastewater and recycled water infrastruc-  
12          ture, Malibu Creek Watershed Protection Project,  
13          Malibu, California.

14          “(96) MONTEBELLO, CALIFORNIA.—\$4,000,000  
15          for water infrastructure improvements in south  
16          Montebello, California.

17          “(97) NEW RIVER, CALIFORNIA.—\$10,000,000  
18          for wastewater infrastructure to improve water qual-  
19          ity in the New River, California.

20          “(98) ORANGE COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.—  
21          \$10,000,000 for wastewater and water related infra-  
22          structure, Anaheim, Brea, Mission Viejo, Rancho  
23          Santa Margarita, and Yorba Linda, Orange County,  
24          California.

1           “(99) PORT OF STOCKTON, STOCKTON, CALI-  
2           FORNIA.—\$3,000,000 for water and wastewater in-  
3           frastructure projects for Rough and Ready Island  
4           and vicinity, Stockton, California.

5           “(100) PERRIS, CALIFORNIA.—\$3,000,000 for  
6           recycled water transmission infrastructure, Eastern  
7           Municipal Water District, Perris, California.

8           “(101) SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY, CALI-  
9           FORNIA.—\$9,000,000 for wastewater and water re-  
10          lated infrastructure, Chino and Chino Hills, San  
11          Bernardino County, California.

12          “(102) SANTA CLARA COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.—  
13          \$5,500,000 for an advanced recycling water treat-  
14          ment plant in Santa Clara County, California.

15          “(103) SANTA MONICA, CALIFORNIA.—  
16          \$3,000,000 for improving water system reliability,  
17          Santa Monica, California.

18          “(104) SOUTHERN LOS ANGELES COUNTY,  
19          CALIFORNIA.—\$15,000,000 for environmental infra-  
20          structure for the groundwater basin optimization  
21          pipeline, Southern Los Angeles County, California.

22          “(105) STOCKTON, CALIFORNIA.—\$33,000,000  
23          for water treatment and distribution infrastructure,  
24          Stockton, California.

1           “(106) SWEETWATER RESERVOIR, SAN DIEGO  
2 COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.—\$375,000 to improve water  
3 quality and remove nonnative aquatic nuisance spe-  
4 cies from the Sweetwater Reservoir, San Diego  
5 County, California.

6           “(107) WHITTIER, CALIFORNIA.—\$8,000,000  
7 for water, wastewater, and water related infrastruc-  
8 ture, Whittier, California.

9           “(108) ARKANSAS VALLEY CONDUIT, COLO-  
10 RADO.—\$10,000,000 for the Arkansas Valley Con-  
11 duit, Colorado.

12           “(109) BOULDER COUNTY, COLORADO.—  
13 \$10,000,000 for water supply infrastructure, Boul-  
14 der County, Colorado.

15           “(110) MONTEZUMA AND LA PLATA COUNTIES,  
16 COLORADO.—\$1,000,000 for water and wastewater  
17 related infrastructure for the Ute Mountain project,  
18 Montezuma and La Plata Counties, Colorado.

19           “(111) OTERO, BENT, CROWLEY, KIOWA, AND  
20 PROWERS COUNTIES, COLORADO.—\$35,000,000 for  
21 water transmission infrastructure, Otero, Bent,  
22 Crowley, Kiowa, and Prowers Counties, Colorado.

23           “(112) PUEBLO AND OTERO COUNTIES, COLO-  
24 RADO.—\$34,000,000 for water transmission infra-  
25 structure, Pueblo and Otero Counties, Colorado.

1           “(113) ENFIELD, CONNECTICUT.—\$1,000,000  
2           for infiltration and inflow correction, Enfield, Con-  
3           necticut.

4           “(114) LEDYARD AND MONTVILLE, CON-  
5           NECTICUT.—\$7,113,000 for water infrastructure,  
6           Ledyard and Montville, Connecticut.

7           “(115) NEW HAVEN, CONNECTICUT.—\$300,000  
8           for stormwater system improvements, New Haven,  
9           Connecticut.

10          “(116) NORWALK, CONNECTICUT.—\$3,000,000  
11          for the Keeler Brook Storm Water Improvement  
12          Project, Norwalk, Connecticut.

13          “(117) PLAINVILLE, CONNECTICUT.—  
14          \$6,280,000 for wastewater treatment, Plainville,  
15          Connecticut.

16          “(118) SOUTHTON, CONNECTICUT.—  
17          \$9,420,000 for water supply infrastructure, South-  
18          ington, Connecticut.

19          “(119) ANACOSTIA RIVER, DISTRICT OF COLUM-  
20          BIA AND MARYLAND.—\$20,000,000 for environ-  
21          mental infrastructure and resource protection and  
22          development to enhance water quality and living re-  
23          sources in the Anacostia River watershed, District of  
24          Columbia and Maryland.

1           “(120) DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA.—\$35,000,000  
2           for implementation of a combined sewer overflow  
3           long-term control plan in the District of Columbia.

4           “(121) CHARLOTTE COUNTY, FLORIDA.—  
5           \$3,000,000 for water supply infrastructure, Char-  
6           lotte County, Florida.

7           “(122) CHARLOTTE, LEE, AND COLLIER COUN-  
8           TIES, FLORIDA.—\$20,000,000 for water supply  
9           interconnectivity infrastructure, Charlotte, Lee, and  
10          Collier Counties, Florida.

11          “(123) COLLIER COUNTY, FLORIDA.—  
12          \$5,000,000 for water infrastructure to improve  
13          water quality in the vicinity of the Gordon River,  
14          Collier County, Florida.

15          “(124) HILLSBOROUGH COUNTY, FLORIDA.—  
16          \$6,250,000 for water infrastructure and supply en-  
17          hancement, Hillsborough County, Florida.

18          “(125) JACKSONVILLE, FLORIDA.—\$25,000,000  
19          for wastewater related infrastructure, including sep-  
20          tic tank replacements, Jacksonville, Florida.

21          “(126) SARASOTA COUNTY, FLORIDA.—  
22          \$10,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-  
23          ture in Sarasota County, Florida.

24          “(127) SOUTH SEMINOLE AND NORTH ORANGE  
25          COUNTY, FLORIDA.—\$30,000,000 for wastewater in-



1        frastructure for the South Seminole and North Or-  
2        ange Wastewater Transmission Authority, Florida.

3           “(128) MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA.—  
4        \$6,250,000 for water reuse supply and a water  
5        transmission pipeline, Miami-Dade County, Florida.

6           “(129) PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA.—  
7        \$7,500,000 for water infrastructure, Palm Beach  
8        County, Florida.

9           “(130) ALBANY, GEORGIA.—\$4,000,000 for a  
10       storm drainage system, Albany, Georgia.

11           “(131) BANKS COUNTY, GEORGIA.—\$5,000,000  
12       for water infrastructure improvements, Banks Coun-  
13       ty, Georgia.

14           “(132) BERRIEN COUNTY, GEORGIA.—  
15       \$5,000,000 for water infrastructure improvements,  
16       Berrien County, Georgia.

17           “(133) CHATTOOGA COUNTY, GEORGIA.—  
18       \$8,000,000 for wastewater and drinking water infra-  
19       structure improvement, Chattooga County, Georgia.

20           “(134) CHATTOOGA, FLOYD, GORDON, WALKER,  
21       AND WHITIFIELD COUNTIES, GEORGIA.—  
22       \$10,000,000 for water infrastructure improvements,  
23       Armuchee Valley, Chattooga, Floyd, Gordon, Walk-  
24       er, and Whitifield Counties, Georgia.

1           “(135) DAHLONEGA, GEORGIA.—\$5,000,000 for  
2 water infrastructure improvements, Dahlonega,  
3 Georgia.

4           “(136) EAST POINT, GEORGIA.—\$5,000,000 for  
5 water infrastructure improvements, city of East  
6 Point, Georgia.

7           “(137) FAYETTEVILLE, GRANTVILLE, LA-  
8 GRANGE, PINE MOUNTAIN (HARRIS COUNTY),  
9 DOUGLASVILLE, AND CARROLLTON, GEORGIA.—  
10 \$24,500,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-  
11 ture, Fayetteville, Grantville, LaGrange, Pine Moun-  
12 tain (Harris County), Douglasville, and Carrollton,  
13 Georgia.

14           “(138) MERIWETHER AND SPALDING COUN-  
15 TIES, GEORGIA.—\$7,000,000 for water and waste-  
16 water infrastructure, Meriwether and Spalding  
17 Counties, Georgia.

18           “(139) MOULTRIE, GEORGIA.—\$5,000,000 for  
19 water supply infrastructure, Moultrie, Georgia.

20           “(140) STEPHENS COUNTY/CITY OF TOCCOA,  
21 GEORGIA.—\$8,000,000 water infrastructure im-  
22 provements, Stephens County/city of Toccoa, Geor-  
23 gia.

1           “(141) NORTH VERNON AND BUTLERVILLE, IN-  
2           DIANA.—\$1,700,000 for wastewater infrastructure,  
3           North Vernon and Butlerville, Indiana.

4           “(142) SALEM, WASHINGTON COUNTY, INDI-  
5           ANA.—\$3,200,000 for water supply infrastructure,  
6           Salem, Washington County, Indiana.

7           “(143) ATCHISON, KANSAS.—\$20,000,000 to  
8           address combined sewer overflows, Atchison, Kansas.

9           “(144) CENTRAL KENTUCKY.—\$10,000,000 for  
10          water related infrastructure and resource protection  
11          and development, Scott, Franklin, Woodford, Ander-  
12          son, Fayette, Mercer, Jessamine, Boyle, Lincoln,  
13          Garrard, Madison, Estill, Powell, Clark, Mont-  
14          gomery, and Bourbon Counties, Kentucky.

15          “(145) LAFAYETTE, LOUISIANA.—\$1,200,000  
16          for water and wastewater improvements, Lafayette,  
17          Louisiana.

18          “(146) LAFOURCHE PARISH, LOUISIANA.—  
19          \$2,300,000 for measures to prevent the intrusion of  
20          saltwater into the freshwater system, Lafourche Par-  
21          ish, Louisiana.

22          “(147) LAKE CHARLES, LOUISIANA.—  
23          \$1,000,000 for water and wastewater improvements,  
24          Lake Charles, Louisiana.

1           “(148) NORTHWEST LOUISIANA COUNCIL OF  
2 GOVERNMENTS, LOUISIANA.—\$2,000,000 for water  
3 and wastewater improvements, Northwest Louisiana  
4 Council of Governments, Louisiana.

5           “(149) OUACHITA PARISH, LOUISIANA.—  
6 \$1,000,000 for water and wastewater improvements,  
7 Ouachita Parish, Louisiana.

8           “(150) PLAQUEMINE, LOUISIANA.—\$7,000,000  
9 for sanitary sewer and wastewater infrastructure,  
10 Plaquemine, Louisiana.

11           “(151) RAPIDES AREA PLANNING COMMISSION,  
12 LOUISIANA.—\$1,000,000 for water and wastewater  
13 improvements, Rapides, Louisiana.

14           “(152) SHREVEPORT, LOUISIANA.—  
15 \$20,000,000 for water supply infrastructure in  
16 Shreveport, Louisiana.

17           “(153) SOUTH CENTRAL PLANNING AND DE-  
18 VELOPMENT COMMISSION, LOUISIANA.—\$2,500,000  
19 for water and wastewater improvements, South Cen-  
20 tral Planning and Development Commission, Lou-  
21 isiana.

22           “(154) UNION-LINCOLN REGIONAL WATER SUP-  
23 PLY PROJECT, LOUISIANA.—\$2,000,000 for the  
24 Union-Lincoln Regional Water Supply project, Lou-  
25 isiana.

1           “(155) CHESAPEAKE BAY IMPROVEMENTS,  
2 MARYLAND, VIRGINIA, AND DISTRICT OF COLUM-  
3 BIA.—\$30,000,000 for environmental infrastructure  
4 projects to benefit the Chesapeake Bay, including  
5 the nutrient removal project at the Blue Plains  
6 Wastewater Treatment facility in the District of Co-  
7 lumbia.

8           “(156) CHESAPEAKE BAY REGION, MARYLAND  
9 AND VIRGINIA.—\$40,000,000 for water pollution  
10 control, Chesapeake Bay Region, Maryland and Vir-  
11 ginia.

12           “(157) MICHIGAN COMBINED SEWER OVER-  
13 FLOWS.—\$35,000,000 for correction of combined  
14 sewer overflows, Michigan.

15           “(158) CENTRAL IRON RANGE SANITARY  
16 SEWER DISTRICT, MINNESOTA.—\$12,000,000 for  
17 wastewater infrastructure for the Central Iron  
18 Range Sanitary Sewer District to serve the cities of  
19 Hibbing, Chisholm, Buhl, and Kinney, and Balkan  
20 and Great Scott Townships, Minnesota.

21           “(159) CENTRAL LAKE REGION SANITARY DIS-  
22 TRICT, MINNESOTA.—\$2,000,000 for sanitary sewer  
23 and wastewater infrastructure for the Central Lake  
24 Region Sanitary District, Minnesota, to serve Le  
25 Grande and Moe Townships, Minnesota.

1           “(160) GOODVIEW, MINNESOTA.—\$3,000,000  
2           for water quality infrastructure, Goodview, Min-  
3           nesota.

4           “(161) GRAND RAPIDS, MINNESOTA.—  
5           \$5,000,000 for wastewater infrastructure, Grand  
6           Rapids, Minnesota.

7           “(162) WILLMAR, MINNESOTA.—\$15,000,000  
8           for wastewater infrastructure, Willmar, Minnesota.

9           “(163) BILOXI, MISSISSIPPI.—\$5,000,000 for  
10          water and wastewater related infrastructure, city of  
11          Biloxi, Mississippi.

12          “(164) CORINTH, MISSISSIPPI.—\$7,500,000 for  
13          a surface water program, city of Corinth, Mis-  
14          sissippi.

15          “(165) GULFPORT, MISSISSIPPI.—\$5,000,000  
16          for water and wastewater related infrastructure, city  
17          of Gulfport, Mississippi.

18          “(166) HARRISON COUNTY, MISSISSIPPI.—  
19          \$5,000,000 for water and wastewater related infra-  
20          structure, Harrison County, Mississippi.

21          “(167) JACKSON, MISSISSIPPI.—\$25,000,000  
22          for water and wastewater infrastructure, Jackson,  
23          Mississippi.

1           “(168) CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA.—\$30,000,000  
2           for wastewater infrastructure, Clark County, Ne-  
3           vada.

4           “(169) CLEAN WATER COALITION, NEVADA.—  
5           \$50,000,000 for the Systems Conveyance and Oper-  
6           ations Program, Clark County, Henderson, Las  
7           Vegas, and North Las Vegas, Nevada.

8           “(170) GLENDALE DAM DIVERSION STRUC-  
9           TURE, NEVADA.—\$10,000,000 for water system im-  
10          provements to the Glendale Dam Diversion Struc-  
11          ture for the Truckee Meadows Water Authority, Ne-  
12          vada.

13          “(171) HENDERSON, NEVADA.—\$13,000,000  
14          for wastewater infrastructure, Henderson, Nevada.

15          “(172) INDIAN SPRINGS, NEVADA.—  
16          \$12,000,000 for construction of wastewater system  
17          improvements for the Indian Springs community,  
18          Nevada.

19          “(173) RENO, NEVADA.—\$13,000,000 for con-  
20          struction of a water conservation project for the  
21          Highland Canal, Mogul Bypass in Reno, Nevada.

22          “(174) WASHOE COUNTY, NEVADA.—  
23          \$14,000,000 for construction of water infrastructure  
24          improvements to the Huffaker Hills Reservoir Con-  
25          servation Project, Washoe County, Nevada.

1           “(175) CRANFORD TOWNSHIP, NEW JERSEY.—  
2           \$6,000,000 for storm sewer improvements, Cranford  
3           Township, New Jersey.

4           “(176) MIDDLETOWN TOWNSHIP, NEW JER-  
5           SEY.—\$1,100,000 for storm sewer improvements,  
6           Middletown Township, New Jersey.

7           “(177) PATERSON, NEW JERSEY.—\$35,000,000  
8           for wastewater infrastructure, Paterson, New Jer-  
9           sey.

10          “(178) RAHWAY VALLEY, NEW JERSEY.—  
11          \$25,000,000 for sanitary sewer and storm sewer im-  
12          provements in the service area of the Rahway Valley  
13          Sewerage Authority, New Jersey.

14          “(179) BABYLON, NEW YORK.—\$5,000,000 for  
15          wastewater infrastructure, Town of Babylon, New  
16          York.

17          “(180) ELLICOTTVILLE, NEW YORK.—  
18          \$2,000,000 for water supply, water, and wastewater  
19          infrastructure in Ellicottville, New York.

20          “(181) ELMIRA, NEW YORK.—\$5,000,000 for  
21          wastewater infrastructure, Elmira, New York.

22          “(182) ESSEX HAMLET, NEW YORK.—  
23          \$5,000,000 for wastewater infrastructure, Essex  
24          Hamlet, New York.



1           “(183) FLEMING, NEW YORK.—\$5,000,000 for  
2 drinking water infrastructure, Fleming, New York.

3           “(184) KIRYAS JOEL, NEW YORK.—\$5,000,000  
4 for drinking water infrastructure, village of Kiryas  
5 Joel, New York.

6           “(185) NIAGARA FALLS, NEW YORK.—  
7 \$5,000,000 for wastewater infrastructure, Niagara  
8 Falls Water Board, New York.

9           “(186) PATCHOGUE, NEW YORK.—\$5,000,000  
10 for wastewater infrastructure, village of Patchogue,  
11 New York.

12           “(187) SENNETT, NEW YORK.—\$1,500,000 for  
13 water infrastructure, town of Sennett, New York.

14           “(188) SPRINGPORT AND FLEMING, NEW  
15 YORK.—\$10,000,000 for water related infrastruc-  
16 ture, including water mains, pump stations, and  
17 water storage tanks, Springport and Fleming, New  
18 York.

19           “(189) WELLSVILLE, NEW YORK.—\$2,000,000  
20 for water supply, water, and wastewater infrastruc-  
21 ture in Wellsville, New York.

22           “(190) YATES COUNTY, NEW YORK.—  
23 \$5,000,000 for drinking water infrastructure, Yates  
24 County, New York.

1           “(191) CABARRUS COUNTY, NORTH CARO-  
2           LINA.—\$4,500,000 for water related infrastructure,  
3           Cabarrus County, North Carolina.

4           “(192) CARY, WAKE COUNTY, NORTH CARO-  
5           LINA.—\$4,000,000 for a water reclamation facility,  
6           Cary, Wake County, North Carolina.

7           “(193) CHARLOTTE, NORTH CAROLINA.—  
8           \$14,000,000 for the Briar Creek Relief Sewer  
9           project, city of Charlotte, North Carolina.

10           “(194) FAYETTEVILLE, CUMBERLAND COUNTY,  
11           NORTH CAROLINA.—\$6,000,000 for water and sewer  
12           upgrades, city of Fayetteville, Cumberland County,  
13           North Carolina.

14           “(195) MOORESVILLE, NORTH CAROLINA.—  
15           \$4,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure  
16           improvements, town of Mooresville, North Carolina.

17           “(196) NEUSE REGIONAL WATER AND SEWER  
18           AUTHORITY, NORTH CAROLINA.—\$4,000,000 for the  
19           Neuse regional drinking water facility, Kinston,  
20           North Carolina.

21           “(197) RICHMOND COUNTY, NORTH CARO-  
22           LINA.—\$13,500,000 for water related infrastructure,  
23           Richmond County, North Carolina.

1           “(198) UNION COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA.—  
2           \$6,000,000 for water related infrastructure, Union  
3           County, North Carolina.

4           “(199) WASHINGTON COUNTY, NORTH CARO-  
5           LINA.—\$1,000,000 for water and wastewater infra-  
6           structure, Washington County, North Carolina.

7           “(200) WINSTON-SALEM, NORTH CAROLINA.—  
8           \$3,000,000 for stormwater upgrades, city of Win-  
9           ston-Salem, North Carolina.

10          “(201) NORTH DAKOTA.—\$15,000,000 for  
11          water-related infrastructure, North Dakota.

12          “(202) DEVILS LAKE, NORTH DAKOTA.—  
13          \$15,000,000 for water supply infrastructure, Devils  
14          Lake, North Dakota.

15          “(203) SAIPAN, NORTHERN MARIANA IS-  
16          LANDS.—\$20,000,000 for water related infrastruc-  
17          ture, Saipan, Northern Mariana Islands.

18          “(204) AKRON, OHIO.—\$5,000,000 for waste-  
19          water infrastructure, Akron, Ohio

20          “(205) BURR OAK REGIONAL WATER DISTRICT,  
21          OHIO.—\$4,000,000 for construction of a water line  
22          to extend from a well field near Chauncey, Ohio, to  
23          a water treatment plant near Millfield, Ohio.

24          “(206) CINCINNATI, OHIO.—\$1,000,000 for  
25          wastewater infrastructure, Cincinnati, Ohio.

1           “(207) CLEVELAND, OHIO.—\$2,500,000 for  
2 Flats East Bank water and wastewater infrastruc-  
3 ture, city of Cleveland, Ohio.

4           “(208) COLUMBUS, OHIO.—\$4,500,000 for  
5 wastewater infrastructure, Columbus, Ohio.

6           “(209) DAYTON, OHIO.—\$1,000,000 for water  
7 and wastewater infrastructure, Dayton, Ohio.

8           “(210) DEFIANCE COUNTY, OHIO.—\$1,000,000  
9 for wastewater infrastructure, Defiance County,  
10 Ohio.

11           “(211) FOSTORIA, OHIO.—\$2,000,000 for  
12 wastewater infrastructure, Fostoria, Ohio.

13           “(212) FREMONT, OHIO.—\$2,000,000 for con-  
14 struction of off-stream water supply reservoir, Fre-  
15 mont, Ohio.

16           “(213) LAKE COUNTY, OHIO.—\$1,500,000 for  
17 wastewater infrastructure, Lake County, Ohio.

18           “(214) LAWRENCE COUNTY, OHIO.—  
19 \$5,000,000 for Union Rome wastewater infrastruc-  
20 ture, Lawrence County, Ohio.

21           “(215) MEIGS COUNTY, OHIO.—\$1,000,000 to  
22 extend the Tupper Plains Regional Water District  
23 water line to Meigs County, Ohio.

1           “(216) MENTOR-ON-LAKE, OHIO.—\$625,000  
2           for water and wastewater infrastructure, Mentor-on-  
3           Lake, Ohio.

4           “(217) VINTON COUNTY, OHIO.—\$1,000,000 to  
5           construct water lines in Vinton and Brown Town-  
6           ships, Ohio.

7           “(218) WILLOWICK, OHIO.—\$665,000 for water  
8           and wastewater infrastructure, Willowick, Ohio.

9           “(219) ADA, OKLAHOMA.—\$1,700,000 for  
10          sewer improvements and other water infrastructure,  
11          city of Ada, Oklahoma.

12          “(220) ALVA, OKLAHOMA.—\$250,000 for  
13          wastewater infrastructure improvements, city of  
14          Alva, Oklahoma.

15          “(221) ARDMORE, OKLAHOMA.—\$1,900,000 for  
16          water and sewer infrastructure improvements, city of  
17          Ardmore, Oklahoma.

18          “(222) BARTLESVILLE, OKLAHOMA.—  
19          \$2,500,000 for water supply infrastructure, city of  
20          Bartlesville, Oklahoma.

21          “(223) BETHANY, OKLAHOMA.—\$1,500,000 for  
22          water improvements and water related infrastruc-  
23          ture, city of Bethany, Oklahoma.

1           “(224) CHICKASHA, OKLAHOMA.—\$650,000 for  
2 industrial park sewer infrastructure, city of  
3 Chickasha, Oklahoma.

4           “(225) DISNEY AND LANGLEY, OKLAHOMA.—  
5 \$2,500,000 for water and sewer improvements and  
6 water related infrastructure, cities of Disney and  
7 Langley, Oklahoma.

8           “(226) DURANT, OKLAHOMA.—\$3,300,000 for  
9 bayou restoration and water related infrastructure,  
10 city of Durant, Oklahoma.

11           “(227) EASTERN OKLAHOMA STATE UNIVER-  
12 SITY, WILBERTON, OKLAHOMA.—\$1,000,000 for  
13 sewer and utility upgrades and water related infra-  
14 structure, Eastern Oklahoma State University,  
15 Wilberton, Oklahoma.

16           “(228) GUYMON, OKLAHOMA.—\$16,000,000 for  
17 water and wastewater related infrastructure, city of  
18 Guymon, Oklahoma.

19           “(229) KONAWA, OKLAHOMA.—\$500,000 for  
20 water treatment infrastructure improvements, city of  
21 Konawa, Oklahoma.

22           “(230) LUGERT-ALTUS IRRIGATION DISTRICT,  
23 ALTUS, OKLAHOMA.—\$5,000,000 for water related  
24 infrastructure improvements, Lugert-Altus Irrigation  
25 District, Altus, Oklahoma.

1           “(231) MIDWEST CITY, OKLAHOMA.—  
2           \$2,000,000 for improvements to water related infra-  
3           structure, the City of Midwest City, Oklahoma.

4           “(232) MUSTANG, OKLAHOMA.—\$3,325,000 for  
5           water improvements and water related infrastruc-  
6           ture, city of Mustang, Oklahoma.

7           “(233) NORMAN, OKLAHOMA.—\$10,000,000 for  
8           water related infrastructure, Norman, Oklahoma.

9           “(234) OKLAHOMA PANHANDLE STATE UNIVER-  
10          SITY, GUYMON, OKLAHOMA.—\$275,000 for water  
11          testing facility and water related infrastructure de-  
12          velopment, Oklahoma Panhandle State University,  
13          Guymon, Oklahoma.

14          “(235) WEATHERFORD, OKLAHOMA.—\$500,000  
15          for arsenic program and water related infrastruc-  
16          ture, city of Weatherford, Oklahoma.

17          “(236) WOODWARD, OKLAHOMA.—\$1,500,000  
18          for water improvements and water related infra-  
19          structure, Woodward, Oklahoma.

20          “(237) ALBANY, OREGON.—\$35,000,000 for  
21          wastewater infrastructure to improve habitat res-  
22          toration, Albany, Oregon.

23          “(238) BEAVER CREEK RESERVOIR, PENNSYLV-  
24          VANIA.—\$3,000,000 for projects for water supply  
25          and related activities, Beaver Creek Reservoir, Clar-

1 ion County, Beaver and Salem Townships, Pennsyl-  
2 vania.

3 “(239) HATFIELD BOROUGH, PENNSYLVANIA.—  
4 \$310,000 for wastewater related infrastructure for  
5 Hatfield Borough, Pennsylvania.

6 “(240) LEHIGH COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA.—  
7 \$5,000,000 for stormwater control measures and  
8 storm sewer improvements, Lehigh County, Pennsyl-  
9 vania.

10 “(241) NORTH WALES BOROUGH, PENNSYLV-  
11 ANIA.—\$1,516,584 for wastewater related infra-  
12 structure for North Wales Borough, Pennsylvania.

13 “(242) PEN ARGYL, PENNSYLVANIA.—  
14 \$5,250,000 for wastewater infrastructure, Pen  
15 Argyl, Pennsylvania.

16 “(243) PHILADELPHIA, PENNSYLVANIA.—  
17 \$1,600,000 for wastewater related infrastructure for  
18 Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

19 “(244) STOCKERTON BOROUGH, TATAMY BOR-  
20 OUGH, AND PALMER TOWNSHIP, PENNSYLVANIA.—  
21 \$10,000,000 for stormwater control measures, par-  
22 ticularly to address sinkholes, in the vicinity of  
23 Stockerton Borough, Tatamy Borough, and Palmer  
24 Township, Pennsylvania.



1           “(245) VERA CRUZ, PENNSYLVANIA.—  
2           \$5,500,000 for wastewater infrastructure, Vera  
3           Cruz, Pennsylvania.

4           “(246) COMMONWEALTH OF PUERTO RICO.—  
5           \$35,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-  
6           ture in the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico.

7           “(247) CHARLESTON, SOUTH CAROLINA.—  
8           \$4,000,000 for stormwater control measures and  
9           storm sewer improvements, Spring Street/Fishburne  
10          Street drainage project, Charleston, South Carolina.

11          “(248) CHARLESTON AND WEST ASHLEY,  
12          SOUTH CAROLINA.—\$6,000,000 for wastewater tun-  
13          nel replacement, Charleston and West Ashley, South  
14          Carolina.

15          “(249) CROOKED CREEK, MARLBORO COUNTY,  
16          SOUTH CAROLINA.—\$25,000,000 for a project for  
17          water storage and water supply infrastructure on  
18          Crooked Creek, Marlboro County, South Carolina.

19          “(250) MYRTLE BEACH, SOUTH CAROLINA.—  
20          \$18,000,000 for environmental infrastructure, in-  
21          cluding ocean outfalls, Myrtle Beach, South Caro-  
22          lina.

23          “(251) NORTH MYRTLE BEACH, SOUTH CARO-  
24          LINA.—\$11,000,000 for environmental infrastruc-

1       ture, including ocean outfalls, North Myrtle Beach,  
2       South Carolina.

3           “(252)   SURFSIDE,    SOUTH    CAROLINA.—  
4       \$11,000,000 for environmental infrastructure, in-  
5       cluding stormwater system improvements and ocean  
6       outfalls, Surfside, South Carolina.

7           “(253) CHEYENNE RIVER SIOUX RESERVATION  
8       (DEWEY AND ZIEBACH COUNTIES) AND PERKINS AND  
9       MEADE COUNTIES, SOUTH DAKOTA.—\$65,000,000  
10      for water related infrastructure, Cheyenne River  
11      Sioux Reservation (Dewey and Ziebach counties)  
12      and Perkins and Meade Counties, South Dakota.

13          “(254) ATHENS, TENNESSEE.—\$16,000,000 for  
14      wastewater infrastructure, Athens, Tennessee.

15          “(255) BLAINE, TENNESSEE.—\$500,000 for  
16      water supply and wastewater infrastructure, Blaine,  
17      Tennessee.

18          “(256) CLAIBORNE COUNTY, TENNESSEE.—  
19      \$1,250,000 for water supply and wastewater infra-  
20      structure, Claiborne County, Tennessee.

21          “(257)   GILES    COUNTY,    TENNESSEE.—  
22      \$2,000,000 for water supply and wastewater infra-  
23      structure, county of Giles, Tennessee.

1           “(258) GRAINGER COUNTY, TENNESSEE.—  
2           \$1,250,000 for water supply and wastewater infra-  
3           structure, Grainger County, Tennessee.

4           “(259) HAMILTON COUNTY, TENNESSEE.—  
5           \$500,000 for water supply and wastewater infra-  
6           structure, Hamilton County, Tennessee.

7           “(260) HARROGATE, TENNESSEE.—\$2,000,000  
8           for water supply and wastewater infrastructure, city  
9           of Harrogate, Tennessee.

10          “(261) JOHNSON COUNTY, TENNESSEE.—  
11          \$600,000 for water supply and wastewater infra-  
12          structure, Johnson County, Tennessee.

13          “(262) KNOXVILLE, TENNESSEE.—\$5,000,000  
14          for water supply and wastewater infrastructure, city  
15          of Knoxville, Tennessee.

16          “(263) NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE.—\$5,000,000  
17          for water supply and wastewater infrastructure,  
18          Nashville, Tennessee.

19          “(264) LEWIS, LAWRENCE, AND WAYNE COUN-  
20          TIES, TENNESSEE.—\$2,000,000 for water supply  
21          and wastewater infrastructure, counties of Lewis,  
22          Lawrence, and Wayne, Tennessee.

23          “(265) OAK RIDGE, TENNESSEE.—\$4,000,000  
24          for water supply and wastewater infrastructure, city  
25          of Oak Ridge, Tennessee.

1           “(266) PLATEAU UTILITY DISTRICT, MORGAN  
2 COUNTY, TENNESSEE.—\$1,000,000 for water supply  
3 and wastewater infrastructure, Morgan County,  
4 Tennessee.

5           “(267) SHELBY COUNTY, TENNESSEE.—  
6 \$4,000,000 for water related environmental infra-  
7 structure, county of Shelby, Tennessee.

8           “(268) CENTRAL TEXAS.—\$20,000,000 for  
9 water and wastewater infrastructure in Bosque,  
10 Brazos, Burleson, Grimes, Hill, Hood, Johnson,  
11 Madison, McLennan, Limestone, Robertson, and  
12 Somervell Counties, Texas.

13           “(269) EL PASO COUNTY, TEXAS.—  
14 \$25,000,000 for water related infrastructure and re-  
15 source protection, including stormwater manage-  
16 ment, and development, El Paso County, Texas.

17           “(270) FT. BEND COUNTY, TEXAS.—  
18 \$20,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-  
19 ture, Ft. Bend County, Texas.

20           “(271) DUCHESNE, IRON, AND UINTAH COUN-  
21 TIES, UTAH.—\$10,800,000 for water related infra-  
22 structure, Duchesne, Iron, and Uintah Counties,  
23 Utah.

24           “(272) NORTHERN WEST VIRGINIA.—  
25 \$20,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-

1       ture in Hancock, Ohio, Marshall, Wetzel, Tyler,  
2       Pleasants, Wood, Doddridge, Monongalia, Marion,  
3       Harrison, Taylor, Barbour, Preston, Tucker, Min-  
4       eral, Grant, Gilmer, Brooke, and Ritchie Counties,  
5       West Virginia.

6           “(273) UNITED STATES VIRGIN ISLANDS.—  
7       \$25,000,000 for wastewater infrastructure for the  
8       St. Croix Anguilla wastewater treatment plant and  
9       the St. Thomas Charlotte Amalie wastewater treat-  
10      ment plant, United States Virgin Islands.”.

## 11                   **TITLE VI—FLORIDA** 12                   **EVERGLADES**

### 13   **SEC. 6001. HILLSBORO AND OKEECHOBEE AQUIFER, FLOR-** 14                   **IDA.**

15       (a) MODIFICATION.—The project for Hillsboro and  
16       Okeechobee Aquifer, Florida, authorized by section  
17       101(a)(16) of the Water Resources Development Act of  
18       1999 (113 Stat. 276), is modified to authorize the Sec-  
19       retary to carry out the project at a total cost of  
20       \$42,500,000.

21       (b) TREATMENT.—Section 601(b)(2)(A) of the Water  
22       Resources Development Act of 2000 (114 Stat. 2681) is  
23       amended—

24           (1) in clause (i) by adding at the end the fol-  
25       lowing: “The project for aquifer storage and recov-

1       ery, Hillsboro and Okeechobee Aquifer, Florida, au-  
2       thorized by section 101(a)(16) of the Water Re-  
3       sources Development Act of 1999 (113 Stat. 276),  
4       shall be treated for purposes of this section as being  
5       in the Plan, except that operation and maintenance  
6       costs of the project shall remain a non-Federal re-  
7       sponsibility.”; and

8               (2) in clause (iii) by inserting after “subpara-  
9       graph (B)” the following: “and the project for aqui-  
10      fer storage and recovery, Hillsboro and Okeechobee  
11      Aquifer”.

12   **SEC. 6002. PILOT PROJECTS.**

13      Section 601(b)(2)(B) of the Water Resources Devel-  
14      opment Act of 2000 (114 Stat. 2681) is amended—

15               (1) in the matter preceding clause (i)—

16                       (A) by striking “\$69,000,000” and insert-  
17                       ing “\$71,200,000”; and

18                       (B) by striking “\$34,500,000” each place  
19                       it appears and inserting “\$35,600,000”; and

20               (2) in clause (i)—

21                       (A) by striking “\$6,000,000” and inserting  
22                       “\$8,200,000”; and

23                       (B) by striking “\$3,000,000” each place it  
24                       appears and inserting “\$4,100,000”.

1 **SEC. 6003. MAXIMUM COSTS.**

2 (a) MAXIMUM COST OF PROJECTS.—Section  
3 601(b)(2)(E) of the Water Resources Development Act of  
4 2000 (114 Stat. 2683) is amended by inserting “and sec-  
5 tion (d)” before the period at the end.

6 (b) MAXIMUM COST OF PROGRAM AUTHORITY.—Sec-  
7 tion 601(c)(3) of such Act (114 Stat. 2684) is amended  
8 by adding at the end the following:

9 “(C) MAXIMUM COST OF PROGRAM AU-  
10 THORITY.—Section 902 of the Water Resources  
11 Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2280)  
12 shall apply to the individual project funding  
13 limits in subparagraph (A) and the aggregate  
14 cost limits in subparagraph (B).”.

15 **SEC. 6004. CREDIT.**

16 Section 601(e)(5)(B) of the Water Resources Devel-  
17 opment Act of 2000 (114 Stat. 2685) is amended—

18 (1) in clause (i)—

19 (A) by striking “or” at the end of sub-  
20 clause (I);

21 (B) by adding “or” at the end of subclause  
22 (II); and

23 (C) by adding at the end the following:

24 “(III) the credit is provided for work  
25 carried out before the date of the partner-  
26 ship agreement between the Secretary and

1 the non-Federal sponsor, as defined in an  
2 agreement between the Secretary and the  
3 non-Federal sponsor providing for such  
4 credit;” and

5 (2) in clause (ii)—

6 (A) by striking “design agreement or the  
7 project cooperation”; and

8 (B) by inserting before the semicolon the  
9 following: “, including in the case of credit pro-  
10 vided under clause (i)(III) conditions relating to  
11 design and construction”.

12 **SEC. 6005. OUTREACH AND ASSISTANCE.**

13 Section 601(k) of the Water Resources Development  
14 Act of 2000 (114 Stat. 2691) is amended by adding at  
15 the end the following:

16 “(3) **MAXIMUM EXPENDITURES.**—The Sec-  
17 retary may expend up to \$3,000,000 per fiscal year  
18 for fiscal years beginning after September 30, 2004,  
19 to carry out this subsection.”.

20 **SEC. 6006. CRITICAL RESTORATION PROJECTS.**

21 Section 528(b)(3)(C) of the Water Resources Devel-  
22 opment Act of 1996 (110 Stat. 3769) is amended—

23 (1) in clause (i) by striking “\$75,000,000” and  
24 all that follows and inserting “\$95,000,000”; and



1           (2) by striking clause (ii) and inserting the fol-  
2           lowing:

3                           “(ii) FEDERAL SHARE.—

4                                   “(I) IN GENERAL.—Except as  
5                                   provided in subclause (II), the Federal  
6                                   share of the cost of carrying out a  
7                                   project under subparagraph (A) shall  
8                                   not exceed \$25,000,000.

9                                   “(II) SEMINOLE WATER CON-  
10                                   SERVATION PLAN.—The Federal share  
11                                   of the cost of carrying out the Semi-  
12                                   nole water conservation plan shall not  
13                                   exceed \$30,000,000.”.

14   **SEC. 6007. REGIONAL ENGINEERING MODEL FOR ENVIRON-**  
15                           **MENTAL RESTORATION.**

16           (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall complete the  
17           development and testing of the regional engineering model  
18           for environmental restoration as expeditiously as prac-  
19           ticable.

20           (b) USAGE.—The Secretary shall consider using, as  
21           appropriate, the regional engineering model for environ-  
22           mental restoration in the development of future water re-  
23           source projects, including projects developed pursuant to  
24           section 601 of the Water Resources Development Act of  
25           2000 (114 Stat. 2680).

1 **TITLE VII—LOUISIANA COASTAL**  
2 **AREA**

3 **SEC. 7001. DEFINITIONS.**

4 In this title, the following definitions apply:

5 (1) **COASTAL LOUISIANA ECOSYSTEM.**—The  
6 term “coastal Louisiana ecosystem” means the  
7 coastal area of Louisiana from the Sabine River on  
8 the west to the Pearl River on the east, including  
9 those parts of the Atchafalaya River Basin and the  
10 Mississippi River Deltaic Plain below the Old River  
11 Control Structure and the Chenier Plain included  
12 within the study area of the restoration plan.

13 (2) **GOVERNOR.**—The term “Governor” means  
14 the Governor of the State of Louisiana.

15 (3) **RESTORATION PLAN.**—The term “restora-  
16 tion plan” means the report of the Chief of Engi-  
17 neers for ecosystem restoration for the Louisiana  
18 Coastal Area dated January 31, 2005.

19 (4) **TASK FORCE.**—The term “Task Force”  
20 means the Coastal Louisiana Ecosystem Protection  
21 and Restoration Task Force established by section  
22 7003.

23 (5) **COMPREHENSIVE PLAN.**—The term “com-  
24 prehensive plan” means the plan developed under  
25 section 7002 and any revisions thereto.

1 **SEC. 7002. COMPREHENSIVE PLAN.**

2 (a) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary, in coordination  
3 with the Governor, shall develop a comprehensive plan for  
4 protecting, preserving, and restoring the coastal Louisiana  
5 ecosystem.

6 (b) **INTEGRATION OF PLAN INTO COMPREHENSIVE**  
7 **HURRICANE PROTECTION STUDY.**—In developing the  
8 comprehensive plan, the Secretary shall integrate the res-  
9 toration plan into the analysis and design of the com-  
10 prehensive hurricane protection study authorized by title  
11 I of the Energy and Water Development Appropriations  
12 Act, 2006 (119 Stat. 2247).

13 (c) **CONSISTENCY WITH COMPREHENSIVE COASTAL**  
14 **PROTECTION MASTER PLAN.**—In developing the com-  
15 prehensive plan, the Secretary shall ensure that the plan  
16 is not inconsistent with the goals, analysis, and design of  
17 the comprehensive coastal protection master plan author-  
18 ized and defined pursuant to Act 8 of the First Extraor-  
19 dinary Session of the Louisiana State Legislature, 2005.

20 (d) **INCLUSIONS.**—The comprehensive plan shall in-  
21 clude a description of—

22 (1) the framework of a long-term program inte-  
23 grated with hurricane and storm damage reduction,  
24 flood damage reduction, and navigation activities  
25 that provide for the comprehensive protection, con-  
26 servation, and restoration of the wetlands, estuaries,

1 barrier islands, shorelines, and related land and fea-  
2 tures of the coastal Louisiana ecosystem, including  
3 protection of critical resources, habitat, and infra-  
4 structure from the effects of a coastal storm, a hur-  
5 ricane, erosion, or subsidence;

6 (2) the means by which a new technology, or an  
7 improved technique, can be integrated into the pro-  
8 gram referred to in paragraph (1);

9 (3) the role of other Federal and State agencies  
10 and programs in carrying out such program;

11 (4) specific, measurable success criteria (includ-  
12 ing ecological criteria) by which success of the plan  
13 will be measured;

14 (5) proposed projects in order of priority as de-  
15 termined by their respective potential to contribute  
16 to—

17 (A) creation of coastal wetlands; and

18 (B) flood protection of communities ranked  
19 by population density and level of protection;  
20 and

21 (6) efforts by Federal, State, and local interests  
22 to address sociological, economic, and related fields  
23 of law.

24 (e) CONSIDERATIONS.—In developing the comprehen-  
25 sive plan, the Secretary shall consider the advisability of

1 integrating into the program referred to in subsection  
2 (d)(1)—

3 (1) an investigation and study of the maximum  
4 effective use of the water and sediment of the Mis-  
5 sissippi and Atchafalaya Rivers for coastal restora-  
6 tion purposes consistent with flood control and navi-  
7 gation;

8 (2) a schedule for the design and implementa-  
9 tion of large-scale water and sediment reintroduction  
10 projects and an assessment of funding needs from  
11 any source;

12 (3) an investigation and assessment of alter-  
13 ations in the operation of the Old River Control  
14 Structure, consistent with flood control and naviga-  
15 tion purposes;

16 (4) any related Federal or State project being  
17 carried out on the date on which the plan is devel-  
18 oped;

19 (5) any activity in the restoration plan; and

20 (6) any other project or activity identified in  
21 one or more of—

22 (A) the Mississippi River and Tributaries  
23 program;

24 (B) the Louisiana Coastal Wetlands Con-  
25 servation Plan;

1 (C) the Louisiana Coastal Zone Manage-  
2 ment Plan;

3 (D) the plan of the State of Louisiana en-  
4 titled “Integrated Ecosystem Restoration and  
5 Hurricane Protection—Louisiana’s Comprehen-  
6 sive Master Plan for a Sustainable Coast”; and

7 (E) other relevant reports as determined  
8 by the Secretary.

9 (f) REPORTS TO CONGRESS.—

10 (1) INITIAL REPORT.—Not later than 1 year  
11 after the date of enactment of this Act, the Sec-  
12 retary shall submit to Congress a report containing  
13 the comprehensive plan.

14 (2) UPDATES.—Not later than 5 years after the  
15 date of submission of a report under paragraph (1),  
16 and at least once every 5 years thereafter until im-  
17 plementation of the comprehensive plan is complete,  
18 the Secretary shall submit to Congress a report con-  
19 taining an update of the plan and an assessment of  
20 the progress made in implementing the plan.

21 **SEC. 7003. LOUISIANA COASTAL AREA.**

22 (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary may carry out a  
23 program for ecosystem restoration, Louisiana Coastal  
24 Area, Louisiana, substantially in accordance with the re-  
25 port of the Chief of Engineers, dated January 31, 2005.

## 600

1 (b) PRIORITIES.—

2 (1) IN GENERAL.—In carrying out the program  
3 under subsection (a), the Secretary shall give pri-  
4 ority to—

5 (A) any portion of the program identified  
6 in the report described in subsection (a) as a  
7 critical restoration feature;

8 (B) any Mississippi River diversion project  
9 that—

10 (i) will protect a major population  
11 area of the Pontchartrain, Pearl, Breton  
12 Sound, Barataria, or Terrebonne basins;  
13 and

14 (ii) will produce an environmental  
15 benefit to the coastal Louisiana ecosystem;

16 (C) any barrier island, or barrier shoreline,  
17 project that—

18 (i) will be carried out in conjunction  
19 with a Mississippi River diversion project;  
20 and

21 (ii) will protect a major population  
22 area;

23 (D) any project that will reduce storm  
24 surge and prevent or reduce the risk of loss of  
25 human life and the risk to public safety; and

1           (E) a project to physically modify the Mis-  
2           sissippi River-Gulf Outlet and to restore the  
3           areas affected by the Mississippi River-Gulf  
4           Outlet in accordance with the comprehensive  
5           plan to be developed under section 7002(a) and  
6           consistent with sections 7006(c)(1)(A) and  
7           7013.

8   **SEC. 7004. COASTAL LOUISIANA ECOSYSTEM PROTECTION**  
9                           **AND RESTORATION TASK FORCE.**

10       (a) **ESTABLISHMENT.**—There is established a task  
11 force to be known as the Coastal Louisiana Ecosystem  
12 Protection and Restoration Task Force (in this section re-  
13 ferred to as the “Task Force”).

14       (b) **MEMBERSHIP.**—The Task Force shall consist of  
15 the following members (or, in the case of the head of a  
16 Federal agency, a designee of the head of the agency at  
17 the level of Assistant Secretary or an equivalent level):

- 18           (1) The Secretary.
- 19           (2) The Secretary of the Interior.
- 20           (3) The Secretary of Commerce.
- 21           (4) The Administrator of the Environmental  
22           Protection Agency.
- 23           (5) The Secretary of Agriculture.
- 24           (6) The Secretary of Transportation.
- 25           (7) The Secretary of Energy.



1           (8) The Administrator of the Federal Emer-  
2           gency Management Agency.

3           (9) The Commandant of the Coast Guard.

4           (10) The Chair of the Coastal Protection and  
5           Restoration Authority of Louisiana.

6           (11) Two representatives of the State of Lou-  
7           isiana selected by the Governor.

8           (c) DUTIES.—The Task Force shall make rec-  
9           ommendations to the Secretary regarding—

10           (1) policies, strategies, plans, programs,  
11           projects, and activities for addressing conservation,  
12           protection, restoration, and maintenance of the  
13           coastal Louisiana ecosystem;

14           (2) financial participation by each agency rep-  
15           resented on the Task Force in conserving, pro-  
16           tecting, restoring, and maintaining the coastal Lou-  
17           isiana ecosystem, including recommendations—

18                   (A) that identify funds from current agen-  
19                   cy missions and budgets; and

20                   (B) for coordinating individual agency  
21                   budget requests; and

22           (3) the comprehensive plan to be developed  
23           under section 7002(a).

1 (d) REPORT.— The Task Force shall submit to Con-  
2 gress a biennial report that summarizes the activities and  
3 recommendations of the Task Force.

4 (e) WORKING GROUPS.—

5 (1) GENERAL AUTHORITY.—The Task Force  
6 may establish such working groups as the Task  
7 Force determines to be necessary to assist the Task  
8 Force in carrying out this section.

9 (2) HURRICANES KATRINA AND RITA.—

10 (A) INTEGRATION TEAM.—The Task Force  
11 shall establish a working group for the purpose  
12 of advising the Task Force of opportunities to  
13 integrate the planning, engineering, design, im-  
14 plementation, and performance of Corps of En-  
15 gineers projects for hurricane and storm dam-  
16 age reduction, flood damage reduction, eco-  
17 system restoration, and navigation in those  
18 areas in Louisiana for which a major disaster  
19 has been declared by the President as a result  
20 of Hurricane Katrina or Rita.

21 (B) EXPERTISE; REPRESENTATION.—In  
22 establishing the working group under subpara-  
23 graph (A), the Task Force shall ensure that the  
24 group—

1 (i) has expertise in coastal estuaries,  
2 diversions, coastal restoration and wetlands  
3 protection, ecosystem restoration, hurri-  
4 cane protection, storm damage reduction  
5 systems, navigation, and ports; and

6 (ii) represents the State of Louisiana  
7 and local governments in southern Lou-  
8 isiana.

9 (C) DUTIES.—In developing its rec-  
10 ommendations under this subsection, the work-  
11 ing group shall—

12 (i) review reports relating to the per-  
13 formance of, and recommendations relating  
14 to the future performance of, the hurri-  
15 cane, coastal, and flood protection systems  
16 in southern Louisiana, including the re-  
17 ports issued by the Interagency Perform-  
18 ance Evaluation Team, the National Acad-  
19 emy of Sciences, the National Science  
20 Foundation, the American Society of Civil  
21 Engineers, and Team Louisiana for the  
22 purpose of advising the Task Force and  
23 the Secretary on opportunities to improve  
24 the performance of the protection systems;

1 (ii) assist in providing reviews under  
2 section 2035; and

3 (iii) carry out such other duties as the  
4 Task Force or the Secretary determines to  
5 be appropriate.

6 (f) COMPENSATION.—Members of the Task Force  
7 and members of a working group established by the Task  
8 Force may not receive compensation for their services as  
9 members of the Task Force or working group, as the case  
10 may be.

11 (g) TRAVEL EXPENSES.—Travel expenses incurred  
12 by members of the Task Force and members of a working  
13 group established by the Task Force, in the performance  
14 of their service on the Task Force or working group, as  
15 the case may be, shall be paid by the agency or entity  
16 that the member represents.

17 (h) NONAPPLICABILITY OF FACCA.—The Federal Ad-  
18 visory Committee Act (5 U.S.C. App.) shall not apply to  
19 the Task Force or any working group established by the  
20 Task Force.

21 **SEC. 7005. PROJECT MODIFICATIONS.**

22 (a) REVIEW.—The Secretary, in cooperation with the  
23 non-Federal interest of the project involved, shall review  
24 each Federally-authorized water resources project in the  
25 coastal Louisiana ecosystem being carried out or com-

1 pleted as of the date of enactment of this Act to determine  
2 whether the project needs to be modified—

3 (1) to take into account the program authorized  
4 by section 7003 and the projects authorized by sec-  
5 tions 7006(e) and 7013; or

6 (2) to contribute to ecosystem restoration under  
7 section 7003, 7006(e), or 7013.

8 (b) MODIFICATIONS.—Subject to subsections (c) and  
9 (d), the Secretary may carry out the modifications de-  
10 scribed in subsection (a).

11 (c) PUBLIC NOTICE AND COMMENT.—Before com-  
12 pleting the report required under subsection (d), the Sec-  
13 retary shall provide an opportunity for public notice and  
14 comment.

15 (d) REPORT.—

16 (1) IN GENERAL.—Before modifying an oper-  
17 ation or feature of a project under subsection (b),  
18 the Secretary shall submit to the Committee on  
19 Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of  
20 Representatives and the Committee on Environment  
21 and Public Works of the Senate a report describing  
22 the modification.

23 (2) INCLUSION.—A report describing a modi-  
24 fication under paragraph (1) shall include such in-  
25 formation relating to the timeline for and cost of the

1 modification, as the Secretary determines to be rel-  
2 evant.

3 (e) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There is  
4 authorized to be appropriated to carry out this section  
5 \$10,000,000.

6 **SEC. 7006. CONSTRUCTION.**

7 (a) SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY.—

8 (1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall carry  
9 out a coastal Louisiana ecosystem science and tech-  
10 nology program substantially in accordance with the  
11 restoration plan at a total cost of \$100,000,000.

12 (2) PURPOSES.—The purposes of the program  
13 shall be—

14 (A) to identify any uncertainty relating to  
15 the physical, chemical, geological, biological,  
16 and cultural baseline conditions in the coastal  
17 Louisiana ecosystem;

18 (B) to improve knowledge of the physical,  
19 chemical, geological, biological, and cultural  
20 baseline conditions in the coastal Louisiana eco-  
21 system;

22 (C) to identify and develop technologies,  
23 models, and methods to carry out this sub-  
24 section; and

1 (D) to advance and expedite the implemen-  
2 tation of the comprehensive plan.

3 (3) WORKING GROUPS.—The Secretary may es-  
4 tablish such working groups as the Secretary deter-  
5 mines to be necessary to assist the Secretary in car-  
6 rying out this subsection.

7 (4) CONTRACTS AND COOPERATIVE AGREE-  
8 MENTS.—In carrying out this subsection, the Sec-  
9 retary may enter into a contract or cooperative  
10 agreement with a consortium of academic institu-  
11 tions in Louisiana with scientific or engineering ex-  
12 pertise in the restoration of aquatic and marine eco-  
13 systems for coastal restoration and enhancement  
14 through science and technology.

15 (5) APPLICABILITY OF THE FEDERAL ADVISORY  
16 COMMITTEE ACT.—The Federal Advisory Committee  
17 Act (5 U.S.C. App.) shall not apply to a working  
18 group established under this subsection.

19 (b) DEMONSTRATION PROJECTS.—

20 (1) IN GENERAL.—Subject to paragraph (2),  
21 the Secretary may carry out demonstration projects  
22 substantially in accordance with the restoration plan  
23 and within the coastal Louisiana ecosystem for the  
24 purpose of resolving critical areas of scientific or

1 technological uncertainty related to the implementa-  
2 tion of the comprehensive plan.

3 (2) MAXIMUM COST.—

4 (A) TOTAL COST.—The total cost for plan-  
5 ning, design, and construction of all projects  
6 under this subsection shall not exceed  
7 \$100,000,000.

8 (B) INDIVIDUAL PROJECT.—The total cost  
9 of any single project under this subsection shall  
10 not exceed \$25,000,000.

11 (c) INITIAL PROJECTS.—

12 (1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary is authorized  
13 to carry out the following projects substantially in  
14 accordance with the restoration plan:

15 (A) Mississippi River-Gulf Outlet environ-  
16 mental restoration at a total cost of  
17 \$105,300,000, but not including those elements  
18 of the project that produce navigation benefits.

19 (B) Small diversion at Hope Canal at a  
20 total cost of \$68,600,000.

21 (C) Barataria basin barrier shoreline res-  
22 toration at a total cost of \$242,600,000.

23 (D) Small Bayou Lafourche reintroduction  
24 at a total cost of \$133,500,000.



1           (E) Medium diversion at Myrtle Grove  
2 with dedicated dredging at a total cost of  
3 \$278,300,000.

4           (2) MODIFICATIONS.—

5           (A) IN GENERAL.—In carrying out each  
6 project under paragraph (1), the Secretary shall  
7 carry out such modifications as may be nec-  
8 essary to the ecosystem restoration features  
9 identified in the restoration plan—

10           (i) to address the impacts of Hurri-  
11 canes Katrina and Rita on the areas of the  
12 project; and

13           (ii) to ensure consistency with the  
14 project authorized by section 7013 (includ-  
15 ing work in and around the vicinity of the  
16 Mississippi River-Gulf Outlet).

17           (B) INTEGRATION.—The Secretary shall  
18 ensure that each modification under subpara-  
19 graph (A) is taken into account in conducting  
20 the study of comprehensive hurricane protection  
21 authorized by title I of the Energy and Water  
22 Development Appropriations Act, 2006 (119  
23 Stat. 2247).

24           (C) MISSISSIPPI RIVER-GULF OUTLET.—In  
25 carrying out the project under paragraph

1           (1)(A), the Secretary shall carry out such modi-  
2           fications as may be necessary to make the  
3           project consistent with and complementary to  
4           the closure and restoration of the Mississippi  
5           River-Gulf Outlet authorized by section 7013.

6           (3) CONSTRUCTION REPORTS.—Before the Sec-  
7           retary may begin construction of any project under  
8           this subsection, the Secretary shall submit a report  
9           documenting any modifications to the project, in-  
10          cluding cost changes, to the Committee on Transpor-  
11          tation and Infrastructure of the House of Represent-  
12          atives and the Committee on Environment and Pub-  
13          lic Works of the Senate.

14          (4) APPLICABILITY OF OTHER PROVISIONS.—  
15          Notwithstanding section 902 of the Water Resources  
16          Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2280), the cost  
17          of a project under this subsection, including any  
18          modifications to the project, shall not exceed 150  
19          percent of the cost of such project set forth in para-  
20          graph (1).

21          (d) BENEFICIAL USE OF DREDGED MATERIAL.—

22           (1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary, substantially  
23           in accordance with the restoration plan, shall imple-  
24           ment in the coastal Louisiana ecosystem a program  
25           for the beneficial use of material dredged from fed-

1 erally maintained waterways at a total cost of  
2 \$100,000,000.

3 (2) CONSIDERATION.—In carrying out the pro-  
4 gram under paragraph (1), the Secretary shall con-  
5 sider the beneficial use of sediment from the Illinois  
6 River System for wetlands restoration in wetlands-  
7 depleted watersheds of the coastal Louisiana eco-  
8 system .

9 (e) ADDITIONAL PROJECTS.—

10 (1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary is authorized  
11 to carry out the following projects referred to in the  
12 restoration plan if the Secretary determines such  
13 projects are feasible:

14 (A) Land Bridge between Caillou Lake and  
15 the Gulf of Mexico at a total cost of  
16 \$56,300,000.

17 (B) Gulf Shoreline at Point Au Fer Island  
18 at a total cost of \$43,400,000.

19 (C) Modification of Caernarvon Diversion  
20 at a total cost of \$20,700,000.

21 (D) Modification of Davis Pond Diversion  
22 at a total cost of \$64,200,000.

23 (2) REPORTS.—Not later than December 31,  
24 2009, the Secretary shall submit feasibility reports  
25 on the projects described in paragraph (1) to the

1       Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of  
2       the House of Representatives and the Committee on  
3       Environment and Public Works of the Senate.

4           (3) PROJECTS SUBJECT TO REPORTS.—

5               (A) FEASIBILITY REPORTS.—Not later  
6       than December 31, 2008, the Secretary shall  
7       submit to Congress feasibility reports on the  
8       following projects referred to in the restoration  
9       plan:

10                   (i) Multipurpose Operation of Houma  
11       Navigation Lock at a total cost of  
12       \$18,100,000.

13                   (ii) Terrebonne Basin Barrier Shore-  
14       line Restoration at a total cost of  
15       \$124,600,000.

16                   (iii) Small Diversion at Convent/Blind  
17       River at a total cost of \$88,000,000.

18                   (iv) Amite River Diversion Canal  
19       Modification at a total cost of \$5,600,000.

20                   (v) Medium Diversion at White's  
21       Ditch at a total cost of \$86,100,000.

22                   (vi) Convey Atchafalaya River Water  
23       to Northern Terrebonne Marshes at a total  
24       cost of \$221,200,000.

1           (B) CONSTRUCTION.—The Secretary may  
2           carry out the projects under subparagraph (A)  
3           substantially in accordance with the plans and  
4           subject to the conditions, recommended in a  
5           final report of the Chief of Engineers if a favor-  
6           able report of the Chief is completed by not  
7           later than December 31, 2010.

8           (4) CONSTRUCTION.—No appropriations shall  
9           be made to construct any project under this sub-  
10          section if the report under paragraph (2) or para-  
11          graph (3), as the case may be, has not been ap-  
12          proved by resolutions adopted by the Committee on  
13          Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of  
14          Representatives and the Committee on Environment  
15          and Public Works of the Senate.

16 **SEC. 7007. NON-FEDERAL COST SHARE.**

17          (a) CREDIT.—The Secretary shall credit, in accord-  
18          ance with section 221 of the Flood Control Act 1970 (42  
19          U.S.C. 1962d–5b), toward the non-Federal share of the  
20          cost of a study or project under this title the cost of work  
21          carried out in the coastal Louisiana ecosystem by the non-  
22          Federal interest for the project before the date of the exe-  
23          cution of the partnership agreement for the study or  
24          project.

