

SIXTH REPORT CARD

On

COMPUTER SECURITY

At

Federal Departments and Agencies

Overall Grade: D+

MARCH 16, 2006

FEDERAL COMPUTER SECURITY REPORT CARD March 16, 2006 **GOVERNMENTWIDE GRADE 2005: D+** 2005 2004 2005 2004 AGENCY FOR INTERNATIONAL DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE A+ A+ D+ F **DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE** DEPARTMENT OF LABOR A+ B-D B-SOCIAL SECURITY **NUCLEAR REGULATORY** В A+ D-B+ **ADMINISTRATION COMMISSION** OFFICE OF PERSONNEL C-DEPARTMENT OF TREASURY D+ **A**+ D-**MANAGEMENT ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION** F F A+ DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY **AGENCY DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS** F F NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION Α C+ **AFAIRS GENERAL SERVICES DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND** C+ F F Α-**ADMINISTRATION HUMAN SERVICES** NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND B-DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR F D-C+ SPACE ADMINISTRATION **SMALL BUSINESS** F C+ D-DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE D **ADMINISTRATION** DEPARTMENT OF F C-DEPARTMENT OF STATE Α-D+ **TRANSPORTATION DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND** C F F DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION C-**SECURITY HOUSING AND URBAN** F D+ DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE F DEVELOPMENT

Federal Computer Security Grades 2001-2005

Agency	2005	2005	2004	2004	2003	2003	2002	2002	2001	2001
	Score	Grade	Score	Grade	Score	Grade	Score	Grade	Score	Grade
Agriculture	24	F	49.5	F	40	F	36	F	31	F
AID	100	A+	99	A+	70.5	C-	52	F	22	F
Commerce	67	D+	56.5	F	72.5	C-	68	D+	51	F
DOD**	38.75	F	65	D	65.5	D	38	F	40	F
Education	71	C-	76.5	C	77	C+	66	D	33	F
Energy	46.75	F	48.5	F	59.5	F	41	F	51	F
EPA	97.5	A+	84	В	74.5	C	63	D-	69	D+
GSA	92.5	A-	79.5	C+	65	D	64	D	66	D
HHS	45.5	F	49.5	F	54	F	61	D-	43	F
DHS	33.5	F	20.5	F	34	F	1		1	
HUD	67.5	D+	28	F	40	F	48	F	66	D
Interior	41.5	F	77	C+	43	F	37	F	48	F
Justice	66.5	D	82.5	В-	55.5	F	56	F	50	F
Labor	99	A+	83	В-	86.5	В	79	C+	56	F
NASA	80	В-	60	D-	60.5	D-	68	D+	70	C-
NRC	60.5	D-	88	B+	94.5	A	74	C	34	F
NSF	95	A	77.5	C+	90.5	A-	63	D-	87	B+
OPM	98	A+	72.5	C-	61.5	D-	52	F	39	F
SBA	78	C+	60	D-	71	C-	48	F	48	F
SSA	99	A+	86	В	88	B+	82	В-	79	C+
State	37.5	F	69.5	D+	39.5	F	54	F	69	D+
Transportation	71.5	C-	91.5	A-	69	D+	28	F	48	F
Treasury**	60.5	D-	68	D+	64	D	48	F	54	F
VA**	46	F	50	F	76.5	С	50	F	44	F
Government- wide Average	67.4	D+	67.3	D+	65	D	55	F	53	F

^{**}The Inspector General for these agencies did not provide independent evaluations of their agencies' FISMA reports for FY03. Therefore these scores are based on self-reported numbers submitted by these agencies.

