HENRY A. WAXMAN, CALIFORNIA EDWARD J. MARKEY, MASSACHUSETTS RICK BOUCHER, VIRGINIA EDOLPHUS TOWNS, NEW YORK FRANK PALLONE, J.R. NEW JERSEY BART GORDON, TENNESSEE BOBBY L. RUSH, ILLINOIS ANNA G. ESHOO, CALIFORNIA BART STUPAK, MICHIGAN ELIOT L. ENGEL, NEW YORK GENE GREEN, TEXAS DIANA DEGETTE, COLORADO VICE CHAIRMAN LOIS CAPPS, CALIFORNIA MIKE DOYLE, PENNSYLVANIA JANE HARMAN, CALIFORNIA TOM ALLEN, MAINE JAN SCHAKOWSKY, ILLINOIS HILDA L. SOLIS, CALIFORNIA CHARLES A. GONZALEZ, TEXAS JAY INSLEE, WASHINGTON TAMMY BALDWIN, WISCONSIN MIKE ROSS, ARKANSAS DARLENE HOOLEY, OREGON ANTHONY D. WEINER, NEW YORK JIM MATHESON, UTAH G.K. BUTTERFIELD, NORTH CAROLINA JOHN BARROW, GEORGIA BARON P. HILL, INDIANA JOHN BARROW, GEORGIA BARON P. HILL, INDIANA JORIS ON MATSUL, CALIFORNIA

DENNIS B. FITZGIBBONS, CHIEF OF STAFF GREGG A. ROTHSCHILD, DEPUTY CHIEF OF STAFF AND CHIEF COUNSEL ONE HUNDRED TENTH CONGRESS

U.S. House of Representatives Committee on Energy and Commerce Washington, DC 20515-6115

JOHN D. DINGELL, MICHIGAN CHAIRMAN

November 7, 2008

JOE BARTON, TEXAS
RANKING MEMBER
RALPH M. HALL, TEXAS
FRED UPTON, MICHIGAN
CLIFF STEARNS, FLORIDA
NATHAN DEAL, GEORGIA
ED WHITFIELD, KENTUCKY
BARBARA CUBIN, WYOMING
JOHN SHIMKUS, ILLINOIS
HEATHER WILSON, NEW MEXICO
JOHN B. SHADEGG, ARIZONA
CHARLES W. "CHIP" PICKERING, MISSISSIPPI
VITO FOSSELLA, NEW YORK
ROY BLUNT, MISSOURI
STEVE BUYER, INDIANA
GEORGE RADANOVICH, CALIFORNIA
JOSEPH R. PITTS, PENNSYLVANIA
MARY BONO MACK, CALIFORNIA
GREG WALDEN, ORGON
LEE TERRY, NEBRASKA
MIKE FERGUSON, NEW JERSEY
MIKE ROGERS, MICHIGAN
SUE WILKINS MYRICK, NORTH CAROLINA
JOHN SULLIVAN, OKLAHOMA
TIM MURPHY, PENNSYLVANIA
MICHAEL C. BURGESS, TEXAS
MARSHA BLACKBURN, TENNESSEE

The Honorable Meredith A. Baker
Acting Assistant Secretary for Communications and Information
National Telecommunications and Information Administration
U.S. Department of Commerce
1401 Constitution Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20230

Dear Ms. Baker:

We write with respect to efforts undertaken by the National Telecommunications and Information Administration (NTIA) to ensure that consumers are educated about the digital television (DTV) transition, including working to make Wilmington, North Carolina, a DTV transition test market. The Wilmington test revealed many problems related to the transition, including consumers who (1) did not know they needed to rescan their boxes to search for new channels after the switch, (2) needed to obtain or adjust antennas to receive digital signals, or (3) were no longer able to receive a station's signal because the station's digital signal contour differs from its analog coverage area.

Because one goal of the Wilmington test was to identify and correct problems related to the transition, we are interested in the lessons NTIA learned from the test and steps it plans to take to avoid similar problems when the rest of the Nation transitions to digital on February 17, 2009. To better gauge the extent to which the transition is on track, we respectfully request answers to the questions below. Please submit your responses to us by <u>Friday</u>, <u>November 14</u>, 2008.

Thank you in advance for your time and attention to this request.

The Honorable Meredith A. Baker Page 2

Sincerely,

John D. Dingell Chairman

Chairman

Subcommittee on Telecommunications and the Internet

Attachment

The Honorable Joe Barton, Ranking Member cc:

Committee on Energy and Commerce

The Honorable Cliff Stearns, Ranking Member Subcommittee on Telecommunications and the Internet

RESCANNING CONVERTER BOXES

What is NTIA doing, or what, specifically, does it intend to do to let viewers, including viewers without ready access to the Internet, know that they need to rescan their digital-to-analog converter boxes after February 17, 2009?

ANTENNA ISSUES

- 1. If a viewer cannot receive certain local digital signals using a digital-to-analog converter box, how is that viewer supposed to determine that she needs to obtain a new antenna or adjust an existing antenna to correct the problem?
- 2. What is NTIA doing, or what, specifically, does it intend to do to let viewers, including viewers without ready access to the Internet, know that they may need to obtain a new antenna or adjust an existing antenna to receive over-the-air signals after February 17, 2009?

SIGNAL CONTOUR ISSUES

In Wilmington, 735 of 2,272 calls—one-third of all calls received about the test transition—related to loss of one station's signal due to a significant difference between the signal's analog service area and its digital service area. Many stations' digital signal coverage areas will differ from or be smaller than their analog service areas because they cannot immediately maximize their digital signals, they are changing from a multidirectional to a unidirectional antenna, or the new digital service area does not exactly replicate their current analog service area, or for other reasons.

- 1. If a viewer cannot receive certain local digital signals using a digital-to-analog converter box, how is that viewer supposed to determine that this is because the station's digital signal contour is smaller or coverage in certain areas is weaker than its analog signal contour and coverage strength? In other words, how will the viewer know that she resides within the analog signal contour, but outside the digital signal contour?
- 2. What are stations whose digital signal coverage areas are smaller than their analog signal coverage areas doing, or what, specifically, do they intend to do to let affected viewers, including affected viewers without ready access to the Internet, know that they should expect to lose a particular station's signal after the DTV transition because the station's digital signal contour is smaller than its analog signal contour?