1 (b) SOURCES OF FUNDS.—The non-Federal interest  
2 may use, and the Secretary shall accept, funds provided  
3 by a Federal agency under any other Federal program,  
4 to satisfy, in whole or in part, the non-Federal share of  
5 the cost of the study or project if the Federal agency that  
6 provides the funds determines that the funds are author-  
7 ized to be used to carry out the study or project.

8 (c) NONGOVERNMENTAL ORGANIZATIONS.—A non-  
9 governmental organization shall be eligible to contribute  
10 all or a portion of the non-Federal share of the cost of  
11 a project under this title.

12 (d) TREATMENT OF CREDIT BETWEEN PROJECTS.—  
13 Any credit provided under this section toward the non-  
14 Federal share of the cost of a study or project under this  
15 title may be applied toward the non-Federal share of the  
16 cost of any other study or project under this title.

17 (e) PERIODIC MONITORING.—

18 (1) IN GENERAL.—To ensure that the contribu-  
19 tions of the non-Federal interest equal the non-Fed-  
20 eral share of the cost of a study or project under  
21 this title during each 5-year period beginning after  
22 the date of commencement of the first study or  
23 project under this title, the Secretary shall—

24 (A) monitor for each study or project  
25 under this title the non-Federal provision of

1 cash, in-kind services and materials, and land,  
2 easements, rights-of-way, relocations, and dis-  
3 posal areas; and

4 (B) manage the requirement of the non-  
5 Federal interest to provide for each such study  
6 or project cash, in-kind services and materials,  
7 and land, easements, rights-of-way, relocations,  
8 and disposal areas.

9 (2) OTHER MONITORING.—The Secretary shall  
10 conduct monitoring separately for the study phase,  
11 construction phase, preconstruction engineering and  
12 design phase, and planning phase for each project  
13 authorized on or after the date of enactment of this  
14 Act for all or any portion of the coastal Louisiana  
15 ecosystem.

16 (f) AUDITS.—Credit for land, easements, rights-of-  
17 way, relocations, and disposal areas (including land value  
18 and incidental costs) provided under this section, and the  
19 cost of work provided under this section, shall be subject  
20 to audit by the Secretary.

21 **SEC. 7008. PROJECT JUSTIFICATION.**

22 (a) IN GENERAL.—Notwithstanding section 209 of  
23 the Flood Control Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C. 1962–2) or any  
24 other provision of law, in carrying out any project or activ-  
25 ity under this title or any other provision of law to protect,

1 conserve, and restore the coastal Louisiana ecosystem, the  
2 Secretary may determine that—

3 (1) the project or activity is justified by the en-  
4 vironmental benefits derived by the coastal Lou-  
5 isiana ecosystem; and

6 (2) no further economic justification for the  
7 project or activity shall be required if the Secretary  
8 determines that the project or activity is cost effec-  
9 tive.

10 (b) **LIMITATION ON APPLICABILITY.**—Subsection (a)  
11 shall not apply to any separable element of a project in-  
12 tended to produce benefits that are predominantly unre-  
13 lated to the protection, preservation, and restoration of the  
14 coastal Louisiana ecosystem.

15 **SEC. 7009. INDEPENDENT REVIEW.**

16 The Secretary shall establish a council, to be known  
17 as the “Louisiana Water Resources Council”, which shall  
18 serve as the exclusive peer review panel for activities con-  
19 ducted by the Corps of Engineers in the areas in the State  
20 of Louisiana declared as major disaster areas in accord-  
21 ance with section 401 of the Robert T. Stafford Disaster  
22 Relief and Emergency Assistance Act (42 U.S.C. 5170)  
23 in response to Hurricane Katrina or Rita of 2005, in ac-  
24 cordance with the requirements of section 2034.



1 **SEC. 7010. EXPEDITED REPORTS.**

2 (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall expedite com-  
3 pletion of the reports for the following projects and, if the  
4 Secretary determines that a project is feasible, proceed di-  
5 rectly to project preconstruction engineering and design:

6 (1) The projects identified in the study of com-  
7 prehensive hurricane protection authorized by title I  
8 of the Energy and Water Development Appropria-  
9 tions Act, 2006 (119 Stat. 2447).

10 (2) The projects identified in the Southwest  
11 Coastal Louisiana hurricane and storm damage re-  
12 duction study authorized by the Committee on  
13 Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of  
14 Representatives on December 7, 2005.

15 (b) SUBMISSION OF REPORTS.—Upon completion of  
16 the reports identified in subsection (a), the Secretary shall  
17 submit the reports to the Committee on Environment and  
18 Public Works of the Senate and the Committee on Trans-  
19 portation and Infrastructure of the House of Representa-  
20 tives.

21 **SEC. 7011. REPORTING.**

22 Not later than 6 years after the date of enactment  
23 of this Act, the Secretary shall submit to the Committee  
24 on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of  
25 Representatives and the Committee on Environment and

1 Public Works of the Senate a report, including a descrip-  
2 tion of—

3 (1) the projects authorized and undertaken  
4 under this title;

5 (2) the construction status of the projects;

6 (3) the cost to date and the expected final cost  
7 of each project undertaken under this title; and

8 (4) the benefits and environmental impacts of  
9 the projects.

10 **SEC. 7012. NEW ORLEANS AND VICINITY.**

11 (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary is authorized to—

12 (1) raise levee heights where necessary and oth-  
13 erwise enhance the Lake Pontchartrain and Vicinity  
14 project and the West Bank and Vicinity project to  
15 provide the level of protection necessary to achieve  
16 the certification required for a 100-year level of  
17 flood protection in accordance with the national  
18 flood insurance program under the base flood ele-  
19 vations current at the time of construction of the  
20 levee;

21 (2) modify the 17th Street, Orleans Avenue,  
22 and London Avenue drainage canals in the city of  
23 New Orleans and install pumps and closure struc-  
24 tures at or near the lakefront at Lake Pont-  
25 chartrain;

1           (3) armor critical elements of the New Orleans  
2 hurricane and storm damage reduction system;

3           (4) modify the Inner Harbor Navigation Canal  
4 to increase the reliability of the flood protection sys-  
5 tem for the city of New Orleans;

6           (5) replace or modify certain non-Federal levees  
7 in Plaquemines Parish to incorporate the levees into  
8 the New Orleans to Venice Hurricane Protection  
9 project;

10          (6) reinforce or replace flood walls in the exist-  
11 ing Lake Pontchartrain and Vicinity project and the  
12 existing West Bank and Vicinity project to improve  
13 performance of the flood and storm damage reduc-  
14 tion systems;

15          (7) perform one time stormproofing of interior  
16 pump stations to ensure the operability of the sta-  
17 tions during hurricanes, storms, and high water  
18 events;

19          (8) repair, replace, modify and improve non-  
20 Federal levees and associated protection measures in  
21 Terrebonne Parish; and

22          (9) reduce the risk of storm damage to the  
23 greater New Orleans metropolitan area by restoring  
24 the surrounding wetlands through measures to begin  
25 to reverse wetland losses in areas affected by naviga-

1 tion, oil and gas, and other channels and through  
2 modification of the Caernarvon Freshwater Diver-  
3 sion structure or its operations.

4 (b) COST SHARING.—Activities authorized by sub-  
5 section (a) and section 7013 shall be carried out in a man-  
6 ner that is consistent with the cost-sharing requirements  
7 specified in the Emergency Supplemental Appropriations  
8 Act for Defense, the Global War on Terror, and Hurricane  
9 Recovery, 2006 (Public Law 109-234).

10 (c) CONDITIONS.—The Secretary shall notify the  
11 Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the  
12 House of Representatives and the Committee on Environ-  
13 ment and Public Works of the Senate if estimates for the  
14 expenditure of funds on any single project or activity iden-  
15 tified in subsection (a) exceeds the amount specified for  
16 that project or activity in the Emergency Supplemental  
17 Appropriations Act for Defense, the Global War on Ter-  
18 ror, and Hurricane Recovery, 2006. No appropriation in  
19 excess of 25 percent above the amount specified for a  
20 project or activity in such Act may be made until an in-  
21 crease in the level of expenditure has been approved by  
22 resolutions adopted by the Committee on Transportation  
23 and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives and  
24 the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the  
25 Senate.

1 **SEC. 7013. MISSISSIPPI RIVER-GULF OUTLET.**

2 (a) DEAUTHORIZATION.—

3 (1) IN GENERAL.—Effective beginning on the  
4 date of submission of the plan required under para-  
5 graph (3), the navigation channel portion of the Mis-  
6 sissippi River-Gulf Outlet element of the project for  
7 navigation, Mississippi River, Baton Rouge to the  
8 Gulf of Mexico, authorized by the Act entitled “An  
9 Act to authorize construction of the Mississippi  
10 River-Gulf outlet”, approved March 29, 1956 (70  
11 Stat. 65) and modified by section 844 of the Water  
12 Resources Development Act of 1986 (100 Stat.  
13 4177) and section 326 of the Water Resources De-  
14 velopment Act of 1996 (110 Stat. 3717), which ex-  
15 tends from the Gulf of Mexico to Mile 60 at the  
16 southern bank of the Gulf Intracoastal Waterway, is  
17 not authorized.

18 (2) SCOPE.—Nothing in this paragraph modi-  
19 fies or deauthorizes the Inner Harbor navigation  
20 canal replacement project authorized by that Act of  
21 March 29, 1956.

22 (3) CLOSURE AND RESTORATION PLAN.—

23 (A) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 180  
24 days after the date of enactment of this Act,  
25 the Secretary shall submit to the Committee on  
26 Environment and Public Works of the Senate

1 and the Committee on Transportation and In-  
2 frastructure of the House of Representatives a  
3 final report on the deauthorization of the Mis-  
4 sissippi River-Gulf outlet, as described under  
5 the heading “INVESTIGATIONS” under chapter  
6 3 of title II of the Emergency Supplemental  
7 Appropriations Act for Defense, the Global War  
8 on Terror, and Hurricane Recovery, 2006 (120  
9 Stat. 453).

10 (B) INCLUSIONS.—At a minimum, the re-  
11 port under subparagraph (A) shall include—

12 (i) a plan to physically modify the  
13 Mississippi River-Gulf Outlet and restore  
14 the areas affected by the navigation chan-  
15 nel;

16 (ii) a plan to restore natural features  
17 of the ecosystem that will reduce or pre-  
18 vent damage from storm surge;

19 (iii) a plan to prevent the intrusion of  
20 saltwater into the waterway;

21 (iv) efforts to integrate the rec-  
22 ommendations of the report with the pro-  
23 gram authorized under section 7003 and  
24 the analysis and design authorized by title  
25 I of the Energy and Water Development

1 Appropriations Act, 2006 (119 Stat.  
2 2247); and

3 (v) consideration of—

4 (I) use of native vegetation; and

5 (II) diversions of fresh water to

6 restore the Lake Borgne ecosystem.

7 (4) CONSTRUCTION.—The Secretary shall carry  
8 out a plan to close the Mississippi River-Gulf Outlet  
9 and restore and protect the ecosystem substantially  
10 in accordance with the plan required under para-  
11 graph (3), if the Secretary determines that the  
12 project is cost-effective, environmentally acceptable,  
13 and technically feasible.

14 **SEC. 7014. HURRICANE AND STORM DAMAGE REDUCTION.**

15 (a) REPORTS.—With respect to the projects identified  
16 in the analysis and design of comprehensive hurricane pro-  
17 tection authorized by title I of the Energy and Water De-  
18 velopment Appropriations Act, 2006 (119 Stat. 2247), the  
19 Secretary shall submit, to the maximum extent prac-  
20 ticable, specific project recommendations in a report devel-  
21 oped under that title.

22 (b) EMERGENCY PROCEDURES.—

23 (1) IN GENERAL.—If the President determines  
24 that a project recommended in the analysis and de-  
25 sign of comprehensive hurricane protection under

1 title I of the Energy and Water Development Appro-  
2 priations Act, 2006 could—

3 (A) address an imminent threat to life and  
4 property;

5 (B) prevent a dangerous storm surge from  
6 reaching a populated area;

7 (C) prevent the loss of coastal areas that  
8 reduce the impact of storm surge;

9 (D) benefit national energy security;

10 (E) protect emergency hurricane evacu-  
11 ation routes or shelters; or

12 (F) address inconsistencies in hurricane  
13 protection standards,

14 the President may submit to the President pro tem-  
15 pore of the Senate for authorization a legislative  
16 proposal relating to the project, as the President de-  
17 termines to be appropriate.

18 (2) **PRIORITIZATION.**—In submitting legislative  
19 proposals under paragraph (1), the President shall  
20 give priority to any project that, as determined by  
21 the President, would—

22 (A) to the maximum extent practicable, re-  
23 duce the risk—

24 (i) of loss of human life;

25 (ii) to public safety; and



1 (iii) of damage to property; and

2 (B) minimize costs and environmental im-  
3 pacts.

4 (3) EXPEDITED CONSIDERATION.—

5 (A) IN GENERAL.—Beginning after De-  
6 cember 31, 2008, any legislative proposal sub-  
7 mitted by the President under paragraph (1)  
8 shall be eligible for expedited consideration in  
9 accordance with this paragraph.

10 (B) INTRODUCTION.—As soon as prac-  
11 ticable after the date of receipt of a legislative  
12 proposal under paragraph (1), the Chairman of  
13 the Committee on Environment and Public  
14 Works of the Senate shall introduce the pro-  
15 posal as a bill, by request, in the Senate.

16 (C) REFERRAL.—A bill introduced under  
17 subparagraph (B) shall be referred to the Com-  
18 mittee on Environment and Public Works of the  
19 Senate.

20 (D) COMMITTEE CONSIDERATION.—

21 (i) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 45  
22 legislative days after a bill under subpara-  
23 graph (B) is referred to the committee in  
24 accordance with subparagraph (C), the  
25 committee shall act on the bill.

1                   (ii) FAILURE TO ACT.—If the com-  
2                   mittee fails to act on a bill by the date  
3                   specified in clause (i), the bill shall be dis-  
4                   charged from the committee and placed on  
5                   the calendar of the Senate.

6                   (4) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The requirements of,  
7                   and authorities under, this subsection shall expire on  
8                   December 31, 2010.

9   **SEC. 7015. LAROSE TO GOLDEN MEADOW.**

10           (a) REPORT.—Not later than 120 days after the date  
11 of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall submit to  
12 the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the  
13 Senate and the Committee on Transportation and Infra-  
14 structure of the House of Representatives a report de-  
15 scribing any modification required to the project for flood  
16 damage reduction, Larose to Golden Meadow, Louisiana,  
17 to provide the level of protection necessary to achieve the  
18 certification required for a 100-year level of flood protec-  
19 tion in accordance with the national flood insurance pro-  
20 gram.

21           (b) MODIFICATIONS.—The Secretary is authorized to  
22 carry out a modification described in subsection (a) if—

23                   (1) the Secretary determines that the modifica-  
24                   tion in the report under subsection (a) is feasible;  
25                   and

1           (2) the total cost of the modification does not  
2           exceed \$90,000,000.

3           (c) REQUIREMENT.—No appropriation shall be made  
4           to construct any modification under this section if the re-  
5           port under subsection (a) has not been approved by resolu-  
6           tions adopted by the Committee on Environment and Pub-  
7           lic Works of the Senate and the Committee on Transpor-  
8           tation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives.

9           **SEC. 7016. LOWER JEFFERSON PARISH, LOUISIANA.**

10          (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary may carry out a  
11          project for flood damage reduction in Lower Jefferson  
12          Parish, Louisiana.

13          (b) EXISTING STUDIES.—In carrying out the project,  
14          the Secretary shall use, to the maximum extent prac-  
15          ticable, existing studies for projects for flood damage re-  
16          duction in the vicinity of Lower Jefferson Parish, Lou-  
17          isiana, prepared under section 205 of the Flood Control  
18          Act of 1948 (33 U.S.C. 701s).

19          (c) CONSTRUCTION.—The Secretary may proceed to  
20          construction or complete the construction of projects in  
21          Lower Jefferson Parish if the projects are being developed  
22          or carried out under section 205 of the Flood Control Act  
23          of 1948 as of the date of enactment of this Act.

1 (d) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There is  
2 authorized to be appropriated \$100,000,000 to carry out  
3 this section.

4 **TITLE VIII—UPPER MISSISSIPPI**  
5 **RIVER AND ILLINOIS WATER-**  
6 **WAY SYSTEM**

7 **SEC. 8001. DEFINITIONS.**

8 In this title, the following definitions apply:

9 (1) PLAN.—The term “Plan” means the project  
10 for navigation and ecosystem improvements for the  
11 Upper Mississippi River and Illinois Waterway Sys-  
12 tem: Report of the Chief of Engineers, dated Decem-  
13 ber 15, 2004.

14 (2) UPPER MISSISSIPPI RIVER AND ILLINOIS  
15 WATERWAY SYSTEM.—The term “Upper Mississippi  
16 River and Illinois Waterway System” means the  
17 projects for navigation and ecosystem restoration au-  
18 thorized by Congress for—

19 (A) the segment of the Mississippi River  
20 from the confluence with the Ohio River, River  
21 Mile 0.0, to Upper St. Anthony Falls Lock in  
22 Minneapolis-St. Paul, Minnesota, River Mile  
23 854.0; and

24 (B) the Illinois Waterway from its con-  
25 fluence with the Mississippi River at Grafton,

1 Illinois, River Mile 0.0, to T.J. O'Brien Lock in  
2 Chicago, Illinois, River Mile 327.0.

3 **SEC. 8002. NAVIGATION IMPROVEMENTS AND RESTORA-**  
4 **TION.**

5 Except as modified by this title, the Secretary shall  
6 undertake navigation improvements and restoration of the  
7 ecosystem for the Upper Mississippi River and Illinois Wa-  
8 terway System substantially in accordance with the Plan  
9 and subject to the conditions described therein.

10 **SEC. 8003. AUTHORIZATION OF CONSTRUCTION OF NAVIGA-**  
11 **TION IMPROVEMENTS.**

12 (a) **SMALL SCALE AND NONSTRUCTURAL MEAS-**  
13 **URES.—**

14 (1) **IN GENERAL.—**The Secretary shall—

15 (A) construct mooring facilities at Locks  
16 12, 14, 18, 20, 22, 24, and LaGrange Lock or  
17 other alternative locations that are economically  
18 and environmentally feasible;

19 (B) provide switchboats at Locks 20  
20 through 25; and

21 (C) conduct development and testing of an  
22 appointment scheduling system.

23 (2) **AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—**

24 The total cost of projects authorized under this sub-  
25 section shall be \$256,000,000. Such costs are to be

1       paid ½ from amounts appropriated from the general  
2       fund of the Treasury and ½ from amounts appro-  
3       priated from the Inland Waterways Trust Fund.  
4       Such sums shall remain available until expended.

5       (b) NEW LOCKS.—

6           (1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall con-  
7       struct new 1,200-foot locks at Locks 20, 21, 22, 24,  
8       and 25 on the Upper Mississippi River and at La-  
9       Grange Lock and Peoria Lock on the Illinois Water-  
10      way.

11          (2) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—

12      The total cost of projects authorized under this sub-  
13      section shall be \$1,948,000,000. Such costs are to  
14      be paid ½ from amounts appropriated from the gen-  
15      eral fund of the Treasury and ½ from amounts ap-  
16      propriated from the Inland Waterways Trust Fund.  
17      Such sums shall remain available until expended.

18      (c) CONCURRENCE.—The mitigation required for the  
19      projects authorized under subsections (a) and (b), includ-  
20      ing any acquisition of lands or interests in lands, shall be  
21      undertaken or acquired concurrently with lands and inter-  
22      ests in lands for the projects authorized under subsections  
23      (a) and (b), and physical construction required for the  
24      purposes of mitigation shall be undertaken concurrently  
25      with the physical construction of such projects.

1 **SEC. 8004. ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION AUTHORIZATION.**

2 (a) OPERATION.—To ensure the environmental sus-  
3 tainability of the existing Upper Mississippi River and Illi-  
4 nois Waterway System, the Secretary shall modify, con-  
5 sistent with requirements to avoid adverse effects on navi-  
6 gation, the operation of the Upper Mississippi River and  
7 Illinois Waterway System to address the cumulative envi-  
8 ronmental impacts of operation of the system and improve  
9 the ecological integrity of the Upper Mississippi River and  
10 Illinois River.

11 (b) ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION PROJECTS.—

12 (1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall carry  
13 out, consistent with requirements to avoid adverse  
14 effects on navigation, ecosystem restoration projects  
15 to attain and maintain the sustainability of the eco-  
16 system of the Upper Mississippi River and Illinois  
17 River in accordance with the general framework out-  
18 lined in the Plan.

19 (2) PROJECTS INCLUDED.—Ecosystem restora-  
20 tion projects may include—

- 21 (A) island building;
- 22 (B) construction of fish passages;
- 23 (C) floodplain restoration;
- 24 (D) water level management (including  
25 water drawdown);
- 26 (E) backwater restoration;

- 1 (F) side channel restoration;
- 2 (G) wing dam and dike restoration and  
3 modification;
- 4 (H) island and shoreline protection;
- 5 (I) topographical diversity;
- 6 (J) dam point control;
- 7 (K) use of dredged material for environ-  
8 mental purposes;
- 9 (L) tributary confluence restoration;
- 10 (M) spillway, dam, and levee modification  
11 to benefit the environment; and
- 12 (N) land and easement acquisition.

13 (3) COST SHARING.—

14 (A) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in  
15 subparagraphs (B) and (C), the Federal share  
16 of the cost of carrying out an ecosystem res-  
17 toration project under this subsection shall be  
18 65 percent.

19 (B) EXCEPTION FOR CERTAIN RESTORA-  
20 TION PROJECTS.—In the case of a project  
21 under this section for ecosystem restoration, the  
22 Federal share of the cost of carrying out the  
23 project shall be 100 percent if the project—

24 (i) is located below the ordinary high  
25 water mark or in a connected backwater;



1 (ii) modifies the operation of struc-  
2 tures for navigation; or

3 (iii) is located on federally owned  
4 land.

5 (C) SAVINGS CLAUSE.—Nothing in this  
6 subsection affects the applicability of section  
7 906(e) of the Water Resources Development  
8 Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2283(e)).

9 (D) NONGOVERNMENTAL ORGANIZA-  
10 TIONS.—In accordance with section 221 of the  
11 Flood Control Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C. 1962d-  
12 5b), for any project carried out under this title,  
13 a non-Federal sponsor may include a nonprofit  
14 entity, with the consent of the affected local  
15 government.

16 (4) LAND ACQUISITION.—The Secretary may  
17 acquire land or an interest in land for an ecosystem  
18 restoration project from a willing seller through con-  
19 veyance of—

20 (A) fee title to the land; or

21 (B) a flood plain conservation easement.

22 (c) MONITORING.—The Secretary shall carry out a  
23 long term resource monitoring, computerized data inven-  
24 tory and analysis, and applied research program for the  
25 Upper Mississippi River and Illinois River to determine

1 trends in ecosystem health, to understand systemic  
2 changes, and to help identify restoration needs. The pro-  
3 gram shall consider and adopt the monitoring program es-  
4 tablished under section 1103(e)(1)(A)(ii) of the Water Re-  
5 sources Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C.  
6 652(e)(1)(A)(ii)).

7 (d) ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION PRECONSTRUCTION  
8 ENGINEERING AND DESIGN.—

9 (1) RESTORATION DESIGN.—Before initiating  
10 the construction of any individual ecosystem restora-  
11 tion project, the Secretary shall—

12 (A) establish ecosystem restoration goals  
13 and identify specific performance measures de-  
14 signed to demonstrate ecosystem restoration;

15 (B) establish the without-project condition  
16 or baseline for each performance indicator; and

17 (C) for each separable element of the eco-  
18 system restoration, identify specific target goals  
19 for each performance indicator.

20 (2) OUTCOMES.—Performance measures identi-  
21 fied under paragraph (1)(A) shall include specific  
22 measurable environmental outcomes, such as  
23 changes in water quality, hydrology, or the well-  
24 being of indicator species the population and dis-  
25 tribution of which are representative of the abun-

1 dance and diversity of ecosystem-dependent aquatic  
2 and terrestrial species.

3 (3) RESTORATION DESIGN.—Restoration design  
4 carried out as part of ecosystem restoration shall in-  
5 clude a monitoring plan for the performance meas-  
6 ures identified under paragraph (1)(A), including—

7 (A) a timeline to achieve the identified tar-  
8 get goals; and

9 (B) a timeline for the demonstration of  
10 project completion.

11 (e) CONSULTATION AND FUNDING AGREEMENTS.—

12 (1) IN GENERAL.—In carrying out the environ-  
13 mental sustainability, ecosystem restoration, and  
14 monitoring activities authorized in this section, the  
15 Secretary shall consult with the Secretary of the In-  
16 terior and the States of Illinois, Iowa, Minnesota,  
17 Missouri, and Wisconsin.

18 (2) FUNDING AGREEMENTS.—The Secretary is  
19 authorized to enter into agreements with the Sec-  
20 retary of the Interior, the Upper Mississippi River  
21 Basin Association, and natural resource and con-  
22 servation agencies of the States of Illinois, Iowa,  
23 Minnesota, Missouri, and Wisconsin to provide for  
24 the direct participation of and transfer of funds to  
25 such entities for the planning, implementation, and

1 evaluation of projects and programs established by  
2 this section.

3 (f) SPECIFIC PROJECTS AUTHORIZATION.—

4 (1) IN GENERAL.—There is authorized to be  
5 appropriated to carry out this subsection  
6 \$1,717,000,000, of which not more than  
7 \$245,000,000 shall be available for projects de-  
8 scribed in subsection (b)(2)(B) and not more than  
9 \$48,000,000 shall be available for projects described  
10 in subsection (b)(2)(J). Such sums shall remain  
11 available until expended.

12 (2) LIMITATION ON AVAILABLE FUNDS.—Of the  
13 amounts made available under paragraph (1), not  
14 more than \$35,000,000 in any fiscal year may be  
15 used for land acquisition under subsection (b)(4).

16 (3) INDIVIDUAL PROJECT LIMIT.—Other than  
17 for projects described in subparagraphs (B) and (J)  
18 of subsection (b)(2), the total cost of any single  
19 project carried out under this subsection shall not  
20 exceed \$25,000,000.

21 (4) MONITORING.—In addition to amounts au-  
22 thorized under paragraph (1), there are authorized  
23 \$10,420,000 per fiscal year to carry out the moni-  
24 toring program under subsection (e) if such sums  
25 are not appropriated pursuant to section 1103(e)(4)

1 the Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (33  
2 U.S.C. 652(e)(4)).

3 (g) IMPLEMENTATION REPORTS.—

4 (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than June 30,  
5 2009, and every 4 years thereafter, the Secretary  
6 shall submit to the Committee on Environment and  
7 Public Works of the Senate and the Committee on  
8 Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of  
9 Representatives an implementation report that—

10 (A) includes baselines, milestones, goals,  
11 and priorities for ecosystem restoration  
12 projects; and

13 (B) measures the progress in meeting the  
14 goals.

15 (2) ADVISORY PANEL.—

16 (A) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall ap-  
17 point and convene an advisory panel to provide  
18 independent guidance in the development of  
19 each implementation report under paragraph  
20 (1).

21 (B) PANEL MEMBERS.—Panel members  
22 shall include—

23 (i) one representative of each of the  
24 State resource agencies (or a designee of  
25 the Governor of the State) from each of

1 the States of Illinois, Iowa, Minnesota,  
2 Missouri, and Wisconsin;

3 (ii) one representative of the Depart-  
4 ment of Agriculture;

5 (iii) one representative of the Depart-  
6 ment of Transportation;

7 (iv) one representative of the United  
8 States Geological Survey;

9 (v) one representative of the United  
10 States Fish and Wildlife Service;

11 (vi) one representative of the Environ-  
12 mental Protection Agency;

13 (vii) one representative of affected  
14 landowners;

15 (viii) two representatives of conserva-  
16 tion and environmental advocacy groups;  
17 and

18 (ix) two representatives of agriculture  
19 and industry advocacy groups.

20 (C) CHAIRPERSON.—The Secretary shall  
21 serve as chairperson of the advisory panel.

22 (D) APPLICATION OF FEDERAL ADVISORY  
23 COMMITTEE ACT.—The Advisory Panel and any  
24 working group established by the Advisory  
25 Panel shall not be considered an advisory com-

1           mittee under the Federal Advisory Committee  
2           Act (5 U.S.C. App.).

3           (h) RANKING SYSTEM.—

4           (1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary, in consulta-  
5           tion with the Advisory Panel, shall develop a system  
6           to rank proposed projects.

7           (2) PRIORITY.—The ranking system shall give  
8           greater weight to projects that restore natural river  
9           processes, including those projects listed in sub-  
10          section (b)(2).

11       **SEC. 8005. COMPARABLE PROGRESS.**

12          (a) IN GENERAL.—As the Secretary conducts pre-en-  
13          gineering, design, and construction for projects authorized  
14          under this title, the Secretary shall—

15               (1) select appropriate milestones;

16               (2) determine, at the time of such selection,  
17          whether the projects are being carried out at com-  
18          parable rates; and

19               (3) make an annual report to Congress, begin-  
20          ning in fiscal year 2009, regarding whether the  
21          projects are being carried out at a comparable rate.

22          (b) NO COMPARABLE RATE.—If the Secretary or  
23          Congress determines under subsection (a)(2) that projects  
24          authorized under this title are not moving toward comple-  
25          tion at a comparable rate, annual funding requests for the

1 projects shall be adjusted to ensure that the projects move  
2 toward completion at a comparable rate in the future.

3       **TITLE IX—NATIONAL LEVEE**  
4               **SAFETY PROGRAM**

5 **SEC. 9001. SHORT TITLE.**

6       This title may be cited as the “National Levee Safety  
7 Act of 2007”.

8 **SEC. 9002. DEFINITIONS.**

9       In this title, the following definitions apply:

10           (1) **COMMITTEE.**—The term “committee”  
11 means the Committee on Levee Safety established by  
12 section 9003(a).

13           (2) **INSPECTION.**—The term “inspection”  
14 means an actual inspection of a levee—

15                   (A) to establish the global information sys-  
16 tem location of the levee;

17                   (B) to determine the general condition of  
18 the levee; and

19                   (C) to estimate the number of structures  
20 and population at risk and protected by the  
21 levee that would be adversely impacted if the  
22 levee fails or water levels exceed the height of  
23 the levee.

24           (3) **LEVEE.**—



1 (A) IN GENERAL.—The term “levee”  
2 means an embankment, including floodwalls—

3 (i) the primary purpose of which is to  
4 provide hurricane, storm, and flood protec-  
5 tion relating to seasonal high water, storm  
6 surges, precipitation, and other weather  
7 events; and

8 (ii) that normally is subject to water  
9 loading for only a few days or weeks dur-  
10 ing a year.

11 (B) INCLUSION.—The term includes struc-  
12 tures along canals that constrain water flows  
13 and are subject to more frequent water loadings  
14 but that do not constitute a barrier across a  
15 watercourse.

16 (4) STATE.—The term “State” means—

17 (A) a State;  
18 (B) the District of Columbia;  
19 (C) the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico;  
20 and

21 (D) any other territory or possession of the  
22 United States.

23 (5) STATE LEVEE SAFETY AGENCY.—The term  
24 “State levee safety agency” means the agency of a

1 State that has regulatory authority over the safety  
2 of any non-Federal levee in the State.

3 (6) UNITED STATES.—The term “United  
4 States”, when used in a geographical sense, means  
5 all of the States.

6 **SEC. 9003. COMMITTEE ON LEVEE SAFETY.**

7 (a) ESTABLISHMENT.—There is established a com-  
8 mittee to be known as the “Committee on Levee Safety”.

9 (b) MEMBERSHIP.—The committee shall be com-  
10 posed of 16 members as follows:

11 (1) The Secretary (or the Secretary’s designee),  
12 who shall serve as the chairperson of the Committee.

13 (2) The Administrator of the Federal Emer-  
14 gency Management Agency (or the Administrator’s  
15 designee).

16 (3) The following 14 members appointed by the  
17 Secretary:

18 (A) 8 representatives of State levee safety  
19 agencies, one from each of the 8 civil works di-  
20 visions of the Corps of Engineers.

21 (B) 2 representatives of the private sector  
22 who have expertise in levee safety.

23 (C) 2 representatives of local and regional  
24 governmental agencies who have expertise in  
25 levee safety.

1                   (D) 2 representatives of Indian tribes who  
2                   have expertise in levee safety.

3           (c) DUTIES.—

4                   (1) DEVELOPMENT OF RECOMMENDATIONS FOR  
5           NATIONAL LEVEE SAFETY PROGRAM.—The com-  
6           mittee shall develop recommendations for a national  
7           levee safety program, including a strategic plan for  
8           implementation of the program.

9                   (2) REPORT.—Not later than 180 days after  
10           the date of enactment of this Act, the committee  
11           shall submit to the Secretary, the Committee on  
12           Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of  
13           Representatives, and the Committee on Environment  
14           and Public Works of the Senate a report containing  
15           the recommendations developed under paragraph  
16           (1).

17           (d) PURPOSES.—In developing recommendations  
18           under subsection (c)(1), the committee shall ensure that  
19           the national levee safety program meets the following  
20           goals:

21                   (1) Ensuring the protection of human life and  
22           property by levees through the development of tech-  
23           nologically, economically, socially, and environ-  
24           mentally feasible programs and procedures for haz-  
25           ard reduction and mitigation relating to levees.

1           (2) Encouraging use of the best available engi-  
2           neering policies and procedures for levee site inves-  
3           tigation, design, construction, operation and mainte-  
4           nance, and emergency preparedness.

5           (3) Encouraging the establishment and imple-  
6           mentation of an effective national levee safety pro-  
7           gram that may be delegated to qualified States for  
8           implementation, including identification of incentives  
9           and disincentives for State levee safety programs.

10          (4) Ensuring that levees are operated and  
11          maintained in accordance with appropriate and pro-  
12          tective standards by conducting an inventory and in-  
13          spection of levees.

14          (5) Developing and supporting public education  
15          and awareness projects to increase public acceptance  
16          and support of State and national levee safety pro-  
17          grams.

18          (6) Building public awareness of the residual  
19          risks associated with living in levee protected areas.

20          (7) Developing technical assistance materials  
21          for State and national levee safety programs.

22          (8) Developing methods to provide technical as-  
23          sistance relating to levee safety to non-Federal enti-  
24          ties.

1           (9) Developing technical assistance materials,  
2 seminars, and guidelines relating to the physical in-  
3 tegrity of levees in the United States.

4           (e) COMPENSATION OF MEMBERS.—A member of the  
5 committee shall serve without compensation.

6           (f) TRAVEL EXPENSES.—To the extent amounts are  
7 made available in advance in appropriations Acts, the Sec-  
8 retary shall reimburse a member of the committee for  
9 travel expenses, including per diem in lieu of subsistence,  
10 at rates authorized for an employee of a Federal agency  
11 under subchapter I of chapter 57 of title 5, United States  
12 Code, while away from the home or regular place of busi-  
13 ness of the member in performance of services for the  
14 committee.

15           (g) APPLICABILITY OF FEDERAL ADVISORY COM-  
16 MITTEE ACT.—The Federal Advisory Committee Act (5  
17 U.S.C. App.) shall not apply to the committee.

18 **SEC. 9004. INVENTORY AND INSPECTION OF LEVEES.**

19           (a) LEVEE DATABASE.—

20           (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than one year  
21 after the date of enactment of this Act, the Sec-  
22 retary shall establish and maintain a database with  
23 an inventory of the Nation's levees.

24           (2) CONTENTS.—The database shall include—

1 (A) location information of all Federal levees  
2 in the Nation (including global information  
3 system information) and, for non-Federal levees,  
4 such information on levee location as is  
5 provided to the Secretary by State and local  
6 governmental agencies;

7 (B) utilizing such information as is available,  
8 the general condition of each levee; and

9 (C) an estimate of the number of structures  
10 and population at risk and protected by  
11 each levee that would be adversely impacted if  
12 the levee fails or water levels exceed the height  
13 of the levee.

14 (3) AVAILABILITY OF INFORMATION.—

15 (A) AVAILABILITY TO FEDERAL, STATE,  
16 AND LOCAL GOVERNMENTAL AGENCIES.—The  
17 Secretary shall make all of the information in  
18 the database available to appropriate Federal,  
19 State, and local governmental agencies.

20 (B) AVAILABILITY TO THE PUBLIC.—The  
21 Secretary shall make the information in the  
22 database described in paragraph (2)(A), and  
23 such other information in the database as the  
24 Secretary determines appropriate, available to  
25 the public.

1 (b) INVENTORY AND INSPECTION OF LEVEES.—

2 (1) FEDERAL LEVEES.—The Secretary, at Fed-  
3 eral expense, shall establish an inventory and con-  
4 duct an inspection of all federally owned and oper-  
5 ated levees.

6 (2) FEDERALLY CONSTRUCTED, NONFEDER-  
7 ALLY OPERATED AND MAINTAINED LEVEES.—The  
8 Secretary shall establish an inventory and conduct  
9 an inspection of all federally constructed, non-feder-  
10 ally operated and maintained levees, at the original  
11 cost share for the project.

12 (3) PARTICIPATING LEVEES.—For non-Federal  
13 levees the owners of which are participating in the  
14 emergency response to natural disasters program es-  
15 tablished under section 5 of the Act entitled “An Act  
16 authorizing the construction of certain public works  
17 on rivers and harbors for flood control, and for other  
18 purposes”, approved August 18, 1941 (33 U.S.C.  
19 701n), the Secretary shall establish an inventory and  
20 conduct an inspection of each such levee if the owner  
21 of the levee requests such inspection. The Federal  
22 share of the cost of an inspection under this para-  
23 graph shall be 65 percent.

24 **SEC. 9005. LIMITATIONS ON STATUTORY CONSTRUCTION.**

25 Nothing in this title shall be construed as—

1           (1) creating any liability of the United States or  
2           its officers or employees for the recovery of damages  
3           caused by an action or failure to act; or








4           (2) relieving an owner or operator of a levee of  
5           a legal duty, obligation, or liability incident to the  
6           ownership or operation of a levee.

7 **SEC. 9006. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.**








8           There is authorized to be appropriated to the Sec-  
9           retary to carry out this title \$20,000,000 for each of fiscal  
10          years 2008 through 2013.






# H.R. 1495

<i>Managers on the part of the</i> <b>HOUSE</b>	<i>Managers on the part of the</i> <b>SENATE</b>
From the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure, for consideration of the House bill and the Senate amendment, and modifications committed to conference:	
 Mr. Oberstar	
 Ms. Eddie Bernice Johnson of Texas	
 Mrs. Tauscher	
 Mr. Baird	
 Mr. Higgins	
 Mr. Mitchell	
 Mr. Kagen	









### H.R. 1495—Continued

<i>Managers on the part of the HOUSE</i>	<i>Managers on the part of the SENATE</i>
 Mr. McInerney	
 Mr. Mica	
 Mr. Duncan	
 Mr. Ehlert	
 Mr. Baker	
 Mr. Brown of South Carolina	
 Mr. Boozman	




### H.R. 1495—Continued

<i>Managers on the part of the</i> <b>HOUSE</b>	<i>Managers on the part of the</i> <b>SENATE</b>
From the Committee on Natural Resources, for consideration of secs. 2014, 2023, and 6009 of the House bill, and secs. 3023, 5008, and 5016 of the Senate amendment, and modifications committed to conference:	
 Mr. Rahall	
 Mrs. Napolitano	
 Mrs. McMorris Rodgers	

### H.R. 1495—Continued

<i>Managers on the part of the HOUSE</i>	<i>Managers on the part of the SENATE</i>
	 Mrs. Boxer
	 Mr. Baucus
	 Mr. Lieberman
	 Mr. Cardo
	 Mrs. Clinton
	 Mr. Lautenberg
	 Mr. Inhofe
	 Mr. Warner

# H.R. 1495—Continued

<i>Managers on the part of the HOUSE</i>	<i>Managers on the part of the SENATE</i>
	 Mr. Voinovich
	 Mr. Isakson
	 Mr. Vitter

HLC

2

And the Senate agree to the same.

HLC

**JOINT EXPLANATORY STATEMENT OF THE COMMITTEE OF  
CONFERENCE**

The managers on the part of the House and the Senate at the conference on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses on the amendment of the Senate to the bill (H.R. 1495), to provide for the conservation and development of water and related resources, to authorize the Secretary of the Army to construct various projects for improvements to rivers and harbors of the United States, and for other purposes, submit the following joint statement to the House and the Senate in explanation of the effect of the action agreed upon by the managers and recommended in the accompanying conference report:

The Senate amendment struck all of the House bill after the enacting clause and inserted a substitute text.

The House recedes from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate with an amendment that is a substitute for the House bill and the Senate amendment. The differences between the House bill, the Senate amendment, and the substitute agreed to in conference are noted below, except for clerical corrections, conforming changes made necessary by agreements reached by the conferees, and minor drafting and clarifying changes.

## JOINT EXPLANATORY STATEMENT OF THE COMMITTEE OF CONFERENCE

The Managers on the part of the House and Senate on the conference on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses on the amendment of the Senate to the bill (H.R. 1495) to provide for the conservation and development of water and related resources, to authorize the Secretary of the Army to construct various projects for improvements to rivers and harbors of the United States, and for other purposes, submit the following joint statement to the House and Senate in explanation of the effect of the action agreed upon by the managers and recommended in the accompanying conference report:

The Senate amendment struck all of the House bill after the enacting clause and inserted a substitute text.

The House recedes from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate with an amendment that is a substitute for the House bill and the Senate amendment. The differences among the House bill, the Senate amendment, and the substitute agreed to in conference are noted below, except for clerical corrections, conforming changes made necessary by agreements reached by the Managers, and minor drafting and clerical changes.

### TITLE I – WATER RESOURCES PROJECTS

#### SECTION 1001 – PROJECT AUTHORIZATIONS.

- 1001(1)* Haines, Alaska. House § 1001(1), Senate § 1001(1). – Senate recedes.
- 1001(2)* Port Lions, Alaska. House § 1001(2). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.
- 1001(3)* Santa Cruz River, Paseo de Las Iglesias, Arizona. House § 1001(4). No comparable Senate Section. – Senate recedes.
- 1001(4)* Tanque Verde Creek, Pima County, Arizona. House § 1001(5), Senate § 1001(2). – House recedes.
- 1001(5)* Salt River (Rio Salado Oeste), Maricopa County, Arizona. House § 1001(3). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.
- 1001(6)* Salt River (Va Shly'ay Akimel), Maricopa County, Arizona. House § 1001(6), Senate § 1001(3). – House recedes, with an amendment.
- 1001(7)* May Branch, Fort Smith, Arkansas. House § 1001(7), Senate § 1001(4). – House recedes.
- 1001(8)* Hamilton City, Glenn County, California. House § 1001(8), Senate § 1001(5). – House recedes.
- 1001(9)* Silver Strand Shoreline, Imperial Beach, California. House § 1001(9), Senate 1001(6). – House recedes.
- 1001(10)* Matilija Dam, Ventura County, California. House § 1001(10), Senate 1001(7). – House recedes.
- 1001(11)* Middle Creek, Lake County, California. House § 1001(11), Senate 1001 § 1001(8). – House recedes.



*1001(12)* Napa River Salt Marsh Restoration, California. House § 1001(12), Senate § 1001(9).  
– Senate recedes.

*1001(13)* Denver County Reach, South Platte River, Denver, Colorado. House § 1001(13),  
Senate § 1001(10). – Senate recedes.

*1001(14)* Central and Southern Florida, Indian River Lagoon. House § 6005, Senate § 1001  
(12). – House recedes.

*1001(15)* Comprehensive Everglades Restoration Plan, Central and Southern Florida,  
Picayune Strand Restoration Project, Collier County, Florida. House § 6005, Senate §  
1001(14). – House recedes.

*1001(16)* Comprehensive Everglades Restoration Plan, Central and Southern Florida, Site 1  
Impoundment Project, Palm Beach County, Florida. House § 6005, Senate § 1001(11).—  
House recedes.

*1001(17)* Miami Harbor, Miami-Dade County, Florida. House § 1001(14), Senate § 1001(13).  
– Senate recedes.

*1001(18)* East St. Louis and Vicinity, Illinois. House § 1001(15), Senate § 1001(15). – Senate  
recedes.

*1001(19)* Peoria Riverfront Development, Illinois. House § 1001(16), Senate § 1001(16). –  
House recedes.

*1001(20)* Wood River Levee System Reconstruction, Madison County, Illinois. House §  
1001(17), Senate 1001(17). – House recedes.

*1001(21)* Des Moines and Raccoon Rivers, Des Moines, Iowa. House § 1001(18), Senate  
1001(18). – Senate recedes.

*1001(22)* Licking River Basin, Cynthiana, Kentucky. House § 1001(19). No comparable  
Senate Section. – Senate recedes.

*1001(23)* Bayou Sorrel Lock, Louisiana. House § 1001(20), Senate 1001(19). – House  
recedes.

*1001(24)* Morganza to the Gulf of Mexico, Louisiana. House § 1001(21), Senate § 1001(20).  
– House recedes.

*1001(25)* Port of Iberia, Louisiana. House § 1001(22), Senate § 1001(21). – House recedes,  
with an amendment.

*1001(26)* Smith Island, Somerset County, Maryland. House § 1001(23), Senate § 1001(23). –  
House recedes.

*1001(27)* Roseau River, Roseau, Minnesota. House § 1001(24), Senate § 1001(24). – Senate  
recedes.

*1001(28)* Argentine, East Bottoms, Fairfax-Jersey Creek, and North Kansas Levees Units,  
Missouri River and Tributaries at Kansas Cities, Missouri and Kansas. House § 1001(26),  
Senate § 1001(26). – House recedes.

*1001(29)* Swope Park Industrial Area, Blue River, Kansas City, Missouri. House § 1001(27),  
Senate § 1001(27). – Senate recedes,

*1001(30)* Great Egg Harbor Inlet to Townsends Inlet, New Jersey. House § 1001(28),  
Senate 1001(28). – House recedes.

*1001(31)* Hudson Raritan Estuary, Liberty State Park, New Jersey. House § 1001(29), Senate  
§ 1001(29). – Senate recedes.

*1001(32)* New Jersey Shore Protection Study, Manasquan Inlet to Barnegat Inlet, New  
Jersey. House § 1001(30), Senate § 1001(30). – Senate recedes.

*1001(33)* Raritan Bay and Sandy Hook Bay, Union Beach, New Jersey. House § 1001(31),  
Senate § 1001(31). – House recedes.

*1001(34)* South River, Raritan River Basin, New Jersey. House § 1001(32), Senate § 1001(32). – House recedes.

*1001(35)* Southwest Valley, Bernalillo County, New Mexico. House § 1001(33), Senate § 1001(33). – House recedes.

*1001(36)* Montauk Point, New York. House § 1001(34), Senate § 1001(34). – Senate recedes.

*1001(37)* Hocking River Basin, Monday Creek, Ohio. House § 1001(35), Senate § 1001(35). – House recedes, with an amendment.

*1001(38)* Town of Bloomsburg, Columbia County, Pennsylvania. House § 1001(36), Senate § 1001(36). – Senate recedes.

*1001(39)* Pawleys Island, South Carolina. House § 1001(37), Senate § 1001(37). – Senate recedes.

*1001(40)* Corpus Christi Ship Channel, Corpus Christi, Texas. House § 1001(38), Senate 1001(38). – Senate recedes, with an amendment.

*1001(41)* Gulf Intracoastal Waterway, Brazos River to Port O'Connor, Matagorda Bay Re-Route, Texas. House § 1001(39), Senate § 1001(39). – House recedes.

*1001(42)* Gulf Intracoastal Waterway, High Island to Brazos River, Texas. House § 1001(40), Senate § 1001(40). – House recedes.

*1001(43)* Lower Colorado River Basin Phase I, Texas. House § 1001(41), Senate § 1001(41). – Senate recedes.

*1001(44)* Atlantic Intracoastal Waterway Bridge Replacement, Deep Creek, Chesapeake, Virginia. House § 1001(43), Senate § 1001(43). – Senate recedes.

*1001(45)* Craney Island Eastward Expansion, Norfolk Harbor and Channels, Hampton Roads, Virginia. House § 1001(44), Senate § 1001(42). – House recedes, with an amendment.

*1001(46)* Centralia, Chehalis River, Lewis County, Washington. Senate § 1001(44). No comparable House section. – House recedes.

#### SEC. 1002. SMALL PROJECTS FOR FLOOD DAMAGE REDUCTION.

*1002(a)(1)* Haleyville, Alabama. House § 1002(a)(1). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

*1002(a)(2)* Weiss Lake, Alabama. House § 1002(a)(2). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

*1002(a)(3)* Fort Yukon, Alaska. House § 5032. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes, with an amendment.

*1002(a)(4)* Little Colorado River Levee, Arizona. House § 1002(a)(3). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

*1002(a)(5)* Cache River Basin, Grubbs, Arkansas. House § 1002(a)(4), Senate § 1004(1). – Same..

*1002(a)(6)* Barrel Springs Wash, Palmdale, California. House § 1002(a)(5). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

*1002(a)(7)* Borrego Springs, California. House § 1002(a)(6). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

*1002(a)(8)* Colton, California. House § 1002(a)(7). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

*1002(a)(9)* Dunlap Stream, Yucaipa, California. House § 1002(a)(8). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

*1002(a)(10)* Hunts Canyon Wash, Palmdale, California. House § 1002(a)(9). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

*1002(a)(11)* Ontario and Chino, California. House § 1002(a)(10). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

*1002(a)(12)* Santa Venetia, California. House § 1002(a)(11). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

*1002(a)(13)* Whittier, California. House § 1002(a)(12). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

*1002(a)(14)* Wildwood Creek, Yucaipa, California. House § 1002(a)(13). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

*1002(a)(15)* Bibb County and City of Macon Levee, Georgia. Senate § 1004(2). No comparable House section. – House recedes.

*1002(a)(16)* Fort Wayne and Vicinity, Indiana. Senate § 1004(3). House § 3051. – House recedes, with an amendment.

*1002(a)(17)* St. Francisville, Louisiana. House § 1002(a)(14). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

*1002(a)(18)* Salem, Massachusetts. House § 1002(a)(15), Senate 1004(4). – Same.

*1002(a)(19)* Cass River, Michigan. House § 1002(a)(16). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

*1002(a)(20)* Crow River, Rockford, Minnesota. House § 1002(a)(17), Senate § 1004(5). – Same.

*1002(a)(21)* Marsh Creek, Minnesota. House § 1002(a)(18). No comparable Senate Section. – Senate recedes.

*1002(a)(22)* South Branch of the Wild Rice River, Borup, Minnesota. House § 1002(a)(19), Senate § 1004(6). – Same.

*1002(a)(23)* Blacksnake Creek, St. Joseph, Missouri. House § 1002(a)(20). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

*1002(a)(24)* Acid Brook, Pompton Lakes, New Jersey. House § 1002(a)(21). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

*1002(a)(25)* Canisteo River, Addison, New York. House § 1002(a)(22). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

*1002(a)(26)* Cohocton River, Campbell, New York. House § 1002(a)(23). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

*1002(a)(27)* Dry and Otter Creeks, Cortland, New York. House § 1002(a)(24). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

*1002(a)(28)* East River, Silver Beach, New York City, New York. House § 1002(a)(25). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

*1002(a)(29)* East Valley Creek, Andover, New York. House § 1002(a)(26). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

*1002(a)(30)* Sunnyside Brook, Westchester County, New York. House § 1002(a)(27). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

*1002(a)(31)* Little Yankee and Mud Run, Trumbull County, Ohio. House § 1002(a)(28). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

*1002(a)(32)* Little Neshaminy Creek, Warrington, Pennsylvania. House § 1002(a)(29). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

*1002(a)(33)* Southampton Creek Watershed, Southampton, Pennsylvania. House § 1002(a)(30). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

*1002(a)(34)* Spring Creek, Lower Macungie Township, Pennsylvania. House § 1002(a)(31). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

*1002(a)(35)* Yardley Aqueduct, Silver and Brock Creeks, Yardley, Pennsylvania. House § 1002(a)(32). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

*1002(a)(36)* Surfside Beach, South Carolina. House § 1002(a)(33). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

*1002(a)(37)* Sandy Creek, Jackson County, Tennessee. Senate § 3113. No comparable House section. – House recesses, with an amendment.

*1002(a)(38)* Congelosi Ditch, Missouri City, Texas. House § 1002(a)(34). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

*1002(a)(39)* Dilley, Texas. House § 1002(a)(35). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

*1002(a)(40)* Cheyenne, Wyoming. Senate § 1004(7). No comparable House section. – House recesses.

#### SEC. 1003. SMALL PROJECTS FOR EMERGENCY STREAMBANK PROTECTION.

*1003(1)* Aliso Creek, California. House § 1003(1). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

*1003(2)* St. Johns Bluff Training Wall, Duval County, Florida. House § 1003(2). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

*1003(3)* Gulf Intracoastal Waterway, Iberville Parish, Louisiana. House § 1003(3). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

*1003(4)* Ouachita and Black Rivers, Arkansas and Louisiana. House § 1003(4). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

*1003(5)* Piney Point Lighthouse, St. Mary's County, Maryland. House § 1003(5). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

*1003(6)* Pug Hole Lake, Minnesota. House § 1003(6). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

*1003(7)* Middle Fork Grand River, Gentry County, Missouri. House § 1003(7). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

*1003(8)* Platte River, Platte City, Missouri. House § 1003(8). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

*1003(9)* Rush Creek, Parkville, Missouri. House § 1003(9). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

*1003(10)* Dry and Otter Creeks, Cortland County, New York. House § 1003(10). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

*1003(11)* Keuka Lake, Hammondsport, New York. House § 1003(11). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

*1003(12)* Kowawese Unique Area and Hudson River, New Windsor, New York. House § 1003(12). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

*1003(13)* Owego Creek, Tioga County, New York. House § 1003(13). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

*1003(14)* Howard Road Outfall, Shelby County, Tennessee. House § 1003(14). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

*1003(15)* Mitch Farm Ditch and Lateral D, Shelby County, Tennessee. House § 1003(15). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

*1003(16)* Wolf River Tributaries, Shelby County, Tennessee. House § 1003(16). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

1003(17) Johnson Creek, Arlington, Texas. House § 1003(17). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

1003(18) Wells River, Newbury, Vermont. House § 1003(18). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

#### SEC. 1004. SMALL PROJECTS FOR NAVIGATION.

1004(a)(1) Barrow Harbor, Alaska. Senate § 1005(1). No comparable House section. – House recedes.

1004(a)(2) Coffman Cove, Alaska. House § 5030. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes, with an amendment.

1004(a)(3) Kotzebue Harbor, Alaska. House § 5033. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes, with an amendment.

1004(a)(4) Nome Harbor, Alaska. Senate § 1005(2). No comparable House section. – House recedes.

1004(a)(5) Old Harbor, Alaska. Senate § 1005(3). No comparable House section. – House recedes.

1004(a)(6) Little Rock Port, Arkansas. Senate § 1005(4). No comparable House section. – House recedes.

1004(a)(7) Mississippi River Ship Channel, Louisiana. House § 1004(a)(1). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

1004(a)(8) East Basin, Cape Cod Canal, Sandwich, Massachusetts. House § 1004(a)(2), Senate 1005(5). – Same.

1004(a)(9) Lynn Harbor, Lynn, Massachusetts. House § 1004(a)(3), Senate § 1005(6). – Same.

1004(a)(10) Merrimack River, Haverhill, Massachusetts. House § 1004(a)(4), Senate § 1005(7). – Same.

1004(a)(11) Oak Bluffs Harbor, Oak Bluffs, Massachusetts. House § 1004(a)(5), Senate § 1005(8). – Same.

1004(a)(12) Woods Hole Great Harbor, Falmouth, Massachusetts. House § 1004(a)(6), Senate § 1005(9). – Same.

1004(a)(13) Au Sable River, Michigan. House § 1004(a)(7), Senate § 1005(10). – Same.

1004(a)(14) Clinton River, Michigan. Senate § 1005(11). No comparable House section. – House recedes.

1004(a)(15) Ontonagon River, Michigan. Senate § 1005(12). No comparable House section. – House recedes.

1004(a)(16) Outer Channel and Inner Harbor, Menominee Harbor, Michigan and Wisconsin. Senate § 1005(16). No comparable House section. – House recedes.

1004(a)(17) Sebewaing River, Michigan. Senate § 1005(14). No comparable House section. – House recedes.

1004(a)(18) Traverse City Harbor, Traverse City, Michigan. House § 1004(a)(8), Senate § 1005(13). – Same.

1004(a)(19) Tower Harbor, Tower Minnesota. House § 1004(a)(9), Senate § 1005(15). – Same.

1004(a)(20) Olcott Harbor, Olcott, New York. House § 1004(a)(10). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

1004(a)(21) Milwaukee Harbor, Wisconsin. Senate § 1005(18). No comparable House section. – House recedes.

SEC. 1005. SMALL PROJECTS FOR IMPROVEMENT OF THE QUALITY OF THE ENVIRONMENT.

*1005(1)* Ballona Creek, Los Angeles County, California. House § 1005(1). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

*1005(2)* Ballona Lagoon Tide Gates, Marina Del Ray, California. House § 1005(2). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

*1005(3)* Ft. George Inlet, Duval County, Florida. House § 1005(3). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

*1005(4)* Rathbun Lake, Iowa. House § 1005(4). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

*1005(5)* Smithville Lake, Missouri. House § 1005(5). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

*1005(6)* Delaware Bay, New Jersey and Delaware. House § 1005(6). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

*1005(7)* Tioga-Hammond Lakes, Pennsylvania. House § 1005(7). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

SEC. 1006. SMALL PROJECTS FOR AQUATIC ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION.

*1006(a)(1)* Cypress Creek, Montgomery, Alabama. House § 1006(1). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

*1006(a)(2)* Black Lake, Alaska. House § 1006(2), Senate § 1006(1). – Same.

*1006(a)(3)* Ben Lomond Dam, Santa Cruz, California. House § 1006(4). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

*1006(a)(4)* Dockweiler Bluffs, Los Angeles County, California. House § 1006(5). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

*1006(a)(5)* Salt River, California. House § 1006(6). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

*1006(a)(6)* San Diego River, California. Senate § 1006(2). No comparable House section. – House recesses.

*1006(a)(7)* Santa Rosa Creek, Santa Rosa, California. House § 1006(7). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

*1006(a)(8)* Stockton Deep Water Ship Channel and Lower San Joaquin River, California. House § 1006(8). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

*1006(a)(9)* Suisun Marsh, San Pablo Bay, California. Senate § 1006(3). No comparable House section. – House recesses.

*1006(a)(10)* Sweetwater Reservoir, San Diego County, California. House § 1006(9). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

*1006(a)(11)* Biscayne Bay, Florida. House § 1006(10). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

*1006(a)(12)* Clam Bayou and Dinkins Bayou, Sanibel Island, Florida. House § 1006(11). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

*1006(a)(13)* Mountain Park, Georgia. Senate § 2037(a)(2)(A). No comparable House section. – House recesses.

*1006(a)(14)* Chattahoochee Fall Line, Georgia and Alabama. House § 1006(12), Senate § 1006(4). – Senate recesses.

*1006(a)(15)* Longwood Cove, Gainesville, Georgia. House § 1006(13). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

*1006(a)(16)* City Park, University Lakes, Louisiana. House § 1006(15). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

*1006(a)(17)* Lawrence Gateway, Massachusetts. Senate § 1006(5). No comparable House section. – House recesses.

*1006(a)(18)* Milford Pond, Milford, Massachusetts. Senate § 1006(7). No comparable House section. – House recesses.

*1006(a)(19)* Mill Pond, Littleton, Massachusetts. House § 1006(16), Senate § 1006(6). – Same.

*1006(a)(20)* Pine Tree Brook, Milton, Massachusetts. House § 1006(17), Senate § 1006(8). – Same.

*1006(a)(21)* Clinton River, Michigan. Senate § 1006(9). No comparable House section. – House recesses.

*1006(a)(22)* Kalamazoo River Watershed, Battle Creek, Michigan. House § 1006(18). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

*1006(a)(23)* Rush Lake, Minnesota. House § 1006(19). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

*1006(a)(24)* South Fork of the Crow River, Hutchinson, Minnesota. House § 1006(20). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

*1006(a)(25)* St. Louis, Missouri. House § 1006(21). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

*1006(a)(26)* Mobley Dam, Tongue River, Montana. No comparable House or Senate section.

*1006(a)(27)* S and H Dam, Tongue River, Montana. No comparable House or Senate section.

*1006(a)(28)* Vandalia Dam, Milk River, Montana. No comparable House or Senate section.

