
12

AMENDMENT (IN THE NATURE OF A SUBSTITUTE) TO H. CON. RES. 376, AS REPORTED OFFERED BY MR. SPRATT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

Strike all after the resolving clause and insert the following:

1	SECTION 1. CONCURRENT RESOLUTION ON THE BUDGET
2	FOR FISCAL YEAR 2007.
3	The Congress declares that this is the concurrent res-
4	olution on the budget for fiscal year 2007, including ap-
5	propriate budgetary levels for fiscal years 2008 through
6	2016.
7	TITLE I—RECOMMENDED
8	LEVELS AND AMOUNTS
9	SEC. 101. RECOMMENDED LEVELS AND AMOUNTS.
10	The following budgetary levels are appropriate for
11	each of fiscal years 2007 through 2016:
12	(1) FEDERAL REVENUES.—For purposes of the
13	enforcement of this resolution:
14	(A) The recommended levels of Federal
15	revenues are as follows:
16	Fiscal year 2007:
17	\$1 <mark>,793,599,000</mark> ,000
18	Fiscal year 2008:
19	\$1,907.776.000.000







1	Fiscal	year	2010:
2	\$ <u>14,000,000,</u>	000	
3	Fiscal	year	2011:
4	\$ <u>14,000,000,</u>	000	
5	Fiscal	year	2012:
6	\$ <u>14,000,000,</u>	000	
7	Fiscal	year	2013:
8	\$ <u>14,000,000,</u>	000	
9	Fiscal	year	2014:
10	\$ <u>14,000,000</u>	,000	
11	Fiscal	year	2015:
12	<u>\$13,000,000</u>	,000	
13	Fiscal	year	2016:
14	\$ <u>13,000,000,0</u>	000	
15	(2) NEW BUDGET AU	UTHORITY.—Fo	r purposes
16	of the enforcement of this	resolution, the	appropriate
17	levels of total new budget	authority are	as follows:
18	Fiscal year 2007:	\$ 2,298,68	2,000,000
19	Fiscal year 2008:	\$2,359,765	5,000,000
20	Fiscal year 2009:	\$2,459,406	,000,000
21	Fiscal year 2010:	\$2,573,696	,000,000
22	Fiscal year 2011:	\$2,700,925	,000,000
23	Fiscal year 2012:	\$2,749,534	,000,000
24	Fiscal year 2013:	\$2,885,177	,000,000
25	Fiscal year 2014:	\$ 3,007,848	3,000,000



1	Fiscal year 2015: \$ 3,141,006,000,000
2	Fiscal year 2016: \$3,314,359,000,000
3	(3) BUDGET OUTLAYS.—For purposes of the
4	enforcement of this resolution, the appropriate levels
5	of total budget outlays are as follows:
6	Fiscal year 2007: \$2,330,929,000,000
7	Fiscal year 2008: \$2,381,322,000,000
8	Fiscal year 2009: \$2,459,918,000,000
9	Fiscal year 2010: \$2,564,225,000,000
10	Fiscal year 2011: \$2,688,269,000,000
11	Fiscal year 2012: \$2,723,663,000,000
12	Fiscal year 2013: \$2,863,331,000,000
13	Fiscal year 2014: \$2,985,510,000,000
14	Fiscal year 2015: \$3,118,637,000,000
15	Fiscal year 2016: \$3,298,110,000,000
16	(4) Deficits (on-budget).—For purposes of
17	the enforcement of this resolution, the amounts of
18	the deficits (on-budget) are as follows:
19	Fiscal year 2007: \$537,329,000,000
20	Fiscal year 2008: \$473,547,000,000
21	Fiscal year 2009: \$442,347,000,000
22	Fiscal year 2010: \$442,248,000,000
23	Fiscal year 2011: \$345,198,000,000
24	Fiscal year 2012: \$ 176,135,000,000
25	Fiscal year 2013: \$ 183.534.000,000



1	Fiscal year 2014: \$ 164 412,000,000
2	Fiscal year 2015: \$ 146,328,000,000
3	Fiscal year 2016: \$ 164,954,000,000
4	(5) Debt subject to limit.—Pursuant to
5	section 301(a)(5) of the Congressional Budget Ac
6	of 1974, the appropriate levels of the public debt are
7	as follows:
8	Fiscal year 2007: \$ 9,165,000,000,000
9	Fiscal year 2008: \$ 9,750,000,000,000
10	Fiscal year 2009: \$10,299,000,000
11	Fiscal year 2010: \$_10,846,000,000,000
12	Fiscal year 2011: \$11,289,000,000
13	Fiscal year 2012: \$11575,000,000
14	Fiscal year 2013: \$11, 257,000,000,000
15	Fiscal year 2014: \$ 12,120,000,000,000,000
16	Fiscal year 2015: \$ 12,361,000,000,000
17	Fiscal year 2016: \$ 12,607,000,000,000
18	(6) DEBT HELD BY THE PUBLIC.—The appro-
19	priate levels of debt held by the public are as follows:
20	Fiscal year 2007: \$ 5,311,000,000,000
21	Fiscal year 2008: \$ 5,583,000,000,000
22	Fiscal year 2009: \$ 5,606,000,000
23	Fiscal year 2010: \$ 6,011,000,000.
24	Fiscal year 2011: \$6,102,000,000,000.
25	Fiscal year 2012: \$ 6.015.000.000.000



1	Fiscal year 2013: \$5,925,000,000,000
2	Fiscal year 2014: \$5,811,000,000,000
3	Fiscal year 2015: \$ 5,674,600,000,000
4	Fiscal year 2016: \$5,552,000,000
5	SEC. 102. MAJOR FUNCTIONAL CATEGORIES.
6	The Congress determines and declares that the ap-
7	propriate levels of new budget authority and outlays for
8	fiscal years 2006 through 2016 for each major functional
9	category are:
10	(1) National Defense (050):
11	Fiscal year 2007:
12	(A) New budget authority,
13	\$ 512,90,000,000
14	(B) Outlays, \$ <u>534,956,000,000</u>
15	Fiscal year 2008:
16	(A) New budget authority,
17	\$ 484,661,000,000
18	(B) Outlays, \$ <u>505,516,000,000</u> .
19	Fiscal year 2009:
20	(A) New budget authority,
21	\$ 504,753,600,000
22	(B) Outlays, \$ 505,874,000,000
23	Fiscal year 2010:
24	(A) New budget authority,
25	\$ <u>514,858,000,000</u>



1	(B) Outlays, \$ 512, 573,000,000.
2	Fiscal year 2011:
3	(A) New budget authority,
4	\$ 525,781,000,000.
5	(B) Outlays, \$ 524, 894,000,000.
6	Fiscal year 2012:
7	(A) New budget authority,
8	\$ 538,838,000,000.
9	(B) Outlays, \$ 528,111,000,000.
10	Fiscal year 2013:
11	(A) New budget authority,
12	\$ 552,025,000,000
13	(B) Outlays, \$ 544,786,000,000.
14	Fiscal year 2014:
15	(A) New budget authority,
16	\$ 565,541,000,000.
17	(B) Outlays, \$ 558,050,000.
18	Fiscal year 2015:
19	(A) New budget authority,
20	\$ 579, 657, 000, 000.
21	(B) Outlays, \$ 571,880,000,000.
22	Fiscal year 2016:
23	(A) New budget authority,
24	\$ 594, 636, 600,000.
25	(B) Outlays, \$ 590,776, 500,000.



