

SOCIAL SECURITY SNAPSHOT: *Republicans are divided and distracted*



Republican Proposal Ignores Key Problem: Solvency...

- "The [Republican] leadership wants any House legislation to <u>focus on creating private</u> <u>investment accounts</u>." [Bloomberg, 6/30/05]
- "...worst of all, [the House and Senate proposals] don't address the coming shortfall in Social Security." [Chicago Tribune, Editorial, 7/9/05]
- "As drafted, the House GOP plan <u>omits steps to extend the program's solvency</u>." [AP, 6/30/05]



... And Privatization Continues to Face Republican Resistance...

- <u>Mike Castle (R-DE)</u>: "Clearly there're not the 218 votes there now. There are enough individuals raising questions." [<u>Bloomberg</u>, 7/12/05]
- "<u>GOP moderates</u> have not embraced the idea [in the House Republican proposal]." [<u>Wall Street Journal</u>, 7/10/05]
- Rep. Jim Kolbe (R-AZ): "You must eat your spinach before having dessert, and this [House Republican] plan only offers dessert: the personal retirement accounts." [USA Today, 6/23/05]
- <u>Sen. Charles E. Grassley (R-IA), Senate Finance Committee Chairman</u>: Grassley "was disappointed that the [new House Republican] bill did not address Social Security's impending insolvency." [<u>Los Angeles Times</u>, 6/23/05]

- Rob Simmons (R-CT): "I do not support legislation that takes tax dollars and diverts them to private accounts." [Bloomberg, 7/12/05]
- <u>Jim Gerlach (R-PA)</u>: Gerlach "wrote a letter to constituents in May saying he would oppose accounts." [<u>Bloomberg</u>, 7/12/05]
- <u>Heather Wilson (R-NM)</u>: "I've opposed the privatization of Social Security." [Bloomberg, 6/30/05]
- Shelley Moore Capito, (R-WV): Capito "said Bush's plans for Social Security are 'going to be a tough sell' in her state largely because of concerns about benefit cuts...'There's concern, mostly by older people, that there's too great a risk involved,' the congresswoman said in a telephone interview." [Knight Ridder, 7/1/05]



...Meanwhile Social Security Is Headed for the Back Burner.

- "[Bush] last publicly discussed Social Security on June 23. Senate aides say their bosses are not being pushed by the White House to back his Social Security proposals." [CQ, 7/8/05]
- "Six months after Republicans began selling Social Security reform, they all but acknowledge that wide-scale changes won't happen this year." [Washington Times, 7/11/05]
- "On July 1, [Justice Sandra Day O'Connor] announced her retirement, throwing the Senate's entire legislative agenda into doubt. **Social Security, already an iffy prospect, is** seen as one of the likeliest casualties because it would require extensive floor time to deal with a slew of expected amendments and to overcome a Democratic filibuster." [CQ, 7/8/05]
- "[House Majority Whip Roy Blunt] said Social Security legislation may be taken up in September, but both he and [Senate Majority Leader Bill Frist] were <u>careful not to commit themselves to a timetable.</u>" [CQ, 7/12/05]



<u>Public Opposition to the Republican Privatization</u> <u>Proposal Continues to Grow</u>

"Americans disapprove of Bush's overhaul plan by a ratio of more than 2-to-1, a new low for the White House, according to a Gallup/USA Today/CNN poll conducted June 24 through June 26." [Bloomberg, 6/30/05]