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## Poverty Rate Increases for the Fourth Consecutive Year

New estimates by the Census Bureau show that the poverty rate increased to 12.7 percent in 2004, marking the fourth consecutive year of poverty rate increases. During that time, 5.4 million more people have been thrown into poverty. This brief reports on national and state poverty trends since 2000.

## The National Picture

- The number of Americans living in poverty increased by 1.1 million to 37 million in 2004, up from 35.9 million in 2003. Since 2000, the number of Americans living in poverty has increased each year, by a total of 5.4 million (Chart 1). The official poverty line for a family of four is $\$ 19,307$.
- The poverty rate increased from 12.5 percent in 2003 to 12.7 percent in 2004. Four consecutive years of increases have raised the poverty rate by 1.4 percentage points, from 11.3 percent in 2000. The poverty rate had declined in each of the preceding seven years (Chart 1).
- More than one in six American children lives in poverty. The poverty rate for all children under 18 years of age was 17.8 percent in 2004, up from 17.6 percent in 2003.
- Poverty increased significantly among children and working-aged adults between 2000 and 2004 (Chart 2). The poverty rate for children increased by 1.6 percentage points. The poverty rate among individuals aged 18 to 64 increased by 1.7 percentage points.
- The poverty rate was 24.7 percent for blacks in 2004 and 21.9 percent for Hispanics. The poverty
rates among black and Hispanic children were even higher, at 33.2 percent and 28.9 percent, respectively. Since 2000, the poverty rate among blacks has risen by 2.2 percentage points, while the black child poverty rate has gone up by 2 percentage points. The poverty rate both for Hispanics and for Hispanic children rose about one-half percentage point over the same period (Chart 3).
- Poverty has increased not only because of the poor performance of the economy, but also because income support programs like Unemployment Insurance (UI) and Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) are helping fewer individuals. For example, although the number of children living in poverty has increased by 12.4 percent since 2000, the number of children receiving TANF has moved in the opposite direction, declining by 10.9 percent over the same period.


## The State Picture

Following Census guidance on how to use state level data, this report compares the two-year average for 1999-2000, the last years of the Clinton Administration, with the twoyear average for 2003-2004 to analyze changes in poverty under President Bush. During that period:

- The poverty rate increased significantly in 11 states (Table 1). In ten of these states, the poverty rate increased by at least 2 percentage points. Only one state (Idaho) experienced a significant decline in its poverty rate. The rate in the remaining 39 states and the District of Columbia was essentially unchanged.
- Of the 11 states experiencing significant increases in their poverty, six were in the Midwest. In that region, the increases in the poverty rate ranged from 2 percentage points in Iowa to 3.8 percentage points in South Dakota.
- A full 18 states experienced significant increases in the number of poor people (Table 2). In 11 of these states, the increase was at least 25 percent.
- The Southern region of the country saw the greatest increase in the number of poor people, with eight of the 17 states in that region experiencing a significant increase. Texas was hit the hardest. There, an additional 552,000 people were thrown into poverty since 1999-2000 (Table 2). Altogether, an additional 1.9 million Americans living in the South fell below the poverty line.