1	(2) International Affairs (150):
2	Fiscal year 2007:
3	(A) New budget authority,
4	\$ 31,235,000,000
5	(B) Outlays, \$ 34, 389, 000,000.
6	Fiscal year 2008:
7	(A) New budget authority,
8	\$ 34, 225,000,000
9	(B) Outlays, \$ 33,429,000,000.
10	Fiscal year 2009:
11	(A) New budget authority,
12	\$ 34, 222,000,000.
13	(B) Outlays, \$ 33,319,000,000.
14	Fiscal year 2010:
15	(A) New budget authority,
16	\$ 33, 943, 000,000
17	(B) Outlays, \$_33, 167,000,000.
18	Fiscal year 2011:
19	(A) New budget authority,
20	\$ 34,382,000,000.
21	(B) Outlays, \$ 32,806,000,000.
22	Fiscal year 2012:
23	(A) New budget authority,
24	\$ 35,110,000,000
25	(B) Outlays, \$ 32, 577,000,000.



1	Fiscal year 2013:
2	(A) New budget authority
3	\$ 35, 926,000,000.
4	(B) Outlays, \$ 33, 075,000,000
5	Fiscal year 2014:
6	(A) New budget authority
7	\$ 36,795,000,000.
8	(B) Outlays, \$ 33, 701, 000, 000
9	Fiscal year 2015:
10	(A) New budget authority
11	\$ 37, 623, 000, 000.
12	(B) Outlays, \$ 34, 456,000,000
13	Fiscal year 2016:
14	(A) New budget authority,
15	\$ 38,428,000,000.
16	(B) Outlays, \$_35, 266,000,000
17	(3) General Science, Space, and Technology
18	(250):
19	Fiscal year 2007:
20	(A) New budget authority,
21	\$ 25, 938, 000, 000.
22	(B) Outlays, \$ 25,108,000,000.
23	Fiscal year 2008:
24	(A) New budget authority,
25	\$ 26,946,000,000



1	(B) Outlays, \$ 35,964,000,000
2	Fiscal year 2009:
3	(A) New budget authority,
4	\$ 27, 943, 000, 000
5	(B) Outlays, \$ 36, 930, 000, 000.
6	Fiscal year 2010:
7	(A) New budget authority,
8	\$ 29,110,000,000 .
9	(B) Outlays, \$ 28,000,000,000.
10	Fiscal year 2011:
11	(A) New budget authority,
12	\$ 30,339,000,000
13	(B) Outlays, \$ 29,164,000,006.
14	Fiscal year 2012:
15	(A) New budget authority,
16	\$ 30,914,000,000.
17	(B) Outlays, \$ 30,028,000
18	Fiscal year 2013:
19	(A) New budget authority,
20	\$ 31,471,000,000.
21	(B) Outlays, \$ 30, 641, 000,000.
22	Fiscal year 2014:
23	(A) New budget authority,
24	\$ 32,037, 000,000.
25	(B) Outlays, \$ 31, 225, 200, 000.



1	Fiscal year 2015:
2	(A) New budget authority
3	\$ 32,631, 000,000.
4	(B) Outlays, \$ 31,804,000,000.
5	Fiscal year 2016:
6	(A) New budget authority
7	\$ 33, 228, 200,000.
8	(B) Outlays, \$ 32,395,000,000.
9	(4) Energy (270):
10	Fiscal year 2007:
11	(A) New budget authority
12	\$ 2,476,000,000
13	(B) Outlays, \$ 949, 000,000.
14	Fiscal year 2008:
15	(A) New budget authority,
16	\$ 2,688,000,000.
17	(B) Outlays, \$ 708,000,000.
18	Fiscal year 2009:
19	(A) New budget authority,
20	\$ 2,544,000,000.
21	(B) Outlays, \$ 1,010,000,000.
22	Fiscal year 2010:
23	(A) New budget authority,
24	\$ 2,591,000,000
25	(B) Outlays, \$ 1,104, 500,000.



1	Fiscal year 2011:
2	(A) New budget authority,
3	\$ 2,606,000,000.
4	(B) Outlays, \$ 1,112,000,000.
5	Fiscal year 2012:
6	(A) New budget authority,
7	\$ 2,539, 000,000.
8	(B) Outlays, \$ 1,456,000,000.
9	Fiscal year 2013:
10	(A) New budget authority,
11	\$ <u>2,623,000,000</u> .
12	(B) Outlays, \$ 1,376,000,000.
13	Fiscal year 2014:
14	(A) New budget authority,
15	\$ <u>a,707,000,000</u> .
16	(B) Outlays, \$ 1,661,000,000
17	Fiscal year 2015:
18	(A) New budget authority,
19	\$ 2,792,000,000
20	(B) Outlays, \$ 1,844,000,000.
21	Fiscal year 2016:
22	(A) New budget authority,
23	\$ 2,887,000,000.
24	(B) Outlays, \$ 1, 915, 000,000.
25	(5) Natural Resources and Environment (300):



1	Fiscal year 2007:	
2	(A) New budget authori	ty
3	\$ 32,549,000,000	
4	(B) Outlays, \$ 34, 328,000,000.	
5	Fiscal year 2008:	
6	(A) New budget authoris	ty,
7	\$ 32,951,000,000.	
8	(B) Outlays, \$ 33, 641,000,000.	
9	Fiscal year 2009:	
10	(A) New budget authorit	у,
11	\$ 34, 243, 000, 000.	
12	(B) Outlays, \$ 34,464,000,000	
13	Fiscal year 2010:	
14	(A) New budget authorit	у,
15	\$ 34, 913, 000, 000.	
16	(B) Outlays, \$_35,166,000,000.	
17	Fiscal year 2011:	
18	(A) New budget authority	у,
19	\$ 35,359,000,000.	
20	(B) Outlays, \$ 35,542,000,000.	
21	Fiscal year 2012:	
22	(A) New budget authority	⁷ ,
23	\$ 36,361,000,000.	
24	(B) Outlays, \$ 36,443, 000,000	
25	Fiscal year 2013:	



1	(A)	New	budget	authority,
2	\$ 37, aa	9,000,b	<u>0</u> 0	
3	(B) (outlays, \$	37,215,00	00,000
4	Fiscal year	r 2014:		
5	(A)	New	budget	authority,
6	\$ <u>38,107,</u>	000,000		
7	(B) O	utlays, \$	37, 973, 6	<u>00,000</u> .
8	Fiscal year			
9	(A)	New	budget	authority,
10	\$ 39,05	000,00	<i>0</i> 0	
11	(B) O	utlays, \$_	38,777, 0	00,000.
12	Fiscal year	2016:		
13	(A)	New	budget	authority,
14	\$ 40,298	, 000, 00	p	
15	(B) O	utlays, \$_	39, 922, 01	00,000.
16	(6) Agriculture	(350):		
17	Fiscal year	2007:		
18	(A)	New	budget	authority,
19	\$ <u>27,546</u>	1000,000	,	
20	(B) Ou	ıtlays, \$_e	26, 819,000	, 000 ·
21	Fiscal year	2008:		
22	(A)	New	budget	authority,
23	\$ <u>25,577</u>	, 000 , 000	0	
24	(B) Ou	tlays, \$_	24,739,00	000,000
25	Fiscal year	2009:		



1	(\mathbf{A})	New	budget	authority,
2	\$ <u>24, 949</u>	, 000, 0	<u> </u>	
3	(B) Ou	ıtlays, \$	24, 182,	000,000
4	Fiscal year	2010:		
5	(A)	New	budget	authority,
6	\$ 23, 905	, 500,50	<u> 00</u>	,
7	(B) Ou	ıtlays, \$	23, 048,	000,000.
8	Fiscal year	2011:		
9	(A)	New	budget	authority,
10	\$ 23, 621,	000,000	D .	
11	(B) Ou	tlays, \$	22,857,	000,000
12	Fiscal year	2012:		
13	(A)	New	budget	authority,
14	\$ 23,434,	000, 000	<u>)</u> .	
15	(B) Ou	tlays, \$	22,735,	υ <u>οο , σο</u> υ
16	Fiscal year	2013:		
17	(A)	New	budget	authority,
18	\$ 23,213,6	000, 600	٥.	
19	(B) Out	tlays, \$_	22,527,	000,000
20	Fiscal year 2	2014:		
21	(A)	New	budget	authority,
22	\$ <u>23, 147,</u>	bb0, 000	<u>.</u>	
23	(B) Out	lays, \$_	22, 485, 6	000, 100
24	Fiscal vear 2	2015:		