Report Grading Element	FISMA								
Report Grading Element		2005 Scoring Methodology							
Report Grading Element									
The percentage of the agency's systems reviewed, including contractor operations or facilities in FY05 was: 20				Report Grading Element	FY05 Possible Points				
The percentage of the agency's systems reviewed, including contractor operations or facilities in FY05 was:		Total possible points:	100						
The percentage of agency systems reviewed in FY05 was: 10	A. Ann	ual Testi	ing		20				
HIGH Impact Systems	1				20				
a Between 90 and 100% b Between 75 and 89% c Between 60 and 74% d Between 45 and 59% e 44% and less Moderate Impact Systems 3			The per	centage of agency systems reviewed in FY05 was:	10				
b Between 75 and 89% c Between 60 and 74% c Between 45 and 59% o.5.5 e 44% and less o o o o o o o o o			HIGH In	npact Systems	6				
C Between 60 and 74% 2 d Between 45 and 59% 0.5 e 44% and less 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0			а	Between 90 and 100%	6				
d Between 45 and 59% 0.5			b	Between 75 and 89%	4				
e 44% and less 0 0 Moderate Impact Systems 3 3 a Between 90 and 100% 3 3 b Between 75 and 89% 2 2 c Between 60 and 74% 1 1 d Between 45 and 59% 0.5 e 44% and less 0 0 Low Impact Systems 1 1 a Between 96 and 100% 1 1 b Between 51 and 95% 0.5 c 50% and less 0 0 The percentage of contractor operations or facilities reviewed in FY05 was: 10 HIGH Impact Systems 6 6 a Between 90 and 100% 6 6 b Between 75 and 89% 4 4 c Between 45 and 59% 0.5 e 44% and less 0 0 Moderate Impact Systems 3 3 a Between 90 and 100% 3 3 b Between 75 and 89% 2 2 c Between 60 and 74% 1 1 d Between 45 and 59% 0.5 e 44% and less 0 0 0 Between 45 and 59% 0.5 e 44% and less 0 0 0 Between 45 and 59% 0.5 e 44% and less 0 0 0 Between 45 and 59% 0.5 e 44% and less 0 0 0 Between 45 and 59% 0.5 e 44% and less 0 0 Between 45 and 59% 0.5 e 44% and less 0 0 Between 45 and 59% 0.5 e 44% and less 0 0 Between 45 and 59% 0.5 e 44% and less 0 0 Between 45 and 59% 0.5 e 44% and less 0 0 Between 45 and 59% 0.5 e 44% and less 0 0 Between 45 and 59% 0.5 e 44% and less 0 0 Between 45 and 59% 0.5 e 44% and less 0 0 Between 45 and 59% 0.5 e 44% and less 0 0 Between 45 and 59% 0.5 e 44% and less 0 0 Between 45 and 59% 0.5 e 44% and less 0 0 Between 45 and 59% 0.5 e 44% and less 0 0 Between 45 and 59% 0.5 e 44% and less 0 0 Between 45 and 59% 0.5 e 44% and less 0 0 Between 45 and 59% 0.5 e 44% and less 0 0 Between 45 and 59% 0.5 e 44% and less 0 0 Between 45 and 59% 0.5 e 44% and less 0 0 Between 45 and 59% 0.5 e 44% and less 0 Between 45 and 59% 0.			С	Between 60 and 74%	2				
Moderate Impact Systems 3			d	Between 45 and 59%	0.5				
a Between 90 and 100% b Between 75 and 89% c Between 60 and 74% d Between 45 and 59% e I 44% and less Low Impact Systems 1 a Between 96 and 100% b Between 51 and 95% c 50% and less The percentage of contractor operations or facilities reviewed in FY05 was: HIGH Impact Systems 6 a Between 90 and 100% b Between 75 and 89% c Between 60 and 74% d Between 45 and 59% e I 44% and less ii) Moderate Impact Systems a Between 90 and 100% b Between 75 and 89% c Between 60 and 74% d Between 90 and 100% b Between 75 and 89% c Between 90 and 100% c Between 45 and 59% c Between 90 and 100% b Between 75 and 89% c Between 90 and 100% c Between 90 and 100% b Between 90 and 100% c Between 90 and 100% d Between 45 and 59% c Between 90 and 100% c Between			е	44% and less	0				