*1006(a)(29)* Truckee River, Reno, Nevada. House § 1006(22). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

*1006(a)(30)* Grover's Mill Pond, New Jersey. House § 1006(23). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

*1006(a)(31)* Caldwell County, North Carolina. Senate § 1006(10). No comparable House section. – House recesses.

*1006(a)(32)* Mecklenburg County, North Carolina. Senate § 1006(11). No comparable House section. – House recesses.

*1006(a)(33)* Dugway Creek, Bratenahl, Ohio. House § 1006(24). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

*1006(a)(34)* Johnson Creek, Gresham, Oregon. House § 1006(25), Senate § 1006(12). – Same.

*1006(a)(35)* Beaver Creek, Beaver and Salem, Pennsylvania. House § 1006(26). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

*1006(a)(36)* Cementon Dam, Lehigh River, Pennsylvania. House § 1006(27). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

*1006(a)(37)* Ingham Spring Dam, Solebury Township, Pennsylvania. House § 5003(a)(5). Senate § 2037(a)(2)(E). – House recesses.

*1006(a)(38)* Saucon Creek, Northampton County, Pennsylvania. House § 1006(28). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

*1006(a)(39)* Stillwater Lake Dam, Monroe County, Pennsylvania. Senate § 2037(a)(2)(F), House § 5003(a)(7). – House recesses.

*1006(a)(40)* Blackstone River, Rhode Island. House § 1006(29), Senate § 1006(13). – Same.

*1006(a)(41)* Wilson Branch, Cheraw, South Carolina. House § 1006(30). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

*1006(a)(42)* White River, Bethel, Vermont. House § 1006(31). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

*1006(a)(43)* College Lake, Lynchburg, Virginia. Senate § 1006(14). No comparable Senate section. – House recesses.

#### SEC. 1007. SMALL PROJECTS FOR SHORELINE PROTECTION.

*1007(1)* Nelson Lagoon, Alaska. House § 1007(1). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

*1007(2)* Nicholas Canyon, Los Angeles, California. Senate § 4006. No comparable House section. – House recesses.

*1007(3)* Sanibel Island, Florida. House § 1007(2). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

*1007(4)* Apra Harbor, Guam. House § 1007(3). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

*1007(5)* Piti, Cabras Island, Guam. House § 1007(4). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

*1007(6)* Narrows and Gravesend Bay, Upper New York Bay, Brooklyn, New York. House § 1007(5). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

*1007(7)* Delaware River, Philadelphia Naval Shipyard, Pennsylvania. House § 1007(7). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

*1007(8)* Port Aransas, Texas. House § 1007(8). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

#### SEC. 1008. SMALL PROJECTS FOR SNAGGING AND SEDIMENT REMOVAL.

*1008.* Kowawese Unique Area and Hudson River, New Windsor, New York. House § 1008. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

#### SEC. 1009. SMALL PROJECTS TO PREVENT OR MITIGATE DAMAGE CAUSED BY NAVIGATION PROJECTS.

*1009(1)* Tybee Island, Georgia. Senate § 1007(1). House § 4032. House recesses.

*1009(2)* Burns Waterway Harbor, Indiana. Senate § 1007(2). House § 5069. House recesses.

#### SEC. 1010. SMALL PROJECTS FOR AQUATIC PLANT CONTROL.

*1010.* Republican River Basin, Nebraska. Senate § 1008. No comparable House section. – House recesses, with an amendment.

### **TITLE 2 – GENERAL PROVISIONS.**

#### SEC. 2001. NON-FEDERAL CONTRIBUTIONS.

House § 2001, No comparable Senate section.—Senate recesses.



## SEC. 2002. FUNDING TO PROCESS PERMITS.

House § 2003, Senate § 2017. Senate recedes, with an amendment.

The Managers recognize the importance of efficient and effective processing of permits by the Corps of Engineers for activities affecting federally regulated waters, including wetlands, in compliance with the Federal Water Pollution Control Act (33 U.S.C. 1251 et. seq.). Congress included a provision in the Water Resources Development Act of 2000 (Pub. L. 106-541, Sec. 214) to expedite the permit processing time for nonfederal public entities.

The Managers also recognize the findings and recommendations of the May 2007 report of the United States Government Accountability Office (“GAO”), entitled “Corps of Engineers Needs to Ensure That Permit Decisions Made Using Funds from Nonfederal Public Entities Are Transparent and Impartial” (GAO-07-478). In this report, GAO emphasized the importance of transparency and impartiality in permit reviews and decisionmaking, and ensuring that all of the Corps’ District offices follow internal Corps’ Headquarters guidance on maintaining impartial decisionmaking, including, at a minimum, that all Corps District offices provide that permits decisions under section 214 are reviewed at least by one level above the decisionmaker, that all final permit decisions are made available electronically, that the Corps not eliminate any procedures or decisions that would otherwise be required for the type of project under consideration, and that the Corps comply with all applicable laws and regulations. The GAO report also expressed concern that certain Corps districts have allowed private companies to submit permit applications under section 214, in contravention to the intent of this authority.

Although GAO was not able to conclude definitively whether permitting processing times have decreased under the section 214 program, the report does recognize some benefits reported by participating non-Federal public entities, including the potential for reduced cost and time for permit processing for those entities that have contributed funds to the program, and improved communication between participating entities and the Corps.

The Managers intend to conduct additional oversight on the implementation of this program before the authority for this program expires in 2009.

## SEC. 2003. WRITTEN AGREEMENT FOR WATER RESOURCES PROJECTS.

House § 2009, Senate § 2001, 2023, and 2039.—Senate recedes.

## SEC. 2004. COMPILATION OF LAWS.

House § 2011, No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes, with an amendment.

## SEC. 2005. DREDGED MATERIAL DISPOSAL.

House § 2012, Senate § 3089.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 2006. REMOTE AND SUBSISTENCE HARBORS.

House § 2015, Senate § 2038.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 2007. USE OF OTHER FEDERAL FUNDS.

House § 2018, No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 2008. REVISION OF PROJECT PARTNERSHIP AGREEMENT; COST SHARING.

House § 2019, 2020, 2035. No comparable Senate sections.—Senate recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 2009. EXPEDITED ACTIONS FOR EMERGENCY FLOOD DAMAGE REDUCTION.

House § 2021, No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 2010. WATERSHED AND RIVER BASIN ASSESSMENTS.

House § 2022, No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes, with an amendment.

SEC.. 2011. TRIBAL PARTNERSHIP PROGRAM.

House § 2023, Senate § 2027.—House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 2012. WILDFIRE FIREFIGHTING.

House § 2024, Senate § 2022.—Same.

SEC. 2013. TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE.

House § 2025, Senate § 2009.—Senate recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 2014. LAKES PROGRAM.

House § 2026, Senate § 5001.—House and Senate with comparable sections, combine list of House and Senate projects.

This section amends section 602(a) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986 to add the following locations to the Lakes Program: Kinkaid Lake, Jackson County, Illinois; McCarter Pond, Borough of Fairhaven, New Jersey; Rogers Pond, Franklin Township, New Jersey; Greenwood Lake, New York and New Jersey; Lake Rodgers, Creedmoor, North Carolina; Lake Sakakawea, North Dakota; Lake Luxembourg, Pennsylvania; Lake Fairlee, Vermont; and Lake Morley, Vermont.

SEC. 2015. COOPERATIVE AGREEMENTS.

House § 2029, No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 2016. TRAINING FUNDS.

House § 2030, Senate § 2003.—Same.

SEC. 2017. ACCESS TO WATER RESOURCE DATA.

House § 2031, Senate § 2010.—House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 2018. SHORE PROTECTION PROJECTS.

House § 2032, Senate § 2014.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 2019. ABILITY TO PAY.

House § 2033, No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 2020. AQUATIC ECOSYSTEM AND ESTUARY RESTORATION.

House § 2006, Senate § 2033, 2035, and 2037.—Senate recedes, with an amendment.

The Managers recognize the importance of projects for the restoration of salt-water estuaries and for the rehabilitation and removal of dams in improving aquatic ecosystems and the environment. The Managers recognize that such projects are typically eligible under section 206 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1996 (33 U.S.C. 2330).

This section amends section 206 to explicitly authorize projects that improve elements and features of an estuary (as defined in section 103 of the Estuaries and Clean Waters Act of 2000 (33 U.S.S. 2902)) and projects for the removal of dams, that otherwise meet the requirements of section 206.

SEC. 2021. SMALL FLOOD DAMAGE REDUCTION PROJECTS.

House § 2007, Senate § 2040.—Senate recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 2022. SMALL RIVER AND HARBOR IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS.

Senate § 2031, No comparable House section.—House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 2023. PROTECTION OF HIGHWAYS, BRIDGE APPROACHES, PUBLIC WORKS, AND NONPROFIT PUBLIC SERVICES.

Senate § 2032, No comparable House section.—House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 2024. MODIFICATION OF PROJECTS FOR IMPROVEMENT OF THE QUALITY OF THE ENVIRONMENT.

House § 2008, Senate § 2034.—Senate recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 2025. REMEDIATION OF ABANDONED MINE SITES.

Senate § 2036, No comparable House section. — House recedes, with an amendment.

In carrying out this section, the Secretary shall give priority to the Mt. Diablo Mercury Mine Clean-up project in Contra Costa County, California.

SEC. 2026. LEASING AUTHORITY.

House § 2034, No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

Sec. 2027. FISCAL TRANSPARENCY REPORT.

Senate § 2004, No comparable House section.—House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 2028. SUPPORT OF ARMY CIVIL WORKS PROGRAM.

House § 2041, No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 2029. SENSE OF CONGRESS ON CRITERIA FOR OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE OF HARBOR DREDGING PROJECTS.

House § 2043, No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 2030. INTERAGENCY AND INTERNATIONAL SUPPORT AUTHORITY.

Senate § 2002, No comparable House section.—House recedes.

SEC. 2028. WATER RESOURCES PRINCIPLES AND GUIDELINES.

House § 2036, Senate § 2006.—Senate recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 2032. WATER RESOURCE PRIORITIES REPORT.

Senate § 2006(d), No comparable House section.—House recedes.

SEC. 2033. PLANNING.

Senate § 2005, No comparable House section. — House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 2034. INDEPENDENT PEER REVIEW.

Senate § 2007, House § 2037. — House recedes, with an amendment.

Section 2034 provides that project studies shall be subject to peer review by an independent panel of experts, as provided in this section. The conference agreement is a combination of independent peer review proposals passed by the Senate and the House of Representatives. The managers believe that the conference agreement improves upon both the House and Senate proposals to create a strong, workable, and independent process for review of project studies carried out by the Corps of Engineers. For example, the conference agreement authorizes the independent peer review to run concurrent with the project study period, and requires that the peer review panel remain beyond the release of the independent peer review report to allow the expertise gained during the review period to be utilized by the Corps up to the release of the draft report of the Chief of Engineers.

This section establishes two categories for independent peer review – project studies for which independent peer review is mandatory, and project studies for which such review is discretionary. This section provides for mandatory review of project studies that have an estimated total cost of more than \$45 million, project studies for which the Governor of an affected state requests an independent peer review, and project studies that the Chief of Engineers determines are controversial. In determining whether a project is controversial, the Chief of Engineers must consider whether there is significant public dispute as to the size, nature, or effects of the proposed project, and whether there is significant public dispute as to the economic or environmental costs or benefits of the proposed project.

Section 2034(a)(3)(B) provides for discretionary independent peer review of project studies for which the head of a Federal or state agency charged with reviewing the project study determines that the proposed project is likely to have a significant adverse impact on environmental, cultural, or other natural resources under the jurisdiction of the agency after implementation of the proposed mitigation plans. This section provides that the Chief of Engineers must reach a decision whether to conduct an independent peer review of such project studies within 21 days of a receipt of a request by the head of the Federal or state agency. In the event that the Chief of Engineers decides not to conduct a discretionary independent peer review, the head of the Federal or state agency that requested the review may appeal this decision to the Chairman of the Council on Environmental Quality (“CEQ”). The Chairman of CEQ must reach a decision on whether an independent peer review must be conducted for the project study with 30 days of receipt of an appeal. In the event that the Chief of Engineers decides not to conduct an independent peer review, the Chief of Engineers must make the reasons for not conducting the review publicly available, including on the Internet.

Section 2034 permits the Chief of Engineers to exclude a very limited number of project studies from independent peer review. The managers expect that project studies that could be excluded from independent peer review are so limited in scope or impact, that they would not significantly benefit from an independent peer review.

Sections 2034(a)(5)(A) and (B) establish criteria for the Chief of Engineers to exclude a project study that is subject to independent peer review because its estimated total costs exceed \$45 million. The managers expect that these criteria allow the Chief of Engineers to exclude from independent peer review only those project studies for which there is no controversy, a lack of significant impact to cultural, historical, or tribal resources, a lack of substantial adverse impacts to fish and wildlife species or habitat, and a lack of an impact on

endangered or threatened species under the Endangered Species Act, or involve projects that, in essence, replace existing components of ongoing projects within the same footprint as the original project, or have minimal risk to life or public safety.

Project studies subject to independent peer review based on the request of the Governor of an affected State may not be excluded from review.

Section 2034(a)(5)(C) authorizes the Chief of Engineers to exclude the small project studies developed under certain of the Corps of Engineers continuing authorities programs; however, such project studies could be subject to independent peer review under the factors established under section 2034(a)(3)(A).

Sections 2034(a)(2) and 2034(d) establish the duties of the independent peer review panel and the scope of review for a project study. The managers have defined the scope of review broadly to allow the independent review panel to examine all of the economic and environmental assumptions and projections, project evaluation data, economic analyses, environmental analyses, engineering analyses, formulation of alternative plans, methods for integrating risk and uncertainty, models used in evaluation of economic or environmental impacts of proposed projects, and any biological opinions of the project study. The managers expect the independent peer review panel to review those components of a project study for which the panel believes there is a reason for review. The managers do not expect the independent peer review panel to review components of the project study where the panel determines there is no controversy, disagreement, or concern.

Sections 2034(b) and 2034(e)(1)(A) establish the timing of the independent peer review. The managers expect that, in all cases, the independent peer review will occur during the period beginning on the date of the signing of the feasibility cost-sharing agreement, and will be conducted concurrent with the development of the project study by the Corps of Engineers. The managers believe that having the independent peer review carried out concurrently with the development of the project study will allow the independent peer review panel to receive relevant information from the Corps, on a timely basis, and allow the independent peer review panel to provide ongoing input into the development of the project study. The managers expect that this process will provide the independent peer review panel with sufficient information to conduct its review, as well as allow the peer review panel to recommend mid-course corrections to the ongoing project study, and avoid the potential for significant issues or delay to arise at the end of the project study period. The managers recognize that the recommendations of the independent peer review panel are advisory; however, the managers expect the Corps to give full consideration to the findings of the independent peer review panel.

Section 2034(e)(1)(A) provides that the independent peer review panel conclude its peer review, and submit a report to the Chief of Engineers, not more than 60 days after the close of the public comment period for the draft project study. The Chief of Engineers may extend the period for the peer review panel to conclude its peer review if the Chief of Engineers determines that additional time is necessary. The managers have included language to terminate the peer review panel on the date of the initiation of the State and agency review, which is conterminous with the release of the draft Report of the Chief of Engineers for the project, and which is after the issuance of the peer review report. The

managers recognize that the Corps of Engineers intends to allow a member or members of the peer review panel to participate on the Civil Works Review Board, which requires District Commanders to present their final reports and recommendations for review. The managers have included language to keep the independent peer review impaneled beyond the issuance of the peer review report to allow a member of the peer review panel to participate on the Civil Works Review Board, and to be available as experts, if needed, for additional consultation with the Corps of Engineers on the project study.

#### SEC. 2035. SAFETY ASSURANCE REVIEW.

Senate § 2007(d), No comparable House section.—House recedes, with an amendment.

#### SEC. 2036. MITIGATION FOR FISH AND WILDLIFE AND WETLANDS LOSSES.

House § 2013 and 2014, Senate § 2008.—House recedes, with an amendment.

Section 2036 amends section 906(d) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986 with more explicit mitigation requirements and to specify the elements that must be identified in a mitigation plan required under that section.

This section requires the Secretary to mitigate losses to flood damage reduction capabilities and losses to fish and wildlife of the project area. The specific mitigation plan must include a description of the physical action to be undertaken. The plan also must include a description of the lands or interests in lands to be acquired for mitigation, and the basis for a determination that such lands are available. This description is not intended to be a description of the specific property interests, but the plan must describe how the mitigation will be implemented.

The managers expect the mitigation plan to identify the quantity and type of lands needed, and include a determination that lands of such quantity and type are available for acquisition. The plan also must include the type, amount, and characteristics of the habitat to be restored. The plan must include success criteria based on replacement of lost functions and values of the habitat, including hydrologic and vegetative characteristics. Finally, if monitoring is necessary to determine success of the mitigation, the plan must include a plan for monitoring and to the extent practicable, identification of the entities responsible for monitoring. As monitoring is part of operation and maintenance of a project, in most cases the entity responsible for any monitoring will be the non-Federal sponsor. If such person is not identifiable at the time the mitigation plan is prepared under this section, such person must be identified in the partnership agreement entered into with the non-Federal interest.

The managers support more specificity in Corps reporting documents concerning expected mitigation efforts. Such increased specificity will better inform the Congress, the non-Federal sponsor, and the public as to planned mitigation efforts and the likely success of these efforts. This section also directs the Secretary to submit to Congress a report on the status of mitigation concurrent with the submission of reports on the status of project construction, as part of the President's budget submission.

Section 2036(c) directs the Secretary, when carrying out water resources projects, to first consider the use of a mitigation bank if the bank has sufficient and appropriate (including ecologically appropriate) credit to offset the impact, and the mitigation bank meets certain criteria. To the maximum extent practicable, the service area of the mitigation bank shall be in the same watershed as the project activity for which mitigation is required.

Nothing in this section affects the responsibility of the Corps of Engineers to apply the regulatory guidelines developed under section 404(b)(1) of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act (40 CFR Part 230) related to mitigation sequencing.

#### SEC. 2037. REGIONAL SEDIMENT MANAGEMENT.

House § 2016, Senate § 2012.—Senate recedes, with an amendment.

This section amends section 204 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1992 (33 U.S.C. 2326), and includes a new subsection (f) that directs the Secretary to give priority to regional sediment management projects in the following locations: Little Rock Slackwater Harbor, Arkansas; Fletcher Cove, California; Egmont Key, Florida; Calcasieu Ship Channel, Louisiana; Delaware River Estuary, New Jersey and Pennsylvania; Fire Island Inlet, Suffolk County, New York; Smith Point Park Pavilion and the TWA Flight 800 Memorial, Brookhaven, New York; Morehead City, North Carolina; Toledo Harbor, Lucas County, Ohio; Galveston Bay, Texas; and Benson Beach, Washington.

#### SEC. 2038. NATIONAL SHORELINE EROSION CONTROL DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM.

House § 2005 and 2004, Senate § 2013. – House recedes, with an amendment.

#### SEC. 2039. MONITORING ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION.

Senate § 2015, No comparable House section.—House recedes, with an amendment.

#### SEC. 2040. ELECTRONIC SUBMISSION OF PERMIT APPLICATIONS.

Senate § 2018, No comparable House section.—House recedes.

#### SEC. 2041. PROJECT ADMINISTRATION.

Senate § 2024, No comparable House section.—House recedes.

#### SEC. 2042. PROGRAM ADMINISTRATION.

Senate § 2025, No comparable House section.—House recedes.

#### SEC. 2043. STUDIES AND REPORTS FOR WATER RESOURCES PROJECTS.

House § 2038, No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.



SEC. 2044. COORDINATION AND SCHEDULING OF FEDERAL, STATE, AND LOCAL ACTIONS.

House § 2027, No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 2045. PROJECT STREAMLINING.

House § 2028, No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 2046. PROJECT DEAUTHORIZATION.

Senate § 2028, House § 3123(f). – House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 2047. FEDERAL HOPPER DREDGES.

House § 2042, Senate § 2020. – Senate recedes, with an amendment.

**TITLE III – PROJECT-RELATED PROVISIONS**

SEC. 3001. BLACK WARRIOR-TOMBIGBEE RIVERS, ALABAMA.

Senate § 3003. No comparable House section. – House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 3002. COOK INLET, ALASKA.

House § 3001. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 3003. KING COVE HARBOR, ALASKA.

House § 3002. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 3004. SEWARD HARBOR, ALASKA.

Senate § 4001. No comparable House section. – House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 3005. SITKA, ALASKA.

House § 3003, Senate § 3002. – Same.

SEC. 3006. TATTLEK, ALASKA.

House § 3004. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 3007. RIO DE FLAG, FLAGSTAFF, ARIZONA.

House § 3005, Senate § 3005. – Same.

SEC. 3008. NOGALES WASH AND TRIBUTARIES FLOOD CONTROL PROJECT,  
ARIZONA.

Senate § 3004. No comparable House section. – House recesses.

SEC. 3009. TUSCON DRAINAGE AREA, ARIZONA.

Senate § 3006. No comparable House section. – House recesses, with an amendment.

SEC. 3010. OSCEOLA HARBOR, ARKANSAS.

House § 3006. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

SEC. 3011. ST. FRANCIS RIVER BASIN, ARKANSAS AND MISSOURI.

Senate § 3010. House § 5043. – House recesses, with an amendment.

SEC. 3012. PINE MOUNTAIN DAM, ARKANSAS.

House § 3007. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses, with an amendment.

SEC. 3013. RED-OUACHITA RIVER BASIN LEVEES, ARKANSAS AND  
LOUISIANA.

Senate § 3009. No comparable House section. – House recesses.

SEC. 3014. CACHE CREEK BASIN, CALIFORNIA.

Senate § 3013. No comparable House section. – House recesses.

SEC. 3015. CALFED STABILITY PROGRAM, CALIFORNIA.

Senate § 3014. No comparable House section. – House recesses, with an amendment.

SEC. 3016. COMPTON CREEK, CALIFORNIA.

House § 3009. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

SEC. 3017. GRAYSON CREEK/MURDERER'S CREEK, CALIFORNIA.

House § 3010, Senate § 2016(1). – Senate recesses.

SEC. 3018. HAMILTON AIRFIELD, CALIFORNIA.

House § 3011, Senate § 3015. – Senate recesses.

SEC. 3019. JOHN F. BALDWIN SHIP CHANNEL AND STOCKTON SHIP CHANNEL, CALIFORNIA.

House § 3012. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

The managers recommend that the Secretary and the Chief of Engineers expedite the completion of the ongoing General Reevaluation Report for the San Francisco Bay to Stockton project.

SEC. 3020. KAWEAH RIVER, CALIFORNIA.

House § 3013. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

SEC. 3021. LARKSPUR FERRY CHANNEL, LARKSPUR, CALIFORNIA.

House § 3014, Senate § 3017. – Senate recesses.

SEC. 3022. LLAGAS CREEK, CALIFORNIA.

House § 3015, Senate § 3018. – House recesses, with an amendment.

SEC. 3023. MAGPIE CREEK, CALIFORNIA.

House § 3016, Senate § 3019. – Senate recesses, with an amendment.

SEC. 3024. PACIFIC FLYWAY CENTER, SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA.

House § 3017. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

SEC. 3025. PETALUMA RIVER, PETALUMA, CALIFORNIA.

Senate § 3020. No comparable House section. – House recesses.

SEC. 3026. PINOLE CREEK, CALIFORNIA.

House § 3018. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

SEC. 3027. PRADO DAM, CALIFORNIA.

House § 3019. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

SEC. 3028. REDWOOD CITY NAVIGATION CHANNEL, CALIFORNIA.

Senate § 3029. No comparable House section. – House recesses.

The managers recognize the importance of annual operation and maintenance of navigation channels and note that the work addressed in this section can be addressed under existing statutory authorities. The managers do not intend to address the operation and

maintenance of every navigation project through the enactment of additional statutory language, but expect the Corps to address the maintenance dredging needs of authorized projects under existing statutory authorities.

SEC. 3029. SACRAMENTO AND AMERICAN RIVERS FLOOD CONTROL,  
CALIFORNIA.

House § 3008 and 3020, Senate § 3023. – House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 3030. SACRAMENTO DEEP WATER SHIP CHANNEL, CALIFORNIA.

House § 3019. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 3031. SACRAMENTO RIVER BANK PROTECTION, CALIFORNIA.

Senate § 3024. No comparable House section. – House recedes.

SEC. 3032. SALTON SEA RESTORATION, CALIFORNIA.

Senate § 3026. No comparable House section. – House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 3033. SANTA ANA RIVER MAINSTEM, CALIFORNIA.

No comparable Senate or House section.

SEC. 3034. SANTA BARBARA STREAMS, LOWER MISSION CREEK, CALIFORNIA.

Senate § 3027. No comparable House section. – House recedes.

SEC. 3035. SANTA CRUZ HARBOR, CALIFORNIA.

House § 3022. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 3036. SEVEN OAKS DAM, CALIFORNIA.

House § 3023, Senate § 2016(2). – Senate recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 3037. UPPER GUADALUPE RIVER, CALIFORNIA.

House § 3025, Senate § 3028. – House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 3038. WALNUT CREEK CHANNEL, CALIFORNIA.

House § 3025, Senate § 2016(3). – Senate recedes.

SEC. 3039. WILDCAT/SAN PABLO CREEK PHASE I, CALIFORNIA.

House § 3026. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 3040. WILDCAT/SAN PABLO CREEK PHASE II, CALIFORNIA.

House § 3027, Senate § 2016(5). – Senate recesses.

SEC. 3041. YUBA RIVER BASIN PROJECT, CALIFORNIA.

House § 3028, Senate § 3029. – Senate recesses.

SEC. 3042. SOUTH PLATTE RIVER BASIN, COLORADO.

House § 3029. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

SEC. 3043. INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, DELAWARE RIVER TO CHESAPEAKE BAY, DELAWARE AND MARYLAND.

House § 3030. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

SEC. 3044. ST. GEORGE'S BRIDGE, DELAWARE.

Senate § 3033. No comparable House section. – House recesses.

SEC. 3045. BREVARD COUNTY, FLORIDA.

House § 3031, Senate § 3035. – Senate recesses.

SEC. 3046. BROWARD COUNTY AND HILLSBORO INLET, FLORIDA.

House § 3032. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

SEC. 3047. CANAVERAL HARBOR, FLORIDA.

House § 3033. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses, with an amendment.

SEC. 3048. GASPARILLA AND ESTERO ISLANDS, FLORIDA.

House § 3034. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

SEC. 3049. LIDO KEY BEACH, SARASOTA, FLORIDA.

House § 3036, Senate § 3038. – Senate recesses.

SEC. 3050. PEANUT ISLAND, FLORIDA.

House § 3038. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

SEC. 3051. PORT SUTTON, FLORIDA.

Senate § 3039. No comparable House section.—House recedes.

SEC. 3052. TAMPA HARBOR-BIG BEND CHANNEL, FLORIDA.

House § 3039. No comparable Senate section. — Senate recedes.

SEC. 3053. TAMPA HARBOR CUT B, FLORIDA.

House § 3040, Senate § 3040. — Senate recedes.

SEC. 3054. ALLATOONA LAKE, GEORGIA.

House § 3041, Senate § 3041. — House recedes.

SEC. 3055. LATHAM RIVER, GLYNN COUNTY, GEORGIA.

House § 3042. No comparable Senate section. — Senate recedes.

SEC. 3056. DWORSHAK RESERVOIR IMPROVEMENTS, IDAHO.

Senate § 3042, House § 3043. — House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 3057. LITTLE WOOD RIVER, GOODING, IDAHO

Senate § 3043. No comparable House section. — House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 3058. BEARDSTOWN COMMUNITY BOAT HARBOR, BEARDSTOWN,  
ILLINOIS.

House § 3044. No comparable Senate section. — Senate recedes.

SEC. 3059. CACHE RIVER LEVEE, ILLINOIS.

House § 3045, Senate § 3045. — Same.

SEC. 3060. CHICAGO RIVER, ILLINOIS.

House § 3046, Senate § 3046. — Same.

SEC. 3061. CHICAGO SANITARY AND SHIP CANAL DISPERSAL BARRIERS  
PROJECT, ILLINOIS.

House § 3047, Senate § 5015. — Senate recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 3062. EMIQUON, ILLINOIS.

House § 3048. No comparable Senate section. — Senate recedes.

SEC. 3063. LASALLE, ILLINOIS.

House § 3049. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

SEC. 3064. SPUNKY BOTTOMS, ILLINOIS.

House § 3050, Senate § 3050. – Senate recesses, with an amendment.

SEC. 3065. CEDAR LAKE, INDIANA.

No comparable House or Senate section.

SEC. 3066. KOONTZ LAKE, INDIANA.

House § 3052. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

SEC. 3067. WHITE RIVER, INDIANA.

House § 3053. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses, with an amendment.

The managers recognize the importance of waterfront and riverfront development projects to local communities and that, in some instances, waterfront and riverfront development plans contain elements that fall within traditional Corps mission areas of navigation, flood damage reduction, and environmental restoration, and associated recreation. However, the managers believe that waterfront and riverfront development projects, in and of themselves, are not a Corps mission and Corps participation in these development projects must be limited to traditional Corps missions. While recreation is frequently an element of waterfront and riverfront development projects, the managers do not intend for the Corps to carry out purely recreational elements of the project, unrelated to the traditional missions of the Corps. The managers direct the Corps to limit its work on recreation features to only those elements that relate to the traditional Corps mission areas that are being built as an element of the larger waterfront and riverfront development project plan.

SEC. 3068. DES MOINES RIVER AND GREENBELT, IOWA.

House § 3054. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses, with an amendment.

The managers recognize the importance of waterfront and riverfront development projects to local communities and that, in some instances, waterfront and riverfront development plans contain elements that fall within traditional Corps mission areas of navigation, flood damage reduction, and environmental restoration, and associated recreation. However, the managers believe that waterfront and riverfront development projects, in and of themselves, are not a Corps mission and Corps participation in these development projects must be limited to traditional Corps missions. While recreation is frequently an element of waterfront and riverfront development projects, the managers do not intend for the Corps to carry out purely recreational elements of the project, unrelated to the traditional missions of the Corps. The managers direct the Corps to limit its work on

recreation features to only those elements that relate to the traditional Corps mission areas that are being built as an element of the larger waterfront and riverfront development project plan.

SEC. 3069. PERRY CREEK, IOWA.

Senate § 3145. No comparable House section. – House recesses.

SEC. 3070. RATHBUN LAKE, IOWA.

House § 3055, Senate § 3146. – Same.

SEC. 3071. HICKMAN BLUFF STABILIZATION, KENTUCKY.

Senate § 3054. No comparable House section. – House recesses.

SEC. 3072. MCALPINE LOCK AND DAM, KENTUCKY AND INDIANA.

Senate § 3055. No comparable House section. – House recesses.

SEC. 3073. PRESTONSBURG, KENTUCKY.

House § 3056. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

SEC. 3074. AMITE RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES, LOUISIANA, EAST BATON  
ROUGE PARISH WATERSHED.

House § 3057, Senate § 3059. – Senate recesses.

SEC. 3075. ATCHAFALAYA BASIN FLOODWAY SYSTEM, LOUISIANA.

House § 3059 and 3062, Senate § 3056. – House recesses, with an amendment.

SEC. 3076. ATCHAFALAYA BASIN FLOODWAY SYSTEM, REGIONAL VISITOR  
CENTER, LOUISIANA.

House § 3058, Senate § 3057. – House recesses, with an amendment.

SEC. 3077. ATCHAFALAYA RIVER AND BAYOUS CHENE, BOEUF, AND BLACK,  
LOUISIANA.

No comparable House or Senate section.

SEC. 3078. BAYOU PLAQUEMINE, LOUISIANA.

House § 3056. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

SEC. 3079. CALCASIEU RIVER AND PASS, LOUISIANA.



Senate § 3058. No comparable House section. — House recesses.

SEC. 3080. RED RIVER (J. BENNETT JOHNSTON) WATERWAY, LOUISIANA.

House § 3061, Senate § 3061. — House recesses, with an amendment.

SEC. 3081. MISSISSIPPI DELTA REGION, LOUISIANA.

House § 3063. No comparable Senate section. — Senate recesses.

SEC. 3082. MISSISSIPPI RIVER-GULF OUTLET RELOCATION ASSISTANCE,  
LOUISIANA.

Senate § 3060. No comparable House section. — House recesses, with an amendment.

SEC. 3083. VIOLET, LOUISIANA.

Senate § 3076. No comparable House section. — House recesses, with an amendment.

SEC. 3084. WEST BANK OF THE MISSISSIPPI RIVER (EAST OF HARVEY CANAL),  
LOUISIANA.

House § 3065. No comparable Senate section. — Senate recesses.

SEC. 3085. CAMP ELLIS, SACO, MAINE.

House § 3066, Senate § 3062. — Senate recesses.

SEC. 3086. CUMBERLAND, MARYLAND.

Senate § 3069. No comparable House section. — House recesses.

SEC. 3087. POPLAR ISLAND, MARYLAND.

Senate § 1001(22). No comparable House section. — House recesses, with an amendment.

SEC. 3088. DETROIT RIVER SHORELINE, DETROIT, MICHIGAN.

House § 3067. No comparable Senate section. — Senate recesses.

SEC. 3089. ST. CLAIR RIVER AND LAKE ST. CLAIR, MICHIGAN.

House § 3067, Senate § 3074. — Senate recesses, with an amendment.

SEC. 3090. ST. JOSEPH HARBOR, MICHIGAN.

House § 3065. No comparable Senate section. — Senate recesses.

SEC. 3091. SAULT SAINTE MARIE, MICHIGAN.

House § 3070, No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

The Managers recognize the importance of constructing a second lock at Sault Sainte Marie, Michigan, to enhance overall national security by avoiding any potential disruption to Great Lakes, national, and international shipping that would occur in the event of a shutdown or terrorist attack at the existing lock. The Secretary is directed to carry out the project, as expeditiously as practicable, without regard to normal policy considerations.

SEC. 3092. ADA, MINNESOTA.

House § 3071. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses, with an amendment.

SEC. 3093. DULUTH HARBOR, MCQUADE ROAD, MINNESOTA.

House § 3072, Senate § 3075. – Senate recesses, with an amendment.

SEC. 3094. GRAND MARAIS, MINNESOTA.

House § 3073. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

SEC. 3095. GRAND PORTAGE HARBOR, MINNESOTA.

House § 3074. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

SEC. 3096. GRANITE FALLS, MINNESOTA.

House § 3073. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses, with an amendment.

SEC. 3097. KNIFE RIVER HARBOR, MINNESOTA.

House § 3076. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

SEC. 3098. RED LAKE RIVER, MINNESOTA.

House § 3077. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

SEC. 3099. SILVER BAY, MINNESOTA.

House § 3078. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

SEC. 3100. TACONITE HARBOR, MINNESOTA.

House § 3079. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

SEC. 3101. TWO HARBORS, MINNESOTA.

House § 3078. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses, with an amendment.

SEC. 3102. DEER ISLAND, HARRISON COUNTY, MISSISSIPPI.

House § 3078. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

SEC. 3103. JACKSON COUNTY, MISSISSIPPI.

Senate § 3147. No comparable House section. – House recesses.

SEC. 3104. PEARL RIVER BASIN, MISSISSIPPI.

House § 3082. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses, with an amendment..

SEC. 3105. FESTUS AND CRYSTAL CITY, MISSOURI.

House § 3083. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

SEC. 3106. L-15 LEVEE, MISSOURI.

House § 3084, Senate § 3078. – Same.

SEC. 3107. MONARCH-CHESTERFIELD, MISSOURI.

House § 3085. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

SEC. 3108. RIVER DES PERES, MISSOURI.

House § 3086. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

SEC. 3109. LOWER YELLOWSTONE PROJECT, MONTANA.

Senate § 3080. No comparable House section. – House recesses.

SEC. 3110. YELLOWSTONE RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES, MONTANA AND  
NORTH DAKOTA.

Senate § 3081. No comparable House section. – House recesses, with an amendment.

SEC. 3111. ANTELOPE CREEK, LINCOLN, NEBRASKA.

House § 3087. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

SEC. 3112. SAND CREEK WATERSHED, WAHOO, NEBRASKA.

House § 3088. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

SEC. 3113. WESTERN SARPY AND CLEAR CREEK, NEBRASKA.

House § 3089, Senate § 3082. – Same.

SEC. 3114. LOWER TRUCKEE RIVER, MCCARRAN RANCH, NEVADA.

Senate § 3083. No comparable House section. – House recedes.

SEC. 3115. LOWER CAPE MAY MEADOWS, CAPE MAY POINT, NEW JERSEY.

House § 3090. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 3116. PASSAIC RIVER BASIN FLOOD MANAGEMENT, NEW JERSEY.

House § 3091. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 3117. COOPERATIVE AGREEMENTS, NEW MEXICO.

Senate § 3084. No comparable House section. – House recedes.

SEC. 3118. MIDDLE RIO GRANDE RESTORATION, NEW MEXICO.

Senate § 3085. No comparable House section. – House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 3119. BUFFALO HARBOR, NEW YORK.

House § 3092. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 3120. LONG ISLAND SOUND OYSTER RESTORATION, NEW YORK AND  
CONNECTICUT.

Senate § 3086. No comparable House section. – House recedes.

The Managers recognize that oyster restoration activities are consistent with the Corps environmental protection and restoration mission, and are appropriately cost shared at a non-Federal cost of 35 percent, consistent with section 103 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2213). This section does not create a new cost share for oyster restoration activities.

SEC. 3121. MAMARONECK AND SHELDRAKE RIVERS WATERSHED  
MANAGEMENT, NEW YORK.

Senate § 3087. No comparable House section. – House recedes, with an amendment.

The managers recognize the importance of waterfront and riverfront development projects to local communities and that, in some instances, waterfront and riverfront development plans contain elements that fall within traditional Corps mission areas of navigation, flood damage reduction, and environmental restoration, and associated

recreation. However, the managers believe that waterfront and riverfront development projects, in and of themselves, are not a Corps mission and Corps participation in these development projects must be limited to traditional Corps missions. While recreation is frequently an element of waterfront and riverfront development projects, the managers do not intend for the Corps to carry out purely recreational elements of the project, unrelated to the traditional missions of the Corps. The managers direct the Corps to limit its work on recreation features to only those elements that relate to the traditional Corps mission areas that are being built as an element of the larger waterfront and riverfront development project plan.

SEC. 3122. ORCHARD BEACH, BRONX, NEW YORK.

House § 3093, Senate § 3088. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 3123. PORT OF NEW YORK AND NEW JERSEY, NEW YORK AND NEW JERSEY.

House § 3094. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 3124. NEW YORK STATE CANAL SYSTEM.

House § 3095, Senate § 3090. – Same.

SEC. 3125. SUSQUEHANNA RIVER AND UPPER DELAWARE RIVER WATERSHED MANAGEMENT, NEW YORK.

Senate § 3091. No comparable House section. – House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 3126. MISSOURI RIVER RESTORATION, NORTH DAKOTA.

Senate § 3092. No comparable House section. – House recedes.

SEC. 3127. WAHPETON, NORTH DAKOTA.

No comparable Senate or House section.

SEC. 3128. OHIO.

Senate § 3093. No comparable House section. – House recedes.

SEC. 3129. LOWER GIRARD LAKE DAM, GIRARD, OHIO.

House § 3096, Senate § 3094. – House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 3130. MAHONING RIVER, OHIO.

House § 3074. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 3131. ARCADIA LAKE, OKLAHOMA.

Senate § 3096. No comparable House section. – House recesses.

SEC. 3132. ARKANSAS RIVER CORRIDOR, OKLAHOMA.

Senate § 3012. No comparable House section. – House recesses, with an amendment.

SEC. 3133. LAKE EUFAULA, OKLAHOMA.

Senate § 3097. No comparable House section. – House recesses, with an amendment.

SEC. 3134. OKLAHOMA LAKES DEMONSTRATION PROGRAM, OKLAHOMA.

Senate § 3099. No comparable House section. – House recesses.

SEC. 3135. OTTAWA COUNTY, OKLAHOMA.

Senate § 3100. No comparable House section. – House recesses, with an amendment.

Section 3135 provides general authorization to complete the current buyout of residences and businesses in the communities of Picher, Cardin, and Hockerville, Oklahoma for those applicants that wish to participate in the program being administered by the State of Oklahoma. The funds authorized in this section may be appropriated through any Act of appropriation.

Section 3135 directs the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency to consider a remedial action for the Tar Creek, Oklahoma, National Priorities List site that includes permanent relocation of residents consistent with the program and costs of the program being administered by the State of Oklahoma. The Administrator should make appropriate use of the expertise and experience of the State of Oklahoma Lead-Impacted Communities Relocation Assistance Trust in developing such a remedy.

Section 3135 also provides that the inclusion of subsidence remedies, such as relocation, as part of the remedial action does not preempt or in any way delay or interfere with the right of any sovereign entity, including any state or tribal government, to utilize state laws to seek additional or other remedies, such as abatement, for the land subsidence and subsidence risks. This section does not supersede state or tribal authority to seek remedies for land subsidence.

SEC. 3136. RED RIVER CHLORIDE CONTROL, OKLAHOMA AND TEXAS.

Senate § 3101. No comparable House section. – House recesses.

SEC. 3137. WAURIKA LAKE, OKLAHOMA.

Senate § 3102. No comparable House section. – House recesses.

SEC. 3138. UPPER WILLAMETTE RIVER WATERSHED ECOSYSTEM  
RESTORATION, OREGON.

Senate § 3104. House § 5103. – House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 3139. DELAWARE RIVER, PENNSYLVANIA, NEW JERSEY, AND  
DELAWARE.

House § 3098. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 3140. RAYSTOWN LAKE, PENNSYLVANIA.

House § 3099. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 3141. SHERADEN PARK STREAM AND CHARTIERS CREEK, ALLEGHENY  
COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA.

House § 3100. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 3142. SOLOMON'S CREEK, WILKES-BARRE, PENNSYLVANIA.

House § 3101. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 3143. SOUTH CENTRAL PENNSYLVANIA.

House § 3102. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 3144. WYOMING VALLEY, PENNSYLVANIA.

House § 3103. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 3145. NARRAGANSETT BAY, RHODE ISLAND.

Senate § 3106. No comparable House section. – House recedes.

SEC. 3146. MISSOURI RIVER RESTORATION, SOUTH DAKOTA.

Senate § 3108. No comparable House section. – House recedes.

SEC. 3147. CEDAR BAYOU, TEXAS.

House § 3104, Senate § 3113. – Senate recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 3148. FREEPORT HARBOR, TEXAS.

House § 3105, Senate § 3116. – House recedes.

SEC. 3149. LAKE KEMP, TEXAS.

House § 3106. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

SEC. 3150. LOWER RIO GRANDE BASIN, TEXAS.

House § 3107. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

SEC. 3151. NORTH PADRE ISLAND, CORPUS CHRISTI BAY, TEXAS.

House § 3108. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

SEC. 3152. PAT MAYSE LAKE, TEXAS.

House § 3109. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

The managers recognize the need to review Federal policy concerning water supply at Corps of Engineers reservoirs, and to determine whether changes are warranted. At many existing Corps of Engineers reservoirs, there is the possibility of expanding the storage space that is dedicated to municipal and industrial water supply (drinking water) as an alternative to alleviate local water supply shortages. This is particularly true throughout the Southwest and Southeast. The current policy of the Corps of Engineers is to maximize the return to the Treasury for the right to utilize storage at these existing reservoirs. This often makes the cost of storage too high for many communities.

The managers have included section 3152 in the Water Resources Development Act of 2007 to address this issue at Pat Mayse Lake, Texas; however, the managers do not expect to address additional water supply agreements on a case-by-case basis in future water resources bills, but rather to review the overall Federal policy concerning the operation of Corps of Engineers facilities.

SEC. 3153. PROCTOR LAKE, TEXAS.

House § 3110. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

SEC. 3154. SAN ANTONIO CHANNEL, SAN ANTONIO, TEXAS.

House § 3111. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

SEC. 3155. CONNECTICUT RIVER RESTORATION, VERMONT.

Senate § 3118. No comparable House section. – House recesses.

SEC. 3156. DAM REMEDIATION, VERMONT.

Senate § 3118. No comparable House section. – House recesses.

This provision adds the following dams to section 543 of the Water Resources Development Act of 2000; Camp Wapanacki, Hardwick; Star Lake Dam, Mt. Holly; Curtis



Pond, Calais; Weathersfield Reservoir, Springfield; Burr Pond, Sudbury; Maidstone Lake, Guildhall; Upper and Lower Hurricane Dam; Lake Fairlee; West Charleston Dam; White River, Sharon.

SEC. 3157. LAKE CHAMPLAIN EURASIAN MILFOIL, WATER CHESTNUT, AND OTHER NONNATIVE PLANT CONTROL, VERMONT.

Senate § 3120. No comparable House section. – House recedes.

SEC. 3158. UPPER CONNECTICUT RIVER BASIN WETLAND RESTORATION, VERMONT AND NEW HAMPSHIRE.

Senate § 3121. No comparable House section. – House recedes.

SEC. 3159. UPPER CONNECTICUT RIVER BASIN ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, VERMONT AND NEW HAMPSHIRE.

Senate § 3122. No comparable House section. – House recedes.

SEC. 3160. LAKE CHAMPLAIN WATERSHED, VERMONT AND NEW YORK.

Senate § 3123. No comparable House section. – House recedes.

SEC. 3161. SANDBRIDGE BEACH, VIRGINIA BEACH, VIRGINIA.

Senate § 3148. No comparable House section. – House recedes.

SEC. 3162. TANGIER ISLAND SEAWALL, VIRGINIA.

House § 3112, Senate § 3126. -- House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 3163. DUWANISH/GREEN, WASHINGTON.

House § 3113. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 3164. MCNARY LOCK AND DAM, MCNARY NATIONAL WILDLIFE REFUGE, WASHINGTON AND IDAHO.

Senate § 3128. No comparable House section. – House recedes.

SEC. 3165. SNAKE RIVER PROJECT, WASHINGTON AND IDAHO.

Senate § 3130. No comparable House section. – House recedes.

SEC. 3166. YAKIMA RIVER, PORT OF SUNNYSIDE, WASHINGTON.

House § 3114. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 3167. BLUESTONE LAKE, OHIO RIVER BASIN, WEST VIRGINIA.

House § 3115. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

SEC. 3168. GREENBRIER RIVER BASIN, WEST VIRGINIA.

House § 3116. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

SEC. 3169. LESAGE/GREENBOTTOM SWAMP, WEST VIRGINIA.

House § 3117. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

SEC. 3170. LOWER MUD RIVER, MILTON, WEST VIRGINIA.

Senate § 3132. No comparable House section. – House recesses.

SEC. 3171. MCDOWELL COUNTY, WEST VIRGINIA.

Senate § 3133. No comparable House section. – House recesses.

SEC. 3172. PARKESRBURG, WEST VIRGINIA.

House § 3118. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses, with an amendment.

The managers recognize the importance of waterfront and riverfront development projects to local communities and that, in some instances, waterfront and riverfront development plans contain elements that fall within traditional Corps mission areas of navigation, flood damage reduction, and environmental restoration, and associated recreation. However, the managers believe that waterfront and riverfront development projects, in and of themselves, are not a Corps mission and Corps participation in these development projects must be limited to traditional Corps missions. While recreation is frequently an element of waterfront and riverfront development projects, the managers do not intend for the Corps to carry out purely recreational elements of the project, unrelated to the traditional missions of the Corps. The managers direct the Corps to limit its work on recreation features to only those elements that relate to the traditional Corps mission areas that are being built as an element of the larger waterfront and riverfront development project plan.

SEC. 3173. GREEN BAY HARBOR, GREEN BAY, WISCONSIN.

Senate § 3134. No comparable House section. – House recesses.

SEC. 3174. MANITOWOC HARBOR, WISCONSIN.

House § 3119. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

SEC. 3175. MISSISSIPPI RIVER HEADWATERS RESERVOIRS.

House § 3120, Senate § 3137. – Senate recesses.

SEC. 3176. UPPER BASIN OF THE MISSOURI RIVER.

Senate § 3140. No comparable House section. – House recesses.

SEC. 3177. UPPER MISSISSIPPI RIVER SYSTEM ENVIRONMENTAL  
MANAGEMENT PROGRAM.

Senate § 3139. No comparable House section. – House recesses, with an amendment.

SEC. 3178. UPPER OHIO RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES NAVIGATION SYSTEM  
NEW TECHNOLOGY PILOT PROGRAM.

Senate § 3144. No comparable House section. – House recesses, with an amendment.

SEC. 3179. CONTINUATION OF PROJECT AUTHORIZATIONS.

- (1) Sacramento Deep Water Ship Channel, California. House § 3121(1). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.
- (2) Agana River, Guam. House § 3121(2). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.
- (3) Baltimore Harbor and Channels, Maryland and Virginia. House § 3121(3), Senate § 3067. Senate recesses.
- (4) Fall River Harbor, Massachusetts. House § 3121(4), Senate § 3071. – Senate recesses.
- (5) Ecorse Creek, Wayne County, Michigan. Senate § 3073, No comparable House section. – House recesses.

SEC. 3180. PROJECT REAUTHORIZATIONS.

- (1) Menominee Harbor and River, Michigan and Wisconsin. House § 3122(1). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.
- (2) Hearing Island Inlet, Duluth Harbor, Minnesota. House § 3122(3). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.
- (3) Manitowoc Harbor, Wisconsin. House § 3122(2), Senate § 3135. – Senate recesses.

SEC. 3181. PROJECT DEAUTHORIZATIONS.

- (a)(1) Bridgeport Harbor, Connecticut. House § 3123(a)(1), Senate § 6003. – Senate recesses.
- (a)(2) Mystic River, Connecticut. House § 3123(a)(2). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.
- (a)(3) Norwalk Harbor, Connecticut. Senate § 3031. No comparable House section. – House recesses.
- (a)(4) Rockland Harbor, Maine. House § 3123(a)(4), Senate § 3036. – House recesses.
- (a)(5) Rockport Harbor, Maine. Senate § 3064. No comparable House section. – House recesses.
- (a)(6) Falmouth Harbor, Massachusetts. House § 3123(a)(5), Senate § 6027. – Senate recesses.
- (a)(7) Island End River, Massachusetts. House § 3123(a)(5), Senate § 6028. – Senate recesses.

- (a)(8) City Waterway, Tacoma, Washington. House § 3123(a)(7). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.
- (a)(9) Aunt Lydia's Cove, Massachusetts. House § 3123(a)(8), Senate § 3070. – Senate recedes.
- (a)(10) Whatcom Creek Waterway, Bellingham, Washington. Senate § 3131. No comparable House section. – House recedes.
- (a)(11) Oconto Harbor, Wisconsin. Senate § 3136. No comparable House section. – House recedes.
- (b) Anchorage Area, New London Harbor, Connecticut. Senate § 3031, House § 3142(a)(3). – House recedes.
- (c) Southport Harbor, Fairfield, Connecticut. House § 3123(b). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.
- (d) Saco River, Maine. House § 3123(c), Senate § 3065. – Same.
- (e) Union River, Maine. House § 3123(d), Senate § 3066. – Senate recedes.
- (f) Mystic River, Massachusetts. House § 3123(e), Senate § 6029. – Senate recedes.
- (g) Rivercenter, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. No comparable House or Senate section.
- (h) Additional Deauthorizations. Senate §§ 6002, 6004, 6005, 6007, 6008, 6009, 6011, 6013, 6014, 6015, 6016, 6017, 6018, 6019, 6022, 6023, 6026, 6033, 6034, 6036, 6037, 6042, 6045, 6046, 6048, 6049, 6050, 6051, 6052, 6053, and 6055. No comparable House sections. – House recedes.

#### SEC. 3182. LAND CONVEYANCES.

- (a) St. Francis Basin, Arkansas and Missouri. House § 3124(a), Senate § 3011. – Senate recedes.
- (b) Oakland Inner Harbor Tidal Canal, California. Senate § 5006. No comparable House section. – House recedes.
- (c) Milford, Kansas. House § 3124(b), Senate § 3052. – Senate recedes.
- (d) Strawn Cemetery, John Redmond Lake, Kansas. Senate § 3051. No comparable House section. – House recedes.
- (e) Pike County, Missouri. House § 3124(c), Senate § 3077. – House recedes.
- (f) Union Lake, Missouri. Senate § 3079, No comparable House section. – House recedes.
- (g) Boardman, Oregon. House § 3124(d). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.
- (h) Lookout Point Project, Lowell, Oregon. House § 3124(e), Senate § 3103. Senate recedes, with an amendment.
- (i) Richard B. Russell Lake, South Carolina. House § 3124(g), Senate § 3107. – House recedes, with an amendment.
- (j) Denison, Texas. House § 3124(h), Senate § 3114. – House recedes, with an amendment.
- (k) Generally Applicable Provisions. House § 3124(i). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 3183. EXTINGUISHMENT OF REVERSIONARY INTERESTS AND USE RESTRICTIONS.

- (a) Idaho. House § 3125(a), Senate § 3044. – House recedes.
- (b) Lake Texoma, Oklahoma. House § 3125(b), Senate § 3098. House recedes, with an amendment.
- (c) Lowell, Oregon. House § 3124(f). No comparable Senate provision. – Senate recedes.
- (d) Old Hickory Lock and Dam, Cumberland River, Tennessee. House § 3125(c), Senate § 3111. – House recedes.
- (e) Lower Granite Pool, Washington. Senate § 3128. No comparable House section. – House recedes.
- (f) Port of Pasco, Washington. House § 3125(d). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

**TITLE IV—STUDIES.**

SEC. 4001. JOHN GLENN GREAT LAKES BASIN PROGRAM.

House § 4001. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 4002. LAKE ERIE DREDGED MATERIAL DISPOSAL SITES.

House § 4002. No comparable Senate section. –Senate recedes.

SEC. 4003. SOUTHWESTERN UNITED STATES DROUGHT STUDY.

House § 4003. No comparable Senate section. –Senate recedes.

SEC. 4004. DELAWARE RIVER.

House § 4004. No comparable Senate section. –Senate recedes.

SEC. 4005. EURASIAN MILFOIL.

Senate § 4031. No comparable House section. –House recedes.

SEC. 4006. FIRE ISLAND, ALASKA.

House § 5031. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 4007. KNIK ARM, COOK INLET, ALASKA.

House § 4005. No comparable Senate section. –Senate recedes.

SEC. 4008. KUSKOKWIM RIVER, ALASKA.

House § 4006. No comparable Senate section. --Senate recesses.

SEC. 4009. NOME HARBOR IMPROVEMENTS, ALASKA.

Senate § 4002. No comparable House section. --House recesses.

SEC. 4010. ST. GEORGE HARBOR, ALASKA.

House § 4007. No comparable Senate section. --Senate recesses.

SEC. 4011. SUSITNA RIVER, ALASKA.

House § 4008. No comparable Senate section. --Senate recesses.

SEC. 4012. VALDEZ, ALASKA.

House § 5037. No comparable Senate section. -- Senate recesses, with an amendment.

SEC. 4013. GILA BEND, MARICOPA, ARIZONA.

House § 4009. No comparable Senate section. --Senate recesses.

SEC. 4014. SEARCY COUNTY, ARKANSAS.

House § 4010. No comparable Senate section. --Senate recesses.

SEC. 4015. ALISO CREEK, CALIFORNIA.

House § 4011. No comparable Senate section. --Senate recesses.

SEC. 4016. FRESNO, KINGS, AND KERN COUNTIES, CALIFORNIA.

House § 4013. No comparable Senate section. --Senate recesses.

SEC. 4017. FRUITVALE AVENUE RAILROAD BRIDGE, ALAMEDA, CALIFORNIA.

Senate § 4004. No comparable House section. --House recesses.

SEC. 4018. LOS ANGELES RIVER REVITALIZATION STUDY, CALIFORNIA.

House § 4014, Senate § 4005. -- Senate recesses.

SEC. 4019. LYTLE CREEK, RIALTO, CALIFORNIA.

House § 4015. No comparable Senate section. --Senate recesses.

SEC. 4020. MOKELUMNE RIVER, SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.

House § 4016. No comparable Senate section. –Senate recesses.

SEC. 4021. ORICK, CALIFORNIA.

House § 4018. No comparable Senate section. –Senate recesses.

SEC. 4022. SHORELINE STUDY, OCEANSIDE, CALIFORNIA.

Senate § 4007. No comparable House section. –House recesses.

SEC. 4023. RIALTO, FONTANA, AND COLTON, CALIFORNIA.

House § 4019. No comparable Senate section. –Senate recesses.

SEC. 4024. SACRAMENTO RIVER, CALIFORNIA.

House § 4020. No comparable Senate section. –Senate recesses.

SEC. 4025. SAN DIEGO COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.

House § 4021. No comparable Senate section. –Senate recesses.

SEC. 4026. SAN FRANCISCO BAY, SACRAMENTO-SAN JOAQUIN DELTA,  
CALIFORNIA.

House § 4022, Senate § 4009. – Senate recesses.

SEC. 4027. SOUTH SAN FRANCISCO BAY SHORELINE, CALIFORNIA.

House § 4023, Senate § 4010. – House recesses.

SEC. 4028. TWENTYNINE PALMS, CALIFORNIA.

House § 4024. No comparable Senate section. –Senate recesses, with an amendment.

SEC. 4029. YUCCA VALLEY, CALIFORNIA.

House § 4025. No comparable Senate section. –Senate recesses, with an amendment.

SEC. 4030. SELENIUM STUDY, COLORADO.

Senate § 4013. No comparable House section. –House recesses, with an amendment.

SEC. 4031. DELAWARE AND CHRISTINA RIVERS AND SHELLPOT CREEK,  
WILMINGTON, DELAWARE.

House § 4027. No comparable Senate section. –Senate recesses.

SEC. 4032. DELAWARE INLAND BAYS AND TRIBUTARIES AND ATLANTIC  
COAST, DELAWARE.

Senate § 4014. No comparable House section. –House recesses.

SEC. 4033. COLLIER COUNTY BEACHES, FLORIDA.

House § 4028. No comparable Senate section. –Senate recesses.

SEC. 4034. LOWER ST. JOHNS RIVER, FLORIDA.

House § 4029. No comparable Senate section. –Senate recesses, with an amendment.

SEC. 4035. HERBERT HOOVER DIKE SUPPLEMENTAL MAJOR  
REHABILITATION REPORT, FLORIDA.

Senate § 4015. No comparable House section. –House recesses.

SEC. 4036. VANDERBILT BEACH LAGOON, FLORIDA.

House § 4030. No comparable Senate section. –Senate recesses.

SEC. 4037. MERIWETHER COUNTY, GEORGIA.

House § 4031. No comparable Senate section. –Senate recesses.

SEC. 4038. BOISE RIVER, IDAHO.

House § 4033, Senate § 4016. – Senate recesses.

SEC. 4039. BALLARD'S ISLAND SIDE CHANNEL, ILLINOIS.

House § 4034. No comparable Senate section. –Senate recesses.

SEC. 4040. CHICAGO, ILLINIOS.

Senate § 3046. No comparable House section. –House recesses.

SEC. 4041. SALEM, INDIANA.

House § 4035. No comparable Senate section. –Senate recesses.

SEC. 4042. BUCKHORN LAKE, KENTUCKY.

House § 4036. No comparable Senate section. –Senate recesses.

SEC. 4043. DEWEY LAKE, KENTUCKY.



House § 4037. No comparable Senate section. –Senate recesses.

SEC. 4044. LOUISVILLE, KENTUCKY.

House § 4038. No comparable Senate section. –Senate recesses.

SEC. 4045. VIDALIA PORT, LOUISIANA.

Senate § 4018. No comparable House section. –House recesses.

SEC. 4046. FALL RIVER HARBOR, MASSACHUSETTS AND RHODE ISLAND.

Senate § 3071(b). No comparable House section. – House recesses.

SEC. 4047. CLINTON RIVER, MICHIGAN.

House § 4039. No comparable Senate section. –Senate recesses.

SEC. 4048. HAMBURG AND GREEN OAK TOWNSHIPS, MICHIGAN.

House § 4040. No comparable Senate section. –Senate recesses.

SEC. 4049. LAKE ERIE AT LUNA PIER, MICHIGAN.

Senate § 4019. No comparable House section. –House recesses.

SEC. 4050. DULUTH-SUPERIOR HARBOR, MINNESOTA AND WISCONSIN.

House § 4041. No comparable Senate section. –Senate recesses.

SEC. 4051. NORTHEAST MISSISSIPPI.

House § 4042. No comparable Senate section. –Senate recesses.

SEC. 4052. DREDGED MATERIAL DISPOSAL, NEW JERSEY.

House § 4044. No comparable Senate section. –Senate recesses.

SEC. 4053. BAYONNE, NEW JERSEY.

House § 4045. No comparable Senate section. –Senate recesses.

SEC. 4054. CARTERET, NEW JERSEY.

House § 4046. No comparable Senate section. –Senate recesses.