1	(A) New budget authority,
2	\$ 22, 284,000,000.
3	(B) Outlays, \$21,648,000,000.
4	Fiscal year 2016:
5.	(A) New budget authority,
6	\$ 22,410,000,000.
7	(B) Outlays, \$ <u>21,758,000,000</u> .
8	(7) Commerce and Housing Credit (370):
9	Fiscal year 2007:
10	(A) New budget authority,
11	\$ 16,698,000,000.
12	(B) Outlays, \$ 8,073,000,000.
13	Fiscal year 2008:
14	(A) New budget authority,
15	\$ 13,958,000,000.
16	(B) Outlays, \$ 8,353,000,000.
17	Fiscal year 2009:
18	(A) New budget authority,
19	\$ 13,315,000,000.
20	(B) Outlays, \$_8,052,000,000.
21	Fiscal year 2010:
22	(A) New budget authority,
23	\$ 17,061,000,000.
24	(B) Outlays, \$ 9,093,000,000.
25	Fiscal year 2011:



1.	(A)	New	budget	authority,
2	\$ <mark>13,168,1</mark>	000,000	_·	
3	(B) O	utlays, \$	6,040,000	<u>, 00 b</u> .
4	Fiscal year			•
5	(A)	New	budget	authority,
6	\$ 13,396,1	000,000	_•	
7	(B) O	utlays, \$	5, 973, bbi	0.000
8	Fiscal year	2013:		
9	(A)	New	budget	authority,
10	\$ <u>13,500,0</u>	000,000	<u>1</u> .	
11	(B) Ou	utlays, \$_	5,603,00	<u>0,000</u> .
12	Fiscal year	2014:		
13	(A)	New	budget	authority,
14	\$ 13,601,0	000,000) .	
15	(B) Ou	ıtlays, \$_	4,964,000	. 000 .
16	Fiscal year	2015:		
17	(A)	New	budget	authority,
18	\$ 13,799, G	000,000	_•	
19	(B) Ou	tlays, \$_	4,706,000	. 000 .
20	Fiscal year	2016:		
21	(A)	New	budget	authority,
22	\$ 13, 922,	000,000	.•	
23	(B) Ou	tlays, \$_	4,592,000	. <u>000 ,</u> 0
24	(8) Transportation	on (400)	:	
25	Fiscal year	2007:		



1	(A)	New	budget	authority,
2	\$ 80,547	000,00	<u>0</u> .	
3		•	76,316,00	000,000
4	Fiscal year	2008:		
5	(A)	New	budget	authority,
6	\$ <u>83,069</u>	000,00	<u>o</u> .	
7	(B) O	utlays, \$	78,827,0	<u>,000,</u>
8	Fiscal year	2009:		
9	(A)	New	budget	authority,
10	\$ 75,081,	000,000	<u>.</u>	
11	(B) Ou	utlays, \$	79, 287,	000,000
12	Fiscal year	2010:	·	·
13	(A)	New	budget	authority,
14	\$ 75,886,	200,000	<u>)</u> .	
15	(B) Ou	ıtlays, \$_	80,019,0	00,000
16	Fiscal year	2011:		
17	(A)	New	budget	authority,
18	\$ 76,690	000,000	9	
19	(B) Ou	tlays, \$_	81,168,0	00,000
20	Fiscal year		•	•
21	(A)	New	budget	authority,
22	\$ 77,515,0	000,000	,	
23	(B) Ou	tlays, \$_	82,531,0	000,000
24	Fiscal year	2013:		



1		(A)	New	budget	authority,
2		\$ 78,361,			
3		(B) Ou	ıtlays,	\$ 84,000	000,000
4		Fiscal year	2014:		
5		(A)	New	budget	authority,
6	•	\$ 79,238	000	<u>po</u> D	
7		(B) Ou	tlays,	\$ <u>85,65</u> 2	1,000,000
8		Fiscal year	2015:		
- 9		(A)	New	budget	authority,
10		\$ 80,164,	000,0	DD C	
11		(B) Ou	tlays, s	\$ 67,783	, 600, 006
12		Fiscal year			•
13		(A)	New	budget	authority,
14		\$ 81,109,0	00,00	<u>o</u> .	
15		(B) Out	tlays, \$	89,530	000,000
16	(9)	Community	and	Regional	Development
17	(450):	•			
18		Fiscal year 2	2007:		
19		(A)	New	budget	authority,
20		\$ 16,363,0	00,00	D.	
21	100 PM	(B) Out	lays, \$	31,349,	000,000
22		Fiscal year 2	008:		·
23				budget	authority,
24		\$_13,673, oc	<u>00,00</u>	<u>D</u> .	
25		(B) Out	lays, \$	25,518.	and and



1	Fiscal year 2009:	
2	(A) New budget authori	ty,
3	\$ <u>13,951,000,000</u> .	
4	(B) Outlays, \$ 22,005,000,100.	
5	Fiscal year 2010:	
6	(A) New budget authori	ty,
7	\$ 14,224,000,000.	
8	(B) Outlays, \$ 18,481,000,000	
9	Fiscal year 2011:	
10	(A) New budget authorit	ty,
11	\$ 14,494,000,000	
12	(B) Outlays, \$ 14,343,000,000.	
13	Fiscal year 2012:	
14	(A) New budget authorit	y,
15	\$ 14,779, 600,000	
16	(B) Outlays, \$ 14,076,000,000	
17	Fiscal year 2013:	
18	(A) New budget authorit	y,
19	\$ <u>15,674,000,000</u>	
20	(B) Outlays, \$ 14,375, 000, 000.	
21	Fiscal year 2014:	
22	(A) New budget authority	y,
23	\$ 15, 365, 000, 000	
24	(B) Outlays, \$ 14, 666, 000, 000	
25	Fiscal year 2015:	



1	(A) New budget authority,
2	\$ 15,674,000,000
3	(B) Outlays, \$_14,959,000,000
4	Fiscal year 2016:
5	(A) New budget authority,
6	\$ 15,980,000,000
7	(B) Outlays, \$ 15, 296,000,000
8	(10) Education, Training, Employment, and
9	Social Services (500):
10	Fiscal year 2007:
11	(A) New budget authority,
12	\$ 90,774,000,000
13	(B) Outlays, \$ 91,065,000,000
14	Fiscal year 2008:
15	(A) New budget authority,
16	\$ 91,492,000,000
17	(B) Outlays, \$ <u>89,579,000,0</u> 00
18	Fiscal year 2009:
19	(A) New budget authority,
20	\$ 93, 275,000,000
21	(B) Outlays, \$ 90, 659,000,000
22	Fiscal year 2010:
23	(A) New budget authority,
24	\$ <u>95,237,000,</u> 000
25	(B) Outlays, \$ 92,524,000,000



1	Fiscal year 2	011:		
2	(A)	New	budget	authority,
3	\$ <u>95,890,0</u>	00,00) O	
4	(B) Outl	ays, \$_	94,307	000,000
5	Fiscal year 20	012:		•
6	(A)	New	budget	authority,
7	\$ 97, 126, 1	000,0	00 <u>0</u>	
8	(B) Outl	ays, \$_	94,816,	000,000
9	Fiscal year 20	013:		•
10	(A) 1	Vew	budget	authority,
11	\$ 98,372,	000,0	000	
12	(B) Outle	ays, \$_	96,074,	000,000
13	Fiscal year 20)14:	·	
14	(A) N	Vew	budget	authority,
15	\$ 99,885,	000,	606	
16	(B) Outla	ays, \$_	97,581,	000,000
17	Fiscal year 20	15:		
18	(A) N	Jew	budget	authority,
19	\$ <u>101,355,</u> 6	000,0	200	
20	(B) Outla	ıys, \$_	99,012,	000,000
21	Fiscal year 20	16:		•
22	(A) N	lew	budget	authority,
23	<u>\$ 102,811,</u>	000,	000	
24	(B) Outla	ys, \$ _/	100,459	000,000
25	(11) Health (550):			



