

Congress of the United States
Washington, DC 20515

September 26, 2006

The Honorable Michael Chertoff
Department of Homeland Security
Washington, D.C. 20528

Dear Secretary Chertoff,


As Members who understand the critical importance of the Port of Oakland and Port of San Francisco, we write to you in astonishment that the ports did not receive funds under the Fiscal Year 2006 Port Security Grant Program.


The Port of Oakland is the fourth busiest container port in the nation and the Pacific Coast gateway to the Asian markets. The security needs of the Port of Oakland are not only important to the Bay Area, but also are significantly important to the nation's economy. Indeed, in 2005, the port processed over 2.2 million twenty-foot equivalent units of cargo. This represents an 11.2 % increase over 2004 levels. Not only is the port a vital engine of the economy, but it is planning on expanding to meet growing demand for its services. The Port of San Francisco is a significant commercial and tourist hub for the West Coast and supports the activities of millions of visitors each year.

The most recent round of Port Security grant funds demonstrates your agency's continued ignorance of the needs of our nation's ports. We have long called for DHS to develop a credible threat-based formula that would allocate homeland security funds to protect our critical infrastructure. There is no logical explanation for your decision not to protect one of the busiest ports in the nation. We are all the more concerned about your threat analysis, as in recent months it has led you to identify the Old MacDonald's Petting Zoo in Huntsville, Alabama as a vulnerable national asset, but failed to list the Empire State Building in the same category.

While we understand the Administration's recent budget requests have left your agency without the funds to begin addressing America's most critical security needs, we insist that you design a system which adequately addresses the security needs of national assets like the Ports of Oakland and San Francisco. Anything less will leave our nation's security and economy at great risk. We ask that you reconsider this decision. We look forward to your timely response, which should also include an explanation of your analysis that left the Ports of Oakland and San Francisco unfunded in this round of grants and your plans to continue to improve the security of these ports.

Sincerely,



Ellen Tauscher
Member of Congress


Nancy Pelosi
Minority Leader



Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senator


Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senator





Barbara Lee
Member of Congress



George Miller
Member of Congress



Pete Stark
Member of Congress



Tom Lantos
Member of Congress




Mike Honda
Member of Congress



Zoe Lofgren
Member of Congress



Anna Eshoo
Member of Congress



Lynn Woolsey
Member of Congress