SEC. 4055. GLOUCESTER COUNTY, NEW JERSEY.

House § 4047. No comparable Senate section. –Senate recesses.

SEC. 4056. PERTH AMBOY, NEW JERSEY.

House § 4048. No comparable Senate section. –Senate recesses, with an amendment.

SEC. 4057. BATAVIA, NEW YORK.

House § 4049. No comparable Senate section. –Senate recesses.

SEC. 4058. BIG SISTER CREEK, EVANS, NEW YORK.

House § 4050. No comparable Senate section. –Senate recesses.

SEC. 4059. FINGER LAKES, NEW YORK.

House § 4051. No comparable Senate section. –Senate recesses.

SEC. 4060. LAKE ERIE SHORELINE, BUFFALO, NEW YORK.

House § 4052. No comparable Senate section. –Senate recesses.

SEC. 4061. NEWTOWN CREEK, NEW YORK.

House § 4053. No comparable Senate section. –Senate recesses.

SEC. 4062. NIAGARA RIVER, NEW YORK.

House § 4054. No comparable Senate section. –Senate recesses.

SEC. 4063. SHORE PARKWAY GREENWAY, BROOKLYN, NEW YORK.

House § 4055. No comparable Senate section. –Senate recesses.

SEC. 4064. UPPER DELAWARE RIVER WATERSHED, NEW YORK.

House § 4056. No comparable Senate section. –Senate recesses.

SEC. 4065. LINCOLN COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA.

House § 4057. No comparable Senate section. –Senate recesses.

SEC. 4066. WILKES COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA.

House § 4058. No comparable Senate section. –Senate recesses.

SEC. 4067. YADKINVILLE, NORTH CAROLINA.

House § 4059. No comparable Senate section. --Senate recesses.

SEC. 4068. FLOOD DAMAGE REDUCTION, OHIO.

Senate § 4022. No comparable House section. --House recesses.

SEC. 4069. LAKE ERIE, OHIO.

House § 4060. No comparable Senate section. --Senate recesses.

SEC. 4070. OHIO RIVER, OHIO.

House § 4061, Senate § 4024. -- Same.

SEC. 4071. TOLEDO HARBOR DREDGED MATERIAL PLACEMENT, TOLEDO,  
OHIO.

Senate § 4025. No comparable House section. --House recesses.

SEC. 4072. TOLEDO HARBOR, MAUMEE RIVER, AND LAKE CHANNEL  
PROJECT, TOLEDO, OHIO.

Senate § 4026. No comparable House section. --House recesses.

SEC. 4073. ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION AND FISH PASSAGE IMPROVEMENTS,  
OREGON.

House § 4062. No comparable Senate section. --Senate recesses.

SEC. 4074. WALLA WALLA RIVER BASIN, OREGON.

House § 4063, Senate § 4038. -- Senate recesses.

SEC. 4075. CHARTIERS CREEK WATERSHED, PENNSYLVANIA.

House § 4064. No comparable Senate section. --Senate recesses.

SEC. 4076. KINZUA DAM AND ALLEGHENY RESERVOIR, PENNSYLVANIA.

House § 4065. No comparable Senate section. --Senate recesses.

SEC. 4077. WESTERN PENNSYLVANIA FLOOD DAMAGE REDUCTION.

House § 4066. No comparable Senate section. --Senate recesses.

SEC. 4078. WILLIAMSPORT, PENNSYLVANIA.

House § 4067. No comparable Senate section. --Senate recesses.

SEC. 4079. YARDLEY BOROUGH, PENNSYLVANIA.

House § 4068. No comparable Senate section. –Senate recesses.

SEC. 4080. RIO VALENCIANO, JUNCOS, PUERTO RICO.

House § 4069. No comparable Senate section. –Senate recesses.

SEC. 4081. WOONSOCKET LOCAL PROTECTION PROJECT, BLACKSTONE  
RIVER BASIN, RHODE ISLAND.

Senate § 4027. No comparable House section. –House recesses.

SEC. 4082. CROOKED CREEK, BENNETTSVILLE, SOUTH CAROLINA.

House § 4070. No comparable Senate section. –Senate recesses.

SEC. 4083. BROAD RIVER, YORK COUNTY, SOUTH CAROLINA.

House § 4071. No comparable Senate section. –Senate recesses.

SEC. 4084. SAVANNAH RIVER, SOUTH CAROLINA AND GEORGIA.

Senate § 4028. No comparable House section. –House recesses.

SEC. 4085. CHATTANOOGA, TENNESSEE.

House § 4072. No comparable Senate section. –Senate recesses.

SEC. 4086. CLEVELAND, TENNESSEE.

House § 4073. No comparable Senate section. –Senate recesses.

SEC. 4087. CUMBERLAND RIVER, NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE.

House § 4074. No comparable Senate section. –Senate recesses.

SEC. 4088. LEWIS, LAWRENCE, AND WAYNE COUNTIES, TENNESSEE.

House § 4075. No comparable Senate section. –Senate recesses.

SEC. 4089. WOLF RIVER AND NONCONNAH CREEK, MEMPHIS, TENNESSEE.

House § 4076. No comparable Senate section. –Senate recesses.

SEC. 4090. ABILENE, TEXAS.

House § 4077. No comparable Senate section. –Senate recesses.

SEC. 4091. COASTAL TEXAS ECOSYSTEM PROTECTION AND RESTORATION,  
TEXAS.

House § 4078. No comparable Senate section. –Senate recesses.

SEC. 4092. PORT OF GALVESTON, TEXAS.

House § 4079. No comparable Senate section. –Senate recesses.

SEC. 4093. GRAND COUNTY AND MOAB, UTAH.

House § 4080. No comparable Senate section. –Senate recesses.

SEC. 4094. SOUTHWESTERN UTAH.

House § 4081. No comparable Senate Section. –Senate Recesses.

SEC. 4095. ECOSYSTEM AND HYDROPOWER GENERATION DAMS, VERMONT.

Senate § 4030. No comparable House section. –House recesses.

SEC. 4096. ELLIOTT BAY SEAWALL, SEATTLE, WASHINGTON.

House § 4083, Senate § 4034. – Senate recesses.

SEC. 4097. MONONGAHELA RIVER BASIN, NORTHERN WEST VIRGINIA.

House § 4084. No comparable Senate section. –Senate recesses.

SEC. 4098. KENOSHA HARBOR, WISCONSIN.

House § 4085. No comparable Senate section. –Senate recesses.

SEC. 4099. JOHNSONVILLE DAM, JOHNSONVILLE, WISCONSIN.

House § 4087, Senate § 4035. – Same.

SEC. 4100. WAUWATOSA, WISCONSIN.

House § 4086. No comparable Senate section. –Senate recesses.

SEC. 4101. DEBRIS REMOVAL.

Senate § 4036. No comparable House section. –House recesses, with an amendment.

## TITLE V—MISCELLANEOUS.

### SEC. 5001. MAINTENANCE OF NAVIGATION CHANNELS.

- 5001(a)(1)*. Manatee Harbor Basin, Florida. House § 5001(a)(1). No comparable Senate section. — Senate recedes.
- 5001(a)(2)*. Tampa Harbor, Sparkman Channel and Davis Island, Florida. No comparable Senate or House section.
- 5001(a)(3)*. West turning basin, Canaveral Harbor, Florida. House § 5001(a)(2). No comparable Senate section. — Senate recedes.
- 5001(a)(4)*. Bayou LaFourche Channel, Port Fourchon, Louisiana. House § 5001(a)(3). No comparable Senate section. — Senate recedes.
- 5001(a)(5)*. Calcasieu River at Devil's Elbow, Louisiana. House § 5001(a)(4). No comparable Senate section. — Senate recedes.
- 5001(a)(6)*. Pidgeon Industrial Harbor, Pidgeon Industrial Park, Memphis Harbor, Tennessee. House § 5001(a)(5). No comparable Senate section. — Senate recedes.
- 5001(a)(7)*. Houston Ship Channel, Bayport Cruise Channel and Bayport Cruise turning basin, as part of the existing Bayport Channel, Texas. No comparable Senate or House section.
- 5001(a)(8)*. Pix Bayou Navigation Channel, Chambers County, Texas. House § 5001(a)(6). No comparable Senate section. — Senate recedes.
- 5001(a)(9)*. Jacintoport Channel at Houston Ship Channel, Texas. No comparable Senate or House section.
- 5001(a)(10)*. Racine Harbor, Wisconsin. House § 5001(a)(7). No comparable Senate section. — Senate recedes.

### SEC. 5002. WATERSHED MANAGEMENT.

House § 5002. No comparable Senate section. — Senate recedes.

Subsection (d) of § 5002 authorizes the Secretary to provide technical assistance to non-federal interests for carrying out watershed management, restoration and development projects in the following locations: Charlotte Harbor watershed, Florida; Those portions of the watersheds of the Chattahoochee, Etowah, Flint, Ocmulgee, and Oconee Rivers lying within the counties of Bartow, Cherokee, Clayton, Cobb, Coweta, DeKalb, Douglas, Fayette, Fulton, Forsyth, Gwinnett, Hall, Henry, Paulding, Rockdale, and Walton, Georgia; Kinkaid Lake, Jackson County, Illinois; Amite River basin, Louisiana; East Atchafalaya River basin, Iberville Parish and Pointe Coupee Parish, Louisiana; Red River watershed, Louisiana; Taunton River basin, Massachusetts; Marlboro Township, New Jersey; Esopus, Plattekill, and Rondout Creeks, Greene, Sullivan, and Ulster Counties, New York; Greenwood Lake watershed, New York and New Jersey; Long Island Sound watershed, New York; Ramapo River watershed, New York; Tuscarawas River basin, Ohio; Western Lake Erie basin, Ohio; Those portions of the watersheds of the Beaver, Upper Ohio, Connoquenessing, Lower Allegheny, Kiskiminetas, Lower Monongahela, Youghioghenny, Shenango, and Mahoning Rivers lying within the counties of Beaver, Butler, Lawrence, and Mercer, Pennsylvania; Otter Creek watershed, Pennsylvania; Unami Creek watershed, Milford Township, Pennsylvania; and Sauk River basin, Washington.

#### SEC. 5003. DAM SAFETY.

House § 5003. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes, with an amendment.

Section 5003(a) authorizes the Secretary to provide assistance to enhance dam safety at the following locations: Keith Creek, Rockford, Illinois; Mount Zion Mill Pond Dam, Fulton County, Indiana; Fish Creek Dam, Blaine County, Idaho; Hamilton Dam, Flint River, Flint, Michigan; Congers Lake Dam, Rockland County, New York; Lake Lucille Dam, New City, New York; Peconic River Dams, town of Riverhead, Suffolk, Long Island, New York; Pine Grove Lakes Dam, Sloatsburg, New York; State Dam, Auburn, New York; Whaley Lake Dam, Pawling, New York; Brightwood Dam, Concord Township, Ohio; Ingham Spring Dam, Solebury Township, Pennsylvania; Leaser Lake Dam, Lehigh County, Pennsylvania; Stillwater Dam, Monroe County, Pennsylvania; Wissahickon Creek Dam, Montgomery County, Pennsylvania.

#### SEC. 5004. STRUCTURAL INTEGRITY EVALUATIONS.

House § 5004. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes, with an amendment.

#### SEC. 5005. FLOOD MITIGATION PRIORITY AREAS.

House § 5005. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

Section 5005(a)(3) adds the following locations to Section 212(e) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1999 (33 U.S.C. 2332(e)): Ascension Parish, Louisiana; East Baton Rouge Parish, Louisiana; Iberville Parish, Louisiana; Livingston Parish, Louisiana; and Pointe Coupee Parish, Louisiana.

#### SEC. 5006. ADDITIONAL ASSISTANCE FOR AUTHORIZED PROJECTS.

House § 5006, Senate § 3008. – Senate recedes.

The managers recognize that in carrying out the project for the Colonias along the United States-Mexico border, the Secretary may provide assistance to projects in Webb, Zapata, Starr, and Hidalgo counties, Texas.

#### SEC. 5007. EXPEDITED COMPLETION OF REPORTS AND CONSTRUCTION FOR CERTAIN PROJECTS.

House § 5007, 5038, and 7010(2). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes, with an amendment.

Section 5007 directs the Secretary to expedite completion of the reports, and if the Secretary finds that the project is feasible, to expedite completion of construction of following projects: Project for navigation, Whittier, Alaska; Laguna Creek watershed flood damage reduction project, California; Daytona Beach shore protection project, Florida; Flagler Beach shore protection project, Florida; St. Johns County shore protection project,

Florida; Chenier Plain environmental restoration project, Louisiana; False River, Louisiana; Fulmer Creek, Village of Mohawk, New York; Moyer Creek, Village of Frankfort, New York; Steele Creek, Village of Ilion, New York; Oriskany Wildlife Management Area, Rome, New York; Whitney Point Lake, Otselic River, Whitney Point, New York; North River, Peabody, Massachusetts; and Chenango Lake, Chenango County, New York.

The managers request that a timetable for the execution and completion of a feasibility cost-sharing agreement and initiation of construction of the Laguna Creek watershed flood damage reduction project, Fremont, California, be provided to the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the Senate and the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives within 90 days of the enactment of the Water Resources Development Act of 2007.

SEC. 5008. EXPEDITED COMPLETION OF REPORTS FOR CERTAIN PROJECTS.

House § 5008(a), Senate § 4012. – Senate recedes, with an amendment.

Section 5008(a) directs the Secretary to expedite completion of the following reports, and, if the Secretary determines that the project is justified, authorizes the Secretary to proceed to project preconstruction, engineering and design: Project for water supply, Little Red River, Arkansas; Watershed study, Fountain Creek, north of Pueblo, Colorado; Project for shoreline stabilization, Egmont Key, Florida; Project for navigation, Sabine-Neches Waterway, Texas and Louisiana; and Project for ecosystem restoration, University Lake, Baton Rouge, Louisiana.

In carrying out the review of the project for navigation, Sabine-Neches Waterway, Texas and Louisiana, referred to in subsection (a)(3), the Secretary is directed to utilize all current available data, models, and analyses to facilitate the scheduled completion of the Chief of Engineers report.

House § 5008(b). No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 5009. SOUTHEASTERN WATER RESOURCES ASSESSMENT.

House § 5009. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 5010. MISSOURI AND MIDDLE MISSISSIPPI RIVERS ENHANCEMENT PROJECT.

House § 5011, Senate § 3109. – House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 5011. GREAT LAKES FISHERY AND ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION PROGRAM.

House § 5012, Senate § 3141. – House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 5012. GREAT LAKES REMEDIAL ACTION PLANS AND SEDIMENT REMEDIATION.



House § 5013, Senate § 3142. – Senate recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 5013. GREAT LAKES TRIBUTARY MODELS.

House § 5014, Senate § 3143. – Senate recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 5014. GREAT LAKES NAVIGATION AND PROTECTION.

House § 5015 and 5016, Senate § 5029. – Senate recedes, with an amendment.

The Great Lakes contain 134 deep-draft harbors and six connecting channels within the Corps of Engineers' dredging responsibility, including 25 of the nation's largest ports. The total waterborne commerce on the Great Lakes equals nearly 7 percent of the nation's maritime commerce. Recent shortfalls in the Corps' dredging appropriation have delayed dredging at many Great Lakes ports and waterways. The low water levels that have plagued the Lakes since the late 1990s have only exacerbated the problem. As a result, the largest vessels in the Great Lakes fleet must forfeit nearly 270 tons of cargo for each 1-inch reduction in loaded draft. Ocean-going vessels in the international trade lose roughly 100 tons of cargo for each 1-inch loss of draft.

Section 5014(a) directs the Secretary, using available appropriated funds, to expedite the operation and maintenance, including dredging, of the navigation features of the Great Lakes and Connecting Channels for the purpose of supporting commercial navigation to authorized project depths.

SEC. 5015. SAINT LAWRENCE SEAWAY.

House § 5017. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 5016. UPPER MISSISSIPPI RIVER DISPERSAL BARRIER PROJECT.

House § 5018, Senate § 4021. – Senate recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 5017. ESTUARY RESTORATION.

Senate § 5002. No comparable House section. – House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 5018. MISSOURI RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES, MITIGATION, RECOVERY,  
AND RESTORATION, IOWA, KANSAS, MISSOURI, MONTANA, NEBRASKA,  
NORTH DAKOTA, SOUTH DAKOTA, AND WYOMING.

Senate § 5016. No comparable House section. – House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 5019. SUSQUEHANNA, DELAWARE, AND POTOMAC RIVER BASINS,  
DELAWARE, MARYLAND, PENNSYLVANIA, AND VIRGINIA.

House § 5019, Senate § 5010. – House recedes.

SEC. 5020. CHESAPEAKE BAY ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION AND PROTECTION PROGRAM.

House § 5020, Senate § 3068. – Senate recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 5021. CHESAPEAKE BAY OYSTER RESTORATION, VIRGINIA AND MARYLAND.

Senate § 3124. No comparable House section. – House recedes.

SEC. 5022. HYPOXIA ASSESSMENT.

House § 5021. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 5023. POTOMAC RIVER WATERSHED ASSESSMENT AND TRIBUTARY STRATEGY EVALUATION AND MONITORING PROGRAM.

House § 5022. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 5024. LOCK AND DAM SECURITY.

House § 5023. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 5025. RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM FOR COLUMBIA AND SNAKE RIVER SALMON SURVIVAL.

House § 5025. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 5026. WAGE SURVEYS.

House § 5135. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 5027. REHABILITATION.

House § 5024. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 5028. AUBURN, ALABAMA.

House § 5026. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 5029. PINHOOK CREEK, HUNTSVILLE, ALABAMA.

House § 5027. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 5030. ALASKA.

House § 5028, Senate § 5004. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 5031. BARROW, ALASKA.

House § 5029. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

SEC. 5032. LOWELL CREEK TUNNEL, SEWARD, ALASKA.

House § 5034. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses, with an amendment.

SEC. 5033. ST. HERMAN AND ST. PAUL HARBORS, KODIAK, ALASKA.

House § 5035, Senate § 3001. – Same.

SEC. 5034. TANANA RIVER, ALASKA.

House § 5036. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

SEC. 5035. WRANGELL HARBOR, ALASKA.

House § 5039. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

SEC. 5036. AUGUSTA AND CLARENDON, ARKANSAS.

House § 5040, Senate § 3007. – House recesses, with an amendment.

SEC. 5037. DES ARC LEVEE PROTECTION, ARKANSAS.

House § 5041. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

SEC. 5038. LOOMIS LANDING, ARKANSAS.

House § 5042. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

SEC. 5039. CALIFORNIA.

Senate § 5005. No comparable House section. – House recesses.

SEC. 5040. CALAVERAS RIVER AND LITTLEJOHN CREEK AND TRIBUTARIES,  
STOCKTON, CALIFORNIA.

Senate § 5007. No comparable House section. – House recesses.

SEC. 5041. CAMBRIA, CALIFORNIA.

House § 5044. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

SEC. 5042. CONTRA COSTA CANAL, OAKLEY AND KNIGHTSEN, CALIFORNIA;  
MALLARD SLOUGH, PITTSBURG, CALIFORNIA.

House § 5045. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

SEC. 5043. DANA POINT HARBOR, CALIFORNIA.

House § 5046. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

SEC. 5044. EAST SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.

House § 5047. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

SEC. 5045. EASTERN SANTA CLARA BASIN, CALIFORNIA.

House § 5048. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

SEC. 5046. LA-3 DREDGED MATERIAL OCEAN DISPOSAL SITE DESIGNATION,  
CALIFORNIA.

Senate § 3016. No comparable House section. – House recesses.

SEC. 5047. LANCASTER, CALIFORNIA.

House § 5049. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

SEC. 5048. LOS OSOS, CALIFORNIA.

House § 5050. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

SEC. 5049. PINE FLAT DAM FISH AND WILDLIFE HABITAT, CALIFORNIA.

House § 5051, Senate § 3021. – House recesses.

SEC. 5050. RAYMOND BASIN, SIX BASINS, CHINO BASIN, AND SAN GABRIEL  
BASIN, CALIFORNIA.

House § 5052. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses, with an amendment.

SEC. 5051. SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA.

House § 5053. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

SEC. 5052. SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA, WATERFRONT AREA.

House § 5054, Senate § 3025. – Senate recesses, with an amendment.

SEC. 5053. SAN PABLO BAY, CALIFORNIA, WATERSHED AND SUISUN MARSH  
ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION.

House § 5055, Senate § 4011. – Senate recesses.

SEC. 5054. ST. HELENA, CALIFORNIA.

Senate § 4008. No comparable House section. – House recesses, with an amendment.

SEC. 5055. UPPER CALAVERAS RIVER, STOCKTON, CALIFORNIA.

House § 5056. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

SEC. 5056. RIO GRANDE ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PROGRAM,  
COLORADO, NEW MEXICO, AND TEXAS.

Senate § 5008, House § 5002(d)(9). – House recesses, with an amendment.

SEC. 5057. CHARLES HERVEY TOWNSHEND BREAKWATER, NEW HAVEN  
HARBOR, CONNECTICUT.

House § 5057, Senate § 3030. – Senate recesses.

SEC. 5058. STAMFORD, CONNECTICUT.

No comparable Senate or House section.

The managers recognize the importance of waterfront and riverfront development projects to local communities and that, in some instances, waterfront and riverfront development plans contain elements that fall within traditional Corps mission areas of navigation, flood damage reduction, and environmental restoration, and associated recreation. However, the managers believe that waterfront and riverfront development projects, in and of themselves, are not a Corps mission and Corps participation in these development projects must be limited to traditional Corps missions. While recreation is frequently an element of waterfront and riverfront development projects, the managers do not intend for the Corps to carry out purely recreational elements of the project, unrelated to the traditional missions of the Corps. The managers direct the Corps to limit its work on recreation features to only those elements that relate to the traditional Corps mission areas that are being built as an element of the larger waterfront and riverfront development project plan.

SEC. 5059. DELMARVA CONSERVATION CORRIDOR, DELAWARE, MARYLAND,  
AND VIRGINIA.

House § 5081, Senate § 5009. – House recesses, with an amendment.

SEC. 5060. ANACOSTIA RIVER, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA AND MARYLAND.

House § 5080, Senate § 5011. – Senate recesses.

SEC. 5061. EAST CENTRAL AND NORTHEAST FLORIDA.

House § 5060. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

SEC. 5062. FLORIDA KEYS WATER QUALITY IMPROVEMENTS.

House § 5058. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

SEC. 5063. LAKE WORTH, FLORIDA.

House § 5059. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

SEC. 5064. BIG CREEK, GEORGIA, WATERSHED MANAGEMENT AND RESTORATION PROGRAM.

Senate § 5012. No comparable House section. – House recesses.

SEC. 5065. METROPOLITAN NORTH GEORGIA WATER PLANNING DISTRICT.

Senate § 5013. No comparable House section. – House recesses.

SEC. 5066. SAVANNAH, GEORGIA.

No comparable Senate or House section.

The managers recognize the importance of waterfront and riverfront development projects to local communities and that, in some instances, waterfront and riverfront development plans contain elements that fall within traditional Corps mission areas of navigation, flood damage reduction, and environmental restoration, and associated recreation. However, the managers believe that waterfront and riverfront development projects, in and of themselves, are not a Corps mission and Corps participation in these development projects must be limited to traditional Corps missions. While recreation is frequently an element of waterfront and riverfront development projects, the managers do not intend for the Corps to carry out purely recreational elements of the project, unrelated to the traditional missions of the Corps. The managers direct the Corps to limit its work on recreation features to only those elements that relate to the traditional Corps mission areas that are being built as an element of the larger waterfront and riverfront development project plan.

SEC. 5067. IDAHO, MONTANA, RURAL NEVADA, NEW MEXICO, RURAL UTAH, AND WYOMING.

Senate § 5014. No comparable House section. – House recesses.

SEC. 5068. RILEY CREEK RECREATION AREA, IDAHO.

House § 5062. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

SEC. 5069. FLOODPLAIN MAPPING, LITTLE CALUMET RIVER, CHICAGO,  
ILLINOIS.

House § 5066. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses, with an amendment.

SEC. 5070. RECONSTRUCTION OF ILLINOIS AND MISSOURI FLOOD  
PROTECTION PROJECTS.

House § 5063, Senate § 3049. – House recesses, with an amendment.

SEC. 5071. ILLINOIS RIVER BASIN RESTORATION.

House § 5064, Senate § 3048. – Senate recesses, with an amendment.

SEC. 5072. PROMONTORY POINT THIRD-PARTY REVIEW, CHICAGO  
SHORELINE, CHICAGO, ILLINOIS.

House § 5067, Senate § 4017. House recesses, with an amendment.

SEC. 5073. KASKASKIA RIVER BASIN, ILLINOIS, RESTORATION.

House § 5065. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses, with an amendment.

SEC. 5074. SOUTHWEST ILLINOIS.

House § 5068. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

SEC. 5075. CALUMET REGION, INDIANA.

House § 5070. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

SEC. 5076. FLOODPLAIN MAPPING, MISSOURI RIVER, IOWA.

House § 5071. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses, with an amendment.

SEC. 5077. PADUCAH, KENTUCKY.

House § 5072. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

SEC. 5078. SOUTHERN AND EASTERN KENTUCKY.

House § 5073. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

SEC. 5079. WINCHESTER, KENTUCKY.

House § 5074. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

SEC. 5080. BATON ROUGE, LOUISIANA.

House § 5075. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

SEC. 5081. CALCASIEU SHIP CHANNEL, LOUISIANA.

House § 5076. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

SEC. 5082. EAST ATCHAFALAYA BASIN AND AMITE RIVER BASIN REGION,  
LOUISIANA.

House § 5077. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

SEC. 5083. INNER HARBOR NAVIGATION CANAL LOCK PROJECT,  
LOUISIANA.

Senate § 5028. No comparable House section. – House recesses.

SEC. 5084. LAKE PONTCHARTRAIN, LOUISIANA.

No comparable Senate or House section.

SEC. 5085. SOUTHEAST LOUISIANA REGION, LOUISIANA.

Senate § 5017. No comparable House section. – House recesses.

SEC. 5086. WEST BATON ROUGE PARISH, LOUISIANA.

House § 5078. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses, with an amendment.

SEC. 5087. CHARLESTOWN, MARYLAND.

House § 5079. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

SEC. 5088. ST. MARY'S RIVER, MARYLAND.

No comparable House or Senate section.

SEC. 5089. MASSACHUSETTS DREDGED MATERIAL DISPOSAL SITES.

House § 5082. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

SEC. 5090. ONTONAGON HARBOR, MICHIGAN.

House § 5083. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

SEC. 5091. CROOKSTON, MINNESOTA.

House § 5084. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.



SEC. 5092. GARRISON AND KATHIO TOWNSHIP, MINNESOTA.

House § 5085. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

SEC. 5093. ITASCA COUNTY, MINNESOTA.

House § 5086. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

SEC. 5094. MINNEAPOLIS, MINNESOTA.

House § 5087. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

SEC. 5095. NORTHEASTERN MINNESOTA.

House § 5088. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

SEC. 5096. WILD RICE RIVER, MINNESOTA.

House § 5089, Senate § 4020. – Senate recesses.

SEC. 5097. MISSISSIPPI.

Senate § 5018. No comparable House section. – House recesses.

SEC. 5098. HARRISON, HANCOCK, AND JACKSON COUNTIES, MISSISSIPPI.

House § 5090. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

SEC. 5099. MISSISSIPPI RIVER, MISSOURI AND ILLINOIS.

House § 5091. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

SEC. 5100. ST. LOUIS, MISSOURI.

House § 5092. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

SEC. 5101. ST. LOUIS REGIONAL GREENWAYS, ST. LOUIS, MISSOURI.

No comparable Senate or House section.

The managers recognize the importance of waterfront and riverfront development projects to local communities and that, in some instances, waterfront and riverfront development plans contain elements that fall within traditional Corps mission areas of navigation, flood damage reduction, and environmental restoration, and associated recreation. However, the managers believe that waterfront and riverfront development projects, in and of themselves, are not a Corps mission and Corps participation in these development projects must be limited to traditional Corps missions. While recreation is

frequently an element of waterfront and riverfront development projects, the managers do not intend for the Corps to carry out purely recreational elements of the project, unrelated to the traditional missions of the Corps. The managers direct the Corps to limit its work on recreation features to only those elements that relate to the traditional Corps mission areas that are being built as an element of the larger waterfront and riverfront development project plan.

SEC. 5102. MISSOULA, MONTANA.

No comparable Senate or House section.

The managers recognize the importance of waterfront and riverfront development projects to local communities and that, in some instances, waterfront and riverfront development plans contain elements that fall within traditional Corps mission areas of navigation, flood damage reduction, and environmental restoration, and associated recreation. However, the managers believe that waterfront and riverfront development projects, in and of themselves, are not a Corps mission and Corps participation in these development projects must be limited to traditional Corps missions. While recreation is frequently an element of waterfront and riverfront development projects, the managers do not intend for the Corps to carry out purely recreational elements of the project, unrelated to the traditional missions of the Corps. The managers direct the Corps to limit its work on recreation features to only those elements that relate to the traditional Corps mission areas that are being built as an element of the larger waterfront and riverfront development project plan.

SEC. 5103. ST. MARY PROJECT, GLACIER COUNTY, MONTANA.

Senate § 5019. No comparable House section. – House recesses, with an amendment.

In carrying out this section, the managers expect the Secretary to conduct all hiring and contracting in accordance with the requirements set forth in the Indian Self Determination Act.

SEC. 5104. LOWER PLATTE RIVER WATERSHED RESTORATION, NEBRASKA.

Senate § 5020, House § 5002(d)(8). – House recesses, with an amendment.

SEC. 5105. HACKENSACK MEADOWLANDS AREA, NEW JERSEY.

House § 5093. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

SEC. 5106. ATLANTIC COAST OF NEW YORK.

House § 5094. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

SEC. 5107. COLLEGE POINT, NEW YORK CITY, NEW YORK.

House § 5095. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

SEC. 5108. FLUSHING BAY AND CREEK, NEW YORK CITY, NEW YORK.

House § 5096. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

SEC. 5109. HUDSON RIVER, NEW YORK.

House § 5097. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses, with an amendment.

The managers recognize the importance of waterfront and riverfront development projects to local communities and that, in some instances, waterfront and riverfront development plans contain elements that fall within traditional Corps mission areas of navigation, flood damage reduction, and environmental restoration, and associated recreation. However, the managers believe that waterfront and riverfront development projects, in and of themselves, are not a Corps mission and Corps participation in these development projects must be limited to traditional Corps missions. While recreation is frequently an element of waterfront and riverfront development projects, the managers do not intend for the Corps to carry out purely recreational elements of the project, unrelated to the traditional missions of the Corps. The managers direct the Corps to limit its work on recreation features to only those elements that relate to the traditional Corps mission areas that are being built as an element of the larger waterfront and riverfront development project plan.

SEC. 5110. MOUNT MORRIS DAM, NEW YORK.

House § 5098. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

SEC. 5111. NORTH HEMPSTED AND GLEN COVE NORTH SHORE  
WATERSHED RESTORATION, NEW YORK.

No comparable Senate or House section.

The managers recognize the importance of waterfront and riverfront development projects to local communities and that, in some instances, waterfront and riverfront development plans contain elements that fall within traditional Corps mission areas of navigation, flood damage reduction, and environmental restoration, and associated recreation. However, the managers believe that waterfront and riverfront development projects, in and of themselves, are not a Corps mission and Corps participation in these development projects must be limited to traditional Corps missions. While recreation is frequently an element of waterfront and riverfront development projects, the managers do not intend for the Corps to carry out purely recreational elements of the project, unrelated to the traditional missions of the Corps. The managers direct the Corps to limit its work on recreation features to only those elements that relate to the traditional Corps mission areas that are being built as an element of the larger waterfront and riverfront development project plan.

SEC. 5112. ROCHESTER, NEW YORK.

No comparable Senate or House section.

The managers recognize the importance of waterfront and riverfront development projects to local communities and that, in some instances, waterfront and riverfront development plans contain elements that fall within traditional Corps mission areas of navigation, flood damage reduction, and environmental restoration, and associated recreation. However, the managers believe that waterfront and riverfront development projects, in and of themselves, are not a Corps mission and Corps participation in these development projects must be limited to traditional Corps missions. While recreation is frequently an element of waterfront and riverfront development projects, the managers do not intend for the Corps to carry out purely recreational elements of the project, unrelated to the traditional missions of the Corps. The managers direct the Corps to limit its work on recreation features to only those elements that relate to the traditional Corps mission areas that are being built as an element of the larger waterfront and riverfront development project plan.

SEC. 5113. NORTH CAROLINA.

Senate § 5021. No comparable House section. – House recesses.

SEC. 5114. STANLY COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA.

House § 5100. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

SEC. 5115. JOHN H. KERR DAM AND RESERVOIR, NORTH CAROLINA.

House § 5099. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

SEC. 5116. CINCINNATI, OHIO.

House § 5101. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses, with an amendment.

The managers recognize the importance of waterfront and riverfront development projects to local communities and that, in some instances, waterfront and riverfront development plans contain elements that fall within traditional Corps mission areas of navigation, flood damage reduction, and environmental restoration, and associated recreation. However, the managers believe that waterfront and riverfront development projects, in and of themselves, are not a Corps mission and Corps participation in these development projects must be limited to traditional Corps missions. While recreation is frequently an element of waterfront and riverfront development projects, the managers do not intend for the Corps to carry out purely recreational elements of the project, unrelated to the traditional missions of the Corps. The managers direct the Corps to limit its work on recreation features to only those elements that relate to the traditional Corps mission areas that are being built as an element of the larger waterfront and riverfront development project plan.

SEC. 5117. OHIO RIVER BASIN ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT.

Senate § 5022. No comparable House section. – House recesses.

SEC. 5118. TOUSSAINT RIVER NAVIGATION PROJECT, CARROLL TOWNSHIP,  
OHIO.

House § 5102, Senate § 3095. – House recesses, with an amendment.

SEC. 5119. STATEWIDE COMPREHENSIVE WATER PLANNING, OKLAHOMA.

Senate § 5023. No comparable House section. – House recesses.

SEC. 5120. FERN RIDGE DAM, OREGON.

House § 5104. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

SEC. 5121. ALLEGHENY COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA.

House § 5105. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

SEC. 5122. CLINTON COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA.

House § 5106. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

SEC. 5123. KEHLY RUN DAMS, PENNSYLVANIA.

House § 5107. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

SEC. 5124. LEHIGH RIVER, LEHIGH COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA.

House § 5108. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

SEC. 5125. NORTHEAST PENNSYLVANIA.

House § 5109. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

SEC. 5126. UPPER SUSQUEHANNA RIVER BASIN, PENNSYLVANIA AND NEW  
YORK.

House § 5110, Senate § 3105. – Senate recesses, with an amendment.

SEC. 5127. CANO MARTIN PENA, SAN JUAN, PUERTO RICO.

House § 5111. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses, with an amendment.

SEC. 5128. LAKES MARION AND MOULTRIE, SOUTH CAROLINA.

No comparable House or Senate section.

SEC. 5129. CHEYENNE RIVER SIOUX TRIBE, LOWER BRULE SIOUX TRIBE,  
AND TERRESTRIAL WILDLIFE HABITAT RESTORATION, SOUTH DAKOTA.

House § 5112, Senate § 5024. – Same.

SEC. 5130. EAST TENNESSEE.

House § 5113. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

SEC. 5131. FRITZ LANDING, TENNESSEE.

House § 5114. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

SEC. 5132. J. PERCY PRIEST DAM AND RESERVOIR, TENNESSEE.

House § 5115. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

SEC. 5133. NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE.

No comparable Senate or House section.

The managers recognize the importance of waterfront and riverfront development projects to local communities and that, in some instances, waterfront and riverfront development plans contain elements that fall within traditional Corps mission areas of navigation, flood damage reduction, and environmental restoration, and associated recreation. However, the managers believe that waterfront and riverfront development projects, in and of themselves, are not a Corps mission and Corps participation in these development projects must be limited to traditional Corps missions. While recreation is frequently an element of waterfront and riverfront development projects, the managers do not intend for the Corps to carry out purely recreational elements of the project, unrelated to the traditional missions of the Corps. The managers direct the Corps to limit its work on recreation features to only those elements that relate to the traditional Corps mission areas that are being built as an element of the larger waterfront and riverfront development project plan.

SEC. 5134. NONCONNAH WEIR, MEMPHIS, TENNESSEE.

Senate § 3110. No comparable House section. – House recesses.

SEC. 5135. TENNESSEE RIVER PARTNERSHIP.

House § 5117. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

SEC. 5136. TOWN CREEK, LENOIR CITY, TENNESSEE.

House § 5116. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

SEC. 5137. UPPER MISSISSIPPI EMBAYMENT, TENNESSEE, ARKANSAS, AND MISSISSIPPI.

House § 5118. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

SEC. 5138. TEXAS.

Senate § 5025. No comparable House section. – House recesses.

SEC. 5139. BOSQUE RIVER WATERSHED, TEXAS.

House § 5119. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

SEC. 5140. DALLAS COUNTY REGION, TEXAS.

House § 5120. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

SEC. 5141. DALLAS FLOODWAY, DALLAS, TEXAS.

House § 5121. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

SEC. 5142. HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS.

House § 5122, Senate § 3117. – House recesses.

SEC. 5143. JOHNSON CREEK, ARLINGTON, TEXAS.

House § 5123, Senate § 4029. – Senate recesses.

SEC. 5144. ONION CREEK, TEXAS.

House § 5124. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

SEC. 5145. CONNECTICUT RIVER DAMS, VERMONT.

Senate § 5026. No comparable House section. – House recesses.

Sec. 5146. LAKE CHAMPLAIN CANAL, VERMONT AND NEW YORK.

Senate § 4032. No comparable House section. – House recesses.

SEC. 5147. DYKE MARSH, FAIRFAX COUNTY, VIRGINIA.

House § 5126. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

SEC. 5148. EASTERN SHORE AND SOUTHWEST VIRGINIA.

House § 5125. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

SEC. 5149. JAMES RIVER, VIRGINIA.

Senate § 3125. No comparable House section. – House recesses.

SEC. 5150. BAKER BAY AND ILWACO HARBOR, WASHINGTON.

House § 5127, Senate § 4033. – Senate recesses.

SEC. 5151. HAMILTON ISLAND CAMPGROUND, WASHINGTON.

House § 5128. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

SEC. 5152. EROSION CONTROL, PUGET ISLAND, WAHKIAKUM COUNTY,  
WASHINGTON.

House § 5129, Senate § 3127. – House recesses.

SEC. 5153. WILLAPA BAY, WASHINGTON.

House § 5130. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

SEC. 5154. WEST VIRGINIA AND PENNSYLVANIA FLOOD CONTROL.

House § 5131. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

SEC. 5155. CENTRAL WEST VIRGINIA.

House § 5132. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

SEC. 5156. SOUTHERN WEST VIRGINIA.

House § 5133. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recesses.

SEC. 5157. CONSTRUCTION OF FLOOD CONTROL PROJECTS BY NON-  
FEDERAL INTERESTS.

House § 5134, Senate § 2011. – Senate recesses, with an amendment.

(12) Perris, California

(13) Thornton Reservoir, Cook County, Illinois.

(14) Larose to Golden Meadow, Louisiana.

(15) Buffalo Bayou, Texas.

(16) Halls Bayou, Texas.

(17) Menomonee River Watershed, Wisconsin.

SEC. 5158. ADDITIONAL ASSISTANCE FOR CRITICAL PROJECTS.



House § 5136, Senate § 5003. House recedes, with an amendment.

## **TITLE VI – FLORIDA EVERGLADES**

SEC. 6001. HILLSBORO AND OKEECHOBEE AQUIFER, FLORIDA.

House § 6001, Senate § 3037. – Senate recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 6002. PILOT PROJECTS.

House § 6002. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 6003. MAXIMUM COSTS.

House § 6004, Senate § 3034. – Senate recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 6004. CREDIT.

House § 6006. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

The managers are concerned about the practice of the non-Federal sponsor performing work on the project without a written agreement with the Corps, and then relying upon legislation to receive credit against the non-Federal share. Consistent with section 2003 of this bill, for future work to be considered eligible for credit, it must be performed under a written agreement with the Secretary.

SEC. 6005. OUTREACH AND ASSISTANCE.

House § 6007. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 6006. CRITICAL RESTORATION PROJECTS.

House § 6008, Senate § 3036. House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 6007. REGIONAL ENGINEERING MODEL FOR ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION.

House § 6011. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

INITIAL PROJECTS, COMPREHENSIVE EVERGLADES RESTORATION PLAN, FLORIDA.

The managers have agreed to delete House section 6003 that would have increased the maximum cost for three initial projects of the Comprehensive Everglades Restoration Plan (CERP) – Water Conservation Areas 3A/3B Levee Seepage Management, C-11 Impoundment and Stormwater Treatment Area, and C-9 Impoundment and Stormwater

Treatment Area. These projects are still undergoing study and final cost estimates are not available. Project components of CERP have seen their cost estimates vary widely during the project formulation and design phases. The managers support the completion of the studies on these projects prior to taking action on their cost estimates. Until the final project implementation report recommends final cost estimates, the managers believe that it is premature to enact new cost figures.

The project implementation reports for the three projects are projected to be completed in 2008. The managers expect to consider the correct authorization levels for these projects in a water resources bill next year.

#### MODIFIED WATER DELIVERIES PROJECT, FLORIDA.

The Everglades National Park Protection and Expansion Act of 1989, Public Law 101-229, (1989 Act), authorized the expansion of Everglades National Park (Park), a change to more natural water deliveries to the Park, and flood damage reduction measures for the area known as the eight and one-half square mile area. Of the three activities, there still has been no change in water deliveries to the Park. Without a change in water delivery to the Park, restoration of the Everglades, and many of the projects authorized as components of the Comprehensive Everglades Restoration Plan (CERP) in 2000, will not succeed.

To achieve more natural water deliveries to the Park, it is necessary to modify the way water crosses under the Tamiami Trail Highway. The managers of the bill are concerned that nearly 18 years have passed since the 1989 Act, and the restoration of more natural water flows has not occurred. While the House bill contained language directing a particular option toward restoring flows, the Corps of Engineers and other interested parties have indicated that the "two-bridge" option may not be the preferred solution. However, the managers are concerned that continuing re-analysis of options for modifying water deliveries will only delay benefits to the Everglades.

The managers have observed proposals related to improved water deliveries to the Park come and go over the years, yet the more natural flows to the Park do not occur. It is time for the Chief of Engineers to implement measures to improve water deliveries and adopt an adaptive management approach toward restoring flows.

The managers have agreed to delete the House language on the two-bridge option. The managers direct the Chief of Engineers to re-examine options to modify the water delivery to the Park. However, the managers also direct the Chief of Engineer to pursue immediate steps to increase flows to the Park of at least 1400 cubic feet per second, without significantly increasing the risk of roadbed failure. Flows less than 1400 cubic feet per second will not produce measurable benefits to the Park.

The managers direct the Chief of Engineers to proceed with increasing flows to the Park upon the completion of the eight and one-half square mile area construction this fall. Completing that construction removes the current constraint on water levels within the Northeast Shark River Slough area of the Park.

The managers direct the Chief of Engineers to re-examine the prior reports and environmental documentation associated with modifying water deliveries to the Park prepared under the 1989 Act, and to evaluate the practicable alternatives for increasing the flow of water under the highway and into the Park. The recommendations resulting from this re-examination are to be for improving flows in a manner that is consistent with the direction in the 1989 Act that the Secretary of the Army construct modifications “to improve water deliveries into the park and shall, to the extent practicable, take steps to restore the natural hydrological conditions within the Park.” The managers direct that the flows to the Park have a minimum target of 4000 cubic feet per second so as to address the restoration envisioned in the 1989 Act.

The Chief of Engineers is to develop the recommendations in consultation with the Department of the Interior, the Department of Transportation, the Miccosukee Tribe of Indians of Florida, the Seminole Tribe of Florida, and the State of Florida, and shall consider environmental benefits produced, cost, related CERP improvements, and other relevant factors.

The recommendations of the Chief of Engineers shall identify a plan for increasing and distributing water flows to the Park through project components that take into account the fact that a subsequent project involving modifications to the Tamiami Trail Highway may be accomplished under the authority of the Water Resources Development Act of 2000. Modifications that are not compatible with that project or are duplicative should be avoided.

The recommendations of the Chief of Engineers shall be available for public review and comment consistent with applicable law, and shall be submitted to Congress not later than July 1, 2008.

Concurrent with the preparation of recommendations for modifying water deliveries under the 1989 Act, the managers direct the Chief of Engineers to initiate an evaluation of the Tamiami Trail project component of the Comprehensive Everglades Restoration Plan authorized by section 601(b)(2)(C)(viii) of the Water Resources Development Act of 2000, or other appropriate authorities, as soon as practicable. The recommendations shall include an evaluation of modifying Tamiami Trail from Krome Avenue to the boundary of the Big Cypress National Park to restore natural flows and ecological connectivity through the Park to Florida Bay. Upon completion of these recommendations the Chief of Engineers shall submit the recommendations to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the Senate.

The House language in section 6009 also addressed cost allocations between the Secretary and the Secretary of the Interior. The managers direct that any arrangements for sharing of costs between the Secretaries be prospective only. The managers do not support any arrangement where the Secretary of the Interior is credited with expenditures for land acquisition toward the costs of modifying the water delivery to the Park. These costs represent separate responsibilities within the missions of the Department of the Army and the Department of the Interior, and the costs of one should not be used to offset the costs of the other.

## TITLE VII – LOUISIANA COASTAL AREA.

### SEC. 7001. DEFINITIONS.

House § 7001. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes, with an amendment.

### SEC. 7002. COMPREHENSIVE PLAN.

House § 7002, Senate § 1003(h). – Senate recedes, with an amendment.

### SEC. 7003. LOUISIANA COASTAL AREA.

House § 7003, Senate § 1003(a) and (b). – House recedes, with an amendment.

### SEC. 7004. COASTAL LOUISIANA ECOSYSTEM PROTECTION AND RESTORATION TASK FORCE.

House § 7004, Senate § 1003(i). – House recedes, with an amendment.

### SEC. 7005. PROJECT MODIFICATIONS.

House § 7005, Senate § 1003(m). – Senate recedes, with an amendment.

### SEC. 7006. CONSTRUCTION.

House § 7006, Senate § 1003(c), (d), (e), (f) and (j). – House recedes, with an amendment.

For the benefit of the Louisiana coastal area, the managers have authorized a number of projects and programs. In the case of the Additional Projects authorized in section 7006(e), the managers have authorized 4 projects for construction and have authorized 6 other projects contingent upon a Chief's Report being completed no later than December 31, 2010. The managers understand that the 4 projects authorized for construction are closer to having a completed study than are the other 6 projects. The managers expect the Secretary to plan and construct all of these projects on a priority and a schedule that maximizes the efficient and timely delivery of benefits.

### SEC. 7007. NON-FEDERAL COST SHARE.

House § 7007, Senate § 1003(g). – Senate recedes, with an amendment.

### SEC. 7008. PROJECT JUSTIFICATION.

House § 7008, Senate § 1003(k). – Senate recedes.

### SEC. 7009. INDEPENDENT REVIEW.

House § 7009, Senate § 1003(n). – House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 7010. EXPEDITED REPORTS.

House § 7010, Senate § 1003(t). – House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 7011. REPORTING.

House § 7011. No comparable Senate provision. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 7012. NEW ORLEANS AND VICINITY.

House § 7012, Senate § 1003(p). – House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 7013. MISSISSIPPI RIVER-GULF OUTLET.

House § 7013, Senate § 1003(s). – House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 7014. HURRICANE AND STORM DAMAGE REDUCTION.

Senate § 1003(u). No comparable House provision. – House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 7015. LAROSE TO GOLDEN MEADOW.

Senate § 1003(q). No comparable House provision. – House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 7016. LOWER JEFFERSON PARISH, LOUISIANA.

Senate § 1003(r). No comparable House provision. – House recedes, with an amendment.

**TITLE VIII – UPPER MISSISSIPPI RIVER AND ILLINOIS  
WATERWAY SYSTEM.**

SEC. 8001. DEFINITIONS.

House § 8001, Senate § 1002(a). – Same.

SEC. 8002. NAVIGATIONAL IMPROVEMENTS AND RESTORATION.

House § 8002. No comparable Senate section. – Senate recedes.

SEC. 8003. AUTHORIZATION OF CONSTRUCTION OF NAVIGATIONAL  
IMPROVEMENTS.

House § 8003, Senate § 1002(b). – House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 8004. ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION AUTHORIZATION.

House § 8004, Senate § 1002(c). – Senate recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 8005. COMPARABLE PROGRESS.

House § 8005, Senate § 1002(d). – Senate recedes.

**TITLE IX – NATIONAL LEVEE SAFETY PROGRAM.**

SEC. 9001. SHORT TITLE.

Senate § 2051. No comparable House section. – House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 9002. DEFINITIONS.

Senate § 2052. No comparable House section. – House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 9003. COMMITTEE ON LEVEE SAFETY.

Senate § 2053 and 2054. No comparable House section. – House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 9004. INVENTORY AND INSPECTION OF LEVEES.

Senate § 2054. No comparable House section. – House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 9005. LIMITATIONS ON STATUTORY CONSTRUCTION.

No comparable House or Senate section.

SEC. 9006. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.

Senate § 2055. No comparable House section. – House recedes, with an amendment.

**ADDITIONAL MATTERS**

The managers request the Secretary make it a priority to reimburse non-federal project sponsors for carrying out federal projects in accordance with cooperative agreements. These projects provide benefits to the federal taxpayer and the Corps of Engineers should make every effort to reimburse non-federal project sponsors the appropriate amount in a timely manner. In one instance, Manatee County, Florida carried out the Anna Maria Island beach re-nourishment under a cooperative agreement with the Army Corps of Engineers for construction of the Manatee County Shore Protection Project in 2002. For Fiscal Year 2002, Congress appropriated \$1 million for the project, and in

Fiscal Year 2003, Congress appropriated \$3.5 million for the project. Yet, Manatee County has received only \$2.3 million in reimbursement from the Army Corps of Engineers and is still owed over \$1.7 million for work that was completed in 2002. Many local communities and other non-federal project sponsors that undertake federal projects put their financial security at stake and timely reimbursement by the Corps of Engineers is critical to their economic prosperity.

The Corps recently determined that the stability of Wolf Creek Dam is threatened by seepage under and around the dam, increasing the risk of catastrophic failure. The managers recognize that the Corps has cited an extreme concern for safety and lowered the level of Lake Cumberland dramatically to mitigate the risk of failure. The managers recognize that the Nashville District of the Corps has recommended that this project be classified as a dam safety project and therefore subject to reimbursement rates in accordance with the Dam Safety Act. Given the threat to safety as cited by the Corps and the recommendation by the Corps district office, the managers urge the administration to accept the recommendation of the Corps to classify this project as dam safety, and to finalize such a decision as soon as possible.

The managers have increasingly heard concerns from members of Congress regarding the backlog in the processing of permits under section 404 of the Clean Water Act. In particular, the Jacksonville District of the Corps of Engineers processes 1/8 of all the permits nationwide. The managers direct the Chief of Engineers to examine the permitting workload and consider alternatives for better distribution of the workload. The managers also direct the Chief of Engineers to work with States using current authorities to minimize the time required for the Corps to respond to permit applications.

## **COMPLIANCE WITH HOUSE RULE XXI**

Pursuant to clause 9 of rule XXI of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure is required to include a list of congressional earmarks, limited tax benefits, or limited tariff benefits (as defined in clause 9(d), 9(e), or 9(f) of rule XXI of the Rules of the House of Representatives) in the Conference Report. The Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure requires Members of Congress to comply with all requirements of clause 9(d), 9(e), or 9(f) of rule XXI. The following table provides the list of such provisions included in the Conference Report:

**[insert Rule XXI Table]**

### Water Resources Development Act of 2007

Conference Section	Project Name	Member
1001(01)	Haines, Alaska	Murkowski
1001(01)	Haines, Alaska	Stevens
1001(01)	Haines, Alaska	Young, D.
1001(02)	Port Lions, Alaska	Young, D.
1001(03)	Santa Cruz River, Paseo De Las Iglesias, Arizona	Farr
1001(04)	Tanque Verde Creek, Pima County, Arizona	Kyl
1001(04)	Tanque Verde Creek, Pima County, Arizona	Giffords
1001(04)	Tanque Verde Creek, Pima County, Arizona	Grijalva
1001(05)	Salt River (Rio Salado Oeste), Maricopa County, Arizona	Pastor
1001(06)	Salt River (Va Shly'ay Akimel), Maricopa County, Arizona	Mitchell
1001(06)	Salt River (Va Shly'ay Akimel), Maricopa County, Arizona	Kyl
1001(07)	May Branch, Forth Smith, Kentucky	Lincoln
1001(07)	May Branch, Forth Smith, Kentucky	Pryor
1001(07)	May Branch, Forth Smith, Kentucky	Boozman
1001(08)	Hamilton City, Glenn County, California	Herger
1001(08)	Hamilton City, Glenn County, California	Boxer
1001(09)	Silver Strand Shoreline, Imperial Beach, California	Davis, S.
1001(09)	Silver Strand Shoreline, Imperial Beach, California	Boxer
1001(10)	Matilija Dam, Ventura County, California	Gallegly
1001(10)	Matilija Dam, Ventura County, California	Capps
1001(10)	Matilija Dam, Ventura County, California	Boxer
1001(11)	Middle Creek, Lake County, California	Boxer
1001(11)	Middle Creek, Lake County, California	Thompson
1001(12)	Napa River Salt Marsh Restoration, California	Woolsey
1001(12)	Napa River Salt Marsh Restoration, California	Thompson
1001(12)	Napa River Salt Marsh Restoration, California	Miller, George
1001(12)	Napa River Salt Marsh Restoration, California	Boxer
1001(12)	Napa River Salt Marsh Restoration, California	Tauscher
1001(13)	Denver County Reach, South Platte River, Denver, Colorado	Allard
1001(13)	Denver County Reach, South Platte River, Denver, Colorado	Tancredo
1001(13)	Denver County Reach, South Platte River, Denver, Colorado	Salazar
1001(14)	Central and Southern Florida, Indian River Lagoon, Florida	Nelson
1001(14)	Central and Southern Florida, Indian River Lagoon, Florida	Martinez
1001(14)	Central and Southern Florida, Indian River Lagoon, Florida	Mahoney
1001(15)	Comprehensive Everglades Restoration Plan, Central and Southern Florida, Picayune Strand Restoration Project, Collier County, Florida	Martinez
1001(15)	Comprehensive Everglades Restoration Plan, Central and Southern Florida, Picayune Strand Restoration Project, Collier County, Florida	Nelson
1001(15)	Comprehensive Everglades Restoration Plan, Central and Southern Florida, Picayune Strand Restoration Project, Collier	Diaz-Balart, M.



### Water Resources Development Act of 2007

Conference Section	Project Name	Member
	County, Florida	
1001(15)	Comprehensive Everglades Restoration Plan, Central and Southern Florida, Picayune Strand Restoration Project, Collier County, Florida	Mahoney
1001(16)	Comprehensive Everglades Restoration Plan, Central and Southern Florida, Site 1	Mahoney
1001(16)	Comprehensive Everglades Restoration Plan, Central and Southern Florida, Site 1	Hastings, A.
1001(16)	Comprehensive Everglades Restoration Plan, Central and Southern Florida, Site 1	Mahoney
1001(17)	Miami Harbor, Miami Dade County, Florida	Wasserman Schultz
1001(17)	Miami Harbor, Miami Dade County, Florida	Diaz-Balart, L.
1001(17)	Miami Harbor, Miami Dade County, Florida	Diaz-Balart, M.
1001(17)	Miami Harbor, Miami Dade County, Florida	Nelson
1001(17)	Miami Harbor, Miami Dade County, Florida	Martinez
1001(17)	Miami Harbor, Miami Dade County, Florida	Ros-Lehtinen
1001(18)	East St. Louis and Vicinity, Illinois	Durbin
1001(18)	East St. Louis and Vicinity, Illinois	Obama
1001(18)	East St. Louis and Vicinity, Illinois	Costello
1001(19)	Peoria Riverfront Development, Illinois	LaHood
1001(19)	Peoria Riverfront Development, Illinois	Durbin
1001(19)	Peoria Riverfront Development, Illinois	Obama
1001(20)	Wood River Levee System Reconstruction, Madison County, Illinois	Obama
1001(20)	Wood River Levee System Reconstruction, Madison County, Illinois	Costello
1001(20)	Wood River Levee System Reconstruction, Madison County, Illinois	Durbin
1001(21)	Des Moines and Racoon Rivers. Des Moines, Iowa	Harkin
1001(21)	Des Moines and Racoon Rivers. Des Moines, Iowa	Boswell
1001(21)	Des Moines and Racoon Rivers. Des Moines, Iowa	Grassley
1001(22)	Licking River Basin, Cythiana, Kentucky	Davis, G.
1001(23)	Bayou Sorrel Lock, Louisiana	Boustany
1001(23)	Bayou Sorrel Lock, Louisiana	Jindal
1001(23)	Bayou Sorrel Lock, Louisiana	Landrieu
1001(23)	Bayou Sorrel Lock, Louisiana	Baker
1001(23)	Bayou Sorrel Lock, Louisiana	Vitter
1001(24)	Morganza to the Gulf of Mexico, Louisiana	Melancon
1001(24)	Morganza to the Gulf of Mexico, Louisiana	Landrieu
1001(24)	Morganza to the Gulf of Mexico, Louisiana	Vitter
1001(24)	Morganza to the Gulf of Mexico, Louisiana	Baker
1001(24)	Morganza to the Gulf of Mexico, Louisiana	Jindal

### Water Resources Development Act of 2007

Conference Section	Project Name	Member
1001(25)	Port of Iberia, Louisiana	Jindal
1001(25)	Port of Iberia, Louisiana	Melancon
1001(26)	Smith Island, Somerset County, Maryland	Cardin
1001(26)	Smith Island, Somerset County, Maryland	Gilchrest
1001(26)	Smith Island, Somerset County, Maryland	Mikulski
1001(27)	Roseau River, Roseau, Minnesota	Klobuchar
1001(27)	Roseau River, Roseau, Minnesota	Peterson, C.
1001(27)	Roseau River, Roseau, Minnesota	Coleman
1001(28)	Argentine, East Bottoms, Fairfax-Jersey Creek, And North Kansas Levees Units, Missouri River and Tributaries at Kansas Cities, Missouri and Kansas	Moore, D.
1001(28)	Argentine, East Bottoms, Fairfax-Jersey Creek, And North Kansas Levees Units, Missouri River and Tributaries at Kansas Cities, Missouri and Kansas	McCaskill
1001(28)	Argentine, East Bottoms, Fairfax-Jersey Creek, And North Kansas Levees Units, Missouri River and Tributaries at Kansas Cities, Missouri and Kansas	Roberts
1001(28)	Argentine, East Bottoms, Fairfax-Jersey Creek, And North Kansas Levees Units, Missouri River and Tributaries at Kansas Cities, Missouri and Kansas	Brownback
1001(28)	Argentine, East Bottoms, Fairfax-Jersey Creek, And North Kansas Levees Units, Missouri River and Tributaries at Kansas Cities, Missouri and Kansas	Bond
1001(28)	Argentine, East Bottoms, Fairfax-Jersey Creek, And North Kansas Levees Units, Missouri River and Tributaries at Kansas Cities, Missouri and Kansas	Cleaver
1001(29)	Swope Park Industrial Area, Blue River, Kansas City, Missouri	Bond
1001(29)	Swope Park Industrial Area, Blue River, Kansas City, Missouri	McCaskill
1001(29)	Swope Park Industrial Area, Blue River, Kansas City, Missouri	Cleaver
1001(30)	Great Egg Harbor Inlet to Townsends Inlet, New Jersey	Menendez
1001(30)	Great Egg Harbor Inlet to Townsends Inlet, New Jersey	Lautenberg
1001(30)	Great Egg Harbor Inlet to Townsends Inlet, New Jersey	LoBiondo
1001(31)	Hudson Raritan Estuary, Liberty State Park, New Jersey	Sires
1001(31)	Hudson Raritan Estuary, Liberty State Park, New Jersey	Menendez
1001(31)	Hudson Raritan Estuary, Liberty State Park, New Jersey	Lautenberg
1001(32)	New Jersey Shore Protection Study, Manasquan Inlet to Barnegat Inlet, New Jersey	Saxton
1001(32)	New Jersey Shore Protection Study, Manasquan Inlet to Barnegat Inlet, New Jersey	Smith, C.
1001(32)	New Jersey Shore Protection Study, Manasquan Inlet to Barnegat Inlet, New Jersey	Menendez
1001(32)	New Jersey Shore Protection Study, Manasquan Inlet to Barnegat Inlet, New Jersey	Lautenberg
1001(33)	Raritan Bay and Sandy Hook Bay, Union Beach, New Jersey	Menendez
1001(33)	Raritan Bay and Sandy Hook Bay, Union Beach, New Jersey	Pallone

### Water Resources Development Act of 2007

Conference Section	Project Name	Member
1001(33)	Raritan Bay and Sandy Hook Bay, Union Beach, New Jersey	Lautenberg
1001(34)	South River, Raritan River Basin, New Jersey	Lautenberg
1001(34)	South River, Raritan River Basin, New Jersey	Andrews, R.
1001(34)	South River, Raritan River Basin, New Jersey	Menendez
1001(35)	Southwest Valley, Bernalillo County, New Mexico	Domenici
1001(35)	Southwest Valley, Bernalillo County, New Mexico	Bingaman
1001(35)	Southwest Valley, Bernalillo County, New Mexico	Wilson, H.
1001(36)	Montauk Point, New York	Clinton
1001(36)	Montauk Point, New York	Bishop, T.
1001(37)	Hocking River Basin, Monday Creek, Ohio	Space
1001(37)	Hocking River Basin, Monday Creek, Ohio	Voinovich
1001(38)	Town of Bloomsburg, Columbia County, Pennsylvania	Casey
1001(38)	Town of Bloomsburg, Columbia County, Pennsylvania	Specter
1001(38)	Town of Bloomsburg, Columbia County, Pennsylvania	Kanjorski
1001(39)	Pawleys Island, South Carolina	Graham
1001(39)	Pawleys Island, South Carolina	Brown, H.
1001(40)	Corpus Christi Ship Channel, Corpus Cristi, Texas	Hutchison
1001(40)	Corpus Christi Ship Channel, Corpus Cristi, Texas	Ortiz
1001(40)	Corpus Christi Ship Channel, Corpus Cristi, Texas	Cornyn
1001(41)	Gulf Intracoastal Waterway, Brazos River to Port O'Connor, Matagorda Bay Re-route, Texas	Cornyn
1001(41)	Gulf Intracoastal Waterway, Brazos River to Port O'Connor, Matagorda Bay Re-route, Texas	Hutchison
1001(41)	Gulf Intracoastal Waterway, Matagorda Bay Re-route, Texas	Paul
1001(42)	Gulf Intracoastal Waterway, High Island to Brazos River, Texas	Hutchison
1001(42)	Gulf Intracoastal Waterway, High Island to Brazos River, Texas	Cornyn
1001(42)	Gulf Intracoastal Waterway, High Island to Brazos River, Texas	Paul
1001(43)	Lower Colorado River Basin Phase I, Texas	Hutchison
1001(43)	Lower Colorado River Basin Phase I, Texas	Cornyn
1001(43)	Lower Colorado River Basin Phase I, Texas	Doggett
1001(43)	Lower Colorado River Basin Phase I, Texas	McCaul
1001(44)	Atlantic Intracoastal Waterway Bridge Replacement, Deep Creek, Chesapeake, Virginia	Webb
1001(44)	Atlantic Intracoastal Waterway Bridge Replacement, Deep Creek, Chesapeake, Virginia	Forbes
1001(44)	Atlantic Intracoastal Waterway Bridge Replacement, Deep Creek, Chesapeake, Virginia	Warner
1001(45)	Craney Island Eastward Expansion, Norfolk Harbor and Channels, Hampton Roads, Virginia	Webb
1001(45)	Craney Island Eastward Expansion, Norfolk Harbor and Channels, Hampton Roads, Virginia	Warner
1001(45)	Craney Island Eastward Expansion, Norfolk Harbor and Channels, Hampton Roads, Virginia	Scott, R.