1	Fiscal year 2007:
2	(A) New budget authority,
3	\$ 2 77,009,000,000
4	(B) Outlays, \$ 274,711,000,000
5	Fiscal year 2008:
6	(A) New budget authority,
7	\$ 2913,454,000,000
8	(B) Outlays, \$ 293 003,000,000
9	Fiscal year 2009:
10	(A) New budget authority,
11	\$ <u>314,496,000,000</u>
12	(B) Outlays, \$ <u>311,811, ∞∞, ∞</u> , ∞
13	Fiscal year 2010:
14	(A) New budget authority,
15	\$ 332,644,000,000
16	(B) Outlays, \$ 331, 249,000,000
17	Fiscal year 2011:
18	(A) New budget authority,
19	\$ 354,558,000,000
20	(B) Outlays, \$ <u>362,587,000,000</u>
21	Fiscal year 2012:
22	(A) New budget authority,
23	\$377,770,000,000
24	(B) Outlays, \$ <u>375,314,000,0</u> 00
25	Figeal year 2013.



1	(A) New budget authority,
2	\$ 403, 232,000,000
3	(B) Outlays, \$ 400,466,000,000
4	Fiscal year 2014:
5	(A) New budget authority,
6	\$ 430,683,000,000
7	(B) Outlays, \$ 427,732,000,000
8	Fiscal year 2015:
9	(A) New budget authority,
10	\$ 460,378,000,000
11	(B) Outlays, \$ 457, 224, 000,000
12	Fiscal year 2016:
13	(A) New budget authority,
14	\$492,667,000,000
15	(B) Outlays, \$ 489,380,000,000
16	(12) Medicare (570):
17	Fiscal year 2007:
18	(A) New budget authority,
19	\$ 382,955,000,000
20	(B) Outlays, \$ <u>388,413,000,0</u> 00
21	Fiscal year 2008:
22	(A) New budget authority,
23	\$ 413,820,000,000
24	(B) Outlays, \$ 4/3,8/5,000,000
25	Fiscal year 2009:



1	(A)	New	budget	authority,
2	\$ 444 O	52,00	2,000	
3				4,000,000
4	Fiscal year		·	•
5	(A)	New	budget	authority,
6	\$ 473,9	89,000	,000	
7	(B) O	utlays, \$	474,194	,000,000
8	Fiscal year	2011:		•
9	(A)	New	budget	authority,
10	\$ 524,50	09,000	1000	
11	(B) O	utlays, \$	524,498	<u>,000,000</u>
12	Fiscal year	2012:		
13	(A)	New	budget	authority,
14	\$ 527, 72	28,000,0	<u> </u>	
15	(B) O	utlays, \$_	527, 316,	<u>000,000</u>
16	Fiscal year	2013:		
17	(A)	New	budget	authority,
18	\$ 588, \$	14,000,	000	
19	(B) Or	ıtlays, \$_	588, 882	000,000
20	Fiscal year	2014:		
21	(A)	New	budget	authority,
22	\$ <u>637,81</u>	1,000,0	200	
23	(B) Ou	ıtlays, \$_	637, 832	∞
24	Fiscal year	2015:	· ·	



1	(A) New budget authority,	
2	\$ 692,503,000,000	
3	(B) Outlays, \$ <u>691,980,000,000</u>	
4	Fiscal year 2016:	
5	(A) New budget authority,	
6	\$ <u>778, 449, 000,</u> 000	
7	(B) Outlays, \$ 778, 642,000,000	0
8	(13) Income Security (600):	
9	Fiscal year 2007:	
10	(A) New budget authority,	
11	\$358,606,000,000	
12	(B) Outlays, \$ 363,159,000,000	>
13	Fiscal year 2008:	
14	(A) New budget authority,	
15	\$ 373,318,000,000	
16	(B) Outlays, \$ 374,098,000,000	>
17	Fiscal year 2009:	
18	(A) New budget authority,	
19	\$ 385,726,000,000	
20	(B) Outlays, \$ 387, 538,000,000	
21	Fiscal year 2010:	
22	(A) New budget authority,	
23	\$ <u>397,837,000,0</u> 00	
24	(B) Outlays, \$ 398,691,000,000	
25	Fiscal year 2011:	



1	(A) New budget authority,
2	\$ 413,602,000,000
3	(B) Outlays, \$ <u>413,948,000,0</u> 00
4	Fiscal year 2012:
5	(A) New budget authority,
6	\$ 400, 150,000,000
7	(B) Outlays, \$ <u>399,943,000,</u> 000
8	Fiscal year 2013:
9	(A) New budget authority,
10	\$ 415,125,000,000
11	(B) Outlays, \$ <u>415, 175, 000, 000</u>
12	Fiscal year 2014:
13	(A) New budget authority,
14	\$ 426,333,000,000
15	(B) Outlays, \$ 426,726,000,000
16	Fiscal year 2015:
17	(A) New budget authority,
18	\$ 437,450,000,000
19	(B) Outlays, \$ 438, 186,000,000
20	Fiscal year 2016:
21	(A) New budget authority,
22	\$ <u>453,901,000,0</u> 00
23	(B) Outlays, \$ 454,986,000,000
24	(14) Social Security (650):
25	Fiscal year 2007:



1	(A) New budget authority,
2	\$16,922,000,000
3	(B) Outlays, \$_16,922,000,000
4	Fiscal year 2008:
5	(A) New budget authority,
6	\$ 18,814,000,000
7	(B) Outlays, \$_18, 814,000,000
8	Fiscal year 2009:
9	(A) New budget authority,
10	\$ 20,694,000,000
11	(B) Outlays, \$ <u>20,694,000,000</u>
12	Fiscal year 2010:
13	(A) New budget authority,
14	\$ 22,866,000,000
15	(B) Outlays, \$ 22,866,000,000
16	Fiscal year 2011:
17	(A) New budget authority,
18	\$ 26,480,000,000
19	(B) Outlays, \$ 26,480,000,000
20	Fiscal year 2012:
21	(A) New budget authority,
22	\$ <u>29,423,000,000</u>
23	(B) Outlays, \$ 29,423,000,000
24	Fiscal year 2013:



1	(A)	New	budget	authority,
2	\$ <u>32,16</u>	8,000,0	5 00	
3	(B) O	utlays, \$	32,168,0	200 <u>,000</u>
4	Fiscal year	c 2014:		
5	(A)	New	budget	authority,
6	\$ 35,122	2,000,0	00	
7	(B) O	utlays, \$	35,122,0	000,000
8	Fiscal year	2015:		
9	(A)	New	budget	authority,
10	\$ 38,36	2,000,0	200	
11	(B) O	utlays, \$_	38, 362	<u>,000,</u> 000
12	Fiscal year	2016:		
13	(A)	New	budget	authority,
14	\$ 42, 04	8,000,	000	
15	(B) O	utlays, \$_	42,048	,000,000
16	(15) Veterans E	Benefits a	nd Services	(700):
17	Fiscal year	2007:		
18	(A)	New	budget	authority,
19	\$ 74,62	7,000,	(JOO)	
20	(B) Or	utlays, \$_	13,944,0	000, <u>0</u> 00
21	Fiscal year	2008:		
22	(A)	New	budget	authority,
23	\$7 6,98 4	1,000,0	000	
24	(B) Ou	atlays, \$_	77,251,0	000,000
25	Fiscal year	2009:		