Chart 1- Poverty Has Increased Each Year Since 2000




| Table 1 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent of People in Poverty by State: 1999-2000 vs 2003-2004 |  |  |  |
| State | 2-year average (1999-2000) | 2-year average (2003-2004) | Change (2003-2004 average less 19992000 average) |
|  | Percent | Percent | Percentage points |
| United States | 11.6 | 12.6 | 1.0 * |
| Alabama | 14.3 | 16.0 | 1.7 |
| Alaska | 7.6 | 9.4 | 1.8 |
| Arizona | 11.9 | 13.9 | 2.0 |
| Arkansas | 15.6 | 16.4 | 0.9 |
| California | 13.4 | 13.2 | -0.2 |
| Colorado | 9.1 | 9.9 | 0.7 |
| Connecticut | 7.4 | 9.1 | 1.6 |
| Delaware | 9.4 | 8.2 | -1.2 |
| District of Columbia | 15.0 | 16.7 | 1.8 |
| Florida | 11.7 | 12.2 | 0.5 |
| Georgia | 12.5 | 12.5 | 0.0 |
| Hawaii | 9.9 | 8.9 | -1.0 |
| Idaho | 13.3 | 10.0 | -3.3 * |
| Illinois | 10.3 | 12.4 | 2.1 * |
| Indiana | 7.6 | 10.8 | 3.2 * |
| lowa | 7.8 | 9.9 | 2.0 * |
| Kansas | 10.1 | 11.1 | 0.9 |
| Kentucky | 12.3 | 16.0 | 3.7 * |
| Louisiana | 18.2 | 16.8 | -1.4 |
| $M$ aine | 10.3 | 11.6 | 1.3 |
| M ary land | 7.3 | 9.2 | 1.9 * |
| Massachusetts | 10.8 | 9.7 | -1.1 |
| Michigan | 9.8 | 12.3 | 2.5 * |
| Minnesota | 6.5 | 7.2 | 0.7 |
| M ississippi | 15.6 | 17.3 | 1.7 |
| M is souri | 10.4 | 11.5 | 1.0 |
| Montana | 15.0 | 14.6 | -0.3 |
| Nebraska | 9.8 | 9.6 | -0.2 |
| Nevada | 10.0 | 10.9 | 0.8 |
| New Hampshire | 6.1 | 5.6 | -0.5 |
| New Jersey | 7.6 | 8.3 | 0.7 |
| New Mexico | 19.2 | 17.3 | -1.9 |
| New York | 14.0 | 14.6 | 0.6 |
| North Carolina | 13.1 | 15.1 | 2.0 * |
| North Dakota | 11.7 | 9.7 | -2.0 |
| Ohio | 11.0 | 11.3 | 0.3 |
| Oklahoma | 13.9 | 11.8 | -2.1 |
| Oregon | 11.7 | 12.1 | 0.4 |
| Pennsylvania | 9.0 | 10.9 | 2.0 * |
| Rhode Island | 10.1 | 11.5 | 1.4 |
| South Carolina | 11.4 | 13.8 | 2.4 |
| South Dakota | 9.2 | 13.0 | 3.8 * |
| Tennessee | 12.7 | 15.0 | 2.3 |
| Texas | 15.4 | 16.7 | 1.4 |
| Utah | 6.7 | 9.5 | 2.8 * |
| Vermont | 9.8 | 8.2 | -1.6 |
| Virginia | 8.1 | 9.7 | 1.6 |
| W ashington | 10.2 | 12.0 | 1.8 |
| W est Virginia | 15.2 | 15.8 | 0.6 |
| $W$ isconsin | 8.9 | 11.0 | 2.1 * |
| W yoming | 11.2 | 9.9 | -1.3 |

[^0]Table 2

| State | 2-year average $(1999-2000)$ | 2-year average <br> (2003-2004) | Change (2003-2004 average less 19992000 average ${ }^{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Thousands | Thousands | Thousands |
| United States | 32,186 | 36,429 | 4,243 * |
| Illinois | 1,261 | 1,563 | 302 * |
| Indiana | 455 | 661 | 207 * |
| lowa | 224 | 287 | 64 * |
| Kansas | 267 | 296 | 30 |
| Michigan | 972 | 1,223 | 251 * |
| Minnesota | 315 | 367 | 52 |
| M is souri | 576 | 644 | 68 |
| Nebraska | 165 | 166 | 1 |
| North Dakota | 73 | 61 | -12 |
| Ohio | 1,230 | 1,268 | 38 |
| South Dakota | 67 | 98 | 31 * |
| W isconsin | 479 | 600 | 121 * |
| Connecticut | 252 | 313 | 61 |
| M aine | 131 | 149 | 18 |
| Massachusetts | 678 | 619 | -59 |
| New Hampshire | 76 | 72 | -4 |
| New Jersey | 629 | 716 | 87 |
| New York | 2,640 | 2,775 | 135 |
| Pennsylvania | 1,075 | 1,327 | 252 * |
| Rhode Island | 105 | 121 | 16 |
| Vermont | 59 | 50 | -9 |
| Alabama | 626 | 713 | 87 |
| Arkansas | 410 | 443 | 33 |
| Delaware | 73 | 67 | -5 |
| District of Columbia | 82 | 92 | 9 |
| Florida | 1,846 | 2,087 | 241 * |
| Georgia | 997 | 1,077 | 80 |
| Kentucky | 485 | 654 | 169 * |
| Louisiana | 786 | 744 | -43 |
| M ary land | 377 | 509 | 132 * |
| M ississippi | 432 | 494 | 62 |
| North Carolina | 1,034 | 1,257 | 223 * |
| Oklahoma | 463 | 406 | -57 |
| South Carolina | 443 | 565 | 122 * |
| Tennessee | 710 | 879 | 169 * |
| Texas | 3,138 | 3,690 | 552 * |
| Virginia | 557 | 714 | 158 * |
| W est Virginia | 266 | 282 | 16 |
| Alaska | 48 | 61 | 13 |
| Arizona | 612 | 789 | 178 * |
| California | 4,513 | 4,688 | 175 |
| Colorado | 395 | 443 | 48 |
| Hawaii | 119 | 111 | -8 |
| Idaho | 168 | 137 | -31 |
| Montana | 133 | 133 | 0 |
| Nevada | 205 | 252 | 47 |
| New Mexico | 348 | 325 | -22 |
| Oregon | 401 | 432 | 31 |
| Utah | 148 | 224 | 76 * |
| W ashington | 589 | 734 | 144 * |
| W yoming | 54 | 48 | -6 |

*Statistically different from zero at the 90-percent confidence level.
${ }^{1}$ Details may not sum to totals because of rounding


[^0]:    *Statistically different from zero at the 90 -percent confidence level.