### Water Resources Development Act of 2007

Conference Section	Project Name	Member
1001(46)	Centralia, Chehalis River, Lewis County, Washington	Cantwell
1001(46)	Centralia, Chehalis River, Lewis County, Washington	Murray
1001(46)	Centralia, Chehalis River, Lewis County, Washington	Dicks
1002(a)(01)	Haleyville, Alabama	Aderholt
1002(a)(02)	Weiss Lake, Alabama	Rogers, Mike D.
1002(a)(03)	Fort Yukon, Alaska	Young, D.
1002(a)(04)	Little Colorado Levee, Arizona	Renzi
1002(a)(05)	Cache River Basin, Grubbs, Arkansas	Pryor
1002(a)(05)	Cache River Basin, Grubbs, Arkansas	Lincoln
1002(a)(05)	Cache River Basin, Grubbs, Arkansas	Berry
1002(a)(06)	Barrel Springs Wash, Palmdale, California	McKeon
1002(a)(07)	Borrego Springs, California	Hunter
1002(a)(08)	Colton, California	Baca
1002(a)(09)	Dunlap Stream, San Bernardino, California	Lewis, J.
1002(a)(10)	Hunts Canyon Wash, Palmdale, California	McKeon
1002(a)(11)	Ontario and Chino, California	Miller, Gary
1002(a)(11)	Ontario and Chino, California	Baca
1002(a)(12)	Santa Venetia, California	Miller, George
1002(a)(12)	Santa Venetia, California	Tauscher
1002(a)(12)	Santa Venetia, California	Woolsey
1002(a)(13)	Whittier, California	Miller, Gary
1002(a)(14)	Wildwood Creek, Yucaipa, California	Lewis, J.
1002(a)(15)	Bibb County and City of Macon Levee, Georgia	Chambliss
1002(a)(15)	Bibb County and City of Macon Levee, Georgia	Isakson
1002(a)(16)	Ft. Wayne and Vicinity, Indiana	Souder
1002(a)(16)	Ft. Wayne and Vicinity, Indiana	Lugar
1002(a)(16)	Ft. Wayne and Vicinity, Indiana	Bayh
1002(a)(17)	St. Francisville, Louisiana	Baker
1002(a)(18)	Salem, Massachusetts	Kennedy, T.
1002(a)(18)	Salem, Massachusetts	Kerry
1002(a)(18)	Salem, Massachusetts	Tierney
1002(a)(19)	Cass River, Michigan	Kildee
1002(a)(20)	Crow River, Rockford, Minnesota	Bachmann
1002(a)(20)	Crow River, Rockford, Minnesota	Klobuchar
1002(a)(20)	Crow River, Rockford, Minnesota	Coleman
1002(a)(21)	Marsh Creek, Minnesota	Klobuchar
1002(a)(21)	Marsh Creek, Minnesota	Peterson, C.
1002(a)(22)	South Branch of Wild Rice River, Borup, Minnesota	Klobuchar
1002(a)(22)	South Branch of Wild Rice River, Borup, Minnesota	Coleman
1002(a)(22)	South Branch of Wild Rice River, Borup, Minnesota	Peterson, C.
1002(a)(23)	Blacksnake Creek, St. Joseph, Missouri	Graves

### Water Resources Development Act of 2007

Conference Section	Project Name	Member
1002(a)(24)	Acid Brook, Pompton Lakes, New Jersey	Pascrell
1002(a)(25)	Cannistee River, Addison, New York	Kuhl
1002(a)(26)	Cohocton River, Campbell, New York	Kuhl
1002(a)(27)	Dry and Otter Creeks, Cortland, New York	Arcuri
1002(a)(28)	East River, Silver Beach, New York City, New York	Crowley
1002(a)(29)	East Valley Creek, Andover, New York	Kuhl
1002(a)(30)	Sunnyside Brook, Westchester County, New York	Engel
1002(a)(31)	Little Yankee Run and Mud Run, Trumbull County, Ohio	Ryan, T.
1002(a)(32)	Little Neshaminy Creek, Warrington, Pennsylvania	Murphy, P.
1002(a)(33)	Southampton Creek Watershed, Southampton, Pennsylvania	Murphy, P.
1002(a)(34)	Spring Creek, Lower Macungie Township, Pennsylvania	Dent
1002(a)(35)	Yardley Aqueduct, Silver and Brock Creeks, Yardley, Pennsylvania	Murphy, P.
1002(a)(36)	Surfside Beach, South Carolina	Brown, H.
1002(a)(37)	Sandy Creek, Jackson County, Tennessee	Alexander
1002(a)(38)	Congelosi Ditch, Missouri City, Texas	Green, A.
1002(a)(39)	Dilley, Texas	Cuellar
1002(a)(40)	Cheyenne, Wyoming	[Thomas]
1003(02)	St. Johns' Bluff Training Wall, Duval County, Florida	Brown, C.
1003(02)	St. Johns' Bluff Training Wall, Duval County, Florida	Crenshaw
1003(03)	Gulf Intracoastal Waterway, Iberville Parish, Louisiana	Baker
1003(04)	Ouachita and Black Rivers, Arkansas and Louisiana	Jindal
1003(04)	Ouachita and Black Rivers, Arkansas and Louisiana	Ross
1003(05)	Piney Point Lighthouse, St. Mary's County, Maryland	Hoyer
1003(06)	Pug Hole Lake, Minnesota	Oberstar
1003(06)	Pug Hole Lake, Minnesota	Klobuchar
1003(07)	Middle Fork Grand River, Gentry County, Missouri	Graves
1003(08)	Platte River, Platte City, Missouri	Graves
1003(09)	Rush Creek, Parkville, Missouri	Graves
1003(10)	Dry and Otter Creeks, Cortland County, New York	Arcuri
1003(11)	Keuka Lake, Hammondsport, New York	Kuhl
1003(12)	Kowawese Unique Area and Hudson River, New Windsor, New York	Hall, J.
1003(13)	Owego Creek, Tioga County, New York	Arcuri
1003(14)	Howard Road Outfall, Shelby County, Tennessee	Blackburn
1003(15)	Mitch Farm Ditch and Lateral D, Shelby County, Tennessee	Blackburn
1003(16)	Wolf River Tributaries, Shelby County, Tennessee	Blackburn
1003(17)	Johnson Creek, Arlington, Texas	Barton
1003(18)	Wells River, Newbury, Vermont	Welch
1004(a)(01)	Barrow Harbor, Alaska	Stevens
1004(a)(01)	Barrow Harbor, Alaska	Murkowski
1004(a)(02)	Coffman Cove, Alaska	Young, D.

### Water Resources Development Act of 2007

Conference Section	Project Name	Member
1004(a)(03)	Kotzebue Harbor, Alaska	Young, D.
1004(a)(04)	Nome Harbor, Alaska	Stevens
1004(a)(04)	Nome Harbor, Alaska	Murkowski
1004(a)(05)	Old Harbor, Alaska	Stevens
1004(a)(05)	Old Harbor, Alaska	Murkowski
1004(a)(06)	Little Rock Port, Arkansas	Lincoln
1004(a)(06)	Little Rock Port, Arkansas	Pryor
1004(a)(07)	Mississippi River Ship Channel	Melancon
1004(a)(07)	Mississippi River Ship Channel	Jindal
1004(a)(07)	Mississippi River Ship Channel	Baker
1004(a)(08)	East Basin, Cape Cod Canal, Sandwich, Massachusetts	Kennedy, T.
1004(a)(08)	East Basin, Cape Cod Canal, Sandwich, Massachusetts	Delahunt
1004(a)(08)	East Basin, Cape Cod Canal, Sandwich, Massachusetts	Kerry
1004(a)(09)	Lynn Harbor, Lynn, Massachusetts	Kennedy, T.
1004(a)(09)	Lynn Harbor, Lynn, Massachusetts	Tierney
1004(a)(09)	Lynn Harbor, Lynn, Massachusetts	Kerry
1004(a)(10)	Merrimack River, Haverhill, Massachusetts	Kennedy, T.
1004(a)(10)	Merrimack River, Haverhill, Massachusetts	[Meehan]
1004(a)(10)	Merrimack River, Haverhill, Massachusetts	Kerry
1004(a)(11)	Oak Bluffs Harbor, Oak Bluffs, Massachusetts	Delahunt
1004(a)(11)	Oak Bluffs Harbor, Oak Bluffs, Massachusetts	Kennedy, T.
1004(a)(11)	Oak Bluffs Harbor, Oak Bluffs, Massachusetts	Kerry
1004(a)(12)	Woods Hole Great Harbor, Falmouth, Massachusetts	Kerry
1004(a)(12)	Woods Hole Great Harbor, Falmouth, Massachusetts	Delahunt
1004(a)(12)	Woods Hole Great Harbor, Falmouth, Massachusetts	Kennedy, T.
1004(a)(13)	Au Sable River, Michigan	Stabenow
1004(a)(13)	Au Sable River, Michigan	Stupak
1004(a)(14)	Clinton River, Michigan	Levin, C.
1004(a)(15)	Ontonagon River, Michigan	Stabenow
1004(a)(15)	Ontonagon River, Michigan	Levin, C.
1004(a)(16)	Outer Channel and Inner Harbor, Menominee Harbor, Michigan and Wisconsin	Stabenow
1004(a)(17)	Sebewaing River, Michigan	Stabenow
1004(a)(17)	Sebewaing River, Michigan	Levin, C.
1004(a)(18)	Traverse City Harbor, Traverse City, Michigan	Levin, C.
1004(a)(18)	Traverse City Harbor, Traverse City, Michigan	Stupak
1004(a)(18)	Traverse City Harbor, Traverse City, Michigan	Stabenow
1004(a)(18)	Traverse City Harbor, Traverse City, Michigan	Camp
1004(a)(19)	Tower Harbor, Tower, Minnesota	Oberstar
1004(a)(19)	Tower Harbor, Tower, Minnesota	Coleman
1004(a)(19)	Tower Harbor, Tower, Minnesota	Klobuchar

### Water Resources Development Act of 2007

Conference Section	Project Name	Member
1004(a)(20)	Olcott Harbor, Olcott, New York	Slaughter
1004(a)(21)	Milwaukee Harbor, Wisconsin	Kohl
1005(01)	Ballona Creek, Los Angeles County, California	Harman
1005(02)	Ballona Lagoon Tide Gates, Marina Del Ray, California	Harman
1005(03)	Ft. George Inlet, Duval County, Florida	Crenshaw
1005(03)	Ft. George Inlet, Duval County, Florida	Brown, C.
1005(04)	Rathbun Lake, Iowa	Boswell
1005(05)	Smithville Lake, Missouri	Graves
1005(06)	Delaware Bay, New Jersey and Delaware	LoBlondo
1005(07)	Tioga-Hammond Lakes, Pennsylvania	Peterson, J
1006(a)(01)	Cypress Creek, Montgomery, Alabama	Rogers, Mike D.
1006(a)(02)	Black Lake, Alaska	Stevens
1006(a)(02)	Black Lake, Alaska	Murkowski
1006(a)(02)	Black Lake, Alaska	Young, D.
1006(a)(03)	Ben Lomond Dam, Santa Cruz, California	Eshoo
1006(a)(04)	Dockweiler Bluffs, Los Angeles County, California	Harman
1006(a)(05)	Salt River, California	Thompson
1006(a)(06)	San Diego River, California	Boxer
1006(a)(06)	Santa Rosa Creek, Santa Rosa, California	Miller, George
1006(a)(06)	Santa Rosa Creek, Santa Rosa, California	Woolsey
1006(a)(06)	Santa Rosa Creek, Santa Rosa, California	Tauscher
1006(a)(07)	Stockton Deep Water Ship Channel and Lower San Joaquin River, California	McNerney
1006(a)(09)	Suisun Marsh, San Pablo Bay, California	Boxer
1006(a)(10)	Sweetwater Reservoir, San Diego County, California	Filner
1006(a)(11)	Biscayne Bay, Florida	Ros-Lehtinen
1006(a)(12)	Clam Bayou and Dinkins Bayou, Sanibel Island, Florida	Mack
1006(a)(13)	Mountain Park, Georgia	Chambliss
1006(a)(13)	Mountain Park, Georgia	Isakson
1006(a)(14)	Chattahoochee Fall Line, Georgia and Alabama	Westmoreland
1006(a)(14)	Chattahoochee Fall Line, Georgia and Alabama	Rogers, Mike D.
1006(a)(14)	Chattahoochee Fall Line, Georgia and Alabama	Bishop, S.
1006(a)(14)	Chattahoochee Fall Line, Georgia and Alabama	Gingrey
1006(a)(14)	Chattahoochee Fall Line, Georgia and Alabama	Chambliss
1006(a)(14)	Chattahoochee Fall Line, Georgia and Alabama	Isakson
1006(a)(15)	Longwood Cove, Gainesville, Georgia	Deal
1006(a)(16)	City Park, University Lakes, Louisiana	Baker
1006(a)(17)	Lawrence Gateway, Massachusetts	Kerry
1006(a)(17)	Lawrence Gateway, Massachusetts	Kennedy, T.
1006(a)(18)	Millford Pond, Milford, Massachusetts	Kennedy, T.
1006(a)(19)	Mill Pond, Littleton, Massachusetts	Kerry

### Water Resources Development Act of 2007

Conference Section	Project Name	Member
1006(a)(19)	Mill Pond, Littleton, Massachusetts	Kennedy, T.
1006(a)(19)	Millford Pond, Milford, Massachusetts	Kerry
1006(a)(19)	Mill Pond, Littleton, Massachusetts	[Meehan]
1006(a)(20)	Pine Tree Brook, Milton, Massachusetts	Lynch
1006(a)(20)	Pine Tree Brook, Milton, Massachusetts	Kerry
1006(a)(20)	Pine Tree Brook, Milton, Massachusetts	Kennedy, T.
1006(a)(21)	Clinton River, Michigan	Stabenow
1006(a)(21)	Clinton River, Michigan	Levin, C.
1006(a)(22)	Kalamazoo River Watershed, Battle Creek, Michigan	Walberg
1006(a)(23)	Rush Lake, Minnesota	Oberstar
1006(a)(24)	South Fork of the Crow River, Hutchinson, Minnesota	Peterson, C.
1006(a)(25)	St. Louis, Missouri	Clay
1006(a)(25)	St. Louis, Missouri	Carnahan
1006(a)(26)	Mobley Dam, Tongue River, Montana	Baucus
1006(a)(27)	S and H Dam, Tongue River, Montana	Baucus
1006(a)(28)	Vandalia Dam, Milk River, Montana	Baucus
1006(a)(29)	Truckee River, Reno, Nevada	Heller
1006(a)(30)	Grover's Mill Pond, New Jersey	Holt
1006(a)(31)	Caldwell County, North Carolina	Burr
1006(a)(32)	Mecklenburg County, North Carolina	Burr
1006(a)(33)	Dugway Creek, Bratenahl, Ohio	Jones, S
1006(a)(34)	Johnson Creek, Gresham, Oregon	Smith
1006(a)(34)	Johnson Creek, Gresham, Oregon	Blumenauer
1006(a)(34)	Johnson Creek, Gresham, Oregon	Wyden
1006(a)(35)	Beaver Creek, Beaver and Salem, Pennsylvania	Peterson, J
1006(a)(36)	Cementon Dam, Lehigh River, Pennsylvania	Dent
1006(a)(37)	Ingham Spring Dam, Solebury Township, Pennsylvania	Murphy, P.
1006(a)(38)	Saucon Creek, Northampton County, Pennsylvania	Dent
1006(a)(39)	Stillwater Dam, Monroe County, Pennsylvania	Dent
1006(a)(40)	Blackstone River, Rhode Island	Kennedy, P.
1006(a)(40)	Blackstone River, Rhode Island	Whitehouse
1006(a)(40)	Blackstone River, Rhode Island	Reed
1006(a)(41)	Wilson Branch, Cheraw, South Carolina	Spratt
1006(a)(42)	White River, Bethel, Vermont	Welch
1006(a)(43)	College Lake, Lynchburg, Virginia	Warner
1007(01)	Nelson Lagoon, Alaska	Young, D.
1007(02)	Nicholas Canyon, Los Angeles, California	Boxer
1007(03)	Sanibel Island, Florida	Mack
1007(04)	Apra Harbor, Guam	Clinton
1007(04)	Apra Harbor, Guam	Bordallo
1007(04)	Apra Harbor, Guam	Lautenberg



### Water Resources Development Act of 2007

Conference Section	Project Name	Member
1007(04)	Apra Harbor, Guam	Menendez
1007(04)	Apra Harbor, Guam	Schumer
1007(05)	Piti, Cabras Island, Guam	Menendez
1007(05)	Piti, Cabras Island, Guam	Lautenberg
1007(05)	Piti, Cabras Island, Guam	Schumer
1007(05)	Piti, Cabras Island, Guam	Clinton
1007(05)	Piti, Cabras Island, Guam	Bordallo
1007(06)	Narrows and Gravesend Bay, Upper New York Bay, Brooklyn, New York	Fossella
1007(07)	Delaware River, Philadelphia Naval Shipyard, Pennsylvania	Schwartz
1007(07)	Delaware River, Philadelphia Naval Shipyard, Pennsylvania	Brady
1007(08)	Port Aransas, Texas	Ortiz
1008	Kowawese Unique Area and Hudson River	Hall, J.
1009(01)	Tybee Island, Georgia	Kingston
1009(01)	Tybee Island, Georgia	Isakson
1009(01)	Tybee Island, Georgia	Chambliss
1009(02)	Burns Waterway Harbor, Indiana	Lugar
1009(02)	Burns Waterway Harbor, Indiana	Bayh
1009(02)	Burns Waterway Harbor, Indiana	Visclosky
1010	Small Projects for Aquatic Plant Control	Nelson
2010(a)(06)	Tuscarawas River Basin, Ohio	Sutton
2010(a)(07)	Sauk River Basin, Snohomish and Skagit Counties, Washington	Larsen
2010(a)(08)	Niagara River Basin, New York	Slaughter
2010(a)(09)	Genesee River Basin, New York	Slaughter
2010(a)(10)	White River Basin, Arkansas and Missouri	Berry
2010(a)(10)	White River Basin, Arkansas and Missouri	Snyder
2014(20)	Kinkaid Lake, Jackson County, Illinois	Costello
2014(21)	McCarter Pond, Borough of Fair Haven, New Jersey	Holt
2014(22)	Rogers Pond, Franklin Township, New Jersey	Holt
2014(23)	Greenwood Lake, New York and New Jersey	Hall, J.
2014(24)	Lake Rodgers, Creedmoor, North Carolina	Cole
2014(24)	Lake Rodgers, Creedmoor, North Carolina	Miller, B.
2014(25)	Lake Sakakawea, North Dakota	Conrad
2014(26)	Lake Luxembourg, Pennsylvania	Murphy, P.
2014(27)	Lake Fairlee, Vermont	Sanders
2014(28)	Lake Morley, Vermont	Sanders
2019(b)(01)	St. John's Bayou and New Madrid Floodway, Missouri	Emerson
2019(b)(01)	St. John's Bayou and New Madrid Floodway, Missouri	Bond
2019(b)(02)	Lower Rio Grande Basin, Texas	Cuellar
2019(b)(03)	West Virginia and Pennsylvania Projects	Murtha
2028(a)(01)	Support of the Army Civil Works Program	Duncan

### Water Resources Development Act of 2007

Conference Section	Project Name	Member
2028(a)(02)	Support of the Army Civil Works Program	Costello
2028(a)(03)	Support of the Army Civil Works Program	Johnson, E.B.
2037(f)(01)	Little Rock Slackwater Harbor, Arkansas	Snyder
2037(f)(02)	Fletcher Cove, California	Boxer
2037(f)(03)	Egmont Key, Florida	Castor
2037(f)(04)	Calcasieu Ship Channel, Louisiana	Boustany
2037(f)(05)	Delaware River Estuary, New Jersey and Pennsylvania	Menendez
2037(f)(05)	Delaware River Estuary, New Jersey and Pennsylvania	Lautenberg
2037(f)(06)	Fire Island Inlet, Suffolk County, New York	Clinton
2037(f)(07)	Smith Point Pavilion and TWA Memorial, Brookhaven, New York	Bishop, T.
2037(f)(08)	Morehead City, North Carolina	Jones, W.
2037(f)(09)	Toledo Harbor, Lucas County, Ohio	Voinovich
2037(f)(10)	Galveston Bay, Texas	Paul
2037(f)(11)	Benson Beach, Washington	Baird
3001	Black Warrior-Tombigbee Rivers, Alabama	Shelby
3002	Cook Inlet, Alaska	Young, D.
3003	King Cove Harbor, Alaska	Young, D.
3004	Seward Harbor, Alaska	Murkowski
3004	Seward Harbor, Alaska	Stevens
3005	Sitka, Alaska	Young, D.
3005	Sitka, Alaska	Stevens
3005	Sitka, Alaska	Murkowski
3006	Tatitlek, Alaska	Young, D.
3007	Rio De Flag, Flagstaff, Arizona	Renzi
3007	Rio De Flag, Flagstaff, Arizona	Kyl
3008	Nogales Wash and Tributaries Flood Control Project, Arizona	Kyl
3009	Tucson Drainage Area, Arizona	Kyl
3010	Osceola Harbor, Arkansas	Pryor
3010	Osceola Harbor, Arkansas	Lincoln
3010	Osceola Harbor, Arkansas	Berry
3011	St. Francis Basin River Basin, Arkansas and Missouri	Berry
3011	St. Francis Basin River Basin, Arkansas and Missouri	Berry
3011	St. Francis Basin River Basin, Arkansas and Missouri	Lincoln
3011	St. Francis Basin River Basin, Arkansas and Missouri	Pryor
3012	Pine Mountain Dam, Arkansas	Boozman
3012	Pine Mountain Dam, Arkansas	Pryor
3012	Pine Mountain Dam, Arkansas	Lincoln
3013	Red-Ouachita River Basin Levees, Arkansas and Louisiana	Lincoln
3013	Red-Ouachita River Basin Levees, Arkansas and Louisiana	Pryor
3014	Cache Creek Basin, California	Boxer

### Water Resources Development Act of 2007

Conference Section	Project Name	Member
3015	Calfed Stability Program, California	Boxer
3016	Compton Creek, California	[Millender-McDonald]
3017	Grayson Creek/Murderer's Creek, California	Miller, George
3017	Grayson Creek/Murderer's Creek, California	Boxer
3017	Grayson Creek/Murderer's Creek, California	Tauscher
3018	Hamilton Airfield, California	Tauscher
3018	Hamilton Airfield, California	Miller, George
3018	Hamilton Airfield, California	Boxer
3019	John F. Baldwin Ship Channel and Stockton Ship Channel, California	McNerney
3020	Kaweah River, California	Nunes
3021	Larkspur Ferry Channel, Larkspur, California	Miller, George
3021	Larkspur Ferry Channel, Larkspur, California	Tauscher
3021	Larkspur Ferry Channel, Larkspur, California	Woolsey
3021	Larkspur Ferry Channel, Larkspur, California	Boxer
3022	Llagas Creek, California	Honda
3022	Llagas Creek, California	Lofgren
3022	Llagas Creek, California	McNerney
3022	Llagas Creek, California	Boxer
3022	Llagas Creek, California	Eshoo
3023	Magpie Creek, California	Matsui
3023	Magpie Creek, California	Boxer
3024	Pacific Flyway Center, California	Thompson
3025	Petaluma River, Petaluma, California	Boxer
3026	Pinole Creek, California	Tauscher
3026	Pinole Creek, California	Miller, George
3027	Prado Dam, California	Calvert
3027	Prado Dam, California	Campbell
3027	Prado Dam, California	Sanchez, Loretta
3027	Prado Dam, California	Miller, Gary
3028	Redwood City Navigation Channel, California	Eshoo
3028	Redwood City Navigation Channel, California	Boxer
3029	Sacramento and American Rivers Flood Control, California	Matsui
3029	Sacramento and American Rivers Flood Control, California	Boxer
3029	Sacramento and American Rivers Flood Control, California	Feinstein
3029	Sacramento and American Rivers Flood Control, California	Matsui
3030	Sacramento Deepwater Ship Channel, California	Thompson
3031	Sacramento River Bank Protection, California	Feinstein
3031	Sacramento River Bank Protection, California	Boxer
3032	Salton Sea Restoration Project	Boxer

### Water Resources Development Act of 2007

Conference Section	Project Name	Member
3032	Salton Sea Restoration Project	Bono
3032	Salton Sea Restoration Project	Filner
3033	Santa Ana River Mainstem, California	Miller, G.
3033	Santa Ana River Mainstem, California	Boxer
3034	Santa Barbara Streams, Lower Mission Creek, California	Boxer
3034	Santa Barbara Streams, Lower Mission Creek, California	Capps
3035	Santa Cruz Harbor, California	Farr
3036	Seven Oaks Dam, California	Boxer
3036	Seven Oaks Dam, California	Calvert
3037	Upper Guadalupe River, California	Boxer
3037	Upper Guadalupe River, California	Lofgren
3037	Upper Guadalupe River, California	Eshoo
3037	Upper Guadalupe River, California	Honda
3038	Walnut Creek Channel, California	Miller, George
3038	Walnut Creek Channel, California	Boxer
3038	Walnut Creek Channel, California	Tauscher
3039	Wildcat/San Pablo Creek/Phase I, California	Tauscher
3039	Wildcat/San Pablo Creek/Phase I, California	Miller, George
3040	Wildcat/San Pablo Creek/Phase II, California	Miller, George
3040	Wildcat/San Pablo Creek/Phase II, California	Tauscher
3040	Wildcat/San Pablo Creek/Phase II, California	Boxer
3041	Yuba River Basin Project, California	Henger
3041	Yuba River Basin Project, California	Boxer
3042	South Platte River Basin, Colorado	Tancredo
3042	South Platte River Basin, Colorado	DeGette
3043	Intercoastal Waterway, Delaware River to Chesapeake Bay, Delaware and Maryland	Gilchrest
3043	Intercoastal Waterway, Delaware River to Chesapeake Bay, Delaware and Maryland	Castle
3044	St. George's Bridge, Delaware	Carper
3044	St. George's Bridge, Delaware	Biden
3044	St. George's Bridge, Delaware	Castle
3045	Brevard County, Florida	Nelson
3045	Brevard County, Florida	Martinez
3045	Brevard County, Florida	Weldon, D.
3046	Broward County and Hillsboro Inlet, Florida	Martinez
3046	Broward County and Hillsboro Inlet, Florida	Klein
3046	Broward County and Hillsboro Inlet, Florida	Nelson
3047	Canaveral Harbor, Florida	Weldon, D.
3047	Canaveral Harbor, Florida	Nelson
3048	Gasparilla & Estero Islands, Florida	Mack
3048	Gasparilla & Estero Islands, Florida	Nelson

### Water Resources Development Act of 2007

Conference Section	Project Name	Member
3049	Lido Key Beach, Sarasota, Florida	Buchanan
3049	Lido Key Beach, Sarasota, Florida	Nelson
3049	Lido Key Beach, Sarasota, Florida	Martinez
3050	Peanut Island, Florida	Klein
3051	Port Sutton, Florida	Martinez
3051	Port Sutton, Florida	Nelson
3052	Tampa Harbor-Big Bend Channel, Florida	Nelson
3052	Tampa Harbor-Big Bend Channel, Florida	Castor
3053	Tampa Harbor Cut B, Florida	Martinez
3053	Tampa Harbor Cut B, Florida	Castor
3053	Tampa Harbor Cut B, Florida	Putnam
3053	Tampa Harbor Cut B, Florida	Nelson
3054	Allatoona Lake, Georgia	Gingrey
3054	Allatoona Lake, Georgia	Price
3054	Allatoona Lake, Georgia	Isakson
3054	Allatoona Lake, Georgia	Chambliss
3055	Latham River, Glynn County, Georgia	Kingston
3056	Dworshak Reservoir Improvements, Idaho	Craig
3056	Dworshak Reservoir Improvements, Idaho	Simpson
3056	Dworshak Reservoir Improvements, Idaho	Crapo
3057	Little Wood River, Gooding, Idaho	Craig
3057	Little Wood River, Gooding, Idaho	Crapo
3058	Beardstown Community Boat Harbor, Beardstown, Illinois	LaHood
3058	Beardstown Community Boat Harbor, Beardstown, Illinois	Durbin
3059	Cache River Levee, Illinois	Obama
3059	Cache River Levee, Illinois	Durbin
3059	Cache River Levee, Illinois	Shimkus
3060	Chicago River, Illinois	Davis, D.
3060	Chicago River, Illinois	Obama
3060	Chicago River, Illinois	Durbin
3061	Chicago Sanitary & Ship Canal, Illinois	Stupak
3061	Chicago Sanitary & Ship Canal, Illinois	Kirk
3061	Chicago Sanitary & Ship Canal, Illinois	McCotter
3061	Chicago Sanitary & Ship Canal, Illinois	Gutierrez
3061	Chicago Sanitary & Ship Canal, Illinois	Biggert
3061	Chicago Sanitary & Ship Canal, Illinois	Dingell
3062	Emiquon, Illinois	Durbin
3062	Emiquon, Illinois	Hare
3063	LaSalle, Illinois	Weller
3064	Spunky Bottom, Illinois	Obama
3064	Spunky Bottom, Illinois	Durbin

### Water Resources Development Act of 2007

Conference Section	Project Name	Member
3064	Spunky Bottom, Illinois	LaHood
3065	Cedar Lake, Indiana	Lugar
3066	Koontz Lake, Indiana	Donnelly
3066	Koontz Lake, Indiana	Bayh
3066	Koontz Lake, Indiana	Lugar
3067	White River, Indiana	Carson, J.
3067	White River, Indiana	Lugar
3067	White River, Indiana	Bayh
3068	Des Moines River and Greenbelt, Iowa	Harkin
3068	Des Moines River and Greenbelt, Iowa	Boswell
3069	Perry Creek, Iowa	Harkin
3070	Rathbun Lake, Iowa	Grassley
3071	Hickman Bluff Stabilization, Kentucky	McConnell
3072	Mcalpine Lock And Dam, Kentucky And Indiana	McConnell
3072	Mcalpine Lock And Dam, Kentucky And Indiana	Yarmouth
3073	Prestonsburg, Kentucky	Rogers, H.
3074	Amite River and Tributaries, Louisiana, East Baton Rouge Parish Watershed	Baker
3074	Amite River and Tributaries, Louisiana, East Baton Rouge Parish Watershed	Landrieu
3074	Amite River and Tributaries, Louisiana, East Baton Rouge Parish Watershed	Vitter
3075	Atchafalaya Basin Floodway System, Louisiana	Boustany
3075	Atchafalaya Basin Floodway System, Louisiana	Vitter
3075	Atchafalaya Basin Floodway System, Louisiana	Landrieu
3075(c)	Atchafalaya Basin Floodway System, Louisiana (Town of Melville)	Boustany
3076	Atchafalaya Basin Floodway System, Regional Visitors Center, Louisiana	Vitter
3076	Atchafalaya Basin Floodway System, Regional Visitors Center, Louisiana	Landrieu
3076	Atchafalaya Basin Floodway System, Regional Visitors Center, Louisiana	Boustany
3077	Atchafalaya River and Bayous Chene, Boeuf, and Black, Louisiana	Baker
3078	Bayou Plaquemine, Louisiana	Baker
3079	Calcasieu River and Pass, Louisiana	Vitter
3079	Calcasieu River and Pass, Louisiana	Landrieu
3080	Red River (J. Bennett Johnston) Waterway, Louisiana	Landrieu
3080	Red River (J. Bennett Johnston) Waterway, Louisiana	McCrery
3080	Red River (J. Bennett Johnston) Waterway, Louisiana	Jindal
3080	Red River (J. Bennett Johnston) Waterway, Louisiana	Vitter
3081	Mississippi Delta Region, Louisiana	Melancon

### Water Resources Development Act of 2007

Conference Section	Project Name	Member
3082	Mississippi River-Gulf Outlet Relocation Assistance, Louisiana	Vitter
3082	Mississippi River-Gulf Outlet Relocation Assistance, Louisiana	Landrieu
3083	Violet, Louisiana	Landrieu
3083	Violet, Louisiana	Cochran
3083	Violet, Louisiana	Lott
3084	West Bank of the Mississippi River (East of Harvey Canal), Louisiana	Melancon
3084	West Bank of the Mississippi River (East of Harvey Canal), Louisiana	Jindal
3085	Camp Ellis, Saco, Maine	Allen
3085	Camp Ellis, Saco, Maine	Snowe
3085	Camp Ellis, Saco, Maine	Collins
3086	Cumberland, Maryland	Mikulski
3086	Cumberland, Maryland	Cardin
3087	Poplar Island, Maryland	Ruppersburger
3087	Poplar Island, Maryland	Hoyer
3087	Poplar Island, Maryland	Sarbanes
3087	Poplar Island, Maryland	Cummings
3087	Poplar Island, Maryland	Mikulski
3087	Poplar Island, Maryland	Cardin
3088	Detroit River Shoreline, Detroit, Michigan	Kilpatrick
3088	Detroit River Shoreline, Detroit, Michigan	Levin, C.
3088	Detroit River Shoreline, Detroit, Michigan	Stabenow
3089	St. Clair River and Lake St. Clair Michigan	Levin, S.
3089	St. Clair River and Lake St. Clair Michigan	Levin, C.
3089	St. Clair River and Lake St. Clair, Michigan	Stabenow
3089	St. Clair River and Lake St. Clair, Michigan	Miller, C.
3090	St. Joseph Harbor, Michigan	Upton
3091	Sault Saint Marie, Michigan	Stupak
3091	Sault Saint Marie, Michigan	Oberstar
3092	Ada, Minnesota	Peterson, C.
3092	Ada, Minnesota	Klobuchar
3093	Duluth Harbor, McQuade Road, Minnesota	Coleman
3093	Duluth Harbor, McQuade Road, Minnesota	Klobuchar
3093	Duluth Harbor, McQuade Road, Minnesota	Oberstar
3094	Grand Marais, Minnesota	Oberstar
3094	Grand Marais, Minnesota	Klobuchar
3095	Grand Portage Harbor, Minnesota	Klobuchar
3095	Grand Portage Harbor, Minnesota	Oberstar
3096	Granite Falls, Minnesota	Peterson, C.
3097	Knife River Harbor, Minnesota	Klobuchar
3097	Knife River Harbor, Minnesota	Oberstar

### Water Resources Development Act of 2007

Conference Section	Project Name	Member
3098	Red Lake River, Minnesota	Peterson, C.
3099	Silver Bay, Minnesota	Oberstar
3099	Silver Bay, Minnesota	Klobuchar
3100	Taconite Harbor, Minnesota	Klobuchar
3100	Taconite Harbor, Minnesota	Oberstar
3101	Two Harbors, Minnesota	Oberstar
3101	Two Harbors, Minnesota	Klobuchar
3101	Two Harbors, Minnesota	Coleman
3102	Deer Island, Harrison County, Mississippi	Taylor
3103	Jackson, Mississippi	Thompson, B.
3104	Pearl River Basin, Mississippi	Lott
3104	Pearl River Basin, Mississippi	Cochran
3104	Pearl River Basin, Mississippi	Pickering
3105	Festus and Crystal City, Missouri	Carnahan
3106	L-15 Levee, Missouri	Bond
3106	L-15 Levee, Missouri	Akin
3106	L-15 Levee, Missouri	McCaskill
3107	Monarch-Chesterfield, Missouri	Akin
3108	River Des Peres, Missouri	Carnahan
3109	Lower Yellowstone Project, Montant	Tester
3109	Lower Yellowstone Project, Montant	Baucus
3110	Yellowstone River and Tributaries, Montana and North Dakota	Baucus
3110	Yellowstone River and Tributaries, Montana and North Dakota	Tester
3111	Antelope Creek, Nebraska	Nelson
3111	Antelope Creek, Nebraska	Fortenberry
3111	Antelope Creek, Nebraska	Hagel
3112	Sand Creek Watershed, Wahoo, Nebraska	Hagel
3112	Sand Creek Watershed, Wahoo, Nebraska	Fortenberry
3112	Sand Creek Watershed, Wahoo, Nebraska	Nelson
3113	Western Sarpy and Clear Creek, Nebraska	Hagel
3113	Western Sarpy and Clear Creek, Nebraska	Nelson
3113	Western Sarpy and Clear Creek, Nebraska	Fortenberry
3114	Lower Truckee River, McCarran Ranch, Nevada	Ensign
3115	Lower Cape May Meadows, Cape May Point, New Jersey	LoBiondo
3116	Passaic River Basin Flood Management, New Jersey	Frelinghuysen
3117	Cooperative Agreements, New Mexico	Udall, T.
3117	Cooperative Agreements, New Mexico	Domenici
3117	Cooperative Agreements, New Mexico	Bingaman
3118	Middle Rio Grande Restoration, New Mexico	Domenici
3118	Middle Rio Grande Restoration, New Mexico	Bingaman
3119	Buffalo Harbor, New York	Higgins



### Water Resources Development Act of 2007

Conference Section	Project Name	Member
3120	Long Island Sound Oyster Restoration, New York and Connecticut	Lieberman
3120	Long Island Sound Oyster Restoration, New York and Connecticut	Dodd
3120	Long Island Sound Oyster Restoration, New York and Connecticut	Clinton
3121	Mamaroneck and Sheldrake Rivers Watershed Management, New York	Schumer
3121	Mamaroneck and Sheldrake Rivers Watershed Management, New York	Clinton
3121(a)(09)	Aunt Lydia's Cove, Massachusetts	Delahunt
3121(a)(09)	Aunt Lydia's Cove, Massachusetts	Kerry
3121(a)(09)	Aunt Lydia's Cove, Massachusetts	Kennedy, T.
3122	Orchard Beach, Bronx	Serrano
3122	Orchard Beach, Bronx	Crowley
3122	Orchard Beach, Bronx	Clinton
3123	Port of New York and New Jersey, New York and New Jersey	Sires
3123	Arkansas	Inhofe
3124	New York State Canal System	Slaughter
3124	New York State Canal System	Clinton
3124	New York State Canal System	Higgins
3125	Susquehanna River and Upper Delaware River Watershed Management, New York	Clinton
3126	Missouri River Restoration, North Dakota	Conrad
3127	Wahepton, North Dakota	Conrad
3128	Ohio	Voinovich
3129	Lower Girard Lake Dam, Ohio	Ryan, T.
3129	Lower Girard Lake Dam, Ohio	Voinovich
3130	Mahoning River, Ohio	Ryan, T.
3131	Arcadia Lake, Oklahoma	Fallin
3131	Arcadia Lake, Oklahoma	Inhofe
3132	Arkansas River Corridor, Oklahoma	Inhofe
3133	Lake Eufaula, Oklahoma	Inhofe
3134	Oklahoma Lakes Demonstration Program, Oklahoma	Inhofe
3135	Ottawa County, Oklahoma	Inhofe
3136	Red River Chloride Control, Oklahoma and Texas	Inhofe
3137	Waurika Lake, Oklahoma	Cole
3137	Waurika Lake, Oklahoma	Inhofe
3138	Upper Willamette River Watershed Ecosystem Restoration, Oregon	Wyden
3138	Upper Willamette River Watershed Ecosystem Restoration, Oregon	Smith
3139	Delaware River, Pennsylvania, New Jersey, and Delaware	Schwartz

### Water Resources Development Act of 2007

Conference Section	Project Name	Member
3139	Delaware River, Pennsylvania, New Jersey, and Delaware	Castle
3139	Delaware River, Pennsylvania, New Jersey, and Delaware	Saxton
3139	Delaware River, Pennsylvania, New Jersey, and Delaware	Andrews, R.
3139	Delaware River, Pennsylvania, New Jersey, and Delaware	LoBiondo
3140	Raystown Lake, Pennsylvania	Shuster
3141	Sheraden Park Stream & Chartiers Creek, Allegheny County	Doyle
3142	Solomon's Creek, Wilkes-Barre, Pennsylvania	Specter
3142	Solomon's Creek, Wilkes-Barre, Pennsylvania	Casey
3142	Solomon's Creek, Wilkes-Barre, Pennsylvania	Kanjorski
3143	South Central Pennsylvania	Murtha
3143	South Central Pennsylvania	Shuster
3144	Wyoming Valley, Pennsylvania	Specter
3144	Wyoming Valley, Pennsylvania	Casey
3144	Wyoming Valley, Pennsylvania	Kanjorski
3145	Narragansett Bay, Rhode Island	Whitehouse
3145	Missouri River Restoration, South Dakota	Thune
3145	Narragansett Bay, Rhode Island	Reed
3147	Cedar Bayou, Texas	Cornyn
3147	Cedar Bayou, Texas	Hutchison
3147	Cedar Bayou, Texas	Paul
3148	Freeport Harbor, Texas	Hutchison
3148	Freeport Harbor, Texas	Cornyn
3148	Freeport Harbor, Texas	Paul
3149	Lake Kemp, Texas	Cornyn
3149	Lake Kemp, Texas	Hutchison
3149	Lake Kemp, Texas	Thornberry
3150	Lower Rio Grande Basin, Texas	Hinojosa
3151	North Padre Island, Texas	Ortiz
3152	Pat Mayse Lake, Texas	Hall, R.
3153	Proctor Lake, Texas	Conaway
3154	San Antonio Channel, Texas	Gonzalez
3155	Connecticut River Restoration, Vermont	Sanders
3156	Dam Remediation, Vermont	Sanders
3157	Lake Champlain Eurasian Milfoil, Water Chestnut, and Other Nonnative Plant Control, Vermont	Sanders
3158	Upper Connecticut River Basin Wetland Restoration, Vermont and New Hampshire	Sanders
3159	Upper Connecticut River Basin Ecosystem Restoration, Vermont and New Hampshire	Sanders
3160	Lake Champlain Watershed, Vermont and New York	Sanders
3160	Lake Champlain Watershed, Vermont and New York	Clinton
3161	Sandbridge Beach, Virginia Beach, Virginia	Warner

### Water Resources Development Act of 2007

Conference Section	Project Name	Member
3162	Tangier Island Seawall, Virginia	Drake
3162	Tangier Island Seawall, Virginia	Warner
3162	Tangier Island Seawall, Virginia	Webb
3163	McNary Lock and Dam, McNary National Wildlife Refuge, Washington and Idaho	Craig
3163	Duwamish/Green, Washington	Reichert
3163	McNary Lock and Dam, McNary National Wildlife Refuge, Washington and Idaho	Cantwell
3163	McNary Lock and Dam, McNary National Wildlife Refuge, Washington and Idaho	Crapo
3163	McNary Lock and Dam, McNary National Wildlife Refuge, Washington and Idaho	Murray
3164	Snake River Project, Washington and Idaho	Murray
3164	Snake River Project, Washington and Idaho	Craig
3164	Snake River Project, Washington and Idaho	Crapo
3164	Snake River Project, Washington and Idaho	Cantwell
3166	Yakima River, Port of Sunnyside, Washington	Hastings, D.
3167	Bluestone Lake, Ohio River Basin, West Virginia	Rahall
3168	Greenbrier River Basin, West Virginia	Rahall
3169	Lesage/Greenbottom Swamp, West Virginia	Rahall
3170	Lower Mud River, Milton, West Virginia	Byrd
3170	Lower Mud River, Milton, West Virginia	Rahall
3171	McDowell County, West Virginia	Byrd
3172	Parkersburg, West Virginia	Mollohan
3173	Green Bay Harbor, Green Bay, Wisconsin	Kohl
3174	Manitowoc Harbor, Wisconsin	Petri
3174	Manitowoc Harbor, Wisconsin	Kohl
3175	Mississippi River Headwaters Reservoirs	Klobuchar
3175	Mississippi River Headwaters Reservoirs	Oberstar
3176	Upper Basin of the Missouri River	Hagel
3176	Upper Basin of the Missouri River	Nelson
3176	Upper Basin of the Missouri River	Thune
3176	Upper Basin of the Missouri River	Baucus
3177	Upper Mississippi River System Environmental Management Program	LaHood
3177	Upper Mississippi River System Environmental Management Program	Harkin
3178	Upper Ohio River and Tributaries Navigation System New Technology Pilot Program	Specter
3178	Upper Ohio River and Tributaries Navigation System New Technology Pilot Program	Casey
3179(a)(01)	Continuation of Project Authorizations	Thompson
3179(a)(02)	Continuation of Project Authorizations	Bordallo

### Water Resources Development Act of 2007

Conference Section	Project Name	Member
3179(a)(03)	Baltimore Harbor and Channel, Maryland and Virginia	Mikulski
3179(a)(03)	Baltimore Harbor and Channel, Maryland and Virginia	Cardin
3179(a)(03)	Baltimore Harbor and Channel, Maryland and Virginia	Cummings
3179(a)(04)	Continuation of Project Authorizations	Frank
3179(a)(04)	Continuation of Project Authorizations	McGovern
3179(a)(05)	Ecorse Creek, Wayne County, Michigan	Dingell
3179(a)(05)	Ecorse Creek, Wayne County, Michigan	Conyers
3179(a)(05)	Ecorse Creek, Wayne County, Michigan	McCotter
3179(a)(05)	Ecorse Creek, Wayne County, Michigan	Stabenow
3179(a)(05)	Ecorse Creek, Wayne County, Michigan	Levin, C.
3180(01)	Menominee Harbor and River, Michigan and Wisconsin	Stupak
3180(02)	Hearding Island Inlet, Duluth Harbor, Minnesota	Oberstar
3180(03)	Manitowoc Harbor, Wisconsin	Kohl
3180(03)	Manitowoc Harbor, Wisconsin	Petri
3181(a)(01)	Bridgeport Harbor, Connecticut	Shays
3181(a)(02)	Mystic River, Connecticut	Courtney
3181(a)(03)	Norwalk Harbor, Connecticut	Lieberman
3181(a)(03)	Norwalk Harbor, Connecticut	Biden
3181(a)(04)	Rockland Harbor, Maine	Snowe
3181(a)(04)	Rockland Harbor, Maine	Allen
3181(a)(05)	Rockport Harbor, Maine	Snowe
3181(a)(06)	Falmouth Harbor, Massachusetts	Delahunt
3181(a)(07)	Island End River, Massachusetts	Capuano
3181(a)(08)	City Waterway, Tacoma, Washington	Dicks
3181(a)(10)	Whatcom Creek Waterway, Bellingham, Washington	Cantwell
3181(a)(10)	Whatcom Creek Waterway, Bellingham, Washington	Larsen
3181(a)(10)	Whatcom Creek Waterway, Bellingham, Washington	Byrd
3181(a)(11)	Oconto Harbor, Wisconsin	Kohl
3181(b)	Anchorage Area, New London Harbor, Connecticut	Courtney
3181(b)	Anchorage Area, New London Harbor, Connecticut	Lieberman
3181(b)	Anchorage Area, New London Harbor, Connecticut	Dodd
3181(c)	Southport Harbor, Fairfield, Connecticut	Shays
3181(d)	Saco River, Maine	Allen
3181(d)	Saco River, Maine	Snowe
3181(e)	Union River, Maine	Snowe
3181(e)	Union River, Maine	Michaud
3181(f)	Mystic River, Massachusetts	Markey
3181(g)	Rivercenter, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania	Brady
3182(a)(01)	St. Francis Basin, Arkansas and Missouri	Berry
3182(a)(01)	St. Francis Basin, Arkansas and Missouri	Pryor
3182(a)(01)	St. Francis Basin, Arkansas and Missouri	Lincoln

### Water Resources Development Act of 2007

Conference Section	Project Name	Member
3182(b)	Oakland Inner Harbor Tidal Canal, California	Stark
3182(b)	Oakland Inner Harbor Tidal Canal, California	Boxer
3182(c)	Milford, Kansas	Boyda
3182(c)	Milford, Kansas	Brownback
3182(c)	Milford, Kansas	Roberts
3182(d)	Strawn Cemetary, John Redmond Lake, Kansas	Roberts
3182(d)	Strawn Cemetary, John Redmond Lake, Kansas	Brownback
3182(e)	Pike County, Missouri	McCaskill
3182(e)	Pike County, Missouri	Hulshof
3182(e)	Pike County, Missouri	Bond
3182(f)	Union Lake, Missouri	Bond
3182(f)	Union Lake, Missouri	McCaskill
3182(g)	Boardman, Oregon	Walden
3182(h)	Lookout Point Project, Lowell, Oregon	Wyden
3182(h)	Lookout Point Project, Lowell, Oregon	Smith
3182(h)	Lookout Point Project, Lowell, Oregon	DeFazio
3182(i)	Richard B. Russell Lake, South Carolina	Graham
3182(i)	Richard B. Russell Lake, South Carolina	Barrett
3182(j)	Denison, Texas	Hall, R.
3182(j)	Denison, Texas	Cornyn
3182(j)	Denison, Texas	Hutchison
3183(a)	Idaho	Craig
3183(a)	Idaho	Simpson
3183(a)	Idaho	Crapo
3183(b)	Lake Texoma, Oklahoma	Fallin
3183(b)	Lake Taxoma, Oklahoma	Cole
3183(b)	Lake Texoma, Oklahoma	Inhofe
3183(c)	Lowell, Oregon	DeFazio
3183(d)	Old Hickory Lock and Dam, Cumberland River, Tennessee	Cooper
3183(d)	Old Hickory Lock and Dam, Cumberland River, Tennessee	Alexander
3183(e)	Lower Granite Pool, Washington	Cantwell
3183(e)	Lower Granite Pool, Washington	Murray
3183(f)	Port of Pasco, Washington	Murray
3183(f)	Port of Pasco, Washington	Cantwell
3183(f)	Port of Pasco, Washington	Hastings, D.
4001	John Glenn Great Lakes Basin Program	McCotter
4001	John Glenn Great Lakes Basin Program	Dingell
4002	Lake Erie Dredged Material Disposal Sites	Reynolds
4003	Southwestern United States Drought Study	Berkley
4004	Delaware River	Murphy, P.
4005	Eurasian Milfoil	Sanders

### Water Resources Development Act of 2007

Conference Section	Project Name	Member
4006	Fire Island, Alaska	Young, D.
4007	Knik Arm, Cook Inlet, Alaska	Young, D.
4008	Kuskokwim River, Alaska	Young, D.
4009	Nome Harbor, Alaska	Young, D.
4010	St. George Harbor, Alaska	Stevens
4010	St. George Harbor, Alaska	Young, D.
4011	Susitna River, Alaska	Young, D.
4012	Valdez, Alaska	Young, D.
4012	Valdez, Alaska	Stevens
4012	Valdez, Alaska	Murkowski
4013	Gila Bend, Maricopa, Arizona	Grijalva
4014	Searcy County, Arkansas	Berry
4015	Aliso Creek, California	Campbell
4016	Fresno, Kings, and Kern Counties, California	Costa
4017	Fruitville Avenue Railroad Bridge, Alameda, California	Boxer
4017	Fruitville Avenue Railroad Bridge, Alameda, California	Stark
4018	Los Angeles River Revitalization Study, California	Roybal-Allard
4018	Los Angeles River Revitalization Study, California	Boxer
4019	Lytle Creek, Rialto, California	Baca
4020	Mokelumne River, San Joaquin County, California	McNerney
4021	Orick, California	Thompson
4022	Shoreline Study, Oceanside, California	Boxer
4023	Rialto, Fontana and Colton Counties	Baca
4024	Sacramento River, California	Herger
4025	San Diego County, California	Hunter
4026	San Francisco Bay, Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta, California	Tauscher
4026	San Francisco Bay, Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta, California	Boxer
4026	San Francisco Bay, Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta, California	Miller, George
4026	San Francisco Bay, Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta, California	McNerney
4027	South San Francisco Bay Shoreline, California	Honda
4027	South San Francisco Bay Shoreline, California	Lofgren
4027	South San Francisco Bay Shoreline, California	Eshoo
4027	South San Francisco Bay Shoreline, California	Boxer
4028	Twentynine Palms, California	Lewis, J.
4029	Yucca Valley, California	Lewis, J.
4030	Selenium Study, California	Salazar
4030	Selenium Study, California	Allard
4031	Delaware and Christina River and Shellpot Creek, Wilmington, Delaware	Castle
4032	Delaware Inland Bays and Tributaries and Atlantic Coast, Delaware	Carper
4032	Delaware Inland Bays and Tributaries and Atlantic Coast,	Biden

### Water Resources Development Act of 2007

Conference Section	Project Name	Member
	Delaware	
4033	Collier County Beaches, Florida	Mack
4034	Lower St. John's River, Florida	Brown, C.
4034	Lower St. John's River, Florida	Crenshaw
4035	Herbert Hoover Dike Supplemental Major Rehabilitation Report, Florida	Martinez
4035	Herbert Hoover Dike Supplemental Major Rehabilitation Report, Florida	Nelson
4036	Vanderbilt Beach Lagoon, Florida	Mack
4037	Meriwether County, Georgia	Westmoreland
4038	Boise River, Idaho	Crapo
4038	Boise River, Idaho	Simpson
4039	Ballard's Island Side Channel, Illinois	Weller
4040	Chicago, Illinois	Obama
4040	Chicago, Illinois	Durbin
4041	Salem, Indiana	Hill
4042	Buckhorn Lake, Kentucky	Rogers, H.
4043	Dewey Lake, Kentucky	Rogers, H.
4044	Louisville, Kentucky	Yarmouth
4045	Vidalia Port, Louisiana	Landrieu
4045	Vidalia Port, Louisiana	Vitter
4046	Fall River Harbor, Massachusetts and Rhode Island	McGovern
4046	Fall River Harbor, Massachusetts and Rhode Island	Kennedy, T.
4046	Fall River Harbor, Massachusetts and Rhode Island	Kerry
4047	Clinton River, Michigan	Knollenberg
4047	Walla Walla River Basin, Oregon	Walden
4048	Hamburg and Green Oak Townships, Michigan	Rogers, M.
4049	Lake Erie at Luna Pier, Michigan	Levin, C.
4049	Lake Erie at Luna Pier, Michigan	Stabenow
4049	Lake Erie at Luna Pier, Michigan	Dingell
4050	Duluth-Superior Harbor, Minnesota and Wisconsin	Oberstar
4051	Northeast Mississippi	Wicker
4052	Dredged Material Disposal, New Jersey	LoBiondo
4053	Bayonne, New Jersey	Sires
4054	Carteret, New Jersey	Sires
4055	Gloucester County, New Jersey	Andrews, R.
4056	Perth Amboy, New Jersey	Sires
4057	Batavia, New York	Reynolds
4058	Big Sister Creek, Evans, New York	Higgins
4059	Finger Lakes, New York	Arcuri
4060	Lake Erie Shoreline, Buffalo, New York	Higgins
4061	Newtown Creek, New York	Velazquez

### Water Resources Development Act of 2007

Conference Section	Project Name	Member
4062	Niagara River, New York	Slaughter
4063	Shore Parkway Greenway, Brooklyn, New York	Fossella
4064	Upper Delaware River Watershed, New York	Hinchey
4065	Lincoln County, North Carolina	McHenry
4066	Wilkes County, North Carolina	Foxx
4067	Town of Yadkinville, North Carolina	Burr
4067	Town of Yadkinville, North Carolina	Foxx
4068	Flood Damage Reduction, Ohio	Voinovich
4069	Lake Erie, Ohio	Kaptur
4070	Ohio River, Ohio	Voinovich
4070	Ohio River, Ohio	Wilson, C.
4071	Toledo Harbor Dredged Material Placement, Toledo, Ohio	Voinovich
4071	Toledo Harbor Dredged Material Placement, Toledo, Ohio	Kaptur
4072	Toledo Harbor, Maumee River, And Lake Channel Project, Toledo, Ohio	Kaptur
4072	Toledo Harbor, Maumee River, And Lake Channel Project, Toledo, Ohio	Voinovich
4073	Ecosystem Restoration and Fish Passage Improvements, Oregon	DeFazio
4073	Ecosystem Restoration and Fish Passage Improvements, Oregon	Blumenauer
4075	Chartiers Creek Watershed, Pennsylvania	Murphy, T.
4076	Kinzua Dam & Alleghany Reservoir, Pennsylvania	Peterson, J
4077	Western Pennsylvania Flood Damage Reduction	Murtha
4077	Western Pennsylvania Flood Damage Reduction	Altmire
4078	Williamsport, Pennsylvania	Peterson, J
4079	Yardley Borough, Pennsylvania	Murphy, P.
4080	Rio Valenciano, Juncos, Puerto Rico	Schumer
4080	Rio Valenciano, Juncos, Puerto Rico	Clinton
4080	Rio Valenciano, Juncos, Puerto Rico	Fortuno
4080	Rio Valenciano, Juncos, Puerto Rico	Menendez
4080	Rio Valenciano, Juncos, Puerto Rico	Lautenberg
4081	Woonsocket Local Protection Project, Blackstone River Basin, Rhode Island	Reed
4081	Woonsocket Local Protection Project, Blackstone River Basin, Rhode Island	Whitehouse
4082	Crooked Creek, Bennettsville, South Carolina	Spratt
4083	Broad River, York County, South Carolina	Spratt
4084	Savannah River, South Carolina and Georgia	Isakson
4085	Chattanooga, Tennessee	Wamp
4086	Cleveland, Tennessee	Wamp
4087	Cumberland River, Nashville, Tennessee	Alexander