b Between 75 and 89% 2		i)	Modera	3					
C Between 60 and 74% 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			а	Between 90 and 100%	3				
d Between 45 and 59% 0.5 e 44% and less 0 Low Impact Systems 1 a Between 96 and 100% 1 b Between 51 and 95% 0.5 c 50% and less 0 The percentage of contractor operations or facilities reviewed in FY05 was: 10 HIGH Impact Systems 6 a Between 90 and 100% 6 b Between 75 and 89% 4 c Between 60 and 74% 2 d Between 45 and 59% 0.5 e 44% and less 0 Moderate Impact Systems 3 a Between 90 and 100% 3 b Between 75 and 89% 2 c Between 60 and 74% 1 d Between 45 and 59% 0.5 e 44% and less 0 d Between 45 and 59% 0.5 e 44% and less 0 Between 45 and 59% 0.5 e 44% and less 0 Between 45 and 59% 0.5 e 44% and less 0			b	Between 75 and 89%	2				
E			С	Between 60 and 74%	1				
Low Impact Systems			d	Between 45 and 59%	0.5				
a Between 96 and 100%			е	44% and less	0				
b Between 51and 95% c 50% and less 0 The percentage of contractor operations or facilities reviewed in FY05 was: HIGH Impact Systems 6 a Between 90 and 100% 6 b Between 75 and 89% 4 c Between 60 and 74% 2 d Between 45 and 59% 0.5 e 44% and less 0 Moderate Impact Systems 3 a Between 90 and 100% 3 b Between 90 and 100% 10.5 c Between 60 and 74% 10.5 c Between 60 and 74% 10.5 c Between 90 and 100% 10.5 c Between 45 and 59% 10.5			Low Im	pact Systems	1				
C 50% and less 0			а	Between 96 and 100%	1				
The percentage of contractor operations or facilities reviewed in FY05 was: 10			b	Between 51and 95%	0.5				
FY05 was: 10			С	50% and less	0				
a Between 90 and 100% b Between 75 and 89% c Between 60 and 74% d Between 45 and 59% e 144% and less Moderate Impact Systems 3					10				
b Between 75 and 89% c Between 60 and 74% d Between 45 and 59% e 44% and less ii) Moderate Impact Systems a Between 90 and 100% b Between 75 and 89% c Between 60 and 74% d Between 45 and 59% e 44% and less 0			HIGH In	npact Systems	6				
b Between 75 and 89% c Between 60 and 74% d Between 45 and 59% e 44% and less ii) Moderate Impact Systems a Between 90 and 100% b Between 75 and 89% c Between 60 and 74% d Between 45 and 59% e 44% and less 0		1	а	Between 90 and 100%	6				
C Between 60 and 74% 2 d Between 45 and 59% 0.5 e 44% and less 0 Moderate Impact Systems 3 a Between 90 and 100% 3 b Between 75 and 89% 2 c Between 60 and 74% 1 d Between 45 and 59% 0.5 e 44% and less 0		1							
e 44% and less			С		2				
e 44% and less			d	Between 45 and 59%	0.5				
a Between 90 and 100% 3 b Between 75 and 89% 2 c Between 60 and 74% 1 d Between 45 and 59% 0.5 e 44% and less 0			е	44% and less	0				
b Between 75 and 89% 2 c Between 60 and 74% 1 d Between 45 and 59% 0.5 e 44% and less 0		ii)	Modera	te Impact Systems	3				
c Between 60 and 74% 1 d Between 45 and 59% 0.5 e 44% and less 0			а	Between 90 and 100%	3				
d Between 45 and 59% 0.5 e 44% and less 0			b	Between 75 and 89%	2				
e 44% and less 0			С	Between 60 and 74%	1				
-			d	Between 45 and 59%	0.5				
Low Impact Systems 1			е	44% and less	0				
			Low Im	pact Systems	1				
a Between 96 and 100%		1	а	Between 96 and 100%	1				
b Between 51and 95% 0.5		1			0.5				
c 50% and less 0									