1	(A)	New	budget	authority,
2	\$ 79,24	0,000,	COO	
3				,000,000
4	Fiscal year		•	
5	(A)	New	budget	authority,
6	\$ <u>81,345</u>	8,000,0	200	
7	(B) O	utlays, \$_	81,053,0	000,000
8	Fiscal year	2011:		
9	(A)	New	budget	authority,
10	\$ De, 39:	2,000,0	000	
11	(B) O	utlays, \$_	86,051,0	000,000
12	Fiscal year	2012:		
13	(A)	New	budget	authority,
14	\$ 83 080	1,000,	000	
15	(B) O	utlays, \$_	82,679,	000,000
16	Fiscal year	2013:		
17	(A)	New	budget	authority,
18	<u>\$ 88,438</u>	3,000,0	000	
19	(B) O	utlays, \$_	88,016,0	000,000
20	Fiscal year	2014:		
21	(A)	New	budget	authority,
22	\$ <u>90,78</u> 4	1,000,0	200	ı
23	(B) Or	utlays, \$_	90,395,0	000,000
24	Fiscal year	2015:		



1	(A) New budget authority,
2	\$ 93, 256,000,000.
3	(B) Outlays, \$42,813,000,000.
4	Fiscal year 2016:
5	(A) New budget authority,
6	\$ 99,303,000,000.
7	(B) Outlays, \$ 99,030,000,000.
8	(16) Administration of Justice (750):
9	Fiscal year 2007:
10	(A) New budget authority,
11	\$42,856,000,000.
12	(B) Outlays, \$43,683,000,000.
13	Fiscal year 2008:
14	(A) New budget authority,
15	\$ 42,899,000,000.
16	(B) Outlays, \$ <u>43,573,000,000</u> .
17	Fiscal year 2009:
18	(A) New budget authority,
19	\$ 43,615,000,000
20	(B) Outlays, \$ <u>43,874,000,800</u> .
21	Fiscal year 2010:
22	(A) New budget authority,
23	\$ <u>44,744.009,000.</u>
24	(B) Outlays, \$44,654,000,000.
25	Fiscal year 2011:



1	(A) New budget authority,
2	\$ <u>45,909,000,00</u> 0
3	(B) Outlays, \$ 45 531,000,000
4	Fiscal year 2012:
5	(A) New budget authority,
6	\$ <u>47,116,000,000</u> .
7	(B) Outlays, \$ <u>46,722,000,000</u> .
8	Fiscal year 2013:
9	(A) New budget authority,
10	\$ 48,334,000,000
11	(B) Outlays, \$ <u>47,905,000,000</u>
12	Fiscal year 2014:
13	(A) New budget authority,
14	\$ 49,606,000,000
15	(B) Outlays, \$49,173,000,000.
16	Fiscal year 2015:
17	(A) New budget authority,
18	\$ 53,865,000,000.
19	(B) Outlays, \$ 53,426,000,000 .
20	Fiscal year 2016:
21	(A) New budget authority,
22,	\$ 55,571,000,000.
23	(B) Outlays, \$ <u>55, [21,000,000</u> .
24	(17) General Government (800):
25	Fiscal year 2007:



1	(A)	New	budget	authority,
2	\$ 19 ₁ 376	2000)000	2.	
3	(B) C	Outlays, \$	19, 135,00	0,000.
4	Fiscal year		•	
5	(A)	New	budget	authority,
6	\$ <u>19,003,</u>	000,000	<u>)</u> .	
7	(B) O	utlays, \$	18,921,00	<u>00,000</u> .
8	Fiscal year	r 2009:		
9	(A)	New	budget	authority,
10	\$ 19,573	000,000	<u>)</u> .	
11	(B) O	utlays, \$_	19,188,00	00,000.
12	Fiscal year	2010:		
13	(A)	New	budget	authority,
14	\$ 20 \ 3°	1,000,000	2	
15	(B) O	utlays, \$_	19,738,0	<u> </u>
16	Fiscal year	2011:		
17	(A)	New	budget	authority,
18	\$ 20,655	,000,00	י	
19	(B) O	utlays, \$ <u>7</u>	20,290,00	0,000.
20	Fiscal year	2012:		
21	(A)	New	budget	authority,
22	\$ Z1 343	000,000).	
23	(B) Ou	ıtlays, \$ <u>2</u>	1,118,000	<u>,000</u> .
24	Figal voar	2012.		



1	(A)	New	budget	authority
2	\$ 22,06	4,000,	<u>0</u> 00	
3	(B) O	utlays, \$	21,635,	000,000
4	Fiscal year	2014:		·
5	(A)	New	budget	authority,
6	\$ 22,78	9,000,0	<u> </u>	
7	(B) O	utlays, \$	22,326	000,000
8	Fiscal year	2015:		
9	(A)	New	budget	authority,
10	\$ <u>23,56</u>	6,000,0	Ø0	
11	(B) O	utlays, \$_	23,129,	000,000
12	Fiscal year	2016:		
13	(A)	New	budget	authority,
14	\$ 24,33	B,000,0	00.	
15	(B) O	utlays, \$_	24,077	000,000
16	(18) Net Interes	st (900):		
17	Fiscal year	2007:		
18	(A)	New	budget	authority,
19	\$ <u>353,5</u>	39,000	000	
20	(B) O	ıtlays, \$_	<u>353,539,</u>	000,000
21	Fiscal year	2008:		
22	(A)	New	budget	authority,
23	\$ <u>383,53</u>	7,000,	.000	
24	(B) Ot	ıtlays, \$_	383,537,	00 <u>0, 0</u> 00
25	Fiscal year	2009:		



1	(A) New budget authority,
2	\$406,539,000,000
3	(B) Outlays, \$ 406, 539, 000, 000
4	Fiscal year 2010:
5	(A) New budget authority,
6	\$ 429,566,000,000
7	(B) Outlays, \$429, 566,000, 00c
8	Fiscal year 2011:
9	(A) New budget authority,
10	\$ 450,328,000,000
11	(B) Outlays, \$ 450, 328, 600, 00 6
12	Fiscal year 2012:
13	(A) New budget authority,
14	\$ 465,156,000,000
15	(B) Outlays, \$ 465, 156,000,000
16	Fiscal year 2013:
17	(A) New budget authority,
18	\$ 475, 823,000,000
19	(B) Outlays, \$ 475, 813,000,000
20	Fiscal year 2014:
21	(A) New budget authority,
22	\$ 487,343,000,000
23	(B) Outlays, \$ 487,343,000,000
24	Fiscal year 2015:



1	(A) New budget authority,
2	\$ <u>498,585,000,</u> 000
3	(B) Outlays, \$ 498,585,000,000
4	Fiscal year 2016:
5	(A) New budget authority,
6	\$ 508, 349, 600,000
7	(B) Outlays, \$ <u>508,349</u> 000.000
8	(19) Allowances (920):
9	Fiscal year 2007:
10	(A) New budget authority,
11	\$ 4,348,000,000.
12	(B) Outlays, \$ 3,696,000,000
13	Fiscal year 2008:
14	(A) New budget authority,
15	\$ - 2,397,000,000
16	(B) Outlays, \$ -1,385,000,000
17	Fiscal year 2009:
18	(A) New budget authority,
19	\$ -4,325,000,000
20	(B) Outlays, \$ -4,036,600,000
21	Fiscal year 2010:
22	(A) New budget authority,
23	\$ -4,390,000,000
24	(B) Outlays, \$ -4,380,000,000
25	Fiscal year 2011:



1	(A) N	lew	budget	authority,
2	\$ <u>-4,554,00</u>	20,00).	
3	(B) Outla	ıys, \$ <u>_</u>	-4,529,000,	.000
4	Fiscal year 20	12:	•	
5	(A) N	lew	budget	authority,
6	\$ <u>~1,190,000</u>	000	<u>.</u> .	
7	(B) Outle	ıys, \$_	-1,661,000,	000
8	Fiscal year 20	13:		
9	(A) N	Iew	budget	authority,
10	\$ <u>-1,140,000</u>	2,000		
11	(B) Outla	ıys, \$ <u>-</u>	-1,142,000,	000
12	Fiscal year 20	14:		
13	(A) N	Iew	budget	authority,
14	\$ -1,130,00	•		
15	(B) Outla	ys, \$_	1,132,000	000
16	Fiscal year 20	15:		
17			budget	authority,
18	\$ <u>-1,120,000</u>	,∞0		
19	(B) Outla	ys, \$_	-1,122,00	<u>0,000</u> .
20	Fiscal year 20	16:		
21	(A) N	lew	budget	authority,
22	\$ <u>~(,[10_t000</u> t	000		
23	(B) Outla	ys, \$_	-1,112,000	000.
24	(20) Undistributed	Offset	ting Receipt	s (950):
25	Fiscal year 20	$07 \cdot$		