### Water Resources Development Act of 2007

Conference Section	Project Name	Member
4087	Cumberland River, Nashville, Tennessee	Cooper
4087	Cumberland River, Nashville, Tennessee	Corker
4088	Lewis, Lawrence and Wayne Counties, Tennessee	Blackburn
4089	Wolf River and Nonconnah Creek, Memphis, Tennessee	Blackburn
4090	Abilene, Texas	Neugebauer
4091	Coastal Texas Ecosystem Protection and Restoration, Texas	Ortiz
4091	Coastal Texas Ecosystem Protection and Restoration, Texas	Paul
4092	Port of Galveston, Texas	Cornyn
4092	Port of Galveston, Texas	Hutchison
4092	Port of Galveston, Texas	Paul
4093	Grand County and Moab, Utah	Matheson
4094	Southwestern Utah	Matheson
4095	Ecosystem and Hydropower Generation Dams, Vermont	Sanders
4096	Elliot Bay Seawall, Seattle, Washington	McDermott
4096	Elliot Bay Seawall, Seattle, Washington	Larsen
4096	Elliot Bay Seawall, Seattle, Washington	Murray
4096	Elliot Bay Seawall, Seattle, Washington	Cantwell
4097	Monongahela River Basin, Northern West Virginia	Mollohan
4098	Kenosha Harbor, Wisconsin	Ryan, P.
4099	Johnsonville Dam, Johnsonville, Wisconsin	Kohl
4099	Johnsonville Dam, Johnsonville, Wisconsin	Petri
4100	Wauwatosa, Wisconsin	Moore, G.
4101	Debris Removal	Vitter
4101	Debris Removal	Inhofe
5001(a)(01)	Manatee Harbor Basin, Florida	Buchanan
5001(a)(01)	Manatee Harbor Basin, Florida	Castor
5001(a)(02)	Tampa Harbor, Sparkman Channel and Davis Island, Florida	Nelson
5001(a)(03)	Bayou LaFourche Channel, Port Fourchon	Melancon
5001(a)(03)	West Turning Basin, Canaveral Harbor, Florida	Weldon, D.
5001(a)(04)	Calcasieu River at Devil's Elbow, Louisiana	Boustany
5001(a)(06)	Pidgeon Industrial Harbor, Pidgeon Industrial Park, Memphis Harbor, Tennessee	Blackburn
5001(a)(07)	Houston Ship Channel, Bayport Cruise Channel and Bayport Cruise Turning Basin, Texas	Cornyn
5001(a)(07)	Houston Ship Channel, Bayport Cruise Channel and Bayport Cruise Turning Basin, Texas	Hutchison
5001(a)(08)	Pix Bayou Navigation Channel, Chambers County, Texas	Paul
5001(a)(09)	Jacintoport Channel at Houston Ship Channel, Texas	Hutchison
5001(a)(09)	Jacintoport Channel at Houston Ship Channel, Texas	Cornyn
5001(a)(10)	Racine Harbor, Wisconsin	Ryan, P.
5001(a)(10)	Racine Harbor, Wisconsin	Kohl
5002(d)(01)	Charlotte Harbor watershed, Florida	Buchanan

### Water Resources Development Act of 2007

Conference Section	Project Name	Member
5002(d)(02)	Georgia Watershed Assessment Plan	Scott
5002(d)(02)	Georgia Watershed Assessment Plan	Price
5002(d)(03)	Kinkaid Lake, Jaskson County, Illinois	Costello
5002(d)(04)	Amite River River Basin, Louisiana	Baker
5002(d)(05)	East Atchafalaya Basin, Louisiana	Baker
5002(d)(06)	Red River Watershed, Louisiana	McCrery
5002(d)(07)	Taunton River Basin, Massachusetts	Lynch
5002(d)(07)	Taunton River Basin, Massachusetts	Kerry
5002(d)(07)	Taunton River Basin, Massachusetts	Kennedy, T.
5002(d)(08)	Marlboro Township, New Jersey	Holt
5002(d)(08)	Marlboro Township, New Jersey	Pallone
5002(d)(09)	Esopus, Plattekill & Rondout Creeks, Greene, Sullivan, and Ulster Counties, New York	Hinchey
5002(d)(10)	Greenwood Lake Watershed, New York and New Jersey	Garrett
5002(d)(10)	Greenwood Lake Watershed, New York and New Jersey	Hall, J.
5002(d)(11)	Long Island Sound Watershed, New York	Bishop, T.
5002(d)(12)	Ramapo River Watershed, New York	Hall, J.
5002(d)(13)	Tuscarawas River Basin, Ohio	Sutton
5002(d)(14)	Western Lake Erie Basin, Ohio	Kaptur
5002(d)(15)	Western Pennsylvania Watershed	Altmire
5002(d)(16)	Otter Creek, Pennsylvania	Murphy, P.
5002(d)(17)	Unami Creek Watershed, Pennsylvania	Murphy, P.
5002(d)(18)	Sauk River Basin, Washington	Larsen
5003(a)(01)	Fish Creek Dam, Blaine County, Idaho	Simpson
5003(a)(02)	Keith Creek Dam, Rockford, Illinois	Obama
5003(a)(02)	Keith Creek Dam, Rockford, Illinois	Durbin
5003(a)(03)	Mount Zion Mill Pond Dam, Fulton County, Indiana	Lugar
5003(a)(03)	Mount Zion Mill Pond Dam, Fulton County, Indiana	Bayh
5003(a)(04)	Congers Lake Dam, Rocklan County, New York	Clinton
5003(a)(04)	Hamilton Dam, Flint River, Flint, Michigan	Levin, C.
5003(a)(04)	Hamilton Dam, Flint River, Flint, Michigan	Stabenow
5003(a)(04)	Hamilton Dam, Flint River, Flint, Michigan	Kildee
5003(a)(05)	Congers Lake Dam, Rockland County, New York	Clinton
5003(a)(06)	Lake Lucille Dam, New City, New York	Clinton
5003(a)(07)	Peconic River Dams, Town of Riverhead, New York	Clinton
5003(a)(08)	Pine Grove Lakes Dam, Sloatsburg, New York	Clinton
5003(a)(09)	State Dam, Auburn, New York	Arcuri
5003(a)(10)	Whaley Lake, Town of Pawling, New York	Hall, J.
5003(a)(11)	Brightwood Dam, Concord Township, Ohio	Voinovich
5003(a)(12)	Ingham Spring Dam, Solebury Township, Pennsylvania	Specter
5003(a)(12)	Ingham Spring Dam, Solebury Township, Pennsylvania	Casey

### Water Resources Development Act of 2007

Conference Section	Project Name	Member
5003(a)(12)	Ingham Spring Dam, Solebury Township, Pennsylvania	Specter
5003(a)(13)	Leaser Lake Dam, Lehigh County	Dent
5003(a)(14)	Stillwater Dam, Monroe County, Pennsylvania	Specter
5003(a)(14)	Stillwater Dam, Monroe County, Pennsylvania	Specter
5003(a)(14)	Stillwater Dam, Monroe County, Pennsylvania	Casey
5003(a)(15)	Wissahickon Dam, Montgomery Couty, Pennsylvania	Schwartz
5004(b)	Arkansas River Levees	Snyder
5005(a)(29)	Ascension Parish, Louisiana	Melancon
5005(a)(29)	Ascension Parish, Louisiana	Baker
5005(a)(30)	East Baton Rouge Parish, Louisiana	Baker
5005(a)(31)	Iberville, Louisiana	Baker
5005(a)(32)	Livingston, Louisiana	Baker
5005(a)(33)	Pointe Coupee	Baker
5006(a)(09)	Hidalgo County	Hinojosa
5006(a)(10)	Marana	Grijalva
5006(a)(10)	Marana	Giffords
5006(a)(11)	East Arkansas Enterprise Community	Pryor
5006(a)(11)	East Arkansas Enterprise Community	Lincoln
5006(a)(11)	East Arkansas Enterprise Community, Arkansas	Everett
5006(a)(11)	East Arkansas Enterprise Community	Berry
5006(a)(12)	Desert Hot Springs	Lewis, J.
5006(a)(13)	City of Huntington Beach	Rohrabacher
5006(a)(14)	City of Inglewood	Waters
5006(a)(15)	Los Osos	Capps
5006(a)(16)	Norwalk, California	Napolitano
5006(a)(17)	Park City	Bishop, R.
5007(01)	Whittier, Alaska	Stevens
5007(01)	Whittier, Alaska	Young, D.
5007(02)	Laguna Creek, California	Boxer
5007(03)	Daytona Beach Shore Protection Project	Mica
5007(04)	Flagler Beach Shore Protection Project	Mica
5007(05)	St. Johns County Shore Protection Project	Mica
5007(06)	Chenier Plain, Louisiana	Vitter
5007(06)	Chenier Plain, Louisiana	Boustany
5007(07)	False River	Baker
5007(08)	North River, Peabody	Tierney
5007(08)	North River, Peabody	Kennedy, T.
5007(08)	North River, Peabody	Kerry
5007(09)	Fulmer Creek	Arcuri
5007(10)	Moyer Creek	Arcuri
5007(11)	Steele Creek	Arcuri

### Water Resources Development Act of 2007

Conference Section	Project Name	Member
5007(12)	Oriskany Wildlife Management Area, Rome	Arcuri
5007(13)	Whitney Point	Arcuri
5007(14)	Chenango Lake, Chenango County	Arcuri
5008(a)(01)	Little Red River Irrigation District	Pryor
5008(a)(01)	Little Red River Irrigation District	Snyder
5008(a)(01)	Little Red River Irrigation District	Lincoln
5008(a)(02)	Fountain Creek, North of Pueblo, Colorado	Salazar, K.
5008(a)(03)	Egmont Key, Florida	Young, C.W.
5008(a)(03)	Egmont Key, Florida	Castor
5008(a)(04)	Sabine-Neches Waterway	Poe
5008(a)(04)	Sabine-Neches Waterway	Hutchison
5008(a)(04)	Sabine-Neches Waterway	Cornyn
5008(a)(05)	University Lakes, Baton Rouge (City Park)	Baker
5009	Southeastern Water Resources Assessment	Duncan
5010	Missouri & Middle Mississippi Rivers Enhancement	Grassley
5010	Missouri & Middle Mississippi Rivers Enhancement	Bond
5010	Missouri & Middle Mississippi Rivers Enhancement	Carnahan
5010	Missouri & Middle Mississippi Rivers Enhancement	McCaskill
5010	Missouri & Middle Mississippi Rivers Enhancement	Graves
5010	Francis E. Walter Dam	Schwartz
5010	Missouri & Middle Mississippi Rivers Enhancement	Clay
5011	Great Lakes Fishery And Ecosystem Restoration Program	Levin
5011	Great Lakes Fishery And Ecosystem Restoration Program	Dingell
5011	Great Lakes Fishery And Ecosystem Restoration Program	McCotter
5011	Great Lakes Fishery And Ecosystem Restoration Program	Clinton
5011	Great Lakes Fishery And Ecosystem Restoration Program	Specter
5011	Great Lakes Fishery And Ecosystem Restoration Program	Kohl
5011	Great Lakes Fishery And Ecosystem Restoration Program	Voinovich
5011	Great Lakes Fishery And Ecosystem Restoration Program	Bayh
5011	Great Lakes Fishery And Ecosystem Restoration Program	Stabenow
5011	Great Lakes Fishery And Ecosystem Restoration Program	Durbin
5011	Great Lakes Fishery And Ecosystem Restoration Program	Brown
5011	Great Lakes Fishery And Ecosystem Restoration Program	Casey
5011	Great Lakes Fishery And Ecosystem Restoration Program	Schumer
5012	Great Lakes Remedial Action Plans And Sediment Remediation	Dingell
5012	Great Lakes Remedial Action Plans And Sediment Remediation	Specter
5012	Great Lakes Remedial Action Plans And Sediment Remediation	McCotter
5012	Great Lakes Remedial Action Plans And Sediment Remediation	Brown

### Water Resources Development Act of 2007

Conference Section	Project Name	Member
5012	Great Lakes Remedial Action Plans And Sediment Remediation	Levin
5012	Great Lakes Remedial Action Plans And Sediment Remediation	Kohl
5012	Great Lakes Remedial Action Plans And Sediment Remediation	Voinovich
5012	Great Lakes Remedial Action Plans And Sediment Remediation	Bayh
5012	Great Lakes Remedial Action Plans And Sediment Remediation	Schumer
5012	Great Lakes Remedial Action Plans And Sediment Remediation	Durbin
5012	Great Lakes Remedial Action Plans And Sediment Remediation	Clinton
5012	Great Lakes Remedial Action Plans And Sediment Remediation	Casey
5012	Great Lakes Remedial Action Plans And Sediment Remediation	Stabenow
5013	Great Lakes Tributary Models	Brown
5013	Great Lakes Tributary Models	McCotter
5013	Great Lakes Tributary Models	Dingell
5013	Great Lakes Tributary Models	Casey
5013	Great Lakes Tributary Models	Durbin
5013	Great Lakes Tributary Models	Clinton
5013	Great Lakes Tributary Models	Stabenow
5013	Great Lakes Tributary Models	Schumer
5013	Great Lakes Tributary Models	Bayh
5013	Great Lakes Tributary Models	Voinovich
5013	Great Lakes Tributary Models	Levin
5013	Great Lakes Tributary Models	Specter
5013	Great Lakes Tributary Models	Kohl
5014(a)	Great Lakes Navigation	Oberstar
5014(b)	Great Lakes Pilot Project	Oberstar
5015	St. Lawrence Seaway	Voinovich
5015	St. Lawrence Seaway	Oberstar
5016	Upper Mississippi River Dispersal Barrier Project	Klobuchar
5016	Upper Mississippi River Dispersal Barrier Project	Oberstar
5016	Upper Mississippi River Dispersal Barrier Project	Coleman
5018	Missouri River And Tributaries, Mitigation, Recovery, And Restoration, Iowa, Kansas, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, North Dakota, South Dakota, And Wyoming	Harkin
5018	Missouri River And Tributaries, Mitigation, Recovery, And Restoration, Iowa, Kansas, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, North Dakota, South Dakota, And Wyoming	Nelson

### Water Resources Development Act of 2007

Conference Section	Project Name	Member
5018	Missouri River And Tributaries, Mitigation, Recovery, And Restoration, Iowa, Kansas, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, North Dakota, South Dakota, And Wyoming	Thune
5018	Missouri River And Tributaries, Mitigation, Recovery, And Restoration, Iowa, Kansas, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, North Dakota, South Dakota, And Wyoming	Hagel
5018	Missouri River And Tributaries, Mitigation, Recovery, And Restoration, Iowa, Kansas, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, North Dakota, South Dakota, And Wyoming	Conrad
5018	Missouri River And Tributaries, Mitigation, Recovery, And Restoration, Iowa, Kansas, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, North Dakota, South Dakota, And Wyoming	Baucus
5018	Missouri River And Tributaries, Mitigation, Recovery, And Restoration, Iowa, Kansas, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, North Dakota, South Dakota, And Wyoming	Tester
5019	Delaware, Susquehanna, and Potomac River Basins, Delaware, Maryland, Pennsylvania, and Virginia	Specter
5019	Susquehanna, Delaware, And Potomac River Basins, Delaware, Maryland, Pennsylvania, And Virginia	Biden
5019	Susquehanna, Delaware, And Potomac River Basins, Delaware, Maryland, Pennsylvania, And Virginia	Warner
5019	Delaware, Susquehanna, and Potomac River Basins, Delaware, Maryland, Pennsylvania, and Virginia	Hinchev
5019	Susquehanna, Delaware, And Potomac River Basins, Delaware, Maryland, Pennsylvania, And Virginia	Casey
5019	Susquehanna, Delaware, And Potomac River Basins, Delaware, Maryland, Pennsylvania, And Virginia	Carper
5019	Delaware, Susquehanna, and Potomac River Basins, Delaware, Maryland, Pennsylvania, and Virginia	Casey
5019	Delaware, Susquehanna, and Potomac River Basins, Delaware, Maryland, Pennsylvania, and Virginia	Carper
5019	Delaware, Susquehanna, and Potomac River Basins, Delaware, Maryland, Pennsylvania, and Virginia	Arcuri
5019	Delaware, Susquehanna, and Potomac River Basins, Delaware, Maryland, Pennsylvania, and Virginia	Gillibrand
5019	Delaware, Susquehanna, and Potomac River Basins, Delaware, Maryland, Pennsylvania, and Virginia	Fallin
5019	Delaware, Susquehanna, and Potomac River Basins, Delaware, Maryland, Pennsylvania, and Virginia	Holden
5019	Delaware, Susquehanna, and Potomac River Basins, Delaware, Maryland, Pennsylvania, and Virginia	Murphy, P.
5019	Delaware, Susquehanna, and Potomac River Basins, Delaware, Maryland, Pennsylvania, and Virginia	Schwartz
5019	Delaware, Susquehanna, and Potomac River Basins, Delaware, Maryland, Pennsylvania, and Virginia	Platts
5019	Susquehanna, Delaware, And Potomac River Basins, Delaware, Maryland, Pennsylvania, And Virginia	Specter

### Water Resources Development Act of 2007

Conference Section	Project Name	Member
5019	Delaware, Susquehanna, and Potomac River Basins, Delaware, Maryland, Pennsylvania, and Virginia	Biden
5019	Delaware, Susquehanna, and Potomac River Basins, Delaware, Maryland, Pennsylvania, and Virginia	Warner
5019	Delaware, Susquehanna, and Potomac River Basins, Delaware, Maryland, Pennsylvania, and Virginia	Dent
5020	Chesapeake Bay Environmental Restoration And Protection Program, Maryland, Pennsylvania, And Virginia	Webb
5020	Chesapeake Bay Environmental Restoration And Protection Program, Maryland, Pennsylvania, And Virginia	Specter
5020	Chesapeake Bay Environmental Restoration And Protection Program, Maryland, Pennsylvania, And Virginia	Cardin
5020	Chesapeake Bay Environmental Restoration And Protection Program, Maryland, Pennsylvania, And Virginia	Casey
5020	Chesapeake Bay Environmental Restoration And Protection Program, Maryland, Pennsylvania, And Virginia	Mikulski
5020	Chesapeake Bay Environmental Restoration and Protection Program, Maryland, Pennsylvania, And Virginia	Gilchrest
5020	Chesapeake Bay Environmental Restoration and Protection Program, Maryland, Pennsylvania, And Virginia	Sarbanes
5020	Chesapeake Bay Environmental Restoration and Protection Program, Maryland, Pennsylvania, And Virginia	Hoyer
5020	Chesapeake Bay Environmental Restoration And Protection Program, Maryland, Pennsylvania, And Virginia	Warner
5021	Chesapeake Bay Oyster Restoration, Virginia and Maryland	Webb
5021	Chesapeake Bay Oyster Restoration, Virginia and Maryland	Mikulski
5021	Chesapeake Bay Oyster Restoration, Virginia and Maryland	Cardin
5021	Chesapeake Bay Oyster Restoration, Virginia and Maryland	Webb
5022	Hypoxia Assessment	Pryce
5023	Potomac River Watershed Assessment and Tributary Strategy and Evaluation and Monitoring Program	Moran
5024	Lock and Dam Security	Duncan
5025	Research and Development Program for Columbia and Snake River Salmon Survival	Baird
5025	Research and Development Program for Columbia and Snake River Salmon Survival	Blumenauer
5027	Rehabilitation	Oberstar
5028	Auburn, Alabama	Rogers, Mike D.
5029	Pinhook Creek, Huntsville, Alabama	Cramer
5030	Alaska	Murkowski
5030	Alaska	Stevens
5030	Alaska	Young, D.
5031	Barrow, Alaska	Young, D.
5032	Lowell Creek Tunnel, Seward, Alaska	Young, D.

### Water Resources Development Act of 2007

Conference Section	Project Name	Member
5033	St. Herman and St. Paul Harbors, Alaska	Stevens
5033	St. Herman and St. Paul Harbors, Alaska	Murkowski
5033	St. Herman and St. Paul Harbors, Alaska	Young, D.
5034	Tanana River, Alaska	Young, D.
5035	Wrangell Harbor	Murkowski
5035	Wrangell Harbor	Young, D.
5036	Augusta and Clarendon, Arkansas	Lincoln
5036	Augusta and Clarendon, Arkansas	Berry
5036	Augusta and Clarendon, Arkansas	Pryor
5037	Des Arc Levee Protection	Berry
5037	Des Arc Levee Protection	Lincoln
5037	Des Arc Levee Protection	Pryor
5038	Loomis Landing	Berry
5039	California	Boxer
5040	Calaveras River and Littlejohn Creek and Tributaries, Stockton, California	Cardoza
5040	Calaveras River and Littlejohn Creek and Tributaries, Stockton, California	McNerney
5040	Calaveras River and Littlejohn Creek and Tributaries, Stockton, California	Boxer
5041	Cambria, California	Capps
5042	Contra Costa Canal, Oakley and Knightsen, California; Mallard Slough, Pittsburg, California	Miller, George
5042	Contra Costa Canal, Oakley and Knightsen, California; Mallard Slough, Pittsburg, California	Tauscher
5042	Contra Costa Canal, Oakley and Knightsen, California; Mallard Slough, Pittsburg, California	McNerney
5043	Dana Point Harbor, California	Campbell
5043	East San Joaquin County, California	McNerney
5045	Eastern Santa Clara River Basin, California	McKeon
5046	LA-3 Dredged Material Ocean Disposal Site Designation, California	Boxer
5047	Lancaster, California	McCarthy
5048	Los Osos, California	Capps
5049	Pine Flat Dam Fish & Wildlife Habitat	Nunes
5049	Pine Flat Dam Fish & Wildlife Habitat	Radanovich
5049	Pine Flat Dam Fish & Wildlife Habitat	Boxer
5049	Pine Flat Dam Fish & Wildlife Habitat	Costa
5050	Raymond Basin, Six Basin, Chino Basin, and San Gabriel Basin	Schiff
5050	Raymond Basin, Six Basin, Chino Basin, and San Gabriel Basin	Dreier
5051	San Francisco, California	Pelosi



### Water Resources Development Act of 2007

Conference Section	Project Name	Member
5052	San Francisco, California, Waterfront Area	Boxer
5052	San Francisco, California, Waterfront Area	Pelosi
5053	San Pablo Bay, California, Watershed and Suisun Marsh Ecosystem Restoration	Miller, George
5053	San Pablo Bay, California, Watershed and Suisun Marsh Ecosystem Restoration	Tauscher
5053	San Pablo Bay, California, Watershed and Suisun Marsh Ecosystem Restoration	Thompson
5053	San Pablo Bay, California, Watershed and Suisun Marsh Ecosystem Restoration	Boxer
5054	St. Helena, California	Boxer
5054	St. Helena, California	Thompson
5055	Upper Calaveras River, Stockton, California	McNerney
5055	Upper Calaveras River, Stockton, California	Cardoza
5055	Upper Calaveras River, Stockton, California	Boxer
5056	Rio Grande Environmental Management Program	Domenici
5056	Rio Grande Environmental Management Program	Bingaman
5056	Rio Grande Environmental Management Program, Colorado, New Mexico, And Texas	Salazar
5056	Rio Grande Environmental Management Program, Colorado, New Mexico, And Texas	Domenici
5056	Rio Grande Environmental Management Program	Udall, T.
5056	Rio Grande Environmental Management Program, Colorado, New Mexico, And Texas	Hutchison
5056	Rio Grande Environmental Management Program	Pearce
5056	Rio Grande Environmental Management Program, Colorado, New Mexico, And Texas	Bingaman
5056	Rio Grande Environmental Management Program	Wilson, H.
5056	Rio Grande Environmental Management Program, Colorado, New Mexico, And Texas	Cornyn
5057	Charles Hervey Townshend Breakwater, New Haven Harbor, Connecticut	Dodd
5057	Charles Hervey Townshend Breakwater, New Haven Harbor, Connecticut	Lieberman
5057	Charles Hervey Townshend Breakwater, New Haven Harbor, Connecticut	DeLauro
5058	Stamford, Connecticut	Lieberman
5059	Delmarva Conservation Corridor	Gilchrest
5059	Delmarva Conservation Corridor	Castle
5059	Delmarva Conservation Corridor	Carper
5059	Delmarva Conservation Corridor	Biden
5060	Anacostia River, District of Columbia and Maryland	Warner
5060	Anacostia River, District of Columbia and Maryland	Cardin
5060	District of Columbia and Maryland	Norton

### Water Resources Development Act of 2007

Conference Section	Project Name	Member
5060	Anacostia River, District of Columbia and Maryland	Mikulski
5061	East Central and Northeast Florida	Mica
5062	Florida Keys Water Quality Improvement	Ros-Lehtinen
5063	Lake Worth, Florida	Klein
5064	Big Creek, Georgia, Watershed Management & Restoration Program	Price
5064	Big Creek, Georgia, Watershed Management & Restoration Program	Isakson
5064	Big Creek, Georgia, Watershed Management & Restoration Program	Chambliss
5065	Metropolitan North Georgia Water Planning District	Isakson
5065	Metropolitan North Georgia Water Planning District	Chambliss
5066	Savannah, Georgia	Isakson
5067	Idaho, Montana, Rural Nevada, New Mexico, Rural Utah, And Wyoming	Crapo
5067	Idaho, Montana, Rural Nevada, New Mexico, Rural Utah, And Wyoming	Ensign
5067	Idaho, Montana, Rural Nevada, New Mexico, Rural Utah, And Wyoming	Bennett
5067	Idaho, Montana, Rural Nevada, New Mexico, Rural Utah, And Wyoming	Reid
5067	Idaho, Montana, Rural Nevada, New Mexico, Rural Utah, And Wyoming	Craig
5067	Idaho, Montana, Rural Nevada, New Mexico, Rural Utah, And Wyoming	Thomas
5068	Riley Creek Recreation Area	Simpson
5069	Floodplain Mapping, Little Calumet River	Jackson
5070	Reconstruction of Illinois Flood Protection Projects	Shimkus
5070	Reconstruction of Illinois Flood Protection Projects	Bond
5070	Reconstruction of Illinois Flood Protection Projects	McCaskill
5070	Reconstruction of Illinois Flood Protection Projects	Obama
5070	Reconstruction of Illinois Flood Protection Projects	Durbin
5070	Reconstruction of Illinois Flood Protection Projects	Costello
5071	Illinois River Basin Restoration	Obama
5071	Illinois River Basin Restoration	Durbin
5071	Illinois River Basin Restoration	LaHood
5072	Promontory Point Third-Party Review, Chicago Shoreline, Chicago, Illinois	Obama
5072	Promontory Point Third-Party Review, Chicago Shoreline, Chicago, Illinois	Jackson
5073	Kaskaskia River Basin, Illinois, Restoration	Costello
5073	Kaskaskia River Basin, Illinois, Restoration	Durbin
5073	Kaskaskia River Basin, Illinois, Restoration	Shimkus
5074	Southwest Illinois	Costello

### Water Resources Development Act of 2007

Conference Section	Project Name	Member
5075	Calumet Region	Visclosky
5076	Floodplain Mapping, Missouri River	King, S.
5077	Paducah, Kentucky	Whitfield
5078	Southern & Eastern Kentucky	Rogers, H.
5079	Winchester, Kentucky	Chandler
5080	Baton Rouge, Louisiana	Baker
5081	Calcasieu Ship Channel, Louisiana	Boustany
5082	East Atchafalaya Basin and Amite River Basin Region	Baker
5083	Inner Harbor Navigation Canal Lock Project, Louisiana	Vitter
5084	Lake Pontchartrain, Louisiana	Vitter
5085	Southeast Louisiana Region, Louisiana	Vitter
5086	West Baton Rouge Parish	Baker
5087	Charlestown, Maryland	Gilcrest
5088	St. Mary's River, Maryland	Hoyer
5088	St. Mary's River, Maryland	Cardin
5089	Massachusetts Dredged Material Disposal Sites	Delahunt
5090	Ontonagon Harbor, Michigan	Stupak
5091	Crookston	Peterson, C.
5092	Garrison and Kathio Township	Klobuchar
5092	Garrison and Kathio Township	Oberstar
5093	Itasca County	Coleman
5093	Itasca County	Oberstar
5094	Minneapolis	Klobuchar
5094	Minneapolis	Ellison
5095	Northeastern Minnesota	Klobuchar
5095	Northeastern Minnesota	Oberstar
5096	Wild Rice River, Minnesota	Peterson, C.
5096	Wild Rice River, Minnesota	Coleman
5096	Wild Rice River, Minnesota	Klobuchar
5097	Mississippi	Cochran
5097	Mississippi	Lott
5098	Harrison, Hancock & Jackson Counties, Mississippi	Taylor
5099	Mississippi River, Missouri and Illinois	Costello
5100	St. Louis, Missouri	Carnahan
5101	St. Louis Regional Greenways, St. Louis, Missouri	Bond
5102	Missoula, Montana	Baucus
5103	St. Mary Project, Glacier County, Montana	Tester
5103	St. Mary Project, Glacier County, Montana	Baucus
5104	Lower Platte River Watershed	Hagel
5104	Lower Platte River Watershed	Fortenberry
5104	Lower Platte River Watershed	Nelson

### Water Resources Development Act of 2007

Conference Section	Project Name	Member
5105	Hackensack Meadowlands Area, New Jersey	Rothman
5106	Atlantic Coast of New York	Bishop, T.
5107	College Point, New York City	Crowley
5108	Flushing Bay and Creek	Crowley
5109	Hudson River	Nadler
5110	Mount Morris Dam	Reynolds
5111	North Hempstead and Glen Cove North Shore Watershed Restoration, New York	Clinton
5112	Rochester, New York	Clinton
5113	North Carolina	Burr
5114	Stanly County, North Carolina	Burr
5114	Stanly County, North Carolina	Hayes
5115	John H. Kerr Dam and Reservoir, North Carolina	Butterfield
5116	Cincinnati, Ohio	Chabot
5116	Cincinnati, Ohio	Schmidt
5117	Ohio River Basin Environmental Management	Lugar
5117	Ohio River Basin Environmental Management	Rahall
5118	Toussaint River Navigation Project, Carroll Township, Ohio	Kaptur
5118	Toussaint River Navigation Project, Carroll Township, Ohio	Voinovich
5119	Statewide Comprehensive Water Planning, Oklahoma	Inhofe
5120	Fern Ridge Dam, Oregon	DeFazio
5121	Allegheny County, Pennsylvania	Doyle
5122	Clinton County, Pennsylvania	Peterson, J
5123	Kehly Run Dams, Pennsylvania	Holden
5124	Lehigh River, Lehigh County, Pennsylvania	Dent
5125	Northeastern Pennsylvania	Carney
5126	Upper Susquehanna River Basin, Pennsylvania and New York	Arcuri
5126	Upper Susquehanna River Basin, Pennsylvania and New York	Hinchey
5126	Upper Susquehanna River Basin, Pennsylvania and New York	Clinton
5126	Upper Susquehanna River Basin, Pennsylvania and New York	Casey
5126	Upper Susquehanna River Basin, Pennsylvania and New York	Specter
5127	Cano Martin Pena, San Juan, Puerto Rico	Menendez
5127	Cano Martin Pena, San Juan, Puerto Rico	Lautenberg
5127	Cano Martin Pena, San Juan, Puerto Rico	Schumer
5127	Cano Martin Pena, San Juan, Puerto Rico	Clinton
5127	Cano Martin Pena, San Juan, Puerto Rico	Fortuno
5128	Lake Marion and Moultrie, South Carolina	Clyburn
5129	Cheyenne River Sioux Tribe, Lower Brule Sioux Tribe, and Terrestrial Wildlife Habitat Restoration, South Dakota	Herseth
5129	Cheyenne River Sioux Tribe, Lower Brule Sioux Tribe, and Terrestrial Wildlife Habitat Restoration, South Dakota	Thune
5130	East Tennessee	Duncan

### Water Resources Development Act of 2007

Conference Section	Project Name	Member
5131	Fritz Landing, Tennessee	Tanner
5132	J. Percy Priest Dam and Reservoir, Tennessee	Gordon
5133	Nashville, Tennessee	Alexander
5133	Nashville, Tennessee	Corker
5134	Nonconnah Weir, Memphis, Tennessee	Alexander
5134	Nonconnah Weir, Memphis, Tennessee	Blackburn
5135	Tennessee River Partnership	Duncan
5136	Town Creek, Lenoir City, Tennessee	Duncan
5137	Upper Mississippi Embayment, Tennessee, Arkansas, and Mississippi	Berry
5137	Upper Mississippi Embayment, Tennessee, Arkansas, and Mississippi	Cohen
5137	Upper Mississippi Embayment, Tennessee, Arkansas, and Mississippi	Blackburn
5138	Texas	Hutchison
5138	Texas	Cornyn
5139	Bosque River Watershed, Texas	Edwards
5140	Dallas County Region	Johnson, E.B.
5141	Dallas Floodway	Hutchison
5141	Dallas Floodway	Cornyn
5141	Dallas Floodway	Johnson, E.B.
5141	Dallas Floodway	Sessions
5142	Harris County	Cornyn
5142	Harris County	Hutchison
5142	Harris County	Culberson
5143	Johnson Creek, Arlington, Texas	Barton
5143	Johnson Creek, Arlington, Texas	Cornyn
5143	Johnson Creek, Arlington, Texas	Hutchison
5144	Onion Creek, Texas	Doggett
5144	Onion Creek, Texas	Cornyn
5145	Connecticut River Dams, Vermont	Sanders
5146	Lake Champlain Canal, Vermont and New York	Sanders
5146	Lake Champlain Canal, Vermont and New York	Clinton
5147	Dyke Marsh, Fairfax County, Virginia	Moran
5147	Dyke Marsh, Fairfax County, Virginia	Webb
5148	Eastern Shore and Southwest Virginia	Webb
5148	Eastern Shore and Southwest Virginia	Boucher
5149	James River, Virginia	Warner
5149	James River, Virginia	Webb
5150	Baker Bay and Ilwaco Harbor, Washington	Murray
5150	Baker Bay and Ilwaco Harbor, Washington	Cantwell
5150	Baker Bay and Ilwaco Harbor, Washington	Baird

### Water Resources Development Act of 2007

Conference Section	Project Name	Member
5151	Hamilton Island Campground, Washington	Baird
5152	Erosion Control, Puget Island, Wahkiakum County, Washington	Murray
5152	Erosion Control, Puget Island, Wahkiakum County, Washington	Cantwell
5152	Erosion Control, Puget Island, Wahkiakum County, Washington	Wyden
5152	Erosion Control, Puget Island, Wahkiakum County, Washington	Baird
5153	Willapa Bay, Washington	Baird
5154	West Virginia and Pennsylvania Flood Control	Murtha
5154	West Virginia and Pennsylvania Flood Control	Mollohan
5154	West Virginia and Pennsylvania Flood Control	Doyle
5155	Central West Virginia	Capito
5155	Central West Virginia	Capito
5156	Southern West Virginia	Rahall
5157(12)	Perris, California	Issa
5157(13)	Thornton Reservoir, Cook County, Illinois	Jackson
5157(13)	Thornton Reservoir, Cook County, Illinois	Obama
5157(13)	Thornton Reservoir, Cook County, Illinois	Durbin
5157(13)	Thornton Reservoir, Cook County, Illinois	Kirk
5157(13)	Thornton Reservoir, Cook County, Illinois	Rush
5157(14)	Larose to Golden Meadow, Louisiana	Melancon
5157(15)	Buffalo Bayou, Texas	Hutchison
5157(15)	Buffalo Bayou, Texas	Cornyn
5157(15)	Buffalo Bayou, Texas	Culberson
5157(16)	Halls Bayou, Texas	Cornyn
5157(16)	Halls Bayou, Texas	Hutchison
5157(16)	Halls Bayou, Texas	Green, G.
5157(17)	Menomenee Rive Watershed, Wisconsin	Kohl
5158(001)	Jackson County, Mississippi	Cochran
5158(001)	Jackson County, Mississippi	Lott
5158(072)	Charleston, South Carolina	Brown, H.
5158(078)	St. Clair County	Bachus
5158(079)	Crawford County, Arkansas	Boozman
5158(080)	Alameda and Contra Costa Counties	Tauscher
5158(080)	Alameda and Contra Costa Counties	Miller
5158(081)	Aliso Creek, California	Campbell
5158(082)	Amador County, California	Boxer
5158(083)	Arcadia, Sierra Madre and Upland	Dreier
5158(084)	Big Bear Area Regional Wastewater Agency	Lewis, J.
5158(085)	Brawley Colonia	Filner
5158(086)	Calaveras County, California	Boxer
5158(087)	Contra Costa Water District	Tauscher
5158(087)	Contra Costa Water District	Miller, George

### Water Resources Development Act of 2007

Conference Section	Project Name	Member
5158(087)	Contra Costa Water District	McNerney
5158(088)	East Bay, San Francisco, and Santa Clara Areas	Eshoo
5158(088)	East Bay, San Francisco, and Santa Clara Areas	Tauscher
5158(088)	East Bay, San Francisco, and Santa Clara Areas	Miller, George
5158(088)	East Bay, San Francisco, and Santa Clara Areas	Lofgren
5158(088)	East Bay, San Francisco, and Santa Clara Areas	McNerney
5158(088)	East Bay, San Francisco, and Santa Clara Areas	Honda
5158(089)	East Palo Alto, California	Boxer
5158(090)	Imperial County	Filner
5158(091)	La Habra, California	Miller, Gary
5158(092)	La Mirada, California	Boxer
5158(093)	Los Angeles County, California	Miller, Gary
5158(093)	Los Angeles County, California	Waxman
5158(093)	Los Angeles County, California	Boxer
5158(095)	Malibu, California	Boxer
5158(095)	Malibu, California	Waxman
5158(096)	Montebello, California	Boxer
5158(097)	New River, California	Hunter
5158(098)	Orange County, California	Miller, Gary
5158(099)	Port Of Stockton, Stockton, California	Boxer
5158(100)	Perris, California	Boxer
5158(101)	San Bernadino County, California	Miller, Gary
5158(102)	Santa Clara	McNerney
5158(102)	Santa Clara	Lofgren
5158(102)	Santa Clara	Honda
5158(102)	Santa Clara	Eshoo
5158(103)	Santa Monica, California	Boxer
5158(103)	Santa Monica, California	Waxman
5158(104)	Southern Los Angeles County, California	[Millender-McDonald]
5158(105)	Stockton, California	Cardoza
5158(105)	Stockton, California	McNerney
5158(106)	Sweetwater Reservoir, San Diego County, California	Filner
5158(107)	Whittier, California	Miller, Gary
5158(108)	Arkansas Valley Conduit, Colorado	Salazar, J.
5158(108)	Arkansas Valley Conduit, Colorado	Salazar, K.
5158(109)	Boulder County, Colorado	Salazar, K.
5158(110)	Montezuma and La Plata Counties, Colorado	Salazar, J.
5158(111)	Otero, Bent, Crowley, Kiowa, and Prowers Counties, Colorado	Salazar, J.
5158(112)	Pueblo and Otero Counties, Colorado	Musgrave
5158(113)	Enfield, Connecticut	Lieberman

### Water Resources Development Act of 2007

Conference Section	Project Name	Member
5158(114)	Ledyard and Montville, Connecticut	Courtney
5158(115)	New Haven, Connecticut	Lieberman
5158(116)	Norwalk, Connecticut	Lieberman
5158(117)	Plainville, Connecticut	Lieberman
5158(118)	Southington, Connecticut	Larson
5158(118)	Southington, Connecticut	Lieberman
5158(119)	Anacostia River	Hoyer
5158(119)	Anacostia River	Norton
5158(120)	Washington, District	Norton
5158(121)	Charlotte County	Mack
5158(122)	Charlotte, Lee & Collier Counties	Buchanan
5158(122)	Charlotte, Lee & Collier Counties	Mack
5158(123)	Collier County	Mack
5158(124)	Hillsborough County, Florida	Castor
5158(124)	Hillsborough County, Florida	Nelson
5158(125)	Jacksonville, Florida	Brown, C.
5158(125)	Jacksonville, Florida	Martinez
5158(126)	Sarasota County, Florida	Nelson
5158(127)	South Seminole and North Orange Counties	Mica
5158(128)	Miami-Dade County, Florida	Nelson
5158(129)	Palm Beach County, Florida	Nelson
5158(129)	Palm Beach County, Florida	Hastings, A.
5158(130)	Albany, Georgia	Chambliss
5158(130)	Albany, Georgia	Isakson
5158(131)	Banks County, Georgia	Isakson
5158(131)	Banks County, Georgia	Chambliss
5158(132)	Berrien County, Georgia	Chambliss
5158(132)	Berrien County, Georgia	Isakson
5158(133)	Chattooga County, Georgia	Isakson
5158(133)	Chattooga County, Georgia	Chambliss
5158(134)	Chattooga, Floyd, Gordon, Walker, And Whitfield Counties, Georgia	Chambliss
5158(134)	Chattooga, Floyd, Gordon, Walker, And Whitfield Counties, Georgia	Isakson
5158(135)	Dahlonega, Georgia	Isakson
5158(135)	Dahlonega, Georgia	Deal
5158(135)	Dahlonega, Georgia	Chambliss
5158(136)	East Point, Georgia	Isakson
5158(136)	East Point, Georgia	Chambliss
5158(137)	Fayetteville, Grantville, LaGrange, Pine Mountain (Harris County), Douglasville and Carrollton	Westmoreland
5158(138)	Meriwether and Spalding Counties, Georgia	Westmoreland



### Water Resources Development Act of 2007

Conference Section	Project Name	Member
5158(139)	Moultrie, Georgia	Isakson
5158(139)	Moultrie, Georgia	Chambliss
5158(140)	Stephens County/City Of Toccoa, Georgia	Chambliss
5158(140)	Stephens County/City Of Toccoa, Georgia	Isakson
5158(141)	North Vernon and Butlerville	Hill
5158(142)	Salem, Washington County	Hill
5158(143)	Atchison, Kansas	Roberts
5158(143)	Surfside, South Carolina	Graham
5158(143)	Central Kentucky	Chandler
5158(145)	Lafayette, Louisiana	Landrieu
5158(145)	Lafayette, Louisiana	Vitter
5158(146)	Lafourche Parish, Louisiana	Landrieu
5158(146)	Lafourche Parish, Louisiana	Vitter
5158(147)	Lake Charles, Louisiana	Vitter
5158(147)	Lake Charles, Louisiana	Landrieu
5158(148)	Northwest Louisiana Council Of Governments, Louisiana	Landrieu
5158(148)	Northwest Louisiana Council Of Governments, Louisiana	Vitter
5158(149)	Ouachita Parish, Louisiana	Vitter
5158(149)	Ouachita Parish, Louisiana	Landrieu
5158(150)	Plaquemine, Louisiana	Baker
5158(151)	Rapides Area Planning Commission, Louisiana	Vitter
5158(151)	Rapides Area Planning Commission, Louisiana	Landrieu
5158(152)	Shreveport, Louisiana	McCrery
5158(153)	South Central Planning And Development Commission, Louisiana	Vitter
5158(153)	South Central Planning And Development Commission, Louisiana	Landrieu
5158(154)	Union-Lincoln Regional Water Supply Project, Louisiana	Vitter
5158(154)	Union-Lincoln Regional Water Supply Project, Louisiana	Landrieu
5158(155)	Chesapeake Bay Improvements, Maryland, Virginia and District of Columbia	Warner
5158(155)	Chesapeake Bay Improvements, Maryland, Virginia and District of Columbia	Webb
5158(155)	Chesapeake Bay Improvements, Maryland, Virginia and District of Columbia	Cardin
5158(155)	Chesapeake Bay Improvements, Maryland, Virginia and District of Columbia	Mikulski
5158(156)	Chesapeake Bay Region, Maryland And Virginia	Warner
5158(156)	Chesapeake Bay Region, Maryland And Virginia	Mikulski
5158(156)	Chesapeake Bay Region, Maryland And Virginia	Cardin
5158(156)	Chesapeake Bay Region, Maryland And Virginia	Webb
5158(157)	Michigan Combined Sewer Overflows	Levin

### Water Resources Development Act of 2007

Conference Section	Project Name	Member
5158(157)	Michigan Combined Sewer Overflows	Stabenow
5158(158)	Central Iron Range Sanitary Sewer District, Minnesota	Oberstar
5158(159)	Central Lake Region Sanitary District, Minnesota	Coleman
5158(160)	Goodview, Minnesota	Coleman
5158(161)	Grand Rapids, Minnesota	Coleman
5158(161)	Grand Rapids, Minnesota	Oberstar
5158(162)	Willmar, Minnesota	Coleman
5158(163)	Biloxi, Mississippi	Taylor
5158(164)	Corinth, Mississippi	Cochran
5158(164)	Corinth, Mississippi	Lott
5158(165)	Gulfport, Mississippi	Taylor
5158(166)	Harrison County, Mississippi	Taylor
5158(167)	Jackson County, Mississippi	Lott
5158(168)	Clark County, Nevada	Porter
5158(168)	Clark County, Nevada	Reid
5158(169)	Clean Water Coalition, Nevada	Ensign
5158(169)	Clean Water Coalition, Nevada	Reid
5158(170)	Glendale Dam Diversion Structure, Nevada	Reid
5158(170)	Glendale Dam Diversion Structure, Nevada	Ensign
5158(171)	Henderson	Porter
5158(172)	Indian Springs, Nevada	Reid
5158(173)	Reno, Nevada	Reid
5158(173)	Reno, Nevada	Ensign
5158(174)	Washoe County, Nevada	Reid
5158(174)	Washoe County, Nevada	Ensign
5158(175)	Cranford Township, New Jersey	Lautenburg
5158(175)	Cranford Township, New Jersey	Menendez
5158(176)	Middletown Township, New Jersey	Lautenburg
5158(176)	Middletown Township, New Jersey	Menendez
5158(177)	Paterson, New Jersey	Pascrell
5158(178)	Rahway Valley, New Jersey	Menendez
5158(178)	Rahway Valley, New Jersey	Lautenburg
5158(179)	Babylon, New York	Schumer
5158(179)	Babylon, New York	Clinton
5158(180)	Ellicottville, New York	Kuhl
5158(181)	Elmira, New York	Clinton
5158(181)	Elmira, New York	Schumer
5158(182)	Essex Hamlet, New York	McHugh
5158(182)	Essex Hamlet, New York	Schumer
5158(182)	Essex Hamlet, New York	Clinton
5158(183)	Fleming, New York	Schumer

**Water Resources Development Act of 2007**

<b>Conference Section</b>	<b>Project Name</b>	<b>Member</b>
5158(183)	Fleming, New York	Clinton
5158(184)	Kiryas Joel, New York	Schumer
5158(184)	Kiryas Joel, New York	Clinton
5158(185)	Niagara Falls, New York	Clinton
5158(185)	Niagara Falls, New York	Schumer
5158(186)	Patchogue, New York	Schumer
5158(186)	Patchogue, New York	Clinton
5158(187)	Sennett, New York	Arcuri
5158(188)	Springport and Fleming, New York	Arcuri
5158(189)	Wellsville, New York	Kuhl
5158(190)	Yates County, New York	Clinton
5158(190)	Yates County, New York	Schumer
5158(191)	Cabarrus County, North Carolina	Hayes
5158(192)	Cary, Wake County, North Carolina	Dole
5158(192)	Cary, Wake County, North Carolina	Burr
5158(193)	Charlotte, North Carolina	Hayes
5158(193)	Charlotte, North Carolina	Dole
5158(194)	Fayetteville, North Carolina	Hayes
5158(194)	Fayetteville, North Carolina	Dole
5158(195)	Mooreville, North Carolina	McHenry
5158(195)	Mooreville, North Carolina	Dole
5158(196)	Neuse Regional Water And Sewer Authority, North Carolina	Dole
5158(196)	Neuse Regional Water And Sewer Authority, North Carolina	Burr
5158(197)	Richmond County, North Carolina	Hayes
5158(198)	Union County, North Carolina	Hayes
5158(199)	Washington County, North Carolina	Dole
5158(199)	Washington County, North Carolina	Burr
5158(200)	Winston-Salem, North Carolina	Watt
5158(200)	Winston-Salem, North Carolina	Dole
5158(201)	North Dakota	Conrad
5158(202)	Devil's Lake, North Dakota	Pomeroy
5158(202)	Devils Lake, North Dakota	Conrad
5158(203)	Saipan, Northern Mariana Islands	Young
5158(204)	Akron, Ohio	Voinovich
5158(205)	Burr Oak Regional Water District, Ohio	Voinovich
5158(206)	Cincinnati, Ohio	Voinovich
5158(207)	Cleveland, Ohio	Voinovich
5158(208)	Columbus, Ohio	Voinovich
5158(209)	Dayton, Ohio	Voinovich
5158(210)	Defiance County, Ohio	Voinovich
5158(211)	Fostoria, Ohio	Voinovich

### Water Resources Development Act of 2007

Conference Section	Project Name	Member
5158(212)	Fremont, Ohio	Voinovich
5158(213)	Lake County, Ohio	LaTourette
5158(214)	Lawrence County, Ohio	Voinovich
5158(215)	Meigs County, Ohio	Voinovich
5158(216)	Mentor-on-Lake, Ohio	LaTourette
5158(217)	Vinton County, Ohio	Voinovich
5158(218)	Willowick, Ohio	LaTourette
5158(219)	Ada, Oklahoma	Inhofe
5158(220)	Alva, Oklahoma	Inhofe
5158(221)	Ardmore, Oklahoma	Inhofe
5158(222)	Bartlesville, Oklahoma	Inhofe
5158(223)	Bethany, Oklahoma	Inhofe
5158(224)	Chickasha, Oklahoma	Inhofe
5158(225)	Disney And Langley, Oklahoma	Inhofe
5158(226)	Durant, Oklahoma	Inhofe
5158(227)	Eastern Oklahoma State University, Wilberton, Oklahoma	Inhofe
5158(228)	Guymon, Oklahoma	Inhofe
5158(229)	Konawa, Oklahoma	Inhofe
5158(230)	Lugert-Altus Irrigation District, Altus, Oklahoma	Inhofe
5158(231)	Midwest City, Oklahoma	Inhofe
5158(232)	Mustang, Oklahoma	Inhofe
5158(233)	Norman, Oklahoma	Inhofe
5158(234)	Oklahoma Panhandle State University, Guymon, Oklahoma	Inhofe
5158(235)	Weatherford, Oklahoma	Inhofe
5158(236)	Woodward, Oklahoma	Inhofe
5158(237)	Albany, Oregon	Defazio
5158(238)	Beaver Creek Reservoir, Pennsylvania	Specter
5158(238)	Beaver Creek Reservoir, Pennsylvania	Casey
5158(239)	Hatfield Borough, Pennsylvania	Schwartz
5158(240)	Lehigh County, Pennsylvania	Dent
5158(241)	North Wales Borough, Pennsylvania	Schwartz
5158(242)	Pen Argyl, Pennsylvania	Dent
5158(243)	Philadelphia, Pennsylvania	Schwartz
5158(244)	Stockerton Borough, Borough of Tatamy, and Palmer Township	Dent
5158(245)	Vera Cruz, Pennsylvania	Dent
5158(246)	Commonwealth of Puerto Rico	Fortuno
5158(247)	Charleston, South Carolina	Brown, H.
5158(247)	Charleston, South Carolina	Graham
5158(247)	Charleston, South Carolina	Clyburn
5158(248)	Charleston and West Ashley, South Carolina	Graham
5158(249)	Crooked Creek, Bennettsville, South Carolina	Spratt

**Water Resources Development Act of 2007**

<b>Conference Section</b>	<b>Project Name</b>	<b>Member</b>
5158(250)	Myrtle Beach, South Carolina	Graham
5158(250)	Myrtle Beach, South Carolina	Brown, H.
5158(251)	North Myrtle Beach, South Carolina	Graham
5158(251)	North Myrtle Beach, South Carolina	Brown, H.
5158(252)	Surfside, South Carolina	Brown, H.
5158(253)	Cheyenne River Sioux Reservation (Dewey And Ziebach Counties) And Perkins And Meade Counties, South Dakota	Johnson
5158(253)	Cheyenne River Sioux Reservation (Dewey And Ziebach Counties) And Perkins And Meade Counties, South Dakota	Thune
5158(254)	Athens, Tennessee	Duncan
5158(255)	Blaine, Tennessee	Alexander
5158(256)	Clairborne County, Tennessee	Alexander
5158(257)	Giles, Tennessee	Alexander
5158(257)	Giles, Tennessee	Davis, L.
5158(258)	Grainger County, Tennessee	Alexander
5158(259)	Hamilton County, Tennessee	Alexander
5158(260)	Harrogate, Tennessee	Alexander
5158(261)	Johnson County, Tennessee	Alexander
5158(262)	Knoxville, Tennessee	Alexander
5158(263)	Nashville, Tennessee	Alexander
5158(264)	Lewis, Lawrence And Wayne, Tennessee	Davis, L.
5158(264)	Lewis, Lawrence And Wayne Counties, Tennessee	Alexander
5158(265)	Oak Ridge, Tennessee	Alexander
5158(265)	Oak Ridge, Tennessee	Corker
5158(266)	Plateau Utility District, Morgan County, Tennessee	Alexander
5158(267)	Shelby County, Tennessee	Alexander
5158(268)	Central Texas	Edwards
5158(269)	El Paso County, Texas	Reyes
5158(270)	Fort Bend County	Lampson
5158(271)	Duchesne, Iron, and Uintah Counties, Utah	Matheson
5158(272)	Northern West Virginia	Mollohan
5158(273)	United States Virgin Islands	Christensen
6001	Hillsboro and Okeechobee Aquifer, Florida	Martinez
6001	Hillsboro and Okeechobee Aquifer, Florida	Nelson
6001	Hillsboro and Okeechobee Aquifer, Florida	Hastings, A.
6001	Hillsboro and Okeechobee Aquifer, Florida	Klein
6001	Hillsboro and Okeechobee Aquifer, Florida	Diaz-Balart, M.
6001	Hillsboro and Okeechobee Aquifer, Florida	Mahoney
6002	Pilot Projects	Diaz-Balart, M.
6003	Maximum Costs	Hastings, A.
6003	Maximum Costs	Martinez
6003	Maximum Costs	Nelson

**Water Resources Development Act of 2007**

<b>Conference Section</b>	<b>Project Name</b>	<b>Member</b>
6004	Credit	Mahoney
6004	Credit	Hastings, A.
6004	Credit	Diaz-Balart, M.
6006	Critical Restoration Projects	Martinez
6006	Critical Restoration Projects	Hastings, A.
6006	Critical Restoration Projects	Mahoney
6006	Critical Restoration Projects	Diaz-Balart, M.
6006	Critical Restoration Projects	Nelson
6007	Regional Engineering Model For Environmental Restoration	Oberstar
Title VII	Louisiana Coastal Area	Melancon
Title VII	Louisiana Coastal Area	Jindal
Title VII	Louisiana Coastal Area	Boustany
Title VII	Louisiana Coastal Area	Baker
Title VII	Louisiana Coastal Area	Durbin
Title VII	Louisiana Coastal Area	Obama
Title VII	Louisiana Coastal Area	Vitter
Title VII	Louisiana Coastal Area	Landrieu
Title VIII	Upper Mississippi River and Illinois Waterway	Graves
Title VIII	Upper Mississippi River and Illinois Waterway	Costello
Title VIII	Upper Mississippi River and Illinois Waterway	Harkin
Title VIII	Upper Mississippi River and Illinois Waterway	Bond
Title VIII	Upper Mississippi River and Illinois Waterway	Durbin
Title VIII	Upper Mississippi River and Illinois Waterway	LaHood
Title VIII	Upper Mississippi River and Illinois Waterway	Hulshof
Title VIII	Upper Mississippi River and Illinois Waterway	Klobuchar
Title VIII	Upper Mississippi River and Illinois Waterway	Obama
Title VIII	Upper Mississippi River and Illinois Waterway	McCaskill
Title VIII	Upper Mississippi River and Illinois Waterway	Grassley

### Water Resources Development Act of 2007

Conference Section	Project Name	Member
1001(01)	Haines, Alaska	Murkowski
1001(01)	Haines, Alaska	Stevens
1001(01)	Haines, Alaska	Young, D.
1001(02)	Port Lions, Alaska	Young, D.
1001(03)	Santa Cruz River, Paseo De Las Iglesias, Arizona	Farr
1001(04)	Tanque Verde Creek, Pima County, Arizona	Kyl
1001(04)	Tanque Verde Creek, Pima County, Arizona	Giffords
1001(04)	Tanque Verde Creek, Pima County, Arizona	Grijalva
1001(05)	Salt River (Rio Salado Oeste), Maricopa County, Arizona	Pastor
1001(06)	Salt River (Va Shly'ay Akimel), Maricopa County, Arizona	Mitchell
1001(06)	Salt River (Va Shly'ay Akimel), Maricopa County, Arizona	Kyl
1001(07)	May Branch, Forth Smith, Kentucky	Lincoln
1001(07)	May Branch, Forth Smith, Kentucky	Pryor
1001(07)	May Branch, Forth Smith, Kentucky	Boozman
1001(08)	Hamilton City, Glenn County, California	Herger
1001(08)	Hamilton City, Glenn County, California	Boxer
1001(09)	Silver Strand Shoreline, Imperial Beach, California	Davis, S.
1001(09)	Silver Strand Shoreline, Imperial Beach, California	Boxer
1001(10)	Matilija Dam, Ventura County, California	Gallegly
1001(10)	Matilija Dam, Ventura County, California	Capps
1001(10)	Matilija Dam, Ventura County, California	Boxer
1001(11)	Middle Creek, Lake County, California	Boxer
1001(11)	Middle Creek, Lake County, California	Thompson
1001(12)	Napa River Salt Marsh Restoration, California	Woolsey
1001(12)	Napa River Salt Marsh Restoration, California	Thompson
1001(12)	Napa River Salt Marsh Restoration, California	Miller, George
1001(12)	Napa River Salt Marsh Restoration, California	Boxer
1001(12)	Napa River Salt Marsh Restoration, California	Tauscher
1001(13)	Denver County Reach, South Platte River, Denver, Colorado	Allard
1001(13)	Denver County Reach, South Platte River, Denver, Colorado	Tancredo
1001(13)	Denver County Reach, South Platte River, Denver, Colorado	Salazar
1001(14)	Central and Southern Florida, Indian River Lagoon, Florida	Nelson
1001(14)	Central and Southern Florida, Indian River Lagoon, Florida	Martinez
1001(14)	Central and Southern Florida, Indian River Lagoon, Florida	Mahoney
1001(15)	Comprehensive Everglades Restoration Plan, Central and Southern Florida, Picayune Strand Restoration Project, Collier County, Florida	Martinez
1001(15)	Comprehensive Everglades Restoration Plan, Central and Southern Florida, Picayune Strand Restoration Project, Collier County, Florida	Nelson
1001(15)	Comprehensive Everglades Restoration Plan, Central and Southern Florida, Picayune Strand Restoration Project, Collier	Diaz-Balart, M.

