

## JUDICIAL CONFERENCE OF THE UNITED STATES

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20544

THE CHIEF JUSTICE
OF THE UNITED STATES
Presiding

JAMES C. DUFF Secretary

## **CERTIFICATE**

TO THE SPEAKER, UNITED STATES HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES:

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 355(b)(1), the Judicial Conference of the United States certifies to the House of Representatives its determination that consideration of impeachment of United States District Judge G. Thomas Porteous (E.D. La.) may be warranted. This determination is based on evidence provided in the Report by the Special Investigatory Committee to the Judicial Council of the United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit and the Report and Recommendations of the Committee on Judicial Conduct and Disability. Said certification is transmitted with the entire record of the proceeding in the Judicial Council of the Fifth Circuit and in the Judicial Conference of the United States.

The determination is based on substantial evidence that:

- a) Judge Porteous repeatedly committed perjury by signing false financial disclosure forms under oath in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1621. This perjury concealed the cash and things of value that he solicited and received from lawyers appearing in litigation before him. Parts F(1)(a), (2)(a), and G of Report of the Committee are incorporated by reference.
- b) Judge Porteous repeatedly committed perjury by signing false statements under oath in a personal bankruptcy proceeding in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 152(1)-(3), 1621 as well as Canons 1 and 2A of the Code of Conduct for United States Judges. This perjury allowed him to obtain a discharge of his debts while continuing his lifestyle at the expense of his creditors. His systematic disregard of the bankruptcy court's orders also implicates 11 U.S.C. § 521(a)(3) and 18 U.S.C. § 401(1). Parts F(1)(c), (2)(c), and G of the Report of the Committee are incorporated by reference.
- c) Judge Porteous wilfully and systematically concealed from litigants and the public financial transactions, including but not limited to those designated in (d), by filing false financial disclosure forms in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1001, 5 U.S.C. App. 4 § 104, and Canon 5C(6) of the Code of Conduct for United States Judges, which require the disclosure of income, gifts, loans, and liabilities. This conduct made it impossible for litigants to seek recusal or to challenge his failure to recuse himself in cases in which lawyers who appeared before him had given him cash and other things of value and for the Fifth Circuit Judicial Council and the Judicial Conference to determine the full extent of his solicitation and receipt of such cash and things of value. Parts F(1)(a), (b), (2)(a), (b), and G of the Report of the Committee are incorporated by reference.

## TO THE SPEAKER, UNITED STATES HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES Page 2

d) Judge Porteous violated several criminal statutes and ethical canons by presiding over In re: Liljeberg Enters. Inc. v. Lifemark Hosps. Inc., No. 2:93-cv-01784, rev'd in part by 304 F.3d 410 (5th Cir. 2002). In that matter, which was tried without a jury, he denied a motion to recuse based on his relationship with lawyers in the case, in violation of 28 U.S.C. § 455 and Canons 3C(1) and 3D of the Code of Conduct for United States Judges. In denying the motion, he failed to disclose that the lawyers in question had often provided him with cash. Thereafter, while a bench verdict was pending, he solicited and received from the lawyers appearing before him illegal gratuities in the form of cash and other things of value in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 201(c)(1)(B). This conduct, undertaken in a concealed manner, deprived the public of its right to his honest services in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1341, 1343, and 1346, and constituted an abuse of his judicial office in violation of Canons 5C(1) and 5C(4) of the Code of Conduct for United States Judges.

Parts F(1)(b), (2)(b), and G of the Report of the Committee are incorporated by reference.

- e) Judge Porteous made false representations to gain the extension of a bank loan with the intent to defraud the bank and causing the bank to incur losses in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1014 and 1344. Parts F(1)(d), (2)(d), and G of the Report of the Committee are incorporated by reference.
- f) The conduct described in (a) through (e) has individually and collectively brought disrepute to the federal judiciary.

Executed this 17th day of June, 2008.

/S/

James C. Duff Secretary