1	(A) New	budget	authority,
2	\$ <i>-6</i> 8,585,000,0	000	
3	(B) Outlays, \$	-69,427,	000,000
4	Fiscal year 2008:	•	·
5	(A) New	budget	authority,
6	\$ <u>~68,727,000,00</u>	0.	
7	(B) Outlays, \$	-68,399,0	000,000
8	Fiscal year 2009:		
9	(A) New	budget	authority,
10	\$ -74,480,800,00	<u>D</u> .	
11	(B) Outlays, \$	-74,199,0	00,000.
12	Fiscal year 2010:		
13	(A) New	budget	authority,
14	\$ <u>-66,775,000,00</u>	<u>0</u> .	
15	(B) Outlays, \$5	-66,588 ₁ 0	000,000
16	Fiscal year 2011:		
17	(A) New	budget	authority,
18	\$ <u>-69,284,000,000</u>	<u>)</u> .	
19	(B) Outlays, \$_	-69,247,0	00,000
20	Fiscal year 2012:		
21	(A) New	budget	authority,
22	\$ -71,103,000,000	<u>)</u> .	
23	(B) Outlays, \$_	-71,094,0	00 ,000 .
24	Fiscal year 2013:		



1	(A) New budget authority,
2	\$ <u>-75,335,000,0</u> 00
3	(B) Outlays, \$ <u>-75</u> ,335,000,000
4	Fiscal year 2014:
5	(A) New budget authority,
6	\$-77,966,000,000.
7	(B) Outlays, \$ <u>-77,966,000,000</u>
8	Fiscal year 2015:
9	(A) New budget authority,
10	\$ - 80, 876, 000,000
11	(B) Outlays, \$ -80, 976,000,000
12	Fiscal year 2016:
13	(A) New budget authority,
14	\$ <u>-94,260,000,000</u>
15	(B) Outlays, \$ -84,260,000,000
16	TITLE II—RESERVE FUNDS
17	SEC. 201. RESERVE FUND TO ADDRESS MEDICARE ADVAN-
18	TAGE OVERPAYMENTS AND IMPROVE THE
19	MEDICARE PRESCRIPTION DRUG BENEFIT.
20	(a) In General.—In the House, if the Committee
21	on Ways and Means or the Committee on Energy and
22	Commerce reports a bill or joint resolution, or if an
23	amendment thereto is offered or a conference report there-
24	on is submitted, that provides for a reduction in new budg-
25	etary authority and outlays under part C of title XVIII



14

poses.

- of the Social Security Act (Medicare Advantage), including elimination of the Medicare Advantage Regional Plan Stabilization Fund, or through authority to negotiate prescription drug prices, or both, and that provides for new budget authority in a corresponding amount through authority to improve the Medicare prescription drug benefit described in subsection (b), to the extent that the combined effect would not increase the deficit for fiscal year 2007 and for the period of fiscal years 2007 through 2016, the chairman of the Committee on the Budget shall revise the appropriate budgetary aggregates and allocations of new budget authority and outlays to take into account the budgetary effects of such measures for such pur-
- 15 (b) AUTHORITY DEFINED.—For purposes of sub-16 section (a), the authority described in this section may in-17 clude any of the following:
- 18 (1) Reductions in beneficiary cost-sharing, in-19 cluding partial or complete elimination of the donut 20 hole.
- 21 (2) Minimum standard transition coverage for 22 new enrollees, or enrollees changing prescription 23 drug plans.



1	(3) Pronibition of additional restrictions or limi-
2	tations on coverage during the year, such as chang-
3	ing the formulary.
4	(4) Reimbursement of third parties for 2006
5	transition costs.
6	(5) Other methods that simplify enrollment (in-
7	cluding initial enrollment, annual enrollment, or
8	changes in between plans) in Part D or improve the
9	Medicare Part D drug benefit; and
10	(6) Creation of a prescription drug plan option
11	offered through Medicare with drug prices nego-
12	tiated by the Secretary of the Department of Health
13	and Human Services.
14	SEC. 202. DEFICIT-NEUTRAL RESERVE FUND FOR HEALTH
15	INSURANCE COVERAGE FOR THE UNIN-
16	SURED.
17	In the House, if legislation is reported, or if an
18	amendment thereto is offered or a conference report there-
19	on is submitted, that provides affordable, comprehensive
20	health insurance to the uninsured and builds upon and
21	strengthens public and private coverage, including ensur-
22	ing that cost-sharing is affordable and protecting Medicaid
23	beneficiaries from cost-sharing increases and preventing
24	the erosion of Medicaid, State Children's Health Insur-
~ =	ance Program, and other public and private coverage, the



1	chairman of the committee on the Budget may make the
2	appropriate adjustments in allocations and aggregates to
3	the extent such measure is deficit neutral in fiscal year
4	2007 and for the period of fiscal years 2007 through
5	2016.
6	SEC. 203. DEFICIT-NEUTRAL RESERVE FUND TO PROTECT
7	MEDICARE BENEFICIARIES WHO ENROLL IN
8.	THE PRESCRIPTION DRUG BENEFIT DURING
9	2006.
10	In the House, if legislation is reported, or if an
11	amendment thereto is offered or a conference report there-
12	on is submitted that extends the annual open enrollment
13	period under the Medicare prescription drug program
14	under part D of title XVIII through all of 2006 without
15	imposing a late enrollment penalty for months during such
16	period the chairman of the committee on the Budget may
17	make the appropriate adjustments in allocations and ag-
18	gregates to the extent such measure is deficit neutral in
19	fiscal year 2007 and for the period of fiscal years 2007
20	through 2016.
21	SEC. 204. DEFICIT-NEUTRAL RESERVE FUND FOR PHYSI-
22	CIAN PAYMENT INCREASES UNDER MEDI-
23	CARE.
24	(a) In General.—In the House, if the Committee
25	on Ways and Means or the Committee on Energy and



1	Commerce reports a bill or joint resolution, or if an
2	amendment thereto is offered or a conference report there-
3	on is submitted, that has the effect of increasing the reim-
4	bursement rate for physicians under section 1848(d) of
5	the Social Security Act, the chairman of the committee
6	on the Budget may make the appropriate adjustments in
7	allocations and aggregates to the extent such measure is
8	deficit neutral in fiscal year 2007 and for the period of
9	fiscal years 2007 through 2016.
10	SEC. 205. RESERVE FUND FOR THE REFORM OF THE REGU-
11	LATION OF GOVERNMENT-SPONSORED EN-
11	
12	TERPRISES.
12	TERPRISES.
12 13	TERPRISES. In the House, if—
12 13 14	TERPRISES. In the House, if— (1) the Committee on Financial Services reports
12 13 14 15	TERPRISES. In the House, if— (1) the Committee on Financial Services reports a bill or joint resolution, or if an amendment is of-
12 13 14 15 16	TERPRISES. In the House, if— (1) the Committee on Financial Services reports a bill or joint resolution, or if an amendment is of- fered thereto or a conference report is submitted
12 13 14 15 16 17	TERPRISES. In the House, if— (1) the Committee on Financial Services reports a bill or joint resolution, or if an amendment is of- fered thereto or a conference report is submitted thereon, that reforms the regulation of certain hous-
12 13 14 15 16 17	TERPRISES. In the House, if— (1) the Committee on Financial Services reports a bill or joint resolution, or if an amendment is offered thereto or a conference report is submitted thereon, that reforms the regulation of certain housing-related Government-sponsored enterprises; and
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	TERPRISES. In the House, if— (1) the Committee on Financial Services reports a bill or joint resolution, or if an amendment is offered thereto or a conference report is submitted thereon, that reforms the regulation of certain housing-related Government-sponsored enterprises; and (2) that committee is within its allocation as
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	TERPRISES. In the House, if— (1) the Committee on Financial Services reports a bill or joint resolution, or if an amendment is offered thereto or a conference report is submitted thereon, that reforms the regulation of certain housing-related Government-sponsored enterprises; and (2) that committee is within its allocation as provided under section 302(a) of the Congressional
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	In the House, if— (1) the Committee on Financial Services reports a bill or joint resolution, or if an amendment is offered thereto or a conference report is submitted thereon, that reforms the regulation of certain housing-related Government-sponsored enterprises; and (2) that committee is within its allocation as provided under section 302(a) of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974;