### Water Resources Development Act of 2007

Conference Section	Project Name	Member
	County, Florida	
1001(15)	Comprehensive Everglades Restoration Plan, Central and Southern Florida, Picayune Strand Restoration Project, Collier County, Florida	Mahoney
1001(16)	Comprehensive Everglades Restoration Plan, Central and Southern Florida, Site 1	Mahoney
1001(16)	Comprehensive Everglades Restoration Plan, Central and Southern Florida, Site 1	Hastings, A.
1001(16)	Comprehensive Everglades Restoration Plan, Central and Southern Florida, Site 1	Mahoney
1001(17)	Miami Harbor, Miami Dade County, Florida	Wasserman Schultz
1001(17)	Miami Harbor, Miami Dade County, Florida	Diaz-Balart, L.
1001(17)	Miami Harbor, Miami Dade County, Florida	Diaz-Balart, M.
1001(17)	Miami Harbor, Miami Dade County, Florida	Nelson
1001(17)	Miami Harbor, Miami Dade County, Florida	Martinez
1001(17)	Miami Harbor, Miami Dade County, Florida	Ros-Lehtinen
1001(18)	East St. Louis and Vicinity, Illinois	Durbin
1001(18)	East St. Louis and Vicinity, Illinois	Obama
1001(18)	East St. Louis and Vicinity, Illinois	Costello
1001(19)	Peoria Riverfront Development, Illinois	LaHood
1001(19)	Peoria Riverfront Development, Illinois	Durbin
1001(19)	Peoria Riverfront Development, Illinois	Obama
1001(20)	Wood River Levee System Reconstruction, Madison County, Illinois	Obama
1001(20)	Wood River Levee System Reconstruction, Madison County, Illinois	Costello
1001(20)	Wood River Levee System Reconstruction, Madison County, Illinois	Durbin
1001(21)	Des Moines and Racoon Rivers. Des Moines, Iowa	Harkin
1001(21)	Des Moines and Racoon Rivers. Des Moines, Iowa	Boswell
1001(21)	Des Moines and Racoon Rivers. Des Moines, Iowa	Grassley
1001(22)	Licking River Basin, Cythiana, Kentucky	Davis, G.
1001(23)	Bayou Sorrel Lock, Louisiana	Boustany
1001(23)	Bayou Sorrel Lock, Louisiana	Jindal
1001(23)	Bayou Sorrel Lock, Louisiana	Landrieu
1001(23)	Bayou Sorrel Lock, Louisiana	Baker
1001(23)	Bayou Sorrel Lock, Louisiana	Vitter
1001(24)	Morganza to the Gulf of Mexico, Louisiana	Melancon
1001(24)	Morganza to the Gulf of Mexico, Louisiana	Landrieu
1001(24)	Morganza to the Gulf of Mexico, Louisiana	Vitter
1001(24)	Morganza to the Gulf of Mexico, Louisiana	Baker
1001(24)	Morganza to the Gulf of Mexico, Louisiana	Jindal



### Water Resources Development Act of 2007

Conference Section	Project Name	Member
1001(25)	Port of Iberia, Louisiana	Jindal
1001(25)	Port of Iberia, Louisiana	Melancon
1001(26)	Smith Island, Somerset County, Maryland	Cardin
1001(26)	Smith Island, Somerset County, Maryland	Gilchrest
1001(26)	Smith Island, Somerset County, Maryland	Mikulski
1001(27)	Roseau River, Roseau, Minnesota	Klobuchar
1001(27)	Roseau River, Roseau, Minnesota	Peterson, C.
1001(27)	Roseau River, Roseau, Minnesota	Coleman
1001(28)	Argentine, East Bottoms, Fairfax-Jersey Creek, And North Kansas Levees Units, Missouri River and Tributaries at Kansas Cities, Missouri and Kansas	Moore, D.
1001(28)	Argentine, East Bottoms, Fairfax-Jersey Creek, And North Kansas Levees Units, Missouri River and Tributaries at Kansas Cities, Missouri and Kansas	McCaskill
1001(28)	Argentine, East Bottoms, Fairfax-Jersey Creek, And North Kansas Levees Units, Missouri River and Tributaries at Kansas Cities, Missouri and Kansas	Roberts
1001(28)	Argentine, East Bottoms, Fairfax-Jersey Creek, And North Kansas Levees Units, Missouri River and Tributaries at Kansas Cities, Missouri and Kansas	Brownback
1001(28)	Argentine, East Bottoms, Fairfax-Jersey Creek, And North Kansas Levees Units, Missouri River and Tributaries at Kansas Cities, Missouri and Kansas	Bond
1001(28)	Argentine, East Bottoms, Fairfax-Jersey Creek, And North Kansas Levees Units, Missouri River and Tributaries at Kansas Cities, Missouri and Kansas	Cleaver
1001(29)	Swope Park Industrial Area, Blue River, Kansas City, Missouri	Bond
1001(29)	Swope Park Industrial Area, Blue River, Kansas City, Missouri	McCaskill
1001(29)	Swope Park Industrial Area, Blue River, Kansas City, Missouri	Cleaver
1001(30)	Great Egg Harbor Inlet to Townsends Inlet, New Jersey	Menendez
1001(30)	Great Egg Harbor Inlet to Townsends Inlet, New Jersey	Lautenberg
1001(30)	Great Egg Harbor Inlet to Townsends Inlet, New Jersey	LoBiondo
1001(31)	Hudson Raritan Estuary, Liberty State Park, New Jersey	Sires
1001(31)	Hudson Raritan Estuary, Liberty State Park, New Jersey	Menendez
1001(31)	Hudson Raritan Estuary, Liberty State Park, New Jersey	Lautenberg
1001(32)	New Jersey Shore Protection Study, Manasquan Inlet to Barnegat Inlet, New Jersey	Saxton
1001(32)	New Jersey Shore Protection Study, Manasquan Inlet to Barnegat Inlet, New Jersey	Smith, C.
1001(32)	New Jersey Shore Protection Study, Manasquan Inlet to Barnegat Inlet, New Jersey	Menendez
1001(32)	New Jersey Shore Protection Study, Manasquan Inlet to Barnegat Inlet, New Jersey	Lautenberg
1001(33)	Raritan Bay and Sandy Hook Bay, Union Beach, New Jersey	Menendez
1001(33)	Raritan Bay and Sandy Hook Bay, Union Beach, New Jersey	Pallone

### Water Resources Development Act of 2007

Conference Section	Project Name	Member
1001(33)	Raritan Bay and Sandy Hook Bay, Union Beach, New Jersey	Lautenberg
1001(34)	South River, Raritan River Basin, New Jersey	Lautenberg
1001(34)	South River, Raritan River Basin, New Jersey	Andrews, R.
1001(34)	South River, Raritan River Basin, New Jersey	Menendez
1001(35)	Southwest Valley, Bernalillo County, New Mexico	Domenici
1001(35)	Southwest Valley, Bernalillo County, New Mexico	Bingaman
1001(35)	Southwest Valley, Bernalillo County, New Mexico	Wilson, H.
1001(36)	Montauk Point, New York	Clinton
1001(36)	Montauk Point, New York	Bishop, T.
1001(37)	Hocking River Basin, Monday Creek, Ohio	Space
1001(37)	Hocking River Basin, Monday Creek, Ohio	Voinovich
1001(38)	Town of Bloomsburg, Columbia County, Pennsylvania	Casey
1001(38)	Town of Bloomsburg, Columbia County, Pennsylvania	Specter
1001(38)	Town of Bloomsburg, Columbia County, Pennsylvania	Kanjorski
1001(39)	Pawleys Island, South Carolina	Graham
1001(39)	Pawleys Island, South Carolina	Brown, H.
1001(40)	Corpus Christi Ship Channel, Corpus Cristi, Texas	Hutchison
1001(40)	Corpus Christi Ship Channel, Corpus Cristi, Texas	Ortiz
1001(40)	Corpus Christi Ship Channel, Corpus Cristi, Texas	Cornyn
1001(41)	Gulf Intracoastal Waterway, Brazos River to Port O'Connor, Matagorda Bay Re-route, Texas	Cornyn
1001(41)	Gulf Intracoastal Waterway, Brazos River to Port O'Connor, Matagorda Bay Re-route, Texas	Hutchison
1001(41)	Gulf Intracoastal Waterway, Matagorda Bay Re-route, Texas	Paul
1001(42)	Gulf Intracoastal Waterway, High Island to Brazos River, Texas	Hutchison
1001(42)	Gulf Intracoastal Waterway, High Island to Brazos River, Texas	Cornyn
1001(42)	Gulf Intracoastal Waterway, High Island to Brazos River, Texas	Paul
1001(43)	Lower Colorado River Basin Phase I, Texas	Hutchison
1001(43)	Lower Colorado River Basin Phase I, Texas	Cornyn
1001(43)	Lower Colorado River Basin Phase I, Texas	Doggett
1001(43)	Lower Colorado River Basin Phase I, Texas	McCaul
1001(44)	Atlantic Intracoastal Waterway Bridge Replacement, Deep Creek, Chesapeake, Virginia	Webb
1001(44)	Atlantic Intracoastal Waterway Bridge Replacement, Deep Creek, Chesapeake, Virginia	Forbes
1001(44)	Atlantic Intracoastal Waterway Bridge Replacement, Deep Creek, Chesapeake, Virginia	Warner
1001(45)	Craney Island Eastward Expansion, Norfolk Harbor and Channels, Hampton Roads, Virginia	Webb
1001(45)	Craney Island Eastward Expansion, Norfolk Harbor and Channels, Hampton Roads, Virginia	Warner
1001(45)	Craney Island Eastward Expansion, Norfolk Harbor and Channels, Hampton Roads, Virginia	Scott, R.

### Water Resources Development Act of 2007

Conference Section	Project Name	Member
1001(46)	Centralia, Chehalis River, Lewis County, Washington	Cantwell
1001(46)	Centralia, Chehalis River, Lewis County, Washington	Murray
1001(46)	Centralia, Chehalis River, Lewis County, Washington	Dicks
1002(a)(01)	Haleyville, Alabama	Aderholt
1002(a)(02)	Weiss Lake, Alabama	Rogers, Mike D.
1002(a)(03)	Fort Yukon, Alaska	Young, D.
1002(a)(04)	Little Colorado Levee, Arizona	Renzi
1002(a)(05)	Cache River Basin, Grubbs, Arkansas	Pryor
1002(a)(05)	Cache River Basin, Grubbs, Arkansas	Lincoln
1002(a)(05)	Cache River Basin, Grubbs, Arkansas	Berry
1002(a)(06)	Barrel Springs Wash, Palmdale, California	McKeon
1002(a)(07)	Borrego Springs, California	Hunter
1002(a)(08)	Colton, California	Baca
1002(a)(09)	Dunlap Stream, San Bernardino, California	Lewis, J.
1002(a)(10)	Hunts Canyon Wash, Palmdale, California	McKeon
1002(a)(11)	Ontario and Chino, California	Miller, Gary
1002(a)(11)	Ontario and Chino, California	Baca
1002(a)(12)	Santa Venetia, California	Miller, George
1002(a)(12)	Santa Venetia, California	Tauscher
1002(a)(12)	Santa Venetia, California	Woolsey
1002(a)(13)	Whittier, California	Miller, Gary
1002(a)(14)	Wildwood Creek, Yucaipa, California	Lewis, J.
1002(a)(15)	Bibb County and City of Macon Levee, Georgia	Chambliss
1002(a)(15)	Bibb County and City of Macon Levee, Georgia	Isakson
1002(a)(16)	Ft. Wayne and Vicinity, Indiana	Souder
1002(a)(16)	Ft. Wayne and Vicinity, Indiana	Lugar
1002(a)(16)	Ft. Wayne and Vicinity, Indiana	Bayh
1002(a)(17)	St. Francisville, Louisiana	Baker
1002(a)(18)	Salem, Massachusetts	Kennedy, T.
1002(a)(18)	Salem, Massachusetts	Kerry
1002(a)(18)	Salem, Massachusetts	Tierney
1002(a)(19)	Cass River, Michigan	Kildee
1002(a)(20)	Crow River, Rockford, Minnesota	Bachmann
1002(a)(20)	Crow River, Rockford, Minnesota	Klobuchar
1002(a)(20)	Crow River, Rockford, Minnesota	Coleman
1002(a)(21)	Marsh Creek, Minnesota	Klobuchar
1002(a)(21)	Marsh Creek, Minnesota	Peterson, C.
1002(a)(22)	South Branch of Wild Rice River, Borup, Minnesota	Klobuchar
1002(a)(22)	South Branch of Wild Rice River, Borup, Minnesota	Coleman
1002(a)(22)	South Branch of Wild Rice River, Borup, Minnesota	Peterson, C.
1002(a)(23)	Blacksnake Creek, St. Joseph, Missouri	Graves

### Water Resources Development Act of 2007

Conference Section	Project Name	Member
1002(a)(24)	Acid Brook, Pompton Lakes, New Jersey	Pascrell
1002(a)(25)	Cannistota River, Addison, New York	Kuhl
1002(a)(26)	Cohocton River, Campbell, New York	Kuhl
1002(a)(27)	Dry and Otter Creeks, Cortland, New York	Arcuri
1002(a)(28)	East River, Silver Beach, New York City, New York	Crowley
1002(a)(29)	East Valley Creek, Andover, New York	Kuhl
1002(a)(30)	Sunnyside Brook, Westchester County, New York	Engel
1002(a)(31)	Little Yankee Run and Mud Run, Trumbull County, Ohio	Ryan, T.
1002(a)(32)	Little Neshaminy Creek, Warrington, Pennsylvania	Murphy, P.
1002(a)(33)	Southampton Creek Watershed, Southampton, Pennsylvania	Murphy, P.
1002(a)(34)	Spring Creek, Lower Macungie Township, Pennsylvania	Dent
1002(a)(35)	Yardley Aqueduct, Silver and Brock Creeks, Yardley, Pennsylvania	Murphy, P.
1002(a)(36)	Surfside Beach, South Carolina	Brown, H.
1002(a)(37)	Sandy Creek, Jackson County, Tennessee	Alexander
1002(a)(38)	Congelosi Ditch, Missouri City, Texas	Green, A.
1002(a)(39)	Dilley, Texas	Cuellar
1002(a)(40)	Cheyenne, Wyoming	[Thomas]
1003(02)	St. Johns' Bluff Training Wall, Duval County, Florida	Brown, C.
1003(02)	St. Johns' Bluff Training Wall, Duval County, Florida	Crenshaw
1003(03)	Gulf Intracoastal Waterway, Iberville Parish, Louisiana	Baker
1003(04)	Ouachita and Black Rivers, Arkansas and Louisiana	Jindal
1003(04)	Ouachita and Black Rivers, Arkansas and Louisiana	Ross
1003(05)	Piney Point Lighthouse, St. Mary's County, Maryland	Hoyer
1003(06)	Pug Hole Lake, Minnesota	Oberstar
1003(06)	Pug Hole Lake, Minnesota	Klobuchar
1003(07)	Middle Fork Grand River, Gentry County, Missouri	Graves
1003(08)	Platte River, Platte City, Missouri	Graves
1003(09)	Rush Creek, Parkville, Missouri	Graves
1003(10)	Dry and Otter Creeks, Cortland County, New York	Arcuri
1003(11)	Keuka Lake, Hammondsport, New York	Kuhl
1003(12)	Kowawese Unique Area and Hudson River, New Windsor, New York	Hall, J.
1003(13)	Owego Creek, Tioga County, New York	Arcuri
1003(14)	Howard Road Outfall, Shelby County, Tennessee	Blackburn
1003(15)	Mitch Farm Ditch and Lateral D, Shelby County, Tennessee	Blackburn
1003(16)	Wolf River Tributaries, Shelby County, Tennessee	Blackburn
1003(17)	Johnson Creek, Arlington, Texas	Barton
1003(18)	Wells River, Newbury, Vermont	Welch
1004(a)(01)	Barrow Harbor, Alaska	Stevens
1004(a)(01)	Barrow Harbor, Alaska	Murkowski
1004(a)(02)	Coffman Cove, Alaska	Young, D.

**Water Resources Development Act of 2007**

<b>Conference Section</b>	<b>Project Name</b>	<b>Member</b>
1004(a)(03)	Kotzebue Harbor, Alaska	Young, D.
1004(a)(04)	Nome Harbor, Alaska	Stevens
1004(a)(04)	Nome Harbor, Alaska	Murkowski
1004(a)(05)	Old Harbor, Alaska	Stevens
1004(a)(05)	Old Harbor, Alaska	Murkowski
1004(a)(06)	Little Rock Port, Arkansas	Lincoln
1004(a)(06)	Little Rock Port, Arkansas	Pryor
1004(a)(07)	Mississippi River Ship Channel	Melancon
1004(a)(07)	Mississippi River Ship Channel	Jindal
1004(a)(07)	Mississippi River Ship Channel	Baker
1004(a)(08)	East Basin, Cape Cod Canal, Sandwich, Massachusetts	Kennedy, T.
1004(a)(08)	East Basin, Cape Cod Canal, Sandwich, Massachusetts	Delahunt
1004(a)(08)	East Basin, Cape Cod Canal, Sandwich, Massachusetts	Kerry
1004(a)(09)	Lynn Harbor, Lynn, Massachusetts	Kennedy, T.
1004(a)(09)	Lynn Harbor, Lynn, Massachusetts	Tierney
1004(a)(09)	Lynn Harbor, Lynn, Massachusetts	Kerry
1004(a)(10)	Merrimack River, Haverhill, Massachusetts	Kennedy, T.
1004(a)(10)	Merrimack River, Haverhill, Massachusetts	[Meehan]
1004(a)(10)	Merrimack River, Haverhill, Massachusetts	Kerry
1004(a)(11)	Oak Bluffs Harbor, Oak Bluffs, Massachusetts	Delahunt
1004(a)(11)	Oak Bluffs Harbor, Oak Bluffs, Massachusetts	Kennedy, T.
1004(a)(11)	Oak Bluffs Harbor, Oak Bluffs, Massachusetts	Kerry
1004(a)(12)	Woods Hole Great Harbor, Falmouth, Massachusetts	Kerry
1004(a)(12)	Woods Hole Great Harbor, Falmouth, Massachusetts	Delahunt
1004(a)(12)	Woods Hole Great Harbor, Falmouth, Massachusetts	Kennedy, T.
1004(a)(13)	Au Sable River, Michigan	Stabenow
1004(a)(13)	Au Sable River, Michigan	Stupak
1004(a)(14)	Clinton River, Michigan	Levin, C.
1004(a)(15)	Ontonagon River, Michigan	Stabenow
1004(a)(15)	Ontonagon River, Michigan	Levin, C.
1004(a)(16)	Outer Channel and Inner Harbor, Menominee Harbor, Michigan and Wisconsin	Stabenow
1004(a)(17)	Sebewaing River, Michigan	Stabenow
1004(a)(17)	Sebewaing River, Michigan	Levin, C.
1004(a)(18)	Traverse City Harbor, Traverse City, Michigan	Levin, C.
1004(a)(18)	Traverse City Harbor, Traverse City, Michigan	Stupak
1004(a)(18)	Traverse City Harbor, Traverse City, Michigan	Stabenow
1004(a)(18)	Traverse City Harbor, Traverse City, Michigan	Camp
1004(a)(19)	Tower Harbor, Tower, Minnesota	Oberstar
1004(a)(19)	Tower Harbor, Tower, Minnesota	Coleman
1004(a)(19)	Tower Harbor, Tower, Minnesota	Klobuchar

### Water Resources Development Act of 2007

Conference Section	Project Name	Member
1004(a)(20)	Olcott Harbor, Olcott, New York	Slaughter
1004(a)(21)	Milwaukee Harbor, Wisconsin	Kohl
1005(01)	Ballona Creek, Los Angeles County, California	Harman
1005(02)	Ballona Lagoon Tide Gates, Marina Del Ray, California	Harman
1005(03)	Ft. George Inlet, Duval County, Florida	Crenshaw
1005(03)	Ft. George Inlet, Duval County, Florida	Brown, C.
1005(04)	Rathbun Lake, Iowa	Boswell
1005(05)	Smithville Lake, Missouri	Graves
1005(06)	Delaware Bay, New Jersey and Delaware	LoBiondo
1005(07)	Tioga-Hammond Lakes, Pennsylvania	Peterson, J
1006(a)(01)	Cypress Creek, Montgomery, Alabama	Rogers, Mike D.
1006(a)(02)	Black Lake, Alaska	Stevens
1006(a)(02)	Black Lake, Alaska	Murkowski
1006(a)(02)	Black Lake, Alaska	Young, D.
1006(a)(03)	Ben Lomond Dam, Santa Cruz, California	Eshoo
1006(a)(04)	Dockweiler Bluffs, Los Angeles County, California	Harman
1006(a)(05)	Salt River, California	Thompson
1006(a)(06)	San Diego River, California	Boxer
1006(a)(06)	Santa Rosa Creek, Santa Rosa, California	Miller, George
1006(a)(06)	Santa Rosa Creek, Santa Rosa, California	Woolsey
1006(a)(06)	Santa Rosa Creek, Santa Rosa, California	Tauscher
1006(a)(07)	Stockton Deep Water Ship Channel and Lower San Joaquin River, California	McNerney
1006(a)(09)	Suisun Marsh, San Pablo Bay, California	Boxer
1006(a)(10)	Sweetwater Reservoir, San Diego County, California	Filner
1006(a)(11)	Biscayne Bay, Florida	Ros-Lehtinen
1006(a)(12)	Clam Bayou and Dinkins Bayou, Sanibel Island, Florida	Mack
1006(a)(13)	Mountain Park, Georgia	Chambliss
1006(a)(13)	Mountain Park, Georgia	Isakson
1006(a)(14)	Chattahoochee Fall Line, Georgia and Alabama	Westmoreland
1006(a)(14)	Chattahoochee Fall Line, Georgia and Alabama	Rogers, Mike D.
1006(a)(14)	Chattahoochee Fall Line, Georgia and Alabama	Bishop, S.
1006(a)(14)	Chattahoochee Fall Line, Georgia and Alabama	Gingrey
1006(a)(14)	Chattahoochee Fall Line, Georgia and Alabama	Chambliss
1006(a)(14)	Chattahoochee Fall Line, Georgia and Alabama	Isakson
1006(a)(15)	Longwood Cove, Gainesville, Georgia	Deal
1006(a)(16)	City Park, University Lakes, Louisiana	Baker
1006(a)(17)	Lawrence Gateway, Massachusetts	Kerry
1006(a)(17)	Lawrence Gateway, Massachusetts	Kennedy, T.
1006(a)(18)	Millford Pond, Milford, Massachusetts	Kennedy, T.
1006(a)(19)	Mill Pond, Littleton, Massachusetts	Kerry

### Water Resources Development Act of 2007

Conference Section	Project Name	Member
1006(a)(19)	Mill Pond, Littleton, Massachusetts	Kennedy, T.
1006(a)(19)	Millford Pond, Milford, Massachusetts	Kerry
1006(a)(19)	Mill Pond, Littleton, Massachusetts	[Meehan]
1006(a)(20)	Pine Tree Brook, Milton, Massachusetts	Lynch
1006(a)(20)	Pine Tree Brook, Milton, Massachusetts	Kerry
1006(a)(20)	Pine Tree Brook, Milton, Massachusetts	Kennedy, T.
1006(a)(21)	Clinton River, Michigan	Stabenow
1006(a)(21)	Clinton River, Michigan	Levin, C.
1006(a)(22)	Kalamazoo River Watershed, Battle Creek, Michigan	Walberg
1006(a)(23)	Rush Lake, Minnesota	Oberstar
1006(a)(24)	South Fork of the Crow River, Hutchinson, Minnesota	Peterson, C.
1006(a)(25)	St. Louis, Missouri	Clay
1006(a)(25)	St. Louis, Missouri	Carnahan
1006(a)(26)	Mobley Dam, Tongue River, Montana	Baucus
1006(a)(27)	S and H Dam, Tongue River, Montana	Baucus
1006(a)(28)	Vandalia Dam, Milk River, Montana	Baucus
1006(a)(29)	Truckee River, Reno, Nevada	Heller
1006(a)(30)	Grover's Mill Pond, New Jersey	Holt
1006(a)(31)	Caldwell County, North Carolina	Burr
1006(a)(32)	Mecklenburg County, North Carolina	Burr
1006(a)(33)	Dugway Creek, Bratenahl, Ohio	Jones, S
1006(a)(34)	Johnson Creek, Gresham, Oregon	Smith
1006(a)(34)	Johnson Creek, Gresham, Oregon	Blumenauer
1006(a)(34)	Johnson Creek, Gresham, Oregon	Wyden
1006(a)(35)	Beaver Creek, Beaver and Salem, Pennsylvania	Peterson, J
1006(a)(36)	Cementon Dam, Lehigh River, Pennsylvania	Dent
1006(a)(37)	Ingham Spring Dam, Solebury Township, Pennsylvania	Murphy, P.
1006(a)(38)	Saucon Creek, Northampton County, Pennsylvania	Dent
1006(a)(39)	Stillwater Dam, Monroe County, Pennsylvania	Dent
1006(a)(40)	Blackstone River, Rhode Island	Kennedy, P.
1006(a)(40)	Blackstone River, Rhode Island	Whitehouse
1006(a)(40)	Blackstone River, Rhode Island	Reed
1006(a)(41)	Wilson Branch, Cheraw, South Carolina	Spratt
1006(a)(42)	White River, Bethel, Vermont	Welch
1006(a)(43)	College Lake, Lynchburg, Virginia	Warner
1007(01)	Nelson Lagoon, Alaska	Young, D.
1007(02)	Nicholas Canyon, Los Angeles, California	Boxer
1007(03)	Sanibel Island, Florida	Mack
1007(04)	Apra Harbor, Guam	Clinton
1007(04)	Apra Harbor, Guam	Bordallo
1007(04)	Apra Harbor, Guam	Lautenberg

### Water Resources Development Act of 2007

Conference Section	Project Name	Member
1007(04)	Apra Harbor, Guam	Menendez
1007(04)	Apra Harbor, Guam	Schumer
1007(05)	Piti, Cabras Island, Guam	Menendez
1007(05)	Piti, Cabras Island, Guam	Lautenberg
1007(05)	Piti, Cabras Island, Guam	Schumer
1007(05)	Piti, Cabras Island, Guam	Clinton
1007(05)	Piti, Cabras Island, Guam	Bordallo
1007(06)	Narrows and Gravesend Bay, Upper New York Bay, Brooklyn, New York	Fossella
1007(07)	Delaware River, Philadelphia Naval Shipyard, Pennsylvania	Schwartz
1007(07)	Delaware River, Philadelphia Naval Shipyard, Pennsylvania	Brady
1007(08)	Port Aransas, Texas	Ortiz
1008	Kowawese Unique Area and Hudson River	Hall, J.
1009(01)	Tybee Island, Georgia	Kingston
1009(01)	Tybee Island, Georgia	Isakson
1009(01)	Tybee Island, Georgia	Chambliss
1009(02)	Burns Waterway Harbor, Indiana	Lugar
1009(02)	Burns Waterway Harbor, Indiana	Bayh
1009(02)	Burns Waterway Harbor, Indiana	Visclosky
1010	Small Projects for Aquatic Plant Control	Nelson
2010(a)(06)	Tuscarawas River Basin, Ohio	Sutton
2010(a)(07)	Sauk River Basin, Snohomish and Skagit Counties, Washington	Larsen
2010(a)(08)	Niagara River Basin, New York	Slaughter
2010(a)(09)	Genesee River Basin, New York	Slaughter
2010(a)(10)	White River Basin, Arkansas and Missouri	Berry
2010(a)(10)	White River Basin, Arkansas and Missouri	Snyder
2014(20)	Kinkaid Lake, Jackson County, Illinois	Costello
2014(21)	McCarter Pond, Borough of Fair Haven, New Jersey	Holt
2014(22)	Rogers Pond, Franklin Township, New Jersey	Holt
2014(23)	Greenwood Lake, New York and New Jersey	Hall, J.
2014(24)	Lake Rodgers, Creedmoor, North Carolina	Cole
2014(24)	Lake Rodgers, Creedmoor, North Carolina	Miller, B.
2014(25)	Lake Sakakawea, North Dakota	Conrad
2014(26)	Lake Luxembourg, Pennsylvania	Murphy, P.
2014(27)	Lake Fairlee, Vermont	Sanders
2014(28)	Lake Morley, Vermont	Sanders
2019(b)(01)	St. John's Bayou and New Madrid Floodway, Missouri	Emerson
2019(b)(01)	St. John's Bayou and New Madrid Floodway, Missouri	Bond
2019(b)(02)	Lower Rio Grande Basin, Texas	Cuellar
2019(b)(03)	West Virginia and Pennsylvania Projects	Murtha
2028(a)(01)	Support of the Army Civil Works Program	Duncan



### Water Resources Development Act of 2007

Conference Section	Project Name	Member
2028(a)(02)	Support of the Army Civil Works Program	Costello
2028(a)(03)	Support of the Army Civil Works Program	Johnson, E.B.
2037(f)(01)	Little Rock Slackwater Harbor, Arkansas	Snyder
2037(f)(02)	Fletcher Cove, California	Boxer
2037(f)(03)	Egmont Key, Florida	Castor
2037(f)(04)	Calcasieu Ship Channel, Louisiana	Boustany
2037(f)(05)	Delaware River Estuary, New Jersey and Pennsylvania	Menendez
2037(f)(05)	Delaware River Estuary, New Jersey and Pennsylvania	Lautenberg
2037(f)(06)	Fire Island Inlet, Suffolk County, New York	Clinton
2037(f)(07)	Smith Point Pavilion and TWA Memorial, Brookhaven, New York	Bishop, T.
2037(f)(08)	Morehead City, North Carolina	Jones, W.
2037(f)(09)	Toledo Harbor, Lucas County, Ohio	Voinovich
2037(f)(10)	Galveston Bay, Texas	Paul
2037(f)(11)	Benson Beach, Washington	Baird
3001	Black Warrior-Tombigbee Rivers, Alabama	Shelby
3002	Cook Inlet, Alaska	Young, D.
3003	King Cove Harbor, Alaska	Young, D.
3004	Seward Harbor, Alaska	Murkowski
3004	Seward Harbor, Alaska	Stevens
3005	Sitka, Alaska	Young, D.
3005	Sitka, Alaska	Stevens
3005	Sitka, Alaska	Murkowski
3006	Tatitlek, Alaska	Young, D.
3007	Rio De Flag, Flagstaff, Arizona	Renzi
3007	Rio De Flag, Flagstaff, Arizona	Kyl
3008	Nogales Wash and Tributaries Flood Control Project, Arizona	Kyl
3009	Tucson Drainage Area, Arizona	Kyl
3010	Osceola Harbor, Arkansas	Pryor
3010	Osceola Harbor, Arkansas	Lincoln
3010	Osceola Harbor, Arkansas	Berry
3011	St. Francis Basin River Basin, Arkansas and Missouri	Berry
3011	St. Francis Basin River Basin, Arkansas and Missouri	Berry
3011	St. Francis Basin River Basin, Arkansas and Missouri	Lincoln
3011	St. Francis Basin River Basin, Arkansas and Missouri	Pryor
3012	Pine Mountain Dam, Arkansas	Boozman
3012	Pine Mountain Dam, Arkansas	Pryor
3012	Pine Mountain Dam, Arkansas	Lincoln
3013	Red-Ouachita River Basin Levees, Arkansas and Louisiana	Lincoln
3013	Red-Ouachita River Basin Levees, Arkansas and Louisiana	Pryor
3014	Cache Creek Basin, California	Boxer

### Water Resources Development Act of 2007

Conference Section	Project Name	Member
3015	Calfed Stability Program, California	Boxer
3016	Compton Creek, California	[Millender-McDonald]
3017	Grayson Creek/Murderer's Creek, California	Miller, George
3017	Grayson Creek/Murderer's Creek, California	Boxer
3017	Grayson Creek/Murderer's Creek, California	Tauscher
3018	Hamilton Airfield, California	Tauscher
3018	Hamilton Airfield, California	Miller, George
3018	Hamilton Airfield, California	Boxer
3019	John F. Baldwin Ship Channel and Stockton Ship Channel, California	McNerney
3020	Kaweah River, California	Nunes
3021	Larkspur Ferry Channel, Larkspur, California	Miller, George
3021	Larkspur Ferry Channel, Larkspur, California	Tauscher
3021	Larkspur Ferry Channel, Larkspur, California	Woolsey
3021	Larkspur Ferry Channel, Larkspur, California	Boxer
3022	Llagas Creek, California	Honda
3022	Llagas Creek, California	Lofgren
3022	Llagas Creek, California	McNerney
3022	Llagas Creek, California	Boxer
3022	Llagas Creek, California	Eshoo
3023	Magpie Creek, California	Matsui
3023	Magpie Creek, California	Boxer
3024	Pacific Flyway Center, California	Thompson
3025	Petaluma River, Petaluma, California	Boxer
3026	Pinole Creek, California	Tauscher
3026	Pinole Creek, California	Miller, George
3027	Prado Dam, California	Calvert
3027	Prado Dam, California	Campbell
3027	Prado Dam, California	Sanchez, Loretta
3027	Prado Dam, California	Miller, Gary
3028	Redwood City Navigation Channel, California	Eshoo
3028	Redwood City Navigation Channel, California	Boxer
3029	Sacramento and American Rivers Flood Control, California	Matsui
3029	Sacramento and American Rivers Flood Control, California	Boxer
3029	Sacramento and American Rivers Flood Control, California	Feinstein
3029	Sacramento and American Rivers Flood Control, California	Matsui
3030	Sacramento Deepwater Ship Channel, California	Thompson
3031	Sacramento River Bank Protection, California	Feinstein
3031	Sacramento River Bank Protection, California	Boxer
3032	Salton Sea Restoration Project	Boxer

### Water Resources Development Act of 2007

Conference Section	Project Name	Member
3032	Salton Sea Restoration Project	Bono
3032	Salton Sea Restoration Project	Filner
3033	Santa Ana River Mainstem, California	Miller, G.
3033	Santa Ana River Mainstem, California	Boxer
3034	Santa Barbara Streams, Lower Mission Creek, California	Boxer
3034	Santa Barbara Streams, Lower Mission Creek, California	Capps
3035	Santa Cruz Harbor, California	Farr
3036	Seven Oaks Dam, California	Boxer
3036	Seven Oaks Dam, California	Calvert
3037	Upper Guadalupe River, California	Boxer
3037	Upper Guadalupe River, California	Lofgren
3037	Upper Guadalupe River, California	Eshoo
3037	Upper Guadalupe River, California	Honda
3038	Walnut Creek Channel, California	Miller, George
3038	Walnut Creek Channel, California	Boxer
3038	Walnut Creek Channel, California	Tauscher
3039	Wildcat/San Pablo Creek/Phase I, California	Tauscher
3039	Wildcat/San Pablo Creek/Phase I, California	Miller, George
3040	Wildcat/San Pablo Creek/Phase II, California	Miller, George
3040	Wildcat/San Pablo Creek/Phase II, California	Tauscher
3040	Wildcat/San Pablo Creek/Phase II, California	Boxer
3041	Yuba River Basin Project, California	Herger
3041	Yuba River Basin Project, California	Boxer
3042	South Platte River Basin, Colorado	Tancredo
3042	South Platte River Basin, Colorado	DeGette
3043	Intercoastal Waterway, Delaware River to Chesapeake Bay, Delaware and Maryland	Gilchrest
3043	Intercoastal Waterway, Delaware River to Chesapeake Bay, Delaware and Maryland	Castle
3044	St. George's Bridge, Delaware	Carper
3044	St. George's Bridge, Delaware	Biden
3044	St. George's Bridge, Delaware	Castle
3045	Brevard County, Florida	Nelson
3045	Brevard County, Florida	Martinez
3045	Brevard County, Florida	Weldon, D.
3046	Broward County and Hillsboro Inlet, Florida	Martinez
3046	Broward County and Hillsboro Inlet, Florida	Klein
3046	Broward County and Hillsboro Inlet, Florida	Nelson
3047	Canaveral Harbor, Florida	Weldon, D.
3047	Canaveral Harbor, Florida	Nelson
3048	Gasparilla & Estero Islands, Florida	Mack
3048	Gasparilla & Estero Islands, Florida	Nelson

### Water Resources Development Act of 2007

Conference Section	Project Name	Member
3049	Lido Key Beach, Sarasota, Florida	Buchanan
3049	Lido Key Beach, Sarasota, Florida	Nelson
3049	Lido Key Beach, Sarasota, Florida	Martinez
3050	Peanut Island, Florida	Klein
3051	Port Sutton, Florida	Martinez
3051	Port Sutton, Florida	Nelson
3052	Tampa Harbor-Big Bend Channel, Florida	Nelson
3052	Tampa Harbor-Big Bend Channel, Florida	Castor
3053	Tampa Harbor Cut B, Florida	Martinez
3053	Tampa Harbor Cut B, Florida	Castor
3053	Tampa Harbor Cut B, Florida	Putnam
3053	Tampa Harbor Cut B, Florida	Nelson
3054	Allatoona Lake, Georgia	Gingrey
3054	Allatoona Lake, Georgia	Price
3054	Allatoona Lake, Georgia	Isakson
3054	Allatoona Lake, Georgia	Chambliss
3055	Latham River, Glynn County, Georgia	Kingston
3056	Dworshak Reservoir Improvements, Idaho	Craig
3056	Dworshak Reservoir Improvements, Idaho	Simpson
3056	Dworshak Reservoir Improvements, Idaho	Crapo
3057	Little Wood River, Gooding, Idaho	Craig
3057	Little Wood River, Gooding, Idaho	Crapo
3058	Beardstown Community Boat Harbor, Beardstown, Illinois	LaHood
3058	Beardstown Community Boat Harbor, Beardstown, Illinois	Durbin
3059	Cache River Levee, Illinois	Obama
3059	Cache River Levee, Illinois	Durbin
3059	Cache River Levee, Illinois	Shimkus
3060	Chicago River, Illinois	Davis, D.
3060	Chicago River, Illinois	Obama
3060	Chicago River, Illinois	Durbin
3061	Chicago Sanitary & Ship Canal, Illinois	Stupak
3061	Chicago Sanitary & Ship Canal, Illinois	Kirk
3061	Chicago Sanitary & Ship Canal, Illinois	McCotter
3061	Chicago Sanitary & Ship Canal, Illinois	Gutierrez
3061	Chicago Sanitary & Ship Canal, Illinois	Biggert
3061	Chicago Sanitary & Ship Canal, Illinois	Dingell
3062	Emiquon, Illinois	Durbin
3062	Emiquon, Illinois	Hare
3063	LaSalle, Illinois	Weller
3064	Spunky Bottom, Illinois	Obama
3064	Spunky Bottom, Illinois	Durbin

### Water Resources Development Act of 2007

Conference Section	Project Name	Member
3064	Spunky Bottom, Illinois	LaHood
3065	Cedar Lake, Indiana	Lugar
3066	Koontz Lake, Indiana	Donnelly
3066	Koontz Lake, Indiana	Bayh
3066	Koontz Lake, Indiana	Lugar
3067	White River, Indiana	Carson, J.
3067	White River, Indiana	Lugar
3067	White River, Indiana	Bayh
3068	Des Moines River and Greenbelt, Iowa	Harkin
3068	Des Moines River and Greenbelt, Iowa	Boswell
3069	Perry Creek, Iowa	Harkin
3070	Rathbun Lake, Iowa	Grassley
3071	Hickman Bluff Stabilization, Kentucky	McConnell
3072	Mcalpine Lock And Dam, Kentucky And Indiana	McConnell
3072	Mcalpine Lock And Dam, Kentucky And Indiana	Yarmouth
3073	Prestonsburg, Kentucky	Rogers, H.
3074	Amite River and Tributaries, Louisiana, East Baton Rouge Parish Watershed	Baker
3074	Amite River and Tributaries, Louisiana, East Baton Rouge Parish Watershed	Landrieu
3074	Amite River and Tributaries, Louisiana, East Baton Rouge Parish Watershed	Vitter
3075	Atchafalaya Basin Floodway System, Louisiana	Boustany
3075	Atchafalaya Basin Floodway System, Louisiana	Vitter
3075	Atchafalaya Basin Floodway System, Louisiana	Landrieu
3075(c)	Atchafalaya Basin Floodway System, Louisiana (Town of Melville)	Boustany
3076	Atchafalaya Basin Floodway System, Regional Visitors Center, Louisiana	Vitter
3076	Atchafalaya Basin Floodway System, Regional Visitors Center, Louisiana	Landrieu
3076	Atchafalaya Basin Floodway System, Regional Visitors Center, Louisiana	Boustany
3077	Atchafalaya River and Bayous Chene, Boeuf, and Black, Louisiana	Baker
3078	Bayou Plaquemine, Louisiana	Baker
3079	Calcasieu River and Pass, Louisiana	Vitter
3079	Calcasieu River and Pass, Louisiana	Landrieu
3080	Red River (J. Bennett Johnston) Waterway, Louisiana	Landrieu
3080	Red River (J. Bennett Johnston) Waterway, Louisiana	McCrery
3080	Red River (J. Bennett Johnston) Waterway, Louisiana	Jindal
3080	Red River (J. Bennett Johnston) Waterway, Louisiana	Vitter
3081	Mississippi Delta Region, Louisiana	Melancon

### Water Resources Development Act of 2007

Conference Section	Project Name	Member
3082	Mississippi River-Gulf Outlet Relocation Assistance, Louisiana	Vitter
3082	Mississippi River-Gulf Outlet Relocation Assistance, Louisiana	Landrieu
3083	Violet, Louisiana	Landrieu
3083	Violet, Louisiana	Cochran
3083	Violet, Louisiana	Lott
3084	West Bank of the Mississippi River (East of Harvey Canal), Louisiana	Melancon
3084	West Bank of the Mississippi River (East of Harvey Canal), Louisiana	Jindal
3085	Camp Ellis, Saco, Maine	Allen
3085	Camp Ellis, Saco, Maine	Snowe
3085	Camp Ellis, Saco, Maine	Collins
3086	Cumberland, Maryland	Mikulski
3086	Cumberland, Maryland	Cardin
3087	Poplar Island, Maryland	Ruppersburger
3087	Poplar Island, Maryland	Hoyer
3087	Poplar Island, Maryland	Sarbanes
3087	Poplar Island, Maryland	Cummings
3087	Poplar Island, Maryland	Mikulski
3087	Poplar Island, Maryland	Cardin
3088	Detroit River Shoreline, Detroit, Michigan	Kilpatrick
3088	Detroit River Shoreline, Detroit, Michigan	Levin, C.
3088	Detroit River Shoreline, Detroit, Michigan	Stabenow
3089	St. Clair River and Lake St. Clair Michigan	Levin, S.
3089	St. Clair River and Lake St. Clair Michigan	Levin, C.
3089	St. Clair River and Lake St. Clair, Michigan	Stabenow
3089	St. Clair River and Lake St. Clair, Michigan	Miller, C.
3090	St. Joseph Harbor, Michigan	Upton
3091	Sault Saint Marie, Michigan	Stupak
3091	Sault Saint Marie, Michigan	Oberstar
3092	Ada, Minnesota	Peterson, C.
3092	Ada, Minnesota	Klobuchar
3093	Duluth Harbor, McQuade Road, Minnesota	Coleman
3093	Duluth Harbor, McQuade Road, Minnesota	Klobuchar
3093	Duluth Harbor, McQuade Road, Minnesota	Oberstar
3094	Grand Marais, Minnesota	Oberstar
3094	Grand Marais, Minnesota	Klobuchar
3095	Grand Portage Harbor, Minnesota	Klobuchar
3095	Grand Portage Harbor, Minnesota	Oberstar
3096	Granite Falls, Minnesota	Peterson, C.
3097	Knife River Harbor, Minnesota	Klobuchar
3097	Knife River Harbor, Minnesota	Oberstar

### Water Resources Development Act of 2007

Conference Section	Project Name	Member
3098	Red Lake River, Minnesota	Peterson, C.
3099	Silver Bay, Minnesota	Oberstar
3099	Silver Bay, Minnesota	Klobuchar
3100	Taconite Harbor, Minnesota	Klobuchar
3100	Taconite Harbor, Minnesota	Oberstar
3101	Two Harbors, Minnesota	Oberstar
3101	Two Harbors, Minnesota	Klobuchar
3101	Two Harbors, Minnesota	Coleman
3102	Deer Island, Harrison County, Mississippi	Taylor
3103	Jackson, Mississippi	Thompson, B.
3104	Pearl River Basin, Mississippi	Lott
3104	Pearl River Basin, Mississippi	Cochran
3104	Pearl River Basin, Mississippi	Pickering
3105	Festus and Crystal City, Missouri	Carnahan
3106	L-15 Levee, Missouri	Bond
3106	L-15 Levee, Missouri	Akin
3106	L-15 Levee, Missouri	McCaskill
3107	Monarch-Chesterfield, Missouri	Akin
3108	River Des Peres, Missouri	Carnahan
3109	Lower Yellowstone Project, Montana	Tester
3109	Lower Yellowstone Project, Montana	Baucus
3110	Yellowstone River and Tributaries, Montana and North Dakota	Baucus
3110	Yellowstone River and Tributaries, Montana and North Dakota	Tester
3111	Antelope Creek, Nebraska	Nelson
3111	Antelope Creek, Nebraska	Fortenberry
3111	Antelope Creek, Nebraska	Hagel
3112	Sand Creek Watershed, Wahoo, Nebraska	Hagel
3112	Sand Creek Watershed, Wahoo, Nebraska	Fortenberry
3112	Sand Creek Watershed, Wahoo, Nebraska	Nelson
3113	Western Sarpy and Clear Creek, Nebraska	Hagel
3113	Western Sarpy and Clear Creek, Nebraska	Nelson
3113	Western Sarpy and Clear Creek, Nebraska	Fortenberry
3114	Lower Truckee River, McCarran Ranch, Nevada	Ensign
3115	Lower Cape May Meadows, Cape May Point, New Jersey	LoBiondo
3116	Passaic River Basin Flood Management, New Jersey	Frelinghuysen
3117	Cooperative Agreements, New Mexico	Udall, T.
3117	Cooperative Agreements, New Mexico	Domenici
3117	Cooperative Agreements, New Mexico	Bingaman
3118	Middle Rio Grande Restoration, New Mexico	Domenici
3118	Middle Rio Grande Restoration, New Mexico	Bingaman
3119	Buffalo Harbor, New York	Higgins

### Water Resources Development Act of 2007

Conference Section	Project Name	Member
3120	Long Island Sound Oyster Restoration, New York and Connecticut	Lieberman
3120	Long Island Sound Oyster Restoration, New York and Connecticut	Dodd
3120	Long Island Sound Oyster Restoration, New York and Connecticut	Clinton
3121	Mamaroneck and Sheldrake Rivers Watershed Management, New York	Schumer
3121	Mamaroneck and Sheldrake Rivers Watershed Management, New York	Clinton
3121(a)(09)	Aunt Lydia's Cove, Massachusetts	Delahunt
3121(a)(09)	Aunt Lydia's Cove, Massachusetts	Kerry
3121(a)(09)	Aunt Lydia's Cove, Massachusetts	Kennedy, T.
3122	Orchard Beach, Bronx	Serrano
3122	Orchard Beach, Bronx	Crowley
3122	Orchard Beach, Bronx	Clinton
3123	Port of New York and New Jersey, New York and New Jersey	Sires
3123	Arkansas	Inhofe
3124	New York State Canal System	Slaughter
3124	New York State Canal System	Clinton
3124	New York State Canal System	Higgins
3125	Susquehanna River and Upper Delaware River Watershed Management, New York	Clinton
3126	Missouri River Restoration, North Dakota	Conrad
3127	Wahepton, North Dakota	Conrad
3128	Ohio	Voinovich
3129	Lower Girard Lake Dam, Ohio	Ryan, T.
3129	Lower Girard Lake Dam, Ohio	Voinovich
3130	Mahoning River, Ohio	Ryan, T.
3131	Arcadia Lake, Oklahoma	Fallin
3131	Arcadia Lake, Oklahoma	Inhofe
3132	Arkansas River Corridor, Oklahoma	Inhofe
3133	Lake Eufaula, Oklahoma	Inhofe
3134	Oklahoma Lakes Demonstration Program, Oklahoma	Inhofe
3135	Ottawa County, Oklahoma	Inhofe
3136	Red River Chloride Control, Oklahoma and Texas	Inhofe
3137	Waurika Lake, Oklahoma	Cole
3137	Waurika Lake, Oklahoma	Inhofe
3138	Upper Willamette River Watershed Ecosystem Restoration, Oregon	Wyden
3138	Upper Willamette River Watershed Ecosystem Restoration, Oregon	Smith
3139	Delaware River, Pennsylvania, New Jersey, and Delaware	Schwartz



### Water Resources Development Act of 2007

Conference Section	Project Name	Member
3139	Delaware River, Pennsylvania, New Jersey, and Delaware	Castle
3139	Delaware River, Pennsylvania, New Jersey, and Delaware	Saxton
3139	Delaware River, Pennsylvania, New Jersey, and Delaware	Andrews, R.
3139	Delaware River, Pennsylvania, New Jersey, and Delaware	LoBiondo
3140	Raystown Lake, Pennsylvania	Shuster
3141	Sheraden Park Stream & Chartiers Creek, Allegheny County	Doyle
3142	Solomon's Creek, Wilkes-Barre, Pennsylvania	Specter
3142	Solomon's Creek, Wilkes-Barre, Pennsylvania	Casey
3142	Solomon's Creek, Wilkes-Barre, Pennsylvania	Kanjorski
3143	South Central Pennsylvania	Murtha
3143	South Central Pennsylvania	Shuster
3144	Wyoming Valley, Pennsylvania	Specter
3144	Wyoming Valley, Pennsylvania	Casey
3144	Wyoming Valley, Pennsylvania	Kanjorski
3145	Narragansett Bay, Rhode Island	Whitehouse
3145	Missouri River Restoration, South Dakota	Thune
3145	Narragansett Bay, Rhode Island	Reed
3147	Cedar Bayou, Texas	Cornyn
3147	Cedar Bayou, Texas	Hutchison
3147	Cedar Bayou, Texas	Paul
3148	Freeport Harbor, Texas	Hutchison
3148	Freeport Harbor, Texas	Cornyn
3148	Freeport Harbor, Texas	Paul
3149	Lake Kemp, Texas	Cornyn
3149	Lake Kemp, Texas	Hutchison
3149	Lake Kemp, Texas	Thornberry
3150	Lower Rio Grande Basin, Texas	Hinojosa
3151	North Padre Island, Texas	Ortiz
3152	Pat Mayse Lake, Texas	Hall, R.
3153	Proctor Lake, Texas	Conaway
3154	San Antonio Channel, Texas	Gonzalez
3155	Connecticut River Restoration, Vermont	Sanders
3156	Dam Remediation, Vermont	Sanders
3157	Lake Champlain Eurasian Milfoil, Water Chestnut, and Other Nonnative Plant Control, Vermont	Sanders
3158	Upper Connecticut River Basin Wetland Restoration, Vermont and New Hampshire	Sanders
3159	Upper Connecticut River Basin Ecosystem Restoration, Vermont and New Hampshire	Sanders
3160	Lake Champlain Watershed, Vermont and New York	Sanders
3160	Lake Champlain Watershed, Vermont and New York	Clinton
3161	Sandbridge Beach, Virginia Beach, Virginia	Warner

### Water Resources Development Act of 2007

Conference Section	Project Name	Member
3162	Tangier Island Seawall, Virginia	Drake
3162	Tangier Island Seawall, Virginia	Warner
3162	Tangier Island Seawall, Virginia	Webb
3163	McNary Lock and Dam, McNary National Wildlife Refuge, Washington and Idaho	Craig
3163	Duwamish/Green, Washington	Reichert
3163	McNary Lock and Dam, McNary National Wildlife Refuge, Washington and Idaho	Cantwell
3163	McNary Lock and Dam, McNary National Wildlife Refuge, Washington and Idaho	Crapo
3163	McNary Lock and Dam, McNary National Wildlife Refuge, Washington and Idaho	Murray
3164	Snake River Project, Washington and Idaho	Murray
3164	Snake River Project, Washington and Idaho	Craig
3164	Snake River Project, Washington and Idaho	Crapo
3164	Snake River Project, Washington and Idaho	Cantwell
3166	Yakima River, Port of Sunnyside, Washington	Hastings, D.
3167	Bluestone Lake, Ohio River Basin, West Virginia	Rahall
3168	Greenbrier River Basin, West Virginia	Rahall
3169	Lesage/Greenbottom Swamp, West Virginia	Rahall
3170	Lower Mud River, Milton, West Virginia	Byrd
3170	Lower Mud River, Milton, West Virginia	Rahall
3171	McDowell County, West Virginia	Byrd
3172	Parkersburg, West Virginia	Mollohan
3173	Green Bay Harbor, Green Bay, Wisconsin	Kohl
3174	Manitowoc Harbor, Wisconsin	Petri
3174	Manitowoc Harbor, Wisconsin	Kohl
3175	Mississippi River Headwaters Reservoirs	Klobuchar
3175	Mississippi River Headwaters Reservoirs	Oberstar
3176	Upper Basin of the Missouri River	Hagel
3176	Upper Basin of the Missouri River	Nelson
3176	Upper Basin of the Missouri River	Thune
3176	Upper Basin of the Missouri River	Baucus
3177	Upper Mississippi River System Environmental Management Program	LaHood
3177	Upper Mississippi River System Environmental Management Program	Harkin
3178	Upper Ohio River and Tributaries Navigation System New Technology Pilot Program	Specter
3178	Upper Ohio River and Tributaries Navigation System New Technology Pilot Program	Casey
3179(a)(01)	Continuation of Project Authorizations	Thompson
3179(a)(02)	Continuation of Project Authorizations	Bordallo

### Water Resources Development Act of 2007

Conference Section	Project Name	Member
3179(a)(03)	Baltimore Harbor and Channel, Maryland and Virginia	Mikulski
3179(a)(03)	Baltimore Harbor and Channel, Maryland and Virginia	Cardin
3179(a)(03)	Baltimore Harbor and Channel, Maryland and Virginia	Cummings
3179(a)(04)	Continuation of Project Authorizations	Frank
3179(a)(04)	Continuation of Project Authorizations	McGovern
3179(a)(05)	Ecorse Creek, Wayne County, Michigan	Dingell
3179(a)(05)	Ecorse Creek, Wayne County, Michigan	Conyers
3179(a)(05)	Ecorse Creek, Wayne County, Michigan	McCotter
3179(a)(05)	Ecorse Creek, Wayne County, Michigan	Stabenow
3179(a)(05)	Ecorse Creek, Wayne County, Michigan	Levin, C.
3180(01)	Menominee Harbor and River, Michigan and Wisconsin	Stupak
3180(02)	Hearding Island Inlet, Duluth Harbor, Minnesota	Oberstar
3180(03)	Manitowoc Harbor, Wisconsin	Kohl
3180(03)	Manitowoc Harbor, Wisconsin	Petri
3181(a)(01)	Bridgeport Harbor, Connecticut	Shays
3181(a)(02)	Mystic River, Connecticut	Courtney
3181(a)(03)	Norwalk Harbor, Connecticut	Lieberman
3181(a)(03)	Norwalk Harbor, Connecticut	Biden
3181(a)(04)	Rockland Harbor, Maine	Snowe
3181(a)(04)	Rockland Harbor, Maine	Allen
3181(a)(05)	Rockport Harbor, Maine	Snowe
3181(a)(06)	Falmouth Harbor, Massachusetts	Delahunt
3181(a)(07)	Island End River, Massachusetts	Capuano
3181(a)(08)	City Waterway, Tacoma, Washington	Dicks
3181(a)(10)	Whatcom Creek Waterway, Bellingham, Washington	Cantwell
3181(a)(10)	Whatcom Creek Waterway, Bellingham, Washington	Larsen
3181(a)(10)	Whatcom Creek Waterway, Bellingham, Washington	Byrd
3181(a)(11)	Oconto Harbor, Wisconsin	Kohl
3181(b)	Anchorage Area, New London Harbor, Connecticut	Courtney
3181(b)	Anchorage Area, New London Harbor, Connecticut	Lieberman
3181(b)	Anchorage Area, New London Harbor, Connecticut	Dodd
3181(c)	Southport Harbor, Fairfield, Connecticut	Shays
3181(d)	Saco River, Maine	Allen
3181(d)	Saco River, Maine	Snowe
3181(e)	Union River, Maine	Snowe
3181(e)	Union River, Maine	Michaud
3181(f)	Mystic River, Massachusetts	Markey
3181(g)	Rivercenter, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania	Brady
3182(a)(01)	St. Francis Basin, Arkansas and Missouri	Berry
3182(a)(01)	St. Francis Basin, Arkansas and Missouri	Pryor
3182(a)(01)	St. Francis Basin, Arkansas and Missouri	Lincoln

### Water Resources Development Act of 2007

Conference Section	Project Name	Member
3182(b)	Oakland Inner Harbor Tidal Canal, California	Stark
3182(b)	Oakland Inner Harbor Tidal Canal, California	Boxer
3182(c)	Milford, Kansas	Boyda
3182(c)	Milford, Kansas	Brownback
3182(c)	Milford, Kansas	Roberts
3182(d)	Strawn Cemetary, John Redmond Lake, Kansas	Roberts
3182(d)	Strawn Cemetary, John Redmond Lake, Kansas	Brownback
3182(e)	Pike County, Missouri	McCaskill
3182(e)	Pike County, Missouri	Hulshof
3182(e)	Pike County, Missouri	Bond
3182(f)	Union Lake, Missouri	Bond
3182(f)	Union Lake, Missouri	McCaskill
3182(g)	Boardman, Oregon	Walden
3182(h)	Lookout Point Project, Lowell, Oregon	Wyden
3182(h)	Lookout Point Project, Lowell, Oregon	Smith
3182(h)	Lookout Point Project, Lowell, Oregon	DeFazio
3182(i)	Richard B. Russell Lake, South Carolina	Graham
3182(i)	Richard B. Russell Lake, South Carolina	Barrett
3182(j)	Denison, Texas	Hall, R.
3182(j)	Denison, Texas	Cornyn
3182(j)	Denison, Texas	Hutchison
3183(a)	Idaho	Craig
3183(a)	Idaho	Simpson
3183(a)	Idaho	Crapo
3183(b)	Lake Texoma, Oklahoma	Fallin
3183(b)	Lake Taxoma, Oklahoma	Cole
3183(b)	Lake Texoma, Oklahoma	Inhofe
3183(c)	Lowell, Oregon	DeFazio
3183(d)	Old Hickory Lock and Dam, Cumberland River, Tennessee	Cooper
3183(d)	Old Hickory Lock and Dam, Cumberland River, Tennessee	Alexander
3183(e)	Lower Granite Pool, Washington	Cantwell
3183(e)	Lower Granite Pool, Washington	Murray
3183(f)	Port of Pasco, Washington	Murray
3183(f)	Port of Pasco, Washington	Cantwell
3183(f)	Port of Pasco, Washington	Hastings, D.
4001	John Glenn Great Lakes Basin Program	McCotter
4001	John Glenn Great Lakes Basin Program	Dingell
4002	Lake Erie Dredged Material Disposal Sites	Reynolds
4003	Southwestern United States Drought Study	Berkley
4004	Delaware River	Murphy, P.
4005	Eurasian Milfoil	Sanders

### Water Resources Development Act of 2007

Conference Section	Project Name	Member
4006	Fire Island, Alaska	Young, D.
4007	Knik Arm, Cook Inlet, Alaska	Young, D.
4008	Kuskokwim River, Alaska	Young, D.
4009	Nome Harbor, Alaska	Young, D.
4010	St. George Harbor, Alaska	Stevens
4010	St. George Harbor, Alaska	Young, D.
4011	Susitna River, Alaska	Young, D.
4012	Valdez, Alaska	Young, D.
4012	Valdez, Alaska	Stevens
4012	Valdez, Alaska	Murkowski
4013	Gila Bend, Maricopa, Arizona	Grijalva
4014	Searcy County, Arkansas	Berry
4015	Aliso Creek, California	Campbell
4016	Fresno, Kings, and Kern Counties, California	Costa
4017	Fruitville Avenue Railroad Bridge, Alameda, California	Boxer
4017	Fruitville Avenue Railroad Bridge, Alameda, California	Stark
4018	Los Angeles River Revitalization Study, California	Roybal-Allard
4018	Los Angeles River Revitalization Study, California	Boxer
4019	Lytle Creek, Rialto, California	Baca
4020	Mokelumne River, San Joaquin County, California	McNerney
4021	Orick, California	Thompson
4022	Shoreline Study, Oceanside, California	Boxer
4023	Rialto, Fontana and Colton Counties	Baca
4024	Sacramento River, California	Herger
4025	San Diego County, California	Hunter
4026	San Francisco Bay, Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta, California	Tauscher
4026	San Francisco Bay, Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta, California	Boxer
4026	San Francisco Bay, Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta, California	Miller, George
4026	San Francisco Bay, Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta, California	McNerney
4027	South San Francisco Bay Shoreline, California	Honda
4027	South San Francisco Bay Shoreline, California	Lofgren
4027	South San Francisco Bay Shoreline, California	Eshoo
4027	South San Francisco Bay Shoreline, California	Boxer
4028	Twentynine Palms, California	Lewis, J.
4029	Yucca Valley, California	Lewis, J.
4030	Selenium Study, California	Salazar
4030	Selenium Study, California	Allard
4031	Delaware and Christina River and Shellpot Creek, Wilmington, Delaware	Castle
4032	Delaware Inland Bays and Tributaries and Atlantic Coast, Delaware	Carper
4032	Delaware Inland Bays and Tributaries and Atlantic Coast,	Biden

**Water Resources Development Act of 2007**

<b>Conference Section</b>	<b>Project Name</b>	<b>Member</b>
	Delaware	
4033	Collier County Beaches, Florida	Mack
4034	Lower St. John's River, Florida	Brown, C.
4034	Lower St. John's River, Florida	Crenshaw
4035	Herbert Hoover Dike Supplemental Major Rehabilitation Report, Florida	Martinez
4035	Herbert Hoover Dike Supplemental Major Rehabilitation Report, Florida	Nelson
4036	Vanderbilt Beach Lagoon, Florida	Mack
4037	Meriwether County, Georgia	Westmoreland
4038	Boise River, Idaho	Crapo
4038	Boise River, Idaho	Simpson
4039	Ballard's Island Side Channel, Illinois	Weller
4040	Chicago, Illinois	Obama
4040	Chicago, Illinois	Durbin
4041	Salem, Indiana	Hill
4042	Buckhorn Lake, Kentucky	Rogers, H.
4043	Dewey Lake, Kentucky	Rogers, H.
4044	Louisville, Kentucky	Yarmouth
4045	Vidalia Port, Louisiana	Landrieu
4045	Vidalia Port, Louisiana	Vitter
4046	Fall River Harbor, Massachusetts and Rhode Island	McGovern
4046	Fall River Harbor, Massachusetts and Rhode Island	Kennedy, T.
4046	Fall River Harbor, Massachusetts and Rhode Island	Kerry
4047	Clinton River, Michigan	Knollenberg
4047	Walla Walla River Basin, Oregon	Walden
4048	Hamburg and Green Oak Townships, Michigan	Rogers, M.
4049	Lake Erie at Luna Pier, Michigan	Levin, C.
4049	Lake Erie at Luna Pier, Michigan	Stabenow
4049	Lake Erie at Luna Pier, Michigan	Dingell
4050	Duluth-Superior Harbor, Minnesota and Wisconsin	Oberstar
4051	Northeast Mississippi	Wicker
4052	Dredged Material Disposal, New Jersey	LoBiondo
4053	Bayonne, New Jersey	Sires
4054	Carteret, New Jersey	Sires
4055	Gloucester County, New Jersey	Andrews, R.
4056	Perth Amboy, New Jersey	Sires
4057	Batavia, New York	Reynolds
4058	Big Sister Creek, Evans, New York	Higgins
4059	Finger Lakes, New York	Arcuri
4060	Lake Erie Shoreline, Buffalo, New York	Higgins
4061	Newtown Creek, New York	Velazquez