		FISMA	
		Report Grading Element	FY05 Possible Points
iii)	The age informat agency requiren national NIST Sp other or another	0	
	OIG Eva	luation	
	а	Between 96 and 100%	0
	b	Between 51and 95% (Loss of 1/2 Annual Testing points in A.1)	-0.5
	С	50% and less (Loss of all Annual Testing points in A.1)	-1

	FISMA							
	2005 Scoring Methodology							
			o					
			Report Grading Element	FY05 Possible Points				
B. Plar	of Actio	n and I	Milestones (POA&M)	15				
2	15							
	i)	IT secui	A&M is an agency wide process, incorporating all known rity weaknesses associated with information systems operated by the agency or by a contractor of the agency or organization on behalf of the agency.	3				
	''	а	Between 96 and 100%	3				
		b	Between 81and 95%	2				
		C	Between 71 and 80%	1				
		d	Between 51and 70% 50% and less	0.5				
	::\	(includi implem	n IT security weakness is identified, program officials ng CIOs, if they own or operate a system) develop, ent, and manage POA&Ms for their system(s).	4				
	ii)	a	Between 96 and 100%	4				
		b	Between 81and 95%	2				
		С	Between 71 and 80%	1				
		d e	Between 51and 70% 50% and less	0.5 0				
	iii)	Progran	n officials, including contractors, report to the CIO on a basis (at least quarterly) on their remediation progress.	1				
		а	Between 96 and 100%	1				
		b	Between 51and 95%	0.5				
		С	50% and less	0				
		at least	ntrally tracks, maintains, and reviews POA&M activities on a quarterly basis.	2				
	:	a	Between 96 and 100%	2				
<u> </u>	iv)	b	Between 81and 95% Between 71 and 80%	1.5 1				
		c	Between 71 and 80% Between 51and 70%	0.5				
		e	50% and less	0.5				
			dings are incorporated into the POA&M process.	2				
	v)	а	Between 96 and 100%	2				
]	b	Between 51and 95%	1				
		С	50% and less	0				
		ensure	process prioritizes IT security weaknesses to help significant IT security weaknesses are addressed in a nanner and receive appropriate resources.	3				
	vi)	а	Between 96 and 100%	3				
	, ,	b	Between 81and 95%	2				
		С	Between 71 and 80%	1				
		d	Between 51and 70%	0.5				
		е	50% and less	0				

	FISMA						
	2005 Scoring Methodology						
			Report Grading Element	Points			
C. Cert	C. Certification and Accreditation (C&A)						
		The per	centage of systems that have been certified and	40			
3		accredit	ted is:	12			
		HIGH IM	npact Systems	6			
		I II GIT III		-			
		а	Between 90 and 100%	6			
		b	Between 75 and 89%	4			
		С	Between 60 and 74% Between 45 and 59%	3			
		d e	44% and less	0			
			<u> </u>	-			
		Moderat	te Impact Systems	4			
	i)	а	Between 90 and 100%	4			
		b	Between 75 and 89%	2			
<u> </u>		С	Between 60 and 74%	1			
		d	Between 45 and 59%	0.5			
\vdash		е	44% and less	0			
		Low Imp	pact Systems	2			
		а	Between 90 and 100%	2			
		b	Between 75 and 89%	1.5			
		С	Between 60 and 74%	1			
		d	Between 45 and 59%	0.5			
		е	44% and less	0			
			centage of systems whose security controls have been	4			
		tested a	and evaluated in the last year is:				
		HIGH Im	npact Systems	2			
		а	Between 90 and 100%	2			
		b	Between 75 and 89%	1.5			
		С	Between 60 and 74%	1			
		d	Between 45 and 59%	0.5			
	ii)	е	44% and less	0			
	,	Moderat	te Impact Systems	1.5			
		а	Between 96 and 100%	1.5			
\vdash		b	Between 51and 95%	0.5			
		C	50% and less	0			
			pact Systems	0.5			
		row link	•				
		a	Between 96 and 100%	0.5			
<u> </u>		b The ner	95% and less	0			
			centage of systems that have a contingency plan that has sted in the past year is:	4			
		HIGH Im	npact Systems	2			
		а	Between 90 and 100%	2			
		b	Between 75 and 89%	1.5			
		С	Between 60 and 74%	1			
		d	Between 45 and 59%	0.5			
<u> </u>	iii)	е	44% and less	0			
	-	Moderat	te Impact Systems	1.5			
		а	Between 96 and 100%	1.5			
		b	Between 51and 95%	0.5			
		С	50% and less	0			
		Low Imr	pact Systems	0.5			
			•				
<u> </u>		a	Between 51and 100%	0.5			
		b	50% and less	0			

	Report Grading Element						
	OIG Asse	essment of the Certification and Accreditation Process	0				
	OIG C&A	Evaluation					
iv)		Excellent, Good, Satisfactory (No Deduction from C&A points in question 3i)	0				
	b	Poor (-1/2 of C&A points awarded in question 3i)	-0.5				
	С	Failing (-100% of C&A Points awarded in question 3i)	-1				