1	deficit for fiscal year 2007 and the period of fiscal year
2	2007 through 2016.
3	SEC. 206. RESERVE FUND FOR CALENDAR YEAR 2007 AL
4	TERNATIVE MINIMUM TAX RELIEF.
5	If the Committee on Ways and Means reports a bill
6	or an amendment is offered thereto or a conference repor
7	is submitted thereon, that would increase the exemption
8	amounts specified in section 55(d)(1) of the Internal Rev
9	enue Code of 1986 with respect to taxable years beginning
10	in calendar year 2007, the chairman of the Committee or
11	the Budget may make the appropriate adjustments in allo-
12	cations and aggregates for fiscal year 2007 to the extent
13	that such legislation would not reduce revenues below the
14	aggregate level of revenues provided in section 101(1)(A)
15	for the period of fiscal years 2007 through 2016.
16	SEC. 207. RESERVE FUND FOR SECURE RURAL SCHOOLS
17	AND COMMUNITY SELF-DETERMINATION ACT
18	REAUTHORIZATION.
19	In the House, after the filing of a rule that provides
20	for the consideration of any bill or joint resolution or
21	whenever any bill or joint resolution is placed on any cal-
22	endar, or if an amendment is offered to or conference re-
23	port is submitted on any bill or joint resolution that pro-
24	vides for the reauthorization of the Secure Rural Schools
25	and Community Self-Determination Act (Public Law 106-



1	393), then the chairman of the Committee on the Budget
2	may make the appropriate adjustments in allocations and
3	aggregates to the extent that such legislation would not
4	increase the deficit for the period of fiscal years 2007
5	through 2016.
6	SEC. 208. RESERVE FUND FOR THE NATIONAL FLOOD IN-
7	SURANCE PROGRAM TO MEET OUTSTANDING
8	CLAIMS FOR FLOOD DAMAGE IN THE GULF.
9	In the House the chairman of the Committee on the
10	Budget may make the appropriate adjustments in alloca-
11	tions and aggregates for the purpose of liquidating the
12	National Flood Insurance Fund's remaining contractual
13	obligations resulting from claims made as a result of
14	floods that occurred in 2005.
15	TITLE III—BUDGET
16	ENFORCEMENT
17	SEC. 301. REQUIRING A SEPARATE VOTE IF THE STATU-
18	TORY DEBT LIMIT IS TO BE INCREASED.
19	The Rules of the House of Representatives are
20	amended by repealing rule XXVII (relating to the statu-
21	tory limit on the debt).
22	SEC. 302. RECONCILIATION SHALL NOT BE USED TO IN-
23	CREASE THE DEFICIT.
24	
24	(a) Point of Order.—It shall not be in order in



- 1 budget in which the combined effect of any reconciliation
- 2 instructions increases the deficit for any of the following
- 3 periods:
- 4 (1) The first year covered by that concurrent
- 5 resolution on the budget.
- 6 (2) The period of the first 5 fiscal years covered
- 7 by that concurrent resolution on the budget.
- 8 SEC. 303. ADJUSTMENTS FOR TAX LEGISLATION.
- 9 In the House, if the Committee on Ways and Means
- 10 reports a bill or joint resolution, or an amendment is of-
- 11 fered thereto or a conference report is submitted thereon,
- 12 that amends the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 by ex-
- 13 tending the expiration dates for Federal tax policies that
- 14 expired during fiscal year 2006 or that expire during the
- 15 period of fiscal years 2007 through 2016, then the chair-
- 16 man of the Committee on the Budget may make appro-
- 17 priate adjustments in the allocations and aggregates of
- 18 budget authority, outlays, and revenue set forth in this
- 19 resolution to reflect the budgetary effects of such legisla-
- 20 tion, but only to the extent the adjustments would not
- 21 cause the level of revenue to be less than the level of rev-
- 22 enue provided for in this resolution for the period of fiscal
- 23 years 2007 through 2016 and would not cause the deficit
- 24 to exceed the appropriate level of deficits provided for in



- 1 this resolution for the period of fiscal years 2007 through
- 2 2016.
- 3 SEC. 304. EXEMPTION OF AVIAN BIRD FLU RESPONSE.
- 4 In the House, if any bill or joint resolution is re-
- 5 ported, or an amendment is offered thereto or a conference
- 6 report is filed thereon, that makes appropriations for fiscal
- 7 year 2007 to combat avian flu, increase local prepared-
- 8 ness, and develop a vaccine to innoculate the United
- 9 States population, then the new budget authority, new en-
- 10 titlement authority, or outlays resulting therefrom shall
- 11 not count for purposes of titles III or IV of the Congres-
- 12 sional Budget Act of 1974.
- 13 SEC. 305. OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS.
- 14 (a) Exemption of Overseas Contingency Oper-
- 15 ATIONS.—In the House, if any bill or joint resolution is
- 16 reported, or an amendment is offered thereto or a con-
- 17 ference report is filed thereon, that makes appropriations
- 18 for fiscal year 2007 for contingency operations directly re-
- 19 lated to the global war on terrorism, and other unantici-
- 20 pated defense-related operations, then the new budget au-
- 21 thority, new entitlement authority, outlays, or receipts re-
- 22 sulting therefrom shall not count for purposes of titles III
- 23 or IV of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974.
- 24 (b) Current Level.—Amounts included in this res-
- 25 olution for the purpose set forth in this section shall be



- considered to be current law for purposes of the preparation of the current level of budget authority and outlays 3 and the appropriate levels shall be adjusted upon the en-4 actment of such bill. TITLE IV—SENSE OF CONGRESS 5 6 SEC. 401. SENSE OF THE HOUSE ON DEFENSE PRIORITIES. 7 It is the sense of the House that— 8 (1) funding cooperative threat reduction and 9 nuclear nonproliferation programs at a level com-10 mensurate with the risk is also a compelling home-11 land defense priority, and the President's budget 12 should have requested sufficient funding for these 13 programs; 14 funding Army National Guard troop 15 strength to the authorized level of 350,000 is critical 16 to support homeland defense, crisis response in the 17 United States, and to support overseas deployments 18 and the President's budget should have requested 19 sufficient funding for this requirement; 20 (3) ensuring the \$150,000 death gratuity ben-
 - (3) ensuring the \$150,000 death gratuity benefit be provided retroactively to those beneficiaries from May 5, 2005, through August 31, 2005, is a high priority that should not have been omitted from the President's budget request;