### Water Resources Development Act of 2007

Conference Section	Project Name	Member
4062	Niagara River, New York	Slaughter
4063	Shore Parkway Greenway, Brooklyn, New York	Fossella
4064	Upper Delaware River Watershed, New York	Hinchey
4065	Lincoln County, North Carolina	McHenry
4066	Wilkes County, North Carolina	Foxx
4067	Town of Yadkinville, North Carolina	Burr
4067	Town of Yadkinville, North Carolina	Foxx
4068	Flood Damage Reduction, Ohio	Voinovich
4069	Lake Erie, Ohio	Kaptur
4070	Ohio River, Ohio	Voinovich
4070	Ohio River, Ohio	Wilson, C.
4071	Toledo Harbor Dredged Material Placement, Toledo, Ohio	Voinovich
4071	Toledo Harbor Dredged Material Placement, Toledo, Ohio	Kaptur
4072	Toledo Harbor, Maumee River, And Lake Channel Project, Toledo, Ohio	Kaptur
4072	Toledo Harbor, Maumee River, And Lake Channel Project, Toledo, Ohio	Voinovich
4073	Ecosystem Restoration and Fish Passage Improvements, Oregon	DeFazio
4073	Ecosystem Restoration and Fish Passage Improvements, Oregon	Blumenauer
4075	Chartiers Creek Watershed, Pennsylvania	Murphy, T.
4076	Kinzua Dam & Alleghany Reservoir, Pennsylvania	Peterson, J
4077	Western Pennsylvania Flood Damage Reduction	Murtha
4077	Western Pennsylvania Flood Damage Reduction	Altmire
4078	Williamsport, Pennsylvania	Peterson, J
4079	Yardley Borough, Pennsylvania	Murphy, P.
4080	Rio Valenciano, Juncos, Puerto Rico	Schumer
4080	Rio Valenciano, Juncos, Puerto Rico	Clinton
4080	Rio Valenciano, Juncos, Puerto Rico	Fortuno
4080	Rio Valenciano, Juncos, Puerto Rico	Menendez
4080	Rio Valenciano, Juncos, Puerto Rico	Lautenberg
4081	Woonsocket Local Protection Project, Blackstone River Basin, Rhode Island	Reed
4081	Woonsocket Local Protection Project, Blackstone River Basin, Rhode Island	Whitehouse
4082	Crooked Creek, Bennettsville, South Carolina	Spratt
4083	Broad River, York County, South Carolina	Spratt
4084	Savannah River, South Carolina and Georgia	Isakson
4085	Chattanooga, Tennessee	Wamp
4086	Cleveland, Tennessee	Wamp
4087	Cumberland River, Nashville, Tennessee	Alexander

### Water Resources Development Act of 2007

Conference Section	Project Name	Member
4087	Cumberland River, Nashville, Tennessee	Cooper
4087	Cumberland River, Nashville, Tennessee	Corker
4088	Lewis, Lawrence and Wayne Counties, Tennessee	Blackburn
4089	Wolf River and Nonconnah Creek, Memphis, Tennessee	Blackburn
4090	Abilene, Texas	Neugebauer
4091	Coastal Texas Ecosystem Protection and Restoration, Texas	Ortiz
4091	Coastal Texas Ecosystem Protection and Restoration, Texas	Paul
4092	Port of Galveston, Texas	Cornyn
4092	Port of Galveston, Texas	Hutchison
4092	Port of Galveston, Texas	Paul
4093	Grand County and Moab, Utah	Matheson
4094	Southwestern Utah	Matheson
4095	Ecosystem and Hydropower Generation Dams, Vermont	Sanders
4096	Elliot Bay Seawall, Seattle, Washington	McDermott
4096	Elliot Bay Seawall, Seattle, Washington	Larsen
4096	Elliot Bay Seawall, Seattle, Washington	Murray
4096	Elliot Bay Seawall, Seattle, Washington	Cantwell
4097	Monongahela River Basin, Northern West Virginia	Mollohan
4098	Kenosha Harbor, Wisconsin	Ryan, P.
4099	Johnsonville Dam, Johnsonville, Wisconsin	Kohl
4099	Johnsonville Dam, Johnsonville, Wisconsin	Petri
4100	Wauwatosa, Wisconsin	Moore, G.
4101	Debris Removal	Vitter
4101	Debris Removal	Inhofe
5001(a)(01)	Manatee Harbor Basin, Florida	Buchanan
5001(a)(01)	Manatee Harbor Basin, Florida	Castor
5001(a)(02)	Tampa Harbor, Sparkman Channel and Davis Island, Florida	Nelson
5001(a)(03)	Bayou LaFourche Channel, Port Fourchon	Melancon
5001(a)(03)	West Turning Basin, Canaveral Harbor, Florida	Weldon, D.
5001(a)(04)	Calcasieu River at Devil's Elbow, Louisiana	Boustany
5001(a)(06)	Pidgeon Industrial Harbor, Pidgeon Industrial Park, Memphis Harbor, Tennessee	Blackburn
5001(a)(07)	Houston Ship Channel, Bayport Cruise Channel and Bayport Cruise Turning Basin, Texas	Cornyn
5001(a)(07)	Houston Ship Channel, Bayport Cruise Channel and Bayport Cruise Turning Basin, Texas	Hutchison
5001(a)(08)	Pix Bayou Navigation Channel, Chambers County, Texas	Paul
5001(a)(09)	Jacintoport Channel at Houston Ship Channel, Texas	Hutchison
5001(a)(09)	Jacintoport Channel at Houston Ship Channel, Texas	Cornyn
5001(a)(10)	Racine Harbor, Wisconsin	Ryan, P.
5001(a)(10)	Racine Harbor, Wisconsin	Kohl
5002(d)(01)	Charlotte Harbor watershed, Florida	Buchanan



### Water Resources Development Act of 2007

Conference Section	Project Name	Member
5002(d)(02)	Georgia Watershed Assessment Plan	Scott
5002(d)(02)	Georgia Watershed Assessment Plan	Price
5002(d)(03)	Kinkaid Lake, Jaskson County, Illinois	Costello
5002(d)(04)	Amite River River Basin, Louisiana	Baker
5002(d)(05)	East Atchafalaya Basin, Louisiana	Baker
5002(d)(06)	Red River Watershed, Louisiana	McCrery
5002(d)(07)	Taunton River Basin, Massachusetts	Lynch
5002(d)(07)	Taunton River Basin, Massachusetts	Kerry
5002(d)(07)	Taunton River Basin, Massachusetts	Kennedy, T.
5002(d)(08)	Marlboro Township, New Jersey	Holt
5002(d)(08)	Marlboro Township, New Jersey	Pallone
5002(d)(09)	Esopus, Plattekill & Rondout Creeks, Greene, Sullivan, and Ulster Counties, New York	Hinchey
5002(d)(10)	Greenwood Lake Watershed, New York and New Jersey	Garrett
5002(d)(10)	Greenwood Lake Watershed, New York and New Jersey	Hall, J.
5002(d)(11)	Long Island Sound Watershed, New York	Bishop, T.
5002(d)(12)	Ramapo River Watershed, New York	Hall, J.
5002(d)(13)	Tuscarawas River Basin, Ohio	Sutton
5002(d)(14)	Western Lake Erie Basin, Ohio	Kaptur
5002(d)(15)	Western Pennsylvania Watershed	Altmire
5002(d)(16)	Otter Creek, Pennsylvania	Murphy, P.
5002(d)(17)	Unami Creek Watershed, Pennsylvania	Murphy, P.
5002(d)(18)	Sauk River Basin, Washington	Larsen
5003(a)(01)	Fish Creek Dam, Blaine County, Idaho	Simpson
5003(a)(02)	Keith Creek Dam, Rockford, Illinois	Obama
5003(a)(02)	Keith Creek Dam, Rockford, Illinois	Durbin
5003(a)(03)	Mount Zion Mill Pond Dam, Fulton County, Indiana	Lugar
5003(a)(03)	Mount Zion Mill Pond Dam, Fulton County, Indiana	Bayh
5003(a)(04)	Congers Lake Dam, Rocklan County, New York	Clinton
5003(a)(04)	Hamilton Dam, Flint River, Flint, Michigan	Levin, C.
5003(a)(04)	Hamilton Dam, Flint River, Flint, Michigan	Stabenow
5003(a)(04)	Hamilton Dam, Flint River, Flint, Michigan	Kildee
5003(a)(05)	Congers Lake Dam, Rockland County, New York	Clinton
5003(a)(06)	Lake Lucille Dam, New City, New York	Clinton
5003(a)(07)	Peconic River Dams, Town of Riverhead, New York	Clinton
5003(a)(08)	Pine Grove Lakes Dam, Sloatsburg, New York	Clinton
5003(a)(09)	State Dam, Auburn, New York	Arcuri
5003(a)(10)	Whaley Lake, Town of Pawling, New York	Hall, J.
5003(a)(11)	Brightwood Dam, Concord Township, Ohio	Voinovich
5003(a)(12)	Ingham Spring Dam, Solebury Township, Pennsylvania	Specter
5003(a)(12)	Ingham Spring Dam, Solebury Township, Pennsylvania	Casey

### Water Resources Development Act of 2007

Conference Section	Project Name	Member
5003(a)(12)	Ingham Spring Dam, Solebury Township, Pennsylvania	Specter
5003(a)(13)	Leaser Lake Dam, Lehigh County	Dent
5003(a)(14)	Stillwater Dam, Monroe County, Pennsylvania	Specter
5003(a)(14)	Stillwater Dam, Monroe County, Pennsylvania	Specter
5003(a)(14)	Stillwater Dam, Monroe County, Pennsylvania	Casey
5003(a)(15)	Wissahickon Dam, Montgomery Couty, Pennsylvania	Schwartz
5004(b)	Arkansas River Levees	Snyder
5005(a)(29)	Ascension Parish, Louisiana	Melancon
5005(a)(29)	Ascension Parish, Louisiana	Baker
5005(a)(30)	East Baton Rouge Parish, Louisiana	Baker
5005(a)(31)	Iberville, Louisiana	Baker
5005(a)(32)	Livingston, Louisiana	Baker
5005(a)(33)	Pointe Coupee	Baker
5006(a)(09)	Hidalgo County	Hinojosa
5006(a)(10)	Marana	Grijalva
5006(a)(10)	Marana	Giffords
5006(a)(11)	East Arkansas Enterprise Community	Pryor
5006(a)(11)	East Arkansas Enterprise Community	Lincoln
5006(a)(11)	East Arkansas Enterprise Community, Arkansas	Everett
5006(a)(11)	East Arkansas Enterprise Community	Berry
5006(a)(12)	Desert Hot Springs	Lewis, J.
5006(a)(13)	City of Huntington Beach	Rohrabacher
5006(a)(14)	City of Inglewood	Waters
5006(a)(15)	Los Osos	Capps
5006(a)(16)	Norwalk, California	Napolitano
5006(a)(17)	Park City	Bishop, R.
5007(01)	Whittier, Alaska	Stevens
5007(01)	Whittier, Alaska	Young, D.
5007(02)	Laguna Creek, California	Boxer
5007(03)	Daytona Beach Shore Protection Project	Mica
5007(04)	Flagler Beach Shore Protection Project	Mica
5007(05)	St. Johns County Shore Protection Project	Mica
5007(06)	Chenier Plain, Louisiana	Vitter
5007(06)	Chenier Plain, Louisiana	Boustany
5007(07)	False River	Baker
5007(08)	North River, Peabody	Tierney
5007(08)	North River, Peabody	Kennedy, T.
5007(08)	North River, Peabody	Kerry
5007(09)	Fulmer Creek	Arcuri
5007(10)	Moyer Creek	Arcuri
5007(11)	Steele Creek	Arcuri

### Water Resources Development Act of 2007

Conference Section	Project Name	Member
5007(12)	Oriskany Wildlife Management Area, Rome	Arcuri
5007(13)	Whitney Point	Arcuri
5007(14)	Chenango Lake, Chenango County	Arcuri
5008(a)(01)	Little Red River Irrigation District	Pryor
5008(a)(01)	Little Red River Irrigation District	Snyder
5008(a)(01)	Little Red River Irrigation District	Lincoln
5008(a)(02)	Fountain Creek, North of Pueblo, Colorado	Salazar, K.
5008(a)(03)	Egmont Key, Florida	Young, C.W.
5008(a)(03)	Egmont Key, Florida	Castor
5008(a)(04)	Sabine-Neches Waterway	Poe
5008(a)(04)	Sabine-Neches Waterway	Hutchison
5008(a)(04)	Sabine-Neches Waterway	Cornyn
5008(a)(05)	University Lakes, Baton Rouge (City Park)	Baker
5009	Southeastern Water Resources Assessment	Duncan
5010	Missouri & Middle Mississippi Rivers Enhancement	Grassley
5010	Missouri & Middle Mississippi Rivers Enhancement	Bond
5010	Missouri & Middle Mississippi Rivers Enhancement	Carnahan
5010	Missouri & Middle Mississippi Rivers Enhancement	McCaskill
5010	Missouri & Middle Mississippi Rivers Enhancement	Graves
5010	Francis E. Walter Dam	Schwartz
5010	Missouri & Middle Mississippi Rivers Enhancement	Clay
5011	Great Lakes Fishery And Ecosystem Restoration Program	Levin
5011	Great Lakes Fishery And Ecosystem Restoration Program	Dingell
5011	Great Lakes Fishery And Ecosystem Restoration Program	McCotter
5011	Great Lakes Fishery And Ecosystem Restoration Program	Clinton
5011	Great Lakes Fishery And Ecosystem Restoration Program	Specter
5011	Great Lakes Fishery And Ecosystem Restoration Program	Kohl
5011	Great Lakes Fishery And Ecosystem Restoration Program	Voinovich
5011	Great Lakes Fishery And Ecosystem Restoration Program	Bayh
5011	Great Lakes Fishery And Ecosystem Restoration Program	Stabenow
5011	Great Lakes Fishery And Ecosystem Restoration Program	Durbin
5011	Great Lakes Fishery And Ecosystem Restoration Program	Brown
5011	Great Lakes Fishery And Ecosystem Restoration Program	Casey
5011	Great Lakes Fishery And Ecosystem Restoration Program	Schumer
5012	Great Lakes Remedial Action Plans And Sediment Remediation	Dingell
5012	Great Lakes Remedial Action Plans And Sediment Remediation	Specter
5012	Great Lakes Remedial Action Plans And Sediment Remediation	McCotter
5012	Great Lakes Remedial Action Plans And Sediment Remediation	Brown

**Water Resources Development Act of 2007**

<b>Conference Section</b>	<b>Project Name</b>	<b>Member</b>
5012	Great Lakes Remedial Action Plans And Sediment Remediation	Levin
5012	Great Lakes Remedial Action Plans And Sediment Remediation	Kohl
5012	Great Lakes Remedial Action Plans And Sediment Remediation	Voinovich
5012	Great Lakes Remedial Action Plans And Sediment Remediation	Bayh
5012	Great Lakes Remedial Action Plans And Sediment Remediation	Schumer
5012	Great Lakes Remedial Action Plans And Sediment Remediation	Durbin
5012	Great Lakes Remedial Action Plans And Sediment Remediation	Clinton
5012	Great Lakes Remedial Action Plans And Sediment Remediation	Casey
5012	Great Lakes Remedial Action Plans And Sediment Remediation	Stabenow
5013	Great Lakes Tributary Models	Brown
5013	Great Lakes Tributary Models	McCotter
5013	Great Lakes Tributary Models	Dingell
5013	Great Lakes Tributary Models	Casey
5013	Great Lakes Tributary Models	Durbin
5013	Great Lakes Tributary Models	Clinton
5013	Great Lakes Tributary Models	Stabenow
5013	Great Lakes Tributary Models	Schumer
5013	Great Lakes Tributary Models	Bayh
5013	Great Lakes Tributary Models	Voinovich
5013	Great Lakes Tributary Models	Levin
5013	Great Lakes Tributary Models	Specter
5013	Great Lakes Tributary Models	Kohl
5014(a)	Great Lakes Navigation	Oberstar
5014(b)	Great Lakes Pilot Project	Oberstar
5015	St. Lawrence Seaway	Voinovich
5015	St. Lawrence Seaway	Oberstar
5016	Upper Mississippi River Dispersal Barrier Project	Klobuchar
5016	Upper Mississippi River Dispersal Barrier Project	Oberstar
5016	Upper Mississippi River Dispersal Barrier Project	Coleman
5018	Missouri River And Tributaries, Mitigation, Recovery, And Restoration, Iowa, Kansas, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, North Dakota, South Dakota, And Wyoming	Harkin
5018	Missouri River And Tributaries, Mitigation, Recovery, And Restoration, Iowa, Kansas, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, North Dakota, South Dakota, And Wyoming	Nelson

**Water Resources Development Act of 2007**

Conference Section	Project Name	Member
5018	Missouri River And Tributaries, Mitigation, Recovery, And Restoration, Iowa, Kansas, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, North Dakota, South Dakota, And Wyoming	Thune
5018	Missouri River And Tributaries, Mitigation, Recovery, And Restoration, Iowa, Kansas, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, North Dakota, South Dakota, And Wyoming	Hagel
5018	Missouri River And Tributaries, Mitigation, Recovery, And Restoration, Iowa, Kansas, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, North Dakota, South Dakota, And Wyoming	Conrad
5018	Missouri River And Tributaries, Mitigation, Recovery, And Restoration, Iowa, Kansas, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, North Dakota, South Dakota, And Wyoming	Baucus
5018	Missouri River And Tributaries, Mitigation, Recovery, And Restoration, Iowa, Kansas, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, North Dakota, South Dakota, And Wyoming	Tester
5019	Delaware, Susquehanna, and Potomac River Basins, Delaware, Maryland, Pennsylvania, and Virginia	Specter
5019	Susquehanna, Delaware, And Potomac River Basins, Delaware, Maryland, Pennsylvania, And Virginia	Biden
5019	Susquehanna, Delaware, And Potomac River Basins, Delaware, Maryland, Pennsylvania, And Virginia	Warner
5019	Delaware, Susquehanna, and Potomac River Basins, Delaware, Maryland, Pennsylvania, and Virginia	Hinchey
5019	Susquehanna, Delaware, And Potomac River Basins, Delaware, Maryland, Pennsylvania, And Virginia	Casey
5019	Susquehanna, Delaware, And Potomac River Basins, Delaware, Maryland, Pennsylvania, And Virginia	Carper
5019	Delaware, Susquehanna, and Potomac River Basins, Delaware, Maryland, Pennsylvania, and Virginia	Casey
5019	Delaware, Susquehanna, and Potomac River Basins, Delaware, Maryland, Pennsylvania, and Virginia	Carper
5019	Delaware, Susquehanna, and Potomac River Basins, Delaware, Maryland, Pennsylvania, and Virginia	Arcuri
5019	Delaware, Susquehanna, and Potomac River Basins, Delaware, Maryland, Pennsylvania, and Virginia	Gillibrand
5019	Delaware, Susquehanna, and Potomac River Basins, Delaware, Maryland, Pennsylvania, and Virginia	Fallin
5019	Delaware, Susquehanna, and Potomac River Basins, Delaware, Maryland, Pennsylvania, and Virginia	Holden
5019	Delaware, Susquehanna, and Potomac River Basins, Delaware, Maryland, Pennsylvania, and Virginia	Murphy, P.
5019	Delaware, Susquehanna, and Potomac River Basins, Delaware, Maryland, Pennsylvania, and Virginia	Schwartz
5019	Delaware, Susquehanna, and Potomac River Basins, Delaware, Maryland, Pennsylvania, and Virginia	Platts
5019	Susquehanna, Delaware, And Potomac River Basins, Delaware, Maryland, Pennsylvania, And Virginia	Specter

**Water Resources Development Act of 2007**

<b>Conference Section</b>	<b>Project Name</b>	<b>Member</b>
5019	Delaware, Susquehanna, and Potomac River Basins, Delaware, Maryland, Pennsylvania, and Virginia	Biden
5019	Delaware, Susquehanna, and Potomac River Basins, Delaware, Maryland, Pennsylvania, and Virginia	Warner
5019	Delaware, Susquehanna, and Potomac River Basins, Delaware, Maryland, Pennsylvania, and Virginia	Dent
5020	Chesapeake Bay Environmental Restoration And Protection Program, Maryland, Pennsylvania, And Virginia	Webb
5020	Chesapeake Bay Environmental Restoration And Protection Program, Maryland, Pennsylvania, And Virginia	Specter
5020	Chesapeake Bay Environmental Restoration And Protection Program, Maryland, Pennsylvania, And Virginia	Cardin
5020	Chesapeake Bay Environmental Restoration And Protection Program, Maryland, Pennsylvania, And Virginia	Casey
5020	Chesapeake Bay Environmental Restoration And Protection Program, Maryland, Pennsylvania, And Virginia	Mikulski
5020	Chesapeake Bay Environmental Restoration and Protection Program, Maryland, Pennsylvania, And Virginia	Gilchrest
5020	Chesapeake Bay Environmental Restoration and Protection Program, Maryland, Pennsylvania, And Virginia	Sarbanes
5020	Chesapeake Bay Environmental Restoration and Protection Program, Maryland, Pennsylvania, And Virginia	Hoyer
5020	Chesapeake Bay Environmental Restoration And Protection Program, Maryland, Pennsylvania, And Virginia	Warner
5021	Chesapeake Bay Oyster Restoration, Virginia and Maryland	Webb
5021	Chesapeake Bay Oyster Restoration, Virginia and Maryland	Mikulski
5021	Chesapeake Bay Oyster Restoration, Virginia and Maryland	Cardin
5021	Chesapeake Bay Oyster Restoration, Virginia and Maryland	Webb
5022	Hypoxia Assessment	Pryce
5023	Potomac River Watershed Assessment and Tributary Strategy and Evaluation and Monitoring Program	Moran
5024	Lock and Dam Security	Duncan
5025	Research and Development Program for Columbia and Snake River Salmon Survival	Baird
5025	Research and Development Program for Columbia and Snake River Salmon Survival	Blumenauer
5027	Rehabilitation	Oberstar
5028	Auburn, Alabama	Rogers, Mike D.
5029	Pinhook Creek, Huntsville, Alabama	Cramer
5030	Alaska	Murkowski
5030	Alaska	Stevens
5030	Alaska	Young, D.
5031	Barrow, Alaska	Young, D.
5032	Lowell Creek Tunnel, Seward, Alaska	Young, D.

### Water Resources Development Act of 2007

Conference Section	Project Name	Member
5033	St. Herman and St. Paul Harbors, Alaska	Stevens
5033	St. Herman and St. Paul Harbors, Alaska	Murkowski
5033	St. Herman and St. Paul Harbors, Alaska	Young, D.
5034	Tanana River, Alaska	Young, D.
5035	Wrangell Harbor	Murkowski
5035	Wrangell Harbor	Young, D.
5036	Augusta and Clarendon, Arkansas	Lincoln
5036	Augusta and Clarendon, Arkansas	Berry
5036	Augusta and Clarendon, Arkansas	Pryor
5037	Des Arc Levee Protection	Berry
5037	Des Arc Levee Protection	Lincoln
5037	Des Arc Levee Protection	Pryor
5038	Loomis Landing	Berry
5039	California	Boxer
5040	Calaveras River and Littlejohn Creek and Tributaries, Stockton, California	Cardoza
5040	Calaveras River and Littlejohn Creek and Tributaries, Stockton, California	McNerney
5040	Calaveras River and Littlejohn Creek and Tributaries, Stockton, California	Boxer
5041	Cambria, California	Capps
5042	Contra Costa Canal, Oakley and Knightsen, California; Mallard Slough, Pittsburg, California	Miller, George
5042	Contra Costa Canal, Oakley and Knightsen, California; Mallard Slough, Pittsburg, California	Tauscher
5042	Contra Costa Canal, Oakley and Knightsen, California; Mallard Slough, Pittsburg, California	McNerney
5043	Dana Point Harbor, California	Campbell
5043	East San Joaquin County, California	McNerney
5045	Eastern Santa Clara River Basin, California	McKeon
5046	LA-3 Dredged Material Ocean Disposal Site Designation, California	Boxer
5047	Lancaster, California	McCarthy
5048	Los Osos, California	Capps
5049	Pine Flat Dam Fish & Wildlife Habitat	Nunes
5049	Pine Flat Dam Fish & Wildlife Habitat	Radanovich
5049	Pine Flat Dam Fish & Wildlife Habitat	Boxer
5049	Pine Flat Dam Fish & Wildlife Habitat	Costa
5050	Raymond Basin, Six Basin, Chino Basin, and San Gabriel Basin	Schiff
5050	Raymond Basin, Six Basin, Chino Basin, and San Gabriel Basin	Dreier
5051	San Francisco, California	Pelosi

### Water Resources Development Act of 2007

Conference Section	Project Name	Member
5052	San Francisco, California, Waterfront Area	Boxer
5052	San Francisco, California, Waterfront Area	Pelosi
5053	San Pablo Bay, California, Watershed and Suisun Marsh Ecosystem Restoration	Miller, George
5053	San Pablo Bay, California, Watershed and Suisun Marsh Ecosystem Restoration	Tauscher
5053	San Pablo Bay, California, Watershed and Suisun Marsh Ecosystem Restoration	Thompson
5053	San Pablo Bay, California, Watershed and Suisun Marsh Ecosystem Restoration	Boxer
5054	St. Helena, California	Boxer
5054	St. Helena, California	Thompson
5055	Upper Calaveras River, Stockton, California	McNerney
5055	Upper Calaveras River, Stockton, California	Cardoza
5055	Upper Calaveras River, Stockton, California	Boxer
5056	Rio Grande Environmental Management Program	Domenici
5056	Rio Grande Environmental Management Program	Bingaman
5056	Rio Grande Environmental Management Program, Colorado, New Mexico, And Texas	Salazar
5056	Rio Grande Environmental Management Program, Colorado, New Mexico, And Texas	Domenici
5056	Rio Grande Environmental Management Program	Udall, T.
5056	Rio Grande Environmental Management Program, Colorado, New Mexico, And Texas	Hutchison
5056	Rio Grande Environmental Management Program	Pearce
5056	Rio Grande Environmental Management Program, Colorado, New Mexico, And Texas	Bingaman
5056	Rio Grande Environmental Management Program	Wilson, H.
5056	Rio Grande Environmental Management Program, Colorado, New Mexico, And Texas	Cornyn
5057	Charles Hervey Townshend Breakwater, New Haven Harbor, Connecticut	Dodd
5057	Charles Hervey Townshend Breakwater, New Haven Harbor, Connecticut	Lieberman
5057	Charles Hervey Townshend Breakwater, New Haven Harbor, Connecticut	DeLauro
5058	Stamford, Connecticut	Lieberman
5059	Delmarva Conservation Corridor	Gilchrest
5059	Delmarva Conservation Corridor	Castle
5059	Delmarva Conservation Corridor	Carper
5059	Delmarva Conservation Corridor	Biden
5060	Anacostia River, District of Columbia and Maryland	Warner
5060	Anacostia River, District of Columbia and Maryland	Cardin
5060	District of Columbia and Maryland	Norton



### Water Resources Development Act of 2007

Conference Section	Project Name	Member
5060	Anacostia River, District of Columbia and Maryland	Mikulski
5061	East Central and Northeast Florida	Mica
5062	Florida Keys Water Quality Improvement	Ros-Lehtinen
5063	Lake Worth, Florida	Klein
5064	Big Creek, Georgia, Watershed Management & Restoration Program	Price
5064	Big Creek, Georgia, Watershed Management & Restoration Program	Isakson
5064	Big Creek, Georgia, Watershed Management & Restoration Program	Chambliss
5065	Metropolitan North Georgia Water Planning District	Isakson
5065	Metropolitan North Georgia Water Planning District	Chambliss
5066	Savannah, Georgia	Isakson
5067	Idaho, Montana, Rural Nevada, New Mexico, Rural Utah, And Wyoming	Crapo
5067	Idaho, Montana, Rural Nevada, New Mexico, Rural Utah, And Wyoming	Ensign
5067	Idaho, Montana, Rural Nevada, New Mexico, Rural Utah, And Wyoming	Bennett
5067	Idaho, Montana, Rural Nevada, New Mexico, Rural Utah, And Wyoming	Reid
5067	Idaho, Montana, Rural Nevada, New Mexico, Rural Utah, And Wyoming	Craig
5067	Idaho, Montana, Rural Nevada, New Mexico, Rural Utah, And Wyoming	Thomas
5068	Riley Creek Recreation Area	Simpson
5069	Floodplain Mapping, Little Calumet River	Jackson
5070	Reconstruction of Illinois Flood Protection Projects	Shimkus
5070	Reconstruction of Illinois Flood Protection Projects	Bond
5070	Reconstruction of Illinois Flood Protection Projects	McCaskill
5070	Reconstruction of Illinois Flood Protection Projects	Obama
5070	Reconstruction of Illinois Flood Protection Projects	Durbin
5070	Reconstruction of Illinois Flood Protection Projects	Costello
5071	Illinois River Basin Restoration	Obama
5071	Illinois River Basin Restoration	Durbin
5071	Illinois River Basin Restoration	LaHood
5072	Promontory Point Third-Party Review, Chicago Shoreline, Chicago, Illinois	Obama
5072	Promontory Point Third-Party Review, Chicago Shoreline, Chicago, Illinois	Jackson
5073	Kaskaskia River Basin, Illinois, Restoration	Costello
5073	Kaskaskia River Basin, Illinois, Restoration	Durbin
5073	Kaskaskia River Basin, Illinois, Restoration	Shimkus
5074	Southwest Illinois	Costello

### Water Resources Development Act of 2007

Conference Section	Project Name	Member
5075	Calumet Region	Visclosky
5076	Floodplain Mapping, Missouri River	King, S.
5077	Paducah, Kentucky	Whitfield
5078	Southern & Eastern Kentucky	Rogers, H.
5079	Winchester, Kentucky	Chandler
5080	Baton Rouge, Louisiana	Baker
5081	Calcasieu Ship Channel, Louisiana	Boustany
5082	East Atchafalaya Basin and Amite River Basin Region	Baker
5083	Inner Harbor Navigation Canal Lock Project, Louisiana	Vitter
5084	Lake Pontchartrain, Louisiana	Vitter
5085	Southeast Louisiana Region, Louisiana	Vitter
5086	West Baton Rouge Parish	Baker
5087	Charlestown, Maryland	Gilchrest
5088	St. Mary's River, Maryland	Hoyer
5088	St. Mary's River, Maryland	Cardin
5089	Massachusetts Dredged Material Disposal Sites	Delahunt
5090	Ontonagon Harbor, Michigan	Stupak
5091	Crookston	Peterson, C.
5092	Garrison and Kathio Township	Klobuchar
5092	Garrison and Kathio Township	Oberstar
5093	Itasca County	Coleman
5093	Itasca County	Oberstar
5094	Minneapolis	Klobuchar
5094	Minneapolis	Ellison
5095	Northeastern Minnesota	Klobuchar
5095	Northeastern Minnesota	Oberstar
5096	Wild Rice River, Minnesota	Peterson, C.
5096	Wild Rice River, Minnesota	Coleman
5096	Wild Rice River, Minnesota	Klobuchar
5097	Mississippi	Cochran
5097	Mississippi	Lott
5098	Harrison, Hancock & Jackson Counties, Mississippi	Taylor
5099	Mississippi River, Missouri and Illinois	Costello
5100	St. Louis, Missouri	Carnahan
5101	St. Louis Regional Greenways, St. Louis, Missouri	Bond
5102	Missoula, Montana	Baucus
5103	St. Mary Project, Glacier County, Montana	Tester
5103	St. Mary Project, Glacier County, Montana	Baucus
5104	Lower Platte River Watershed	Hagel
5104	Lower Platte River Watershed	Fortenberry
5104	Lower Platte River Watershed	Nelson

### Water Resources Development Act of 2007

Conference Section	Project Name	Member
5105	Hackensack Meadowlands Area, New Jersey	Rothman
5106	Atlantic Coast of New York	Bishop, T.
5107	College Point, New York City	Crowley
5108	Flushing Bay and Creek	Crowley
5109	Hudson River	Nadler
5110	Mount Morris Dam	Reynolds
5111	North Hempstead and Glen Cove North Shore Watershed Restoration, New York	Clinton
5112	Rochester, New York	Clinton
5113	North Carolina	Burr
5114	Stanly County, North Carolina	Burr
5114	Stanly County, North Carolina	Hayes
5115	John H. Kerr Dam and Reservoir, North Carolina	Butterfield
5116	Cincinnati, Ohio	Chabot
5116	Cincinnati, Ohio	Schmidt
5117	Ohio River Basin Environmental Management	Lugar
5117	Ohio River Basin Environmental Management	Rahall
5118	Toussaint River Navigation Project, Carroll Township, Ohio	Kapture
5118	Toussaint River Navigation Project, Carroll Township, Ohio	Voinovich
5119	Statewide Comprehensive Water Planning, Oklahoma	Inhofe
5120	Fern Ridge Dam, Oregon	DeFazio
5121	Allegheny County, Pennsylvania	Doyle
5122	Clinton County, Pennsylvania	Peterson, J
5123	Kehly Run Dams, Pennsylvania	Holden
5124	Lehigh River, Lehigh County, Pennsylvania	Dent
5125	Northeastern Pennsylvania	Carney
5126	Upper Susquehanna River Basin, Pennsylvania and New York	Arcuri
5126	Upper Susquehanna River Basin, Pennsylvania and New York	Hinchey
5126	Upper Susquehanna River Basin, Pennsylvania and New York	Clinton
5126	Upper Susquehanna River Basin, Pennsylvania and New York	Casey
5126	Upper Susquehanna River Basin, Pennsylvania and New York	Specter
5127	Cano Martin Pena, San Juan, Puerto Rico	Menendez
5127	Cano Martin Pena, San Juan, Puerto Rico	Lautenberg
5127	Cano Martin Pena, San Juan, Puerto Rico	Schumer
5127	Cano Martin Pena, San Juan, Puerto Rico	Clinton
5127	Cano Martin Pena, San Juan, Puerto Rico	Fortuno
5128	Lake Marion and Moultrie, South Carolina	Clyburn
5129	Cheyenne River Sioux Tribe, Lower Brule Sioux Tribe, and Terrestrial Wildlife Habitat Restoration, South Dakota	Herseth
5129	Cheyenne River Sioux Tribe, Lower Brule Sioux Tribe, and Terrestrial Wildlife Habitat Restoration, South Dakota	Thune
5130	East Tennessee	Duncan

### Water Resources Development Act of 2007

Conference Section	Project Name	Member
5131	Fritz Landing, Tennessee	Tanner
5132	J. Percy Priest Dam and Reservoir, Tennessee	Gordon
5133	Nashville, Tennessee	Alexander
5133	Nashville, Tennessee	Corker
5134	Nonconnah Weir, Memphis, Tennessee	Alexander
5134	Nonconnah Weir, Memphis, Tennessee	Blackburn
5135	Tennessee River Partnership	Duncan
5136	Town Creek, Lenoir City, Tennessee	Duncan
5137	Upper Mississippi Embayment, Tennessee, Arkansas, and Mississippi	Berry
5137	Upper Mississippi Embayment, Tennessee, Arkansas, and Mississippi	Cohen
5137	Upper Mississippi Embayment, Tennessee, Arkansas, and Mississippi	Blackburn
5138	Texas	Hutchison
5138	Texas	Cornyn
5139	Bosque River Watershed, Texas	Edwards
5140	Dallas County Region	Johnson, E.B.
5141	Dallas Floodway	Hutchison
5141	Dallas Floodway	Cornyn
5141	Dallas Floodway	Johnson, E.B.
5141	Dallas Floodway	Sessions
5142	Harris County	Cornyn
5142	Harris County	Hutchison
5142	Harris County	Culberson
5143	Johnson Creek, Arlington, Texas	Barton
5143	Johnson Creek, Arlington, Texas	Cornyn
5143	Johnson Creek, Arlington, Texas	Hutchison
5144	Onion Creek, Texas	Doggett
5144	Onion Creek, Texas	Cornyn
5145	Connecticut River Dams, Vermont	Sanders
5146	Lake Champlain Canal, Vermont and New York	Sanders
5146	Lake Champlain Canal, Vermont and New York	Clinton
5147	Dyke Marsh, Fairfax County, Virginia	Moran
5147	Dyke Marsh, Fairfax County, Virginia	Webb
5148	Eastern Shore and Southwest Virginia	Webb
5148	Eastern Shore and Southwest Virginia	Boucher
5149	James River, Virginia	Warner
5149	James River, Virginia	Webb
5150	Baker Bay and Ilwaco Harbor, Washington	Murray
5150	Baker Bay and Ilwaco Harbor, Washington	Cantwell
5150	Baker Bay and Ilwaco Harbor, Washington	Baird

### Water Resources Development Act of 2007

Conference Section	Project Name	Member
5151	Hamilton Island Campground, Washington	Baird
5152	Erosion Control, Puget Island, Wahkiakum County, Washington	Murray
5152	Erosion Control, Puget Island, Wahkiakum County, Washington	Cantwell
5152	Erosion Control, Puget Island, Wahkiakum County, Washington	Wyden
5152	Erosion Control, Puget Island, Wahkiakum County, Washington	Baird
5153	Willapa Bay, Washington	Baird
5154	West Virginia and Pennsylvania Flood Control	Murtha
5154	West Virginia and Pennsylvania Flood Control	Mollohan
5154	West Virginia and Pennsylvania Flood Control	Doyle
5155	Central West Virginia	Capito
5155	Central West Virginia	Capito
5156	Southern West Virginia	Rahall
5157(12)	Perris, California	Issa
5157(13)	Thornton Reservoir, Cook County, Illinois	Jackson
5157(13)	Thornton Reservoir, Cook County, Illinois	Obama
5157(13)	Thornton Reservoir, Cook County, Illinois	Durbin
5157(13)	Thornton Reservoir, Cook County, Illinois	Kirk
5157(13)	Thornton Reservoir, Cook County, Illinois	Rush
5157(14)	Larose to Golden Meadow, Louisiana	Melancon
5157(15)	Buffalo Bayou, Texas	Hutchison
5157(15)	Buffalo Bayou, Texas	Cornyn
5157(15)	Buffalo Bayou, Texas	Culberson
5157(16)	Halls Bayou, Texas	Cornyn
5157(16)	Halls Bayou, Texas	Hutchison
5157(16)	Halls Bayou, Texas	Green, G.
5157(17)	Menomenee Rive Watershed, Wisconsin	Kohl
5158(001)	Jackson County, Mississippi	Cochran
5158(001)	Jackson County, Mississippi	Lott
5158(072)	Charleston, South Carolina	Brown, H.
5158(078)	St. Clair County	Bachus
5158(079)	Crawford County, Arkansas	Boozman
5158(080)	Alameda and Contra Costa Counties	Tauscher
5158(080)	Alameda and Contra Costa Counties	Miller
5158(081)	Aliso Creek, California	Campbell
5158(082)	Amador County, California	Boxer
5158(083)	Arcadia, Sierra Madre and Upland	Dreier
5158(084)	Big Bear Area Regional Wastewater Agency	Lewis, J.
5158(085)	Brawley Colonia	Filner
5158(086)	Calaveras County, California	Boxer
5158(087)	Contra Costa Water District	Tauscher
5158(087)	Contra Costa Water District	Miller, George

### Water Resources Development Act of 2007

Conference Section	Project Name	Member
5158(087)	Contra Costa Water District	McNerney
5158(088)	East Bay, San Francisco, and Santa Clara Areas	Eshoo
5158(088)	East Bay, San Francisco, and Santa Clara Areas	Tauscher
5158(088)	East Bay, San Francisco, and Santa Clara Areas	Miller, George
5158(088)	East Bay, San Francisco, and Santa Clara Areas	Lofgren
5158(088)	East Bay, San Francisco, and Santa Clara Areas	McNerney
5158(088)	East Bay, San Francisco, and Santa Clara Areas	Honda
5158(089)	East Palo Alto, California	Boxer
5158(090)	Imperial County	Filner
5158(091)	La Habra, California	Miller, Gary
5158(092)	La Mirada, California	Boxer
5158(093)	Los Angeles County, California	Miller, Gary
5158(093)	Los Angeles County, California	Waxman
5158(093)	Los Angeles County, California	Boxer
5158(095)	Malibu, California	Boxer
5158(095)	Malibu, California	Waxman
5158(096)	Montebello, California	Boxer
5158(097)	New River, California	Hunter
5158(098)	Orange County, California	Miller, Gary
5158(099)	Port Of Stockton, Stockton, California	Boxer
5158(100)	Perris, California	Boxer
5158(101)	San Bernadino County, California	Miller, Gary
5158(102)	Santa Clara	McNerney
5158(102)	Santa Clara	Lofgren
5158(102)	Santa Clara	Honda
5158(102)	Santa Clara	Eshoo
5158(103)	Santa Monica, California	Boxer
5158(103)	Santa Monica, California	Waxman
5158(104)	Southern Los Angeles County, California	[Millender-McDonald]
5158(105)	Stockton, California	Cardoza
5158(105)	Stockton, California	McNerney
5158(106)	Sweetwater Reservoir, San Diego County, California	Filner
5158(107)	Whittier, California	Miller, Gary
5158(108)	Arkansas Valley Conduit, Colorado	Salazar, J.
5158(108)	Arkansas Valley Conduit, Colorado	Salazar, K.
5158(109)	Boulder County, Colorado	Salazar, K.
5158(110)	Montezuma and La Plata Counties, Colorado	Salazar, J.
5158(111)	Otero, Bent, Crowley, Kiowa, and Prowers Counties, Colorado	Salazar, J.
5158(112)	Pueblo and Otero Counties, Colorado	Musgrave
5158(113)	Enfield, Connecticut	Lieberman

### Water Resources Development Act of 2007

Conference Section	Project Name	Member
5158(114)	Ledyard and Montville, Connecticut	Courtney
5158(115)	New Haven, Connecticut	Lieberman
5158(116)	Norwalk, Connecticut	Lieberman
5158(117)	Plainville, Connecticut	Lieberman
5158(118)	Southington, Connecticut	Larson
5158(118)	Southington, Connecticut	Lieberman
5158(119)	Anacostia River	Hoyer
5158(119)	Anacostia River	Norton
5158(120)	Washington, District	Norton
5158(121)	Charlotte County	Mack
5158(122)	Charlotte, Lee & Collier Counties	Buchanan
5158(122)	Charlotte, Lee & Collier Counties	Mack
5158(123)	Collier County	Mack
5158(124)	Hillsborough County, Florida	Castor
5158(124)	Hillsborough County, Florida	Nelson
5158(125)	Jacksonville, Florida	Brown, C.
5158(125)	Jacksonville, Florida	Martinez
5158(126)	Sarasota County, Florida	Nelson
5158(127)	South Seminole and North Orange Counties	Mica
5158(128)	Miami-Dade County, Florida	Nelson
5158(129)	Palm Beach County, Florida	Nelson
5158(129)	Palm Beach County, Florida	Hastings, A.
5158(130)	Albany, Georgia	Chambliss
5158(130)	Albany, Georgia	Isakson
5158(131)	Banks County, Georgia	Isakson
5158(131)	Banks County, Georgia	Chambliss
5158(132)	Berrien County, Georgia	Chambliss
5158(132)	Berrien County, Georgia	Isakson
5158(133)	Chattooga County, Georgia	Isakson
5158(133)	Chattooga County, Georgia	Chambliss
5158(134)	Chattooga, Floyd, Gordon, Walker, And Whitfield Counties, Georgia	Chambliss
5158(134)	Chattooga, Floyd, Gordon, Walker, And Whitfield Counties, Georgia	Isakson
5158(135)	Dahlonega, Georgia	Isakson
5158(135)	Dahlonega, Georgia	Deal
5158(135)	Dahlonega, Georgia	Chambliss
5158(136)	East Point, Georgia	Isakson
5158(136)	East Point, Georgia	Chambliss
5158(137)	Fayetteville, Grantville, LaGrange, Pine Mountain (Harris County), Douglasville and Carrollton	Westmoreland
5158(138)	Meriwether and Spalding Counties, Georgia	Westmoreland

### Water Resources Development Act of 2007

Conference Section	Project Name	Member
5158(139)	Moultrie, Georgia	Isakson
5158(139)	Moultrie, Georgia	Chambliss
5158(140)	Stephens County/City Of Toccoa, Georgia	Chambliss
5158(140)	Stephens County/City Of Toccoa, Georgia	Isakson
5158(141)	North Vernon and Butlerville	Hill
5158(142)	Salem, Washington County	Hill
5158(143)	Atchison, Kansas	Roberts
5158(143)	Surfside, South Carolina	Graham
5158(143)	Central Kentucky	Chandler
5158(145)	Lafayette, Louisiana	Landrieu
5158(145)	Lafayette, Louisiana	Vitter
5158(146)	Lafourche Parish, Louisiana	Landrieu
5158(146)	Lafourche Parish, Louisiana	Vitter
5158(147)	Lake Charles, Louisiana	Vitter
5158(147)	Lake Charles, Louisiana	Landrieu
5158(148)	Northwest Louisiana Council Of Governments, Louisiana	Landrieu
5158(148)	Northwest Louisiana Council Of Governments, Louisiana	Vitter
5158(149)	Ouachita Parish, Louisiana	Vitter
5158(149)	Ouachita Parish, Louisiana	Landrieu
5158(150)	Plaquemine, Louisiana	Baker
5158(151)	Rapides Area Planning Commission, Louisiana	Vitter
5158(151)	Rapides Area Planning Commission, Louisiana	Landrieu
5158(152)	Shreveport, Louisiana	McCrery
5158(153)	South Central Planning And Development Commission, Louisiana	Vitter
5158(153)	South Central Planning And Development Commission, Louisiana	Landrieu
5158(154)	Union-Lincoln Regional Water Supply Project, Louisiana	Vitter
5158(154)	Union-Lincoln Regional Water Supply Project, Louisiana	Landrieu
5158(155)	Chesapeake Bay Improvements, Maryland, Virginia and District of Columbia	Warner
5158(155)	Chesapeake Bay Improvements, Maryland, Virginia and District of Columbia	Webb
5158(155)	Chesapeake Bay Improvements, Maryland, Virginia and District of Columbia	Cardin
5158(155)	Chesapeake Bay Improvements, Maryland, Virginia and District of Columbia	Mikulski
5158(156)	Chesapeake Bay Region, Maryland And Virginia	Warner
5158(156)	Chesapeake Bay Region, Maryland And Virginia	Mikulski
5158(156)	Chesapeake Bay Region, Maryland And Virginia	Cardin
5158(156)	Chesapeake Bay Region, Maryland And Virginia	Webb
5158(157)	Michigan Combined Sewer Overflows	Levin



### Water Resources Development Act of 2007

Conference Section	Project Name	Member
5158(157)	Michigan Combined Sewer Overflows	Stabenow
5158(158)	Central Iron Range Sanitary Sewer District, Minnesota	Oberstar
5158(159)	Central Lake Region Sanitary District, Minnesota	Coleman
5158(160)	Goodview, Minnesota	Coleman
5158(161)	Grand Rapids, Minnesota	Coleman
5158(161)	Grand Rapids, Minnesota	Oberstar
5158(162)	Willmar, Minnesota	Coleman
5158(163)	Biloxi, Mississippi	Taylor
5158(164)	Corinth, Mississippi	Cochran
5158(164)	Corinth, Mississippi	Lott
5158(165)	Gulfport, Mississippi	Taylor
5158(166)	Harrison County, Mississippi	Taylor
5158(167)	Jackson County, Mississippi	Lott
5158(168)	Clark County, Nevada	Porter
5158(168)	Clark County, Nevada	Reid
5158(169)	Clean Water Coalition, Nevada	Ensign
5158(169)	Clean Water Coalition, Nevada	Reid
5158(170)	Glendale Dam Diversion Structure, Nevada	Reid
5158(170)	Glendale Dam Diversion Structure, Nevada	Ensign
5158(171)	Henderson	Porter
5158(172)	Indian Springs, Nevada	Reid
5158(173)	Reno, Nevada	Reid
5158(173)	Reno, Nevada	Ensign
5158(174)	Washoe County, Nevada	Reid
5158(174)	Washoe County, Nevada	Ensign
5158(175)	Cranford Township, New Jersey	Lautenburg
5158(175)	Cranford Township, New Jersey	Menendez
5158(176)	Middletown Township, New Jersey	Lautenburg
5158(176)	Middletown Township, New Jersey	Menendez
5158(177)	Paterson, New Jersey	Pascrell
5158(178)	Rahway Valley, New Jersey	Menendez
5158(178)	Rahway Valley, New Jersey	Lautenburg
5158(179)	Babylon, New York	Schumer
5158(179)	Babylon, New York	Clinton
5158(180)	Ellicottville, New York	Kuhl
5158(181)	Elmira, New York	Clinton
5158(181)	Elmira, New York	Schumer
5158(182)	Essex Hamlet, New York	McHugh
5158(182)	Essex Hamlet, New York	Schumer
5158(182)	Essex Hamlet, New York	Clinton
5158(183)	Fleming, New York	Schumer

### Water Resources Development Act of 2007

Conference Section	Project Name	Member
5158(183)	Fleming, New York	Clinton
5158(184)	Kiryas Joel, New York	Schumer
5158(184)	Kiryas Joel, New York	Clinton
5158(185)	Niagara Falls, New York	Clinton
5158(185)	Niagara Falls, New York	Schumer
5158(186)	Patchogue, New York	Schumer
5158(186)	Patchogue, New York	Clinton
5158(187)	Sennett, New York	Arcuri
5158(188)	Springport and Fleming, New York	Arcuri
5158(189)	Wellsville, New York	Kuhl
5158(190)	Yates County, New York	Clinton
5158(190)	Yates County, New York	Schumer
5158(191)	Cabarrus County, North Carolina	Hayes
5158(192)	Cary, Wake County, North Carolina	Dole
5158(192)	Cary, Wake County, North Carolina	Burr
5158(193)	Charlotte, North Carolina	Hayes
5158(193)	Charlotte, North Carolina	Dole
5158(194)	Fayetteville, North Carolina	Hayes
5158(194)	Fayetteville, North Carolina	Dole
5158(195)	Mooresville, North Carolina	McHenry
5158(195)	Mooresville, North Carolina	Dole
5158(196)	Neuse Regional Water And Sewer Authority, North Carolina	Dole
5158(196)	Neuse Regional Water And Sewer Authority, North Carolina	Burr
5158(197)	Richmond County, North Carolina	Hayes
5158(198)	Union County, North Carolina	Hayes
5158(199)	Washington County, North Carolina	Dole
5158(199)	Washington County, North Carolina	Burr
5158(200)	Winston-Salem, North Carolina	Watt
5158(200)	Winston-Salem, North Carolina	Dole
5158(201)	North Dakota	Conrad
5158(202)	Devil's Lake, North Dakota	Pomeroy
5158(202)	Devils Lake, North Dakota	Conrad
5158(203)	Saipan, Northern Mariana Islands	Young
5158(204)	Akron, Ohio	Voinovich
5158(205)	Burr Oak Regional Water District, Ohio	Voinovich
5158(206)	Cincinnati, Ohio	Voinovich
5158(207)	Cleveland, Ohio	Voinovich
5158(208)	Columbus, Ohio	Voinovich
5158(209)	Dayton, Ohio	Voinovich
5158(210)	Defiance County, Ohio	Voinovich
5158(211)	Fostoria, Ohio	Voinovich

### Water Resources Development Act of 2007

Conference Section	Project Name	Member
5158(212)	Fremont, Ohio	Voinovich
5158(213)	Lake County, Ohio	LaTourette
5158(214)	Lawrence County, Ohio	Voinovich
5158(215)	Meigs County, Ohio	Voinovich
5158(216)	Mentor-on-Lake, Ohio	LaTourette
5158(217)	Vinton County, Ohio	Voinovich
5158(218)	Willowick, Ohio	LaTourette
5158(219)	Ada, Oklahoma	Inhofe
5158(220)	Alva, Oklahoma	Inhofe
5158(221)	Ardmore, Oklahoma	Inhofe
5158(222)	Bartlesville, Oklahoma	Inhofe
5158(223)	Bethany, Oklahoma	Inhofe
5158(224)	Chickasha, Oklahoma	Inhofe
5158(225)	Disney And Langley, Oklahoma	Inhofe
5158(226)	Durant, Oklahoma	Inhofe
5158(227)	Eastern Oklahoma State University, Wilberton, Oklahoma	Inhofe
5158(228)	Guymon, Oklahoma	Inhofe
5158(229)	Konawa, Oklahoma	Inhofe
5158(230)	Lugert-Altus Irrigation District, Altus, Oklahoma	Inhofe
5158(231)	Midwest City, Oklahoma	Inhofe
5158(232)	Mustang, Oklahoma	Inhofe
5158(233)	Norman, Oklahoma	Inhofe
5158(234)	Oklahoma Panhandle State University, Guymon, Oklahoma	Inhofe
5158(235)	Weatherford, Oklahoma	Inhofe
5158(236)	Woodward, Oklahoma	Inhofe
5158(237)	Albany, Oregon	Defazio
5158(238)	Beaver Creek Reservoir, Pennsylvania	Specter
5158(238)	Beaver Creek Reservoir, Pennsylvania	Casey
5158(239)	Hatfield Borough, Pennsylvania	Schwartz
5158(240)	Lehigh County, Pennsylvania	Dent
5158(241)	North Wales Borough, Pennsylvania	Schwartz
5158(242)	Pen Argyl, Pennsylvania	Dent
5158(243)	Philadelphia, Pennsylvania	Schwartz
5158(244)	Stockerton Borough, Borough of Tatamy, and Palmer Township	Dent
5158(245)	Vera Cruz, Pennsylvania	Dent
5158(246)	Commonwealth of Puerto Rico	Fortuno
5158(247)	Charleston, South Carolina	Brown, H.
5158(247)	Charleston, South Carolina	Graham
5158(247)	Charleston, South Carolina	Clyburn
5158(248)	Charleston and West Ashley, South Carolina	Graham
5158(249)	Crooked Creek, Bennettsville, South Carolina	Spratt

**Water Resources Development Act of 2007**

<b>Conference Section</b>	<b>Project Name</b>	<b>Member</b>
5158(250)	Myrtle Beach, South Carolina	Graham
5158(250)	Myrtle Beach, South Carolina	Brown, H.
5158(251)	North Myrtle Beach, South Carolina	Graham
5158(251)	North Myrtle Beach, South Carolina	Brown, H.
5158(252)	Surfside, South Carolina	Brown, H.
5158(253)	Cheyenne River Sioux Reservation (Dewey And Ziebach Counties) And Perkins And Meade Counties, South Dakota	Johnson
5158(253)	Cheyenne River Sioux Reservation (Dewey And Ziebach Counties) And Perkins And Meade Counties, South Dakota	Thune
5158(254)	Athens, Tennessee	Duncan
5158(255)	Blaine, Tennessee	Alexander
5158(256)	Clairborne County, Tennessee	Alexander
5158(257)	Giles, Tennessee	Alexander
5158(257)	Giles, Tennessee	Davis, L.
5158(258)	Grainger County, Tennessee	Alexander
5158(259)	Hamilton County, Tennessee	Alexander
5158(260)	Harrogate, Tennessee	Alexander
5158(261)	Johnson County, Tennessee	Alexander
5158(262)	Knoxville, Tennessee	Alexander
5158(263)	Nashville, Tennessee	Alexander
5158(264)	Lewis, Lawrence And Wayne, Tennessee	Davis, L.
5158(264)	Lewis, Lawrence And Wayne Counties, Tennessee	Alexander
5158(265)	Oak Ridge, Tennessee	Alexander
5158(265)	Oak Ridge, Tennessee	Corker
5158(266)	Plateau Utility District, Morgan County, Tennessee	Alexander
5158(267)	Shelby County, Tennessee	Alexander
5158(268)	Central Texas	Edwards
5158(269)	El Paso County, Texas	Reyes
5158(270)	Fort Bend County	Lampson
5158(271)	Duchesne, Iron, and Uintah Counties, Utah	Matheson
5158(272)	Northern West Virginia	Mollohan
5158(273)	United States Virgin Islands	Christensen
6001	Hillsboro and Okeechobee Aquifer, Florida	Martinez
6001	Hillsboro and Okeechobee Aquifer, Florida	Nelson
6001	Hillsboro and Okeechobee Aquifer, Florida	Hastings, A.
6001	Hillsboro and Okeechobee Aquifer, Florida	Klein
6001	Hillsboro and Okeechobee Aquifer, Florida	Diaz-Balart, M.
6001	Hillsboro and Okeechobee Aquifer, Florida	Mahoney
6002	Pilot Projects	Diaz-Balart, M.
6003	Maximum Costs	Hastings, A.
6003	Maximum Costs	Martinez
6003	Maximum Costs	Nelson

**Water Resources Development Act of 2007**


<b>Conference Section</b>	<b>Project Name</b>	<b>Member</b>
6004	Credit	Mahoney
6004	Credit	Hastings, A.
6004	Credit	Diaz-Balart, M.
6006	Critical Restoration Projects	Martinez
6006	Critical Restoration Projects	Hastings, A.
6006	Critical Restoration Projects	Mahoney
6006	Critical Restoration Projects	Diaz-Balart, M.
6006	Critical Restoration Projects	Nelson
6007	Regional Engineering Model For Environmental Restoration	Oberstar
Title VII	Louisiana Coastal Area	Melancon
Title VII	Louisiana Coastal Area	Jindal
Title VII	Louisiana Coastal Area	Boustany
Title VII	Louisiana Coastal Area	Baker
Title VII	Louisiana Coastal Area	Durbin
Title VII	Louisiana Coastal Area	Obama
Title VII	Louisiana Coastal Area	Vitter
Title VII	Louisiana Coastal Area	Landrieu
Title VIII	Upper Mississippi River and Illinois Waterway	Graves
Title VIII	Upper Mississippi River and Illinois Waterway	Costello
Title VIII	Upper Mississippi River and Illinois Waterway	Harkin
Title VIII	Upper Mississippi River and Illinois Waterway	Bond
Title VIII	Upper Mississippi River and Illinois Waterway	Durbin
Title VIII	Upper Mississippi River and Illinois Waterway	LaHood
Title VIII	Upper Mississippi River and Illinois Waterway	Hulshof
Title VIII	Upper Mississippi River and Illinois Waterway	Klobuchar
Title VIII	Upper Mississippi River and Illinois Waterway	Obama
Title VIII	Upper Mississippi River and Illinois Waterway	McCaskill
Title VIII	Upper Mississippi River and Illinois Waterway	Grassley

# H.R. 1495


*Managers on the part of the  
HOUSE*

*Managers on the part of the  
SENATE*

From the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure, for consideration of the House bill and the Senate amendment, and modifications committed to conference:



Mr. Oberstar




Ms. Eddie Bernice Johnson of Texas




Mrs. Tauscher



Mr. Baird



Mr. Higgins









Mr. Mitchell






Mr. Kagen

### H.R. 1495—Continued









<i>Managers on the part of the HOUSE</i>	<i>Managers on the part of the SENATE</i>
Mr. McNerney 	
Mr. Mica 	
Mr. Duncan 	
Kernan J. Ehlers Mr. Ehlers	
 Mr. Baker	
 Mr. Brown of South Carolina	
 Mr. Boozman	

### H.R. 1495—Continued




<i>Managers on the part of the HOUSE</i>	<i>Managers on the part of the SENATE</i>
From the Committee on Natural Resources, for consideration of secs. 2014, 2023, and 6009 of the House bill, and secs. 3023, 5008, and 5016 of the Senate amendment, and modifications committed to conference:	
 Mr. Rahall	
 Mrs. Napolitano	
 Mrs. McMorris Rodgers	



### H.R. 1495—Continued

<i>Managers on the part of the HOUSE</i>	<i>Managers on the part of the SENATE</i>
	 Mrs. Boxer
	 Mr. Baucus
	 Mr. Lieberman
	 Mr. Carper
	 Mrs. Clinton
	 Mr. Lautenberg
	 Mr. Inhofe
	 Mr. Warner

# H.R. 1495—Continued

<i>Managers on the part of the HOUSE</i>	<i>Managers on the part of the SENATE</i>
	 Mr. Voinovich
	 Mr. Isakson
	 Mr. Vitter