FISMA						
2005 Scoring Methodology						
				FY05 Possible		
			Report Grading Element	Points		
D. Con	figuratio	n Mana		20		
4			an agency wide security configuration policy?	20		
		а	Yes	20		
		b	No (Go to Section E, Question 5.i)	0		
		Question	ns 1 through 11 only apply, if the agency has addressed the			
		product software	in its the agencywide policy and has systems that run the			
			lows XP Professional	0		
				0		
		a	Between 81 and 100% or (N/A)			
		b	Between 71 and 80%	-0.5		
		C	70% and less or (No)	-1		
		-	lows NT	0		
		а	Between 81 and 100% or (N/A)			
		b	Between 71 and 80%	-0.5		
		С	70% and less or (No)	-1		
			lows 2000 Professional	0		
		а	Between 81 and 100% or (N/A)	0		
		b	Between 71 and 80%	-0.5		
		С	70% and less or (No)	-1		
			lows 2000 Server	0		
		а	Between 81 and 100% or (N/A)	0		
		b	Between 71 and 80%	-0.5		
		С	70% and less or (No)	-1		
		5. Wind	lows 2003 Server	0		
		а	Between 81 and 100% or (N/A)	0		
	i)	b	Between 71 and 80%	-0.5		
		С	70% and less or (No)	-1		
		6. Solai	ris	0		
		а	Between 81 and 100% or (N/A)	0		
		b	Between 71 and 80%	-0.5		
		C	70% and less or (No)	-1		
		7. HP-U		0		
		а	Between 81 and 100% or (N/A)	0		
		b	Between 71 and 80%	-0.5		
		C O Limus	70% and less or (No)	-1		
		8. Linu		0		
		a	Between 81 and 100% or (N/A)			
		b	Between 71 and 80%	-0.5		
		C Cisco	70% and less or (No)	-1		
			Debugge 94 and 1000/ or (N/A)	0		
		a	Between 81 and 100% or (N/A)			
		b	Between 71 and 80%	-0.5		
		C	70% and less or (No)	-1		
		10. Ora		0		
		а	Between 81 and 100% or (N/A)	_		
		b	Between 71 and 80%	-0.5		
	J	С	70% and less or (No)	-1		

FISMA					
		2005 Scoring Methodology			
		Report Grading Element	FY05 Possible Points		
11. Other. Specify:					
	а	Between 81 and 100% or (N/A)	0		
	b	Between 71 and 80%	-0.5		
	С	70% and less or (No)	-1		
ii)	Has the a procedu limited to (includin				
	а	Yes (No deductions)	0		
	b	No (Loss of 4 points)	-4		

	FISMA							
	2005 Scoring Methodology							
			Report Grading Element	FY05 Possible Points				
E. Incid	dent Dete	ection a	nd Response	15				
5			ncy follows documented policies and procedures for ng and reporting incidents internally.	7				
	i)	а	Yes	7				
		b	No	0				
	ii)	4						
	",	а	Yes	4				
		b	No	0				
	The agency follows defined procedures for reporting to the United States Computer Emergency Readiness Team (US-CERT).							
		а	Yes	4				
		b	No	0				

	FISMA						
	Report Grading Element						
F. Trai	F. Training						
6	Has the agency ensured security training and awareness of all employees, including contractors and those employees with significant IT Security responsibilities.						
		The percentage of agency employees (including contractors) that received IT security training and awareness as described in NIST SP 800-50 is:	4				
	i)	a Between 90 and 100%	4				
		b Between 75 and 89%	3				
		c Between 60 and 74%	2				
	1	d Between 45 and 59% e 44% and less	1 0				
		The percentage of employees with significant security responsibilities that received specialized security training as described in NIST SP 800-16 is:	4				
	ii)	a Between 90 and 100%	4				
	",	b Between 75 and 89%	3				
		c Between 60 and 74%	2				
		d Between 45 and 59%	1				
		e 44% and less	0				
		The agency provided the total training costs for FY05.	1				
	iii)	a Yes	1				
		b No	0				
	iv)	The agency explains policies regarding peer-to-peer file sharing in IT security awareness training, ethics training or any other agency-wide training.	1				
		a Yes	1				
		b No	0				
G. Inve	entory (N	lo deductions or -10 maximum)	0				
7	What progress has the agency made to develop an inventory of major IT						
	i)	The agency has developed an inventory of major information systems (including major national security systems) operated by or under the control of such agency, including an identification of the interfaces between each such system and all other systems or networks, including those not operated by or under the control of the agency. (IG evaluation)	0				
]	a Between 96 and 100%	0				
		b 95% and less (Or the agency has no inventory)	-10				
	ii)	The OIG generally agrees with the CIO on the number of agency owned systems.	0				
		a Between 96 and 100%	0				
	1	b 95% and less	-10				
	iii)	The OIG generally agrees with the CIO on the number of information systems used or operated by a contractor of the agency or other organization on behalf of the agency.	0				
	1	a Between 96 and 100%	0				
	1	b 95% and less	-10				
Ь	1	D 00 /0 and 1000	-10				