21

22

23

24

1	(4) increasing the level of free life insurance
2	coverage from \$150,000 to \$400,000 to service
3	members in a combat zone through the Service
4	members Group Life Insurance Program (SGLI) is
5	a high priority which should not have been omitted
6	from the President's budget request;
7	(5) ensuring Tricare health care fees for mili-
8	tary retirees under the age of 65 remain at current
9	rates is a high priority;
10	(6) increasing pay and reenlistment bonuses are
11	high priorities which should not have been omitted
12	from the President's budget request because they
13	are critical to the retention of experienced personnel,
14	particularly senior noncommissioned officers and
15	junior officers;
16	(7) increasing funds for family service centers
17	to support families of deploying service members is
18	a high priority, and the President's budget should
19	have requested sufficient funding for this purpose;
20	(8) funding the Missile Defense Agency at a
21	substantial but lower level and de-emphasizing
22	space-based interceptor development will ensure a
23	more measured acquisition strategy, yet still support

a robust ballistic missile defense program;



24

1	(9) funding satellite research, development, and
2	procurement at a level below the amount requested
3	for fiscal year 2007, which amounts to a 16 percent
4	increase above the current level, will be sufficient to
5	develop new satellite technologies while ensuring a
6	more prudent acquisition strategy;
7	(10) providing sufficient resources to implement
8	Government Accountability Office recommendations,
9	such as improving financial management and con-
10	tracting practices at the Department of Defense,
11	should identify billions of dollars of obligations and
12	disbursements and government overcharges for
13	which the Department of Defense cannot account,
14	and should result in substantial annual savings;
15	(11) all savings that accrue from the actions
16	recommended in paragraphs (8) through (10) should
17	be used to fund higher priorities within the national
18	security function of the budget (050), and especially
19	those high priorities identified in paragraphs (1)
20	through (7).
21	SEC. 402. POLICY.
22	It is the policy of this concurrent resolution on the
23	budget to balance long-term deficit reduction with middle-
24	income tax relief, such as—
25	(1) extension of the child tax credit;



1	(2) extension of marriage penalty relief;
2	(3) extension of the 10 percent individual in-
3	come tax bracket;
4	(4) modification of the alternative minimum tax
5	to minimize its impact on middle-income taxpayers;
6	(5) elimination of estate taxes on all but a
7	minute fraction of estates by reforming and substan-
8	tially increasing the unified credit;
9	(6) extension of the research and experimen-
10	tation tax credit; and
11	(7) extension of the deduction for State and
12	local sales taxes.
13	To achieve this tax relief, this resolution assumes
14	\$150,000,000,000 in tax relief, and the accommodation
15	of additional tax relief provided the additional revenue loss
16	is offset such as through the recovery of a portion of un-
17	paid revenue (commonly known as the "tax gap") owed
18	the United States Treasury under the existing tax code
19	and estimated to be \$290,000,000,000 in 2001 alone.
20	SEC. 403. SENSE OF THE HOUSE REGARDING PAY PARITY.
21	It is the sense of the House that—
22	(1) compensation for civilian and military em-
23	ployees of the United States, without whom we can-
24	not successfully serve and protect our citizens and
25	taxpayers, must be sufficient to support our critical



1	efforts to recruit, retain, and reward quality people
2	effectively and responsibly; and
3	(2) to achieve this objective, the rate of increase
4	in the compensation of civilian employees should be
5	equal to that for military employees.
6	SEC. 404. SENSE OF THE HOUSE ON EXTENSION OF THE
7	STATUTORY PAY-AS-YOU-GO RULE.
8	It is the sense of the House that in order to reduce
9	the deficit Congress should extend PAYGO in its original
10	form in the Budget Enforcement Act of 1990, making the
11	rule apply both to tax decreases and to mandatory spend-
12	ing increases.
13	SEC. 405. SENSE OF THE HOUSE ON TAX SIMPLIFICATION
14	AND TAX FAIRNESS.
15	It is the sense of the House that—
16	(1) the current tax system has been made in-
17	creasingly complex and unfair to the detriment of
18	the vast majority of working Americans during the
19	past decade;
20	(2) constant change and manipulation of the
21	tax code have adverse effects on taxpayers under-
22	standing and trust in the Nation's tax laws;
23	(3) these increases in complexity and inequity
24	have made compliance more challenging for the aver-
25	age taxpayer and small business owner, increasing



tax gap;
budget
recent
action to
rden for
the con-
tax code
res that
ires that e future
e future
e future
e future
e future CURITY.
e future CURITY. omeland equested
e future CCURITY. omeland equested aittee on
e future CCURITY. omeland equested aittee on
e future CURITY. omeland equested nittee on y subse-
e future CCURITY. omeland equested attee on y subse-
e future CURITY. omeland equested aittee on y subse- 000,000 07, and
r

ment; Function 550 Health; and Function 750 Ad-



25

1	ministration of Justice) which fund most nondefense
2	homeland security activities; and
3	(3) the homeland security funding provided in
4	this resolution will help to strengthen the security of
5	our Nation's transportation system, particularly our
6	ports where significant security shortfalls still exist
7	and foreign ports by expanding efforts to identify
8	and scan all high-risk U.Sbound cargo, equip our
9	first responders, help secure our borders, increase
10	the preparedness of our public health system, and
11	strengthen the Nation's homeland security.
12	SEC. 407. SENSE OF THE HOUSE REGARDING FUNDING FOR
13	THE MANUFACTURING EXTENSION PARTNER-
13 14	THE MANUFACTURING EXTENSION PARTNER-SHIP.
14	SHIP.
14 15	SHIP. It is the sense of the House that this resolution re-
141516	SHIP. It is the sense of the House that this resolution rejects the President's budget cuts to the Manufacturing
14 15 16 17	SHIP. It is the sense of the House that this resolution rejects the President's budget cuts to the Manufacturing Extension Partnership, and ensures sufficient funding to
14 15 16 17 18	SHIP. It is the sense of the House that this resolution rejects the President's budget cuts to the Manufacturing Extension Partnership, and ensures sufficient funding to protect the ability of the Manufacturing Extension Part-
14 15 16 17 18 19	SHIP. It is the sense of the House that this resolution rejects the President's budget cuts to the Manufacturing Extension Partnership, and ensures sufficient funding to protect the ability of the Manufacturing Extension Partnership to continue helping small manufacturers reach
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	SHIP. It is the sense of the House that this resolution rejects the President's budget cuts to the Manufacturing Extension Partnership, and ensures sufficient funding to protect the ability of the Manufacturing Extension Partnership to continue helping small manufacturers reach their optimal performance and create jobs.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	It is the sense of the House that this resolution rejects the President's budget cuts to the Manufacturing Extension Partnership, and ensures sufficient funding to protect the ability of the Manufacturing Extension Partnership to continue helping small manufacturers reach their optimal performance and create jobs. SEC. 408. SENSE OF THE HOUSE ON REJECTING CUTS TO



1	(1) Funding for vital education, health, social
2	services, and training programs was cut for 2006,
3	and would be reduced by a total of \$7 billion below
4	the 2005 level by the President's budget request for
5	2007.
6	(2) It is imperative that Congress reject cuts to
7	key programs that the President's budget eliminates
8	or cuts. These programs include vocational edu-
9	cation, special education, college aid, and Title I,
10	which is the cornerstone of the No Child Left Be-
11	hind Act. They also include medical research, includ-
12	ing the National Institutes for Health and the Cen-
13	ters for Disease Control, community services, and
14	job training.
15	(3) This resolution provides more than \$7 bil-
16	lion above the Senate budget resolution's total for
17	non-defense discretionary funding for 2007 and an
18	even greater amount above the President's budget
19	for 2007, and provides an amount which is sufficient
20	to reject the President's cuts and maintain funding
21	for vital health, social services, education, and job
22	training programs.
23	SEC. 409. SENSE OF THE HOUSE ON RURAL DEVELOPMENT.
24	It is the sense of the House that—



 $(S_{i,j}, (S_{i,j}, \gamma_{i,j}))$

(1) rural communities play an important role in
the American economy, and the sustained viability of
rural America is key to economic stability for many
parts of the Nation; and
(2) this resolution supports sufficient funding
for agriculture, rural economic development, infra-
structure, research, and other priorities for rural
communities, and rejects the cuts proposed in the
President's budget

